

Tribhuvan University

Representation of the Minority Voice in Aahuti's *Dalan*

A Thesis Submitted to the Central Department of English, T.U  
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Arts in English

By

Utsav Mani Bhandari

Roll No. 281211

T.U. Registration No.6-2-271-42-2006

Central Department of English

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

August 2015

**Tribhuvan University**  
**Central Department of English**  
**University Campus, Kirtipur, Kathmandu**

**Letter of Recommendation**

Mr. Utsav Mani Bhandari has completed this thesis entitled "Representation of the Minority Voice in Aahuti's *Dalan*" under my supervision. He carried out his research from May, 2015 to August, 2015 and completed it successfully. I hereby recommend her thesis be submitted for the final viva voce.

---

Dr.Shiva Rijal

(Supervisor)

Date: .....

**Tribhuvan University**  
**Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences**  
**Central Department of English**

**Letter of Approval**

This thesis entitled "Representation of the Minority Voice in Aahuti's *Dalan*" submitted to the Central Department of English by Utsav Mani Bhandari has been approved by the undersigned members of the Research Committee.

Members of the Research Committee

---

---

Internal Examiner

---

---

External Examiner

---

---

Head

Central Department of English

Date: .....

## **Acknowledgement**

It gives me an immense pleasure to express my profound gratitude and heartfelt appreciation to my respected supervisor Dr. Shiva Rijal, central Department of English, Tribhuvan University for his expert guidance and supervision during the entire period of my research work.

Similarly, I am profoundly grateful to Prof. Dr. Amma Raj Joshi, the Head of Central Department of English, T.U. Kirtipur for genuinely inspiring and granting me an opportunity to carryout this research work. I am also whole heartedly indebted to my respected teachers Professor Abhi Subedi, Dr. Arun Gupto, Dr. Birendra Pandey, Mr. Badri Acharya, Mr. Saroj Ghimire, Mr. Shankar Subedi and Mr. Bal Bahadur Thapa for providing valuable suggestions and for their great help during the writing. I also thank to all the staffs at the department who supported me in the process of thesis completion.

I am whole heartedly grateful to my parents who are the pathfinder of my higher study and great inspirer as well. I want to express my humble gratitude to my friend Mr. Dipak for his constant inspiration and encouragement to complete this research work.

Finally, I express my deepest gratitude to my all those relatives and friends for their inspiration and support. I am equally grateful to all the people who directly and indirectly helped me in completing this work.

Utsav Mani Bhandari

August, 2015

## Abstract

This research is about *Dalit* in Aahuti's *Dalan*. The televised serial portrays minority voices along with the national changing scenario. *Dalan* represents the minority condition since 1951 to 1996, that is from the end of Rana Regime to the time when Maoists in Nepal lifted up the arms to ensure the marginal voice. The tele-serial written by Biswabhakta Dulal aka Aahuti was produced by Jagaran Media Center in Kathmandu. Through the visual, *Dalan* not only projects the discriminatory position of Nepalese society but also provides future perspectives on how marginal voice should be addressed. As country shifts in its political paradigm with growing voice for minority; the serial *Dalan* also demands access to all Dalits in political spectrum that opens the door to representation in state mechanism. The tele-serial broadcasted by Nepal Television, the state owned television only after the Maoist who previously claimed to be pro-marginal emerged as state power. It was popularly watched by Dalits and non-Dalits. The research brings Foucault's notion of power relationship through discourse. According to Foucault, discourse creates truth and the truth leads to power and the power ensures the representation. Similarly, Gramsci talks about hegemony. Here, Gramsci's theory is relevant because the Dalits were under hegemonic condition as they were supposed to feel inferior and not able to foreground their voices on themselves during the time in Nepal. Hence, the research on Aahuti's *Dalan* accounts the marginal and suppressed voice of Nepal, the Dalits in particular. This has not only helped marginal representation but also provided legal security through representation in state mechanism.

## Contents

Acknowledgements

Abstract

I. Aahuti's Dalan and History of Dalits as Minority 1-11

II. Representation of the Minority Voice in Aahuti's *Dalan* 12-46

III. Dalan: An Effort to Redraw the Boundary of Dalit Representation 47-48

Works Cited

## **I. Aahuti's *Dalan* and History of Dalits as Minority**

The terminology 'minority voice' has two words. The "minority" refers to the marginalized group and community of people whereas "Voice" refers to desires and needs of the suppressed people. When both the words combined, it means the 'othered' people who are deprived of the opportunities and access in each and every aspects of the society.

The marginal writers intend to identify minority voice as an autonomous domain. They want to write their own historiography that can confirm their representation. The elite historiography is regarded as official history by sidelining the repressed's or peoples history. The elite only valorized their own cultures, codes, religion and castes at the expense of culture, religion, values and castes of the marginalized. In this regard, the issues of the marginalized have always been neglected; they are not incorporated in any crucial activities. Hence the voices of the peoples remain silenced and unheard. Those voices were not included in the mainstream historiography.

Moreover, the word 'minority voice' in Nepali and Indian context is somewhat different and it also denotes Dalit as well. Here in Nepal, the word Dalit refers to those people who are inferior in terms of caste and who do not have fully right to do something of their own desire. From ancient period to the present, Dalits remained as a puppet directing by upper-castes people or upper class people. When a baby born in such a family, s/he is totally free to do anything, even in early age s/he never thinks what is wrong or right but as the child grows older the realization of being untouchable hindered inside and his/her life always been deprived from various rights.

On the basis of Hindu philosophy, Sudras are treated as lower caste. The word Dalit itself comes from the Sanskrit root 'dal' which means to shatter, to break into

pieces and to step on. The term is a product of nineteenth century Indian reform movement. According to Y. B Kisan, “the literal meaning of the word Dalit is shattered; over burdened, suppressed, squeezed, stepped upon, kneaded, ground down, shamed by being required to bow to someone else’s feet, or silenced through suppression (2006). In the past, the word ‘Dalit’ was used to refer to all of those groups who were oppressed, but in the contemporary Nepali parlance, it is used to refer only to those officially categorized within the untouchable castes.

The term Dalit for the first time was used in India in 1960s in relation with Dr. Ambedkar’s thought and with reference to Symon commission’s report (1927) meaning as the group of further stratified sudras were considered as untouchable (NHRC, 05). The term “Dalit” is a joint designation referring to a number of different caste groups. According to the social scientist, Bidhyanath Koirala, “Dalit refers to a group of people who are religiously, culturally, socially, politically and economically oppressed” (5). Dalit is not a caste group per se but a politically coined word used to refer to socially backward community in Nepal.

In the modern time if we watch the concept of Dalit, it has changed to some extent but still such issues are prevailing in the context of Nepal in the present time. Still many people are tortured in the name of Dalit. Still such conservative thinking is prevalent in the society. Today by the help of development and education people have changed their concept towards Dalits.

Many thinkers advocated for the voiceless groups of people from the ancient era to the present time. We can see the references of such figures more dominantly from Marx to the postmodern thinkers. In later days, these thinkers are more vocal for the marginal, even in third world developing countries. In Nepalese context too, we can find such thinkers and energy for advocating for the justice of the subalterns. One

of the Nepali thinker is Aahuti, and his *Dalan* represents the voiceless Nepalese subaltern voices at the core of his discourse or text.

The series *Dalan* depicts the societal dominance faced by the untouchables and lower castes during authoritarian government of past years in Nepal. The film follows three generations of Dalit family through the revolutionary years of 1951 to 1994. *Dalan* is the production of Jagaran media center, written by Aahuti and directed by Nabin Subba.

Aahuti, a well known name in the literary field of Nepal, is the writer of successful novels. He is also active in politics and has deep knowledge and understanding.

Aahuti was born at Naikap Village Development commette (VDC) word no 9, Jholetole in Kathmandu District on first Chaitra 2023 B.S.. His real name by birth is Bishwovakta Dulal but later he became famous by the name of Aahuti in the field of literarture, which is his literary nickname.

The childhood of Aahuti was very much miserable because of his father's untimely death caused by tuberculosis, before the arrival of Aahuti in this world. Though deprived from the father's love, he was loved very much by his mother, brothers, and sisters. The extreme poverty in his family led him to the agonic life from his early childhood. Going to the roads for selling shoes, returning home late night and weaving clothes was his daily routine. He did lots of struggle and left no stone unturned for his survival but his childhood freedom could not survive anymore.

He completed his school level education from his birthplace. Though he was talent and got first position in his class, he was discouraged as being born in lower caste. He wanted to study science but joined civil engineering with the hope of getting job sooner. After finishing his school level study, he was attracted towards politics

and meet different political leaders as well. Later he left his study and enrolled himself in politics.

Aahuti's past was really miserable, full of agony, exploited and downtrodden. Poverty, lack, crisis and sufferings were the part of his life. Though the situation was miserable and unbearable, he did not move back from such plight but he seems moving ahead by challenging such hindrances.

Aahuti's main interest is to uplift the lower class people and society. He is very much revolutionary, dutiful and man of clear vision. He was awarded by "Krisnamami Srijanshil Sahitya Puraskar" for the collection of poems "Tapaswika Geetharu" in 2050. The main sources of his creative works are the then caste-based society, racial discrimination, lack, pain and suffering caused by the extreme poverty and problems which help him to advocate from the side of marginal groups who are lower in caste, exploited and suffered.

He is really a dynamic figure having knowledge in the different genres of literature; such as poem, novel and drama. He has published many literary works and appreciated in this field as a perfect writer. Not only he writes but also he has good skill in directing and acting as well.

Regarding the serial Bishwamani Subedi views that: "In the history of Nepali serial *Dalan* is probably the first Nepali serial which success to go against social discrimination, and saves social awareness about Dalit and also success to collect many views"(6).

*Dalan* as a tele-serial gets popularity not only in Nepal but also in other countries as well. Even in the dense conservative thinking of un-touchable issue prevalent in the Nepal from ancient times, these serial beings a notion of change among the people of Nepal against discrimination. By the help of this tele-serial there

occurs drastic change in treating Dalits or lower caste people. In the history of Nepali Media, *Dalan* is ranked as first serial which is specially made for minorities and it is believed that *Dalan*, a tele-serial success to bring awareness among the people. The main concept of *Dalan* is to give knowledge about changes of twenty first century and it emerges as a basic concept of humanist era, where there will be no any hierarchy among the people and discrimination will be vanished where only peace and harmony will ruled.

Viewing on Aahuti's *Dalan* critic as well as Lyricist Sarubhakta says "*Dalan* brings awareness in social change"(5). Bhaktta's remark is exclusively focusing on the societal change that emerges after the movie broadcasted from the television. *Dalan* speaks not only for the right of lower class but also make everyone to think about new values and tries to raise a question about bad aspect of old values. It conveys the idea of newness and speaks that in this era. Indeed it's human right to survive, without any discrimination. *Dalan* presents every struggle of Dalits, their suffering and limited rights, in this era *Dalan* succeed to bring awareness in the societal change.

The senior Maoist leader Baburam Bhattarai gives his views regarding the serial in this way, "Untouchability is one of the greatest stigma of human society. untouchability ended along with Monarchy in Nepal. Dalits must be given values from every section; such as economical, political and cultural" (My translation, 8).

Here Baburam Bhattarai's views refers that untouchability remains as a stigma in the society. He further added that along with Monarchy, the concept of untouchability ended from Nepali society and Dalits most have given all the opportunity from political, economical as well as cultural sector. They most be treated as other people who are upper caste or of higher class. There should not remain any

discrimination in the name of Dalit because first and foremost Dalits are also human and none can deprive their right of survival freely in the society.

The script-writer Aahuti's view is that "*Dalan* is specially made for to give social justice to people, which goes against traditional values of untouchability."

Aahuti seems more conscious regarding the Dalit issues. In this era of twenty first century, we heard many castes even about untouchability in Nepal. Traditionally the social norms and values of people were framed as upper and lower class order. There is still hierarchy between people of different class and its impact is still remained in this period too. Against all these norms, a tele-serial *Dalan* immerses in the favor of humanity and also against traditional norms and values. *Dalan* speaks in favor of oppressed group who were tortured by the so called upper class from ancient period. For the sake of lower class people, to give them social justice and provide them rank in the society. The serial arrives as a new concept of change against the notion of untouchability.

Aahuti further mentions that "In *Dalan* there is the presentation of discriminative social values as well as its justification. This is a lively presentation of social life's ups and downs, struggle of Dalits and also solution as a social change.

Aahuti has done one of the great job in presentation of this tele-serial. Den owned in Nepal who represents the every aspects of life of Dalits, their condition, how they are repressed by upper castes people. The main issue he raises here in this tele-serial is to give social justice to the minor people who belong to Dalits community. This movie highlights the miserable condition of subaltern Dalit of the rigid Nepalese socio-cultural background and also explains the way in which marginal voice is enforced through social ridicule. The problem is how the orthodox societal norms restrict and limit the life of the subaltern classes. Here in this research, my

main concern is how *Dalan* represents the voices of the subaltern more autonomously and how it gives the mirror like reflection of social and cultural issues prevailing over the caste based semi-feudal and semi-imperial Nepalese society especially from 1951 to 1996. To prove all this I want to put forward the idea of subaltern theory and by the help of this theoretical tool, I want to prove my hypothesis.

### **Brief Synopsis of *Dalan***

As we step in to the main story of this tele- serial, we find that a Brahmin by birth Harihar falls in love with a girl 'Tulki' who belongs to the Dalit family. She falls pregnant as their love flourishes. News of her pregnancy spreads like a rapid fire in the society. However, the society is more rigid and based on orthodox laws which restricts to such affair held between a Brahmin boy and Dalit girl. To save the image of the society Harihar is forced to move towards Banaras and Tulki is compelled to marry with a 60 years old man against her will.

The distance between two love birds doesn't affect their feelings for each other and Harihar soon returns to Tulki because of his devotion to her. He feels restless while he is leaving for Banaras out of the compulsion of the society. He returns to Tulki from the way by canceling to go Banaras. Tulki is about to attempt suicide because of the condition she faced. The states she is in and the things she has to bear in his absence makes Harihar feel guilty about what he had done to her and he decides to marry her. However, this decision costs the couple their right to live in the village. Harihar's decision to take responsibility of Tulki is not taken positively and a village-meeting (Gamasta) is held, which decides to banish the couple by transforming Harihar into a lower caste-a Damai. At the meeting, the village seniors (Jimwal and Taaalukdaar) shave off half of his hair and forced to leave the village with a pig on his shoulder.

The condition they faced makes them very pathetic character. The setting itself is very realistic which speaks in itself. The rituals, norms, values and behaviors of peoples are presenting the mirror like reflection of the contemporary society. The rule based society imposed orthodox laws over Harihar and Tulki. The punishment given to them in the name of breaking the socio-cultural boundaries was seen very cruel and heart-touching in itself. Everyone could realize the pathetic condition of the couple while the society punished them publicly in the Scene eleven of the first episode. The society ridiculed them and laughed at them for their deeds. Though in real sense what they have done was not a crime but the orthodox society has taken it as a great crime and they compelled to cross the Bheri River forever. After the society chased them away, they moved here and there in course of searching a new place where they can settle themselves. But the poor couple only suffered from troubles because of their poverty and caste which they belongs.

To survive and make a living, they reach western Baglung-Parvat, where their child is born. A new character is then introduced-Dambare Damai who gives them a space to live in when he found out that they belonged to the same caste. The couple was forced to borrow money for their child's naming ceremony and Dambare helped them get a loan from the village head (Jimwaal). This act turned them into bonded labour and they were compelled to live a life of hardship to clear their debt. As life moved on, they built a small hut and Harihar turns into Haliya, a ploughman. He worked at the house of Jimwaal though didn't have practice in such works. They had to face various types of troubles and agonies in course of living their life. We could realize the lack of agency everywhere in the life of subaltern Dalits, whereas the dominant group used their power in course of maintaining their superiority. It seemed really unfaithful while the members from the so-called upper class imposed their

constructed rules over the innocent people. The rules are constructed in such a way that the Subalterns are made habituated to accept themselves inferior and it is inevitable to accept such rules. Their voices were lost in the vast mist of caste discrimination and poverty.

Harihar, a Brahmin by birth compelled to give up his caste and became a Damai. He faced extreme torture and agonies while living under the slavery of Bistas in the rigid society. He was the victim of socio-economic condition where he was living. He became unable to provide happiness to Tulki and his son because of the monetary shortages and poverty which was the never ending part of their life. The struggle started since he left his house, continued till the end of his life. The scene is very heart-rending when Harihar died while working in field. He became suddenly unconscious and fainted over the field by vomiting blood because of his sickness. His son Juthe seen lamenting over the agony of losing his father Harihar forever.

Juthe struggled alot after the death of his father. He was a child when his father died. He faced various agonies since the beginning of his childhood days and struggled for survival. When he became adult, he married Kali and became a father of four- a son and three daughters. One of his daughters passed away, another is sold to a brothel in Mumbai, India and his third daughter was raped by the son of Pradhanpancha and became pregnant who is later forced to marry a 60 year old man. The story of Juthe also moves in a very pathetic way. He worked hard in Bista's house but not paid as much as he had to be paid, he always suffered from a type of agony because he became unable to fulfill the demands of his family. The food material he collected as a ploughman in Bista's houses hardly covers for one month. The domination towards him and his family from the Bistas seemed very cruel. They worked very hard to solve hand to mouth problem. His lifetime struggle against the

poverty made him very pathetic character. However their hardship didn't end here and he fell off a cliff while carrying goods for school construction and died in the accident. His wife Kali and son Mane were then left to bare the economical burdens.

Jute's life ended in a very pathetic way. He never got chance to feel the rest and happiness in his life. As the Subaltern people are born in debt, live in debt and even die by taking the burden of debt in their head; same thing happened in the life of Jute.

Though Kali seemed a strong woman, the circumstances she faced were very challenging which reduced her brevity at the level of null. There was only looming frustration at every time in her life, because of which she didn't lag behind to drink alcohol in course of her attempt to move out from such agony. But, in fact, she struggled against the cruel circumstances in a very strong way. The situation around made her really a tragic wife and mother as well. The trouble is a part of her life one after another. Moreover, she is a victim of the slavery which led her to the extreme agony. She struggled with poverty in course of living as very strong women. She worked hard and managed food and clothes for children. Her lifetime struggle against such poverty created by the dominated proved her representative women of marginal Dalit who is doubly marginalized under the hegemony of the ruling class.

After Kali died, her son Mane found his uncle Ramji Nepali, who took Mane's responsibility. Mane came to Kathmandu for higher studies after completing his SLC, where he later changed his name to Manav from Mane.

Manav reaches the Alps of success and rents a room by keeping his caste a secret and falls in love with a non Dalit girl, but the lie doesn't pay off and his girlfriend breaks-up with him because he had lied to her about his identity. This makes him realize what he had done wrong and in the end, he openly calls himself a

Dalit. His stay in the city also changes his psychology and he realizes his right as a citizen, because all this happens at a time when the nation was going through political changes. Feeling guilty for lying about his origin and caste, he returns to the village shouldering responsibility of saving his identity and his communal dignity.

The main concern of this thesis is to highlight the major problems that occur in Dalit's life. Through visual art *Dalan* succeeded to represent the fact about the subaltern groups. The main concern of this thesis is to represent Dalit's miserable life, how they are suppressed by the upper caste people and how the societal norms deprived them from their rights etc. To prove all these, I develop this thesis in three chapters:

The first chapter introduces the main issue of the tele-serial *Dalan* and also about the Director Aahuti. Here I have put forward the reviews of different viewers what they especially talk about the marginal groups. Next in the second chapter, I am discussing about the marginal theories, textual analysis of *Dalan* by presenting references from different critic's book especially in course of presenting their view on minority group, their concern regarding their problem, pain, sufferings, agony etc. The third chapter concludes the whole thesis thereby providing the condition of minority voice.

## **II. Representation of the Minority Voice in Aahuti's *Dalan***

'Minority' commonly refers to persons who are socially, politically and geographically outside of the hegemonic power structure. The term is etymologically means 'unheard' or 'other'. It refers to those marginalized groups of the society rendered without agency by his or her social status.

The representation of minority, a discourse has been discussed to refer the colonized subject during the European colonialism. The subordinate identity has been constructed by the European discourse and internalized by colonial peoples.

Marginal consciousness emerged in opposition of representation of the marginalized in the nationalist historiography which only valorize the 'elite leaders' or upper class people by sidelining the repressed or marginalized. As the nationalist history is regarded as official history which only valorize the elite leaders or upper class people by sidelining the repressed's history. The way of nationalist historiography failed to include all aspects of the society. It only focused on the elite culture, values, religion, beliefs, norms and casts at the expense of culture, religion, beliefs and caste of the marginalized. Moreover the issues of marginalized have always been neglected, excluded and wipe out from the so-called official history. The voices of the subaltern remained unheard in any crucial national policies and programmes. The voices are denied by the elite history. In this connection, Ranjeet Guha, the leader of minority studies project remarks "The history of Indian nationalism is written up as a sort of spiritual biography of the Indian elite."(28)

The nationalist historiography fails to acknowledge the contribution made by the people to the making and development of nationalism. In this particular respect the poverty of this historiography is demonstrate beyond doubt by its failure to understand and assess the mass articulation of this nationalism. The tendency of

judging everything in a partial way is highly criticized by the subaltern writers. They realized that the nationalist historiography only celebrated the elite culture whereas the subaltern paid little attention. For them the history of such domination should be questioned. They focused more on the dominated groups or the marginalized people. The aim of the subaltern studies project was to revise such one-sided and blinkered historiography and recuperate the voices of the subaltern in history. As the history of subaltern is broken into pieces with the practice of domination and discrimination by the power holding groups of the society, there is no history of subaltern at all. In this regard the Italian Marxist Antonio Gramsci states, “the history of the subaltern is necessarily fragmented and episodic...” (357-358).

Marginal groups are creating social movements which contest and dissemble western claims to power. These groups use local knowledge and struggle to create new spaces of opposition and alternative futures. Subaltern project is moving ahead by assimilating ideas from diverse disciplines. Now, it has becoming the remarkable way of writing historiography of the marginalized people. It brought about a paradigmatic shift in the existing way of perceiving life and subalterns is taken as agents of social and political change. Like other poststructuralist and postcolonial theories, it is very crucial to those intellectuals who oppose the totalitarian concepts and meta-narratives like modernity, enlightenment as such. Subaltern studies becoming a counter hegemonic practices and struggle against neoliberal globalization, particularly the struggle against social exclusion. Victoria Lawson in the essay “Making Development Geography” announces that:

While the minority by definition is groups who have had their voices silenced, they can speak through their actions as a way to protest against mainstream development and create their own visions for

social movements which contest and disassemble western claims to power. These groups use local knowledge and struggle to create new spaces of opposition and alternative futures. (258)

Reading minority studies began in India as writing about minority through book reviews. At first each volume in the series is revived separately at collection of essays, but by 1986, an accumulation of writing inside and outside the project had established a distinctive school of research whose adherents came to be called “subalternists” or simply “subalterns”. Their seminal essays appeared in paperback in 1988, when *Selected Subaltern Studies* was published by Oxford University Press in New York and Oxford, edited by Ranjeet Guha and Gayatri Chakravorti Spivak, with a foreword by Edward Said. By 1910, Burton Stein could site the growing interest in subaltern studies as one sign that the 1980s were “a decade of historical efflorescence” in South Asian studies. In the 1990s subaltern studies became a hot topic in academic circles on several continents; a weapon, magnet, target, lightning rod, gold mine and fortress for scholars ranging across discipline from history to political science, anthropology, literary criticism human geography and cultural studies.

### **Minority**

The term ‘minority’ literally meaning of “inferior rank” in the Oxford English Dictionary (OED), has been used by the subaltern studies writer to designate the people who are not the “elite”-the dominant groups. Another word in English ‘Subaltern’ is used to refer the minority by the Italian Marxist Antonio Gramsci (1891-1937) in his celebrated collection *Selection from the Personal Notebooks* .when he discussed the rural peasants in southern Italy, Gramsci categorized them into the non hegemonic classes. Having emphasized the formation of the subaltern groups, their relation to the dominant groups and their autonomy in political sphere, Gramsci

listed six necessary points to study about the subaltern. Moreover, he thinks that history of subaltern classes was as complex as the history of the dominant classes. They have less access to social and cultural institutions even when they raise their voices against the elite groups. For Gramsci the only way to get rid of subordination is the permanent victory which, however, can only be achieved after revolution. Gramsci thinks that in course of bringing revolution and to recuperate the subaltern's cultural and political movement into hegemony the role of the intellectual must be essential. As the subaltern people do not have the means as well as strategy to get access to hegemony, they need the especial kind of support to show them the path ahead. Only then the subaltern classes can be turned into revolutionary character and can be strive to achieve independence.

In its literal sense minority mentality refers to the attitude and feeling of thinking somebody else a submissive, dependent, uneducated, and inferior other etc who is always subjected to be subjugated. In fact, this type of mentality exists not only in the Europeans and the elites but also in the subaltern themselves. The rulers always have the mentality to rule over and have the opinion that only they deserve knowledge and power concerning whatever they doing. They even don't move back to show the lack of knowledge in the subaltern and always think themselves superiors in every domains. So they always desire to rule over the subaltern. On the contrary the subaltern group think themselves submissive and inferior in terms of politics, economics, culture, administration and so on. In this regard Gautam Bhadra states over the subaltern mentality in this way: "it is well known that defiance is not the only characteristic of the behavior of the subaltern classes. Submissiveness to authority in the context is as frequent as defiance in another. It is two elements that together constitute the subaltern mentality" (54). Bhadra's remarks exclusively focusing that

the subaltern mentality not only refers to that the mentality of the submissiveness. The poor and the oppressed have time and again made voluntary sacrifices in favor of the rich and the dominant. They compelled to be subjected in varying degrees in every sphere of the society.

In the hierarchical community there is domination under the dominated themselves. This is a never ending process which is marked by struggle and resistance which ultimately creates the tension. In this hierarchical community, the dominant himself or herself will be dominated by another dominant. There can be found the trace of domination within the repressed community itself. In this remarks Bhadra exemplifies in the poem 'Kantanama' that in hierarchical community, "one's superiors also had their superiors, and one could always appeal to or in the name of the higher authority" (88). That's why it can be argued that subaltern mentality is the mentality of hierarchy which exists not only between the two levels-the elite and the subaltern, but also within the level itself. Thus, it is a never ending phenomenon.

Ranjeet Guha includes rural gentry, impoverished landlords, rich peasants and upper middle peasants into the category of subaltern classes. He however, admits that they "could under certain circumstances act for the elite..." (8). He declares that SS will study "the history, politics, economics and sociology of subalternity...in short the culture informing that condition" (vii). Subaltern studies commitment to history and culture is rather conspicuous. The elite historiography is generally regarded as "official history" by sidelining the people's history. SS has committed itself "to rectify the elitist bias characteristics of much research and academic work in this particular area" (vii). He pointed out the ambiguities between the elite classes and the subalterns. How the subalterns were defined depended on the regional, social and economic conditions. In this connection Guha contrasts "politics of the people" with

elite politics and privileges the former over the latter. He views that the politics of the people “was an autonomous domain for it neither originated from elite politics nor did its existence depend on the latter” (4). It, despite of colonialism, was proceeding on by adjusting itself to the prevailing condition in different form and content. In this regard, it is as old as colonialism supposed to be. The development of nationalist consciousness in accordance with elitist historiography was an achievement either of colonialist administration, policy and culture or of elite Indian personalities or idea. For Guha, such historiography fails to “acknowledge or interpret the contribution made by people on their own, i.e., independently of the elite” (3). It of course, ignores the people’s politics. In fact, it is to say that the subaltern politics is different from the elite politics. But in terms of contribution the subaltern people have equal contribution as of the elite. Here we can realize the politics of domination over the subalterns by the so called power holding class or elite.

The elite groups use their power through their agencies or they mobilize their politics through the different institutions where as the subaltern classes suffers for having no agency as such and becomes marginalized in courses of mobilizing their politics. They lack of agencies or institution to mobilize their politics. The politics of political mobilization shows the prejudice of bourgeois nationalist as having link with British colonialism. The bourgeoisie nationalist do not see and appreciate the contribution made by the subaltern rather move by adopting the legacies of colonialism. The practice of domination and exploitation started by colonialism and continued by the bourgeoisie nationalist. The elite historiography does not even move back to claims that “Indian nationalism was primarily an idealist venture in which the indigenous elite led the people from subjugation to freedom” (2). It illustrate how the elite historiography ignores the roles of the subaltern classes played independent of

elite command or head quarter during the anti-imperialist movements. Likewise the national narrative fails to speak on behalf of the peoples as the postcolonial nationalist project imposes an indigenous form of elitism. Naturally the rational philosophy of Enlightenment is its impetus. In a way this kind of project is undertaken with the western bias. Consequently, the “subaltern issues and themes” as Pratha Chatterjee is quoted by R. Radhakrisnan in his book *Diasporic Medirarions*, “do not figure out in nationalist equation...”(147). Radhakrisnan, referring to Chatterjee, argues that the nationalism is problematic as “it sustains and continues the baleful legacies of Eurocentrism and Orientalism” (194).

### **Issue of Minority (Mis) Representation**

In its general sense the term ‘representation’ refers to an act of presenting or showing somebody or something in a particular way. However, in its broadest sense, it refers to the verbal formation which is created by the persons who hold the power which they circulate in the different strata of the society. In this regards, new historicists assume that these cultural and ideological representation mainly serve to reproduce, conform and propagate the power-structure of domination and subordination in a particular society. The person who is in power defines thing, object or any issue in a particular way to produce the truth about that thing. The truth produced as such is circulated in different forms to the people and the one who knows that truth is said to have possessed the power, so s/he attempts to represent others. The very truth can never become the objective truth because that is created or constructed by the person who uses the power and creates truth on his own favor. The truth produced in such a way is not the reality rather it is subjective truth or construction of the power holding groups who rule over the society. The representation in such circumstances turns out to be misrepresentation. In this regard, the essayist Louis

Montrose states. "Representation bears traces of the professor's values, beliefs and experiences- his or her socially constructed subjectivity-and also that it actively-if not always consciously and rarely consistently-instantiates those values, beliefs and experiences" (396). So it can be said that representation is nothing but attributing some dispositions which cater the powerful to impose power on his own way. Thus it can not be claim that the act of representation is presenting the reality as it is, but at the same time it can also leaves the trace of misrepresentation as well.

Edward Said's work *Orientalism* is related to the idea of the subaltern in that it explains the way in which *Orientalism* produced the foundation and the justification for the domination of the "other" through colonialism. Europeans, Said argues, created an imagined geography of the Orient before European exploration through predefined images of savage and monstrous places that lay outside of the known world. During initial exploration of the Orient these mythologies were reinforced as travelers brought back reports of monsters and strange lands. The idea of difference and strangeness of the Orient continued to be perpetuated through media and discourse creating an "us" and "them" binary through which Europeans defined themselves by defining the differences of the Orient. This laid the foundation for colonialism by presenting the Orient as backward and irrational and therefore in need of help to become modern in the European sense. The discourse of *Orientalism* is Eurocentric and does not seek to include the voices of the Orientals themselves.

### **Minority and Literature**

Minority Studies in its initial phase emerged as an astronment to re-write the history or with the agenda regarding the historical aspect. Its main focus while it appeared was to recuperate the voices of the marginalized groups in Indian or South Asian history. Minority Studies groups wanted to subvert the one sided perspective of

nationalist historiography. Their attempt was to make an empirical study of the culture of those people who have no access to the hegemonic power. In this regard, Veena Das in her article "Subaltern Perspective" writes "the first emancipatory act that the Subaltern project performs in our understanding of tribes, castes or other such groups is to restore to them their historical being" (314). Subaltern Studies group, thus, aims to revive the history of marginal people from their own perspective.

In such context, the SSG up to their first three volumes, only focus on history, society, politics and revolution. Their attempt was to establish the peasants as an autonomous domain, in this connection they also talked about peasant or subaltern consciousness. A new trend began to developed in this subject from the forth volume of Subaltern Studies project. With the awaking of Gyatri Chakravorti Spivak, it however entered a new realm: literature. Her contribution provides a new path in course of development of SS as a theory of change in the changed political academic scenario. In her seminal essay "Subaltern Studies: Deconstructing Historiography" (Subaltern Studies IV, 1986), Spivak gave a linguistic as well as cultural mode to minority studies. She further states, "The possibility of action lies in the dynamics of the disruption of this object, the breaking and relinking of the chain. This line of argument does not set consciousness against the social but sees it as if self constituted as and on a semiotic chain" (332).

Her remarks clarifies that marginal studies in its later phase, broke the existing concept and restructured itself as an interdisciplinary discourse by relinking different disciplines in a chain.

Spivak explores the language and textuality of the discursive power. Her subject of concern was representation to politics. In a way her essay is not particularly focused on literature, it is supposed to have given the literary twist to SS as it

somehow manages to initiate linguistic and cultural modes in this subject the way literature does.

### **Minority Representation in Visuals**

Film is becoming the medium of choice of emancipating the age-old prejudicial system of many communities throughout the world. Visual art is becoming one of the demands of the new time and the subject of concern of the great mass as well. The role of the movie is really important in social transformation of the state. It is a medium to understand cultures, history and society.

Representation involves not only how identities are created or represented but also how they are constructed in the process of reception by the people whose identities are also differentially marked in relation to such demographic factors. In this remarks Manuel Alvarado, Robin Gutch and Jane Woolen states:

Many groups in society experience representations that are not accurate. This is problematic because in addition to perpetuating stereotypes, misrepresentation can erode the self image of people from these groups. Audiences need to think critically about the ways in which certain members of society are represented, misrepresented or absent in the media they consume. (153)

These critics are presenting their critical attitude towards the possibility of misrepresentation. They are doubtful in the true representation of social reality through the media.

Cinematography is one of the best ways to disclose the socio-political reality. In this regards there are chain of movies made on the behalf of subaltern people which demonstrates the subaltern people's life long struggle to live, their desire for deliverance and failure to move out of the condition which victimized them

repeatedly. These types of movies play an important role to raises social awareness to the society. Especially in South-Asian society where the practice of domination and discrimination towards the subaltern is not totally effaced though the society is moving towards the change, the visual culture definitely supports in the process of revolution to change the society. But because of the rigid structure of censorship that controls the process of representation of the real issue of the subaltern and the subaltern consciousness can not get articulated autonomously.

In Nepalese context too, though the films and television are the perfect means of expressing people's freedom and advocating their rights, it seems that Nepali media has always lagged behind. In this regard Yagya Prasad Adhikari states "our society has still not accept film culture which is taken as a light entertaining matter but not as the knowledge gaining material" (7). Though the mainstream filmmakers have initiated issues on the Dalit community through their films, they failed to make any profound awareness regarding the constant prejudiced lifestyle. But the serial *Dalan* proves itself an exception which tried to move away from the traditional way of film making, it has been appreciated and welcomed by all for its success in raising the issues regarding the Dalit community. Adhikari further remarks states that "Film watching culture is yet to be developed in colleges and universities in Nepal. Films are a good way to understand cultures, current affairs, history and other important issues like human rights which definitely add positive impact and to initiate a well informed community" (7).

This thesis paper tries to depict the representation of the subaltern voices in the movie *Dalan* written by Aahuti. It is written in the Nepalese language. It captures the real socio-political scenario of eastern Nepalese society especially from 1951 to 1996. The theme of *Dalan* is really heart-boggling, it remarks on the historical

dimension of the subaltern people which really inspire any researcher to go further into this research.

### **Dalit as Minority**

The term Dalit for the first time was used in India in the 1960s which refers to the group of further stratified Sudras who were considering as 'Untouchable'. The term is a joint designation referring to a number of different caste groups which are categories as inferior or low caste.

The word Dalit comes from the Sanskrit root 'dal' which respectively means to shatter, to break into pieces and to step on. It is basically the construction of Hindu philosophy itself. According to Hindu religion every creature in this world is creation of God Brahma which categories the people into four different levels as Brahmins, Kshetrias, Vaishyas and Sudras. This categorization assumes that the Brahmins are created by the mouth of Lord Brahma to symbolize teacher groups, Kshetrias are the production of the arms of him who symbolize the warriors, Vaishyas are created from the lap of Brahma to symbolize a mother like feeding her baby and Shudras from the feet of Brahma to symbolize a weight bearer. As the NNDSWO report states "the source for proof for this setup is the "Bhaagabat Geeta", one of the holy books of Hindu religion" (01).

In this concern Hira Viahwakarma remarks:

The society was based on feudal system. During that time, the king, belonged to Kshetrias, used to rule the country with using their strength. Kshetries were perfect to rule over the country with their might and main. The priest on the hand belongs to the Brahmins category, propounded by the Vedas, and handled the occupation of teachers and farmers. Likewise Vaishyas were given the response of

handling the economy of country and Sudras had to serve all of these classes of people through menial works. The religion itself, therefore, treated Shudras as inferior human beings. (19)

Vishwakarma further writes “this system was more formalized and ritualized by some famous Hindu sages like *Bhirgu* who propounded some rules and regulations and formed “*Manushmriti*” which provided the guidance to the king and further enhanced the caste system” (20). The status of Sudra approximately was that of slaves and they received nothing but only hatred from the rest. They were in such a position that there was no agency of their own and their voices were always remain unheard in the society. In fact, they were legally prohibited to resist or speak against those norms which were made in course of suppressed them under the shadow of the so-called high caste people. They were ostracized from social engagement, forbidden to enter any religious places and prohibited from any contact with the high caste groups.

On the basis of “*Manushmriti*”, casteism was made further strong and social moves of discriminatory nature were enacted. The concept of casteism receives a chance to establish itself with the emergence of such practice. As Nepal is regarded as Hindu country, it can't be untouched by the practice of casteism. In this connection the remarks of Vishwakarma is that “Casteism in Nepal started during Malla period as Malla king of Kathmandu, Jayasthiti Malla formalized it under the law in the fourteenth century on the basis of occupations” (21).

The practice of untouchability emerged and is still strongly pervade in most of the rural parts of the country. As NND SWO reports “untouchables had to perform the menial jobs and enslaved to work in the fields of the landlords, which strengthened the feudalistic structure of rural country and encouraged the landlords with the opportunities of exploiting the labours” (01). Lower castes or untouchable were not

allowed to acquire property, construct comfortable houses and even they were prohibited to touch any persons belongs to the higher castes. They were treated as non-human in this sense.

As Hinduism was a prominent religion in Nepal the rule of nation was thus, guided as an accordant of this religion. The society was based on caste system under the feudalistic political system. Moreover, it was guided by the strict rules and regulations propounded by religion itself. In the nineteenth century Junga Bahadur Rana, founder of autocratic Rana rule, brought Muluki Ain (National Code) in effect in 1853; and caste system got legal provision. The same code formalized Shudras into two categories: one who could be enslaved or killed and the other who could not be enslaved or killed. Hira Vishwakarma states that all “these untouchables were referred as Dalits.” In course of time the Aryans began to practice caste discrimination and to proclaim that the system was made by God himself and it is inevitable to all to accept the rules proclaimed by the God. National Dalit Commission’s (NDC) proposed Bill, 2003 states “Dalit community refers to caste community, who have been left far behind social, economic, educational, political and religious spheres and deprived from human dignity and social justice due to caste based discrimination.” (239)

In the similar fashion K. B. Bhattachan writes:

As the codes was first ever law applicable all over the country, the untouchability practice was universalized and Hinduized indigenous nationalities were forced to practice untouchability in every-day-life, otherwise they were punished accordingly. (72)

Dalits were socially, culturally and educationally discriminated, oppressed and exploited. Discrimination and Untouchability deeply rooted in society. The position and identity of Dalit’s was not in existence in society. Society further suppressed

Dalits on the support of State, Hindu philosophy and National Code. As a social construct, Dalits have low economic status. Due to their literary rate, sack of political consciousness an overall cultural practice, they have been termed as inferior being. In fact the situation itself becomes influential in creating their identity as untouchable. In a way incidents are goes on happen against the Dalits on the basis of untouchability so the mentality of Dalits has not changed because they are victimized time and again by the authorized persons but such authority is always at work because of the lack of any institution to punish the dominators.

In a way Dalits are neglected in any crucial national programme and denied access to be part of any programme because of their uneducatedness and lack of knowledge. They are categorized as uneducated and people having inferior rank at every level. Such construction is plasticized in course of inferiorized the community. The Dalit community can not represent state's reformation truly. Voice can find expression by mastering the rudimentary codes of those socially sanctioned speech conventions. In this concern, Dr. Sanjeev Upreti argues that:

The problem however is that the Dalits or the subaltern groups as argued by Gyatri Spivak can not represent themselves. Dalit can not speak within the web of historical and linguistic context in which they find themselves... Dalits are Dalit not only because of the exploited position that they occupy as the bearers of political and economic exploitation but also because they lack language or the discourse to make themselves heard. It should be tried to continue to represent them with the purpose of generating public discourse. So that it might lead to practical measures and programmes that will help liberate Dalit.

(168-169)

Upreti is seems more conscious regarding agency or institution or discourse which the Dalit community does not deserve. Because of this situation, they can not represent themselves. In fact, they are still leaving under the suppression of the social rules, norms and values.

Nepalese Dalits are naturally a part of minority studies because of the socio-economic, political status and representation of the state. Even after the centuries long suppression and half century long revolution against state and caste system the mentality of society has not been changed towards Dalits. The Dalits of rural and remote area are still far away from light of education and social awareness. They are still victim of the practice of domination and discrimination.

### **Minority in Nepalese Context**

In Nepalese context, indigenous people, Dalit and Madhesis are categorized as minorities. The Nepalese society is moving towards the change, the country faced the political revolution, socio-cultural changes and even the constitution and laws are conforming certain rights on behalf of the minorities but the problems of those groups are not addressed on physical level. It means the voice of the suppressed is still remained unheard in Nepalese context.

In terms of domination and discrimination, Krishna B. Bhattachan has categories the subalterns/minorities into the following six categories in Nepalese context, “indigenous people or minorities, linguistic group, religion group, Dalit and regional group and gender” (Bhattachan 34-38).

In the Nepalese context too minority groups are really disadvantaged and backwards because of the rigid socio-political and cultural discrimination towards them by others. Such discrimination exists when rights and opportunities open to one-set of people are denied to other groups. The existence of a type of traumatic

experience inside them makes them to feel as ‘a people apart’ from the majority. They tend to see themselves as inferior because of the age old constructed rules of the society which is imposed upon them. Minorities are usually to some degree isolated from the larger community in the physical and mental level as well.

### **Representation of the Minority Voice in *Dalan***

“*Dalan* means oppressed, a word made up of three alphabets, speak a long existed discrimination and the impact of such discrimination is really expensive not only to the society but also to the whole human civilization itself which we can see and feel around our own society. The so-called power holding people of our society, instead of paying attention towards the marginalized, give favor to themselves, which is effectively satirized by the movie *Dalan*.”

This serial exclusively focuses on the dehumanizing impact emerged out of the practice of discrimination and how the voices of the Dalit were remaining unheard even after the socio-political change after democracy. The society was moving in the same way as it was before because of the lack of consciousness. The serial tries to raise voice against such discrimination and also depict the social reality of the contemporary society. In this regard, Santosh Baraily states that “*Dalan* has managed to display Nepali society through Dalit eyes. It shows the political, social and cultural changes that took place from 1951 to 1995” (11).

The two central characters of the serial are Harihar (played by Bhusan Sharma) and Tulki (played by Babita Sharma). At times, when coming in contact with the untouchable was worse than touching a pig for the higher castes. Harihar, who belongs to a Brahmin family committed the deadliest of all sins, falling in love with Tulki (Dalit women). For this deadly sin committed by them in the eye of the society, they disowned by the whole village and even by their parents, both of them are forced

to leave the village and prohibited to return to the village forever. The torture and agonies given to them by the rigid society is really pathetic and full of emotions. Rana further remarks that:

The balance between the prejudicial and human attributes are maintained, and overwhelming demonizing and pigeonholing of any sorts of any caste, creed or has not been incorporated in the movie. Aahuti, who wrote the scripts, seems to have meticulously done prior research on linguistics and historical culture while producing this story.

(11)

It is the story of domination and discrimination in the hierarchical society. The society does not allow tasting any fruit of liberation and how it seized the right to live in freedom and dignity for the marginalized that are always moves around the same periphery because of not having power or consciousness to move out of the condition. There can not be found the solid identity of such groups. The power holder always imposed orthodox norms and values over the suppressed in course of further suppressing the voices of them. The oppressors always opine that they know everything concerning any subjects and made the suppressed to understand that it is reality. Suppressed always feels inferior and thinks that it is inevitable to accept such norms and codes.

*Dalan* tries its best to collect the voices of those marginalized groups of the caste based Nepalese society which treated Dalits as non-human beings. It depicts the heart-warming tale of the Dalits' turbulent survival in racist society. In a way it really succeeds in discovering the stereotype, caste, culture and religion based orthodox society. The characters are struggling to move away from such domination but the situation does not let them run away. It seems as a part of slavery system in which

slaves always have to remain at the same position in course of living. The voices of marginalized are always neglected by the dominators.

There are people in the row ahead, down is me in the shadow, same is the birth and same is the death, why a different way of living? Man is made smaller than man, what a hideous tradition. This suppression, this suppression, this suppression... (My Translation)

This is the title song of *Dalan* written by Shrawan Mukarung and sang by Pratima Sunam and Narendra Pyasi is really heart-touching. This speaks the agony of the suppressed in a very sympathetic way. How the orthodox social norms restricts and limits the life of the subaltern classes is a subject of concern. Hence, this is a mind-boggling look at the everyday life of contemporary subaltern people of Nepalese society. In this connection, Bishal Gautam remarks, “After viewing the discrimination and tyranny as depicted in the serial, we can claim that the Nepalese society is still engulfed by the cave of sluggishness, the contemporary social life has been picked like a real one in the serial” (My Translation, 7).

The theme is very heart-rending which remarks on the historical dimension that really inspires any researcher to go further into this research. While going through the serial, we really doubt whether we are watching the serial or we are in front of such orthodox society.

The story represents the voices of the subalterns in more autonomous way and it really succeeded to reflect the social and cultural issues prevailing over the caste based semi-feudal Nepalese society especially from 1951 to 1996. How the poor people of the Dalit community, victimized by the so called power holding classes of the society is vividly depicted in this movie. The film highlighted the subaltern Dalit of the orthodox Nepalese socio-cultural background and also examines the way in

which marginal is enforced through social ridicule. The realizing factor is that the norms, rules and regulations are for only the suppressed not for the power holder which is very much paradoxical. Sapkota further mentions that, “*Dalan* broadcasted at time when Nepal is going through socio-political transformation after a decade long insurgency, which led to the peoples movement and paved way for every citizen to stand up for their rights” (6).

Based on the lives and tradition of the so-called untouchable communities in Nepal, *Dalan* discloses facts on how the unjust land policies have suppressed them for years. These laws were constructed beyond their knowledge of understanding so they were always discriminated and forced to live a life an indignity and humiliation. The script of the story revolves around the social and political history of the country from 1951 to 1995, their accent, lifestyle, dress codes ethics have been taken into account through immense research and analysis of historical data.

*Dalan* is a presentation of Nepalese social history from Dalit’s perspective which covers the lives of the Dalit community from western Nepal to the capital. It develops on the social environment of various castes and tries to unveil the psychological framework formed by the state. Though films are taken as a medium of free expression, Nepalese films seems to have failed to step out of their shells and poverty the sentiments, culture and traditions of indigenous groups, Dalit women and the marginalization society. In this matter *Dalan* has proved itself an exception because there is no space from which we can claim that it failed in representing the life and community of the contemporary society of socio-cultural discrimination.

The development of Nepalese film stored around 1950 AD but very few of them have been made on such issues; even today such are rarely made. The ‘short films’ and ‘documentaries’ made on Dalits were always felt as insufficient to raise

awareness about the injustice they have had to face. Praising the serial critic Baliraj Khumbu remarks, “*Dalan* really challenges the traditional way of film-making as followed by the bourgeoisie serial, it rather addressing the issues which definitely add more awareness in course of transforming the society (My translation, 41).

“One language, one dream, one religion and one caste” slogan put forth by the state and late king Mahindra was failure because it only protected his rights, culture and traditions. The rights of the marginalized groups were shadowed and they further faced tyranny from the so-called high caste society.

Historical account proves that he used to bring Indian artists to play in the cinemas just to save the “khas culture” and preserve his ‘own culture policy’.

As documentaries and short films are hardly viewed in rural communities of the nation were such informative right based films are utmost importance to disseminate voice of equal rights. *Dalan* which is aired nationally and internationally from Nepal television is able to reach the masses and spread the message of equal rights every week. Critic Bikas Basnet comments that, “*Dalan* is one of the successful movie which filled the gaps left by the short films and documentaries and has been more successful at fighting against discrimination, raising awareness by trying to change the psychological mind-set of society” (50).

### **Scene analysis**

In the second scene of the first episode, the character, Jagman and Ram Prasad try to take Harihar away from Tulki and also from the society. According to Ram Prasad "Harihar has committed great mistake by marrying Tulki, a Dalit girl" (1). Their thinking somewhat reveals that Harihar has thrown his own cast and also the reputation of a Brahmin family. So they try to take Harihar along with them away from Tulki. They really want to take Harihar to Banaras because the rumour of the

Brahmin and Dalit marriage spreads everywhere in the society and it is quite difficult for Brahmins to walk proudly because of Harihar's deed. But the consequence of this scene turns opposite. Ram Prasad fails to take Harihar to Banaras because Harihar steps backward towards his society and also towards his pregnant wife Tulki. Ram Prasad tries to outcast them from the society but Harihar does not move his feet back.

Viewing on this issue raised by the serial, Kesab Thokar remarks that, "A Brahmin young man, Harihar is seems revolting against the than prevailing practice of untouchability and trying to concretize his love against such discrimination of society which is somehow refers to the realistic past of Nepal and Nepalese people" (My Translation, 5). Here we can see many people as victims of untouchability. Those people who involve themselves in intercaste marriage are always oppressed by upper caste and as a whole from the society. As we see in the scene Ram Prasad makes compulsion for Harihar to leave Tulki as well as the society. Ram Prasad says, "He has done great sin" (1). This dialogue really represents that even in this age of 21 century, the issue of untouchability remains as a cruse in human society. Human used to live a conservative life in the past and its influence still hovered as a type of hangover over the society in the present. Another critic Madhukar Narsingh Rana, viewing on *Dalan* says that, "It is not only a series depicting a tale of the Dalits turbulent survival in a racist society, it simply is a heart-rending tale of love and humanistic attributes coming together against hate and intolerance" (11).

As Gyatri Spivak mention that "subaltern people are suffering from the problem of having no agency in their life" (26), which can be applied in the heart-touching scene of *Dalan*, where the characters like Harihar and Tulki are tortured by the villagers. There is no one to prevent them by the torture which is given them in front of society. The marriage of Harihar and Tulki brings very negative impact on

villagers. Villagers are in aggressive mode and announced punishment for both Harihar and Tulki. The upper class people of village such as landlord, Khilbahadur, Harkaman, Talukdar and other villagers are also presented in this scene. Harkaman ordered Kutwal to shave the hair of Harihar from four sides of the head. They also strip him. Then next order against Harihar and Tulki is released by the upper caste people. The character Hariram says that “After shaving Harihar’s hair, both husband and wife will be expelled from the village. They will never get right to turn backward to village or come across the Bheri River” (9-10). He further says, “The act which Harihar does is great sin in the eyes of the villagers so he must carry a pig on his shoulders and leave the village along with Tulki forever” (9-10).

As we see the present scene, we find that the elite group or upper caste people always exercise their power through their agencies or they mobilize their politics through the different institutions where as the Subalterns or Dalits suffer for having no agency and becomes marginalized in course of mobilizing their politics. This really represents the pathetic condition of voiceless people who seems suffering from a type of severe agony. Harihar and Tulki both have no support, nobody help them. Whole villagers go against their affair and as Dalit, they are suppressed by the upper class. This scene really shows the miserable condition of Dalits and their sufferings. The punishment, which both Harihar and Tulki bear somewhat raises a question about humanity. It really raises voiceless voice of Dalits for their existential right. Being human, one must have right to survive in the open air. Dalits are also human, they also have right to survive freely same as upper class people.

The feelings of upper class people towards Dalits is shown really prejudicial and full of biases here. It reveals the idea of haltered feelings of upper-class people. How Dalts are behaved in the society, how they are taken in the society, all this can

easily be noticed here in the second episode of the serial. After departure from village Harihar and Tulki reach in a house of Brahmin. They are too much tired of whole day walking. Both of them request Brahmin woman to let them stay outside her house for one night lodging but the Brahmin women refuses to give them one night lodge outside her house. Instead she speaks harsh words for them. She says, “How dare you to say for one night stay in my house”? There is no any place for Dalits in my house so get away right now from my house” (3). We can realize that, Dalits are treated inhumanly by the so-called upper class people.

The scene shows how Dalit life suffers by the oppressors. One of the critic, Purna Singh Baraily remarks that “Still the so-called upper class people in course of practicing their hegemony, always created a boundary between the humans” (7). Harihar and Tulki get realize that their fate is stamped to bear many problems. They plea in front of Brahmin woman for one night hold but in return they get only humiliation. In fact it’s not their fault but it’s a fault of societal norms and values which was prevalent from the ancient time. People’s vision towards Dalit is very negative not from present but from past time. If Harihar and Tulki would belong to upper class then it is sure that the Brahmin women would heartily welcome them but knowing the fact that Harihar and Tulki as Dalit, Brahmin woman spilt her anger towards them and desire to see them no more in her house.

Tele-serial also reveal some ideas that how *Dalits* are treated by the upper class people. The manner of talking itself shows the hegemony of feudal class. One of the most fabulous dialogues which I want to quote here is that “do not ever try to mess with us otherwise we will make question marks in your existence, you came in this world to serve us and to respect us.” This dialogue is taken from the movie Zameen, spoken by a landlord to one of the labour belongs to Subaltern group. Here

in this scene of tele-serial *Dalan*, we find the same manner of upper class people while treating with lower class people. The landlord Sherbahadur is riding horse and Harke, a Dalit is seems carrying a luggage. Both happened to meet each other. Sherbahadur asked him very arrogantly that “Hey, you are son of katwal, aren’t you? (4) Dalit Harke bows his head and replies “yes, my lord”(4). Sherbahadur’s behave clarify here that he is boastful about his blood belongs to upper caste. The act of Harke and his manner of talking, every time emphasizing on the word “my lord” clarifies that really the condition of Dalits is Valueless, miserable and their lives remain as a machine, which is regulated by feudal class people. From ancient period, the societal values remain in favor of upper class people and the fate of Dalits always remains under the hand of upper class people. The societal values marked Dalit’s fate and also deprived their right. This scene which we have seen here can easily be noticed in real life too. In this regard, critic Pabitra Sunar remarks that, “In Nepal, still the issue of untouchability, conservative thinking, and upper and lower caste concepts is prevalent. Dalits are still deprived from various rights. Their rights are limited in many sectors such as religious, political, economical etc.” (My translation, Gha).

The issue of upper or lower caste or the issue of untouchability brings vast distance in human life and people are treated not from his or her behavior but from his or her caste or rank. In the ninth scene of second episode of the serial we can see the very pathetic condition of Dalits. Here in this scene Tulki is suffering from pain because of her pregnancy period. After walking for a long time along with Harihar, she feels difficult to walk anymore because of severe pain. She sits there holding her belly and crying in a pain. After hearing her cry, people come to see her. Females arrive there and hover her and try to help Tulki in her pain. Finally, Tulki gives birth to a male child. Everyone become happy to see cute child and keep themselves in

serving the child and mother. Here the female character Juna, who belongs to the rich upper caste, asks her nanny to bring some oil and clothes. After sometimes Juna asks about their caste, innocent Tulki replies that they belong to *Dalit*, and their caste is “Damai”. When Juna knows that she serves Damai, a Dalit, her attitude gets totally changed towards Tulki and spells abusing words for Tulki and Harihar. She curses herself saying that, “oh God what a great sin I have done. I serve a Damini in her Pregnancy. I must baptize myself. Oh God...oh God...”(12).

In this scene, we realize that the value of human is noticed through his or her caste. In the painful condition of Tulki everyone helps her but after knowing Tulki as Damai, people changed their attitude towards her. The question of humanism has no any place in upper caste people’s mind. In the name of upper caste or upper level people, they have forgotten humanity. Their act shows that Dalits are not human and they have no value in the society of upper class people. Even today, this practice is prevalent in the Nepalese context. In this connection, Purna Singh Baraily remarks that “Even nowadays too, most of people from upper caste believe that, to help Dalits means committing a great sin” (5).

The scene here in second episode represents the untouchability concept. It shows the conservative thinking which is prevalent from ancient time in Nepalese society to the present era. The natural process of menstruation or pregnancy is still observed through conservative rules and values. The Hindu mythology itself is the main cause of the untouchability issue. Still in Nepal, in the period of menstruation, it is her compulsion to remain untouchable for seven days and if the female begot a child it is strictly prohibited her to remain as usual for twenty two days, which are the traditional norms and values of Hindu society. As we know that these are the natural processes of body but rule and regulation restrict female to do anything who go

through this situation. But why such injustice only in the part of women? They must baptize them first after then they can do anything of their choice.

Here in this scene, Tulki engages herself in serving buffalo and Harihar in his daily work. When Harihar brings wood, his eyes turn toward the son of Juna, who is laughing and playing. He is unable to control his fatherly emotions and reach near the child and hold him in his arms. By seeing this act of Harihar, Juna abuses Harihar saying that, “why you touch my child, who gave you right to hold my baby before six months? Have you forgotten your cast? It’s a great sin” (21). Hearing Juna’s abuses, even Tulki asks her husband why he goes there to hold the child.

So if we closely inspect the Juna’s words then we can realize that the people still leave in a conservative world which is regulated by traditional norms and values. The rules of six months restriction keeping distance from child is really a ridiculous values of the tradition which never brings awareness in people’s mind. These norms and values push people only backward not forward in course of development. In this connection critic Prativa Bishwokarma states that “Nepalese society is still moving around the rigid cultural values” (3).

As the subaltern people born in debt, live in debt and die by carrying the burden of debt in their head. This can really be applied in the serial. Here people from subaltern groups are becoming the victim of never ending burden of debt. Here in the twentieth scene of third episode we can see the presence of the character Raney while Harihar and Tulki are busy in their daily work. After preparation of night meal, Harihar tries to wake up his son Juthe for Supper. Beside Juthe there is a broken slate of school children. Harihar picks up that slate and asks his son Juthe, “So do you want to learn ka kaa ki kii...? Juthe nodded his head in yes. Here in this scene, Harihar is feeling weak and seems coughing all the time. At the same time, Raney appears with

a small clothing bag in his hand and enter inside. When Harihar asks him why he came late night, Raney expresses his sufferings. He is totally fed up in serving the landlord. From his words it becomes clear that he really feels difficult even to breath by working under landlord. He reveals truth in front of Harihar that “now I want to break free from tyrannical rule of landlord. I am not able to pay my debt entire my life. The loan which my ancestors took from landlord, I can’t pay them back till my death. So I am escaping far away from here to Muglan” (20). The problem here in this scene which Raney shows is the bitter reality of the society. By hearing this dialogue which Raney speaks against the hegemony of landlords proves that the tele-serial *Dalan* really raises the voices of the voiceless people. In Nepal, still there are lots of Dalits like Raney, who engage themselves in serve of landlord for the sake of ancestor’s loan. The value of life, personal freedom, happiness cannot be expected by those people like Raney. I think Raney dare to do this because of frustration. He gets realization that the job in which he was engaged is really a never ending project which he never accomplishes in his entire life. So he wants to escape from landlord’s life for the freedom, for his desire and happiness.

The concept of power makes man so miser and such power make him sinful and corrupt too. In the fourth episode, Homebahadur awakes Juthey by kicking him at night time. But Juthey refuses to go with Homebahadur saying that “My lord, I am severely suffering from fever and not able to work”(15). But Homebahadur ignore Juthey’s words and kicks him saying that “You fool do not ever try to deceive me, lets move to work” (15). Poor Juthey finally goes with him that night. In this scene, we can easily realize the cruel acts of landlord. Though Juthey unable to work, because of his weak physical condition but for Homebahadur his pain does not matter. For him if Dalits suffering from pain means they are pretending. The landlords do not ever try to

allow excuses for Dalits like Juthey. I am sure that if Dalits are in final state of death, the landlords surely expects some work from them. They do not have any mercy and compassion for Dalits. God created this world, people but people go just against God's expectation. The hierarchy, rank and caste play very vital role between human feelings. Power really categorizes human and their feelings. For God everything which he creates is equal but people and their power concepts bring upheaval in human life. The pain of Juthey is not noticed but the work from Juthey is noticed all the time by Homebahadur. Juthey's father Harihar also has the same compulsion. All time work makes him weak and finally he dies because of tuberculosis if we see here Juthey's life, we can easily say that his life too moves in a same direction such as his father's life because it is a fate of Dalits

The scene of Nanda Prasad home, where Kaali and Maili are working in the field. After sometime Kaali and Maili finishes their field task and returns to Nanda Prasad home. In house Mayawati, wife of Nanda Prasad asks Kaali and Maili about their field task. Kaali and Maili steps ahead towards their hut, Mayawati stop them and again assign them same task. At the same time Nanda Prasad arrives there with his two children who are carrying books. Both Kaali and Maili greet Nanda Prasad saying that "Jaadou Baajee". Nanda Prasad asks both Maili and Kaali about their children. He says that every caste people such as Brahmin, Chettri, Dalit use to send their children to school. Why don't you send your children to school? Both female reply that "We exactly do not know! Our main task is to serve our landlords. If children go to school what will they gain and who will do the work for survival?"

(29). Here in this scene we realize the fact that still in present time Dalit's life depends on serving or working for upper class people. They still remains in the same suffer which they were in the past. If they work they will alive, if not then surely they

go through the disaster of death. So here we learn that in Dalit's fate work is stamped. So instead of sending their children to school, a type of misconception remains in their mind such as nothing will be gained through education. Only work is primary thing through which our life or existence is possible.

There is compulsion for Dalits to work for landlords at any cost. It shows how Dalits are suppressed under the hegemony of landlords. Landlord needs work at any cost and they never allow any extension for Dalits whether they are suffering from any pain or agony. Here in scene twenty one of third episode, we see that Harihar is suffering from his physical weakness and coughing all the time. His wife died some days before due to some problem during pregnancy period. According to Hindu mythology "people must regulate thirteen days mourning in the name of death person" (5). But here in this scene we see the compulsion of Harihar to work before thirteen days in the field for landlord. His physical condition is so weak as well as he is in mourning period but his landlord wants him to do work in the field. Here, we see the conversation of two characters Dhammare and Harihar. So surprised Dhammare asked Harihar that why he join the work before thirteen days? Harihar replies here that "Nobody cares us if we leave our task. If we stop working then landlord will not afford grain and there will be question mark in our survival, if we want to live, work is necessary whether we are in critical condition or in any pain. So work is the primary thing for us to survive here" (21). Everytime there is the sense of insecurity in Dalits life.

After watching this scene, we realize that how much torture is given by the so-called upper class to Dalits. In this age of twenty first century, still the condition of Dalits remains same as before. They are still living under the threat of landlords. In this regard, Amrit Shakya an emerging critic says that, "For us the world changes and

headed towards progress as well as the development but for the subaltern people nothing has changes and they never expect anything from this changing world” (11). Really Dalits are still treated as inhuman and taken as barbaric people who are victims of time. Moreover, Dalits do not have control over their own life rather they are regulated by the landlords as a puppet. Dalits are moving ahead by the landlord’s remote control.

The miserable condition of marginal people because of the landlord’s cruelty is shown clearly in second scene of ninth episode, which reveals tyranny of landlords over the innocent people. In this serial the miserable condition of Dalits is shown in a very clear way. In the name of landlords, people become so brutal and did crime for their selfishness. In *Dalan* we can see the torture and rape of female too. *Dalan* is not only about male sufferings but also about Dalit females who are the victim of landlords. In this serial we can see the female character like Maya as well as Rambha who are victims of landlord’s tyranny. In this scene we can see that the female character Rambha is lying unconscious on the bank of the Kali River. Critic Prabin Kumar says “ The rich and upper level people here doesn’t have any mercy towards the Dalit and they are playing with the prestige of Dalit girl in course of fulfilling their erotic desire taking a Dalit girl as commodity” (7). Actually Rambha was raped by the three people who belong to upper class. They are really wild in nature. They try to rape Rambha, she cries and plea in front of them but being upper class people and having no fear of anything, they committed this sin. Finally they cruelly push Rambha from hill. Later Dhammare, Juthe, and Mane find her in unconscious condition and take her to home.

Here, the identity of female who belong to the minority group is erased in a very cruel way. If we talk about female rights, those people should get punishment for

the sin committed by them but the scene which is presented here is about the rigid society of rural area, where there is hegemony of landlords only. There is no one to hear the voices of the marginal group. We can argue here that subaltern as female is more dominated and oppressed in a double sense. Regarding this issue Gyatri Spivak mention in her seminal essay that “Subaltern as female is even more deeply in shadow, she is marginalized in double sense in the patriarchal society” (83). Spivak’s concern is that the female voices are more oppressed in comparison to male and they can not speak themselves through their own voice. They become compelled to hide the reality in front of society for their survival. Dalits who work under them never try to go against them. If Dalits dare to do so then it is sure that they will loss this way of survival. If Dalits try to revolt against landlords, they themselves go under humiliation and their voices are further oppressed. If we see the condition of Rambha in the following scene, we find that she is wandering here and there as abnormal person. There is no one to realize her agony. Here I want to raise a question that; who will think about her life there after? Can Daltis suffer in such a way in his/her entire life? Can they speak against the power holders of the society? In fact, there is always remains a problem of having no space from which the subaltern reveal against the discrimination, practice by the elite people.

After taking Rambha’s unconscious body to home, people like Dhammare, Juthe and others seem to planning to go to the landlord Home Bahadur in hope of providing justice to Rambha. But their expectation turns opposite. When they put forward the issue of justice especially for the victim girl Rambha, the landlord disappoints them saying that it is not good for our village to call police instead we must take care of Rambha’s health. But Dhammare wants to go against the three people who had raped his daughter. He seems in very aggressive mode but the

landlord console him saying that “don’t try to broaden this issue otherwise you will bear whole humiliation among villagers. So instead doing that now you must keep three hundred rupees for your daughter’s treatment and do not ever try to disclose the fact that your daughter was raped” (8).

In scene eleven of ninth episode we can see how the so-called intellectual and wise teacher behaves towards the subaltern students in course of maintaining their hegemony in the society. We can realize how the children of Dalits are mistreated even by the school teacher. The place which is the center of gaining knowledge even there seems the hierarchy between Dalit children and children of higher class people. If we talk about the teacher, they are also regulated by traditional norms and values. Even they themselves create hierarchy among the children who don’t have better knowledge about the caste. In school we see that the children of Dalits are kept outside the classroom whereas the children of upper class people have facility to study inside the class.

Here in this scene, the teacher’s dialogue clearly shows their neglecting attitude towards the Dalit children. Here the teacher says especially focusing towards Dalit children that, if they will not fill up the form fee, they will not allow to be participated in the examination. Most of the Dalit children’s life is ruined because of economic crisis. It is quite difficult for them to pay school fee in time and they are not capable to do their further study. In present context too, many Dalit children passes time wandering here and there. Because of economic crisis, we can find that lots of Dalit children engaging themselves in childlabour nowadays too. Ashok Darnal states that, “Childrens from Dalit community are still becoming the victim of societal dominance” (11).

The practice of untouchability is not only limited in the village area but it is

still practiced in well-developed modern cities as well. As a result the subaltern people compelled to engage even in illegal activities for their survival. In fact, the society itself seems responsible for making them criminal and doing crime. In scene twenty one of nineteenth episode of *Dalan* we can see the Manav's miserable life all time suppressed by the upper class people. Even if we talk about his life in village, we can find that he is totally depressed by the landlords and finally being frustration, he reached in a city area of Kathmandu in course of survival. But having poor fate, Manav again experience the torture of being Dalit in valley life too. He struggle lot in Kathmandu valley, he wandered for the job but failed and finally he engaged himself in illegal activities because of compulsion. Various situations occur in his life where he lies about his caste. Even if we talk about the house where lived as a tenant, there also he lied about his caste that he belongs to upper class but his fate does not favor him and his lie disclosed in front of everyone. Finally, he expelled from that house too. This scene really shows the struggle of Dalit their pain and agony. Being Dalit is a kind of sin for Manav here. He goes here and there for survival but nobody help him. His struggle for existence depicts the bitter reality of Dalit's life. We expect lot when we step in valley life seeing Manav's condition, the issue of untouchability all these prove that not only prevail in rural areas but also in the urban place Dalits are humiliating all the time. We can learn various things from this tele-serial *Dalan* that everyone must be conscious about humanity. There must not be discrimination between human in the name of upper class and lower class in terms of caste base. The critic Ashok Pyasi Rai remarks that "the situation of Dalit is really miserable. They are outcaste even from the town. Unwantedly, they are compelled to do illegal activities for surviving in the hierarchal society" (10).

Women seem more marginalized within the group itself. The scene sixteenth

of episode twenty reveals the domination of Dalit women Dhanlaxmi and her sufferings. Saroj a principle of school had married her ten years before. But now having extra-marital affair, he deceives his wife and son. By knowing the fact Dhanlaxmi abuses her husband and their controversy turn into fight. Saroj, a principal represents the intellectual circle, is not able to transcend himself from the conservative thinking and treats his wife in a cruel way. His behave proves that the upper class people take the Dalit women as a commodity; they use, enjoy, and ultimately throw away. The poor Dalit women Dhanlaxmi is expressing her agony and says “Saroj did not care us and he never tries to come home since two months, I and my son are alone there” (16).

The movie *Dalan* has really been succeeded in depicting the bitter reality regarding the subaltern groups of the contemporary Nepalese society. Anyone with the slightest interest in human rights will find this movie very heart-wrenching. It is a well attempt to unveil the societal reality which exclusively focusing on the dehumanizing impact emerged out of practice of discrimination. How the orthodox societal norms and values imposed to the subaltern people and how power is mobilized by the so called upper level people in course of dominating the subaltern is the main concern here. The movie is really heart-warming, which is advocating for the right of subaltern Dalit and raising awareness for their upliftment as well. This movie *Dalan* has collected much appreciation from national and international level for its impact which it left after the broadcast from the television. In representing the subaltern voices, the movie does not left any weaknesses in the way. While going through, we can realize that subaltern voices are getting an absolute way to comes out through the movie *Dalan*.

### **III. *Dalan*: An Effort to Redraw the Boundary of Dalit Representation**

The movie *Dalan* successfully portrays the aim of focusing on the Dalits' deprivation for decades and also enabling them to speak for their rights just like the other citizens. This dissertation has analyzed the Nepalese socio-political and also cultural situation through the movie *Dalan* that captures the history between 1951 to 1996. The main concern here is how the movie successfully represents the traditional concept of society and how the so-called upper class people of the society dominant over the Dalits and the community have been always discriminated, exploited, oppressed and subordinated in each and every spheres of life. They are exploited socially, economically, religiously, culturally and even politically. The orthodox laws and cultural dominance is the main cause of subordination which imposed the constructed rigid norms over the Dalit community in course of further suppressed the voices of them. Such discrimination is prevailed over the Nepalese society is exploited by the movie, which is further elaborated by this dissertation.

Minority representation theories advocates for the marginalized groups of the society. It speaks on behalf of those people who are suppressed by the hegemonic power structure of the society. 'Marginal' commonly refers to those persons who are socially, culturally, politically and geographically deprived. Minority people are always rendered without agency by his or her social status.

The history of Nepalese Dalit is oppressed and suppressed and the practice is still prevailing in the society even after the various socio-political changes. The study has taken the caste system and emergence of untouchability, caste-based discrimination in the rigid Nepalese societies. The voices of Dalits are suppressed under the vast mist of slavery and they are ignored people in every field of the society. Power holders of the society created discourse about Dalits that they are like

disable, weak and unable people and the suffering in course of living is inevitable for them. The voices are silenced through the practice of discrimination.

This tele-serial really depicts the life of subaltern groups, especially the Dalits of Nepalese society. The movie goes against the trend which was prevalent from ancient time to the present, the movie develops the awareness in people that Dalits are also human, they also have right to live freely. Beside all that this serial has made every audience to think twice about the traditional norms and values whether they are good or bad. In this modern life of science and technology, the people of Nepal still live in such conservative thinking and they still live the ancient way of life. Everyone must not be deprived from any way. S/he must have right to survive freely. Nobody should become the victim of such type of discrimination and this is the plea of *Dalan* for all the people of Nepal, who lived here from decade and called themselves so-called human.

## Works Cited

- Adhikari, Yagya Prasad. "Human Rights Film Screening Concludes" *The Rising Nepal Daily* 13 July, 2008:7.
- Alvarado, Manuel, Rabin Gutch and Jana Woolen. *Learning the Media*. London: McMillan, 1987.
- Baraily, Purnasingh. "Salam Dalit Samuhalai" *Janadisha Daily* 15 Nov, 2008:9
- Bhadra, Gautam. "The Mentality of Subalternity: Kantanama or Rajdharma." Selected Subaltern Studies. Ed. Ranjit Guha. Delhi: OUP, 1988.54-91.
- Bhakta, Saru. "Dalanlai Nagarik Samman" *Naya Patrika Daily* 6 April, 2009:10.
- Bhattachan, Krishna B. *Minorities and Indigenous Peoples of Nepal*. Kathmandu: NCARDS, 2008.
- "Social and Economic Conclusions of Dalit Women." *Dalits of Nepal*. Ed. Prabodh M. Devkota. Lalitpur: Feminist Dalit Organization (FEDO), 2005. 65-90.
- Chakrabarty, Dipesh "Subaltern Studies and Postcolonial Historiography." *Nepantla: Views from South* 1.1 (200): 9-33.
- Dulal, Biswakhata (Aahuti). *Dalan*. Gagan Media, Kathmandu, 2010.
- Das, Veena. "Subaltern as Perspective." *Subaltern Studies VI*. Ed. Ranjit Guha. Delhi: OUP, 1989. 311-24.
- Guha, Ranjit. "On Some Aspects of the History of Colonial India." 1982. *Mapping Subaltern Studies and the Postcolonial* Ed Vinayak Chaturvdi. London: Verso, 2000. 1-7.
- Hall, S. *The West and the Rest: Discourse and Power. Race and Racialization*. Das Gupta, et al. (Eds) Toronto: Canadian Scholars Press. 2007.
- Hooks, Bell. "Marginality as a Site of Resistance, in R. Ferguson" et al. (eds), *Marginalization and Contemporary Cultures*. Cambridge, MA: MIT, 1990:

241-43.

Karmacharya, Avas. "52 Windows on Nepal's Dalits" *The Kathmandu Post Daily* 17 June, 2008:6.

Khumbu, Baliraj. "*Dalan* Hoina Dalit Muktiko Katha" *Nawa Samaj Monthly* 15 June, 2008:41.

Kisan, Yam Bahadur. *The Nepali Dalit Social Movement*. Lalitpur: Legal Rights Protection Society-Nepal, 2005.

Koirala, Bidhyanath. "Selecting Caste and Crew" *The Rising Nepal Daily* 27 September, 2008:5.

Lawson, Victoria. *Making Development Geography*. UK: Hodder Education, 2007.

Montrose, Louis. "New Historicisms." *Redrawing the Boundaries*. Ed. Stephen Greenblatt and Geles Gunn. New York: Modern Language Association of America, 1992. 374-92.

Murrey, Jon Beasley and Alberto Moreiras. Eds. "Editorial: Subalternity and Affect." *Journal of Theoretical Humanities* 6.1 (April 2001): 1-4.

Official Website: [www.yoDalan.com](http://www.yoDalan.com)

Oli, Prajwal. "*Dalan* Mirrors Nepali Society of Past Five Decades" *The Rising Nepal Daily* 13 July, 2008: 8.

Prakash, Gyan. "Subaltern Studies and Postcolonial Criticism." *American Historical Review*. 99.5 (Dec 1994): 1475-90.

Radhakrishnan, R. *Diasporic Mediations*. USA: University of Minnesota Press, 1997.

Rai, Ashokpyasi. "Dalitko Aakhama Nepali Samaj" *Dristi Weekly* 29 July, 2008:10.

Rana, Madhukar Narsingh. "*Dalan* Poignant Tales from Stereotype Nepal" *The Kathmandu Post Daily* 13 July, 2008: 8.

Said, Edward. *Orientalism Race and Racialization*. Essential Readings. Das Gupta, T.

et al (eds).Toronto: Canadian Scholars Press. 2007.

Spivak, Gayatri Chakravarty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Colonial Discourse and Post-Colonial Theory: A Reader*. Eds. Patrick Williams and Laura Chrisman. New York: Colombia UP,(1994): 66-111.

---"A literary Representation of the Subaltern: Mahaswetadevi's "Standayini.""

Subaltern Studies V. Ed. Ranjit Guha. Delhi: OUP, 1987.

---" Subaltern Studies: Deconstructing Historiography." Subaltern Studies IV. Ed.

Ranjit Guh. Delhi: OUP, 1986, 330-63.

Subedi, Bishwamani. "Damdar *Dalan*" *Janadisha Daily* 10 June, 2008:6.

Thokar, Kesab. "Kranti Bokera Aayo *Dalan*" *Nepal Samacharpatra Daily* 24 Aug, 2008:7.

Upreti, Dr. Sanjeev. "Representing Dalit" *Dalit of Nepal*. Ed. Prabodh M Devkota.

Lalitpur: Feminist Dalti Organization (FEDO), 2005. 167-72.

Vishwakarma, Hira. "Dalits of Nepal: Their movement Now and Then. *Dalits of*

*Nepal*. Ed. Prabodh M. Devkota. Lalitpur: Feminist Dalit Organization (FEDO), 2005. 19-42.