INVENTORY MANAGEMENT OF MANUFACTURING ORGANISATION

(WITH REFERENCE TO UNILEVER NEPAL LIMITED)

A Thesis

Submitted To:

Shaheed Smriti Multiple Campus

Faculty of Management

Tribhuvan University,

Submitted By:

Krishna Prasad Poudel

Campus Roll No. 45/065

T.U. Regd. No.6782-91

Exam Roll No: 2390056 (Final Year)

In partial fulfillment of the requirement For the Degree of

Masters of Business Studies (M.B.S.)

Chitwan, Nepal

January, 2013

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the thesis

Submitted by:

Krishna Prasad Poudel

Entitled:

INVENTORY MANAGEMENT OF MANUFACTURING ORGANISATION

(WITH REFERENCE TO UNILEVER NEPAL LIMITED)

has been prepared as approved by this Campus in the prescribed format of the Faculty of Management. This thesis is forwarded for examination.

……..……..……..……	……..……	……..……
Mr. Khimananda Aryal	**Mr. Surendra Nath Regmi**	**Mr. Gorkhana Malla**
(Thesis Supervisor)	**Chairperson Research Committee)**	**(Campus Chief)**

Date:……..

VIVA-VOCE SHEET

We have conducted the Viva–Voce examination of the thesis

Submitted by:

Krishna Prasad Poudel

Entitled:

INVENTORY MANAGEMENT OF MANUFACTURING ORGANISATION

(WITH REFERENCE TO UNILEVER NEPAL LIMITED)

and found the thesis to be the original work of the student and written according to the prescribed format. We recommend the thesis to be accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master Business Studies (M.B.S.)

VIVA-VOCE COMMITTEE

Chairperson, Research Committee ……..……

Member (Thesis Advisor) …..……..

Member (External Expert) …..……..

Date:……..

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work reported in this thesis entitled INVENTORY MANAGEMENT OF MANUFACTURING ORGANISATION (WITH REFERENCE TO UNILEVER NEPAL LIMITED) submitted to Office of the Dean, Faculty of Management, Tribhuvan University, is my original work done in the form of partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master's Degree in Business Study (M.B.S.) under the supervision of **Mr. Khimananda Aryal** of Shaheed Smriti Multiple Campus.

Date: Jan, 2013

……

Krishna Prasad Poudel
Shaheed Smriti Multiple Campus
Exam Roll No.: 2390056

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

As the Partial fulfillment of M.B.S. degree, I have Prepared report, during the course, I work with sincerity, honesty and diligently, as far as Possible. But beside my continual efforts, I also got unforgettable support from different people and parties. I am extremely greatfull and overwhelmed by their support while completing my work.

I would like to extend thanks to my thesis advisior Mr. Khimananda Aryal for his invaluable suggestions, cooperation, support, guidance and supervision to complete this thesis and making this research as a product.

I would to express my sincere thanks to Mr. Hari Sigdel, Mr. Uddhav Timlasina for their kind of co-operation, my thanks also goes to all respondents, administrative staff of the campus and unilever limited for their help from many ways.

Finally thanks are also goes to Mrs. Gita Simkhada (Poudel) who always in my side with best wishes for finalizing this research successfully, I would also like to welcome any comments and suggestions which will be the special guideline to me for research in future.

Thank you

Date: Jan, 2013

Krishna Prasad Poudel

Saheed smriti multiple campus

Table of Contents

List of Table

List of Figure

ABBREVIATION

&	:	And
A.D	:	Anno Domini
B.S	:	Bikram Sambat
ABC	:	Always Better Control
FIFO	:	First in First Out
FNCCI	:	Federation of Nepal Chamber of Commerce and Industry
EQQ	:	Economic order Quantity
FY	:	Fiscal Year
i.e.	:	That is
LIFO	:	Last in First Out
MBS	:	Master in Business Studies
No.	:	Number
NG	:	Nepal Government
P.E.	:	Probable Error
RM	:	Raw Material
S.N	:	Symbol Number
TU	:	Tribhuvan University
ULL	:	Unilever Limited
UNICEF	:	United Nations International Children Fund
VDC	:	Village Development Committee
WTO	:	World Trade Organization
WIP	:	Work in Progress

CHAPTER-I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Background

Inventory management involves planning of the optimum level of inventory and control of inventory cost supported by an appropriate organization structure, which is staffed by trained persons and directed by top management. It involves both financial dimensions are interrelated and cannot be looked in isolation.

Inventory is the stock of materials or a product that frequently occurs in the manufacturing organization, depending upon the nature of industry and firm, inventories may be durable and perishable, valuable and inexpensive. When materials are purchased by an organization they have to be store until they are put into the production process. When the production is over the finished products have to be stored until they are sold. In manufacturing there are four steps of inventories such as raw materials, work in progress (semi manufactured product), finished goods and office supplies (Pandey, 2002)

"Inventory management is determining how much inventory there should be on hand to serve, the purpose of the business most economically" (Bhandari, 1971)

Thus the management should pay adequate attention to the inventory management to reduce the cost of production. Inventory should be maintained in appropriate quantity so as to avoid both under stock and over stock. The aim of inventory management is to maintain optimum level of inventory for the smooth production and sales. Therefore, inventory management is primarily concerned with minimizing total cost of inventory. Both the physical as well as financial dimension of inventory should be efficiently managed. Thus, the real task of top management lies in formulating the plan and policy that will lead to optimal inventory investment for the attainment of desired objectives.

To know the cost of inventory management, the manager should identify all the costs involved in purchasing and maintaining inventories. These costs are ordering cost and carrying costs. Similarly, inventory has direct relationship with profit planning to prepare different budgets.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Inventory must be managed in such a way that is does not lead to disadvantage of production stoppage. The lower the stocks maintenance, the more susceptible is the business interruption to the manufacturing process by the cessation of the outside supplies (Dewedi, 2000). Making the smooth flow of production must be the sole objective of ideal inventory policy in the context of Nepalese manufacturing enterprises. Production oriented enterprises should held a sizeable level of inventory. Effective and efficient inventory management system can only yield expected profit of the corporation. The suitable adaptation of inventory level is crucial for an organization. It should be balance in such a way that should neither be excessive nor be inadequate. The excessive carrying cost and risk of liquidity whereas the inadequacy of inventory causes either product holds up or failure to meet the demand of costumer. Thus the management should pay adequate attention to the inventory management to reduce the cost of production. Inventory should be maintained in appropriate quantity so as to avoid both under stock and over stock. The aim of inventory management is to maintain optimum level of inventory for the smooth production and sales. Therefore, inventory management is primarily concerned with minimizing total cost of inventory. Both the physical as well as financial dimension of inventory should be efficiently managed. Thus, the real task of top management lies in formulating the plan and policy that will lead to optimal inventory investment for the attainment of desired objectives

Most of the Nepalese organization is failing management of their inventory properly; Unilever Limited is not free from these weaknesses. This study has attempted to concentrate on the problem faced by Unilever Limited and eliminates the obstacles in the inventory management.

Inventory is the stock of materials or a product that frequently occurs in the manufacturing organization, depending upon the nature of industry and firm, inventories may be durable and perishable, valuable and inexpensive. When materials are purchased by an organization they have to be store until they are put into the production process. When the production is over the finished products have to be stored until they are sold. In manufacturing there are four steps of inventories such as

raw materials, work in process (semi manufactured product), finished goods and office supplies (Pandey, 2002)

Inventory directly affects profitability of an organization. So managing inventory in a proper way is a great challenge to every organization. The researcher could not dint optimum inventory policies in Unilever Limited by studying different journal and annual reports of organization. Looking insight into the P/L account of Unilever Limited of different years, the researcher found the profit is not increasing significantly. In some years its profit margin is in decreasing trend. So the researcher has chosen this topic to provide suggestions for inventory management for profit planning.

Some major issues of statement problems are as follows:

1. How inventories are managed in ULL?
2. What inventory management techniques does this company use?
3. How can the factory reduce inventory cost?
4. What problems the company has been facing in the management of inventory?
5. To what extent inventory and sales are related?
6. What is inventory turnover cost?
7. What would be the impact of inventory management on the profitability of the company?

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to examine the inventory management policy of ULL are as follows.

- To examine the existing inventory system applied by ULL.
- To determine optimal inventory level of major raw materials of ULL.
- To analyze the relationship among sales, inventories and profitability of manufacturing organization.

- To examine the techniques being employed by the manager to manage the inventory of ULL.
- To identify the relationship between inventory and different components of budgeting.

1.4 Significance of the Study

Inventory management plays a vital role in manufacturing as well as trading organization equally. Without efficient and effective inventory management, an organization cannot achieve its objective. So this study will be useful to both i.e. organization as well as researchers. The knowledge of sound keeping inventory management helps both i.e. organization and customers. So I am trying to emphasis on the importance of inventory management in the organization. Which tools and techniques can be used while keeping sound inventory management in the organization? What is the weakness of the organization while keeping sound inventory management system? There is lots of thesis on this topic. Although, thesis related on this topic as a co-operative study are not found more.

1.5 Limitations of the Study

This study attempts to find out the problems and impact on the profitability of ULL. Therefore the following will be major limitations of the study:

- This study only focuses on inventory management and its impact on profitability.
- The comprehensibility and accuracy of the study are based in the data provided by the management and various published document of ULL.
- This is case study, so it is not applicable in general situation or all types of manufacturing enterprises.
- ULL produced different types of products and had diversified product groups. So this study deals with the corporate product groups namely detergent, toilet soap, personal products, scourers, soap, noodles, laundry soaps, tea and vanaspati.

- This study is based on data provided from companies and other available resources. Hence this study is based on secondary data as well as primary data.
- Financial tools and statistical tools are used in analyzing the inventory management of Nepal Lever Limited.
- This study covers only 2061/062 to 2067/068.

1.6 Organization of the Study

This study has to be completed within the format provides by the Research Department of Central Department of management, the faculty of Management, TU. So, the research is divided into five chapters, which are as follows

a) **Chapter One**: It includes general background of study statement of problem, objective of the study, introduction of the company, objectives and limitation of the study.

b**) Chapter two**: This chapter includes reviews of literature. The researcher has divided this chapter into two portions, first being theoretical framework and second is review of previous studies.

c) **Chapter three**: The Chapter includes research metrology research design, nature and sound of data, data gathering procedure, presentation and analysis of techniques and tools. Both primary and secondary data are used in this study. But secondary data are used considerers.

d**) Chapter four**: Forth chapter of this study is concern with data presentation and analysis. This is the main part of the study. Obtained data are presented in the tabular and other forms. Various statistical presentations are used in the analyzing the collected data from different sources. Actual results are obtained after analysis of data by using financial and statistical tools and techniques. Major findings are drawn after analysis of data.

e) **Chapter five**: This is the last chapter of the study and includes summary conclusion, findings and some recommendations.

CHAPTER-II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Review of literature means taking knowledge from different sources. In this chapter the researcher has received various publication and unpublished materials. Similarly past researcher's thesis are received and also books, articles, newspaper are received. The previous study should be reviewed because they provide the foundation to the present study. The review of literature provides the foundation for developing a comprehensive theoretical framework from which hypothesis can be developed for testing.

"The purpose of reviewing the literature is to develop some expertise in one's area, to see what new contribution can be made, and to receive some ideas for developing a research design." (Wolff and Pant, 1999).

There are many researchers made in the field of Nepalese manufacturing enterprises. Only limited numbers of studies have been conducted in the field of inventory management. In this chapter attempts have been made in present the review of literature regarding inventory management. This chapter is divided into two-sub section. Conceptual Framework (theoretical concept of inve3ntory management) is presented in first section and review of related studies has been presented in the second section.

2.1 Conceptual Framework

2.1.1 Inventory concept

The dictionary meaning of inventory management is stock of goods or a list of goods. Various authors have given his inventory meaning differently. In according language inventory denotes stock of finished goods. In a manufacturing concern, it may include raw material, work in progress and stocks etc.

"Inventory refers to the physical stock of goods. Which though remain idle in the store but is essential for smooth selling of the company and hence has economic values."(Kothari, 1990).

"Inventory is composed of assets that will be sold in future in the normal course of business operation."(Khan and Jain, 2003)

"Inventory as a current asset, differ from the other current assets because only financial manager are not involved. Rather, all the financial areas, i.e. finance, marketing, production, and purchasing are involved. The views concerning the appropriate level of inventory would differ among the different functional areas."(Khan and Jain, 2003)

"Any thing that a firm kept meeting in future requirement of production and sale is called inventory. The basic reason for holding inventory are to keep up the production activities unhampered It is neither physical possible nor economically suitable to wait for the stocks to arrive at when they are actually required. There, keeping up inventory is a must for efficient working of a business unit (Jain and Narang).

"To understand the exact meaning of inventory the word inventory we may study it from the usage side and from the point of entry in the operation."(Sharma and Gupta, 1984)

Inventory form a link between production and sale of product. The optimum level of inventories should be judges in relation to the flexibility if inventories. The lower the level of inventories makes the less flexibility of the firm. And higher level of inventory increase of the organization.

2.1.2 Nature of Inventory

Every business operation however big or small has to maintain some inventory. An inventory serve as cushions to observe the stock in demand forecast and provides more efficient use of resources. Inventory for any organization is necessary thing and require careful planning and formulation of policies keeping in view the best interest of organization. Depending upon the nature of the industry and firm inventory may be durable of non-durable, perishable or non-perishable, valuable and inexpensive.

Inventories are stock of the product in a company is manufacturing foe sale and component that make up the product. There are various forms in which inventory exit in manufacturing industries.

Manufacturing firm generally hold four types of inventories:

- Raw material
- Work in progress
- Finished goods
- Supplies and spare parts

i) Raw materials

Raw materials are those basic inputs that are converted into finished product through the manufacturing process. There are goods that have yet committed to production in manufacturing firm. The level of row materials inventories is influenced by anticipation production, seasonally of production, reliability of sources of supply and the efficient of scheduling purchase and production operation.

"Raw materials inventories are those units which have been purchased and stores for future production."(Weston and Copeland, 1992).

It consists of item that firm purchases for use in its production process. It may consist of basic material and manufactures goods. Maintaining adequate raw materials inventories provides a firm with advantage in both purchasing and production. Chemicals and perfumes are the main raw materials used by the company i.e.ULL.

ii) Work in progress

These categories include those materials that have been committed to the production process but have not been completed. Work in progress inventories are semi-manufactured products. They represent products that need more work before they become finished product for sale.

"Goods in progress include such items as components and sub assembles that are not yet to be sold."(Hampton, 1990).

Work in progress is neither a finished product nor raw material. It is the product in the middle of raw material and finished product. WIP inventories are strongly influenced by the length of production, which is the time between placing raw materials in production and completing the finished product. It is very different to separate which materials are WIP as well as finished goods in other industry. It depends upon nature of production. Soap noodles are the WIP materials used by the country.

iii) Finished product

Finished goods are those completely manufactured products, which are ready foe sale. In a manufacturing firm, they are final output of production process. Stock of raw materials and WIP facilities production of finished goods. Finished goods are required for smooth marketing operation." Therefore finished goods are completely goods a waiting for sale"(Pandey, 2002).

Mainly following types of finished products are produced by ULL:

Detergents

Toilet Soaps

Personal products

Scourers

Laundry Soap

Tea and Vanaspati etc.

iv) Supplies and Spare Parts

Firm also maintain the fourth kind of inventory of supplies. "It includes office and plant cleaning materials (soap, broom etc), oil, fuel, bulb, and like those materials that don't direct enter into production, but the necessary for the production process: usually these supplies are small part of total inventory and don't involve significant investment. (Pandey, 2002).

2.1.3 Motives of Holding Inventories

There are four motives of holding inventory. They are Consumption Motives, Speculative Motives, Savings Motives and Precautionary Motives.

i) Consumption Motives

The main objective of holding inventory is for the regular consumption or use of them by the organization. The regular operation guides the basis of holding inventory. A company should maintain adequate stock of materials for supply to the factory for continuous production. It is not possible for a company to procure raw materials whenever it is needed. A time lag exists between demand for materials and its supply.

There also exists uncertainty in processing in time at many occasions. The procurement of raw materials may be delayed because of such factor as strike, transportation disruption or short supply. Therefore, the firm should maintain sufficient stock of raw material at a given time to stream live production.

ii) Precautionary Motives

It necessitates holding of inventories to guard against the risk of unpredictable change in demand and supply forces and other factors. Stock of finished goods has to be held because production and sale are not instantaneous. A firm cannot produce immediately when customers demand gods. In case the firms sales are seasonal in nature substantial finished good inventories should be kept to meet the peak demand. Failure to supply products to customer, when demanded, would mean loss of the firm's sales to competition. "The level of finished goods, inventories would depend upon the co-operation between sales and production as well as on production time."(Panday, 2002). WIP inventory builds up because of production cycle is the time span between introduction of raw materials into production and emergences of finished product at completion of production cycle. Fill production cycle complete stock of WIP has to be maintained. Efficient firms constantly try to make production cycle smaller by improving their production techniques.

iii) Speculative motives:

It influences the decision to increase or reduce inventory levels to take advantage of price fluctuations. Different factors which may necessitate, purchasing and holding of raw materials inventories quantity discount and anticipated price rise. The firm may purchase larger quantities of raw materials that needed for desired production and sale level to obtain quantity discount of bulk purchasing.

2.1.4 Objectives of Holding Inventory

There are many benefits of holding inventories. To hold inventories, the firm is able to separate the process o purchasing, producing and selling. If firms were not willing to hold adequate raw materials and finished goods, purchasing would take place only when immediate production and sales were anticipated. When a customer signed an agreement the firm would not be offered rapid delivery when the scheduled production runs, it would achieve none of the economies that longer run provides.

Inventories are used to provide cushion paces." In achieving the separation of these functions, the firm realizes a number of specific benefits"(Hampton, 1980).

a) Availability of inventory:

There should be a continuous availability of materials in the factory, finished goods for a trader and office supplies for and office so that trader or any form of product is not held up for want of any inventory.

b) Least Investment in inventory

There should not be unnecessary investment in stock. Blocking of capital in avoidable inventory stock is wastage of resources.

c) Avoiding losses of sales

If the firm doesn't have goods available for sale, it will lose sales Customers requiring immediate delivery will purchase their goods from the firms competitors and other will decided that they do not need the goods after all, if they must wait for delivery. The ability of the firm to give quick service and to provide prompt delivery is closed tied to the proper management of inventory.

d) Gaining Quantity Discounts

If a firm is willing to maintain large inventories in selected product lines, it may be able to make bulk purchase of goods at large discounts, suppliers, frequently offer a greatly reduce price if the firm orders double or triple its normal requirement. By paying less for its goods, the firm can increase profits, as long as the cost of maintaining the inventories are less than the amount of discount.

e) Reducing the Ordering Cost

Every time a firm places an order it incurs certain costs. Forms must be typed, checked, approved and mailed. When goods arrive, they must be accepted and counted. The invoice must be checked with the goods and then send to the accounting department so that supplies can be paid. The variable costs associated with individual order can be reduced if the firm places a few large then numerous small orders.

f) Achieving Efficient Production Runs

Once a assemble line or pieces of machinery is prepared to receive certain raw materials and perform selected production operation, a set u cost has been incurred. This cost must be absorbed in the subsequent production run. Inventories assist the firm in making sufficiently long runs to achieve efficient production.

2.1.5 Needs and Importance of Inventory Management

Inventory in any organizations plays a vital role. If the organization is not paying attention to inventory management, it will affect the efficiency and profitability of the organization. Buffa observes “Inventories serve the vital function of developing the various operation in sequence beginning with raw materials extending through all the manufacturing operations and into finished goods. Storage and continuing to warehouse and retail stores.”

Importance of inventory management can be written as follows:

i. Inventory helps in smooth and efficient running of business.

ii. Inventory provide service to the customers immediately or at a short notice.

iii. Due to absence of stock, the company may have to pay high prices because of piece-wise purchasing. Maintaining of inventory may earn price discount because of bulk purchasing.

iv. Inventory also acts as buffer stock when raw materials are received late and so many sales-orders are likely to be rejected.

v. Inventory also reduces product costs because there is an additional advantage of batching and long smooth running production runs.

vi. Inventory helps in maintaining the economy by absorbing some of the fluctuation when the demand for an item fluctuates or is seasonal.

vii. Pipeline stocks (also called process and movement inventories) are also necessary where the significant amount of time is consumed in the transshipment of items from one locality to another.

2.1.6 Types of Cost Associated With Inventory

Two types of costs are associated with inventory: Carrying cost and ordering cost. Carrying costs are associated with physically storing a product, while ordering costs are the costs of placing an order. These two inventory costs are having an increase relationship. A firm can carry more inventories and order less often or order more often and carrying fewer inventories. While carrying cost increase, ordering fall and vice versa. The problem is to find the lower total cost."(Bloomberg and Hanna, 2003). Mainly there are two types of cost.

a) Carrying cost

Carrying cost are associated with physically goods, once the goals have been accept they became part of firm inventories prior to the recent period of high interest rates a number of studies determined that the annual cost of carrying a production inventory ranged between 10 and 34 percent of the value of the inventory, with the model figure running at approximately 25 percent. The escalating cost of money since 1979 however has increased the typical annual inventory carrying cost to appropriate 30 to 35 percent of the value of the inventory. Five major elements make up these casts in the following manner.

1) Opportunity cost of investment funds	12-20%
2) Insurance costs	2-4%
3) Property Taxes	1-3%
4) Storage costs	1-3%
5) Obsolescence and deterioration	4-10%

(Sources: Dobler-1992)

Total carrying cost vary in proportion to the value of inventory usually they are computed from the following formula.

Total carrying cost = Average inventory ×carrying cost per unit.

The inventory carrying cost further express as:

Elements of Carrying Cost:

i. Opportunity cost of Investment Funds

This consists of expenses of rising funds (interest on Capital) to finance the acquisition of the inventory. If funds were not locked up in inventory. They would have earned a return. This is an opportunity cost of funds or the financial cost and component of the cost.

Capital cost or opportunity cost compares investment to what the firm could earn from other capital investment.

ii. Insurance Cost

In spite of best precautions, firm must protect themselves against such hazard as fire or accident in the warehouse. Larger amount or inventory require larger amount of insurance. The insurance premium represents a carrying cost of inventory (Hamption, 1992).

iii. Property Taxes

"As with insurance, property taxes are levied on the assessed value of the firm assets: the greater the inventory value, the greater the assets value and consequently the higher is the firms tax bill" (Dobler, 1992)

iv. Storage cost

The firm must provide for storage space, usually through the operation of a warehouse or supply room. The firm must employ workers to more cleanly, count, record, and protect the goods. All of these activities dealing with the physical holding of the goods are considered storage costs (Hampton, 1992)

v. Obsolescence and Deterioration

In the operation, a certain percentage of inventory items spoils is damaged is pilfered, or eventually become obsolete. No matter how diligently warehouse managers' guard against this occurrence, a certain amount always takes place. With new products being introduced at an increase rate, the probability of obsolescence is increase accordingly. Consequently, the larger the inventory, the greater is the absolute from source.

b) Ordering Cost

Ordering cost consist of order costs, set up costs, or both ordering cost could include preparing and processing the order request, selecting a checking the stock, preparing the payment and receiving inventory levels. Set up costs refers to modifying the manufacturing process to make different goods. They include personal costs, as well as capital equipment costs, Many firm use blanket orders to reduce order costs (Bloomberg& Hanna, 2002).

The term ordering cost is used in case of raw materials (or supplies) and includes the entire cost of raw materials. They include cost incurring in the following activities.

- Requisition
- Order placing
- Transportation
- Receiving, inspecting and storing
- Clerical and staff

Everetle. E. Adem, J.R. Ronald, Ebert said that inventory costs or cost associated with inventory included following five types of relevant costs (Adam & Ronald-1992)

Elements of Ordering Costs:

i) Cost of Item

The cost or value of item is usually its purchasing price: The amount paid to the supplier for the item. In some instances, however, transportation, receiving or inspection costs, for example, may be included as part of the cost of item. If the cost of item per unit is constant for all quantities ordered, the total cost of items purchased during the planning horizon is irrelevant to the operating doctrine. If unit cost varies with the quantity ordered, a price reduction called a quantity discount, this cost is relevant.

ii) Procurements costs

Procurement costs are the cost of placing a purchase order, or the set up costs if the items are manufactured at the facility. These costs vary directly with each purchase ordered placed, procurement costs includes cost of postage telephone calls to the vendor, labor costs in purchasing in accounting, receiving costs, computer items for record keeping and purchase order supplies.

iii) Carrying (Holding) Costs

Carrying or holding costs are the costs of maintaining the inventory warehouse and protecting the inventory items. Typical costs are insurance, security, warehouse, rental, heat, light, taxes and losses due to pilferage or breakage. The cost of typing up capital in inventory is also considered a carrying cost.

iv) Stock Out Costs

Stock out cost, associated with demand when stocks have been depleted, takes the form of loss of sales or back order costs. When sales are lost because of stock out, the firm losses both the profit margin on unmade. Sales and its customer's good will. If customers take their business elsewhere, future profit margin may also be lost. When customers agree to come back after inventories have been replenished, they make back orders. Back order cost includes loss of goodwill and money paid to recording goods and notify customer when goods arrive.

v) Cost of Operating the Information Processing System

Whether by hand or by computer, someone most update as stock level change. For system in which inventory levels are not recorded daily, the cost is primarily incurred in obtaining accurate physical court of inventories. Frequently, those operating cost are more fixed than variable over a wide quantity (volume) range. Therefore, since fixed costs are not relevant to the operating doctrine, we will not consider them further.

2.1.7 TECHNIQUES OF INVENTORY MANAGEMENT

To manage inventories, the firm's objective should be in consonance with the shareholders wealth maximization principle. To achieve this, the firm should

determine the optimum level of inventory. Efficiently controlled inventories make the firm flexible. Inefficient, control result in unbalanced inventory and flexibility the firm may sometimes run out of stock and sometimes may pile up unnecessary stocks. This increase the level of investment and the makes the firm unprofitable.

To manage inventories effectively, a firm should use a system approach to inventory management. A system approach considered in a single model all the factory that effect the inventory. The model called a system may have any number of sub system tied together to achieve a single goal." In the case of inventory system the goal is to minimize the costs."(Hampton, 1992)

"The financial manager should aims at an optimum level of inventory on the basic of the trade off between cost and benefit, to maximize the owner's wealth. Many sophisticated mathematical techniques are available to handle inventory problems. But they are more approximately a part of production management"(Khan and Jain, 2002)

To manage inventories efficiently, answers to be sought to the following two questions:

-How much should order?

-When should it be ordered?

"The question, how much to order related to the problems of determining economic order quantity, and is answered with and analysis of costs of maintaining certain level of inventories. The second question, when to order, arises because of uncertainty and is a problem of determining the re-order point"(Pandey, 2002).

In every aspect of inventory management, there is necessary control of inventory. There are various techniques of inventory control to avoid excess cost, physical loss, damage theft, over inventory and lower inventory, some of these techniques are discussed below.

ECONOMIC ORDER QUNATITY (EOQ)

EOQ is important concept in the purchase of raw materials and storage of finished goods and transit inventories. To determine the optimal order quantity for a particular

item of inventory, given its forecasted usage ordering can mean either the purchase of the item of its production. (Van Horne, 2003)

EOQ refers to the order size that will result in the lower total ordering and carryings costs an item of inventory. If a firm places unnecessary orders. It will incur unneeded order costs. If it places too few orders it must maintain large stocks of goods and will have excessive carrying costs. By calculating an EOQ, the firm identifies carrying cost "By calculating an EOQ, the firm identifies the number of unit to order that result in the lower total of these two costs."(Hampton, 1990).

How much to order, or produce is one of the main problems of inventory management. That is, the determining of a quantity for which the order should be placed is one of the important problems concerned with inventory management.

The correct quantity to buy is the quantity at which the cost of acquisition equals the cost of possession. This is technically known as the economic order quantity of re order quantity. EOQ refers to size or quantity or under which minimize the total inventory cost. Ordering or set up cost and holding or carrying cost constitutes the total cost of inventory excluding material cost. Increase in ordering numbers increase the ordering cost, but decrease the holding cost and vice-versa. A balanced is, therefore, struck between the two opposing cost factors and EOQ is determined at a level of which the ordering cost and carrying cost is equal and minimized in total. Therefore, it is necessary calculate order quantity which minimizes carrying cost and ordering cost. Re order quantity is such that when it is added to the minimize stock, it should not exceed the maximum stock.

Assumptions:

The EOQ model relies on several assumptions:

i) There is a continuous, constant, and known demand rate.

ii) The lead-time cycle is known and constant.

iii) The constant purchase price is independent of the amount ordered.

iv) Transportation costs are constant no matter the amount moves or the distance traveled.

v) No stock outs are permitted.

vi) There is no inventory in transit.

vii) All inventory parts are independent of each other.

viii) The planning horizon is infinite.

ix) There is no limit on the amount of capital available.

These assumptions often stay far from real life. Demand is rarely continuous, constant and known; lead-time, transportation costs, and price vary. Stock out happen, planning horizons and limited and volume discounts can be significant. Also, many products are independent. No inventory in transit means that the firm buys on a delivered price basis and sells. Planning horizon is limited, as is capital available. Nonetheless, EOQ is most widely used single inventory model. It is simple to use and it produces exact answers.

Approaches to Set EOQ

EOQ model can be determined by following methods:

i) Mathematical or formula method.

ii) Trail and error approach

iii) Graphic method

i) Mathematical or formula Method

Mathematical models are also available to calculate economic order quantity. There are numerous models exist, as the field of inventory management and can be studies in college programs such operation research and production management. Even many mathematical models exists, the main objectives of these model is to reduce, minimizes the inventory cost or total costs.

Without getting into highly refined decision models we can illustrate the concept of EOQ with a basic mathematical model. We calculate EOQ by using the following formula:

$$EOQ=\sqrt{\frac{2Ao}{C}}$$

Where, A=Annual demand/requirement/Sales

O=Ordering cost per order

C=Carrying or Holding cost per unit per year

EOQ=Economic Order Quantity

ii) Trial and Error Approach

This is another approach to calculate economic order quantity. A firm has different alternatives purchase policy of its inventory; it can purchase its requirement own one single lot. Alternatively, the firm can purchase its inventory is small lots periodically say weekly, monthly, bimonthly, half yearly and so on. It means more than one time the firm can place and order to purchase inventory. The smaller lot sizes the lower average inventory and vice-versa. How inventory holding are associated with high ordering cost and low carrying cost. This approach to the determination of EOQ uses different permutation and combination of total cost inventory purchase so as to fine the total cost.

According to this approach the carrying and ordering cost for a different size of order to purchase inventories computed and the order size with the lower total cost (ordering +carrying) of inventory is the economic order quantity. (Khan and Jain, 2003).

A table arrangement of data relating to items of material may allow them determination of appropriate EOQ. In this approach following points are included.

a) No of order =Increase no of order decreases order size.

b) Ordering size=Annual requirement divided by no of orders.

c) Average inventory=Equal to half of order size

d) Ordering cost=Ordering cost ×No of order

e) Carrying cost=Average inventory ×Carrying cost per unit per year

f) Total cost=Ordering cost + Carrying Cost

iii) The Graphic Approach

The economic ordering quantity can also found graphically. Figure 2.5 given below illustrates the EOQ function. In the figure, carrying, ordering and total costs are plotted on vertical and horizontal axis is used to represent the order size. Total carrying increases as the order size increases, because, on average, a larger inventory will be maintained, and ordering costs decline with increase in order size because large order size means loss number of orders. The behavior of total cash line in noticeable since it is a sum of two types of costs, which behave differently with order size. The total cost decline in the first instance, but they start rising when the decrease in average ordering cost is more than offset by the increase in carrying costs. The EOQ occurs at the point Q where the total is minimum. Thus, the firms operating profit is maximized at a point Q.

Figure No. 2.7

Diagram identifying E.O.Q.



Source: Cost Accounting (Jain and Narang)

It should be noticed that the total cost of inventory are fairly insensitive to moderate changes in order size. It may, therefore, be appropriate to say that there is an economic order range, not a point. To determine this range, the order size may be not

change very significantly, the firm can change EOQ within range any loss (Jain & Narang 2002).

2.1.8 METHODS OF INVENTORY COMPUTATION

We can calculate inventory by different methods. Mainly the organization can compute inventory by following methods. (Welsh, Hiton and Gordan, 4th edition)

1) Average sales method:

This method can be divided into two categories:

i) Average sales method:

Under this method inventory is calculated with average sales of certain time period

$$\text{Inventory} = \frac{Yearly\ sales\ /\ total\ Sales\ During\ the\ time\ Period}{No\ of\ time\ Period\ or\ 12} \mid require\ \ Stock\ of\ \ Period$$

It is stable and suitable to basic product but it can't be used in big organization.

ii) Moving Average Method:

It is based on of period mostly 3, 5 or 7, under this method inventory can be calculated as:

Under this method, both inventory and production are fluctuating. It is appropriate in those organizations, whose sales are highly seasonal.

2) Sales to turnover Ratio:

This method is also two types.

i) Historical sales Turnover Ratio method:

This method is also called HSTR, Turnover method or withdrawn method. Under this method inventory is calculated on the basic of historical ratio sales to inventory.

Inventory =Sales for the Period × HSTR or multiplier

Where, Historical sales Turnover Ratio

$$= \frac{No.of\ Month\ in\ a\ year\ or\ 12\ (N)}{Turnover\ Time\ fTT\ A}$$

$$\text{And} = \frac{Sales\ (historical)\ for\ the\ Year}{Average\ inventory}$$

$$\text{Average Inventory} = \frac{Opening\ Inventory\ \Gamma\ Clo\ \text{sin}\ g\ inventory}{2}$$

It is stable and shows the relationship between sales and inventory.

ii) Turnover Time Method:

Under this method, inventory can be calculated as:

$$\text{Inventory} = \frac{Total\ Sales\ /\ Budgeted\ sales\ \ for\ the\ Year}{Turnover\ Time}$$

Mostly it is used for suitable inventory policies.

2) Proportional sales method:

It is not widely used. Mostly it is used in small industry or basic product/commodity or monopoly market, which has certain sales. Under this method inventory can be calculated as:

Inventory= Sales for the month × given ratio.

2.1.9 PROCEDURES OF INVENTROY MANAGEMENT

These procedures of inventory management cover the actives such as purchasing, receiving and store keeping, issuing and pricing the inventory items.

a) Purchasing

The process of inventory management in fact begins with purchasing. The need for particular materials initiates purchasing in a firm. Purchasing in narrow sense refer merely to the act of buying as items at a price and in boarder sense purchasing make it's a management activity that goes belong the simple act of buying and including the planning and policy actives, research and development services section. Management suggests that purchasing decisions involve the weight of alternatives possibilities and

may of these alternatives involve the influence of the other function on the purchasing decision. A good purchasing management has played important role in the management companies. The company should purchasing raw materials, supplies in the right quality from the right origin at the right time and cost. Purchasing management should be effectives other wise it hamper in the quality of production.

For the most organization, supply management means purchasing that is, firm buys goods to re-sell, to carry out operation, or to manufacture products. Supply management is usually given the broadest definition, encompassing and activity involved in moving gods into a firm. Other term have similar meaning:" Regardless of the term, supply management or purchasing aims at anticipating requirement, sourcing and obtaining supplies, moving supplies into the organization and monitoring the status of supplies as a current assets".(Bloombery Hanna,2002)

Purchasing activities relating to procuring materials and supplies consumes during production. The purchasing function, which provides material, supplies and services from outside vendors. Accordingly," Purchasing is an important boundary function that supports operation by acquiring major resources for the conversion process"(Hampton, 1930)

Purchasing now has become a specialized function in many organizations. Vesting express that "purchasing is a managerial actives that goes beyond the simple act of buying and includes the planning and police, objective covering wide range of related and complimentary included in such activities are the research and development required for the proper selection of materials and sources from which these materials may be bought.

Thus purchasing in modern sense is a strategic management function and any negligence will ultimately result into decrease in profit.

Objectives of Purchasing

The objective of purchasing should conform the overall objectives of an organization. The objectives of purchasing are like the objectives of integrated logistics. The efficient acquisition of products and services requires the right materials, in right quantity, in right condition, at the right time, from the right source, with the right services, and the right price.

More explicitly is expected to accomplish nine item (Bloomberg and Hanna, 2002).

a) Provide an uninterrupted flow of materials, supplies and services requires to operation the firm.

b) Minimize Inventory Investment and loss: The cost of carrying inventory reaches as much as 50% of the value of product. Inventory carrying cost typically range between 20 and 30 percent of the value of product.

c) Maintain Adequate Quality Standards: The quality of firm's product may be limited by the quality of purchased materials. It is easy to lose sight of quality standards not be compromised solely for lower price.

d) Find or Develop competent Suppliers: Goods supplies help to solve many purchasing problems. It is primary goals of the purchasing manager to locate and attracts quality supplies.

e) Standardize, where ever and whenever possible, the items bought when ever possible.

f) Purchased required items and services at the lower ultimate price.

g) Improve the organization competitive position.

h) Work harmoniously with other department in the organization.

i) Accomplishing the purchasing objectives at the lower possible level of administrative costs.

Procedures of Purchasing

"Effective purchasing means learning the purchase, identified qualified sources of supplies, minimizing the total cost of supplies and administrating the purchase"(Adam and Ronald, 1992). While individual purchase may appear quite different, this is general underlying purchasing process. The process is described below (Bloomberg and Hanna, (2003).

a) Recognized Needs:

In organization, needs are recognized in many ways. A department may contract to buy new production equipment. Purchasing may be notified of an order for component parts by the materials requirement planning system and simply reviewed by purchasing. Each of these methods starts the purchasing process at same level. Once the need has been identified.

b) Identifying a Supplier:

Identifying the supplier may be as simple as making sure the e-mail address is correct on electronic order or as complex as asking for pre-bid proposals on major capital equipment, conducting a bidders meeting and evaluating many detailed proposals. To some extent, this depends on the type of purchase new but straight is buy, or partial re buy and the product or service being purchased. Once the potential suppliers have been identified, one or more will be chosen to provide the goods.

c) Qualifying and placing an Order:

Once a supplier has been identified, the order must be initiated, contract signed or some steps taken to get the goods delivered or services provided: purchasing is usually then responsible for determining if order are filled correctly, if contract item are met, if gods meet standards and if supplies performs satisfactory.

d) Monitoring and Managing the Delivery Process:

Primarily, purchasing makes sure the correct goods were delivered in the correct quantity at the right pace. If not, purchasing takes some action to fill the gaps.

e) Evaluating the Purchasing and the Suppliers

This is a two-stage process A particular may go well or poorly. Most purchasing organization summarize the accumulated experience with a suppliers through many transaction and many purchase When one transaction goes awry, purchasing may contract the suppliers to avoid future problems, When many transactions fail to meet standards, purchasing then seek new suppliers.

Receiving and Storing Keeping

After some time of placing the order, flow up process starts to get quick delivery of the items. The purchasing department at the time of delivery received the items and received items are compared with purchase order and actual materials received should be entered in goods received note. Then all items received by the purchasing department should be passed into store for protection against deterioration and pilferage. They are stored in such a way that, their location is easily identified at the time issue. "The store function involves both keeping and store of materials and keeping the store records, the former being physical task and the later being accounting task depending the nature and requirement of the organization. The stores are classified as centralized and decentralized store"(Agrawal, 2000)

In the word of Maynard, the duties of store keeping are to receive materials to protect than while in storage from damage and unauthorized removal to issue the materials in the right quantity at the right time, to right place and to provide these services promptly and at least costs.

The problem of storage is not solely that of safe keeping stores must be quickly and conveniently available to the consumers. The optimum location is often adjacent to there where the materials are actually used. This reduces delay and cost of handling and relieves internal traffic congestion. For this reason, decentralized storerooms are often provides near various production centers. In some cases, materials are stored without protection on the production floor, immediate accessibility being important than possibility of loss.

In the light of the above explanation storekeeping can be described as the keeping of materials in a scientific and systematic way.

Objectives of Store Keeping

The major objectives of storekeeping may be stated as follows:

- Receiving handling and issuing goods economically and efficiently.
- Using the storage available space and labor effectively.
- Protection of all goods in stores against all those from fire, theft, and obsolesce.

- Minimizing the investment on inventories.
- Maintaining regular supply of raw materials of all times when properly authorized.
- Facilitating ordering of required materials.
- Minimizing the inventory handling cost.

To achieve the above said objectives and firm generally uses different types of controlling devices like:

a) Bin Cards

A bin Card makes records of receipt and issue of material is kept for item of stores carried. The storekeeper maintains these cards and storekeeper is answerable for any difference between the physical stocks and the balances shown in the cards. These cards are used not only but recording receipt and issues of store but also assist the storekeeper to control the stock.

For each item of stress, minimum quantity, maximum quantity and ordering quantity are stated on the cards. By seeing the Bin card the storekeeper can send the materials requisition for the purchase of materials in time.

Sample of Bin Card

Bin Card No. Bin No

Name of the articles: Maximum quantity

Code No. Minimum quantity

Store ledger folio: Ordering Qty.

Date	Receipts		Issues		Balance	Date of checking	Remarks	Good on order		
	Goods rec. note no.	Qty.	Store requisition note no.	Qty.	Qty.			No. and date of order	Qty.	Date of goods received

b) Store Ledger

This ledger is kept in the costing department and is identical with the bin cards except that receipt issues and balanced are shown with their money values. This contains an account for every time of stores and makes records of the receipt, issues and the balances, both in quantity and value. Thus, this ledger provides the information for the pricing of materials issues and the many values at nay time of each item of stores (Jain and Narang: 1991).

Sample of Store Ledger

Name of Article ***Maximum quantity***

Code No. Minimum quantity

Bin No. Ordered quantity

Date	Receipts				Issues				Balance			Remarks
	S.N.	Qty.	Rate	Amt.	S.N.	Qty.	Rate.	Amt.	Qty.	Rate	Amt.	

Issued and Pricing

Each item the inventory has some value associated with it. This value depends on the pricing duration of the item inside the inventory, procurement cost, storage cost etc. Pricing the inventory is one of the most interesting and widely matters in accounting process. Many organizations are interesting in various method of pricing inventory because it has a direct affect on the income. Inventory valuation approach is important is the aspect of income tax problem.

A basic function of the storekeeper is to issue material as required. The function should embrace prompt efficient services and the accurate recording of each transaction. The vouchers that support each materials issue may include some from of requisition that specify quantity, time and place of the delivery. The requisition should

indicate proper authorization and the account or order to which materials cost is to be changed.

When materials are issued from the storeroom on requisition, there cost is deducted from the inventory balance. Their cost is also entered in the cost accounting records of materials costs of goods in process of manufacturing suppliers issued for use in a line or stuff department are also deducted at cost from the inventory balance and are recorded as expenses of the department.

There are many method of inventory but must significance method is cost and other method is lower of cost of market. Both methods give different results.

Cost Basis for Inventory Valuation

The primary basis of accounting for inventory is cost, which has defined generally as the price paid to consideration given to acquire assets. As applied to inventories, cost means in principle the sum of the application expenditure and change directly incurred in bringing an article to its existing condition and location (AICA-1961).

Conceptually, the process of valuation the inventory is simple. We can calculate inventory value that multiplying physical quantity of goods by cost per unit. But in practice, many organization's purchase different types of raw materials at different price and different time.

It is not always possible to identify the individuals particular purchase group. At that solution firm have faced difficulties in valuation the inventories. In this situation there are many methods which are based on historical use in determining the values of inventories are:

a) ***Specific Identification Methods***

The specific identification method requires that each unit in inventory be identified with the particular time, it was purchase. In this method, the item have serial numbers or are distinguishable by model, color or size to identify the particular items but items but specific stems separate at first and record in stock book. This method is more suitable to low volume high cost items such as automobiles. It is not very practical when the firm purchases large quantity of identifiable units of various time and prices.

b) Weighted Average Cost

It assumes that goods are removes from the beginning inventory and purchase group in proportion to the number of unit, in this group consequently; cost of the ending inventory also represents a proportional distribution from the beginning inventory and various purchases groups. "The weighted average cost computed by dividing the total cost of goods available for during the period". (Laughin, 1990)

$$\text{Weight Average Cost} = \frac{Total\ \text{cost}\ of\ Goods\ Available\ for\ sale}{Total\ units\ available\ for\ sale}$$

The method is widely used by organization that hold item of inventory long period of time because it average out of the effect of price increase and decrease. In addition, weighted average process is satisfactory when there are both increase and decrease in cost with in the accounting period. Some organization used this method which purchase the inventory items frequently interval because it does not require that the ending inventory cost be associated with any particular purchase group. A common criticism of the methods is that in attaches no more significance to current price then to price that prevailed several months earlier.

c) First in First Out (FIFO) Method

FIFO method is based on the assumption that the materials first received are the first to be issued. The materials received and changed on each invoice are changed out from the inventory are the price state on that invoice until the lot has been exhausted. Materials issues are then assumed to be issued from the next lot received at the invoice price of the second lot until that lot is exhausted. The units on hand at any time are assumed to be the units last purchased because all issues of materials have been made from the earlier issues. The FIFO method is used in the balanced of store record.

d) Last in First out (LIFO) Method

The LIFO method of pricing is based on the assumption that the last received is the first to be issued. Materials issued from stock are changes out at the cost of the lasted shipment received until that lot is exhausted. The next issues are then made from the next order preceding, provides the materials in that order were not prevailing costs

materials with the prevailing costs materials instead of with cost which may have paid for materials at a much earlier date.

e) Base Stock Method

Accounting to this method a certain quantity or base stock of material is assumed to be necessary to keep the going to be concern. The base stock is valued at the cost prevailing at the time firm began or when the method was adopted. Any additional layers materials in the inventory of close belong beyond the unit. The base may be on the basic of FIFO, weighted average etc. method.

All method has their advantage and disadvantage. However, the method chosen is significant for efficient inventory management especially in its financial dimensions.

2.1.10 INVENTORY CONTROL TECHNIQUES

Inventory control or stores control, as commonly known, refers to the techniques used to ensure that stocks are kept at levels, which provides maximum services at minimum cost. The main objective of inventory control is to ensure that "Stock- Out" does not occur and that surplus stocks are not accumulated a carried. Many mathematical or statistical models with various degree of sophistication have been developed Discussion on such statistical techniques or models being outside the scope of this text, we shall only discuss some very popular and easy to understand techniques for inventory control.

Stock Levels

Stock levels are established for standardized materials, which are regularly used by the firm so that inventory holding can be controlled.

1) Re-order level:

This is the level at which shopkeeper initiated purchase requisition or replenishment order for fresh supplies of materials. Re order level takes into account the maximum usage and unexpected delay in receiving fresh supplies. The level is such that even with maximum consumption during lead-time unusual delay in replenishment, stock does not reach zero level. Re order level is calculated as below:

Re-order level=Maximum re-order period × maximum Usage

Re- order level=Minimum level or Safety Stock+ (Normal usage × Lead time needed)

2) Minimum level or Safety Stock Level

This represents the level, which the stock of particular materials touches before receipt of the fresh lot, provided the same is receiving in normal re-order period and usage is also normal during the period. Stock level is normally not allowed to fall below this level, which is considered, as buffer stock for use during emergency. Fall in stock level below the minimum level will indicate potential danger leading to stock-out position. Stock will fall below minimum level if consumption exceeds the normal consumption or re-order period exceeds the normal re-order or both these happen. Minimum stock level is computed as:

Minimum Level =Re-order Level- (normal consumption × normal re-order period)

3) Maximum Level

Stock is normally not allowed to rise above this level. Stock touches this level immediately on receipt of the fresh lot only if minimum usage occurs and delivery is received in the minimum re- order period Maximum stock level is a control indicator and if the stock exceeds this level the consumption Patten and re-order should be reviewed. Stock exceeds maximum level will lead to blocking of capital and unnecessary increase in inventory holding cost, both leading to wastage of scare resources which could have been put to use for other effective purpose.

4) Maximum Level= Re-order level + re-order quantity- (minimum consumption × minimum order period.)

Average Stock Level

The level indicates the average stock held by the concern. It is calculated with the help of following formula:

Average Stock Level= (Maximum Level + Minimum Level)/2

A more commonly used method of measuring average stock level is the one involvement re-order quantity. The formula is:

Average Stock Level=Minimum Stock+ ½(Re-order Quantity)

5) Danger Stock Level

This represents the lower level, which the stock of particular materials is allowed to touch. Stock is normally not allowed to fall below this level, which is considered, as the dangerous level. Fall in stock level below the danger level will indicate a stock-out position. Danger level is computed as:

Danger Level=Maximum emergency re-order period × normal consumption

Or

Danger Level =Minimum re-order period × Average usage

2.1.11 ROLE OF INVENTORY IN OVERALL PLANNING OF THE ORGANIZATION

Profit planning and Control (PPC) is important approach developed for effective management system mainly in profit-oriented organization. Simply planning is the process of forecasting for future time period. It shows the direct for the organization where to go and how to go accomplish the certain objective made by the organization. Without making appropriate plan the organization can't reach it's destination. A profit plan or budget is comprehensive and coordination plan, express in financial term for the operation and resources of an enterprise for some specific period in future. Profit planning is the part of overall planning PPC includes comprehensive, coordination, financial term, resources plan, time etc.

For appropriate profit planning of organization it has to prepare different budget like sales budget, production budget, material purchase budget, materials usage budget, open to buy budget, labor budget, flexible budget, capital expenditure budget, cash budget, budget income statement, budget sheet, activity based budget, cost volume profit analysis, etc.

Inventory and production Budget

Production Management deals with inventory because first thing for production is the raw materials. A firm can't achieve its goal unless inventories are controlled efficiently and capital is allocated effectively. Therefore study on inventory is the necessary thing for the company. Therefore study on inventory management is a great important.

Simply production means the creation of utilities in goods and services. The organization has to produce different goods and services mainly for production and sales. Inventory budget is one of the important components of production budget. Future is uncertain so production has to be made inventory also. Inventory has direct relationship with production budget. Without making appropriate inventories policies, the organization can't prepare production budget.

Because,

Production Budget

Sales units for the period	****
(+) Closing inventory	****
Total requirement for the period	****
(-) Opening inventory	****
Production units for the period	****

Inventory and Purchase Budget

In order to maintain company, co-ordination between materials usage, inventory level; of raw material and raw materials /parts purchase; the organization has to plan and control material. For this the organization has to prepare materials usage or materials consumption budget and materials purchase budget. Thus inventory has also direct relationship with materials purchase budget. The organization can't purchase materials whenever it is needed. So organization has kept sufficient stock or inventory of materials for smooth operation of the organization.

Materials Usage =Production Budget × Standard Usage rate

Material Purchase Budget

Materials usage units for the period	****
(+) Closing inventory of materials	****
Total requirement for the period	****

(-) Opening inventory of materials ****

Materials Purchase units for the period ****

Similarly, for non-manufacturing organization, it has to prepare materials purchase budget and open to buy budget.

Where,

Purchase Budget = sales + stock at the end +reduction (discount, mark up, loss on storage, damage, demurrage, water, paste, mice, obsolesces, shoplifting, etc) –stock at the beginning.

Open to buy budget =Stock needed-Stock available

Where,

Stock needed= Budget sales for the period + budget reduction + stock at the end-(Actual sales to date + actual reduction to date)

Stock available =Stock at the beginning + stock received today + merchandise order for the period delivery – (Actual sales to date + actual reduction to date)

Co-Ordination between Sales, Production and Inventory

The manager must plan an optimum co-ordination between productions inventory and sales. An efficient coordination production plan is necessary for optimum production and sales. There may be high pressure from both sales and manufacturing for high inventory level. The production budget and inventory policies provide the basis for obtaining this co-ordination.

Production manager must translate the quantity in the sales budget into unit production requirement for the budget period for each product while considering the management of inventory policies. An efficient plan should represent the optimum coordination between sales budget, essential inventory levels and production levels.

2.2 Review of Articles

Simchi, D. and Chen, X. (2009), have written an article entitled, **"Risk aversion in Inventory Management"** published in journal of INFORMS. Researcher had

explained traditional inventory models focus on risk-neutral decision makers, i.e., characterizing replenishment strategies that maximize expected total profit, or equivalently, minimize expected total cost over a planning horizon. In this paper, we propose a framework for incorporating risk aversion in multi-period inventory models as well as multi-period models that coordinate inventory and pricing strategies. We show that the structure of the optimal policy for a decision maker with exponential utility functions is almost identical to the structure of the optimal risk-neutral inventory (and pricing) policies. These structural results are extended to models in which the decision maker has access to a (partially) complete financial market and can hedge its operational risk through trading financial securities. Computational results demonstrate that the optimal policy is relatively insensitive to small changes in the decision-maker's level of risk aversion.

Wang, T. and Beril, L. (2008), have written an article entitled **“ Inventory Management With Advance Demand Information And Flexible Delivery”** published in journal of Tokyo Management Science. Researcher had explained paper considers inventory models with advance demand information and flexible delivery. Customers place their orders in advance, and delivery is flexible in the sense that early shipment is allowed. Specifically, an order placed at time t by a customer with demand lead time T should be fulfilled by period t+T; failure to fulfill it within the time window [t, t+T] is penalized. We consider two situations: (1) Customer demand lead times are homogeneous and demand arriving in period t is a scalar d_{t} to be satisfied within T periods. We show that state-dependent (s, S) policies are optimal, where the state represents advance demands outside the supply lead-time horizon. We find that increasing the demand lead time is more beneficial than decreasing the supply lead time. (2) Customers are heterogeneous in their demand lead times. In this case, demands are vectors and may exhibit crossover, necessitating an allocation decision in addition to the ordering decision. We develop a lower-bound approximation based on an allocation assumption, and propose protection-level heuristics that yield upper bounds on the optimal cost. Numerical analysis quantifies the optimality gaps of the heuristics (2% on average for the best heuristic) and the benefit of delivery flexibility (14% on average using the best heuristic), and provides insights into when the heuristics perform the best and when flexibility is most beneficial.

Arslan, H. and Stephen, C. (2007) have written an article entitle**, "A Single Product Inventory Model for Multiple Demand Class",** published in journal of Informs. Researcher had explained we consider a single-product inventory system that serves multiple demand classes, which differ in their shortage costs or service-level requirements. We assume a critical-level control policy, and a backorder clearing mechanism in which we treat a backorder for a lower-priority class equivalent to a reserve-stock short-fall for the higher-priority class. We show the equivalence between this inventory system and a serial inventory system. Based on this equivalence, we develop a model for cost evaluation and optimization under the assumptions of Poisson demand, deterministic replenishment lead time, and a continuous-review (Q, R) policy with rationing. We propose a computationally efficient heuristic and develop a bound on its performance. We provide a numerical experiment to show the effectiveness of the heuristic and the value from a rationing policy. Finally, we describe how to extend the model to permit service times, and how to extend the model to a multi-echelon setting.

Sanford, E. and Martin, W.(2007), have written an article entitled " **Towards A Normative Model For Inventory Cost Management In Generalized ABC Classification System**", published in journal of Informs. Researcher had explained This paper establishes a general ABC inventory classification system as the foundation for a normative model of the maintenance cost structure and stock turnover characteristics of a large, multi-item inventory system with constant demand. For any specified number of inventory classes, the model allows expression of the overall system combined ordering and holding cost in terms of (i) the re-ordering frequencies for the items in each inventory class and (ii) the inventory class structure, that is, the proportion of the total system's items that are in each inventory class. The model yields a minimum total maintenance cost function, which reflects the effect of class structure on inventory maintenance costs and turnover. If the Pareto curve (a.k.a. Distribution-by-value function) for the inventory system can be expressed (or approximated) analytically, the model can also be used to determine an optimal class structure, as well as an appropriate number of inventory classes. A special case of the model produces a simply structured, class-based ordering policy for minimizing total inventory maintenance costs. Using real data, the cost characteristics of this policy are compared to those of a heuristic, commonly used by managers of multi-item

inventory systems. This cost comparison, expressed graphically, underscores the need for normative modeling approaches to the problem of inventory cost management in large, multi-item systems.

Brout ,D .B.(1981), has written an article entitled, " **Scientific Management Of Inventory On A Hand Held Calculator**", published in journal of Informs. Researched had explained Standard Brands is using programmable hand-held calculators in its warehouses to apply state-of-the-art mathematics to calculating safety stocks, re-order points, and order quantities of Planters Peanuts items. Each item controlled by the new system has its own card with data recorded magnetically like the data on the back of credit cards. About $10 million favorable cash flow has been generated up front, and a profit impact of $7.6 million during the first two years has been made possible by using the hand-held calculator. The impact will continue at $3.8 million per year, but this first two-year benefit would have been completely lost by the most common approach of working within a long-range MIS development program. An unusually favorable benefit-to-cost ratio reflects total equipment cost of about $9,000, and development and implementation effort measured in man weeks. Another major benefit of the work has been that the practice of Management Science became completely real and understandable to the management group; the programmed calculator has made appearances on airplanes, and over lunch tables. Top level management has been able to process some data personally, and use of the calculator in a simulation mode facilitates and encourages frequent "What if" investigations of alternative policies and practices.

Sun ,D. and Queyranne ,M.(1980), have written an article entitled " **Production And Inventory Model Using Net Present Value**", published in journal of Operational Research. Researcher had explained using the net present value is the standard methodology in theoretical analysis, and the most frequently used method for making financial decisions. However, net present value is rarely used in production and inventory decisions. The main reasons appear to be the complexity of the formulae and the robustness of the EOQ model. We investigate the general multi product, multistage production and inventory model using the net present value of its total cost as the objective function. A power-of-two heuristic gives us a near optimal solution to this problem. If the base period is fixed (or varied), the solution based on the best power-of-two heuristic will be within 6.2% (or 2.1%) of the optimal. This

result is surprisingly similar to models using the long-term average cost. The average cost does not reflect the time value of money. Does this mean that decisions based on average cost are significantly inferior to those based on net present value? The answer is quite surprising. If we include discounted production cost in the holding cost, it turns out that the decision based on average cost is only 9.6% (in terms of the net present value of the total cost) worse than the decision based on the net present value. However, the reorder interval based on the average cost could be much longer than that derived using net present value. This result shows that average cost is a good approximation to the net present value when the demands are deterministic.

2.3 Review of Unpublished Thesis

Above, we have emphasized on the review of text books only attempt is also made to review the related studies conducted by different agencies, expert, scholars related with inventory management of manufacturing enterprises in Nepal.

Some studies have been made in the subject of inventory management but few studies are review in this chapter.

Gaire (2010) has conducted a research work on the topic of "***Inventory Management: a case study of Royal Drug Ltd.***"The main objectives of this study are identifying the problems underlying in the inventory management and control system of RDL. Other objectives of his studies are;

- To assess the types of inventory maintained in RDL
- To examine the techniques being employed to manage the inventory in RDL.
- To suggest proper inventory model to RDL bases on analysis.
- To find out inventory position of RDL.
- The method of his studies are;
- Statistical tools; Correlation coefficient, T-test.
- Financial tools; Ratio analysis, graphical approach of EOQ.

- The major findings of his studies are;
- There is insignificant different in ordering and carrying cost.
- RM, WIP control through physical checking system and finished goods are control through ABC analysis
- EOQ was fluctuating trend in overall study period.

Baral (2010) has also made study regarding ***"Inventory Management; A case study of Gandaki Noodles Pvt. Ltd."***The Main objectives of his study were to highlight the Co.'s policies and objectives, functions and activities regarding inventory management. Finally he came to know that the factory is following neither economic order quantity model in its purchasing decision nor ABC analyze in inventory management.

Shrestha (2009) regarding ***Inventroy Management of Gorkhapatra Corporation*** has conducted a case study. His main objective is to find out the inventory position of the organization and to provide different suggestion regarding inventory management. He had conducted that Gkorkhpatra had not applied any sort of available inventory management techniques to manage the inventory in the Gorkhapatra Corporation; it is difficult but not impossible to apply the inventory management techniques because of lack of certain data.

Dhital (2009) has conducted the research work on the topic of "Inventory Management: ***A case study of Nepal Food Corporation".*** The main objectives of his study were to highlight the NEC's policies related variables like purchase, sales, sales food quota of NFC. The findings of Mr Dhital are under food grains purchasing the domestic purchase are more in fluctuated and greater than import. The relationship between edible cereal production and requirement is negative. The total food grains quota is fluctuated in year after year because of production fluctuation in Nepalese Kingdom.

Balika (2008) has studied about the ***Inventory Problems of Hetauda Cement Industry Limited*** to find the present inventory position and problems in managing inventory. After his studies he revealed that there is no proper system for material purchase in the industry. And the price and quantity of collected materials are

fluctuating from year. The company is not following EOQ model in purchasing decision. The investment in inventory stock of HCIL is in large amount. The value of inventory is increasing from year to year.

Rijal (2008) has conduced the research work on the topic of ***"Inventory Management: A case study of Agriculture Input Corporation".*** His main objectives are to find present inventory position of AIC, to find out inventory management techniques used by AIC and to provide optimum suggestion regarding inventory management of AIC.

Pradhan(2007) has conducted a study on ***Significant of Inventory Management of Nepalese Manufacturing Enterprises.*** He had studies the ratio of inventories to total assets computed for selected non-financial Nepalese enterprises. One of the important findings was to invest on average, about 22 percent of total assets in the form of inventories in 2007/08 by Nepalese enterprise indicates that larger amount of money has be invested in the form of inventory. Hence, the inventory management has greater significance.

Sidgel (2007) has conducted the research work regarding "***Inventory Management of Agriculture Input Corporation***"stated that AIC is not using Scientific model of inventory management. Although they don't calculate EOQ for the supply of chemical fertilizer, they order lots of 1000 to 2000 M.ton. There is no evidence of taking discount by AIC. Lead-time is not calculated properly. Re order is also not fixed. Regarding buffer stock, although AIC have capacity of sufficient warehouse throughout the country. It remains out of stock in season and overstock in out of season. AIC is not using ABC analysis also.

2.4 Research Gap

Although there are various studies related to inventory management regarding different organizations are available in different libraries, but review literature indicates that there are few studies devoted to inventory in Nepalese context. These few studies conducted earlier have no needed to carry out a study to excess the recent development in inventory management. This study covers data of seven years. Nobody of the earlier studies had focused on role of inventory in overall performance of the organization. Although inventory and different components which are closely

related to each other. Similarly, nobody had shown the relationship of inventory with sales, production and purchase, although they are closely related to each other. Moreover this study has not been done by previous researchers as separately. Further no one had tested the correlation of different parts of inventories using data of 2061 to 2068 B.S. Further the researchers had analyzed the correlation of coefficient and probable error. Thus, to fill the gap, this study has been conducted. This study will be milestone in the field of inventory management and control in Unilever limited. In spite of above multiple gaps among researchers view as well as there is time gap regarding the study of inventory management.

CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

Research methodology is the process of arriving at the solution of a problem through a planned and systematic dealing with the collection, analysis and interpretation of the facts and figures. The objectives of this study are to analyze the inventory management of Unilever Limited and its impact on profitability.

3.2 Research Design

"A research design is the arrangement of conditions for the collections and analysis of the data in a manner that aims to combine relevance to the research purpose with economy procedure." (Kothari, 1990) Research design is the plan, structure and strategy if investigation conceived. So as to obtain answers to research question and to control variances to achieve of the study, descriptive and analytical research design have been used.

This study is entitled, "Impact of Inventory Management Practices of Unilever Limited on Profitability". This study deals with Unilever Limited. Only material collection, consumption and inventory position of product groups are variables under the study. This study is based on primary as well as secondary data. Some simple statistical methods such as trend line and correlation analysis have been applied to examine the facts of data.

3.3 Population and Sample

There are eight listed manufacturing companies in Nepal stock exchange but out of them only one is selected for the study. ULL which is a multinational company and has been providing quality goods as well as creating employment opportunities to Nepalese economy above that it has also brought specified technology of providing goods with it. From this entire data and information taken only inventory management related for research purpose.

Population of this study covers all manufacturing organization. This is a project work type of study and its single sample is Uniliver Nepal limited. A brief introduction of this sample company has been presented as follows.

The study attempts to focus on Unilever Nepal Limited was formed as a subsidiary company of Hindustan Lever Ltd. of India. The factory is situated at Basamadi VDC-5 of Makwanpur District, 6 km far from Hetauda of central development region of Nepal. The corporate office of the company is situated at Heritage Plaza II, Kamaladi, Kathmandu. Unilever Limited was formed as a public limited company in 1993 and production started from December 1994. It was registered under Company Act 2053. As a growing manufacturing company, Unilever Limited has main objective of expanding the domestic business by introducing new brands and categories in the domestic market and import substitution of foreign goods too.

A notice was issued on dated 18th Feb.2005 (2061-11-07), in the Kathmandu post to inform all concerned about the change name of the company for Nepal lever to Unilever as per the approved decision taken by 11th general meeting held on 13 th Dec.2004 (2064-08-28). Under the special resolution the change name has been approved by the company register office HMG with effect form the 9th Feb 2005 (2061-10-27). Binding Unilever Limited to bear assumes all the tax and other payable liabilities towards all the moveable and immovable assist existing in the company's former name.

Despite difficult trading conditions, the company’s domestic business achieved market growth of 16% during the year 2002/03 with the market. Especially, in the rural areas affected by the frequent disturbance across the country. However, as indicated in the earlier year, there has been a substantial 37% reduced in exports. As indicted in the previous years reports, exports was on decline from 2000/2001. Consequent to the fiscal change introduced in the Indian budget and with the emergence of many new tax-exempt zone in India. Further withdrawal of the rebate on income tax on profits on exports business unviable. The domestic turnover has increased by 16% the export turnover issues lower by 37% for the year. Hence, the overall turnover is marginally higher by 2%.

The company received the “first FNCCI national excellence award” for its overall performance. Unilever Limited is taking a great corporate social responsibility. It has

contributed in various ways to the social sector. Unilever Limited is proud of its role in the income and employment generation opportunities in the country. ULL provides direct employment to over 120 Nepalese citizens while generating indirect employment for over 20 times that number through its networks of suppliers, distributers and ancillaries (11th annual report, 2060/61). It is already one of the largest corporate taxpayers of Nepal.

It is involving in various social projects. Unilever Limited employee Trusts mobile medical unit, which is extensively used in Makwanpur district for providing emergency medical services. A three months "Sewing machine training program" for 33 women's has been conducted at the Makwanpur district. Periodical health hygiene awareness program were conducted together with health check ups program for local people. Relief goods were distributed to more than 100 victims of Chitwan VDC of Makwanpur district, which have suffered from the landslides and floods during the year. This was funded by one-day salary of all the employees with matching contribution of the company. Pepsodent and Nepal dental association together celebrated week health broadcasting, health message in TV, radio and press, a number of free dental check ups clinics were conducted with support of Pepsodent. There was also painting competition among school children on health theme, which evoked an excellent response. The miles of healthy smiles programs, the ambitious project for contracting sector throughout Nepal to import oral health education, has covered more than 250000 children so far. ULL is initiating an awareness campaign on hand washing through many infomercials and school community in association with UNICEF.

3.4 Sources of Data

In this study both primary and secondary data has been used. Mainly, the followings sources have been correlated to accumulate the secondary information:

a) Previous studies and reports

b) Article

c) Published and unpublished official record of ULL.

d) Primary data are based on interviews as well as unstructured dialogues and discussions with staffs of the organization.

3.5 Data Gathering Procedure

The secondary data are directly obtained from various sources mentioned above fore the purpose of data analysis are taken from officials records, websites. The researcher had to visit the head office of Unilever Limited and get data from the records.

For primary information, with a view of collecting the additional information, informal interviews with the officials have been taken. All the gathered data have been used according to need and requirement of the study.

3.6 Presentation and Analysis of Techniques and Tools

To analyze the collected facts and figures, various accounting tools are used to effectiveness on inventory management and control wherever necessary. The techniques included are statistical tools, graphs, Karl Pearson coefficient and Correlation. And the inventory management techniques applied in this study is EOQ, different stock levels, inventory turnover ratio and ABC analysis.

3.7 Statistical Tools

Some important statistical tools are used to achieve the objective of this study. In this study, statistical tools such as coefficient of correlation analysis, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation have been used.

Coefficient of Correlation

This analysis identifies and interprets the relationship between two or more variables. In the case of highly correlated variables, the effect on one variable may have effect on other correlated variable. Under this topic, Karl Pearson's coefficient has been used to find out the relationship between the different variables. The formula for computing Pearson's correlation coefficient(r) using direct method is as follows

Where Y = Dependent Variable

X = Independent Variable

r = correlation coefficient

3.8 Financial Tools:

There are various types of financial tools that applied in order to evaluate and examine inventory management in the research process are given below:

a) ABC Analysis

b) EOQ Model

EOQ model can be determined by following methods:

i) Mathematical or formula method.

ii) Trial and error approach

iii) Graphic method

Mathematical method:

$EOQ=\sqrt{\frac{2Ao}{C}}$
A= Annual demand/requirement/Sales
O= Ordering cost per order
C= Carrying or Holding cost per unit per year
EOQ= Economic Order Quantity

Trial and error method:

A table arrangement of data relating to items of material may allow them determination of appropriate EOQ. In this approach following points are included.

No of order =Increase no of order decreases order size.
Ordering size=Annual requirement divided by no of orders.
Average inventory=Equal to half of order size
Ordering cost=Ordering cost ×No of order
Carrying cost=Average inventory ×Carrying cost per unit per year
Total cost=Ordering cost + Carrying Cost

c) Ratio Analysis:

Ratio is an expression of quantitative relationship between two figures or numbers .It is expressed when one figure is compared with another .it interprets the numerical relationship between figures of financial statement it is nessesary to find out the relation between sales inventory and net profit etc.

Relation between R/M and total inventory purchased**:** i) % of R/M on inventory = $\frac{\text{R/M}}{\text{Inventory}}$ ii)Average = $\frac{\text{sum of the figure of overal study period}}{\text{No. of period}}$
Relations between WIP and total inventory purchased % of WIP material inventory on total inventory = $\frac{\text{WIP materials}}{\text{Total inventory}}$. Average = $\frac{\text{sum of the figure of overal study period}}{\text{No. of period}}$
Relation between finished goods and total inventory purchased % of finished goods inventory of total inventory = $\frac{\text{finished goods inventory}}{\text{inventory}}$. Average = $\frac{\text{sum of the figure of overal study period}}{\text{No. of period}}$

d) Different Statistical tools

Correlation between sales (X) and Inventory (Y) $r\,\mathrm{X}\frac{n\quad xy\,\mathrm{Z}\quad x.\quad y}{\sqrt{n\quad x^2\,\mathrm{Z}(\quad x)^2}.\sqrt{n\quad y^2\,\mathrm{Z}(\quad y)^2}}$
Correlation between Inventory (X) and net profit (Y) $r\,\mathrm{X}\frac{n\quad xy\,\mathrm{Z}\quad x.\quad y}{\sqrt{n\quad x^2\,\mathrm{Z}(\quad x)^2}.\sqrt{n\quad y^2\,\mathrm{Z}(\quad y)^2}}$
Correlation between sales (X) and net profit (Y) $r\,\mathrm{X}\frac{n\quad xy\,\mathrm{Z}\quad x.\quad y}{\sqrt{n\quad x^2\,\mathrm{Z}(\quad x)^2}.\sqrt{n\quad y^2\,\mathrm{Z}(\quad y)^2}}$

CHAPTER - IV

PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA

The main objective of this study is to examine the relationship among sales, purchase & profit of investment and present practice of inventory management techniques in ULL. To active the said objective, collected data are analyzed in this chapter by applying management tools and techniques.

On the basis of official recorded data of ULL, the researcher has tried to explain the existing problem of inventory management and cost of system. The researcher had made analysis and diagnosis of the collected data to provide the suggestions and recommendation to the ULL.

4.1 Relation between R/M and total inventory purchased.

Table 4.1: Proportion of R/M on total inventory purchase.

(Rs. in million)

Fiscal year	Raw material	Inventory	% of R/M on total inventory
2061/62	124.53	229.76	54.20
2062/63	92.94	256.17	36.28
2063/64	161.30	321.62	50.15
064/65	194.69	410.12	47.47
065/66	108.33	245.75	44.08
066/67	193.82	443.18	43.73
067/68	152.81	429.75	35.55
Average	**146.92**	**333.76**	**44.45**

Source: annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

Note: i) % of R/M on inventory = $\frac{\text{R/M}}{\text{Inventory}}$

ii)Average = $\frac{\text{sum of the figure of overal study period}}{\text{No. of period}}$

From the above table 4.1, it is observed that, the raw material on total inventory during the study period is 54.20% in the FY 061/62, 36.28% in the FY 062/63, 50.15% in the FY 063/64, 47.47% in FY 064/65, 44.08% in the FY 065/66, 43.73% in the FY 066/67, in the FY 067/68, 35.55.

Similarly, among inventory in overall study period is Rs. 333.76 M, average inventory of raw material in overall study period is Rs. 146.92 M and average percentage of R/M in total inventory in overall study period is 44.49%.

Figure No. 4.1: The graphic presentation of level of R/M on total inventory



From the above analysis, it is observed that raw material consumption in the company is erotic. The fluctuation in stock of raw material during the study period is very high. Defective purchasing policy and poor planning of raw material may be responsible factor for such fluctuation.

4.2 Relations between WIP and total inventory purchased.

Table 4.2: Proportion of WIP on total inventory

(Rs. in million)

Fiscal year	WIP	Total inventory	% of WIP on total inventory
2061/62	3.49	229.76	1.52
2062/63	7.67	256.17	2.99
2063/64	2.84	321.62	0.88
2064/65	7.47	410.12	1.82
2065/66	3.93	245.75	1.60
2066/67	8.66	443.18	1.95
2067/68	30.18	429.75	7.02
Average	**9.18**	**333.76**	**2.54**

Source: Annual report (2061/062 to 2067/068)

Note,

$$\text{\% of WIP material inventory on total inventory} = \frac{\text{WIP materials}}{\text{Total inventory}}.$$

$$\text{Average} = \frac{\text{sum of the figure of overal study period}}{\text{No. of period}}$$

ULL has few using soap noodles to produce the fiscal product. The smaller portion of WIP on total inventory is used by the company.

From the above table 4.2, it is observed that the portion of WIP material on total inventory during the study period in 1.52% on the FY 061/62 2.99% in the FY 062/63, 0.88% in the FY 063/64, 1.82% in the FY064/65, 1.60% in the FY 065/66, 1.95% in the FY066/67, 7.02% in the FY 067/68.

Similarly, the average percentage of WIP material in total inventory in overall study period in 2.54%. Similarly, average inventory overall study period is Rs 333.76 (million), average inventory o WIP materials in overall study period is Rs 9.18 Million.

Figure No. 4.2: The graphic presentation of level of WIP materials on total inventory



From the above analysis, it is observed the WIP materials of the company is fluctuating during the study period. Such fluctuation in inventory position is not considered good from the point of view of inventory management/ fluctuation in demand and sales of company products, lock of appropriate inventory policy and ineffective demand forecast are the main reasons of such fluctuation.

4.3 Relation between finished goods and total inventory purchased.

Table 4.3: Proportion of finished goods on total inventory

(Rs. in million)

Fiscal year	Finished goods	Total inventory	% of finished goods in total inventory
2061/62	73.83	229.76	32.13
2062/63	116.35	256.17	45.42
2063/64	94.04	321.62	29.24
2064/65	111.39	410.12	27.16
2065/66	51.56	245.75	20.98
2066/67	129.86	443.18	29.30
2067/68	109.43	429.75	25.46
Average	**98.07**	**333.76**	**29.96**

Source: Annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

Note:

$$\text{\% of finished goods inventory of total inventory} = \frac{\text{finished goods inventory}}{\text{inventory}}.$$

$$\text{Average} = \frac{\text{sum of the figure of overal study period}}{\text{No. of period}}$$

ULL has been production different kinds of products and product groups namely, detergents, toilet soap, oral care, scourers, skin creams, laundry soaps, hair care etc.

From the above table 4.3, it is observed that the portion of finished goods a total inventory during the study period in 32.13% in the FY. 061/62, 45.42% in the

FY. 062/63, 29.24% in the FY. 063/64, 27.16% in the FY 064/65, 20.98% in the FY 065/66, 29.30% in the FY. 066/67, 25.46% in the FY 2067/68.

When as the average percentage of finished goods inventory in total inventory in overall study period is 29.96%. Similarly, average inventory is overall study period is Rs 333.76 Million, average inventory of finished goods in overall study period is Rs 98.07 Million.

Figure 4.3: The graphic presentation of level of finished goods in total inventory



Source: Annual report of ULL.

From the above analysis, it is absorbed that the production rate was fluctuating in overall the study period. In that period contribution raw material and packaging material was also in fluctuating trend. Fluctuation of demand and sales of the company are the main reason of such situations.

4.4 Relation between spare parts and total inventory purchased.

Table 4.4: Proportion of stoves and spare parts in total inventory.

(Rs. in million)

Fiscal year	Stores and spare parts	Total inventory	% of stores and spare parts
2061/62	4.52	229.76	1.97
2062/63	6.98	256.17	2.72
2063/64	7.26	321.62	2.26
2064/65	8.49	410.12	2.07
2065/66	8.83	245.75	3.59
2066/67	10.75	443.18	2.43
2067/68	12.82	429.75	2.98
Average	**8.52**	**333.76**	**2.57**

Source: Annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

Note:

i) % of stoves and spares parts on total inventory = $\frac{\text{stores and spares in Rs.}}{\text{total inventory in Rs.}}$.

ii) Average = $\frac{\text{sum of the figure of overal study period}}{\text{No. of period}}$

Stoves and spares parts are not directly entered production. And it facilitates the smooth production process. Stoves and spare parts are comparatively less and don't require significant investment.

From the above table 4.4, it is observed that the portion of stoves and spare parts on total inventory during the study period is 1.97% in the FY 061/62, 2.72% in the FY

062/63, 2.26% in the FY 063/64, 2.07% in the FY 064/65, 3.59% in the FY 065/66, 2.43% in the FY 066/67, 2.98% in the FY 2067/068.

When as, the average percentage of stoves and spare parts inventory in total inventory in overall study period is 2.57%. Similarly, average inventory in overall study period is RS 336.76 Million; average inventory of stores and spare parts in overall study is Rs 8.52 Million.

Figure 4.4: The graphic presentation of level of stores and spare parts total inventory



Source: Annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

From the above analysis, it is observed that, the quantity of stoves and spare parts used by the company is irregular during the study period. Since the company's production is totally dependent on stoves and spare parts, it obviously slightly increases over the study period.

4.5 Relations between sales and inventory

Table: 4.5: Relations between sales and inventory

(Rs. In Million)

Fiscal year	Sales	Inventory	Inventory turnover ratio (In times)	% deviation on average inventory turnover ratio
2061/62	1481.56	229.76	6.45	(7.46)
2062/63	1434.94	256.17	5.60	(19.65)
2063/64	1818.53	321.62	5.65	(18.94)
2064/65	2144.59	410.12	5.23	(24.96)
2065/66	2625.83	245.75	10.68	53.23
2066/67	3055.07	443.18	6.89	(1.15)
2067/68	3556.66	429.75	8.28	18.79
Average	**2302.45**	**333.76**	**6.97**	

Source: annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

Note:

i) Inventory turnover ratio = $\frac{\text{sales}}{\text{inventory}}$

ii) The figure in brockets are negative.

iii) % of deviation on average inventory turnover ratio =

$$\frac{\text{Inventory turnover in fiscal year - average inventory turnover ratio overall study period}}{\text{average inventory turnover ratio on in overall study period}}$$

From the above table 4.5, it is observed that in the FY 065/66 the inventory turnover ratio is highest i.e. 10.68 times. So, in this year low level is kept in the company due

to fast consumption and sales of raw materials and finished goods. In the FY 064/65, the inventory turnover ratio is the lowest, i.e. 5.23 times. Similarly, in five years, the highest negative deviation from the average of inventory turnover ratio is (24.96%) in the FY 064/65, which indicates the slow consumption of raw material or low utilization of raw material, WIP materials and low sales of finished goods.

Figure 4.5: The graphic presentation of inventory turnover ratio



Source: annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

The correlation between inventory and sales is 0.71 which is shown in Appendix.

Test of significance of correlation coefficient

Coefficient of determination (r^2) = r × r

= 0.71×0.71

= 0.50

Probable Error (P.E.) = $0.6745 \left| \frac{1 Z r^2}{\sqrt{n}} \right.$

= $0.6745 \left| \frac{1 Z 0.71^2}{\sqrt{7}} \right.$

$$= 0.13$$

$$6\times PE = 6\times 0.13$$

$$=0.76$$

Hence r<6×P.E., therefore the given conclusion is insignificant. The relation between sales and inventory is not significant.

4.6 Relations between inventory and net profit

Table no 4.6: Relations between inventory and net profit

(Rs. In Million)

Fiscal year	Inventory	% Deviation on average inventory	Net profit	% Deviation on average net profit
2061/62	229.76	(31.16)	189.19	(50.14)
2062/63	256.17	(23.25)	238.15	(37.23)
2063/64	321.62	(3.64)	263.06	(30.67)
2064/65	410.12	22.88	335.12	(11.68)
2065/66	245.75	(26.37)	444.04	17.03
2066/67	443.18	32.78	576.53	51.95
2067/68	429.75	28.76	609.89	60.74
Average	**333.76**		**379.43**	

Source: annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

Note:

i) % deviation on average net profit.

$$= \frac{\text{net profit in given fiscal year - average net profit in overall study period}}{\text{average net profit in overall study period}}$$

ii) % Deviation on average inventory

$$\frac{\text{inventory in given fiscal year - average inventory in overall study period}}{\text{average inventory in overall study period}}$$

The above table 4.6 shows the relation between inventory and net profit from the FY 061/62 to 067/68 from the above table it is observed that the average inventory during the study period is Rs 333.76 million and the average net profit during the study period is 379.43 million. Similarly the above table shows the percentage deviation of inventory and net profit over the study period. The highest positive deviation from the average inventory is 32.78% in the FY 066/67 and the highest positive deviation from the average net profit is 60.74% in the FY 067/68. Similarly, the highest negative deviating from the average inventory is (31.16%) in the FY 061/62 and the highest negative deviation from the average net profit is (50.14%) in the FY 061/62.

From the above analysis it is observed that inventory and net profit were fluctuating during the study period.

The correlation between inventory and net profit is 0.72 which is shown in Appendix.

Test of significance of correlation coefficient

Coefficient of determination (r^2) = r × r

= 0.72×0.72

= 0.52

Probable Error (P.E.) = $0.6745 \left| \frac{1 Z r^2}{\sqrt{n}} \right.$

= $0.6745 \left| \frac{1 Z 0.72^2}{\sqrt{7}} \right.$

= 0.12

6×PE = 6×0.12

=0.73

Hence r<6×P.E., therefore the given conclusion is insignificant. The relation between inventory and net profit is not significant.

4.7 Relations between sales and net profit.

Table 4.7: Relations between sales and net profit.

(Rs. In Million)

Fiscal year	Sales	% Deviation on average sales	Net profit	% Deviation on average net profit
2061/62	1481.56	(35.65)	189.19	(50.14)
2062/63	1434.94	(37.68)	238.15	(37.23)
2063/64	1818.53	(21.02)	263.06	(30.67)
2064/65	2144.59	(6.86)	335.12	(11.68)
2065/66	2625.83	14.05	444.04	17.03
2066/67	3055.07	32.69	576.53	51.95
2067/68	3556.66	54.47	609.89	60.74
Average	**2302.45**		**379.43**	

Source: annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

Note:

i) The figure is brackets are negative

ii) % deviation on average sales =

$$\frac{\text{sales in given fiscal year - average sales in overall study period}}{\text{average sales in overall study period}}$$

iii) % deviation on average net profit =

$$\frac{\text{net profit in given fiscal year - average net profit in overall study period}}{\text{average net profit in overall study period}}$$

The above table – 4.7 shows the relation between sales and net profit for the FY 061/62 to 067/68 From the above table, it is observed that the average sales and net

profit during the study period are Rs. 2302.45 million and Rs 379.43 million respectively. Similarly, the above table shows the % age deviation of sales and net profit over the study period. The highest positive deviation from the average sales 54.47% in the FY 067/68, and the highest positive deviation from an average net profit is 60.74% in the FY 067/68. Similarly, the highest negative deviation from an average sale is (37.68%) in the FY 062/63 and the highest negative deviating from an average net profit is (50.14%) in the FY 061/62.

From the above analysis, it is observed that in FY 061/62 sales was Rs 1481.56 million and in that year, the company accrued Rs. 189.19 million profit, and from FY 063/64 to FY 067/68 sales and net profit are in increasing trend. In the FY 067/68 sales amounted Rs. 3556.66 million and net profit increased is to Rs 609.89 million.

The correlation between sales and net profit is 0.99 which is shown in Appendix.

Test of significance of correlation coefficient

Coefficient of determination (r^2) = r × r

= 0.99×0.99

= 0.98

Probable Error (P.E.) = $0.6745 \left| \frac{1 Z r^2}{\sqrt{n}} \right.$

= $0.6745 \left| \frac{1 Z 0.99^2}{\sqrt{7}} \right.$

= 0.01

6×PE = 6×0.01

=0.03

Hence r>6×P.E., therefore the given conclusion is significant. The relation between sales and net profit is significant.

4.8 Inventory management and control techniques.

Economic order quantity (EOQ)

The optimal level of raw material has been determined by the application of "Economic Order Quantity" model. EOQ can be calculated by using 3 methods.

i) Formula method

ii) Tabular method (trial and error method)

iii) Graphical method

4.8.1 Calculation of EOQ of the FY 061/62

Annual requirement (A) = 19484 tons

Ordering cost per order (O) = Rs. 109090

Carrying cost per order (C) = Rs. 1170

By applying EOQ formula

$$EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2Ao}{C}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 19484 \times 109090}{1170}}$$

= 1906.13 tons

(i) EOQ = 1906.13 tons

(ii) No. of orders = $\frac{\text{Annual requirement}}{EOQ}$

$$= \frac{19484}{1906.13}$$

= 10.22 times.

From the above calculation, the EOQ is 1906.13 tons under the formula method which minimize the total ordering and carrying cost with no. of orders 10. times, which is also clear from the following tabular method.

Table 4.8.1: Trial and error approach of EOQ of 061/62

No. of order	Order size (tons)	Average Inventory (tons)	Total O.C. (Rs.)	Total C. C. (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
1	19484	9742	109090	11398140	11507230
5	3896.8	1948.4	545450	2279628	2825078
7	2783.42	1391.71	763630	1628300.7	2391930.7
10	1948.4	974.2	1090900	1139814	2230714
12	1623.67	811.83	1309080	949846.95	2258926.95

Source: Annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

The above table shows that the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing with the increasing no. of order. The above table shows the minimum total cost of R/M is 2230714 where the total carrying cost is Rs 11,39,814 and total carrying cost is Rs. 10,90,900 with the no. of order nearly 10 times per year. So it is clear that, if the company wants to minimize total cost of inventory of R/M it should order 10 times during the year.

So, it becomes clear from formula as well as tabular method that the company should order 1948.4 tons with 10 times during the year.

Graphic method

Under this method carrying and ordering cost are plotted in graphs and the point, where carrying cost and ordering cost is equal that quantity is taken as EOQ.

Graphic presentation of EOQ is as follows:

Figure 4.8.1



The above table 4.8.1 and figure shows the minimum carrying cost and ordering cost, which minimize the total cost. OX axis denotes the no. of orders and OY axis denotes the total cost of ordering and carrying. Ordering cost is going up and carrying cost is going downward. When ordering size is increasing, the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing.

So, it becomes clear from the formula, tabular method as well as graphical method, the company should order 1948.4 tons with 10 times during the year.

4.8.2 Calculation of EOQ of the FY 062/63

Annual requirement (A) = 20929 tons

Ordering cost per order (O) = Rs 107375

Carrying cost per ton (C) = Rs 1135

By applying formula,

$$EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2AO}{C}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 20929 \times 107375}{1135}}$$

= 1990 tons.

(i) EOQ = 1990 tons

(iii) No. of order = $\frac{\text{Annual requirement}}{EOQ}$

$= \frac{20929}{1990}$

= 10.51 times

From the above calculate, the EOQ is 1990 tons under the formula method which minimizes the total ordering and carrying cost with no. of orders 10 times which is also clear from the following tabular method.

Table 4.8.2: Trial and Error approach of EOQ of 062/63

No. of order	Order size (tons)	Average inventory (tons)	Total O.C. (Rs.)	Total C. C. (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
1	20929	10464.5	107375	11877207.5	11984582.5
3	6976.3	3488.15	322125	3959050.25	4281175.25
5	4185.8	2092.9	536875	2375441.5	2912316.5
10	2092.9	1046.45	1073750	1187720.75	2261470.75
13	1609.9	804.96	1395875	913629.6	2309504.6

Source: annual report of ULL.

The above table shows that the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing with the increasing no of order. The above table shows the minimum total cost of R/M is Rs. 2261470.75 where the total carrying cost is 1187720.75 and the total ordering cost is Rs. 1073750 with the no. of order nearly 11 times per year. So it clear that if the company wants to minimize total cost of inventory of R/M it should order 11 times during the year.

Graphic method

Under this method carrying and ordering cost are plotted in graphs and the point, where carrying cost and ordering cost is equal that quantity is taken as EOQ.

Graphic presentation of EOQ is as follows:

Figure 4.8.2



The above table 4.8.2 and figure shows the minimum carrying cost and ordering cost, which minimize the total cost. OX axis denotes the no. of orders and OY axis denotes the total cost of ordering and carrying. Ordering cost is going up and carrying cost is going downward. When ordering size is increasing, the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing.

So, it becomes clear from the formula, tabular method as well as graphical method, the company should order 2092.9 tons with 10 times during the year.

4.8.3 Calculation of EOQ of the FY 063/64

Annual requirement (A) = 23354 tons

Ordering cost per order (O) = Rs 117895

Carrying cost per ton (C) = Rs 1450

By applying formula,

$$\text{EOQ} = \sqrt{\frac{2AO}{C}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2 \mid 23354 \mid 117895}{1450}}$$

= 1948.76 tons.

(ii) EOQ = 1948.76 tons

(iv) No. of order = $\frac{\text{Annual requirement}}{EOQ}$

$$= \frac{23354}{1948.76}$$

= 11.98 times

From the above calculate, the EOQ is 1948.76 tons under the formula method which minimizes the total ordering and carrying cost with no. of orders 12 times which is also clear from the following tabular method.

Table 4.8.3: Trial and Error approach of EOQ of 063/64

No. of order	Order size (tons)	Average inventory (tons)	Total O. C. (Rs.)	Total C.C. (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
1	23354	11677	16931650	117895	17049545
6	3892.4	1946.2	2821990	707370	3521360
11	2123	1061.5	1539175	1296845	2836020
12	1946.2	973.1	1410995	1414740	2825735
13	1796.4	898.2	1302390	1532635	2835025

Source: annual report of ULL.

The above table shows that the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing with the increasing number of order. The table shows the minimum total cost of R/M is Rs. 2825735, where the total carrying cost is Rs 1410995 and total ordering cost is Rs. 1414740 with the no. of order is nearly 12 times per year. So, it is

clear that, if the company wants to minimize total inventory cost of R/M it should order 12 times during the year.

So, it becomes clear from formula as well as tabular method, that the company order 1946.2 tons with 12 times during the year.

Graphic method

Under this method carrying and ordering cost are plotted in graphs and the point, where carrying cost and ordering cost is equal that quantity is taken as EOQ.

Graphic presentation of EOQ is as follows:

Figure 4.8.3



The above table 4.8.3 and figure shows the minimum carrying cost and ordering cost, which minimize the total cost. OX axis denotes the no. of orders and OY axis denotes the total cost of ordering and carrying. Ordering cost is going up and carrying cost is going downward. When ordering size is increasing, the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing.

So, it becomes clear from the formula, tabular method as well as graphical method, the company should order 1946.2 tons with 12 times during the year.

4.8.4 Calculation of EOQ of the FY 064/65

Annual requirement (A) = 24126 tons

Ordering cost per order (O) = Rs. 121900

Carrying cost per tons (C) = Rs. 1510 per tons,

By applying formula,

$$EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2AO}{C}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2 \mid 24126 \mid 121900}{1510}}$$

= 1973.65tons

EOQ = 1973.65 tons

(v) No. of order = $\frac{\text{Annual requirement}}{EOQ}$

$$= \frac{24126}{1973.65}$$

= 12.22 times

From the above calculation, the EOQ is 1973.65 tons under the formula method, which minimize the total ordering and carrying cost with the no. of orders 12 times per year. Which is also clear the following tabular method.

Table 4.8.4: Trial and Error approach of EOQ of 064/065

No. of order	Order size (tons)	Average inventory (tons)	Total O. C. (Rs.)	Total C.C. (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
1	24126	12063	121900	18215130	18337030
10	2412.6	1206.3	1219000	1821513	3040513
12	2010.6	1005.3	1462800	1518003	2980803
13	1855.8	927.9	1584700	1401129	2985829
14	1723.3	861.6	1706600	1301016	3007616

Source: annual report of ULL.

The above table shows that the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing with the increasing number of order. The table shows the minimum total cost of R/M is Rs. 2980803 which the total carrying cost is Rs 1518003 and total ordering cost is Rs. 1462800 with the no. of order is nearly 12 times per year. So, it is clear that, if the company wants to minimize total inventory cost of R/M it should order only 12 times during the year.

So, it becomes clear from formula as well as tabular method, that the company order 2010.6 tons with 12 times during the year.

Graphic method

Under this method carrying and ordering cost are plotted in graphs and the point, where carrying cost and ordering cost is equal that quantity is taken as EOQ.

Graphic presentation of EOQ is as follows:

Figure 4.8.4



The above table 4.8.4 and figure shows the minimum carrying cost and ordering cost, which minimize the total cost. OX axis denotes the no. of orders and OY axis denotes the total cost of ordering and carrying. Ordering cost is going up and carrying cost is going downward. When ordering size is increasing, the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing.

So, it becomes clear from the formula, tabular method as well as graphical method, the company should order 2010.6 tons with 12 times during the year.

4.8.5 Calculation of EOQ of the FY 065/66

Annual requirement (A) = 27386 tons

Ordering cost per order (O) = Rs. 124250

Carrying cost per tons (C) = Rs. 1570 per tons,

By applying formula,

$$\text{EOQ} = \sqrt{\frac{2AO}{C}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 27386 \times 124250}{1570}}$$

= 2081.98 tons or 2082 tons

EOQ = 2082 tons

(vi) No. of order = $\frac{\text{Annual requirement}}{EOQ}$

$$= \frac{27386}{1570}$$

= 13.15 times or 13 times

From the above calculation, the EOQ is 2082 tons under the formula method, which minimize the total ordering and carrying cost with the no. of order 13 times per year. Which is also clear the following tabular method.

Table 4.8.5: Trial and Error approach of EOQ of 065/66

No. of order	Order size (tons)	Average inventory (tons)	Total O. C. (Rs.)	Total C.C. (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
1	27386	13693	124250	21498010	21622260
10	2738.6	1369.3	1242500	2149801	3392301
12	2282.2	1141.1	1491000	1791527	3282527
13	2106.6	1053.3	1615250	1653681	3268931
14	1956.2	978.1	1739500	1535617	3275117

Source: annual report of ULL.

The above table shows that the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing with the increasing number of order. The table shows the minimum total cost of R/M is Rs 3268931 which the total carrying cost is Rs 1653681 and total ordering cost is Rs. 1615250 with the no. of order is nearly 13 times per year. So, it is clear that, if the company wants to minimize total inventory cost of R/M it should order only 13 times during the year.

So, it becomes clear from formula as well as tabular method, that the company order 2106.6 tons with 13 times during the year.

Graphic method

Under this method carrying and ordering cost are plotted in graphs and the point, where carrying cost and ordering cost is equal that quantity is taken as EOQ.

Graphic presentation of EOQ is as follows:

Figure 4.8.5



The above table 4.8.5 and figure shows the minimum carrying cost and ordering cost, which minimize the total cost. OX axis denotes the no. of orders and OY axis denotes the total cost of ordering and carrying. Ordering cost is going up and carrying cost is going downward. When ordering size is increasing, the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing.

So, it becomes clear from the formula, tabular method as well as graphical method, the company should order 2106.6 tons with 13 times during the year.

4.8.6 Calculation of EOQ of the FY 066/67

Annual requirement (A) = 21863 tons

Ordering cost per order (O) = Rs. 126350

Carrying cost per tons (C) = Rs 1590. tons,

By applying formula,

$$\text{EOQ} = \sqrt{\frac{2AO}{C}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2 \mid 21863 \mid 126350}{1590}}$$

= 1864 tons

EOQ = 1864 tons

(vii) No. of order = $\frac{\text{Annual requirement}}{EOQ}$

$$= \frac{21863}{1864}$$

= 11.73 times or 12 times

From the above calculation, the EOQ is 1864 tons under the formula method, which minimize the total ordering and carrying cost with the no. of order 12 times per year. Which is also clear the following tabular method.

Table 4.8.6: Trial and Error approach of EOQ of 066/67

No. of order	Order size (tons)	Average inventory (tons)	Total O. C. (Rs.)	Total C.C. (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
1	21863	10931.5	126350	17381085	17487435
10	2186.3	1093.2	1263500	1738188	3001688
11	1987.6	993.8	1389850	1580142	2969992
12	1822	911.0	1516200	1448490	2964690
13	1681.8	840.9	1642550	1337031	2979581

Source: annual report of ULL.

The above table shows that the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing with the increasing number of order. The table shows the minimum total cost of R/M is Rs. 2964690 which the total carrying cost is Rs 1448490 and total ordering cost is Rs. 1516200 with the no. of order is 12 times per year. So, it is clear that, if the company wants to minimize total inventory cost of R/M it should order only 12 times during the year.

So, it becomes clear from formula as well as tabular method, that the company order 1822 tons with 12 times during the year.

Graphic method

Under this method carrying and ordering cost are plotted in graphs and the point, where carrying cost and ordering cost is equal that quantity is taken as EOQ.

Graphic presentation of EOQ is as follows:

Figure 4.8.6



The above table 4.8.6 and figure shows the minimum carrying cost and ordering cost, which minimize the total cost. OX axis denotes the no. of orders and OY axis denotes the total cost of ordering and carrying. Ordering cost is going up and carrying cost is going downward. When ordering size is increasing, the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing.

So, it becomes clear from the formula, tabular method as well as graphical method, the company should order 1822 tons with 12 times during the year.

4.8.7 Calculation of EOQ of the FY 067/68

Annual requirement (A) = 21090 tons

Ordering cost per order (O) = Rs. 108492

Carrying cost per tons (C) = Rs. 1127/tons,

$\therefore EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2AO}{C}}$

$= \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 21090 \times 108492}{1127}}$

= 2014 tons

(i) EOQ = 2014tons

(ii) No. of order = $\frac{\text{Annual Requirement}}{\text{EOQ}}$

$= \frac{21090}{2014}$

= 10.47 times ≈ 10 times

From the above calculation, the EOQ is 2014 tons under the formula method, which minimize the total ordering and carrying cost with the no. of order 10 times per year. Which is also clear the following tabular method.

(ii) Trial and Error approach of E.O.Q of 2067/068

"Table 4.8.7"

No. of order	Order size (tons)	Average inventory (tons)	Total O. C. (Rs.)	Total C.C. (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
1	21090	10545	108492	11884215	11992707
5	4218	2109	542460	2376843	2919303
9	2343	1171.5	976428	1320281	2296709
10	2109	1054.5	1084920	1188422	2273342
12	1758	879	1301904	990633	2292537

Source: annual report of ULL (2061/062 to 2067/068)

The above table shows that the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing with the increasing number of orders. The table shows the minimum total cost of R/M is Rs. 2273342 which the total carrying cost is RS 1188422 and total ordering cost is Rs. 1084920 with the no. of order is 10 times per year. So, it is clear that, if the company wants to minimize total inventory cost of R/M it should order only 10 times during the year.

So, it becomes clear from formula as well as tabular method, that the company order 2109 tons with 10 times during the year.

Graphic method

Under this method carrying and ordering cost are plotted in graphs and the point, where carrying cost and ordering cost is equal that quantity is taken as EOQ.

Graphic presentation of EOQ is as follows:

Figure 4.8.7



The above table 4.8.7 and figure shows the minimum carrying cost and ordering cost, which minimize the total cost. OX axis denotes the no. of orders and OY axis denotes the total cost of ordering and carrying. Ordering cost is going up and carrying cost is going downward. When ordering size is increasing, the carrying cost is decreasing and ordering cost is increasing.

So, it becomes clear from the formula, tabular method as well as graphical method, the company should order 2109 tons with 10 times during the year.

4.8.9 EOQ of inventory in total study period.

Table – 4.8.9

Fiscal year	No or order (Approx.)	EOQ in tons
2061//62	10times	1906
2062/63	11times	1990
2063/64	12times	1949
2064/65	12times	1974
2065/66	13times	2082
2066/67	12times	1864
2067/68	10times	2014

Source: annual report of ULL 2061/62 to 2067/68.

From the above table, it can be interpreted that, there is no similar size of EOQ during the study period.

In the FY 065/66, the EOQ of inventory is high i.e. 2082 tons, while in the FY 066/67 the EOQ of inventory is very low i.e. 1864 tons. There is high fluctuation in EOQ size during the study period due to various reasons. This type of fluctuation in ordering costs is due to fluctuation in demand.

4.9 Selective inventory control (ABC analysis)

Table 4.9: ABC technique of analyzing inventory

Fiscal year	061/62		062/63		063/64		064/65		065/66		066/67		067/68	
Production / Categories	% of total items	% of total cost	% of total items	% of total cost	% of total items	% of total cost	% of total items	% of total cost	% of total items	% of total cost	% of total items	% of total cost	% of total items	% of total cost
A' items Oral care, skin cream, hair care food & beverages	17.1	49.3	16.3	43.03	7.7	34.43	9.25	35.64	12.9	32.26	12.52	41.4	16.4	51
B' items Toilet shops	31.1	33.7	22.6	29.7	20.75	32.94	22.5	33.34	24.64	33.56	20.75	30.5	15.4	24.7
C' items detergents, soap, noodles, laundry soap	51.8	17	61.1	27.27	71.55	32.63	68.25	31.02	62.46	34.18	66.73	28.1	68.2	24.3
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Source: unpublished journal of ULL

As the term ABC implies always better control which states that a fewer items of high investment value should be paid more attention than a bulk of items having low value and having low investment in capital. Category A includes the most important items and recognized for special alternation. Category B includes lesser important items and category C consists of the least important and value items.

According to ABC analysis concept, the items of inventory of Unilever Ltd is categorized as A, B and C on the basis of product value and wage rate. The value items having more then Rs. One lakes per tons fall under category ‘A’. The items having value having from Rs. 50,000 to Rs. 100,000 per ton falls under category ‘B’ and the items having value to Rs. 50,000 per ton falls under category ‘C’.

According to ABC analysis concept, the items of inventory of ULL are categorized as A, B and C group on the basis of the usage value.

From the above table 4.9, it is observed oral care; skin creams, hair care, food and beverage are categorized under ‘A’. The table above shows that under a ‘A’ category, average percentage of total units is 16.3% and average percentage of total cost is 43.03% during the study period. Therefore, ‘A’ group involves largest investment and would be under tightest control by management. It should rather keep a more regards control and the most sophisticated control technique should be applied in ‘A’ items than an other items.

Toilet soap I categorized under ‘B’ item. The table 4.9 above shows that under ‘B’ items, average percentage of total units is 22.6% and average percentage of total cost is 29.7% of the overall study period. Therefore, in ‘B’ group involves normal inventory control in exercised. This ‘B’ group stands mid way. It deserves less attention than ‘A’ but more then ‘C’. It can be controlled by employing less sophisticated techniques.

Detergents, scourers, soap noodles, laundry soap are categorized under ‘C’ items. The table above shows that under ‘C’ items, average percentage of total units is 61.1% and average percentage of total cost is 27.2% of overall study period. In case of ‘C’ items, simple control will be sufficient.

4.10 MAJOR FINDINGS

Inventory Management planning and control are highly complicated task since in affects the profitability of manufacturing industries. It requires pre-planning and arrangement. The major findings out of the analysis of the inventory management of the inventory management of ULL are as follows :

i) In the company, there are different types of inventories, like RM, WIP, finished goods and stores and spare parts. For the question is asked to reveal the ranking if cost for solution of ABC analysis the company could give only the name of inventories but not specified the cost. The researcher found that RM, WIP and stores and spare parts are controlled through physical checking system and finished goods are controlled through ABC analysis.

ii) For the question asked to ULL about the cost of ordering and carrying. The researcher found that there is no systematic and scientific system to determine ordering and carrying cost.

iii) Purchasing is the first step of inventory management of manufacturing companies. As the question was asked about the purchasing system they said that procedures are followed by ULL. the researcher had found that the company has been following the centralize purchasing procedure and required RM and WIP materials are purchased from HLL of India.

iv) When all items of inventories are received by purchasing department it should be passed into the store. So these items are handled and managed carefully. As the question asked to ULL about store control technique used by the company with the option of bin cards and store ledger, the researcher found that the company is using the bin card technique to control the store.

v) As the question is asked to ULL about the valuation of inventories with various options, the researcher found that the pricing of issues can be determined by value as per weight average cost method.

vi) The fluctuation in stock of RM during the study period is very high. Defective purchasing policy and poor planning of raw materials are the main responsible factors for such fluctuation. There is no fixed policy of purchasing materials.

vii) Demand and sales of company (ULL's) is very fluctuation. The main reason of such fluctuation is lack of appropriate inventory policy and ineffective demand forecast.

viii) The correlation between sales and net profit is 0.99. Therefore, there is significant relationship between and net profit and it is concluded that the change in sales results change of net profit..

ix) The correlation between inventory and net profit is 0.72. So, it becomes clear that there is positive but low degree of correlation

between inventory and net profit. Correlation coefficient between inventory and net profit is not significant.

x) EQQ is not similar during the study period. This type of fluctuation is due to variation of ordering cost and fluctuation in demand but the Co. has not used EOQ model to manage and control of the inventory.

xi) ULL is using the bin card technique and ABC analysis to control and manage the store in order to minimize the cost of holding materials. The bin cards are maintained by store keeper

xii) Political crisis and especially Nepal Bandh, uncertainly about the future supply of materials, operation of factory strikes, fluctuation of materials prices and lockout organized by different pressure group directly affect the company and its inventory management while geographical barriers and transportation problems are other problems faced by Unilever Ltd.

xiii) The average percentage of RM in total inventory in the overall study period is 44.49%. The highest proportion and RM in total inventory is 54.2% in the FY 061/062, and the lowest proportion of RM in total inventory is 35.55% in FY 067/68.

xiv) The highest proportion of WIP in total inventory is 7.02% in the FY 067/68. The lowest proportion of WIP on total inventory is 0.88% in the FY 063/64.

xv) The highest proportion of finished goods in total inventory is 45.42% in the FY 062/63 and lowest proportion of finished goods in total inventory is 20.98% in FY 2065/66 as compared with the overall study period.

xvi) The highest proportion of spare parts in total inventory is 3.59% in the FY 065/66 and the lowest proportion is 1.97% in FY 2061/62.

xvii) The highest inventory turnover is 10.68 times in FY 2065/66. Similarly the lowest inventory turnover is 5.23 times in FY 2064/65.

xviii) The highest positive deviation of inventory for the overall study period is 32.78% in FY 2066/67. Similarly the highest negative deviation is (31.16%) in FY 2061/62.

xix) The highest positive deviation of net profit for the overall study period is 60.74% in FY 2067/68. Similarly the highest negative deviation is (50.14%) in FY 2061/62.

xx) In the FY 065/66 the EOQ of RM is very high i.e. 2082 tones, while in the FY 066/67 the EOQ of RM very low i.e. 1864 tones.

xxi) It is clearly seen under 'A' items and average percentage of total units is 16.3% and average percentage of total cost is 43.03%. Similarly, under 'B' items and average percentage of total unit is 22.6% and average percentage of total cost is 29.7%. Again under 'C' items, the average percentage of total unit is 61.1% and the average percentage of total cost is 27.2%.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 SUMMARY

Inventory management is one of the most important functions in any organization. Without effective and efficient inventory management no organization can achieve its goal. Success of any enterprises basically depends on the efficiency and effectiveness of systematic management. Inventory management is the most important part for manufacturing Co. The Co. invests the most of amount for inventory, where the functions are associated as purchasing, storing selling, distribution etc.

Inventory constitutes most significant part of current assets. It should therefore be managed efficiently to avoid unnecessary investment. Unilever Limited is a subsidiary company of Hindustan Lever Limited. ULL produces different types of products and products group. So this study deals with inventory management of Nepal Lever Limited.

The basic problem area of this study is to examine the inventory management system practiced by the company is unscientific. The carrying cost, ordering cost, order size safety stock maintained is unsatisfactory and unscientific. It is not paying much attention to the lead –time. Therefore all these functions lead to increase total cost of the company.

The main objective of this study is identifying the inventory management position of Unilever limited. In this study an attempt has been made to identify the inventory position of ULL, to know the relationship between sales and inventories their trends, to asses the inventories and their consequences on profitability of ULL and suggest over the better practice of inventory management of ULL. This study is one of the new studies which only try to know the inventory management of ULL

The required information is secondary as well as primary. The researcher had submitted question to ULL to fine out actual result and the researcher controlled the secondary data from annual report of ULL.

All the collected data are analyzed on the basis of inventory management with the help of ABC analysis, EQQ model, Inventory turnover ratio, correlation coefficient,

average percentage of the total study period by presenting with table and figure in require place. The analysis has been done year wise as well as the average of total study period is analyzed. To make certain type of inventory management decision many statistical tools and financial tools and technique are available for controlling in the inventory but the company has not applied some sort of technique for managing the inventory.

5.2 CONCLUSION

The inventory management of ULL is not only necessary but also compulsory for better performance of the organization. If ULL initiates steps to appropriate management of inventory, certainly it will copy its set objective successfully. It may be appropriate to make some suggestions and recommendations. Although this suggestion may not be enough they certainly suggest the areas that can be improve and required attenuation to bring some improvement in inventory of ULL. From the analysis of primary and secondary data we can reach in following conclusion.

ULL is the subsidiary company of Hindustan Lever Ltd. Required raw materials and work in progress is imported from HLL of India. It has used the bin card techniques to control the store in order to minimize the cost of holding materials. The bin cards are maintained by store keeper. The pricing of the issues can be determined by value as weighted average cost method at the lower cost or market price. In ULL, inventory includes raw materials, packaging materials, work in progress materials, finished goods and store and spare parts. It is observed that raw material consumption in the company is elastics. The fluctuation in stock of RM during the study period is high. Defective purchasing policy and poor planning of RM may be responsible factors for such fluctuation. The WIP materials consumptions in the company are fluctuating during the study period. Fluctuation in demand and sales of company products, lack of appropriate inventory policy and ineffective demand forecast are the main reason for such fluctuation. During this study period, the quantity of stores and spare parts used by company is lower. Since, the company's production is totally dependent stores and spare parts; it obliviously fluctuates. It becomes clear that there is positive and high degree of correlation between sales and net profit. The fluctuating inventory and net profit indicates that there is no specific policy of investment on inventory. However, the level of inventory has been maintained according to the demand of

products. It becomes clear that there is positive and high degree of correlation between inventory and net profit. Inventory turnover ratio shows the relation between sales and inventory and it also shows the efficiency of inventory management. EOQ is not similar during the study period. This type of fluctuations is due to variation of ordering cost and fluctuation in demand. There is low fluctuation in EOQ size during the study period due to various reasons. The significance of the ABC analysis reflects the concept of appropriate management of inventory. The concept states that it is uneconomical to spend the sale cost of supervision to all items. It requires neither careful nor simple but a moderate controlled system is adequate for this item.

The company has faced some problems on managing proper inventories in using full system because there is uncertainty about the future supply of materials, operation of factory, Nepal Bandh, lockouts, strikes, geographical problems, fluctuation of prices etc.

5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

To achieve all the objective of ULL, the efficient management is essential. The management of inventory in ULL is not only necessary but compulsory for the better performance of the company. If ULL initiates steps to appropriate management of inventory, certainly it will attend its set objectives successfully. On the basis of the study, the following suggestions may be recommendations for consideration.

i) Purchasing plan should be prepare for different type of RM and WIP materials with the proper cooperation and coordination among the planning, purchasing, storing, production, marketing and sales department to avoid excessive investment on inventory.

ii) The company should adjust the inventory according to the sales and productions and its priority basis. Holding large amount of inventory requires high operating cost. There should be good store keeping system, better material handling system and timely inspection. Moreover systematic inventory control system should be applied to know inventory position in the company.

iii) ULL has not been able to satisfy the level of costumers demand. Company should try to use optimal capacity which has been idle now to maintain the

level of customer demand. By this way the company's profitability will be increased.

iv) It is found that the company has not used EOQ model for optimal level of inventory management system. It is recommended that the order size of the inventory which minimized the total cost of inventory, i.e. ordering and carrying cost should be applied. So the company should adopt inventory management techniques.

v) In order to minimize inventory cost, 'A' item should be controlled carefully and should be paid more attenuation then 'B' and 'C' items. 'B' lies between 'A' and 'C' items. It requires neither careful nor simple but a moderate control system is adequate for this item.

vi) Planning of inventory is most welcomed in the world today. So products of different types of personal products, oral care, different groups of soaps, detergent etc. should be produced on planned basis and attention should be given to implementing better marketing strategies to take a strategic advantage of competitive world.

vii) To avoid the problems of overstocking, UNL should consider on following points,

a) Target sales should be realistic

b) Target should be within the capacity of being fulfilled.

c) Demand should be forecasted with appropriate technique.

viii) The company should follow the systematic cost, cost classification system, and determine the carrying cost and ordering cost. The optimum relationship carrying cost and ordering cost the company to reduce the cost.

ix) Inventory should not treat as a reason for investment rather it should be planned coordinating factor between sales and production.

x) Primary problem faced by ULL in production planning are unsuitable inventory and production policy, lack of coordination between sales and production. So the company should clarify production and inventory policy.

BIBLOGRAPHY

BOOKS:

Agrawal, G. R. (2000), Marketing *in Nepal.* Kathmandu, Nepal: Asmita Books an Publisher.

Dewedi, S.K. (2000), *Management Accounting.*India:Tata McGraw Hill.

Jain, & Narang (1994), *Cost Accounting.* New Delhi:Kalyani Publisher.

Khan M.Y & Jain P.K. (2003), *Management Accounting.*India:Tata McGraw Hill

Kothari, C.R. (1990), *Research Methodology Method and Techniques.*New Delhi: Vishwa Prakashan:

Panta, G.D. & Chaudhary, A.K. (1999*), Business Statistics and Mathmatics*. Kathmandu, Nepal: Bhandupuran parkashan.

Panta, P.R. (2003), *Business Environment in Nepal.* Kathmandu, Nepal Buddha Academic Publishers and Distributors Pvt. Ltd.

Pradhan R. S. (1994), *Public Corporation of Nepal A study on financial ratio.* National Book Organization Publisher and Distributors.

Sharma, R.K. & Gupta, S.K. (1984), *Management Accounting Principle and Practice.* New Delhi Kalyani Publisher .

Thygerson, K. J. (1998), *Financial instructions and capital market*. New York: Harper Collings College Publisher.

Weston J. F. & Thomos E. Copland (1992), *"Managerial Finance"* The Dryen Press: Newyork

Wollf, K. Howard. & Panta, P.R. (1999), *Social Science ResearchWriting.*

Kathmandu,Nepal*:* Buddha Academic Enterprises

Pvt. Ltd. 2nd Edition

Dissertations

Balika R.K. (2006) ***"Inventory Problem of Hetuda Cement Industry Ltd. "***. *An unpublished MBS Thesis.* Kathmandu: Patan Multipal Campus, T.U.

Baral P.R. (1994) ***"Inventory Management: A case study of Gandaki Noodles Pvt. Ltd"*** *An unpublished MBS Thesis.* Kathmandu: Nepal Commerce Campus. T.U.

Dhital L.B. (2005) ***"A case study of Nepal Food Corporation"*** . *An unpublished MBS*

*Thesis.*Kathmandu: Central Department of Management. T.U.

Gaire, A. K. (2000)***"Inventory Management: A case study of Royal Drug Ltd."****An unpublished MBS Thesis.* Kathmandu: Nepal Commerce Campus. T.U.

Pradhan, B.(2008) ***"Significant of inventory Management of Nepalese Manufacturing Enterprises"****An unpublished MBS Thesis.* Kathmandu: Central Department of Management.T.U.

Rijal, S. (2007) "***Inventory Management: A case study of Agriculture Input Corporation"*** *An unpublished MBS Thesis.*Kathmandu: Nepal Commerce Campus.T.U.

Sigdel, S. (2009) ***"Inventory Management of Agriculture input Corporation"****An unpublished MBS Thesis.* Kathmandu: PatanMultipal Campus, T.U.

Shrestha, S. (2003)***"Inventory Management of Gorkhapatra Corporation"****An unpublished MBS Thesis.* Kathmandu: Central Department of Management. T.U.

Journals

Arslan, H. & Atephen, C. (Sep, 2007). “A Single Product Inventory Model For Multiple

Demand Classes”.*The Journal of Inform.*Vol.53, no.9 pp 1486-1500.

Brout, D.B. (Dec 1981). “Scientific Management of Inventory on a Hand Held calculator”.

*The Journal of special practice issue.*Vol.11, no.6, pp 57-69.

Chen, X. & Sim.M. (September,2009). “Risk aversion in inventory management” *The Journel of information*. Vol. 55, No.5,pp.828-842.

Daning, S. & Queyranna, M. (Jun, 2002). “ Production and Inventory Model Using Net

Present Value”.*The Journal of Inform.*Vol.50, no. 3 pp 528-537.

Stanford, E. & Martin, W. (July, 2007). “Towards a Normative model For Inventory

Cost Management in a Generalized ABC Classification System”. *The Journal of*

*Inform.*Vol.58, No.7, pp 922-928

Wang,T. & Beril,L. (Apr,2008). “Inventory Management With Advance Demand Information And Flexible Delivery “. *Toktay Management Science.* Vol.54,No.4, pp.716-732.

ANNEX

Balance Sheet and P/L Account

Unilever Nepal Limited

Rs In Million

(000000)

	2061/062	2062/063	2063/064	2064/065	2065/066	2066/067	2067/068
Fixed Assets	**136.25**	**142.38**	**148.93**	**140.22**	**144.15**	**160.85**	**157.08**
Current assets	**751**	**764.2**	**639.97**	**744.30**	**790.63**	**758.97**	**745.83**
Inventory	**229.76**	**256.17**	**321.62**	**410.12**	**245.75**	**443.18**	**429.75**
Net Worth	**390.55**	**450.22**	**234.79**	**280.48**	**687.87**	**830.37**	**924.66**
Current liability	**640.23**	**680.62**	**767.77**	**797.49**	**507.23**	**552.12**	**579.86**
Total Assets	**887.25**	**906.58**	**788.9**	**884.52**	**934.78**	**919.82**	**902.91**
Sales	**1481.56**	**1434.94**	**1818.53**	**2144.59**	**2625.83**	**3055.07**	**3556.66**
Total Cost	**1292.37**	**1196.79**	**1555.47**	**1809.47**	**2181.79**	**2478.54**	**2946.77**
Net Profit	**189.19**	**238.15**	**263.06**	**335.12**	**444.04**	**576.53**	**609.89**

Correlation between Sales and Inventory

Rs in Million

Fiscal Year	Sales in Rs(x)	Inventory in Rs(Y)	X^2	Y^2	X.Y
2061/062	**1481.56**	**229.76**	**2195020.03**	**52789.6576**	**340403.23**
2062/063	**1434.94**	**256.17**	**2059052.80**	**65623.0689**	**367588.58**
2063/064	**1818.53**	**321.62**	**3307051.36**	**103439.4244**	**584875.62**

2064/065	**2144.59**	**410.12**	**4599266.27**	**168198.4144**	**879539.25**
2065/066	**2625.83**	**245.75**	**6894983.19**	**60393.0625**	**645297.72**
2066/067	**3055.07**	**443.18**	**9333452.71**	**196408.5124**	**1353945.92**
2067/68	**3556.66**	**429.75**	**12649830.36**	**184685.06**	**1528474.64**
Total	**∑x=16117.18**	**∑ Y=2336.35**	**$\sum X^2$=41038656.72**	**$\sum Y^2$=831537.2**	**∑ X.Y=5700124.96**

Source; Annual report of UNL

Correlation between sales (X) and Inventory(Y)

$$r\,X\frac{n\quad xy\,Z\quad x.\quad y}{\sqrt{n\quad x^2\,Z(\quad x)^2}.\sqrt{n\quad y^2\,Z(\quad y)^2}}$$

$$r\,X\frac{7\,|\,5700124.96\;Z16117.18\,|\,2336.35}{\sqrt{7\,|\,41038656.72\,Z(16117.18)^2}\;.\;\sqrt{7\,|\,831537.2\,Z(2336.35)^2}}$$

r = 0.71

Coeff. Of Determination (r^2) = r | r

= 0.71×0.71

= 0.50

Probable Error (P.E) = $0.6745\,|\,\frac{1\,Z\,r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$X0.6745\,|\,\frac{1\,Z\,0.71^2}{7}$$

= 0.13

6 × P.E = 6 × 0.13

=0.76

r<6 PE Therefore the given conclusion is insignificant.

Correlation between Inventory and Net Profit

Rs In Million

Fiscal Year	**Inventory(X)**	**Net profit (Y)**	**X^2**	**Y^2**	**X.Y**
2061/062	**229.76**	**189.19**	**52789.6576**	**35792.8561**	**43468.2944**
2062/063	**256.17**	**238.15**	**65623.0689**	**56715.4225**	**61006.8855**
2063/064	**321.62**	**263.06**	**103439.4244**	**69200.5636**	**84605.3572**
2064/065	**410.12**	**335.12**	**168198.4144**	**112305.4144**	**137439.4144**

2065/066	**245.75**	**444.04**	**60393.0625**	**197171.5216**	**109122.83**
2066/067	**443.18**	**576.53**	**196408.5124**	**332386.8409**	**255506.5456**
2067/068	**429.75**	**609.89**	**184685.06**	**371965.81**	**262100.23**
Total	**∑ X=2336.35**	**∑Y=2655.98**	**∑ X^2=831537.2**	**∑ Y^2=1175538.4**	**∑XY=953249.56**

Source; Annual report of UNL

Correlation between Inventory(X) and net profit (Y)

$$r\,X\frac{n\quad xy\,Z\quad x.\quad y}{\sqrt{n\quad x^2\,Z(\quad x)^2}.\sqrt{n\quad y^2\,Z(\quad y)^2}}$$

$$r\,X\frac{7\,|953249.56\;Z2336.35\,|2655.98}{\sqrt{7\,|831537.2\,Z(2336.35)^2}\;.\;\sqrt{7\,|1175538.43\,Z(2655.98)^2}}$$

r = 0.72

Coeff. Of Determination (r) = r × r

= 0.72×0.72

= 0.52

Probable Error (P.E) = $0.6745\,|\frac{1\,Z\,r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$

= $0.6745\,|\frac{1\,Z\,0.72^2}{\sqrt{7}}$

= 0.12

6 × P.E = 6 × 0.12

= 0.73

r<6PE Therefore the given conclusion is insignificant.

Correlation between sales and net profit

Rs In Million

Fiscal Year	**Sales (X)**	**Net profit (Y)**	**X^2**	**Y^2**	**X.Y**
2061/062	**1481.56**	**189.19**	**2195020.03**	**35792.8561**	**280296.34**
2062/063	**1434.94**	**238.15**	**2059052.80**	**56715.4225**	**341730.96**
2063/064	**1818.53**	**263.06**	**3307051.36**	**69200.5636**	**478382.5**
2064/065	**2144.59**	**335.12**	**4599266.27**	**112305.4144**	**718695**
2065/066	**2625.83**	**444.04**	**6894983.19**	**197171.5216**	**1165973.55**

2066/067	**3055.07**	**576.53**	**9333452.7**	**332386.8409**	**1761339.51**
2067/068	**3556.66**	**609.89**	**12649830.36**	**371965.81**	**2169171.37**
Total	**∑x=16117.18**	**2655.98**	**$\sum X^2$=41038656.72**	**$\sum Y^2$=1175538.43**	**∑X.Y=691558**

Source; Annual report of UNL

Correlation between sales and net profit

$$r = \frac{n\sum xy - \sum x.\sum y}{\sqrt{n\sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2}.\sqrt{n\sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2}}$$

$$r = \frac{7 \times 6915589.23 - 16117.18 \times 2655.98}{\sqrt{7 \times 41038656.72 - (16117.18)^2}\ .\ \sqrt{7 \times 1175538.43 - (2655.98)^2}}$$

r=0.99

Coeff. Of Determination (r^2) = r × r

= 0.99×0.99

= 0.98

Probable Error (P.E) $= 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$

$= 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - 0.99^2}{\sqrt{7}}$

= 0.01

6 × P.E = 6 × 0.01

= 0.03

r >6PE Therefore the given conclusion is significant.