

THE IMPACT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ON PROFITABILITY OF NEPALESE COMMERCIAL BANKS

A Thesis

Submitted By

Laxmi Karki

Kist College of Management

Exam Roll No.: 4220006/ 069

TU Reg. No.: 7-2-503-50-2006

Submitted To

Office of Dean

Faculty of Management

Tribhuvan University

In partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Degree of
Master of Business Studies (MBS)

Kathmandu, Nepal

July, 2014

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the thesis

Submitted By

Laxmi Karki

Entitled

THE IMPACT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ON PROFITABILITY OF NEPALESE COMMERCIAL BANKS

has been prepared as approved by this department in the prescribed format of Faculty of
Management. This thesis is forwarded for examination.

.....

.....

Mr. Ganesh Bista

Mr. Shyam Kaji Khatri

Mr. Hari Niraula

(Programme Coordinator)

(Head of Research

(Principal)

and Thesis Supervisor) Department)

Date: July, 2014

VIVA-VOCE SHEET

We have conducted the viva-voce examination of the thesis of presented by

Laxmi Karki

Entitled

THE IMPACT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ON PROFITABILITY OF NEPALESE COMMERCIAL BANKS

and found the thesis to be the original work of the student and written according to the prescribed format. We recommend the thesis to be accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master's Degree in Business Studies (M.B.S.)

VIVA-VOCE COMMITTEE

Chairperson (Research Committee) :

Member, (External Expert) :

Member, (Thesis Supervisor) :

Date: July, 2014

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work reported in this thesis entitled “**The Impact of Corporate Governance on Profitability of Nepalese Commercial Banks**” submitted to Kist College, Faculty of Management, Tribhuvan University is my original work done in the form of In partial fulfillment of the requirement of the degree of Master in Business Studies (M.B.S) prepared under the supervision of **Mr. Ganesh Bista** and Chairperson of Research Committee **Mr. Shyam Kaji Khatri** of Kist College of Management.

.....

Laxmi Karki

Exam Roll No.: 4220006/69

T.U. Reg. No. : 7-2-503-50-2006

July, 2014

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank Tribhuvan University for giving chance to prepare the thesis. This thesis entitled “**The Impact of Corporate Governance on Profitability of Nepalese Commercial Banks**” has been prepared for partial fulfillment of the requirement of the degree of Master in Business Studies (M.B.S)

It is very complicated to prepare this thesis being student of MBS. I could not prepare this thesis without taking help of many individuals. So, I would like to thank all of the individuals who help me to prepare this thesis.

I would like to express my bottomless gratitude to my thesis supervisor and coordinator **Mr. Ganesh Bista** and Chairperson of Research Committee **Mr. Shyam Kaji Khatri**, who helped me in every step with constructive suggestion, techniques and procedure of research and valuable suggestions that catered me the necessary energy and confidence to make this thesis possible.

I also hearty thanks to all the library members of central library T.U. who help me by providing required books and thesis for preparing my thesis especially my friend Mr. Mani Manandhar for his help in computer work and various supports during my work.

Lastly, I would like to express my sincere thanks to all the staff of Kist Collage of Management for their co-operation and encouragement throughout the preparation of the thesis.

I hope the possible errors would be covered by the subsequent studies in this field in the future.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Recommendation

Viva -Voice -Sheet

Declaration

Acknowledgement

Table of Content

List of Tables

List of Figures

Abbreviations

CHAPTERS	Page No.
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	(1-
11)	
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 Objective of the Study	8
1.4 Significance of the Study	9
1.5 Limitations of the Study	10
1.6 Organization of the Study	10
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	(12-31)
2.1 Introduction of Corporate Governance Principles	12

2.1.1	Rights of Shareholders	12
2.1.2	Equitable Treatment of Shareholders	13
2.1.3	The Role of Stakeholders in Corporate Governance	14
2.1.4	Disclosure and Transparency	14
2.1.5	Responsibilities of the Board	15
2.2	Literature Review	16
2.2.1	Corporate Governance and Firm Performance	18
2.2.2	Board Composition and Firm Performance	19
2.2.3	Board Size and Firm Performance	21
2.2.4	Board Activity and Firm Performance	22
2.2.5	Corporate Governance and Regulation in Nepal	22
2.3	Theoretical Framework	25
2.3.1	Board Size	25
2.3.2	Board Activity	26
2.3.3	Ownership Concentration	26
2.3.4	Bank Size	27
2.4	Review of the Thesis	28
2.5	Research Gap	30

CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY (32-39)

3.1	Research Methodology	32
3.2	Research Design	32
3.3	Nature and source of Data	33
3.4	Population and Sample	33
3.5	Method of Analysis	34

CHAPTER IV: PRESENTATION & ANALYSIS OF DATA (40-55)

4.1	Descriptive Interpretation	41
4.2	Correlation Analysis of the Studied Variables	43
4.2.1	Correlation Analysis of ROA and Corporate Governance Mechanisms	44
4.2.2	Correlation Analysis of ROE and Corporate Governance Mechanisms	45
4.2.3	Correlation Analysis of NPL and Corporate Governance Mechanisms	45
4.3	Multivariate Analysis	47
4.4	Major Findings	55

CHAPTER V: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS	(56-60)
5.1 Summary	56
5.2 Conclusion	58
5.3 Recommendations	59

BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

Table No	Title	Page No.
3.1	List of banks selected for the Study	34
4.1	Summary of descriptive statistics	41
4.2	Correlation among the variables	46
4.3	Summary of Model 1 with ROE dependent variable	47
4.4	Summary of Model 1 with ROA dependent variable	49
4.5	Summary of Model 1 with NPL dependent variable	50
4.6	Summary of Model 2 with ROA dependent variable	52
4.7	Summary of Model 2 with NPL dependent variable	53

List of figures

Figure No.		Page No.
Figure 1.1	Corporate Governance Framework	4

ABBREVIATIONS

BM	:	Board Meeting
CG	:	Corporate Governance
GDP	:	Gross Domestic Product
NPL	:	Non Performing Loan
NPR	:	Nepalese Currency
NRB	:	Nepal Rastra Bank
OECD	:	Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development
ROA	:	Return on Assets
ROE	:	Return on Equity
SCOS	:	Size of Board of Directors
SHOD	:	Number of Shareholders
JVB	:	Joint Venture Bank
DPB	:	Domestic Private Bank
OLS	:	Ordinary Least Square
FI	:	Financial Institution

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Corporate governance is the set of processes, customs, policies, laws, and institutions affecting the way a corporation (or company) is directed, administered or controlled. Corporate governance comprises the long-term management and oversight of the company in accordance with the principles of responsibility and transparency. (Organization of Economic Co- operation and Development, OECD, 2010).

Corporate governance structure specifies the distribution of rights and responsibilities among different participants in the corporations. It is a key element in improving economic efficiency, which involves a set of relationships between a company's management, its board, managers, its shareholders and other stakeholders. It also provides the structure through which the objectives of the company are set and the means of attaining those objectives and monitoring performance are determined. Corporate governance is a term that refers broadly to the rules, processes, or laws by which businesses are operated, regulated, and controlled.

The term can refer to internal factors defined by the officers, stockholders or constitution of a corporation, as well as to external forces such as consumer groups, clients, and government regulations. Well-defined and enforced corporate governance provides a structure that, at least in theory, works for the benefit of everyone concerned by ensuring that the enterprise adheres to accepted ethical standards and best practices as well as to formal laws. To that end, organizations have been formed at the regional, national, and global levels.

Corporate governance has been an issue of global concern long before now. However, it came to the fore in the 1980's as fallout of the Cadbury report in the United Kingdom, which concentrated on the financial aspects of corporate governance. Immediately following suits, the subject of corporate governance reverberated round developed and developing countries. The Governance of banks becomes even more pronounced considering their role of financial intermediation in developing economies. Commercial banks are the main providers of funds to enterprise and where there is a thin or absent capital market, their failure becomes the failure of the system. The fundamental issue of corporate governance and banks performance cannot be completed without proper understanding of the functions of shareholders and directors; the creation of an efficient and reliable board from among the shareholders to checkmate director's excesses if any becomes a sine qua non. This is so because even in some instances, the corporations whose turnover are larger than the GDP of

some developing countries. It becomes pertinent to ask if there is a link between corporate governance and performance.

Sound corporate governance is an important element of sustainable private sector development - not only because it strengthens businesses' ability to attract investment and grow, but also because it makes them, stronger, more efficient, and more accountable. The definition of corporate governance most widely used is "the system by which companies are directed and controlled". Corporate governance has been the buzzword in Nepal in recent years. Nepal Rastra Bank (NRB) has issued directives on good corporate governance. It is a clear indication of central bank's commitment to bring about high level of corporate governance. With the advent of economic liberalization and public limited companies being listed in the stock exchange, a felt need for better corporate practices has emerged. Good corporate governance is not an old concept for the Nepalese financial system. Prior to the open licensing system for the establishment of Bank and Financial Institution, authorities were not found so concerned about good governance of financial institutions. The absence or the denial of good governance led two old public sector commercial banks Rastriya Banijya Bank and Nepal Bank Limited towards serious shortfall in all aspects of their governance. As soon as the new private and joint venture commercial banks and other financial institutions started their establishment in Nepal, the concerned Nepalese authorities started thinking seriously about the necessity of good corporate governance. Due to lack of accountability, lack of experience as well as expertise and the practice to use the facility in one's own interest it was observed that some of the banks and financial institutions were not following the principle of good corporate governance. Such practice seriously affected their profitability resulting in piling up of the non-performing assets. Nepal Rastra Bank as a custodian to Nepalese financial system came forward and started issuing relevant prudential regulations, which was the demand of the hour. Issuance of such regulations had been welcomed as a step towards restoring good governance in the banks and financial institutions. Regulation was aimed at helping them to enhance their deteriorated good governance conditions, which was thought as the root cause of non-performance.

To study about the corporate governance and its effect on the Profitability of Banks in Nepal, an article on "Does Corporate Governance affect Bank Profitability? Evidence from Nigeria" by Akpan, Emmanuel S and Riman, Hodo B is taken as a base. For the study purpose, the data will be collected from the financial report of the selected banks.

In simple form, the corporate governance includes the interrelated and interlocked relation of the players like shareholders, the management, the board of directors, all the stakeholders including the society and the Government of the Nation. Academician treats it as a problem of separation of management and ownership and so on. The banks play a crucial role in building the economy and Nepal is no exception. Effective corporate governance in banks and financial institutions helps foster financial stability, strengthen risk management and ultimately contribute to a strong financial system. A sustainable growth in the economy

is critically dependent on a sound financial system. Nepal is in a critical stage of transition. The fundamental issue of corporate governance and banks performance cannot be complete without proper understanding of the functions of shareholders and directors; the creation of an efficient and reliable board from among the shareholders to checkmate director's excesses if any becomes a sine qua non. This is so because even in some instances, we see corporations whose turnover are larger than the GDP of some developing countries. It becomes pertinent to ask if there is a link between corporate governance and performance.

Therefore, corporate governance is a relationship between shareholders (stockholders), board of directors and managers (top management) in shaping the direction of the direction of the company in order to achieve a sustainable performance. It consists of external corporate governance and internal corporate governance that serve public's interest, employee's interest and owner's interest. Good corporate governance and transparency are fundamental elements to achieve an organization's vision and objectives. The framework shown below explains the term "Corporate Governance."



Figure 1: Corporate Governance Framework

1.2 Statement of the problem

The subject of corporate governance is of enormous importance. Even in advance market economics there is a great deal of discussion on effectiveness of governance mechanisms. Different studies made different assessment about the corporate governance. After many corporate collapses of Enron, WorldCom, HIH Insurance because of poor governance and due to threatening of financial crisis is growing faster today; corporate governance structure has been put into focus and gets more concerns. Besides, the financial crisis of 1997 in East Asia countries has brought the need for CG's progress as an emergent demand.

Profit efficiency studies found that mergers and acquisition improve profit, which comes as a result of portfolio shifts. (Akhavain, Berger and Humphery, 1997). In considering performance, the main question is always on credit availability and portfolio allocation, as well as efficiency. This is because the objectives of states owned banks in particular centers on developing specific industries or region, export expansion and always engaged in directed lending. Studies for individual nations particularly with respect of changing from state ownership to foreign ownership. Mexico (Haber, 2005), Nigeria (Beck, Cull and Jerome, 2005), Brazil (Beck, Crivelli and Summerhill, 2005). Spon and Sullivan (2007) examine the relationship between banks ownership and several governance aspects and found out that increasing ownership stakes for hired managers and boards improves banks performance. However, for banks to perform its intermediating functions, certain issues like their objectives for being in existence must be considered.

According to Lefort and Urzua (2008), boards of directors are central institution in the internal governance of a company. In addition to strategic direction, they provide a key monitoring function in dealing with agency problems in the firm (Lefort & Urzua, 2008). Due to the importance of board of directors, many studies have concentrated on finding good structure and composition of the board and check if it affects firm performance. In addition, boards of companies with high ownership concentration will tend to be mostly comprised of directors who represent the owner manager's interests, thus being unable to deal with the specific agency problem adequately (Lefort & Urzua, 2008).

Pathan, Skully, Wickramanayake (2008) show a statistically significant negative relation between Thai banks' board size and performances, while a statistically significant positive impact of the proportion of independent directors on the bank board and performance for 1999-2003. Pinteris (2002) documents a negative relationship between bank ownership concentration and bank performance in the Argentinean banking industry. Crespi, García-Cestona, and Salas (2004) found a negative relationship between performance and governance intervention for Spanish bank.

Begger, Hanweek and Humphery(1987), using US data found very little scale economies or diseconomies on account of static differences in performance between domestically owned banks and their foreign and state owned counterparts. Deniser and Strahan(1997), actually found possible revenue benefits for large banks than small banks in 1990's. Deyoung, Hunter and Udell(2004), found that large and small banks serve different groups of customers, use different technologies and /or have different effects on competition

Yung (2009), using panel regression methods, confirm board size has a great impact on bank performance. While Love and Rachinsky (2009), using a sample of 107 banks in Russia and 50 banks in Ukraine found some significant, but economically unimportant relationship between governance and contemporaneous operating performance and a weaker link with subsequent performance.

Yaser Fallatah and Denise Dickins carried out a research about the “Corporate governance and firm performance and value in Saudi Arabia”. The objective of the study was to investigate the relationship between corporate governance characteristics and firm performance and value of Saudi-listed firms using an index intended to capture the combined effect of firms’ corporate governance characteristics the data were gathered from Saudi-listed firms from the years 2006 to 2009 using nine corporate governance characteristics. These governance characteristics are selected based on the findings of prior research subject to availability of data. This that corporate governance and firm performance (measured as return on assets) are unrelated, but corporate governance and firm value (measured as Tobin’s Q and market value of equity) are positively related.

Khatab et-al, (2011), carried out a research about “Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: A Case study of Karachi Stock Market” and the objective of the study were to investigate the relationship between corporate governance and firm’s performance of twenty firms listed at Karachi Stock Exchange. The main objective of the study was to find whether profitability ratios i.e., ROA and ROE affect the performance of the firm? The performance of corporate governance is analyzed through Tobin’s Q, while performance of the firms is measured by return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE). The data set is obtained from the annual reports for the year 2005-2009. The multiple regression models are applied to test the significance of corporate governance and firm profitability. The result shows that leverage and growth have a positive relationship with Tobin’s Q, which confirms a significant effect in measuring performance of the firm.

Akpan, Emmanuel S and Riman, Hodo B (2012) carried out a research about the “Does Corporate Governance affect Bank Profitability? Evidence from Nigeria” and the objective of the study were to examine the relationship between corporate governance and banks profitability in Nigeria. The data were obtained from the annual reports of 11 out of 24 banks (46%) operating in Nigeria.

In brief, corporate governance is a set of process an entity’s culture, policies, law and institutional value that affect the way a corporation is directed, administrated or controlled. It is a combination of corporate policies and best practices adopted by corporate bodies in achieving its objectives in relation to their stakeholders. It aims to protect shareholder’s rights, to enhance disclosure and transparency, to facilitate effective functioning of the board and to provide an efficient legal and regulatory enforcement framework. It addresses the principal/agency problem through a mix of company law, stock exchange rules and sub regulatory codes. It arises from high profile corporate scandals, globalization and increased investor activism.

Nepal is a developing country and facing with the problem of political instability, low economic growth, and higher inflation. There is no any proper code of Corporate Governance prevailing in Nepal. Therefore the attempt has been done to show the relationship between the bank performance (i.e. Return on assets (ROA), Return on equity (ROE), Non-performing Loans (NPL)) and other related variables.

But the study doesn't provide any specific link between bank performances with other variables.

Though there are above mentioned empirical evidences in the context of other countries, no such evidences exist in the context of Nepal. This study therefore deals with the following issues:

1. How can corporate governance affect the profitability of the bank?
2. What is the relationship between Board size and performance of the commercial banks of Nepal?
3. What is the relationship between shareholder size and performance of the commercial banks of Nepal?
4. Do this study bridges a gap in the literature and contributes to the understanding of corporate governance and performance of banks?
5. What is the impact of total assets on performance of the commercial banks of Nepal?
6. What is the impact of total equity on performance of the commercial banks of Nepal?

1.3 Objectives of the study

Since, corporate governance is a combination of corporate policies and best practices adopted by the corporate bodies to achieve its objectives in relation to their stakeholders. The major objective of the study is to examine the effect of fundamental variables on corporate governance and the relationship of different corporate governance variables on the profitability of the commercial banks in Nepal.

The specific objectives are:

1. To study the relationship between corporate governance and profitability of commercial banks.
2. To explain the relationship between corporate governance and banks performance in Nepal.
3. To study the impact of total assets on performance of the commercial banks of Nepal.
4. To find out the impact of total equity on performance of the commercial banks of Nepal

1.4 Significance of the Study

This study is important to indicate the linear relationship between corporate governance and bank performance. Corporate Governance is equally significance to all type of corporate institutions. Furthermore it is very crucial and essential element for banking system because banks and financial institutions depend on the Other People There may be a gap among major stakeholders like owners, depositors and management. Very limited people have a right to access in resources and decision. Due to lack of transparency and adequate control mechanism, there may be

chance of vested interest and moral hazard problems. It is universal fact that the higher degree of transparency contributes towards the maximizing shareholders value and ensuring the fairness to rest of the shareholders. Corporate governance also enhances performance of the corporation by motivating manager to maximize returns on investment, raising operational efficiencies and ensuring long- term productive growth. Following key points help to emphasis the significance of the study especially in the banking sector.

1. Banking system stability is important for economic growth.
2. Good corporate governance (CG) is required in banks to achieve good CG in other firms.
3. Banks have wider stakeholders- government, regulators and most importantly depositors.
4. Promotes market confidence, helps to attract additional capital and fosters market discipline through good disclosure and transparency.
5. Helps to ensure that the company takes into account the interest of not only of a group of people but also of the communities within which they operate. Those actions in turn help to ensure that financial institutions are operating for the benefit of the society.
6. Good corporate governance practices can strongly contribute to financial market development and financial stability.

1.5 Limitation of the Study

This study is highly dependent on the annual report of the selected banks. Almost information and data needed for this study are available in annual reports and financial statements, which are informed publicly. So, this study just concentrates on collecting and retrieving data from Nepalese commercial bank. Therefore, there are some limitations in this study which are:

1. Minimum five year's data of the selected bank are used for the study (2007/8-2012/13)
2. Study is fully based on the annual report provided by the banks. Thus there is the limitation of prevailing secondary data.
3. This study is only limited to the 20 commercial banks of Nepal.
4. Due to time constraint, not all the related areas were possible to cover in depth
5. Lack of literature and the study about corporate governance in the perspective of Nepal.

1.6 Organization of the Study

The study is about the corporate governance and profitability of commercial Bank of Nepal. It has been organized into five chapters:

Chapter I – Introduction

The first chapter is the introductory chapter which provides concept and background of the corporate governance practices in Nepal. It has served orientation for readers to know about the basic information of the research area, various problems of the study, objectives of the study, significance and limitations of the study and organization of the study.

Chapter II – Review of Literature

The second chapter deals with the review of literature. It is the way to discover what other research in the area of the similar field has uncovered. It includes conceptual framework along with review of major books, journals, research works and thesis etc.

Chapter III – Research Methodology

This chapter deals with Research Methodology. It includes Methodology used to achieve the objectives of the study, sources of data, population and sample, financial and statistical tools used.

Chapter IV – Presentation and Analysis of Data

This chapter deals with analysis and interpretation of data using financial and statistical tools and Major findings are described in third chapter.

Chapter V – Summary, Conclusion & Recommendations

This is the last chapter which deals with summary, conclusions and recommendation of the study. On the basis of the results data analysis, the researcher will conclude about the performance of the concerned organization for better performance.

Bibliography and Appendices have been included at the end.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

As discussed before, Corporate Governance is a set of international benchmark for application in a variety of countries. It is more than minimum compliance with laws and regulations but a way to encourage companies to improve their performance in international market. In this part, firstly I will introduce generally theory of CG Principles. Secondly, the role of board of directors and ownership concentration in line with the performance of companies will be explained. Then, literature review part summarize on relating researches in the past. Next, I consider and discuss the performance in adoption of CG in previous studies and the regulation of CG in Vietnam. Finally, theoretical framework between dependent variables and control variables will be shown up to refer for this study.

2.1 Introducing of Corporate Governance Principles

Corporate Governance is concerned with the relationship between the internal governance mechanisms of corporations and society's conception of the scope of corporate accountability (Deakin and Hughes, 1997). In general, Corporate Governance Principles covers following areas:

- The rights of shareholders;
- The equitable treatment of shareholders;
- The role of stakeholders;
- Disclosure and transparency; and
- The responsibilities of the board.

Each Principle is explained more details as following:

2.1.1 Rights of Shareholders

A shareholder or stockholder is an individual or institution (including a corporation) that legally owns a share of stock in a public or private corporation. They are the owners of the limited company. They buy shares which represent part of ownership of company. Shareholders are considered as the subset of the stakeholders, which may include anyone who has a direct or indirect interest in the business entity. For eg: employee, suppliers, customers, community etc. Based on the OECD Principles (OECD, 2004b), the CG framework should protect and facilitate the exercise of shareholders' rights. Basic shareholder rights should include the right to:

- Secure methods of ownership registration,
- Convey or transfer shares,
- Obtain relevant and material information of the corporation on a timely and regular basis,

- Participate and vote in general shareholder meetings, for this they should be furnished with sufficient and timely information concerning the date, location and agenda of the general meetings, as well as full timely information regarding the issues to be decided at the meetings,
- Elect and remove members of the board,
- Decide on the remuneration of the board member or executives,
- Share in the profits(get the dividend) and share- repurchase,
- Shareholders should have the opportunity to ask questions to the board and take participation in key corporate governance decisions,
- The right to what assets remains after liquidation.

Besides, shareholders need to be noticed on decisions concerning fundamental corporate changes such as projects or investment information, rules concerned to voting process for example. One important point in this principle is that does company have antitakeover defense to protect the rights of shareholders.

2.1.2 Equitable Treatment of Shareholders

Based on the OECD Principles (OECD, 2004), CG framework should ensure the equitable treatment for all shareholders, from majority shareholders to minority, from national to foreign shareholders. All shareholders should have the opportunity to obtain effective redress for violation of their rights. Within any series of a class, all shareholders should be treated equally in voting, receiving the information, etc. Besides, minority shareholders should be protected from abusive actions and be able to influence board composition. OECD also prohibits insider trading and abusive self-dealing which influence to the treatment equally.

2.1.3 The role of Stakeholders in Corporate Governance

Law or mutual agreements should describe clearly the rights and interests of stakeholders including employees, creditors, suppliers and so on. The companies not only assure the rights of stakeholder but also make the best condition for stakeholders to participate in the CG process. For instance, they should be able to access the relevant, sufficient and reliable information on timely and regular basis. Stakeholders, including individual employees and their representative bodies, should be able to freely communicate their concerns about illegal or unethical practices to the board and their rights should not be compromised for doing this.

2.1.4 Disclosure and Transparency

Based on the OECD Principles (OECD, 2004), CG framework should ensure that timely and accurate disclosure of all material matters regarding the corporation, including the financial

situation, performance, ownership and governance of the company. Disclosure should include, but not be limited to, material information on:

- The financials and operating results of the company.
- Company objectives.
- Major share ownership and voting rights.
- Remuneration policy for members of the board and key executives, and information about board members, including their qualifications, the selection process, other company directorships and whether they are regarded as independent by the board.
- Related party transactions.
- Foreseeable risk factors.
- Issues regarding employees and other stakeholders.
- Governance structures and policies, in particular, the content of any corporate governance code or policy and the process by which it is implemented.

Besides, channels for disseminating information such as company's website, stock market's web, and press release of company, etc...should provide for equal, timely and easily access.

2.1.5 Responsibilities of the Board

The CG framework should ensure the strategic guidance of the company, the effective monitoring of management by the board, and the board's accountability to the company and the shareholders (OECD, 2004). The board should fulfill certain key functions, including:

- Firstly, the board should apply high ethical standards, treat all shareholders fairly and have independent judgments on corporate affairs since board decisions may affect different shareholder group differently and to do that, they should be able to access the relevant information if needed.
- Reviewing and guiding corporate strategy, major plans of action, risk policy, annual budgets and business plans, setting performance objectives, monitoring, implementation and corporate performance and overseeing major capital expenditures, acquisitions and divestitures.
- Monitoring the effectiveness of the company's governance practices and making changes as needed.
- Ensuring a formal and transparent board nomination and election process.
- Besides monitoring the effectiveness of the company's governance practices and making changes as needed, managing and solving the potential conflicts of interests between

management, board members and shareholders are also the important duties of the board. In addition, in some cases, they can replace key executives and oversee their activities.

2.2 Literature Review

From the abundance of literature on corporate governance, much of it supports the idea that good corporate governance matters, and that it can affect both equity prices, as well as the capability for a firm to finance its operations and the cost of capital. Its importance arises in modern corporations due to the separation of management and ownership control in the organizations. The interests of shareholders are conflicting with the interests of managers. There are numerous studies on the ownership structure and the corporate governance and its impact on firm performance. Corporate governance is necessary ingredients for the firm performance as well as for the overall growth of the economy of the country. Most of these studies support the notion that there is a positive relationship between effective corporate governance (namely: number of shareholders, firm size and board size) and firm performance. Due to the vastness of topics on corporate governance this study has tried to focus only on literature directly related to our method of research, but I have also discuss any relevant topics as some of them are coherent in nature. Therefore, the following literature review must be looked upon as a guide on how the methodology is constructed.

Kapopoulos and Lazaretou (2007) used data of 175 greek listed firms in order to investigate whether there is strong evidence that ownership structure affects firm's performance, measured by profitability. Empirical findings indicate that there is a positive relationship between profitability and ownership structure in greek firms. Specifically, the results state that the greater the degree to which shares are concentrated in outside or inside shareholders, the more efficient the firm's management and as a result the firm's performance.

Love and Rachinsky (2008) in their paper investigate the connection between ownership, corporate governance and operating performance in the banking sector for the period 2003 – 2006. Yung (2009), using panel regression methods, confirm board size has a great impact on bank performance.

Pradhan and Adhikari (2009) carried a research about "Corporate Governance and Firm Performance in Nepal" and the objectives of the study were to analyze the corporate governance variables (such as institutional ownership, public directors and public capital) with firm performance and also examining the relationship of disclosure quality and firm performance. The major objective of this study is to examine the effect of fundamental variables on corporate governance in Nepal. This study used pooled cross-sectional data of 14 enterprises with 98 observations from 1997 to 2003. This study used Return on total capital employed, market price of share (MPS) as the variables that measure firm performance. The Leverage, institutional ownership to total ownership, public director to total director public capital to total capital and the Number of Shareholders (CBOD) measures the corporate governance index. The AGM conducted on time, financial statement submitted on time, A class auditor appointed as a dummy variables, while total Assets as control variables. These variables were examined by estimation of various econometric models. This study revealed the existence of the relationship between corporate governance practices and firm performance in Nepalese enterprises.

Akpan and Riman (2012) carried out a research about “Does Corporate Governance affect Bank Profitability? Evidence from Nigeria” and the objective of the study were to explain the relationship between corporate governance and banks performance in Nigeria from 2005 – 2008; considering the Soludo’s consolidation (reform) agenda. The paper will also determine explicitly what variables explain corporate governance and how they impact on banks performance in Nigeria, within the period under review. The main objective of this study was to examine the relationship between corporate governance and banks profitability in Nigeria. This study used Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE and Non-Performing loans (NPL) as the variables that measure bank performance. The number/size of Board of Directors (SBOD) and the Number of Shareholders (CBOD) measures the corporate governance index, while total Assets and Total Equity served as control variables applying the descriptive and correlation analysis to examine the relationship between Corporate Governance and Bank Performance in Nigeria. The result shows that good corporate governance and not assets value determine the profitability of banks in Nigeria. The study made four recommendations, one of which is to encourage banks to have small but qualitative board size that is made up of financial and legal professionals .

2.2.1 Corporate Governance and Firm Performance

International agencies and domestic legal authorities have paid increasingly more attention to the review and reform of the company law following the Enron and Worldcom scandals in the US. According to Ma (2005), Hong Kong recently has established a clearly outline of responsible corporate governance arrangements.

The purpose of corporate governance is to coordinate a conflict of interests among all parties' relationship within the company and to develop a system that can reduce or eliminate the agency problems (OECD, 1997). It argues that the agency problems become more critical with weak governance and limited protection of minority shareholders in a company (Dharwadkar, George, & Brandes, 2000). OECD (1997) also outlines that sound corporate governance should be able to help the board of directors and managers to achieve the best interests of the company and shareholders.

Moreover, it can be argued that firm performance can be improved with better corporate governance controls in a company. Fama and Jensen (1983) argued that corporate governance does affect firm performance. They found that the majority of larger firms with stronger governance controls are rewarded over the long-term. Klein, Shapiro, and Young (2004) examined the relationship between corporate governance and firm value by using the Corporate Governance Index (CGI) and Tobin's Q, which measures the firm's value. The results conclude that corporate governance does matter in firm value.

In addition, Carse (2000) argued that a strong corporate governance standard is particularly important for banks. This is because most of funds that banks use for business belong to their creditors and depositors. The failure of a bank will affect not only its own shareholders, but have a systemic affect on other banks. Therefore, it is important to ensure that banks are operating properly. Carse also stated that the corporate governance of banks in Hong Kong is at a good standard due to the fact that the Hong Kong Monetary Authority has set out strict guideline in relation to corporate governance for banks.

On the other hand, a large number of studies have investigated the relationship between ownership structure and firm performance. Morck, Shleifer, and Vishny (1998) argued that higher ownership concentration has a positive impact on firm performance, because it increases the ability of shareholders to properly monitoring managers. Shleifer and Vishney (1986) also argued that higher level of block-holder is likely to have a positive effect on firm value. The large shareholders can work effectively for monitoring managers in order to prevent the potential takeover threat.

Based on the corporate governance structure, the board of directors will be the supreme policy maker in a company, so the relationship between structure of board composition and firm performance is extremely close. As we know board composition is part of the corporate governance, so our research takes a step forward to evaluate the relationship between board composition and firm performance.

2.2.2 Board Composition and Firm Performance

Stanwick and Stanwick (2005) argued that members on the board of directors of banks are important for the bank's long term performance. Board of directors is a collective of people who are nominated by the shareholders of a company, and responsible for making decisions and supervising the daily operations of the firm. The existence of board of directors is very essential and necessary. As in daily operation, it is very hard to make detailed decisions through shareholders' regular meetings, especially for the public-listed companies which have a large number of shareholders. With proper of supervision of the firm's operation, board of directors ensures that the corporation operates in the direction that benefits the shareholders.

Moreover, Carse (2000) stated that the board of directors must play a role in approving the strategy and business plans of the bank. Board of directors must monitor the performance of management well to ensure that that the bank operates its business with high ethical standards. According to the Hong Kong Monetary Authority (HKMA) (2000), a board of directors should contain a mixture of both executive and non-executive independent directors. It also requires the separation roles of Chairman of the board of directors and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the company.

According to the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited (1989), company directors in Hong Kong must comply with the company ordinance under the governance rules listed on the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited. According to the HKMA (2000), an independent non executive director is required for Board of banks in order to maintain the independence in corporate governance. It believed that an effective board of directors will protect the shareholders' rights by adopting strategies to ensure the structure of the corporation (Famma and Jensen, 1983).

In a recent study of the corporate governance in the Hong Kong banking industry, Tsui and Gul (2000) argued that the quality of the members in the board of directors is more important to achieve a high corporate governance standard. They investigated a number of boards of directors of the listed banks in Hong Kong, and found that board where the majority of directors hold at least Master degrees are perceived to be of a high standard.

According to Jensen and Meckling's (1976) convergence-of-interest hypothesis, when the shareholding ratio of the board of directors exceed a certain amount, the directors' self-interest and the company's interest will be integrated. This will give the board of directors more incentives on supervision of the management team's activities, and hence improve the performance of the firm. The same result was reported in Kesner's study (1987), where the higher the shareholding ratio, the more incentives for the board, and the better the firm performance.

On the other hand, members of the board of directors may have their own interests in the company. They may use the company's resources to acquire the company or to reject public open offers of acquisition due to their own interests (Jensen and Ruback, 1983). Allen and Cebenoyan (1991) found that listed banks were more likely to make acquisitions that would add value to the banks when the ownership concentration is low. These studies are referred to as the entrenchment hypothesis, that is, when the share rights are highly controlled by the board, the possibility of entrenchment is higher as well, which leads to poor firm performance.

Morck, Shleifer and Vishny (1988) analyze firm performance measured by Tobin's Q and found that the Tobin's Q increases in the early stage-indicating a positive association between the share structure and the firm value; and decreases in the later stage, indicating a negative relation between the share structure and firm value. In other words, the relationship between share structure and Tobin's Q is non-linear concluding, the effects of convergence of interest and entrenchment can give rise to different relationships between ownership structure and firms performance.

2.2.3 Board size and Firm Performance

From research on effect of board size in small and medium sized firms, Bennedsen et al (2008) concluded that effect of board size on performance given by a causal interpretation. Based on these findings, no performance effects were found when varying the board size at levels below six directors, the typical range of board size in small and medium-sized firms, but a negative board size effect in boards of seven or more members was found (Bennedsen et al., 2008). As an adequate size of board lead to an effective monitoring, finding the right number of directors is a trade-off between the benefits of having sufficient competencies represented and the cost arising from increased free riding among directors (Bennedsen et al., 2008). Another result on Nigerian stock exchange also shows that the size of the firm has a positive impact on firm performance. This suggests that firms with larger boards outperform compared to firms with small boards (Ehikioya, 2009). On the other hand, the study on the impact of corporate governance mechanisms on the firm value shows an inverse relationship between board size and firm value and suggests that the negative relationship between board size and firm value transcends different corporate governance systems (Mak &

Kusnadi, 2005). However, the results made through for all OECD countries indicate that there is no a negative relationship between firm value and the size of the board of directors (Andres et al., 2005).

2.2.4 Board activity and Firm Performance

Board activity, which is referred as board meeting frequency, is an important dimension of board operations. The empirical study for Chinese listed firms during 2003-2004 found that the frequency of board meetings is negatively associated with firm value, while the frequency of general shareholder meetings is positively associated with firm value (Ma & Tian, 2009). They argue that frequent board meetings imply internal problems or inefficient decision-making while frequent general shareholder meetings display both confidence on the firm's management and an acceptance of broad suggestions (Ma & Tian, 2009). With the same conclusion, the investigation of 307 firms over the 1990-1994 period shows that the annual number of board meetings is inversely related to firm value because of increases in board activity following share price declines (Vafeas N., 1999).

There are only a few studies on corporate governance and productivity conducted in Nepal. Andenas et.al. (1999) are concerned with financial reporting and corporate governance in Nepal. The study focuses on transparency, accountability and enforcement. Adam Smith Institute (1999) identifies, for policy suggestions and legislation, some key issues that need to be addressed in order to improve the performance of state enterprises in the country.

2.2.5 Corporate Governance and Regulation in Nepal

Banking system of Nepal is gearing up for different business and economic environment. Nepal Government and central bank are working to develop transparent, competitive and strong financial sector. Till date, there have been several efforts towards building regulatory mechanism for corporate governance. Among those several efforts following are the acts and regulations, which provide necessary guidelines to maintain corporate governance in the bank and financial institutions.

1. Banks and Financial Institutions Act 2063
2. Directive 6 issued by the NRB
3. Companies Act 2063

1. Banks and Financial Institutions Act 2063 (BAFIA 2063)

(i) Conflict of Interest and Transparency

- Section 48-Restriction to give loans to promoter, director, executive officer etc
- Restriction on dealing with shares by the directors, Officers of the Bank(s.11)
- Disclosure requirement for directors regarding conflict in appointment of auditors, shareholding by family members, transactions between bank and family members (s.22)
- Directors not to take personal benefit (s.24)
- Disqualification of auditors and duty of the auditors (s.61 and s.63)

- Remuneration of directors to be as provided in the articles of association (s.21)

(ii) Competent Key Personnel

- Qualification of directors and chief executive officers (s.18,s.26)
- Requirement to appoint professional director(s.13)

NRB Directive 6

Code of Conduct for Directors

- Directors should not interfere in day-to-day operation of the financial institution.
- If there is a conflict, director needs to inform the board before assuming office.
- Directors should not involve in any activity which is against the interest of the company (conflict of interest)
- Chief executive should work fulltime.
- Directors of one deposit taking institution cannot act as director of other FI.
- Director Cannot act as custodian or trustee of any of the customer
- Director shall not misuse its position and should deal fairly
- Director should keep up to date and accurate record of accounts and reports
- Director should not use or misuse information received from clients for person benefit
- Outlines the duties and responsibilities of the directors
- Provides additional disqualification for the appointment of chief executive directors
- Provides for code of conduct to be followed by the chief executive and other employees.

Audit Committee

- Headed non executive director
- Outlines responsibilities of the audit committee
- Restriction on granting of loan to directors, shareholders, employees and firm related to such persons. (Conflict of interest)

2. Companies Act 2063

(i) Conflict of Interest and Transparency

- Requirement to give beneficial interest on the shares(s.47)
- Information required to be given on becoming substantial shareholder (s.50)
- Shareholders having conflict are not qualified to vote in general meetings(s.70)
- Director required to give information about transaction between company and him/her or close relatives (s. 92)
- Approval of general meeting required to enter transaction between company and director/its close relatives (s.93)
- Restriction on power of board to enter certain transaction (s. 105)
- Restriction to give loans to directors and officers (s.101)
- Financial disclosures to the shareholders (s.109)

(ii) Directors

- Directors are made personally liable for any breach of the Act
- Directors have fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of the company (s.99)
- Directors are specific duty not to exceed their powers (s.103)
- Requirement to appoint independent directors by public companies (s. 86)
- Directors who breach reporting requirement under the Act are disqualified to become director (s.89)

(iii) Audit

- Listed companies having paid up capital of more than Rs. 3 crores need to have audit committee
- An auditor is disqualified to be appointed for three consecutive years.(111)
- A person working full time, or his/her partners are disqualified to be appointed as auditor (112)

(iv) Shareholders' Protection

- Shareholder have right to inspect books of the company
- Shareholders can sue on behalf of the company.(s.140)

- Shareholders can request to appoint investigation officer (s.121)
- Can prevent directors from exceeding their powers.(s.138)

2.3 Theoretical framework

2.3.1 Board size

Board size refers to the number of directors in the board. The number of board members is different from country to country or corporate to corporate, because of the differences in culture, regulation, and corporate ownership structure (Wu, 2009). According to CG Principles, to obtain an effective monitoring, the board should be adequately sized. In Nepal, Law on Enterprises regulates that number of directors on boards cannot be less than 5 and more than 11 members (Nepal Company Act, 2063). In addition, empirical analyses suggest a positive relationship with optimal board size ranging from 5 to 10 members.

2.3.2 Board activity

There are many kinds of activity of the board in leading and monitoring the company. This study measures board activity based on the frequency of board meeting hold in a year. Since the frequency of board's meetings can represent for how effective the board has been in monitoring management and absolutely an effective board no need to hold meetings very often. Hence, board of directors with a proper frequency of board meetings enhances the efficiency of firm management and adds to firm value. Importantly boards that meet more frequently are valued less by the market, a finding that seems to be driven by share price declines being followed by higher meeting frequencies (Vafeas, 1999). However, sometimes an abnormally high meeting frequency is followed by improvements in operation performance and impact positively on firm performance (Vafeas, 1999).

2.3.3 Ownership Concentration

Transparency of ownership structure is more and more important. As discussed, good CG used to reduce the agency problem between owners and managers and the conflicts of interests resulting from the separation of ownership and control. We cannot deny that agency problems have been created from conflicts between interests of owners and agent or poor corporate governance implication. Ownership concentration shows how concentrated in possession of outstanding shares, for example a concentrated ownership indicated a few owners hold a large portion of shares. Therefore, it is argued that concentrated ownership can reduce the agency problems since few large owners will monitor the firm more closely and efficiently. However, in case just one or two members hold a very large portion of shares, they have tendency to act according to their own objectives rather than minority shareholders and can cause the lower value of firm. It is not strange when Lefort & Urzua (2008) believe that in companies with high ownership concentration, the most

pervasive agency conflict in the firm is between controlling shareholders and minority shareholders, the so-called horizontal agency problem (Lefort & Urzua, 2008). In addition, boards of companies with high ownership concentration will tend to be mostly comprised of directors who represent the owner manager's interests, thus being unable to deal with the specific agency problem adequately (Lefort & Urzua, 2008). In Vietnam, there are still a lot of companies in which the state hold a significant shares and in consequence, those companies usually get a better support from political and social strategies.

2.3.4 Bank Size

Bank characteristics have potential effects on how corporate governance impacts performance. In order to control for bank characteristics, this study has constructed variable to proxy for bank size. Bank size is generally used to capture potential economies or diseconomies of scale in the banking sector. Scale efficiencies can improve bank efficiency as the unit cost of production falls with increased size. Furthermore, it may increase net efficiency through improvements in efficiency that involves superior combinations of inputs and outputs resulting from larger size. Finally, it may improve efficiency through the exercise of additional market power in setting prices (Molyneux and Iqbal, 2005). Therefore, increasing size could lead to improve performance, if there are significant economies of scale (see Akhavein et al. 1997; Bourke, 1989; Molyneux and Thornton, 1992; Bikker and Hu, 2002; Goddard et al., 2004). In the other hand, if increased size leads to diversification and lower credit risk this could lead to negative impact on banks performance.

Empirical studies in banking efficiency conclude that few cost savings can be achieved by increasing the size of a banking firm, especially as markets develop (Boyd and Runkle, 1993; Miller and Noulas, 1997; Athanoglou et al., 2005). Such a relationship is expected to be observed in Nepalese banking systems, which hire high quality and, therefore, relatively high cost staff. Hence, providing that the high quality staff is sufficiently productive, such banks will not be disadvantaged from a relative efficiency point of view.

From all the literature that is reviewed in this study concludes that the banks with smaller size of board will exhibit more corporate governance responsibility than banks with larger size of board member; board of directors with a proper frequency of board meetings enhances the efficiency of firm management and adds to firm value; the number of shareholders will determine the strength of decision reached at the end of each year's meeting. It is argued that concentrated ownership can reduce the agency problems since few large owners will monitor the firm more closely and efficiently. However, in case just one or two members hold a very large portion of shares, they have tendency to act according to their own objectives rather than minority shareholders and can cause the lower value of firm. Bank size is generally used to capture potential economies or diseconomies of scale in the banking sector. Scale efficiencies can improve bank efficiency as the unit cost of production falls with increased size. Therefore, increasing size could lead to improve performance, if there are significant economies of scale.

2.4 Review of Thesis

This dissertation has been written after studying various books, journals, articles website and previous thesis. A study was done by Miss Tirtha Laxmi Manandhar (2011), entitled with, "Impact of Corporate Governance in Commercial banks" A comparative study of 15 commercial banks of Nepal and has following facts and findings.

The main objectives of her study were:

- To find out Nepal's corporate governance policy, framework, enforcement and compliance practices.
- To analyze the relation between CG and profitability of banking sector.
- To determine explicitly what variables explain CG.
- To study the various aspects of CG affecting bank's profitability that determines of board size composition, shareholders, total assets and equity.

Her major findings were as follows:

- Corporate Governance significantly affects the profitability of the organization.
- Small boards are more efficient than larger boards in terms of obtaining higher market valuations, improved ROA and ROE.
- The independent variables defining the banks performance based on ROA and ROE is very low but is statistically significant.

Another thesis done by Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Singh (2010), on "A study of corporate governance on listed banking & financial institutions" has following facts and findings:

The main objectives of his studies were:

- To study with concept and issues of Corporate Governance.
- To analyze corporate governance pattern in Nepalese financial sector and identify the problems of good CG in financial sector.
- To access existing legal provisions for efficient CG practices in Nepalese financial sector.
- To examine the opinions and views of listed banking and finance companies employee and governing bodies employees on CG practices in Nepal.

His major findings were:

- With respect to the present pace of CG practices in financial institution, the majority of the respondents have opined that the present pace of CG practice is satisfactory.

While some of the respondents have opined it as weak and good. None of the respondent said that the pace of CG is very good.

- With respect to the view on the current way of holding AGM in banking and financial sector, majority of the respondents, mainly non-managerial employee, said that it is just a statutory requirement. Some of the respondent believed that it is effective forum for shareholders to give direction in charting the objectives of the company.
- Better CG involves strengthening institution, enacting regulation, building administrative and monitoring capacity and potential support, and good CG is a source of competitive advantage and critical to economic and social progress.
- CG can reduce misallocation of funds; improve the firm's productivity and disclosures. And CG can be improved significantly if employees are made to participate in decision making.

Likewise a study was done by Mr. Radhe S. Pradhan & Mr. Som Nath Adhikari (2009) on "Corporate Governance and Firm Performance in Nepal" and has following facts and finding:

The main objectives of his study were:

- To examine the effect of fundamental variables on corporate governance in Nepal
- The specific objectives included analyzing the relationship of corporate governance variables (such as institutional ownership, public director and public capital) with the firm's performance.
- And to examine the relationship of disclosure qualities and firms performance.

His major findings were:

- The study revealed the existence of relationship between corporate governance practices and firm performance in Nepalese Enterprises.
- Among others, the companies conducting AGM on time, financial statement submitted on time and "A" class auditor appointed in firm had higher rates of return and higher market price of share.
- With increase in the percentage of institutional ownership, public capital and public director, rates of return and market price of share tends to increase confirming the size effect on corporate governance.
- However increase in leverage of the firm tends to decrease the firm's rate of return and market price of the share.

2.5 Research Gap

The review of above relevant literature has contributed to enhance the fundamental understanding and knowledge, which is required to make this study meaningful and purposeful. There is various researches conduct on corporate governance of various financial institutions. Some of the researchers have done research on existing legal provision for efficient CG practices in Nepalese financial Institution, some have done on the affect of CG on private firms and some have shown the impact of corporate governance on the stock price of the Index listed companies. Past researches are not properly analyzed about the impact of corporate governance on profitability of Nepalese commercial banks.

Here in this study impact of CG on profitability of commercial banks have been analyzed by using various tools like linear regression analysis, correlation analysis and descriptive analysis. This study tries to define the relationship of bank profitability in terms of ROE, ROA, NPL with Board size, Total Assets and Total Equity and total 20 commercial banks of Nepal has been selected for the study including both domestic private banks and joint venture banks. Probably this study “Impact of CG on profitability of Nepalese commercial banks” will be useful and rewarding.

CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Methodology

Research methodology, the combination of these two terms itself defines its meanings – if we define the term separately the literal meaning of the term can be understood. Here research is basically considered as a movement from the known to the unknown- i.e. it is the systematized effort to gain new knowledge. In other word, research is to investigate or say repeatedly search in an effort to discover answer to the unsolved problems through reliance on empirical evidence. Whereas, methodology is known as the method or procedure used to conduct the research to be done or techniques and tactics used to show our research work done from collecting of the information to presenting it in a systematized form with a relevant conclusion.

So we can say that research methodology is related to the specific problem of limited scope for which management has need of additional information on which to base a decision. Research methodology mainly describes the technique, method and process applied in the entire process of a scientific research. So, in this chapter the study will introduce how to get started to do this study from collecting data, retrieving necessary information, building the frame of variables to researching philosophy or approaching method. So, in this study research methodology has been paid due attention to achieve the objectives of the study.

3.2 Research Design

The research design includes specification of the method of the purposed study and detailed plan for carrying out the study with various empirical data for the analysis of the problem. Therefore, this section describes the variable of interest used in this study. This study also attempted to formulate a model that will guide the study in its analysis. The study used Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE) and Non-Performing loans (NPL) as the variables that measure bank performance. The number/size of Board of Directors (SCOS), the Number of Shareholders (SHOD) and measures the corporate governance index, while total Assets and Total Equity served as control variables (Berger et al, 2004). These variables will be defined shortly. This research is based on secondary data. It covers the data from the

year 2007/08 to 2012/13. The collected data is analyzed with financial as well as statistical tools and interpreted. The study shall utilize the descriptive and correlation analysis to examine the relationship between Corporate Governance and Bank Performance in Nepal.

3.3 Nature and Sources of Data

This study is primarily based on secondary sources of data. The required data that is used for this study is obtained from the annual reports of 20 commercial banks i.e. (nearly 65%) of operating banks in Nepal, Nepal Rastra Bank's supervision report and also from the website of Nepal stock exchange. Also differed books from library, periodicals, newspaper cuttings, company's magazines are used as per the requirement. Needless to say that this study is associated with past phenomena, therefore, only secondary data is used to carry out the whole calculations.

3.4 Population and Sample

In order to examine the role of corporate governance in bank performance, this study is based on a sample of 20 commercial banks of Nepal with the period from 2007-08 to 2012-13. Corporate Governance data were estimated by using public available documents and website disclosure. The accounting data used in these models were extracted from the concerned bank annual reports and NRB supervision report.

Specifically, this study sample contains 13 Domestic Private Banks (DPB) and 7 Joint Venture Banks (JVB). Unfortunately, this study excluded the public owned banks because of lack of all the appropriate information for our model and also data of some bank were not available are excluded.

Table 3.1: Lists of bank selected for the study

S. No.	Bank Name	Number of year	Type of Bank
1	Bank of Kathmandu	6	DPB
2	Citizen Bank Limited	6	DPB
3	Everest Bank limited	6	JVB
4	Global IME Bank	6	DPB
5	Grand Bank	6	DPB
6	Himalayan Bank Limited	6	JVB
7	KIST Bank Limited	4	DPB
8	Kumari Bank Limited	5	DPB
9	Laxmi Bank Limited	6	DPB
10	Lumbini Bank Limited	6	DPB
11	Machhapuchure Bank Limited	6	DPB
12	NABIL Bank Limited	6	JVB
13	Nepal Bangladesh Bank	5	JVB
14	Nepal Investment Bank Limited	6	JVB
15	Nepal SBI	6	JVB
16	NMB Bank	6	DPB
17	Prime Commercial Bank Limited	6	DPB
18	Siddhartha Bank Limited	6	DPB
19	Standard Chartered Bank Limited	6	JVB
20	Sunrise Bank	6	DPB

Source: NRB

3.5 Method of Analysis

In order to analyze the study this study uses the

- Descriptive Analysis,
- Correlation Analysis and
- The Linear Regression Analysis

By using the model given below:

Model Specification

To investigate the relationship of bank profitability in term of ROE, ROA, NPL with Board Size, Total Assets, and Total Equity regression model is used. The basic regression can be expressed as:

MODEL: 1

$$\text{Perf}_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_{1t} + \beta_{2t} + \partial_{1t} + \partial_{2t} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Where,

- Perf indicates the performance variables: ROA, ROE, and NPL
- Subscript it, represent the bank i at time t.
- β_{1t} represent Size of the Board of Director (SCOS)
- β_{2t} represent Number of Shareholders (SHOD)
- ∂_{1t} represent total assets (TA)
- ∂_{2t} represent total equity (TE).

MODEL 2:

$$Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X1_{it} + \beta_2 X2_{it} + \beta_3 X3_{it} + \beta_4 X4_{it} + \beta_5 X5_{it} + u_{it} \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Where;

- Y_{it} represents Return on Asset (ROA) and Non-Performing Loan (NPL) for firm i at time t.
- $X1_{it}$ represents firm's board size for firm i at time t
- $X2_{it}$ represents firm's size (SIZE) for firm i at time t
- $X3_{it}$ represents LEVERAGE for firm i at time t
- $X4_{it}$ represents ratio of GROWTH for firm i at time t
- $X5_{it}$ represents frequency of board meeting for firm i at time t
- u_{it} = Error term.

➤ Definition of Variables

a. Bank Performance

As stated before in review of literature, the financial ratios are used to reflect the performance of the companies. There are many measuring factors in financial literature such as Tobin's Q, return on equity, return on asset, return on sale, nonperforming loan and so on.

The measures of bank performance used in this study are: Return on assets (ROA), Return on equity (ROE), and Non-performing Loans (NPL)

Return on Assets

Return on Assets equals after tax net income divided by average total assets of a bank. This aims to examine the amount of after tax net income that can be earned for every naira of assets in the bank. The ratio reflects whether the bank uses assets effectively in order to produce its income, so it is an important profitability indicator

Return on Assets (ROA):

$$= \frac{\text{Net Profit after taxes}}{\text{Total Assets}}$$

Return on Equity (ROE)

ROE equals after tax net income divided by average total equity of a bank. This aims to examine the amount of after tax net income that can be earned for every naira of equity.

It indicates the amount of income that shareholders will earn in a bank. It must be understood that an increase in ROE due to an increase in leverage may be an issue of concern for the bank's management.

Return on Equity (ROE):

$$= \frac{\text{Net Profit after taxes}}{\text{Total Equity}}$$

Non-Performing Loans

Credit business is the main business for commercial banks. Since banks use a large portion of their funds for providing credit to firms and individuals, so they face the possibility that firms or individuals may sometimes show unwillingness to repay such borrowed funds promptly. This attitude often exposes banks to losses from providing credit business.

Non Performing Loan (NPL):

$$= \frac{\text{Non Performing Loan}}{\text{Total Loan}}$$

b. Corporate Governance Variables

The measure of corporate governance used in this study are size of the board of directors (SCOS), number of shareholders (SHOD) and Board Activity (BM) in the firm.

Size of Board of Directors

Regards to the board size & composition variables, the number of directors (SCOS) is the total number of directors on a board. It is clear that large board size is associated with sufficient capacity to monitor the company and lower efficiency due to the time consumed in reaching agreements (Ma & Tian, 2009). However, many studies have concluded inconclusive results from different markets, for example, Yermack (1996) has stated his main finding of an inverse association between board size and firm value when evaluating US public corporation (Yermack, 1996); conversely, Cheng (2008) provides empirical evidence that firms with larger boards have lower variability of corporate performance. This relationship between board size & composition and firm performance is discussed more in the chapter 2.

Size of board of directors is the total number of members within the board of directors. This study will examine the extent to which the bank performance will be affected by the size of the board of directors. It is expected that banks with smaller size of board will exhibit more corporate governance responsibility than banks with larger size of board member.

Number of Shareholders

This represents the total number of shareholders within a bank. It is also known that banks are expected to have their AGM at the least once every year. Thus the number of shareholders will determine the strength of decision reached at the end of each year's meeting

Board Meeting (BM)

Meeting is a very necessary part of any company. All the problems are discussed and the solutions are drawn from it. As per the bank and financial institution act a bank must have at least 12 boards meeting in a year. The frequency of board meeting means the meeting held by the board members and there is only a presence of board members in the meeting.

Board's activity, which referred as the number of board's meeting per year (*BM*), reflects how much involvement that the board contributes in monitoring since the board has right to decide on important issues and supervise board of management. Thus, a proper frequency of board meetings may enhances the vigilance and oversight of firm management and adds to firm value and alternatively (Ma & Tian, 2009).

c. Control Variables

The control variables used in this study are total assets of the bank and total equity of the banks.

Total Assets

This variable could also be used to measure the size of the bank. It is expected that larger banks will perform better, because they may have more diversified investment opportunities, better management, and employ better technology.

Total Equity

This variable can also measure the amount of shareholders fund in the bank compared to the average shareholders' funds in the industry. It is expected that banks with greater equity should perform better than banks with smaller equity.

Firm size:

It is natural logarithm of total assets.

Leverage:

It is book value of debt divided by book value of total asset

$$Leverage = \frac{Total\ Debt}{Total\ Assets}$$

Growth:

It is growth rate of Total Operating Revenue. The growth of the total operating revenue is calculated as below:

$$Growth_n = \frac{TOR_n - TOR_{(n-1)}}{TOR_{(n-1)}}$$

CHAPTER IV

PRESENTATION & ANALYSIS OF DATA

The chapter consists presentation and analysis of data related with different variables using the financial and statistical tools explained in previous chapter (Research Methodology). This chapter is the focal part of the study.

The data used for this research was pooled from the bank's annual report which was obtained from the respective website. After compiling this data, a descriptive statistics, correlation and multiple panels linear regression analysis is carried out on the return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE) and non performing loans (NPL) ratios. This is to ensure that the mean, median and standard deviation of this data is analyzed as well as the impact of the ownership variables on the performance ratios. The trend revealed from the descriptive analysis as well as the regression model results ought to depict a general trend of the Nepalese banking industry. Finally, from the regression model, it is determined if a relationship exists between ownership and banks' performance in Nepal.

It has three sections:

- The first section is the descriptive statistics which summarizes the main features of the study variable such as mean, maximum, minimum and standard deviation.
- The second section is the correlation analysis which shows the degree of association between the study variables.
- The third sections of the chapter, regression results report the OLS estimation output of the five regression models (Multivariate Analysis).

4.1 Descriptive Interpretation

Table 4.1: Summary of Description Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Median
ROE	116	-.0040	1.9403	.189678	.2105569	0.15585
ROA	116	-.0050	.1804	.017903	.0195870	0.0143
NPL	116	.0000	.3173	.022414	.0407133	0.01275
SCOS	116	5.00	10.00	7.8103	1.10267	8
SHOD	116	1.62	4.90	4.1215	.59714	4.195
TA	116	9.68	10.86	10.3577	.27397	10.335
TE	116	.00	9.85	9.2557	.89532	9.34
SIZE	116	9.68	10.86	10.3577	.27397	10.335
LEVERAGE	116	.7436	1.2334	.903427	.0476393	0.9103
GROWTH	116	-.1622	8.2929	.403154	.8942947	0.2386
BM	116	5.00	22.00	13.0086	2.25155	12

This section discussed the summary statistics of each variables of the study. The variables include the dependent, independent and control variables. The dependent variables are used in this study in order to measure the sample commercial banks' financial performance and they are: return on assets, return on equity and non performing loan where as the explanatory (governance) variables are board size, board members and board meetings. In addition to explanatory variables control variables were included those are bank size, log of total equity, banks leverage and banks growth (measured by growth of total operative revenue). Accordingly, the descriptive statistics for all variables are presented above in table 4.1.

As we can see, the return on asset ROA of 20 commercial banks is 0.017903 on average. The return on asset varies in a wide range from a minimum of -0.0050 to a maximum of 0.1804. As the minimum value being negative indicates that some banks have also incurred losses during the sample period.

The average return on equity (ROE) of the sample bank is 0.18967 (i.e. 18.97%) which is close to the median value of 0.15585 (i.e. 15.59%). It varies widely from the minimum value -0.0040 to maximum 1.9403 (i.e. 194.03%). The reason behind this result is that in the period of the sample the bank has incur losses due to which the minimum value falls to negative and the maximum value crosses above 100% due to the negative reserve and surplus which reduces the equity amount at very low point.

The financial performance of the sample commercial banks on average is 2.24 percent (mean=0.021330) as measured by non performing loan. The maximum value of nonperforming loan among the sampled commercial banks is 31.73 percent and the minimum value is 0.00 percent. It shows a standard deviation of 4.07 percent from the mean value.

From the three indicators of financial performance in table 4.1 above, return on equity is first, non performing loan is second and return on asset is the last, when they are ranked from the highest to the lowest value in terms of their mean and maximum values. On the bases of standard deviation from the mean, return on equity shows higher standard deviation i.e. 21.06 percent. However the deviation of return on asset and non performing loan is 1.96 and 4.07 percent, which is

low in comparison with return on equity. Generally, the three financial performance indicators do not have the same value in different aspects of descriptive statistics indicators.

The number of director has a mean of 7.8103 and median of 8. The range of number of director is not much with largest board has 10 directors and the smallest one has 5 directors. The range of this variable seems to conform to Company Act 2063. The median of number of director is 8 means that higher percentage of companies was found to have nearly average number of board in range of (7-8) and higher percentage of banks have number of board in range (5-10). It proves that board of director in Nepal favors the board with average number of people. However, according to CG Rules and empirical studies, board size of public joint stock companies should be sufficient but not too many members to allow effective operations.

Besides, number of board meeting varies in a wide range from 5 to 22 times per year. Board meeting hold at least once a year, but for some boards, they hold meetings more frequently up to 22 times a year. On average, the board of directors holds meetings about 13 times a year. As indicated from the Bank and Financial Institution Act 2002, board requires meeting at least 12 and board meetings attendance should be disclosed. However, this rule is not properly compiled. Besides, since almost banks in Nepal hold once annual shareholders meetings per year, therefore this kind of variable is not considered as a factor of board's activity influencing firm performance in this study.

The mean value of bank size as measured by the natural logarithm of total asset is 10.35 (NPR 21877.62 in millions) with having a maximum value of 10.86 (NPR 72443.60 in millions) and a minimum values of 9.68 (NPR 4265.80 millions). The standard deviation of bank size among the sample commercial bank is 27.39. On the other hand, the leverage of selected commercial banks in Nepal is 90.34 percent on average as measured by debt to asset with a range of 74 to 123 percent. There is a low deviation, 4.76 percent, from the mean value of the bank's leverage. Finally, the sample commercial banks growth has 40.31 percent average value for the study period. The standard deviation of bank growth rate indicates a high variation of 89 percent among the sampled commercial banks. The maximum and minimum values of bank growth rate are 829 and -16.22 percent respectively among the sampled commercial banks. Also the total equity value which is also measured by natural logarithm of shareholder's equity fund has a minimum value of 0.00 due to the negative amount of the equity of any one of the sample bank where as the mean value of the equity is 9.25 (NPR1778.28 in millions).

4.2 Correlation Analysis of the study Variables

This section of the study presents the results and discussions of the Pearson correlation analysis. To identify the relationship among the variables of corporate governance and financial performance Pearson correlation coefficients were used. The correlation coefficients show the extent and direction of the linear relationship between corporate governance variables and financial performance measures of the sample Nepalese commercial banks. The correlation analysis has three sub-sections. The first sub-section shows the relationship between return on asset and selected corporate governance variables. The second sub-section is about the association between return on equity and corporate governance variables. Finally, the relationship between non performing loan

and corporate governance variables were analyzed. The probability is shown in parenthesis with the correlation coefficient for the three correlation matrix below. The significance level also shown that is ***, ** and * for 1%, 5% and 10% level respectively. The correlation coefficients are checked for the presence of high co linearity among repressors. Since the correlation analysis shows only the degree of association, it is followed by multiple regression analysis.

4.2.1 Correlation Analysis of ROA and Corporate Governance Mechanisms

Below in table 4.2, the correlation matrix which shows the relationship of the return on asset with board size, number of shareholders, board meetings, Total Equity, bank size, bank's leverage and bank growth. This table also shows the linear relationships between each independent variables and control variables used in this study.

Table 4.2 point out that total equity is negatively and significantly correlated at 5 percent significance level with return on asset. Also the board size (SCOS) is negatively and significantly correlated at 10 percent significance level with return on asset. However, number of shareholder (SHOD), bank size, Leverage, Growth and frequency of board meeting shows insignificant correlation with return on asset. Even though they are not significant number of shareholder, Leverage and frequency of board meeting shows a positive coefficient and bank size and Growth shows a negative coefficient which is somewhat unexpected. As per the correlation result reported in table 4.2, the Pearson correlation coefficients of bank size and board meetings are -3.80 percent and 8.2 percent respectively. From this it can be understand that this variable do not so very strong association with return on asset.

4.2.2 Correlation analysis of ROE and Corporate Governance Mechanisms

Below table 4.2 presents the Pearson correlations among return on equity and corporate governance mechanisms as well as control variables of the study. Here, also the independent corporate governance variables are board size, number of shareholders, and board meeting. The control variables are bank size, banks financial leverage, total equity and bank growth. As shown in table 4.2 below, board size is negatively related with return on equity at 10 percent significance level. The board meeting is negatively correlated whereas number of shareholder is positively correlated but both do not have any significant relation with return on equity.

4.2.3 Correlation analysis of NPL and Corporate Governance Mechanisms

Below, Table 4.2 also shows, the correlation matrix that predicts the likely relationship of the non performing loan with board size, number of shareholder, and board meeting as independent variables and bank size, total equity, banks leverage and bank growth as control variables of the study.

Based on the Pearson correlation independent variables; board size, number of shareholder, and board meeting shows statistically insignificant correlation with nonperforming loan. Bank growth is the only control variable which is statistically not significant.

The bank size, total equity and bank leverage shows the significant relation where leverage shows the positive correlation and significant at the level of 1% and 5%, bank size and total equity shows the negative correlation statistically significant at the level of 5 percent and 1 percent respectively.

From the correlation coefficients of the three models, shown in table 4.2, no high correlation is found among the independent as well as control variables. All the independent and control variables included in the three models are not strongly correlated with each other that results multicollinearity problem since all the coefficients are lower than 0.8.

Table 4.2: Correlations among the variables

	ROE	ROA	NPL	SCOS	SHOD	TA	TE	SIZE	LEVERAGE	GROWTH	BM
ROE	1	.872 ^{***}	.410 ^{***}	-0.129	0.057	0.107	0.075	0.107	0.102	-0.068	-0.021
ROA	.872 ^{***}	1	.628 ^{***}	-.168 [*]	0.095	-0.038	-.208 ^{**}	-0.038	0.098	-0.097	0.082
NPL	.410 ^{***}	.628 ^{***}	1	-0.088	0.121	-.218 ^{**}	-.686 ^{***}	-.218 ^{**}	.387 ^{***}	-0.113	0.134
SCOS	-0.129	-.168 [*]	-0.088	1	0.011	0.04	0.083	0.04	-0.092	-.226 ^{**}	-.174 [*]
SHOD	0.057	0.095	0.121	0.011	1	.181 [*]	0.035	.181 [*]	-0.005	-.361 ^{***}	0.054
TA	0.107	-0.038	-.218 ^{**}	0.04	.181 [*]	1	.345 ^{***}	1.000 ^{***}	.354 ^{***}	-.240 ^{***}	-.208 ^{**}
TE	0.075	-.208 ^{**}	-.686 ^{***}	0.083	0.035	.345 ^{***}	1	.345 ^{***}	-.604 ^{***}	-0.053	-0.077
SIZE	0.107	-0.038	-.218 ^{**}	0.04	.181 [*]	1.000 ^{***}	.345 ^{***}	1	.354 ^{***}	-.240 ^{***}	-.208 ^{**}
LEVERAGE	0.102	0.098	.387 ^{***}	-0.092	-0.005	.354 ^{***}	-.604 ^{***}	.354 ^{***}	1	0.06	-0.059
GROWTH	-0.068	-0.097	-0.113	-.226 ^{**}	-.361 ^{***}	-.240 ^{***}	-0.053	-.240 ^{***}	0.06	1	.162 [*]
BM	-0.021	0.082	0.134	-.174 [*]	0.054	-.208 ^{**}	-0.077	-.208 ^{**}	-0.059	0.162	1
***. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).											
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).											
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.10 level (2-tailed).											

4.3 Multivariate Analysis

Adjusted R² is used to compensate for the addition of variables to the model. As more independent variables are added to the regression model, unadjusted R² will generally increase but there will never be a decrease. This will occur even when the additional variables do little to help explain the dependent variable. To compensate for this, adjusted R² is corrected for the number of independent variables in the model. The result is an adjusted R² that can go up or down depending on whether the addition of another variable adds or does not add to the explanatory power of the model. Adjusted R² will always be lower than unadjusted.

The results of the ANOVA are presented in an ANOVA table. This table contains columns labeled "Source", "SS or Sum of Squares", "df - for degrees of freedom", "MS - for mean square", "F or F-ratio", and "p, prob, probability, sig., or sig. of F". The *t*-test tells us if the variation between two groups is "significant". In general, the purpose of analysis of variance (ANOVA) is to test for significant differences between means. Generally the level of significant is taken "1%", "5%" and, "10%".

Table 4.3: Summary of model 1 with ROE dependent variable

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.211 ^a	.045	.013	.2022242

a. Predictors: (Constant), TE, SHOD, SCOS, TA

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.231	4	.058	1.410	.235 ^b
	Residual	4.948	121	.041		
	Total	5.179	125			

a. Dependent Variable: ROE

b. Predictors: (Constant), TE, SHOD, SCOS, TA

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	-.532	.702		-.759	.450	-1.922	.857
SCOS	-.028	.017	-.152	-1.706	.091	-.061	.005
1 SHOD	.016	.028	.053	.579	.564	-.039	.072
TA	.073	.073	.098	1.005	.317	-.071	.217
TE	.013	.022	.053	.562	.575	-.032	.057

a. Dependent Variable: ROE

This above table 4.3 reports the regression analysis of ROE with number of shareholders, board size, total assets and total equity. It shows that the board size have negative relation and all other variable shows the positive relation but the board size only shows a significant relation with the dependent variable which means that the higher the board size results in worse bank performance (measured in terms of ROE). The regression result indicates that of 4.5% of the variation in ROE is determined by this independent variable. The ANOVA results shows that it is not significant even at the level of 10 percent which means that the independent variable is not properly able to explain the dependent variable i.e. ROE. Therefore, the overall model is not able to define the bank performance which is shown by the ANOVA table.

Table 4.4: Summary of model 1 with ROA dependent variable
Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.291 ^a	.085	.055	.0183708

a. Predictors: (Constant), TE, SHOD, SCOS, TA

ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	.004	4	.001	2.809	.029 ^b
Residual	.041	121	.000		
Total	.045	125			

a. Dependent Variable: ROA

b. Predictors: (Constant), TE, SHOD, SCOS, TA

Coefficients^a

Variable	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	.046	.064		.728	.468	-.080	.173
1 SCOS	-.003	.002	-.163	-1.862	.065	-.006	.000
SHOD	.003	.003	.114	1.271	.206	-.002	.008
TA	.002	.007	.031	.321	.749	-.011	.015
TE	-.005	.002	-.207	-2.226	.028	-.009	-.001

a. Dependent Variable: ROA

This above table reports the regression analysis of ROA with number of shareholders, board size, total assets and total equity. It shows that the number of shareholder and total assets have positive relation but both variable do not shows any significant relation as the level of significant for both the variable is above 20 percent. But the variable board size and the total equity shows the negative relation and also significant at the level of 10% and 5% respectively which means if any change in this variable will make a negative effect in the ROA i.e. increase in board size and total equity will decrease the ROA. The regression result indicates that 8.5% of the variation in ROA is determined by this independent variable. Also the f-static is significant at the level of 5 percent which means that the independent variable is able to explain the dependent variable i.e. ROE by 8.5%.

Table 4.5: Summary of model 1 with NPL dependent variable

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.695 ^a	.483	.466	.0287550

a. Predictors: (Constant), TE, SHOD, SCOS, TA

ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	.093	4	.023	28.269	.000 ^b
Residual	.100	121	.001		
Total	.194	125			

a. Dependent Variable: NPL

b. Predictors: (Constant), TE, SHOD, SCOS, TA

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	.265	.100		2.658	.009	.068	.463
1 SCOS	-.001	.002	-.025	-.379	.706	-.006	.004
SHOD	.009	.004	.157	2.326	.022	.001	.017
TA	.001	.010	.010	.142	.888	-.019	.022
TE	-.031	.003	-.686	-9.812	.000	-.038	-.025

a. Dependent Variable: NPL

The table 4.5 is the regression analysis of NPL with number of shareholders, board size, total assets and total equity. It shows that the number of shareholders and total equity are statistically significant at the level of 5% and the 1% respectively whereas the board size and total assets does not have significant relation. The number of shareholders has positive relation and significant with the NPL which means increase in number of shareholders increases the NPL and vice-versa whereas increase in total equity will lead to decrease in the bank performance which is measured in terms of nonperforming loan. The board size has negative relation and the total assets shows the positive relation with the bank performance based on nonperforming loan. The regression result from

adjusted R square indicates that 46.6% of the variation in NPL is determined by this independent variable also it indicates the banks' performance based on non performing loan is affected by the response variables more than the half. Standard error of 0.02 shows that the coefficient estimate is reliable or that it has a small variability, which means that there are not many extreme prices in the model and thus, the trend is strong. Also the f-static is significant at the level of 1 percent which means that the independent variable is able to explain the dependent variable i.e. NPL by 48.3%. Therefore, from the overall model which is determined by the F-statistic probability zero leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis that all slope coefficients, except for the constant, are equal to zero and to the conclusion that the explanatory variables do have impact on the NPL.

Table 4.6: Summary of model 2 with ROA dependent variable

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
2	.273 ^a	.074	.036	.0185532

a. Predictors: (Constant), BM, LEVERAGE, SCOS, GROWTH, SIZE

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
2	Regression	.003	5	.001	1.929	.094 ^b
	Residual	.041	120	.000		
	Total	.045	125			

a. Dependent Variable: ROA

b. Predictors: (Constant), BM, LEVERAGE, SCOS, GROWTH, SIZE

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
2	(Constant)	.052	.071		.733	.465
	SCOS	-.003	.002	-.193	-2.134	.035
	SIZE	-.006	.007	-.091	-.907	.366
	LEVERAGE	.056	.039	.136	1.414	.160
	GROWTH	-.004	.002	-.180	-1.920	.057
	BM	.001	.001	.069	.757	.451

a. Dependent Variable: ROA

According to the Adjusted R-squared of Table 4.6, the model explains 7.4% of the variability of the dependent variable ROA. This means that the regression line does not approximate very well the real data points and thus, the model can predict less of the movements of ROA. Standard error of 0.018 shows that the coefficient estimate is reliable or that it has a small variability, which means that there are not many extreme prices in the model and thus, the trend is strong. It, also, presents the probability of F-statistic which is 0.094, meaning that the explanatory variables do have impact on the dependent variable.

With regard to the ROA regression output, there is a positive relationship of ROA with leverage and board meeting but not significant whereas there is a negative relationship of ROA with board size, size, and growth. The board size and the growth only show statistically significant relationship with ROA. So, ROA and thus performance is negatively affected by the increase in board size, frequency of board meeting of the company.

Table 4.7: Summary of model 2 with NPL dependent variable

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
2	.590 ^a	.348	.321	.0324282

a. Predictors: (Constant), BM, LEVERAGE, SCOS, GROWTH, SIZE

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
2	Regression	.067	5	.013	12.810	.000 ^b
	Residual	.126	120	.001		
	Total	.194	125			

a. Dependent Variable: NPL

b. Predictors: (Constant), BM, LEVERAGE, SCOS, GROWTH, SIZE

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
2	(Constant)	.247	.124		1.983	.050
	SCOS	-.002	.003	-.061	-.802	.424
	SIZE	-.064	.012	-.441	-5.269	.000
	LEVERAGE	.478	.069	.562	6.951	.000
	GROWTH	-.013	.003	-.291	-3.694	.000
	BM	.002	.001	.101	1.322	.189

a. Dependent Variable: NPL

The table 4.7 above presents the regression results having as dependent variable the non performing loan. The value of Adjusted R-squared is 0.321, so, the companies' performance based on non performing loan is affected by the response variables nearly by the half. The variability of the model is small as the standard error is close to zero. F-statistic gives a high value of 12.81, with p-value showing zero, which leads us to reject the hypothesis that all slope coefficients are zero and to the conclusion that the explanatory variables do have impact on the NPL.

The table indicates that board meeting is having positive relation with NPL, while p-value does not overcome the 10% significance level. The leverage, growth and the bank size are significant with 1% significance level indicating a very strong relation in which leverage shows the positive

relation whereas bank size and growth shows the negative relation. The study observes a weaker correlation between the variables board size and NPL.

Corporate governance does not have strong relationship with Performance, as was detected before, which means that corporate governance's elements do not affect NPL. Multicollinearity is absent in this regression too, with tolerance not close to zero and VIF below 10. Standard errors of each variable are small, supporting the statistical reliability of the coefficients.

4.4 Major Findings

From the analysis, the study has revealed the following findings:

1. The result of panel regression shows that the size of banks is negatively related to bank performance, indicating that the smaller bank, the better its performance will be.
2. The number of meeting held is found to be positive to bank performance but coefficient is statistically not significant which means more meetings are good on bank performance.
3. The study reveals that board size has negative relationship with all three variables but it is statistically significant with Return on Assets and Return on Equity only.
4. The board meeting does not show any significant result with the bank performance.
5. As all models have very low standard error which means that the result of independent variable coefficient estimate is reliable or that it has a small variability.
6. The regression result of bank performance measured in term of NPL is defined nearly by 50% by the independent variable.
7. The total equity shows the negative but statistically significant relationship with the bank performance measured in terms of NPL and ROA.
8. In all model the leverage is seem to show the significant result with the bank performance and also relationship is positive.
9. The number of shareholder shows statistically positive significant relationship with the bank performance.
10. The independent variables defining the bank performance based on ROA and ROE is very low but is statistically significant.
11. The growth of total revenue shows negative relationship with the bank performance and also is statistically significant.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary

Corporate governance will continue to have relevance to firms, as it constitutes the balance of power with which the organization is directed (Yakasai, 2001: 249). Corporate governance not only places the organization in an acceptable light with the public, but also affects other core areas of business such as profitability. Whilst company profitability is key, also important is how a company is viewed by the public, which ultimately affects the patronage it attracts from the public – its bottom line. This chapter reviews the results of the previous chapter and suggests areas of further research in this field and the likely positive effects on the performance of the firm.

Corporate governance is equally significance to all types of corporate institution. Furthermore it is very crucial and essential element for the banking system because bank and financial institutions depends on the Other Peoples Money (OPM). There may be a gap among major stakeholder like owners, depositors and management. Very limited people have a right to access in resources and decision. Due to the lack of transparency and adequate control mechanism, there may be the chance of vested interest and moral hazard problems. Nepal is a developing country and facing with the problem of political instability, low economic growth, and higher inflation. There is no any proper code of Corporate Governance prevailing in Nepal.

This paper attempts to determine the impact of corporate governance in profitability of Nepalese commercial banks. A sample of seven joint venture and fifteen domestic private banks are examined for a period of 2007/08 to 2012/13. To make the research move reliable, different types of analysis have been conducted to find out the appropriate relationship between corporate governance and other variables, which affect the profitability of the organization.

The general objective of this paper is to examine the relationship of different corporate governance variables on the profitability of the commercial banks in Nepal. The specific objectives are to investigate the relationship between corporate governance and profitability of banking sector, to explain the relationship between corporate governance and banks performance in Nepal, to determine explicitly what variables explain corporate governance, to examine the impact of total assets on performance of the commercial banks of Nepal, to find out the impact of total equity on performance of the commercial banks of Nepal, and to examine the various aspects of corporate governance affecting bank's profitability that determines of board size and composition, shareholders, total assets and total equity.

The review of literature in this study shows that the banks with smaller size of board will exhibit more corporate governance responsibility than banks with larger size of board member; board of directors with a proper frequency of board meetings enhances the efficiency of firm management and adds to firm value; the number of shareholders will determine the strength of decision reached at the end of each year's meeting. It is argued that concentrated ownership can reduce the agency problems since few large owners will monitor the firm more closely and efficiently. However, in case just one or two members hold a very large portion of shares, they have

tendency to act according to their own objectives rather than minority shareholders and can cause the lower value of firm. Bank size is generally used to capture potential economies or diseconomies of scale in the banking sector. Scale efficiencies can improve bank efficiency as the unit cost of production falls with increased size. Therefore, increasing size could lead to improve performance, if there are significant economies of scale.

This study try to figure out the relationship between corporate variables as defined by board size, board composition, board activity, board meeting, number of shareholders and firm performance and between the control variables bank size, total equity, growth of revenues and the leverage and firm performance for Nepalese commercial banks for the periods of five year (2007/008-2012/013). Descriptive analyses and a statistic overview on the range of value for each variable are conducted to reach the objectives. The study uses correlation analysis, ANOVA analyses, and multiple regressions to test how these variables affect firm performance separately and connectedly. In this study, Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE) and Nonperforming Loan (NPL) are used as the measures for the bank performance.

This study has used 5 models to test the hypothesis. ROA, ROE, and NPL has been used as dependent variable and independent variables are

From chapter IV, it shows that the model summary of first model of the study in which dependent variable is ROE. This model explains 4.5% of the variation of ROE. R square is 4.5% which indicates 4.5% relationship has been explained for dependent variable ROE by independent variables. Here the value of R square is weak. The F statistics by computing will test hypothesis that the explanatory variable helped explained variation in ROE about its mean. Here the F statistics is 1.410 and the model is not significant. It shows that the except for board size all other variable shows the positive relation but only number of shareholders shows a significant relation with the dependent variable. Also, the summary of regression analysis of ROA with number of shareholders, board size, total assets and total equity is shown. It shows that the board size and total equity have negative relation and both variables are significance at the level of 5 percent. The variable number of shareholders and the total equity shows the positive relation but both variables do not show any significant relation as the level of significance for both variable is above 20 percent. The nonperforming loan shows a better regression result then the other dependent variable in both models with adjusted R square being 46.6% and 32.1%. Also the ANOVA and f-static result shows that the model fits better for the nonperforming loan.

5.2 Conclusion

CG is an emerging issue in the global context. Transparency, fair dealing and ethical standards with effective level of internal control are the some of the fundamentals of CG. Even as the fundamental of CG are same for the all sector of business of the world, banking sector is unique in some respects. Health of the banks and financial institution has huge implication for wider economy. A significant portion of the country's wealth is tied up with FI's in the form of deposit and equity. The banking system is the lynchpin of the nation's payment systems. CG in the bank is important in setting corporate objectives, operating day to day functions, protecting the interest of shareholders including depositors and carrying on corporate activities in the safe and sound manner

and in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. The board of directors and senior management of the banks must ensure that they are governing the business affairs of the organization in compliance with principles of CG.

This study is successful to explore the findings of the results designed for the study. The study tested for the relationship between corporate governance and Bank performance in Nepal between FY 2007/08 to FY 2012/2013 using pool data which represent an expansion of existing research literature that can be equally applied elsewhere. The major conclusion of this study is that although Corporate Governance relationship with bank performance seems to be non – existent, but the output of overall models (i.e. ANOVA) shows the significant result and shows strong relationship of bank performance with a number of other financial variables.

1. Specifically, this study has observed significant correlation of nonperforming loan as performance variables with Leverage and bank size as it was expected.
2. This relationship is based on the fact that ROA, ROE, NPL, Leverage and bank size, growth are all financial ratios unbreakably connected with each other.
3. Size, which was measured by the logarithm of Total Assets, is statistically significant with NPL ratio.
4. The corporate governance variable that showed positive significance result with all dependent variables is board size in most.

5.3 Recommendation

For the purpose of future line of research, efforts should be put at increasing the sample size and the corporate governance variable, particularly the inclusion of leverage, board size, and other variables. More over the sample selection bias in favor of financial institution. It is hereby suggested that attention should be devoted to the study of manufacturing and non financial institutions.

- Good corporate governance ensures that companies use their resources more efficiently and leads to better relations with workers, creditors, and other stakeholders. It is an important prerequisite for attracting the patient capital needed for sustained long-term economic growth.
- The firms should recognize and disclose the functions reserved to the board and those delegated to senior executives.
- Regular review of the balance of responsibilities may be appropriate to ensure that the division of functions remains appropriate to the needs of the company.
- Companies should disclose the process for evaluating the performance of senior executives. A majority of the board should be independent directors.
- Management culture must embody committed and responsible business ethics. CG codes are not worth the paper they are written in if they are divorced from ethical leadership. Core

values must be established at the top and clearly enforced throughout the organization, individual responsibility and accountability must be clearly defined and stressed.

- Since the competition among the institutions promotes good governance, government body should promote healthy environment of competition

Following the above argument, the study also recommends that the board size of banks in Nepal should not be too large and must be made up of qualified professional who are conversant with oversight function. There should also be a combination of self government regulation so as to detect rule violations and also monitor systemic problems for early solutions. The study frowns at the poor disclosure attitude of banks annual reporting. During the course of this study, the research did not find any element of disclosure regarding the amount of loans granted to bank directors. Thus, to enforce corporate governance principles, the banks should take the issue of transparency, accountability and disclosure more seriously.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Akpan, E.S. & Riman H.B. (2012). Does Corporate Governance affect Bank Profitability? Evidence from Nigeria. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*. 2(7): 135:145.

Bank Suorvision Report (2012). Nepal Rastra Bank

California Public Employees. (2010). Global Principles of Accountable Corporate Governance. *California Public Employess' Retirement System*.

Carse, D. (2000). *Speech: The importance of corporate governance in banks*. Retrieved May 7, 2009, from The Year 2000 Millennium Dinner of The Association of International Accountants – Hong Kong Branch, Hong Kong Bankers Club, Hong Kong.

Chen, C., Lin, J. B., & Yi, B. (2008). CEO Duality and Firm Performance – An Endogenous Issue. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 6(1)

Dharwadkar, R., G. George, & Brandes, P. (2000). Privatization in emerging economies: An agency perspective. *Academy of Management Review*. 25(3): 650-669.

Dobbin, F., & Jung, J. (2011). Corporate Board Diversity and Stock Performance: The Competence Gap or Institutional Investor Bias? *NORTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW*

Fama, E. F. and M. C. Jensen (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*. 26(2): 301-326.

Hong Kong Monetary Authority (HKMA). (2000). *Guideline on Corporate Governance of Locally Incorporated Authorised Institutions*. January 2000.

Jensen, M. C. and W. Meckling (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 3: 305-360.

Kapopoulos Panayotis and Lazaretou Sophia (2007), "Corporate Ownership Structure and Firm Performance: evidence from Greek firms", *Corporate Governance*, Volume 15, 144-158, Number 2 March 2007.

Kesner, I. F. (1987). Directors' Stock Ownership and Organizational Performance: An Investigation of Fortune 500 Companies. *Journal of Management* 13(3): 499-509.

Lefort, F., & Urzua, F. (2008). Board independence, firm performance and ownership concentration: Evidence from Chile. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(6), 615- 622. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2007.06.036

Love Inessa and Rachinsky Andrei (2007), "Corporate Governance, Ownership and Bank Performance in emerging markets: Evidence from Russia and Ukraine"

Love, I., Rachinsky, A. (2008). Corporate Governance, Ownership and Bank Performance in Emerging Markets: Evidence from Russia and Ukraine. *Available online at:*

http://siteresources.worldbank.org/DEC/Resources/Corporate_Governance_Ownership_and_Bank_Performance.pdf

Ma, S., & Tian, G. (2009). Board Composition, Board Activity and Ownership Concentration, the Impact on Firm Performance. *Asian Finance*, 1-51.

Monetary Policy (2012-13), Nepal Rastra Bank

Morck R., Shleifer A., & Vishny R. W. (1988). Management Ownership and Market Valuation: An Empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20(1-2): 293-316.

OECD (1997). *The impact of insitutional investors on OECD financial markets*. Financial Market trends No 68.

Pradhan R.S. & Adhikari S. N (2009), **“Corporate Governance and firm performance in Nepal”**, Management Review, Vol.1, No. 1, pp 22-26.

Shleifer, A. and R. Vishney (1986). Large shareholders and corporate control. *Journal of Political Economy*. 94: 461-488.

Stanwick, P. A., and Stanwick, S. D. (2005). Managing Stakeholder interest in turbulent times: A study of corporate governance of Canadian firms. *Journal of American Academy of Business Cambridge*, Vol. 7 Iss. 2: 42.

Tsui, J., and Gul, F.A., (2000), Corporate Governance and Financial Transparencies in the Hong Kong Special Administration Region of The People’s Republic of China. *The Second Asian Roundtable on Corporate Governance*. May 31 – June, 2000

Wu, W. (2009). Board Composition and Firm Performance: A Quantitative Study on Chinese Listed Companies. *Distribution*.

APPENDICES

Banks	YEAR	ROE	ROA	NPL	Size of board of directors	LnShareholder	LnTA	LnTE	Size	Leverage	Growth	Board Meeting
BOK	2007-08	0.2694	0.0204	0.0186	6	4.28	10.25	9.13	10.25	0.9243	0.2745	12
BOK	2008-09	0.2651	0.0225	0.0127	6	4.33	10.31	9.24	10.31	0.915	0.2919	12
BOK	2009-10	0.2456	0.0218	0.0151	6	4.34	10.37	9.32	10.37	0.9114	0.2044	14
BOK	2010-11	0.2485	0.0244	0.0182	6	4.36	10.39	9.39	10.39	0.9016	0.1457	17
BOK	2011-12	0.225	0.021	0.023	6	4.36	10.46	9.43	10.46	0.906487	-0.002	17
BOK	2012-13	0.1867	0.019	0.015	7	4.39	10.51	9.52	10.51	0.898461	0.06197	19
Citizen	2007-08	0.0915	0.0075	0	5	1.62	9.86	8.78	9.86	0.9175	8.2929	16
Citizen	2008-09	0.0927	0.0074	0	6	1.62	10.11	9.01	10.11	0.9202	0.6893	14
Citizen	2009-10	0.148	0.0117	0.0031	7	4.81	10.22	9.12	10.22	0.9208	0.758	16
Citizen	2010-11	0.0913	0.0118	0.0117	8	4.81	10.23	9.34	10.23	0.8708	0.2044	14
Citizen	2011-12	0.0985	0.0122	0.0201	8	4.81	10.3	9.36	10.3	0.886302	0.0597	12
Citizen	2012-13	0.1737	0.0179	0.0201	8	4.81	10.41	9.38	10.41	0.9084	0.79922	12
Grand	2007-08	0.0595	0.0112	0.0228	9	4.2	9.68	9.09	9.68	0.7436	0.3275	12
Grand	2008-09	0.0337	0.0115	0.0159	8	4.23	9.95	9.27	9.95	0.789	0.6377	12
Grand	2009-10	0.0786	0.0153	0.0144	8	4.25	10.01	9.31	10.01	0.8003	0.3116	12
Grand	2010-11	0.1046	0.0069	0.0195	8	4.25	10.1	9.33	10.1	0.8316	0.0876	12
Grand	2011-12	0.0846	0.0104	0.0128	8	4.25	10.24	9.33	10.24	0.875909	0.14688	12
Grand	2012-13	0.0537	0.005	0.0352	8	4.25	10.34	9.34	10.34	0.899538	0.41899	12
EBL	2007-	0.23	0.02	0.00	8	4.19	10.	9.2	10.	0.929	0.438	12

	08	49		68			43	8	43	2	1	
EBL	2008-09	0.2899	0.0173	0.0048	9	4.2	10.57	9.34	10.57	0.9403	0.2769	12
EBL	2009-10	0.3015	0.0209	0.0016	9	4.2	10.62	9.44	10.62	0.9333	0.2479	12
EBL	2010-11	0.2991	0.021	0.0034	8	4.29	10.66	9.49	10.66	0.9327	0.1374	12
EBL	2011-12	0.373	0.0211	0.0084	8	4.29	10.75	9.62	10.75	0.925156	0.19006	12
EBL	2012-13	0.3047	0.0239	0.0062	8	4.3	10.82	9.68	10.82	0.926563	0.29241	12
Global	2007-08	0.0847	0.0075	0.0085	6	4.75	9.92	8.86	9.92	0.9126	4.2038	15
Global	2008-09	0.053	0.0021	0.000	6	4.75	10.1	9.02	10.1	0.9169	0.7213	17
Global	2009-10	0.048	0.0042	0.0009	6	4.75	10.24	9.18	10.24	0.9116	0.1	14
Global	2010-11	0.1317	0.0128	0.0252	6	4.74	10.24	9.23	10.24	0.9025	0.2851	15
Global	2011-12	0.1046	0.0087	0.0164	6	4.74	10.49	9.44	10.49	0.917275	0.06603	12
Global	2012-13	0.139	0.0115	0.0227	6	4.81	10.59	9.51	10.59	0.917199	1.01015	12
HBL	2007-08	0.253	0.0176	0.0236	9	3.91	10.56	9.44	10.56	0.9305	0.1465	12
HBL	2008-09	0.2413	0.0191	0.0216	9	3.93	10.59	9.49	10.59	0.9207	0.2445	13
HBL	2009-10	0.1479	0.0119	0.0352	9	3.93	10.63	9.54	10.63	0.9195	0.0855	12
HBL	2010-11	0.2235	0.0191	0.0422	9	3.95	10.67	9.66	10.67	0.9145	0.1987	13
HBL	2011-12	0.207	0.0176	0.0209	9	3.95	10.74	9.67	10.74	0.914797	0.12544	12
HBL	2012-13	0.1781	0.0154	0.0289	9	3.98	10.79	9.72	10.79	0.913337	0.21048	12
KIST	2008-09	0.0438	0.008	0.0022	7	3.75	10.05	9.31	10.05	0.8166	0	14
KIST	2009-10	0.069	0.0076	0.0019	7	3.83	10.28	9.32	10.28	0.8897	1.0259	15
KIST	2010-11	0.0252	0.0028	0.0254	7	3.84	10.28	9.33	10.28	0.8873	0.2925	13
KIST	2011-12	0.0447	0.0044	0.0394	7	3.84	10.35	9.35	10.35	0.900871	0.1442	12
Kumar	2007-	0.12	0.01	0.01	8	4.09	10.	9.1	10.	0.909	0.204	12

i	08	82	16	32			18	4	18	2	1	
Kumar i	2008- 09	0.16 09	0.01 41	0.00 44	7	4.14	10. 27	9.2 1	10. 27	0.912 3	0.264 3	12
Kumar i	2009- 10	0.17 73	0.01 59	0.00 5	8	4.15	10. 31	9.2 5	10. 31	0.913	0.203 3	12
Kumar i	2010- 11	0.11 35	0.01 23	0.01 12	8	4.16	10. 31	9.3 5	10. 31	0.892	0.015 4	12
Kumar i	2011- 12	0.11 59	0.01 1	0.02 21	8	4.16	10. 4	9.3 8	10. 4	0.905 414	0.168 71	12
Laxmi	2007- 08	0.10 38	0.01 13	0.00 13	9	4.01	10. 1	9.0 6	10. 1	0.908 9	0.579 4	12
Laxmi	2008- 09	0.14 07	0.01 22	0.00 08	10	4.03	10. 26	9.1 3	10. 26	0.926 9	0.408 2	12
Laxmi	2009- 10	0.17 1	0.01 66	0.00 12	9	4.03	10. 32	9.2 8	10. 32	0.908 7	0.498 6	12
Laxmi	2010- 11	0.17 75	0.01 7	0.00 9	10	4.02	10. 33	9.3 2	10. 33	0.902	0.153	12
Laxmi	2011- 12	0.15 49	0.01 5	0.00 62	8	4.02	10. 42	9.3 6	10. 42	0.911 625	0.029 98	12
Laxmi	2012- 13	0.15 51	0.01 5	0.01 6	8	4.04	10. 47	9.4 4	10. 47	0.908 467	0.342 75	12
Lumbi ni	2007- 08	1.11 56	0.05 36	0.14 92	8	4.04	9.7 9	8.4 7	9.7 9	0.952 3	0.248 1	12
Lumbi ni	2008- 09	0.34 86	0.04 4	0.09 06	8	4.06	9.8 8	8.9 8	9.8 8	0.873 7	0.337 1	12
Lumbi ni	2009- 10	0.20 89	0.04 1	0.04 53	8	4.06	9.8 7	9.1 6	9.8 7	0.803 6	0	12
Lumbi ni	2010- 11	0.21 07	0.04 41	0.00 96	8	4.07	9.9 5	9.2 7	9.9 5	0.790 6	0.042 9	12
Lumbi ni	2011- 12	0.09 51	0.01 95	0.00 47	8	4.07	10 10	9.3 1	10 10	0.794 667	0.004 3	12
Lumbi ni	2012- 13	0.06 88	0.01 12	0.00 86	8	4.08	10. 12	9.3 4	10. 12	0.836 5	0.192 25	12
MBL	2007- 08	0.07 31	0.00 68	0.01 04	7	4.07	10. 1	9.0 7	10. 1	0.906 9	0.222 2	18
MBL	2008- 09	0.07 25	0.00 7	0.02 33	7	4.11	10. 24	9.2 3	10. 24	0.902 8	0.232 7	16
MBL	2009- 10	0.04 13	0.00 35	0.02 32	7	4.11	10. 32	9.2 5	10. 32	0.914 2	0.130 8	16
MBL	2010- 11	0.00 46	0.00 03	0.04 46	9	4.11	10. 31	9.2 5	10. 31	0.912 3	0	15
MBL	2011- 12	0.01 44	0.00 16	0.02 84	9	4.11	10. 39	9.4 2	10. 39	0.891 282	0.145 6	12

MBL	2012-13	0.0531	0.0049	0.0284	9	4.21	10.48	9.45	10.48	0.907689	1.01584	12
NBL	2007-08	0.3063	0.0232	0.0074	8	3.87	10.57	9.39	10.57	0.9344	0.1285	12
NBL	2008-09	0.3294	0.0255	0.008	7	3.92	10.64	9.564	10.64	0.9286	0.3296	12
NBL	2009-10	0.2969	0.0237	0.0147	8	3.98	10.72	9.58	10.72	0.9264	0.2445	9
NBL	2010-11	0.2922	0.0243	0.0177	8	4.01	10.76	9.66	10.76	0.9208	0.1078	16
NBL	2011-12	0.3112	0.028	0.0233	7	4.01	10.8	9.74	10.8	0.913752	0.30323	13
NBL	2012-13	0.3317	0.0325	0.0213	7	4.03	10.86	9.83	10.86	0.90867	0.15473	13
NBBL	2007-08	0	0.0635	0.3173	7	4.3	9.97	9.80	9.97	1.2334	0.0285	14
NBBL	2008-09	1.9403	0.1804	0.198	7	4.34	10.08	9.05	10.08	0.907	0.5376	15
NBBL	2009-10	0.4787	0.0815	0.0647	7	4.36	10.1	9.33	10.1	0.8297	0	17
NBBL	2010-11	0.1838	0.0385	0.1918	7	4.36	10.2	9.35	10.2	0.8581	0.0277	20
NBBL	2011-12	0.274	0.0401	0.0429	7	4.36	10.3	9.47	10.3	0.853545	0.1622	12
NSBI	2007-08	0.1751	0.0144	0.0383	6	4.23	10.24	9.15	10.24	0.9177	0.196	5
NSBI	2008-09	0.1847	0.0102	0.0202	6	4.26	10.49	9.23	10.49	0.9446	0.2987	8
NSBI	2009-10	0.1599	0.0103	0.0148	8	4.25	10.58	9.39	10.58	0.9356	0.3357	12
NSBI	2010-11	0.1613	0.0101	0.011	8	4.26	10.66	9.46	10.66	0.9375	0.2839	12
NSBI	2011-12	0.1502	0.008	0.0054	8	4.26	10.76	9.576	10.76	0.944928	0.05339	12
NSBI	2012-13	0.2031	0.019	0.0037	8	4.27	10.81	9.58	10.81	0.941371	0.4676	12
NIBL	2007-08	0.2593	0.0177	0.0112	8	3.78	10.59	9.43	10.59	0.9309	0.3239	12
NIBL	2008-09	0.2305	0.0168	0.0058	8	3.88	10.72	9.59	10.72	0.9263	0.2831	12
NIBL	2009-10	0.2761	0.0219	0.0062	8	3.9	10.76	9.66	10.76	0.92	0.2921	12
NIBL	2010-11	0.228	0.0202	0.0094	8	3.92	10.77	9.71	10.77	0.9116	0.0361	12

NIBL	2011-12	0.2706	0.016	0.0332	8	3.92	10.82	9.78	10.82	0.907994	0.02691	12
NIBL	2012-13	0.2728	0.026	0.0191	8	3.94	10.86	9.85	10.86	0.904027	0.37444	12
NMB	2007-08	0.06	0.0082	0.0152	8	4.18	9.95	9.08	9.95	0.8641	0.0708	14
NMB	2008-09	0.0395	0	0.0051	8	4.21	10.2	9.2	10.2	0.8996	0.2612	15
NMB	2009-10	0.0883	0.0121	0.007	8	4.25	10.12	9.26	10.12	0.863	0.958	22
NMB	2010-11	0.1002	0.0139	0.0027	8	4.26	10.2	9.34	10.2	0.8613	0.2857	17
NMB	2011-12	0.0231	0.0028	0.0245	9	4.26	10.27	9.35	10.27	0.877604	0.03134	14
NMB	2012-13	0.1487	0.0143	0.018	9	4.26	10.4	9.38	10.4	0.903523	0.56695	14
Prime	2007-08	0.0385	0.0044	0	9	2.59	9.81	8.86	9.81	0.886	0	12
Prime	2008-09	0.1386	0.0106	0	9	2.59	10.13	9.01	10.13	0.9235	1.5207	13
Prime	2009-10	0.2112	0.0161	0	9	4.84	10.31	9.19	10.31	0.9239	0.9722	15
Prime	2010-11	0.1448	0.0163	0.0057	9	4.82	10.34	9.4	10.34	0.8874	0.1766	14
Prime	2011-12	0.1042	0.0099	0.0076	9	4.82	10.43	9.41	10.43	0.904776	0.05035	12
Prime	2012-13	0.1618	0.0147	0.0223	9	4.8	10.51	9.47	10.51	0.908931	0.39147	12
SCBL	2007-08	0.3285	0.0246	0.0092	6	3.85	10.52	9.4	10.52	0.9252	0.1387	12
SCBL	2008-09	0.3358	0.0253	0.0066	6	3.95	10.61	9.48	10.61	0.9248	0.1792	12
SCBL	2009-10	0.3222	0.027	0.0061	6	4.02	10.6	9.53	10.6	0.9162	0.0983	12
SCBL	2010-11	0.3043	0.0255	0.0062	6	4.07	10.64	9.57	10.64	0.9161	0.0712	12
SCBL	2011-12	0.2836	0.028	0.0078	7	4.07	10.62	9.62	10.62	0.901093	0.07199	12
SCBL	2012-13	0.2638	0.0267	0.0077	7	4.08	10.66	9.66	10.66	0.898806	0.05241	12
Sidhartha	2007-08	0.134	0.0123	0.0069	8	4.27	10.07	9.03	10.07	0.9084	0.5288	12
Sidhartha	2008-09	0.1704	0.0122	0.0045	8	4.57	10.25	9.11	10.25	0.9285	0.4171	12
Sidhartha	2009-	0.15	0.01	0.00	9	4.31	10.	9.2	10.	0.929	0.259	20

tha	10	02	06	53			36	1	36	7	6	
Sidhartha	2010-11	0.1566	0.0128	0.0079	10	4.31	10.39	9.3	10.39	0.9185	0.3019	12
Sidhartha	2011-12	0.1514	0.0112	0.0152	9	4.31	10.47	9.34	10.47	0.926189	0.25869	12
Sidhartha	2012-13	0.1926	0.0143	0.0239	9	4.31	10.53	9.4	10.53	0.925797	0.37754	12
Sunrise	2007-08	0.004	0.005	0	9	1.62	9.71	8.83	9.71	0.8692	0	12
Sunrise	2008-09	0.0608	0.0051	0	9	1.62	10.22	9.14	10.22	0.9167	2.4444	12
Sunrise	2009-10	0.1242	0.0121	0.0104	9	4.9	10.23	9.22	10.23	0.9028	0.9859	12
Sunrise	2010-11	0.0206	0	0.0351	9	4.9	10.2	9.33	10.2	0.8646	0.1531	12
Sunrise	2011-12	0.0517	0.0052	0.0352	9	4.9	10.33	9.33	10.33	0.898905	0.0705	12
Sunrise	2012-13	0.1271	0.0119	0.0374	9	4.9	10.42	9.39	10.42	0.90619	0.63595	12