

LOAN MANAGEMENT IN COMMERCIAL BANKS OF NEPAL

(A Study of Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd. and Nabil
Bank Ltd.)

A THESIS

Submitted By:

Anuradha Shrestha
Nepal Commerce Campus
Campus Roll No: 737/062
Exam Roll No: 251100
T.U. Regd. No: 7-2-407-3-2002

Submitted To:

Office of the Dean
Faculty of Management
Tribhuvan University

In Partial Fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of
Master's of Business Studies (M.B.S)

Kathmandu, Nepal.

March, 2012

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the Thesis

Submitted by:
Anuradha Shrestha

Entitled:
LOAN MANAGEMENT IN COMMERCIAL BANKS OF NEPAL
(A Study of Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd and Nabil Bank Ltd)

Has been prepared as approved by this Department in the prescribed format of the
Faculty of Management.

This thesis is forwarded for examination.

.....
(Dr. Sushil Bhakta Mathema)
Head of Research Department
&
Thesis Supervisor

.....
Campus Chief

.....
(Anoop Lal Mahaju)
Thesis Supervisor

Date:

VIVA-VOCE SHEET

We have conducted the viva-voce examination of the thesis

Submitted by
Anuradha Shrestha

Entitled:
**LOAN MANAGEMENT IN COMMERCIAL BANKS OF NEPAL
(A Study of Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd and Nabil Bank Ltd)**

And found the thesis to be the original work of the student and written according to the prescribed format. We recommend the thesis to be accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirement for Master's Degree in Business Studies (M.B.S.)

Viva-Voce Committee

Head (Research Department)

Member (Thesis Supervisor)

Member (Thesis Supervisor)

Member (External Expert)

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled “Loan Management in Commercial Banks of Nepal” submitted to Office of the Dean, Faculty of Management, Tribhuvan University, is my original work. This work has been carried out for the partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master’s of Business Studies (M.B.S.) under the supervision of Dr. Sushil Bhakta Mathema and Mr. Anoop Lal Mahaju of Nepal Commerce Campus, Tribhuvan University.

March, 2012

.....
Anuradha Shrestha

Researcher

Faculty of Management

Nepal Commerce Campus

Campus Roll No: 737/062

Exam Roll No: 251100

T.U. Regd. No: 7-2-407-3-2002

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Using words like gratitude and thanks to show my respect for our teachers Dr. Sushil Bhakta Mathema and Mr. Anoop Lal Mahaju would only do injustice to their effort and guidance they have given me in accomplishing this thesis entitled “Loan Management in Commercial Banks of Nepal” as the partial requirement for the Master’s of Business Studies (MBS). Because of their valuable advice, inspirations, guidance and encouragement, in spite of their busy schedule, this research has been complete.

And I am deeply indebted to all the library staffs of Nepal Commerce Campus for their kind co-operation in allowing me to review various dissertations and books.

I would like to express my profound gratitude to my family and lots of thanks to my friends for their continuous and encouraging support during this study.

And at last, I apologize for any errors in this research work, as it is said that to error is human and to pardon is divine.

Anuradha Shrestha

Nepal Commerce Campus

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RECOMMENDATION
VIVA-VOCE SHEET
DECLARATIONS
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURES
ABBREVIATIONS

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
1.1 General Background	1
1.2 Origin of Banking	2
1.3 Introduction of Concerned Banks	7
1.3.1 Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd.	7
1.3.2 Nabil Bank Ltd	9
1.4 Statement of Problem	11
1.5 Objective of the Study	13
1.6 Need and Significance of the Study	13
1.7 Limitation of the Study	13
1.8 Organization of the Study	14
2. <u>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</u>	15
2.1 Conceptual framework	15
2.1.1 Concept of Commercial Bank	15
2.1.2 Concept of Loan and Advances	16
2.1.3 Performing Loan	17
2.1.4 Non-Performing Loan	17
2.1.5 Concept of Investment	17
2.2 Consumer Loan	20
2.3 Review of NRB Directives	21
2.4 Review of Journals	28
2.5 Review of Related Studies	31
2.6 Research Gap	36

3.	<u>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</u>	38
3.1	Research Design	38
3.2	Nature and Sources of Data	38
3.3	Population and Sample	39
3.4	Analysis of Data	39
3.4.1	Financial Tools	40
	a. Liquidity Ratio	40
	b. Assets/Management Liability Ratio	40
	c. Activity Ratio	42
3.4.2.	Statistical Tools	44
	a. Standard Deviation	44
	b. Coefficient of Variation	44
	c. Measures of Correlation	45
	d. Time Series	46
3.4.3.	Others	46
4.	<u>DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS</u>	47
4.1	Measuring the Liquidity Position	47
4.1.1	Current Ratio	47
4.1.2	Liquid Fund to Current Liability Ratio	48
4.1.3	Liquid Fund to Total Deposit Ratio	49
4.1.4	Cash & Bank Balance to Interest Sensitive Deposit Ratio	50
4.1.5	Total Assets to Total Liabilities Ratio	51
4.1.6	Loans and Advances to Total Assets Ratio	52
4.1.7	Investments to Loans and Advances & Investments Ratio	52
4.1.8	Loans and Advances & Investments To Total Deposit Ratio	53
4.1.9	Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio	54
4.1.10	Non-Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio	55
4.1.11	Return on Loans and Advances Ratio	56
4.1.12	Loan Loss Ratio	56
4.1.13	Interest Earned to Total Income Ratio	57
4.1.14	Interest Expenses to Total Deposit Ratio	58
4.1.15	Interest Income to Interest Expenses Ratio	59

4.2	Measuring the Distribution of Loans and Advances & Investments	59
4.2.1	Loans and Advances Portfolio	60
4.2.1.1	SCBNL	60
4.2.1.2	NABIL	61
4.2.2	Investment Portfolio	62
4.2.2.1	SCBNL	63
4.2.2.2	NABIL	64
4.2.3	Private Sector Loans to Total Loans and Advances	64
4.2.4	Government Sector Loans to total Loans and Advances	65
4.2.5	Ratio of Government Securities to Total Investments	66
4.2.6	Ratio Shares and Debentures to Total Investments	67
4.3	Measuring the Lending Strength in Absolute Terms	67
4.3.1	Net Assets	68
4.3.2	Deposits	69
4.3.3	Loans and Advances	71
4.3.4	Investments	72
4.3.5	Interest Earned	73
4.3.6	Provision for Doubtful Debts	74
4.3.7	Net Profit	76
4.4	Measuring the Growth Rate based on the Trend Value	78
4.4.1	Trend Analysis of Net Assets	78
4.4.2	Trend Analysis of Deposits	79
4.4.3	Trend Analysis of Loans and Advances	80
4.4.4	Trend Analysis of Investments	82
4.4.5	Trend Analysis of Net Profit	83
4.5	Measuring Correlation between Different Variables	84
4.5.1	Correlation between Deposits and Loans and Advances	84
4.5.2	Correlation between Doubtful Debts And Loans and Advances	85

4.5.3	Correlation between Interest Earned And Net Profit	86
4.5.4	Correlation between Net Profit and Loans and Advances	87
4.6	Major Findings	88
5.	<u>SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	91
5.1	Summary	91
5.2	Conclusion	93
5.3	Recommendations	98

BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDIX

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE NO.</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1.1	List of Commercial banks	6
2.1	Classification of Bank and Financial Institutions and Provisions for Minimum Paid Up Capital	22
2.2	Required Capital Fund on the basis of Weighted Risk Assets	23
2.3	Classification of Loan required Provisioning	25
2.4	Required Deprived Sector Credit to different Commercial Banks	28
3.1	List of Licensed JVBs	39
4.1	Current Ratio	47
4.2	Liquid Fund to Current Liability Ratio	48
4.3	Liquid Fund to Total Deposit Ratio	49
4.4	Cash and Bank Balance to Interest Sensitive Deposit Ratio	50
4.5	Total Assets to Total Liabilities Ratio	51
4.6	Loans and Advance to Total Assets Ratio	52
4.7	Investments to Loans and Advances and Investments Ratio	53
4.8	Loans and Advances & Investments to Total Deposit Ratio	54
4.9	Performing assets to Total Assets Ratio	55
4.10	Non-Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio	55
4.11	Return on Loans and Advances Ratio	56
4.12	Loan Loss Ratio	57
4.13	Interest Earned to Total Income Ratio	58
4.14	Interest Expenses to Total Deposit Ratio	58
4.15	Interest Income to Interest Expenses Ratio	59
4.16	Loans and Advances Portfolio of SCBNL	60
4.17	Loans and Advances Portfolio of NABIL	61
4.18	Investment Portfolio of SCBNL	63
4.19	Investment Portfolio of NABIL	64
4.20	Private Sector Loans and Advances to Total Loans and Advances Ratio	65
4.21	Government Sector Loans to Total Loans and Advances Ratio	66

4.22	Ratio of Government Securities to Total Investments	66
4.23	Ratio of Shares and Debentures to Total Investments	67
4.24	Net Assets	68
4.25	Mean/SD/CV of Net Assets	69
4.26	Total Deposits	69
4.27	Mean/SD/CV of Total Deposits	70
4.28	Loans and Advances	71
4.29	Mean/SD/CV of Loans and Advances	72
4.30	Investments	72
4.31	Mean/SD/CV of Investments	73
4.32	Interest Earned	73
4.33	Mean/SD/CV of Interest Earned	74
4.34	Provision for Doubtful Debts	75
4.35	Mean/SD/CV of Provision for Doubtful Debts	76
4.36	Net Profit	76
4.37	Mean/SD/CV of Net Profit	77
4.38	Trend Value of Net Assets	78
4.39	Trend Value of Deposits	79
4.40	Trend Value of Loans and Advances	81
4.41	Trend Value of Investments	82
4.42	Trend Value of Net Profit	83
4.43	Correlation between Deposits and Loans and Advances	84
4.44	Correlation between Doubtful Debts and Loans and Advances	86
4.45	Correlation between Interest Earned and Net Profit	87
4.46	Correlation between Net Profit and Loans and Advances	88

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
4.1	Loans and Advances Portfolio of SCBNL	61
4.2	Loans and Advances Portfolio of NABIL	62
4.3.	Investment Portfolio of SCBNL	63
4.4.	Investment Portfolio of NABIL	64
4.5.	Net Assets	68
4.6.	Deposits	70
4.7.	Loans and Advances	71
4.8.	Investments	72
4.9.	Interest Earned	74
4.10	Provision for Doubtful Debts	75
4.11	Net Profit	77
4.12	Trend Analysis of Net Assets	79
4.13	Trend Analysis of Deposits	80
4.14	Trend Analysis of Loans and Advances	81
4.15	Trend Analysis of Investments	82
4.16	Trend Analysis of Net Profit	84

ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	Advance on Bills Collection
ADBL	Agriculture Development Bank Ltd.
ATM	Automated Teller Machine
B.S.	Bikram Sambat
BOK	Bank Of Kathmandu
CV	Coefficient of Variation
EBL	Everest Bank Limited
F/Y	Fiscal Year
HBL	Himalayan Bank Limited
IMF	International Monetary Fund
JVB	Joint Venture Bank
KU	Kathmandu University
LBL	Lumbini Bank Limited
NBBL	Nepal Bangladesh Bank Limited
NBL	Nepal Bank Limited
NCCBL	Nepal Credit & Commerce Bank Limited
NIBL	Nepal Investment Bank Limited
NICB	Nepal Industrial & Commercial Bank Limited
NRB	Nepal Rastra Bank
NSBL	Nepal SBI Bank Limited
P & D	Purchase & Discount
RBB	Rastriya Banijya Bank
SCBNL	Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Limited
SWIFT	Society for Worldwide International Fund Transfer
T-Bill	Treasury bill

CHAPTER: 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL BACKGROUND

Every country has its own fiscal and monetary policies, which stabilizes and supervises the economy of its country. These two policies are like the two wheels of the same cart, which drives the economy and pushes it forward. Nepal falls under one of the least developed countries in the world and the reason behind its underdeveloped economy is due to its ineffective economic policies and improper utilization of its available resources. To attain the economic development, there should be a good environment for the establishments of cooperation between different sectors of the economy, and equally important are the effective and efficient utilization of its available resources.

The role of money in an economy is very important. Proper and well-planned management of money directs, determines and enhances the health and productivity of the economy. *HENCE, MONEY IS A SUBJECT TO MANAGE AND THE BANKS ARE THE MANAGER THEREOF.*

The existence of bank has its root in economic development and the banks have a big role to play in fund mobilization to increase the pace of development. The improper infrastructure of Nepalese economy, slow paced industrial sector, low rate of employment, majority of non-organized financial sector, lack of organized capital market, have always been demanding an efficient, competent, and liberalized banking industries. Today banking sector has evolved itself as an integral part of the whole economy.

“Commercial banks have played expressive role to uplift the economic growth and to improve the standards of living. So it will not be exaggerated to say that rapid economic development is possible only when financial institutions like commercial banks are involved in the development activities of the country. There is not a step in business world where banks have no influence. Today’s so-called development countries also have fasted their economic development with the help of banking system” (Encyclopedia Americana).

1.2 ORIGIN OF BANKING

Present banking system has evolved through various phases and platforms. According to Geoffrey Crowther, “Merchants, Goldsmiths and Money Lenders are the ancestors of modern banking systems”. The etymology (origin) of the word “BANK” is related to the Latin word ‘BANCUS’, Italian word ‘BANCA’ and French word ‘BANQUE’ all of which means a “BENCH”. The medieval European moneylenders and money exchangers used benches to display their valuables and coins. During the early periods, although individuals mostly did the banking business, many countries established public banks either for the purpose of facilitating commerce or to serve the government.

The world’s first bank was established in Venice, Italy in 1157 AD as the “Bank of Venice”. During 1401, a public bank was established in Barcelona. It is used to exchange money, receive deposits and discount bills of exchange, both for the citizens and foreigners. During 1407 AD, The Bank of Geneva was established and The Bank of Amsterdam in 1609 AD. In spite of the establishment of “Bank of England” in 1694, the development of modern banking institutions had to wait for another four decades until the Banking Act was introduced in 1833 AD, which allowed freedom to open joint stock company banks. “The Bank of England” was the first central bank of the world.

The history of banking in Nepal is not so old. It is assumed that the unorganized lending and borrowing activities in the form of currency were dated back to “Mandev”. He was the first administrator to bring the coins in economic activities. The coin was called “Manangka”. Later the coin named “Gunangka”, “Baishnab”, “Pashupati” etc were also brought into transactions. However, it is tragic not to know the exact date of commencement of monetary units in the economic sphere in Nepal, since the date was not printed on the coins. The “Tejarath Addha” then established by the then Prime Minister Ranodeep Singh was the first financial institution of Nepal. The Addha used to provide loans against the security of Gold, Silver etc. although this Addha did not accept deposits from public. But, the banking in the true sense was first established in Nepal on 30th Kartik 1994 B.S. by the name of Nepal Bank Limited (NBL). This was the first step towards the modern institutional banking

system in Nepal. The existing need of improvement in the economic, trade and industrial sector and requirement of financial reform gave the emergence of NBL. Sardar Bhim Bahadur Pandey has stated two main reasons for establishment of NBL at that time; (Timilsina, 2053 B.S. :)

- To capture the opportunities created by the establishment of Nepal Trade Corporation and to increase the trade with other countries.
- Nepal's first ambassador to England Ka. Jha. Bahadur and first secretary Su. Gunjaman Singh established "Udhyog Parishad" and drafted company act and Nepal Bank Act upon their return to Nepal in the year 1993 B.S.

Nepal Rastra Bank, the Central Bank of Nepal was established in 2013 B.S. for the establishment of banking sector and to help the Government to formulate monetary policies as the establishment of NBL alone was not sufficient to control monetary and financial sector of the country. The mobilization and implementation of efficient and effective monetary and financial policy was the necessity of the country to upgrade its banking system. Later, in the year 2022 B.S. Rastriya Banijya Bank (RBB) was established under the Banijya Bank Act 2021. The objective for the establishment of RBB was to touch the whole economic and financial sectors, to make the banking, a habit of people.

The subsequent tendency towards liberalization and the need of revolutionary change in the financial sector allowed the foreign banks to enter into the economy as "Joint Venture". As those Joint Venture Banks were expected to develop the banking with the pace of time and attract the foreign investments and technology. So, in this process, the establishment of Nabil Bank Limited was formed in the name of Nepal Arab Bank Limited in 1984 A.D under the company Act 1984. Nepal Arab Bank Limited was formed in collaboration with Emirates Bank International (Dubai). Following this, Nepal Indo-Suez Bank Limited in 2042, Nepal Grindlays Bank Limited in 2043, Himalayan Bank Limited in 2049 was subsequently established. Today there are thirty one commercial banks performing their operation in Nepal.

Though, banking system in Nepal has paced up in its development from the establishment of NBL in 1994 B.S. till now, Nepalese banking has not

been very successful in bringing change in its economy, society and in its people. Still, a large portion of Nepalese economy is untouched from the present Nepalese Banking System. Even today, moneylenders are still playing the role of banks by granting loans to general public in many remote parts of the country. And this monopoly results in excessively high interest rates than that of institutional bank, thus exploiting the general public of those remote areas, as banking in those areas has not been an easy access to the public.

Commercial banks are not interested in providing their service and product to the primary and deprived sector of the economy. Commercial banks have access in the major cities and municipalities only. So that, moneylenders are free to take any interest rates from public and take undue advantages from them. So it won't be misinterpreted when we say that integrated and speedy development of the country is possible only when the competitive banking services reach every nook and corner of the country.

For Nepal, Agriculture Development Bank (ADB), NBL and RBB are the main suppliers of rural credit. Other commercial banks have hardly supplied rural credit although they have supplied priority & deprived sector credit just to comply with the regulation of NRB. And the most probable reason for that can be seen may be because, past experiences of ADB, NBL and RBB reveal an increasing outstanding loan and a rapid growth rate of over dues. Till 1991/92, ADB's total outstanding loan amounted to Rs.3655.2 million of which overdue loan was Rs.1535.4million. Similarly, the outstanding loan of commercial banks in priority sectors amounted to Rs.872.3 million in the same year, out of which Rs.220 million was overdue. Upon investigation, it was found that Rs.248.5 million was misappropriated in cooperatives by their staffs, members and other officials.

The recovery rate is very poor in rural and priority sector but the requirement of credits in these sectors is very large. And with recovery of disbursed loan, reinvestment of credits is, however, not possible. The payback situation and the volume of over dues of the credit supplied by the banks and cooperatives in rural sector represent disappointing trend. It is a

difficult situation where neither the credit supply can be stopped, which will affect the economic development of the country, nor the supply of the loan can be continued without the recovery of the past loans. And besides, the operational cost of rural credit and priority sector loan has been higher than it's earning.

The poor structure of Nepalese economy, slow paced industrial sector, low rate of employment, majority of non-organized financial sector, lack of organized capital market etc have always been demanding an efficient, competent and liberalized banking institutions. Nepalese banking if worked efficiently in pursuit of its ideology could be the people's hope towards prosperity and economic dynamism.

Table: 1.1
LIST OF LICENSED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN NEPAL

S.N	COMMERCIAL BANKS	HEAD OFFICE
1	Nepal Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
2	Rastriya Banijya Bank	Kathmandu
3	Agricultural Development Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
4	NABIL Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
5	Nepal Investment Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
6	Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd	Kathmandu
7	Himalayan Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
8	Nepal SBI Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
9	Nepal Bangladesh Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
10	Everest Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
11	Bank Of Kathmandu Ltd.	Kathmandu
12	Nepal Credit & Commerce Bank Ltd.	Siddharthanagar
13	Lumbini Bank Ltd.	Narayangadh
14	Nepal Industrial & Commercial Bank Ltd	Biratnagar
15	Machhapuchchhre Bank Ltd.	Pokhara
16	Kumari Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
17	Laxmi Bank Ltd.	Birgunj
18	Siddhartha Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
19	Global Bank Ltd.	Birgunj
20	Citizen International Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
21	Prime Commercial Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
22	Sunrise Bank Ltd.	Kathmandu
23	Bank Of Asia Ltd.	Kathmandu
24	Development Credit Bank	Kathmandu
25	NMB Bank	Kathmandu
26	Kist Bank Ltd	Kathmandu
27	Janata Bank Nepal Ltd	Kathmandu
28	Mega Bank Nepal Ltd	Kathmandu
29	Commerz & Trust Bank Nepal Ltd	Kathmandu
30	Civil Bank Ltd	Kathmandu
31	Century Bank Ltd	Kathmandu
32	Sanima Bank Ltd	Kathmandu

(Source: www.nrb.org.np)

1.3 INTRODUCTION OF CONCERNED BANKS:

For the purpose of this study, two commercial banks are taken as a sample banks among other 32 commercial banks, namely SCBNL and NABIL. SCBNL is one of the pioneer financial institutions in the Nepalese banking sector having joint venture with one of the top banks in the world. On the other hand, NABIL is the Nepal's first and major joint venture bank Therefore; short glimpses of these two financial institutions are mentioned here.

1.3.1 STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LIMITED (SCBNL):

SCBNL has been in operation in Nepal since 1987 when it was initially registered as joint-venture operation in collaboration with ANZ Grindlays Bank in the name of Nepal Grindlays Bank Ltd. Today the Bank is an integral part of Standard Chartered Group who has 75% ownership in the company with 25% shares owned by the Nepalese public. The Bank enjoys the status of the largest international bank currently operating in Nepal.

As an integral part of the only international banking group currently operating in Nepal, the bank enjoys the impeccable reputation of a leading financial institution in the country. With 19 points of representation and 23 ATMs across the Kingdom and with over 425 local staff, SCBNL is in a position to service its customers through a large domestic network. In addition to which the global network of Standard Chartered Group gives the Bank the unique opportunity to provide truly international banking in Nepal.

SCBNL offers a full range of banking products and services in Business and Consumer banking, catering to a wide range of customers from individuals, to mid-market local corporate to multinationals and large public sector companies, as well as embassies, international agencies, airlines, hotels, and government corporations.

The Bank has been the pioneer in introducing 'customer focused' products and services in the country and aspires to continue to be a leader in introducing new products and highest level of service delivery. It is the first bank in Nepal that has implemented the Anti- Money Laundering policy and applied the 'Know Your Customer' procedure on all the customer accounts.

The capital structure of SCBNL is as follows:

Authorized Capital	Nrs 2,000,000,000
Issued Capital	Nrs 1,610,168,000
Paid Up Capital	Nrs 1,610,168,000

Promoters and Shareholders of SCBNL;

Standard Chartered Grindlays Bank, Australia	50%
Standard Chartered Grindlays Bank, UK	25%
General Public	25%

(Source: Annual Report 2010/2011)

PRODUCTS AND SERVICES offered by the Bank:

CONSUMER BANK

1. Current, Savings, Call and term deposit accounts in Local and Foreign currency
2. Fund Transfer Services- Local and International- Drafts, SWIFT
3. Credit Card Services- Issuances and Acquiring
4. 24 Hour ATM services-SCBNL debit card, VISA and Master Card
5. Safe Deposit Lockers
6. Foreign Exchange Services- Issuance/Purchase of Travelers Cheque
7. Extra Banking-365 days banking service
8. Priority Banking; Home Banking
9. Auto Loan
- 10.Home Loan; Home Advantage
- 11.Personal Loan
- 12.SMS Banking
- 13.Corporate Employee accounts

BUSINESS BANK

- 14.Trade Finance
 - o Letters of Credit: Issuance and acceptance
 - o Guarantees: Issuance and acceptance
- 15.Commercial Lending (Working Capital Loan)
- 16.Term Lending
- 17.Loan Syndication

- 18. Forward Exchange Rates
- 19. Electronic Banking
- 20. Cash management
 - Operating Accounts
 - Quick Collection Services
 - Quick Payment Services
- 21. Cheque Writer

1.3.2 NABIL BANK LIMITED:

NABIL was Nepal's first and major joint venture bank which commenced operation on 2nd July 1984 (29th Ashad 2041) with a paid up capital of Rs 30 million under the Company Act. Earlier it was called Nepal Arab Bank Ltd. Operations of the bank including day to day operations and risk management is managed by highly qualified and experienced management team. The bank is fully equipped with modern technology which includes ATMs, Credit cards by world renewed software from Infosys technologies system, Bangalore and Internet banking system and tele-banking system. The main slogan of the bank is "Your Bank at Your Service". The head office is located at Kamaladi, Kathmandu.

Today, NABIL bank is in unique position in the banking industry in Nepal, as the nation's initial foreign joint venture partner with 50% equity investment. NABIL completes a history of 26 years unwavering services in banking in the nation, with 49 branches and 63 ATMs. With this long length of time the bank has gone through various experiences and of course through phases of different socio-economic and political scenarios. Not that the bank had for all time smooth goings unhindered.

Today it stands firmly as a seasoned organization.

NABIIL has a clear corporate vision of where it will be in the days ahead. It goes beyond the general mold of banking and therefore through a variety of services and products it reaches out to the masses. That substantiates the fact that NABIL venture out beyond profits as well, part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CRS) or the range of social programs NABIL is sponsoring validates this fact.

The bank has set up a new company Nabil Investment Banking Limited with a capital of NPR 70 million (solely from the bank) to carry out

merchant and investment banking activities.

The capital structure of NABIL is as follows:

Authorized Capital	Nrs 2,100,000,000
Issued Capital	Nrs 2,029,769,400
Paid Up Capital	Nrs 2,029,769,400

Share Distribution Pattern of NABIL

N.B (international) Limited, Ireland	50%
Nepalese public	30%
Nepalese Industrial Development Corporation	6.15%
Nepal Stock Exchange Limited	10.33%
Rastriya Beema Sansthan	9.67%

(Source: Annual Report, 2010/2011)

PRODUCTS AND SERVICES offered by the Bank:

CONSUMER BANK

1. Current, Saving, Call and Fixed Accounts
 - NABIL Lok Bachat
 - NABIL Bal Bachat
 - NABIL Jestha Bachat
 - NABIL Nari Bachat
2. Personal USD Accounts
3. NABIL Super Slab
4. Consumer Loan
 - NABIL Housing
 - NABIL Auto
 - NABIL Edu
 - Personal Overdraft
5. Purchase and Sale of Traveler's Cheque
6. ATM/Debit Card
7. Collection/Clearing
8. Safe Deposit Lockers
9. Any Branch Banking System

10. Extended Banking Hours
11. Telephone/Electricity Bill Payment
12. NABIL M-Banking
13. Apartment Financing
14. Internet Banking

WHOLESALE BANK

15. Trade Finance
 - Bank Guarantee
 - Letter of Credit
16. Corporate Finance
 - Overdraft
 - Trust Receipt Loan
 - Short Term Loan
 - Demand Loan
 - Time Loan
 - Fixed Term Loan
 - Export Loan
17. Bills Purchase
18. Banking Service on SWIFT
19. Remittance Products

1.4 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM:

Financial liberalization not only brings opportunities but also the risks to many countries. The East Asian crisis in 1997, the result of the liberalization of capital markets and globalization of the financial markets, not only led to massive inflows of capital into the Asian Countries, but also created financial fragility in the region. Huge capital inflows, lending booms, asset price bubbles, high domestic interest rates, an open capital account and relatively a fixed nominal exchange rate in these countries encouraged large amount of borrowings from abroad. The retreat of capital flows created problems in the banking sector. The poor institutional capacity and weak regulatory and supervisory structures could not cope with the unanticipated problems, resulting in further deterioration of the situation, which later on transformed into a political crisis in some countries. (Atreya, 2005)

It has to be acknowledged that banking sector in many countries suffers from poor accounting and legal systems, excessive government involvement, poor banking environment, limited financial information to the general public and so on. Problems emanating from these weaknesses pose a great threat to the stability of financial systems. (Atreya, 2005)

Appropriate investment policy and sound lending is the essence of all the banking institutions. In the context of Nepalese banking scenario, when there is a cutthroat competition between mushrooming commercial banks, banking institutions (commercial banks) are not able to formulating their investment policy and strategy in an organized manner. Investment problem has become a serious threat for the developing countries like Nepal.

Lack of far-sightedness regarding lending and investment policy formulation and absence of strong commitment towards its proper implementation has caused serious threats to commercial banks. Granting loans against insufficient funds, overvaluation of goods pledged, land and building mortgaged, risk averting decisions regarding loan recovery and negligence in recovery of overdue loans are some of the basic and ever so threatening loopholes and the result of unsound investment policy sighted in the financial scenario.

Non-performing loans are also one of the serious problems faced by the commercial banks. Non-performing loans can be the result of instable political condition, insecurity and many other factors. Due to these unforeseen and lack of farsightedness, industries are closing down and so are the investments and loans. Therefore, appropriate investment policy is the basic need of all the commercial banks. So, the main focus of this study is related with the investment policy, lending management of the commercial banks. This study aims to answer the questions which are as follows;

1. What are the factors that contribute for efficient loan management?
2. What is the trend of loans and advances?
3. Do banks have proper credit policy?
4. Which bank is successful in managing loan efficiently?
5. What is the relationship among different variables of loan?

1.5. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:

The basic objective of this study is:

1. To examine the relationship between total deposits and loans and advances and total deposits and total investments.
2. To study the credit position of the selected joint venture banks of Nepal.
3. To determine the trend of loans and advances with total deposits and its respective growth rate with total deposits and net profit.
4. To evaluate the lending performances with quality, efficiency and its contribution in total income.
5. To compare among the selected Joint Venture banks regarding loan management.

1.6 NEED AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY;

Loan is an important aspect of the commercial banks. If loan is managed properly, the banks can obtain maximum profit. So, enough concentration should be given to it. The study may have great significance to various groups of people;

1. The management of bank can explore more about its loan position. It may know about other banks and make comparison.
2. The general public can know about the bank's position more easily.
3. The government can also regulate the banks by knowing their position. It may also help the banks which are weak.
4. If the bank has efficient loan management it can gain profit. So, depositors also feel secure about their saving
5. Other banks can also make comparison for themselves.

1.7 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY:

This study is mainly based on secondary data. In some aspects of the study, primary data are also collected.

- 1) This study only covers the five years period.
- 2) This study is limited to the case study of SCBNL and NABIL.
- 3) Of various factors, only factors relating to investment and lending policies are considered for the study.
- 4) Time constraints, financial problem and lack of research experience are the other limitation of the study

- 5) All of the analysis in this study has been conducted based on the data as at the end of the fiscal year. Any abnormality in this date may affect the conclusion of the study.

1.8 ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY:

This study is carried out in different segments and procedures. In order to make more understandable and easy, this study is divided into following chapters:

Chapter: 1

Chapter one contains the basic introduction and the background of the study.

Chapter: 2

All the relevant books, journals, thesis and other valuable materials needed for the study are taken into account and are reviewed thoroughly in the second chapter.

Chapter: 3

Various financial and statistical tools are used in this chapter to find the end result.

Chapter: 4

This chapter deals with the presentation of the carefully collected and organized data, and the careful analysis of the collected data are being performed.

Chapter: 5

This is the last chapter of the study, where the total outcomes of the study are being presented in terms of summary, conclusions and recommendations.

CHAPTER: 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

2.1 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The numbers of commercial banks and other financial institutions have increased significantly over a period of years. As of December 2010, the financial system in Nepal is composed of 32 commercial banks, 86 development banks, and 79 finance companies. The banking sector includes 32 commercial banks with three public banks, including ADBL, which were recently converted in to commercial bank. These commercial banks holds about 84 percent asset share of the total assets of Rs. 506,129 million in the financial sector. Though Nepalese financial sector is reasonably diversified with institutional arrangement of varied nature of financial institutions including commercial banks to development banks to cooperatives, still commercial banks are the major players in this system and they occupy substantial share in the structure of the financial sector. But still the importance of lending in banks has remained virtually unchanged. Lending still is one of the essential and important functions where the whole banking business is rested upon. Many researchers have published their articles, papers regarding the lending policies and practices of commercial banks and other financial institutions. Review of such related books, articles and papers along with various dissertations done by senior scholars have been studied and analyzed, and results and conclusions generated from the analysis have been summarized in this chapter. (Banking and Financial Statistics, NRB, Mid-January 2007 and Banking Supervision Annual Report 2008).

2.1.1 Commercial Bank:

“A bank is a government regulated, profit making business that operates in competition with other banks and financial institutions to serve the savings and credit need of its customers. The primary business of banks is accepting deposit and lending money. Banks accepts deposits from customer who wants the safety and convenience of deposits service and the opportunity to earn interest on their excess funds. Banks put their depositor’s funds to other individuals... to business... and to federal, state and local governments.” (Halter, 1999)

A financial definition of commercial bank may be stated as “An institution which accepts deposits makes business loans, and offers related services. Commercial banks also allow for a variety of deposit accounts, such as checking, savings, and time deposit. These definitions are run to make profit and owned by a group of individuals, yet some may be members of the Federal Reserve System. While commercial banks offer services to individuals, they are primarily concerned with receiving deposits and lending to business”. As financial intermediary, the commercial banks also play an important role as implementing body for central bank. The monetary structure involves analysis of the behavior of banking system. The variation in the size and composition of bank assets play important role in transmitting the influence of monetary policy to the economy. The composition of bank portfolio, such as, reserve, investment and lending lead the money supply to vary.

2.1.2 Loans and Advances:

A loan is a type of debt. All material things can be lent but this article focuses exclusively on monetary loans. Like all debt instruments, a loan entails the redistribution of financial assets over time, between the lender and the borrower. The borrower initially receives an amount of money from the lender, which they pay back, usually but not always in regular installments, to the lender. This service is generally provided at a cost, referred to as interest on the debt. Acting as a provider of loans is one of the principal tasks for financial institutions. For other institutions, issuing of debt contracts such as bonds is a typical source of funding. Bank loans and credit are one way to increase the money supply.

2.1.2.1 Types of Loans:

1. Secured Loan:

Loans can be of two types “Secured” and “Unsecured”. A mortgage (secured) is a very common type of debt instrument, used by many individuals to purchase housing. In this arrangement, the money is used to purchase the property. The financial institution, however, is given security- a lien on the title to the house- until the mortgage is paid off in full. If the borrower defaults on the loan, the bank would have the legal right to repossess the house and sell it, to recover sums owing to it.

In some instances, a loan taken out to purchase a new or used car may be secured on the car, in much the same way as a mortgage above, although the duration of the loan period is considerably shorter, quite often corresponding to the useful life of the car. Where this is not, it will be another form of consumer credit.

2. Unsecured Loan:

Another type of loan being processed by financial institution is “unsecured loan”. This type of loan may be available from financial institutions under many different marketing packages:

- a. Credit card debt,
- b. Personal loans,
- c. Bank overdrafts,
- d. Credit facilities or lines of credit
- e. Corporate bonds

2.1.3 Performing Loans:

Loans where payments are being made in time, loan covenants are met, the collateral is priced right (at fair market value), such loans are termed as performing loans.

2.1.4 Non-Performing Loans:

A non-performing loan is a loan that is in default or close to being default. Many loans become non-performing after being in default for 3 months, but this can depend on the contract terms. “A loan is non-performing when payments of interest and principal are past due by 90 days or more, or at least 90 day of interest payments have been capitalized, refinanced or delayed by agreement, or payment are less than 90 days overdue, but there are other good reasons to doubt that payments will be made in full”. (IMF)

2.1.5 Investments:

The common definition of investment is, “the sacrifice of certain present value for (possibly uncertain) future value”. (Sharp, 1999) Investment is not a gamble rather it is the systematic and scientific way of using the excess fund to get the maximum return at minimum level of risk. An investment forgives the present return for future profit. Now a day, investment opportunities are available in the market. These opportunities are in financial securities, real assets and other investment companies.

However in financial terms, sources of investments are financial securities like common stock, bonds, preferred stock, T-bill, commercial paper, warrants etc. Real investments mean investment in tangible assets like land and building, plant and machinery, car etc. Other investment includes pension fund, provident fund etc.

Hrishikesh Bhattacharya in his book 'Banking Strategy, Credit Appraisal and Lending Decisions' published by Oxford University Press, India, has put the recommendation of Tandon Committee from the report submitted to this committee. The committee has prepared this report in 1975, however these recommendation still deserve great significance in the sector of credit appraisal and lending. Breaking away from the traditional methods of credit appraisal, the system proposed by the committee enjoined upon the banker.

1. To assess the need based credit of the borrower on a rational basis;
2. To ensure proper end-use of bank credit by keeping a closer watch on the borrower's business and thus to ensure safety of the bank's funds;
3. To improve the financial discipline of the borrower, and;
4. To develop healthy banker-borrower relationship.

The committee examined the existing system of lending and recommended the following broad changes in the lending system: (Hrishikesh, 1998);

1. The credit of borrowers is assessed on the basis of their business plans.
2. Bank's credit only is the supplementary to the borrower's resources and not in replacement of them, i.e. banks not to finance one hundred percent of borrower's requirements.
3. Borrowers are required to hold inventory and receivables according to norms prescribed by the Reserve Bank of India from time to time.
4. Credit is made available in different components only depending upon the nature of holding of various current assets.
5. In order to facilitate a close watch on the operations of borrowers, they are required to submit, regular intervals, and data regarding their business and financial operations, both for the past and future period.

The committee held that, at any time a business is required to hold the following current assets for operations of the business: (Hrishikesh, 1998)
Raw materials including stores and other items uses in the manufacturing process

- Stock-in-process
- Finished goods
- Receivables
- Spares

“Banks growth and profitability are the result of carefully forecasting funding needs, competitively attracting funds, efficiently borrowing funds, and effectively investing funds in safe but profitable earning assets. Depending on banks’ size and location and on local and national economic conditions, a bank may have adequate, relatively stable sources of low cost funds, or it may have to compete regularly and aggressively for funds at high market prices. For an increasing number of banks, the second situation is becoming the norm, as more and more banks face increasing pressures to attract adequate funds at reasonable costs”. (Gerald, 1999)

“The Investment (credit) policies of banks are conditioned to great extent, by the national policy framework; every banker has to apply his own judgment for arriving at a credit decision, keeping of, his banker’s credit policy in mind”. (Singh and Singh, 1983)

“Lending is the essence of commercial banking; consequently the formulation and implementation of sound lending policies are among the most important responsibilities of bank’s directors and management. Well conceived lending policies and careful lending practices are essential if a bank is to perform its credit creating function effectively and minimize the risk inherent in any extension of credit.

“The traditional bread and butter market is no longer in a position to sustain the banking structure in an era of deregulation and globalization. The dismantling of cartels for interest rated determination or withdrawal of the administered rate regime, which provided an umbrella to the banks for long, are no longer there. Interest rate risk has emerged as one of the dominant risk elements with such a force that a number of well-known

international banks suffered heavily due to mismanagement of this risk. Due to all these, commercial banking (lending and retail deposit taking) is now moving down to the third position with investment banking and asset management moving up respectively to number one and two activities of the banks. The accent is now on off balance sheet business, or “sweeteners”, as is the current terminology in the banking market...

The irony of this decade was that competition coexisted with control. In order to withstand competition in the face of control, attempts were made to circumvent it by cartelization and substandardization on one hand, and dilution of credit on the other. The latter was possible because in most of the banks, whether they were global giants or country banks; well-documented loan policy did not exist.

The function of capital in bank and other financial institutions is substantially different from that of most of other business enterprises. For example, in manufacturing concern, the capital funds is used primarily for acquisition of fixed assets, while in a banking organization the function of capital is primarily provide a guarantee fund, its usage in fixed assets acquisition is hardly more than 15 percent (and it should not be more than 20 percent). Capital performs a guarantee function in other enterprises too, but not so predominantly, the capital of a manufacturing concern is something of a cushion for a long and short-term creditor to fall back on, but is only of its purpose. Bank capital has almost no other purpose”.

“Of course, one of the primary functions of development in banking is deposit mobilization. Without deposits coming as they do from the public and the saver, banks will not have the resources to lend. With adequate resources, lending can have a wider average to meet the credit needs of all the sectors of the economy. Deposits and credit operations always go together and each is interconnected. Unless there are advances, deposits cannot rise”. (Rao, 1987)

2.2 CONSUMER LOAN:

The history of consumer loan is not old in Nepalese market, which is started just a decade ago. The pioneer in consumer lending is SCBNL and Everest Bank Ltd. (EBL). Since both these banks are established under joint venture with foreign banks, they have tested these products in their

home country. As SCBNL has global operation, they have a good and successful experiment in different parts of the world. With these success stories, they were encouraged to introduce such products in Nepal as well. EBL established in joint venture with Punjab National Bank, has also the similar edge over other local banks in such product development and experiment.

As a pioneer and risk taker, SCBNL has also been able to make good profit from consumer lending, which is comparatively secured than business loan and fetch good yield to the banks. SCBNL has about 25% RA in consumer lending. Following the squeeze in the business due to insurgency period, NABIL has also introduced various consumer lending products, like Auto Loan, Travel Loan, Import Loan, Export Loan, and Mortgage Loan. Consequence to this, now the bank has been able to diversify its portfolio in consumer lending about 25% of its total RA.

2.3 REVIEW OF NRB DIRECTIVES:

Nepal Rastra Bank (NRB) is an apex institution in money and capital markets in Nepal. It works as a central bank of Nepal. Being the nation's central bank, it directs, supervises and controls the functions of commercial banks and other financial institutions. NRB has issued various directives in order to develop a healthy, competitive and secured economic systems to ensure overall national development. A unified directives was issued to all commercial banks and financial institutions licensed by NRB on 16th July 2005 in place of different directives issued earlier. The relevant things have been highlighted here.

NRB in the directives has directed all the national level commercial banks operating at present must increase their paid up capital to Rs. Two Billion proportionately by Ashad end 2070 BS. For this, the base year is fixed as at Ashad end 2064 BS and these commercial banks are required to increase the paid up capital proportionately from FY 2064/65 BS. Currently operating commercial banks can increase the minimum capital funds by at least 80 percent paid capital and rest 20 percent can be adjusted by the source that can be counted in the core capital like general reserve, share premium, non-redeemable preference share and retained earnings. If the

commercial banks could not maintain a minimum capital fund or Rs. Two Billion, NRB will take following actions:

- a) By the end of the fiscal year 2064/65, they were not allowed to declare and distribute dividend.
- b) By the end of the fiscal year 2065/66, they were not allowed to accept deposit.
- c) By the end of the fiscal year 2066/67, they were not allowed to flow loans and advances.
- d) By the end of the fiscal year 2067/68, they were not allowed to all the transactions except recovery of loan and payment of deposit. After this time frame, the process of cancellation of license will be initiated.

(Economic Report, NRB 2000/01: and licensing policy for banks and financial institutions).

Any two banks and financial institutions unable to increase the below mentioned minimum paid up capital within the stipulated time frame if apply for the merger, the existing capital of both organizations is added for the consideration of the paid up capital.

NRB has made the provisions as per Table-2.1 regarding the capital requirement to establish a commercial bank and financial institutions based on their operational sector.

Table: 2.1
Classification of Bank and Financial Institutions and provision for
Minimum Paid up Capital:

Types	National Level	Regional Level	4 – 10 district	1 – 3 district
“A”	Rs.2 Billion	-	-	-
“B”	Rs.640 Million	-	Rs.300 Million * Rs.200 Million	Rs.300 Million * Rs.100 Million
“C”	Rs.300 Million * Rs.200 Million	- -	- -	Rs.300 Million * Rs.100 Million
“D” #	Rs.100 Million	Rs.60 Million	Rs.20 Million	Rs.10 Million

* For financial institutions involved in leasing business

involved in micro finance

(Source:www.nrb.org.np)

2.3.1 Regulation Relating to Maintenance of Minimum Capital Fund

Maintenance of Minimum Capital Fund:

The total capital fund is the sum of core capital and supplementary capital. On the basis of the risk-weighted assets, the bank should maintain the prescribed proportion of minimum funds from fiscal year 2062/63 as per the following timetable:

Table: 2.2

Required Capital Fund on the basis of Weighted Risk Assets (%):

Organization	Core Capital	Capital Fund
“A”, “B” & “C” category	6.0%	12.0%
“D” category	4.0%	8.0%

The core capital is comprised of Paid up Capital, Share Premium, Non Redeemable Preference Share, General Reserve and Retained Earnings, Capital Redemption Reserve, Capital Equalization Fund and Other Independent Fund. However, following heads should be deducted for the purpose of calculation of the core capital:

- a) Goodwill
- b) Investments in the shares and securities of the organized sector more than ceiling allowed by NRB.
- c) Investments in the shares and securities of the organized sector having financial interest.
- d) Fictitious assets

For the purpose of calculation of Capital Fund, the amount under the following heads, subject up to one hundred percent of the core capital, should be included under the supplementary capital.

A. Hybrid Capital Instruments

The instruments having the feature of both equity and debt are called hybrid capital instruments. This includes unsecured fully paid up instruments issued by the bank, instruments, which are non-redeemable at the option of the holder except with the approval of NRB, perpetual or long-term preference stock (shares) convertible into common stock if the profit and loss account becomes negative. However, banks and financial institutions cannot hold (purchases) hybrid capital instrument issued by any bank or financial institutions

B. General Loan Loss Provision:

Under this head, provision made only against the pass loan should be included. If excess provision is made for pass, sub-standard and doubtful loan as per direction of NRB, such excess provision and all the provisions made to restructure and reschedule loan can be included in the general loan loss provision under supplementary capital. But the amount should be limited up to 1.25 percent of the total risk weighted assets.

C. Assets Re-valuation Fund:

Assets re-valuation fund can be included not exceeding 2 percent of the supplementary capital.

2.3.2 Regulations relating to Loan Classification and Loan Loss Provisioning

Effective from FY 2058/059 (2001/02), banks should classify outstanding principal amount of Loans and Advances on the basis of aging. Loans and Advances should be classified into the following four categories:

1. Pass:

Loans and Advances whose principal amount are not past due for a period up to three months should be included in this category. These are classified and defined as performing loans.

2. Sub-Standard:

All loans and Advances that are past due for a period of three months to six months should be included in this category.

3. Doubtful:

All Loans and Advances that are past due for a period of six months to one year should be included in this category.

4. Bad:

All Loans and Advances which are past due for a period of more than one year as well as advances which have least possibility of recovery or considered unrecoverable or considered and those having thin possibility of even partial recovery in failure should be classified in this category.

Loans and Advances falling in the category of Sub-standard, Doubtful and Bad are classified and defined as Non-Performing Loans. Loans and

Advances fully secured by Gold, Silver and Fixed Deposit receipts and HMG Securities should be included under “Pass” category. However, where collateral of fixed deposits or HMG securities or NRB bonds is placed as securities against loan for other purposes, such loans has to be classified on the basis of aging. If it is appropriate in the views of the bank management, there is no restriction in classifying the loans and advances from low risk category to high-risk category. For instance loans falling under Sub-Standard may be classified into Doubtful or Bad, and loans falling under doubtful may be classified into Bad category.

Principal and interest on loans and advances should not be recovered by overdrawing the borrower’s current account or where overdrawing facilities has been extended by overdrawing such limit. However, this arrangement should not be constructed as prohibitive for recovering the principal and interest by debiting the customer’s account. Whereas a system in the banks exists as to recovery of principal and interest by debiting the customer’s account. And recovery is made as such resulting in overdraft. This is not settled within one month of such overdrawn principal amount should also be liable to be included under the outstanding loan and such loan should be downgraded by one step from its current classification.

Loan Loss Provisioning:

The loan loss provisioning on the basis of the outstanding loans and advances and bills purchases classified as per the Directives should be provided as follows:

Table: 2.3

Classification of Loan Required Provisioning:

Classification of Loan	Loan Loss Provision
Pass	1.00%
Sub-Standard	25.00%
Doubtful	50.00%
Bad	100.00%

Loan loss provisioning set aside for performing loan is defined as “General Loan Loss Provision” and loan loss provision set aside for Non-Performing loan is defined as “Specific Loan Loss Provision”. Where the loan is extended only against the personal guarantee, a statement of the assets, equivalent to the personal guarantee amount not claimed by any other

should be obtained should be classified as per above and where the loan fall under the category of Pass, Sub-Standard, and Doubtful in addition to the normal loan provision applicable for the category, and additional provision by 20 percent point should also be provided. Classification of such loans and advances should be prepared separately.

2.3.3 Regulation Relating to Limit on Credit Exposure and Facilities to a Single Borrower, Group of Related Borrowers and Single Sector of the Economy:

Commercial banks may extend to as single borrower or group of related borrowers the amount of Fund Based Loans and Advances up to 25 percent of the Core Capital Fund and Non Fund based, off balance sheet facilities like Letters of Credit, Guarantee, Acceptances, Commitments up to 50 percent of its core capital fund.

Where a customer has once utilized the off-balance sheet facilities and such facilities have turned into Fund-based credit, directives relating to Fund-based credit limit should be applied for fixation of limit to such customer. In the following cases, the exemption in limit of credit and facilities is not applicable:

Credits and facilities, extended against fixed deposit receipts, deposits placed with the bank, HMG securities, NRB bonds as well as against unconditional guarantees issued by the World Bank, Asian Development Bank and International Finance Corporation including multi-lateral institutions and loan advances and facilities extended against unconditional guarantees issued by internationally rated banks having a rating of at least A+ by reputed rating agency or banks specified as first class banks by NRB from time to time.

Advances and facilities to be used for the purpose of importing specified merchandise by the following public corporation:

For the purpose of the above prescribed exposure limits, “group of related borrowers” should be treated as a single group under the following circumstances:

1. Where a company holds 25% or more shares in another company then both of such companies, or

2. Director of a company, shareholders of a private company and husband, wife, son, daughter in law, son in law, adopted son, adopted daughter, father, mother, brother, brother's wife, sister of such director or shareholder residing jointly in the same house or separately as well as all other persons who are supported by such related persons. In addition, another companies in which such persons individually or by their relatives as above, separately or jointly hold 25% or more shares, then such companies, or
3. Firm, company stated to be associated as a group, or members of such group or
4. Even if the director, shareholder or other relatives as specified in sub-clause (b), holds, jointly or individually, less than 25% shares of another company, but the management of that company is controlled by the following ways, then such companies:
 - 1) By being Chairperson of the Board of Directors
 - 2) By being the Chief Executive of the Company
 - 3) By appointing more than 25% of the Directors
5. Where one borrower or company gives a cross guarantee to another company, then such companies
6. The bank should prepare the records of the single borrower and related customers on half-yearly basis and submit to NRB, Banking operation department and inspection and supervision department.

2.3.3.1 Priority Sector Lending:

Thus, with the objective of mitigating the unemployment, poverty, economic inequality and thus upgrading the deprived and low income people, the project of national development and priority, micro and small enterprises were declared priority sector and the lending to such sector has been categorized as priority sector lending. (Aryal 2058)

With a view to make bank credit available to small agricultural, industrial and service sector and promote income and employment opportunities, the NRB has directed the commercial banks to extend at 12 percent of their total outstanding loans to the priority sector. (Pandey, 2058)

2.3.3.2 Deprived Sector Lending

The loan not exceeding Rs.30, 000.00, extended to a member of a group or

member of a family, investments in shares of Gramin Bikash Bank, Rural Micro Finance Development Center and any other development banks established with the objective of extending the loan to deprived sector and lending made, conditioned to flow the loan to the deprived sector only, to the Gramin Bikash Bank, finance companies, co-operatives society and any other licensed non-governmental organization are categorized as Deprived Sector Loans.

The NRB has directed the commercial banks to extend some portion of their priority sector lending towards the deprived sector. The deprived sector lending requirement is discriminatory with the respect to the aging of commercial banks ranging from 0.25 percent to 3.00 percent of their six month total outstanding loans.

Table: 2.4

Required Deprived Sector Credit to Different Commercial Banks:

Serial No.	Banks	Deprived Sector Lending
1	NBL	3.00
2	RBB	3.00
3	NABIL	3.00
4	NIBL	3.00
5	SCBNL	3.00
6	HBL	3.00
7	NSBIBL	2.50
8	NBBL	2.50
9	EBL	2.50
10	BKL	2.50
11	NCCBL	1.25
12	NICB	0.75
13	LBL	0.75
14	New Bank (if any)	0.25

(Source: www.nrb.org.np)

2.4 REVIEW OF JOURNALS:

Non-performing loans of the financial institutions have been crippling the nation for a long time. A study, performed by Kathmandu University of Management (KU), showed that in 1993-94, loans and advances of financial institutions to a private sector was about 19.04 percent of the GDP, which increased consistently over the period of ten years and

reached to 40.98 percent in 2003-04. Similarly deposits collected by these institutions in 1993-94 accounted for about 27.30 percent of GDP and this reached to almost 50 percent in 2003-04. According to the study, commercial banks account for more than 80 percent of the total deposits collected by all the financial institutions and more than 70 percent of total loans and advances to all the financial institutions put together.

Deposits, loans and advances of financial institutions have increased by almost 350 percent during the period between 2000 and 2006, indicating rising commercial banks lending over the period. Non Performing Loans of commercial banks came down to 14.2 percent in mid July 2006 from 18.7 percent in mid July 2005. The level of NPL (Non Performing Loan) has significantly come down in NBL from 49.0 percent a year ago to 25.1 percent as at mid July 2006, resulting in a lower NPL of the financial system as a whole as mentioned earlier NBL wrote off Rs. 3.76 billion principal and Rs. 8.32 billion interests at the end 2005/06.

The problem of NPL is quite acute in Nepal Bank Ltd. (NBL) and Rastriya Banijya Bank (RBB). NBL and RBB combined share in the total loan portfolio of commercial banks was almost 56 percent in 2000. Over the period, their share, however, has declined and reached to 34 percent indicating that these banks got more conservative in extending loans.

According to the study, the cause of NPL is moral hazard, lenient project appraisal, political favor and interest of the vested groups. Not only have that, bank's policy to reward employees on the basis of loan targeted without considering the recovery aspect itself causes NPL. (NRB report of fiscal year 2005/06)

With more deregulation setting in, evaluation of risk appraisal is assuming more importance. Absolute quantitative credit deposit ratio has no relevance if the assets are not performing ones. Hence, it is felt that appraisal techniques of bank lending in competitive areas have to be more attuned towards risk evaluation. A major aspect of this work has been the development of more advanced methods for the quantitative measurement of market risk; the extensive trading in financial instruments provides a good supply of price statistics and this is a considerable instruments

provides a good supply of price statistics and this is considerable help when it comes to estimating market risks. Much work is now being done in many places to construct models for a better management of credit risks, which are still by far the largest risk category for banks. The difficulties here however are for greater than in the case of market risks. It changes in the nature of banking operations that have brought them more to the fore.

Financial legislation and regulation need to be sufficiently flexible to accommodate the rapid pace of developments in the financial sector. It tends to take considerably longer to amend rules than it vows to create new financial products. But there has to be a foundation of minimum requirement of risk management in addition, the authorities, must be increasingly involved in ensuring that institutions themselves possess a basic competence in and understanding of the risk that have to be managed, as well as adequate systems for their management, rather than issuing detail risk management instructions. In other words, it has become more important to inspect system. Defining in a wide sense, than to scrutinize particular commitment or market risk. Some supervision can be carried out with the market assistance. The authorities prescribe as well as different operation such a transparency emphasize the banks demand on each other as well as what customers requires of their bank.

Effective credit risk management allows a bank to reduce risks and potential Non-Performing Assets (NPA's). It also offers other benefits. Once banks understand their risks and cost, they will be able to determine their most profitable businesses and price products according to the risks. Therefore the banks must have and explicit credit risk strategy supported by organizational changes, risk measurement technique and fresh credit processes and systems. There are five crucial areas that credit risk management should focus on:

1. Credit sanctioning and monitoring process
2. Approach to collateral
3. Credit risks arise from new business opportunities
4. Credit exposures relative to capital or total advances
5. Concentration of correlated risk factors

Apart from these, the bank management should regularly review all asset quality issues including portfolio composition, big borrower exposures and

development in credit management policy and process. Improving risk management will not be easy or quick. However, Nepalese bankers have little choice. Hopefully, the banks adopt good risk management practices and will be able to reap both strategic and operational benefits.

“Banking experts say that growing number of new commercial banks and their efforts to penetrate their investments in new sectors such as agro-based industries, college and schools, hydropower and hospitals, played a crucial role in boosting the flow of loans.”

Shrestha (1993), entitled “Investment planning of Commercial Banks in Nepal”, has made remarkable efforts to examine the investment planning of commercial banks in Nepal. On the basis of her study, she has concluded that the bank portfolio (loans and investment) of commercial banks have been influenced by variable security rates. Investment planning of commercial banks in Nepal is directly traced to fiscal policy of government and heavy regulatory procedure of central bank (NRB). So, the investments are not made in professional manners. Investment planning and operation of commercial banks in Nepal has not been satisfactory in terms of profitability. To overcome this problem she has suggested, “commercial banks should take their investment functions with proper business attitude and should perform lending and investment operation efficiently with the proper analyze of the project”.

2.5 REVIEW OF RELATED STUDIES:

During research for this study, various dissertations and thesis submitted by various students have been consulted and been thoroughly analyzed.

Khadka (2005), has conducted a thesis research on “*Investment Portfolio Analysis of Joint Venture Banks*”. The specified objectives of the research were as follows:

- a) To analyze the risk return ratio of commercial banks.
- b) To evaluate the financial performance of joint venture banks.
- c) To provide the suggestive package based on the analysis of data.
- d) To study existing investment policies taken by NABIL in various sectors.
- e) To study portfolio structure of Nepal Bank Ltd in Investment as

compared to other joint venture banks.

- f) Preference given by NABIL Bank Ltd. for Investment between;
 - Loan investment
 - Investment in real fixed assets
 - Investment in financial assets

The major findings of the research were as follows:

- a) SCBNL and HBL have better position in industry average whereas NABIL and NBBL have low position.
- b) While considering mean return on total assets, all banks SCBNL, HBL and NBBL have good performance except EBL
- c) SCBNL and EBL have mobilized their funds in investment which is higher than the standard ratio, whereas NABIL bank invested funds is slightly lower than the standard ratio.
- d) NABIL, SCBNL and HBL were investing low amount of deposits on loan and advances, which is lower than industry average and NBBL and EBL have invested a high amount of deposit to loan and advances, which is higher than industry average.
- e) While considering investment portfolio, the industry average investment on government securities is 84%, NABIL has invested above industry average. Among the JVBs, EBL and SCBNL has highest amount in the same title.
- f) Similarly, looking at EPS, SCBNL has the highest EPS and EBL has the lowest EPS. NABIL is almost equal and HBL has above mean EPS in comparison to industry average.
- g) There is negative correlation between investment in government securities and portfolio return of JVBs. Likewise, there is negative correlation between loan and advances in private sector and portfolio return of six JVBs in Nepal.

Lamsal (2006), in his thesis, "*Credit Practices: A study on NABIL Bank Ltd, Standard Chartered Bank Ltd and Himalayan Bank Ltd*", has the major objective of examining the credit management in the selected banks. The specific objectives of the study are:

- a) To determine the liquidity position, the impact of deposit in liquidity and its effect on credit practices.
- b) To measure the bank's lending strength.

- c) To analyze the portfolio behavior of credit and measure the ratio and volume of lending made in agriculture, priority and productive sector.
- d) To measure the credit performances in quality, efficiency and its contribution in total income.

The research findings of the study are:

- a) The measurement of liquidity has revealed that the mean current ratio of all the three banks is not varied. All of them are capable in discharging their current liability by current asset.
- b) SCBNL's tendency to invest in government securities has resulted with the lowest ratio of loans and advances to total assets ratio whereas NABIL has highest due to steady and high volume of loans and advances throughout the years.
- c) The loans and advances and investment to deposits ratio has shown that NABIL has deployed the highest proportion of its total deposits in earning activities. This is the indicator that in fund mobilizing activities, NABIL is significantly better.
- d) The portfolio analysis has revealed that the flow of loans and advances in agriculture sector is the lowest priority sector among these commercial banks. The contribution of all the banks in industrial sector is appreciable. The contribution made by HBL in industrial sector is the greatest and that of SCBNL is the least.
- e) The lending in commercial purpose is highest in case of NABIL and least in case of SCBNL. SCBNL has highest contribution in service sector lending. It has contributed 25.47% of its total credit in general use and social purpose.
- f) The mean ratio of interest income to total income has concluded that the contribution of interest income in total income is higher in case of HBL and lower in case of SCBNL. The interest expenses to total deposits ratio indicate that the cost of fund in HBL is the highest and that of SCBNL is the least.

Paudel (2007), in his thesis, *“Credit Policy of Commercial Banks in Nepal”*, has the objective to provide the credit practices in NIBL and SBI bank. The specific objectives are;

- a) To examine the liquidity and assets management of NIBL and SBI.

- b) To evaluate the investment policy of NIBL and SBI.
- c) To study the growth ratio of loan and advances.
- d) To analyze the investment to total deposit and net profit of NIBL and SBI.

His research findings are;

- a) Both banks current assets have exceeded the current liabilities therefore the ratio is considered satisfactory. But the cash reserve ratio has fluctuated in high degree.
- b) NIBL has maintained both current ratio and cash reserve ratio better than that of SBI.
- c) The assets management ratio shows that deposit utilization of NIBL is less effective than SBI.
- d) NIBL has invested lower amount of government securities and share and debenture than that of SBI.
- e) The growth ratio of total deposit, loan and advances, total investment and net profit of NIBL are less than that of SBI.

Sejuwal (2008), in his thesis, “*A Comparative Study on Credit Management of Commercial Banks; with Special Reference to NABIL and HBL.*”, has the main objective to explore the credit efficiency and its management in commercial banks. The specific objectives of the study are:

- a) To assess credit practices of selected commercial banks.
- b) To explore the credit efficiency of selected commercial banks.
- c) To explore the relationship with loan and advances, non-performing loan and net profit of selected commercial banks.

His research findings are:

- a) The credit practices of NABIL in terms of total loans to deposit ratio is found to be more than HBL (i.e. $0.6298 > 0.3660$). It indicates that NABIL has been strong to mobilize its total deposit as loan.
- b) In terms of interest income to loan and advances ratio. NABIL has mean score of 0.0932 and HBL has mean score of 0.0858. This shows, NABIL has the best performance in earning interest income.
- c) Lending policy of HBL with regard to non-performing loan to total loans and advances was found to be the lowest with mean value with 0.0351 as compared to NABIL bank. The result indicates that if non-

performing loan increases, the overall banking business will be negatively affected.

- d) The ratio of loans and advances to total assets was found greater in NABIL in comparison with HBL which shows the good lending performance of NABIL ,whereas in terms of loans and advances to current assets ratio,NABIL has highest mean than that of HBL .This means that NABIL has relatively better practice in short term lending.
- e) Lending policy of HBL in terms of loan loss provision to total loans and advances was found relatively better than that of NABIL.

Adhikari (2009), in her thesis, “*Credit Management of Siddhartha Bikash Bank Limited*”, has the main objective to analyse the credit management of the SBBL.

The specific objectives of the study are;

- a) To analyze the trends of deposit collection and credit lending.
- b) To assess the total amount of loan.
- c) To evaluate the performance of SBBL in terms of liquidity, profitability, sector wise loan and non-performing loan.
- d) To analyze the capital adequacy of SBBL.

The major findings of the study are;

- a) Deposit collection of SBBL has significantly increasing trend. There is continuous increasing trend from 10 percent to 100 percent.
- b) In all year total fixed deposit has more contribution than other deposit. Then more contribution of saving deposit than that of current and call deposit.
- c) Correlation between deposit and loan disbursement is 0.99.This indicates that these two variables relation is highly positive.
- d) Capital adequacy of the SBBL has sufficient against NRB standard. It indicates that the lending capacity of SBBL is high.
- e) The highest risk of SBBL is in credit risk.

Neupane (2010), in his thesis,” *Credit Policy Analysis of Commercial Bank with special reference to Everest Bank Limited*” has the main objective to find out the credit management position of Everest Bank Limited. The specific objectives of the study are;

- a) To evaluate the various financial ratios of EBL.
- b) To determine the impact of deposit in liquidity and its effect on lending practices.
- c) To analyze trend of deposit utilization towards loan and advances and net profit.

The major findings of the study are;

- a) Cash and bank balance to current deposit of the bank shows the fluctuating trend during the study period. Similarly, cash and bank balance to interest sensitive ratio of EBL is also in fluctuating trend.
- b) Credit and advance to fixed deposit ratio of EBL is fluctuating trend. The mean ratio is 2.26 times in the study period. However, non-performing assets to total assets of EBL is in declining trend, whose mean ratio is 0.9785.
- c) The debt to assets ratio of EBL is excessively high or in other words they have excessively geared capital that is outsiders cost bearing fund.
- d) Return on loan and advances of EBL are also in fluctuating trend. The mean ratio is 2.2%. This shows the normal earning capacity of EBL.

2.6 RESEARCH GAP:

All the above-mentioned researchers did not present the required and precise financial tools that are most relevant and banks frequently use to assess the feasibility of project/businesses.

None of the researcher has focused on the very lucrative business of banking sector i.e. “Consumer Lending”, which has been the core focus of the banks since last one decade. Consumer loans comprises of home loan, auto loan, personal loan, travel loan etc. Most of the banks have about 15% - 25% of their RA in consumer lending and it is understood that the recovery is also quite satisfactory in this segment. The repayment system in consumer loan is through Equated Monthly Installments (EMI), which is the equal amount of installment including principal and interest calculated in diminishing balance.

The procedure of categorizing the quality of loan is slightly different from that of other business loan. If the customer fails to pay three EMI then the

loan falls in sub-standard, if fails to pay six EMI then falls in doubtful, if fails to pay twelve EMI then the loan falls in bad category.

This research has overcome the weaknesses found in the earlier research done by the earlier researchers and has endeavored to capture the relevant study of the subject by focusing briefly on Consumer Lending of the sample banks.

CHAPTER: 3

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research methodology is the systematic way of solving research problem. Research methodology refers to the overall research process, which a researcher conducts during his/her study. Research can be conducted on the basis of primary and secondary data. Research methodology depends on the various aspect of the research project. The size of the project, the objective of the project, importance of the project, time frame of the project, impact of the project in various aspect of the human life etc. are the variables that determine the research methodology of that particular project.

3.1 RESEARCH DESIGN:

Research design is the plan, structure, and the strategy of investigation conceived so as to obtain the answer to research question and to control variance. It includes the overall scheme or program of research. It includes an outline of what the investigator will do from writing the hypothesis and their operational implications to the final analysis of the data. The structure of research is more specific. It is the outline, the scheme, and the paradigm of the operations of the variables. Strategy includes the methods to be used to gather and analyze the data. In other words, strategy implies how the problems encountered in the research will be tackled.”

This study mainly depends on the secondary data. The research process includes collecting, verifying and evaluating the past evidence systematically and objectively to reach the final conclusion. Some statistical and accounting tools have been adopted to examine factors in this study and descriptive and analytical research designs have also been used.

3.2 NATURE AND SOURCES OF DATA:

The data presented in this study are of secondary type. The annual reports of the concerned banks are the major sources of the data for the study. However, besides the annual reports of the subjected banks, the following sources of data are being used in the respective corner of the study:

- a. Annual Reports of the SCBNL and NABIL.

- b. Various publications dealing in the subject matter of the study
- c. Various articles published in the Newspapers
- d. Dissertations

3.3 POPULATION AND SAMPLE:

Population refers to the entire number of the elements that may be investigated .But whole population cannot be studied, so sampling should be done. A sample is a collection of items or elements from population. Here, in this study, the total number of JVBs is the population and the selected banks namely SCBNL and NABIL constitute the sample of the study.

Table 3.1
LIST OF LICENSED JVBs

NAME	ESTABLISHED DATE	OPERATION DATE
<i>1. NABIL BANK LTD</i>	2041 B.S	2041 B.S
<i>2. STANDARD CHARTERED BANK LTD</i>	2043 B.S	2043 B.S
<i>3. HIMALAYAN BANK LTD</i>	2049 B.S	2049 B.S
<i>4. NEAPL BANGLADESH BANK LTD</i>	2050 B.S	2050 B.S
<i>5. NEPAL SBI BANK LTD</i>	2050 B.S	2050 B.S
<i>6. EVEREST BANK LTD</i>	2051 B.S	2051 B.S

(Source: www.nrb.org.np)

3.4 ANALYSIS OF DATA:

To achieve the desired objective of the study, various statistical and financial tools have been used. The data extracted from the financial statements of the concerned financial institutions are processed and tabulated in various tables and charts to find the end results of the study.

Various mathematical tools like ratio analysis, arithmetic mean, coefficient of variation etc. are used to find out the strength and weakness of the concerned financial institutions.

3.4.1 FINANCIAL TOOLS: RATIO ANALYSIS

Ratio analysis is a powerful tool of financial analysis. Ratio analysis is a technique of analysis and interpretation of financial statement, to evaluate the performance of an organization by creating the ratio from the figures of different accounts consisting in balance sheet and income statement is known as ratio analysis. (Dangol, 2052)

The term ratio refers to the numerical or quantitative relationship between two variables. The rationale of ratio analysis lies in the fact that it makes related information comparable. A ratio is calculated by dividing one item of the relationship with the other. The primary purpose of ratio is to point out area for further investigation. Ratio helps the researcher to make quantitative judgment about the firm's financial position and performance. For the purpose of the study, following ratios are calculated and analyzed and interpreted:

A. Liquidity Ratio:

Liquidity is the ability to meet the anticipated and contingent cash needs. Cash needs arise from deposit withdrawals, liability maturity and loans disbursements (new loans and the drawdown of outstanding lending commitments). It is the measurement of speed with which a bank's assets can be converted into cash to meet deposit withdrawal and other current obligations. Liquidity ratios are used to judge the ability of the bank to meet its short-term liabilities that are likely to mature in the short period of time. From them, much insight can be obtained into present cash solvency of the bank and its ability to remain solvent in the event of adversities. However, an excessive liquid asset refers to the idle and unproductively of the firm.

The conventional measures of liquidity ratio in Nepalese banking industry have less significant relevancy. Lack of investment opportunity and excess

liquidity in the Nepalese economy demands low liquidity position for our financial institutions. Since, the commercial banks are concentrating on major liquid population, the present scenario of banking demands on low rate of liquidity. As a financial analytical tool, the following liquidity ratio has been used:

1) Current Ratio

$$\text{Current ratio: } \frac{\text{current assets}}{\text{current liabilities}}$$

2) Liquid Fund to Current Liability Ratio

$$\text{Liquid fund to current liability ratio: } \frac{\text{liquid fund}}{\text{current liabilities}}$$

3) Liquid Fund to Total Deposits Ratio

$$\text{Liquid fund to total deposit ratio: } \frac{\text{liquid fund}}{\text{total deposit}}$$

4) Cash to Interest Sensitive Deposits Ratio

$$\text{Cash to interest sensitive deposit ratio: } \frac{\text{cash and bank balance}}{\text{saving deposits}}$$

As far as the banking organizations are concerned, NRB has directed the commercial banks to maintain 2% of total deposits in its own vault and to maintain 7% of demand deposits and 4.5% of term deposits with the NRB. This requirement is not applicable in case of foreign deposits. Meeting at least this criterion is considered satisfactory for commercial banks in normal business days.

B. Asset/Liability Management Ratio:

Asset/Liability Management Ratio measures the proportion of various assets and liabilities in balance sheet. The proper management of assets and liabilities ensures its effective utilization. The banking business converts the liability into assets by way of its lending and investing functions. Assets and liability management ratio measures its efficiency in multiplying various liabilities in performing assets. The followings are the various ratios relating to Asset Liability Management, which are used to determine the lending strength of the concerned financial institutions.

1. Total Assets to Total Liability Ratio

$$\text{Total Assets to Total Liability Ratio: } \frac{\text{total assets}}{\text{total liabilities}}$$

2. Loans and Advances to Total Assets Ratio

$$\text{Loans and Advances to Total Assets Ratio: } \frac{\text{loans and advances}}{\text{total assets}}$$

3. Investment to Loans and Advances and Investment Ratio

$$\text{Investment to Loans and Advances and Investment Ratio: } \frac{\text{investment}}{\text{loans and advances and investments}}$$

4. Loans and Advances and Investment to Total Deposits Ratio

$$\text{Loans and Advances and Investment to Total Deposits Ratio: } \frac{\text{loans and advances and investment}}{\text{total deposits}}$$

5. Total Liabilities to Net-worth Ratio (Leverage)

$$\text{Total Liabilities to Net-worth Ratio: } \frac{\text{Total Liabilities}}{\text{Net - worth}}$$

6. Debt Service Coverage Ratio

$$\text{Debt Service Coverage Ratio: } \frac{\text{Net cash after operation}}{\text{Total interest and term loan installments}}$$

C. Activity Ratio:

Activity Ratio measures the performance efficiency of a financial institution from different angles of its operations. These ratios indicate the efficiency of activity an institutions to utilize its available fund. The following activity ratios measure the performance efficiency of financial institutions to utilize its short-term funds. These ratios are used to determine the efficiency, quality and the contribution of loans and advances in the total profitability.

1. Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio

Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio: $\frac{\text{performing assets}}{\text{total assets}}$

2. Non-Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio

Non-Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio: $\frac{\text{nonperforming assets}}{\text{total assets}}$

3. Return on Loans and Advances Ratio

Return on Loans and Advances Ratio: $\frac{\text{net profit (loss)}}{\text{loans and advances}}$

4. Loan Loss Ratio

Loan Loss Ratio: $\frac{\text{general loan loss provision}}{\text{total loans and advances}}$

5. Interest Earned To Total Income Ratio

Interest Earned To Total Income Ratio: $\frac{\text{interest earned}}{\text{total income}}$

6. Interest Expenses To Total Deposit Ratio

Interest Expenses to Total Deposit Ratio: $\frac{\text{interest expenses}}{\text{total deposits}}$

7. Interest Income To Interest Expenses Ratio

Interest Income to Interest Expenses Ratio: $\frac{\text{interest income}}{\text{interest expenses}}$

8. Interest Coverage Ratio

Interest Coverage Ratio: $\frac{\text{net profit before interest and tax}}{\text{total interest}}$

9. Private Sector Loans To Total Loans And Advances

*Private Sector Loans
to Total Loans and Advances:* $\frac{\text{private sector loans}}{\text{total loans and advances}}$

10. Government Sector Loans To Total Loans And Advances

Government Sector Loans
to Total Loans and Advances: $\frac{\text{government sector loans}}{\text{total loans and advances}}$

11. Ratio Of Government Securities To Total Investments

Ratio of Government Securities
to Total Investments: $\frac{\text{government securities}}{\text{total investments}}$

12. Ratio Of Shares And Debentures To Total Investment

Ratio of Shares and Debentures
to Total Investment: $\frac{\text{shares and debentures}}{\text{total investments}}$

3.4.2 STATISTICAL TOOLS:

A. Standard Deviation:

The Standard Deviation measures the absolute dispersion. It is said that higher the value of standard deviation, the higher the variability and vice versa. Karl Pearson introduced the concept of Standard Deviation in 1823 and this is denoted by the symbol of small Greek Letter σ (read as “Sigma”).

The formula for the calculation of the Standard Deviation is as:

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X - \bar{X})^2}{n}}$$

B. Coefficient of Variation:

The Standard Deviation calculated in the above formulas gives us an absolute measure of dispersion. Hence, where the mean value of the variables is not equal, it is not appropriate to compare two pairs of variables based on standard deviation only. The coefficient of variation measures the relative measures of dispersion, hence capable to compare two variables independently independent of units of measurement in terms of their variability.

The Coefficient of Variation (C.V.) is given by the following formula. It is always expressed in percentage.

$$\text{Coefficient of Variation (C.V.): } \frac{S}{X} \times 100$$

C. Measures of Correlation:

We examine the relation between the various variables. The correlation between the different variables of the financial institutions is compared to measure the performance of these financial institutions. The correlation coefficient between two variables describes the degree of relationship between those two variables. The reliability of the value of Coefficient of Correlation is measured by Probable Error.

Correlation refers to the degree of relationship between two variables. If between two variables, increase or decrease in one cause increase or decrease in another, then such variables are correlated variables. Thus, measures of correlation calculate the mathematical relationship between two variables. “The measures of correlation called the correlation coefficient or correlation index summarizes in one figure the direction and degree of correlation”

The Karl Pearson Coefficient of Correlation is given by the following formula:

$$\text{Coefficient of Correlation (r)} = \frac{\sum (X - \bar{X})(Y - \bar{Y})}{n S_x S_y}$$

Where,

σ_x = Standard Deviation of Series X

σ_y = Standard Deviation of Series Y

n = Number of Pairs of Observations

And,

$$\text{Probable Error of r} = \text{P.E (r)} = 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

The Karl Pearson Coefficient of Correlation (r) always falls between -1 and +1. The value of correlation in minus signifies the negative correlation and in plus signifies the positive correlation. As the value of correlation coefficient reaches near to the value of zero, it is said that there is no significant relationship between the variables.

The coefficient of correlation shall be interpreted based on probable error P.E(r). If the value of correlation is greater than 6 times the value of probable error, the correlation coefficient is deemed as significant and reliable. If the value of correlation coefficient is less than probable error, the correlation coefficient is said to be insignificant and there is no evidence of correlation.

D. Time Series:

When a series of data pertaining to a series of continuing periods should be studied its characteristics and its future direction is best estimated by the time series. Time series analyses a series of data keeping in mind the various short term and long term fluctuations. The least squares method of trend analysis has been adopted to measure the trend behaviors of these financial institutions. The method is widely used in practices.

The straight-line trend of a time series data is represented by the following formula:

$$Y_c = a + bx$$

Here, “ Y_c ” is used to designate the trend values to distinguish them from the actual “ Y ” values, “ a ” is the Y intercept or the computed trend figures of Y when “ $x=0$ ”, “ b ” represents the slope of the trend line or the amount of change in variable Y that is associated with a unit change in variable X and $x = X - \text{Middle year}$, where $X = \text{Time Period (Independent Variable)}$.

3.4.3 OTHERS.

The bar diagram, pie chart, line charts etc are also used. The collected data are compiled, processed and tabulated properly and used for analysis. Different variables are identified and utilized to show the relationship.

CHAPTER: 4

DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS:

This chapter of the study represents the data, figures relating to different aspects of the concerned bank i.e. SCBNL and NABIL.

4.1 MEASURING THE LIQUIDITY POSITION OF THE BANKS:

This chapter of thesis presents the data, facts, figures of the different aspect of the concerned commercial banks namely: SCBNL and NABIL. These available data are translated, analyzed and interpreted so that financial forecast of the banks can be done easily. Hence, the financial ratios have been taken for this. Though there are many ratios but due to various shortcomings and constraints only related ratios have been taken for analyzing the strength and weakness of the concerned commercial banks.

4.1.1 Current Ratio:

This is a crude measurement of liquidity ratio. It measures the ratio between total current assets and total current liabilities. Since, the quality of the current assets and their proportion of total current assets are not considered, it gives only the short glimpses on the quality position of a firm. Current assets include cash and near to cash items (i.e. cash and bank balance, money at call and short notice loans and advances, cash credit, bills discounted, investment, interest receivables, miscellaneous current assets) and current liabilities are Deposits (i.e. saving, fixed, current call and short deposit, other bills payable and miscellaneous liabilities).

Table-4.1
Current Ratio (In times)

	Fiscal Year (Mid of July)					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	1.06	1.06	1.05	1.04	1.05	1.05
NABIL	1.12	1.01	1.08	1.09	1.07	1.07

(For detail: See Appendix 2A)

Table-4.1 measures the current ratio of the two concerned banks for the five consecutive years. The ratio of NABIL ranges from 1.12 in 2006/07 to 1.07 in 2010/11. Whereas the current ratio of SCBNL has been stable in

the five consecutive years (from F/Y 2006/07 to F/Y 2010/11) with an average of 1.05. NABIL has witnessed the sharp decrease in its ratio from 1.12 to 1.01 in 2006/07 to 2007/08. Decrease in the ratio of NABIL can be due to the proportional difference between the increasing trend of the assets and liabilities side. While the bank saw the 63.68% increase in deposits, on the other hand, there was 47.2% increase in the loans and advances.

Measuring through the respective means of the two commercial banks, the performance of NABIL is better than SCBNL. The mean ratio of NABIL is 1.07 while that of SCBNL is 1.05. The conventional measurement of liquidity is not applicable in banking business. Banking business holds a large portion of deposits as a core deposits (the minimum level of deposits which the commercial banks hold at all the times) and this deposits remains all the time throughout the years. This core deposits forms the fixed liability of the bank though it is current in nature. Since the deposits represented in the balance sheet is a date balance, and the core deposits of the banks does not theoretically fall or rise by its 25%, the ratio maintained by these banks can be regarded as good and sufficient to meet the normal contingencies.

4.1.2 Liquid Fund to Current Liability Ratio:

Since the current ratio gives only the short and crude idea of liquidity position of the organization, measuring its liquidity ratio depending on liquid fund is more significant. Liquid fund comprises of those assets, which can be converted into cash within a short period without any declination in their value. Cash in hand, Balance with NRB, Balance with other banks and money at call are included in calculating liquid funds. This ratio measures the bank's ability to discharge its current liability in an adverse condition without undergoing its liquidity risk.

Table-4.2
Liquid Fund to Current Liability Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.16
NABIL	0.10	0.08	0.16	0.12	0.07	0.11

(For detail: See Appendix 2B)

Table-4.2 shows that the ratio is ranged from 0.10 of NABIL in 2006/07 to the highest of 0.19 of SCBNL in the F/Y 2007/08. The table shows that SCBNL has higher ratio among the two. This indicates that the bank can better withstand even in an adverse condition. The ratio of SCBNL has the decreasing trend. The table shows that in the F/Y 2006/07, the ratio was at 0.19 but upon reaching the F/Y 2009/10, the ratio dropped to 0.14. On the other hand, the ratio of NABIL unlike SCBNL has more of mixed trend. In the starting year, the ratio was 0.10 but in the F/Y 2006/07 the ratio increased to 0.16, however in the F/Y 2010/11 the ratio again dropped to 0.07. Unlike current ratio, the liquid fund to current liability ratio has been in the declining trend.

The performance of NABIL is inferior to SCBNL. The mean ratio of SCBNL is 0.16 while the mean ratio of NABIL is 0.11. The ratio shows that SCBNL can better serve the unanticipated demand of current liability than NABIL.

4.1.3 Liquid Fund to Total Deposits Ratio:

The deposits constitute the major part of the bank's liability. Flow of this liability is always uncertain in the bank's fund management. Hence, the ratio of liquid fund to total deposits indicates the bank's strength to meet uncertain outflow of the deposits.

Table-4.3
Liquid Fund to Total Deposits Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.17
NABIL	0.11	0.09	0.18	0.13	0.08	0.12

(For detail: See Appendix 2C)

Table-4.3 shows that the ratio of SCBNL and NABIL ranges from 0.08 of NABIL in the F/Y 2010/11 to 0.20 of SCBNL in the F/Y 2007/08. The ratio of SCBNL as seen in the table has decreasing trend except in the F/Y 2007/08. Ratio of 0.20 in F/Y 2007/08 to 0.15 in the F/Y 2010/11. The ratio of NABIL has witnessed decreasing trend in the first two fiscal years (from 0.11 to 0.09) but the ratio increases the next fiscal year, i.e. to 0.18. However, the ratio again decreases in corresponding fiscal years. The trend

of this ratio has not deviated far from liquid fund to current liability ratio and the ups and downs in this ratio have been caused by the same reason. The ratio implies that in adverse condition, SCBNL can better survive than NABIL

4.1.4 Cash and Bank Balance to Interest Sensitive Deposit Ratio:

Saving deposit is regarded as interest sensitive deposits. This deposit is deposited by public in a bank with an explicit objective of increasing their wealth. So, an interest rate plays a significant role in the follow of interest sensitive deposits. Unlike this deposit, other deposits like fixed and current are not interest sensitive. Fixed deposits have a fixed term to maturity and fluctuation in interest rate does not allow its movement in short run. Current deposits do not carry an interest rate. So, it is not interest sensitive. This ratio measures the ability of bank to meet its sudden outflow of interest sensitive deposits due to the change in interest rate.

Table-4.4

Cash and Bank Balance to Interest Sensitive Deposits Ratio (In percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					MEAN
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	
SCBNL	0.14	0.16	0.26	0.22	0.25	0.21
NABIL	0.43	0.25	0.50	0.39	0.23	0.36

(For detail: See Appendix 2D)

Table-4.4 shows that SCBNL has comparatively lower ratio of cash and bank balance to interest sensitive deposits ratio. The ratio ranges from 0.14 in F/Y 2006/07 to 0.25 in F/Y 2010/11. While on the other hand, the ratio of NABIL ranges from 0.43 in F/Y in 2006/07 to lowest of 0.23 in F/Y 2010/11. The nature of this ratio has significant differences than other measures of liquidity ratio explained above. Unlike other ratio, the ratio of SCBNL has the lower and NABIL has the higher ratio. The high volume of other deposits in the deposit mixture of SCBNL has caused its ratio to be the lowest and the high volume of saving deposits in NABIL has caused this ratio to be higher.

Even though, the ratio of SCBNL is lower, it has its trend in more of fixed trend, with increment for only two years. While the trend of NABIL is in

decreasing manner dropping from 0.50 in F/Y 2008/09 to 0.23 in F/Y 2010/11. The decreasing trend of cash reserve ratio stipulated by NRB and temptation towards increasing the assets in “Money at Call” and investing in government securities has caused this ratio to fall.

4.1.5 Total Assets to Total Liabilities Ratio:

The financial institution creates credit by way of lending activities and multiplies their assets many times, than their liability permits. Thus, this ratio measures the bank’s ability to multiply its liability into assets. It is always recommended to have higher ratio of total assets to total liabilities ratio, since it signifies overall increase of credit and overall development of the institution. In simpler term, the ratio of total assets to total liabilities measures the volume of total liability in total assets of the institution.

Table-4.5
Total Assets to Total Liabilities Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	1.07	1.07	1.08	1.07	1.08	1.07
NABIL	1.16	1.12	1.10	1.08	1.09	1.11

(For detail: See Appendix 2E)

Table-4.5 shows that one unit of liability of the banks had tabulated value of assets in the respective years. The ratio ranges from 1.07 of SCBNL in three major of the fiscal years to 1.16 of NABIL in the F/Y 2006/07. SCBNL has maintained its assets to liabilities ratio at the same level, differing by only 1 point in the five consecutive years. However, on the other hand, NABIL’s assets to liabilities ratio has been on the decreasing trend with 1.16 at the starting years but dropped down to 1.09 in the F/Y 2010/11.

The result generated from the above table, points out that performance of NABIL is better than that of SCBNL. However, in context to our country, the performance of both the banks is not satisfactory. The ratio should not be less than 2 times in the developing country like ours. This point out that both the banks are not successful in converting their liability into assets, these institutions are not utilizing their fund to its fullest extent as their liability permits.

4.1.6 Loans and Advances to Total Assets Ratio:

Loans and advances represent the major portion in the volume of total assets in any financial institution. The ratio of loans and advances to total assets ratio measures the volume of loans and advances in the overall structure of its total assets. High degree of ratio indicates the good performance of the financial institutions, in mobilizing its funds by means of Lending function. However, granting loans and advances, always carries, certain amount of risks to the bank; thus, it is regarded as risk assets too. In short, this ratio measures the management of risk assets by the bank. Low ratio indicates low productivity but high degree of safety in liquidity and vice versa.

Table-4.6
Loans and Advances to Total Assets Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					MEAN
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	
SCBNL	0.27	0.27	0.37	0.35	0.37	0.33
NABIL	0.60	0.60	0.63	0.64	0.77	0.65

(For detail: See Appendix 2F)

Table-4.6 shows the five years trend of loans and advances to total assets ratio. The table shows that the ratio of both the banks has been increasing, with every passing years, except for SCBNL in the F/Y 2009/10 from 0.37 to 0.35. The ratio ranges from 0.27 of SCBNL in the F/Y 2006/07 to 0.77 of NABIL in the F/Y 2010/11. NABIL has the best ratio compared to SCBNL, but the ratio is increasing for both the banks. However, these financial institutions are successful in stabilizing this ratio to 0.27 for SCBNL and 0.60 for NABIL for two consecutive years.

Comparing the two financial institutions, we can identify the variations between the two banks, while NABIL stands with 0.77; SCBNL on the other hand has 0.33 as its mean ratio. This ratio, in aggregate may indicate that SCBNL may be shifting its business to other fee based activities and lessening its volume of loans and advances. If so, we can see that SCBNL is successful in achieving its goal than the other one.

4.1.7 Investment to Loans and Advances and Investments Ratio:

The purpose of this ratio is to measure the involvement of investments in the total amount of loans and advances and investment. The ratio measures the proportion between investments (government securities, shares &

debentures and NRB bond) and total loans and advances and investments and the attitude of management towards risk assets and safety assets. The high ratio measures the mobilization of bank's funds in the safe projects and vice versa. But, safety does not mean satisfactory returns to the financial institutions. This ratio does not however, provide the quality of assets the bank has invested into. The financial institution must maintain a balance between the risk and safe assets, like said earlier, safety does not necessary means profit.

Table-4.7

Investments to Total Loans and Advances and Investment Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.65	0.64	0.54	0.59	0.56	0.60
NABIL	0.32	0.33	0.25	0.27	0.15	0.27

(For detail: See Appendix 2G)

Table-4.7 shows the picture of ratio between investments and loans and advances and investments of the banks. We can see that the ratio ranges from 0.32 of NABIL in F/Y 2006/07 to 0.56 of SCBNL in F/Y 2010/11. The table shows that the ratio of both the banks has more of a mix trend. In the F/Y 2008/09, the ratio of SCBNL has decreased by 10 points. Even though, the ratio of SCBNL has decreased in the middle year of the table, the overall ratio shows the increasing trend. NABIL, on the other hand has mix trend with increasing trend for first two years, but decreasing from the next year except in FY 2009/10 and 0.15 in FY 2010/11. The rationale behind the increasing trend of investments to loans and advances and investments may be due to lack of lending opportunities and deteriorating economic condition along with declining economic growth which may have led the financial institutions to search for more safe means to mobilize its collected funds. The above table points out to the conclusion that SCBNL infers the lowest degree of investments in risk assets than NABIL.

4.1.8 Loans and Advances and Investment to Total Deposit Ratio:

Loans and advances and investments are the major area of fund mobilization of the financial institutions. Loans and advances, which carries more risks than investments also gives more returns than the latter. Investments, on the other hand contains lower level of risk but also gives

less amount of returns for the financial institutions. This ratio portrays the glimpse of institution's mobilizing power in total. Banks fulfill its lending and investment activity through the fund collected by means of deposits. Thus, this ratio tries to measure how efficiently and effectively the deposits so collected have been mobilized. Also this ratio measures the ability on the part of the bank to generate income from the bank's liability of deposits.

Table-4.8

Loans and Advances and Investments to Total Deposits Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.86	0.84	0.92	0.94	0.98	0.91
NABIL	1.14	1.03	1.01	1.04	1.05	1.05

(For detail: See Appendix 2H)

Table-4.8 explains the relation between one unit of deposits along with the tabulated value of loans and advances and investments of both the banks. The ratio ranges from 0.84 of SCBNL in the F/Y 2007/08 to 1.14 of NABIL in the F/Y 2006/07. The table shows that SCBNL has been successful to post increment in the ratio. NABIL, the second bank, has already reached the ratio at alarming stage and has been successfully decreasing, which, however, is still at higher side. The above table portrays that NABIL is better than SCBNL in utilizing its funds thus collected, irrespective of the area of its utilization and returns.

4.1.9 Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio:

It is important for the bank that the fund it has collected through deposits, are invested in good projects. The purpose of this ratio is to measure how far the financial institutions are successful in turning their assets in profitable projects. Higher the ratio, higher is the profit for the financial institutions and vice versa. This ratio shows how far the financial institutions are successful in utilizing their assets for profit generating purpose.

Table-4.9
Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.84	0.85	0.85	0.89	0.88	0.86
NABIL	0.87	0.83	0.63	0.65	0.77	0.75

(For detail: See Appendix 2I)

Table-4.9 shows how successful the financial institutions are in turning their funds in profit generating projects. The ratio ranges from 0.63 of NABIL in F/Y 2008/09 to 0.89 of SCBNL in the F/Y 2009/10. The table shows that the ratio of NABIL has very fluctuating trend whereas SCBNL has been able to maintain almost at the same level. SCBNL has increasing performing assets ratio. NABIL on the other hand, has more of a fluctuating trend starting from the first year, performing assets ratio was at 0.87, which decreased to 0.63 in the F/Y 2008/09, increasing again to 0.77 in the F/Y 2010/11. The result shows that SCBNL is more successful in utilizing its assets in the profit generating projects than NABIL.

4.1.10 Non-Performing Assets to Total Assets Ratio:

The purpose of this ratio is to measure what amount of the bank's fund is in loss. The ratio aims to find out how far the bank is successful in minimizing non-performing assets and maximizing its profit through other performing assets. Lower the ratio, higher will be the profit for the bank and vice versa.

Table-4.10
Non-performing Assets to Total Asset (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.14
NABIL	0.13	0.17	0.37	0.35	0.23	0.25

(For detail: See Appendix 2J)

Table-4.10 explains how far the banks are successful in minimizing their non-performing assets and generating profits from their total assets. According to the table above, non-performing assets to total assets ratio ranges from 0.11 of SCBNL in the F/Y 2009/10 to 0.37 of NABIL in the F/Y 2008/09. The table shows that the ratio of SCBNL has almost stable

throughout the review period while the ratio of NABIL is very fluctuating starting from 0.13 to reach as high as 0.37 times and gradually improved and reached to 0.23 in the final year. The ratio of SCBNL is better than NABIL, so SCBNL has better utilization of their assets than NABIL.

4.1.11 Return on Loans and Advances Ratio:

The purpose for the calculation of this ratio is to estimate the earning capacity of the financial institutions of the funds collected by the bank itself. The ratio aims to find out the amount of return generated by the bank against the fund mobilized as loans and advances. High ratio indicates the greater success to mobilize funds as loans and advances and vice versa.

Table-4.11
Return on Loans and Advances Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					MEAN
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	
SCBNL	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08
NABIL	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02

(For detail: See Appendix 2k)

Table-4.11 explains how far the financial institutions are successful in gaining return from the funds that it has mobilized as loans and advances. The ratio ranges from 0.01 of NABIL in the F/Y 2006/07 to 0.09 of SCBNL in the F/Y 2006/07. Looking at the ratio of both the financial institutions separately, the trend of SCBNL has decreasing trend, while NABIL has more of a fluctuating trend, even though the ratio doesn't have huge difference as such. But the return generated by these two has huge gap in between them. Whereas, the ratio of SCBNL is around 0.08, the ratio of the other bank NABIL is around 0.02. The return generated by the two banks has huge difference. We can clearly see that SCBNL is far more successful gaining return from the fund it has invested in comparison to NABIL. So in conclusion, the NABIL is suggested to invest its funds in profitable sector to increase its return.

4.1.12 Loan Loss Ratio:

This ratio describes the quality of the assets that the financial institutions are holding. NRB, the central bank has directed the banks to classify its loans and advances into different category (Pass, Substandard, Doubtful

and Bad) and to make the provision (1%, 25%, 50%, and 100%) accordingly. NRB has classified Pass and Sub-Standard as performing loans and Doubtful and Bad as Non-Performing loans. The provision generated for compensating against performing loans is called general loan loss provision and the provision generated for doubtful and bad is called specific loan loss provision. The provision of loan loss reflects the increasing probability of Non-performing loans in the total volume of loans and advances. Loan loss provision signifies the cushion against the future contingency created by the default of the borrowers. The low ratio signifies the good quality of the assets in the total volume of loans and advances and vice versa.

Table-4.12
Loan Loss Ratio (In Percentage)

	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
Banks						
SCBNL	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
NABIL	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04

(For detail: See Appendix 2L)

Table-4.12 indicates the amount of doubtful and loss loans against total loans and advances. The ratio of loan loss ranges from 0.02 in F/Y 2010/11 to 0.06 in the F/Y 2006/07 of both NABIL. The table indicates that the ratio of both the banks has decreasing trend. Even though, both the banks has been able to decrease the ratio, NABIL has been further improved its ratio compared to SCBNL in the final year of review period.

4.1.13 Interest Earned to Total Income Ratio:

The ratio measures the volume of interest earned in the volume of total income earned by the financial institutions. The ratio also helps to measure the financial institution's performance on other fee-based activities. The high ratio indicates, high contribution by the lending and investing activities and the vice versa.

Table-4.13
Interest Earned to Total Income Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.67	0.66	0.69	0.69	0.72	0.68
NABIL	0.89	0.87	0.88	0.89	0.87	0.88

(For detail: See Appendix 2M)

Table-4.13 is the indication of the contribution made by the interest earned in the total volume of the income that the financial institution has earned. And the combined mean of both the financial institution stands at 78%. The ratio shows that 78% of the financial institution's income derives from the funds that it lends and invests. The ratio ranges from 0.66 of SCBNL in F/Y 2007/08 to 0.89 of NABIL in F/Y 2006/07. SCBNL has maintained a steady growth in the income throughout the review period. NABIL, on the other hand has witnessed almost a constant ratio in all the years.

The above table points out that the ratio of NABIL is the higher between the two financial institutions. The result shows that SCBNL has low dependency in fund-based activity whereas, NABIL has higher dependency.

4.1.14 Interest Expenses to Total Deposit Ratio:

The purpose of this ratio is to calculate the expense of deposits in the relative term. The performance of financial institutions (commercial banks) is dependent upon its ability to generate cheaper fund and thus generating more volume of loans and advances and investments and vice versa. The high ratio is the indicative of costly fund and this adversely affects the lending and investing activities of the financial institutions.

Table-4.14
Interest Expenses to Total Deposit Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
NABIL	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04

(For detail: See Appendix 2N)

Table-4.14 exhibits the cost of deposits for both the financial institutions.

And it shows that the cost of deposits of SCBNL is lower than NABIL in all of the fiscal years. SCBNL has witnessed an increment in the final year. Similarly, the ratio of NABIL has witnessed a constant ratio in all the fiscal years except in the first year.

The above table points out that SCBNL is more successful in collecting low cost funds by its modern and personalized services than NABIL.

4.1.15 Interest Income to Interest Expense Ratio:

The ratio of interest income to interest expense ratio is the gap between the interest rate that the financial institutions offer and the rate that it charges. Since NRB, has restricted that the gap between the interest offered and the interest charged should not be greater than 5%, the difference in this ratio is mainly caused by the ratio of fund mobilized and fund collected.

Table-4.15
Interest Income to Interest Expense Ratio (In Percentage)

	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	3.92	3.83	4.17	3.92	3.42	3.85
NABIL	2.04	1.98	2.02	1.70	1.72	1.89

(For detail: See Appendix 20)

The above table-4.15 explains the difference between the interests that financial institutions charges and offers to its customers. The ratio indicates that SCBNL has greater degree of difference than NABIL, which means that SCBNL is charging high interest rates to the borrowers and on the other hand, offering low interest rates to its depositors.

4.2 Measuring the Distribution of Loans and Advances and Investments:

Till now, we have tried to analyze the relationships between loans and advances with various assets and liabilities of their respective banks, their trend lines and their correlation with respective variables, under this heading; we will try to examine the portfolio management of their loans and advances. Financial institutions process out loans to different sector of the economy and cater to different type of borrowers. Under this topic, the

ratio of loans and advances granted to various sector of economy and for various purposes to total volume of loans and advances are measured.

Besides lending activities, a financial institution does investing activities in various sectors, especially in shares, debentures and in government securities too. So, in this chapter, the ratio of investments, as invested by the financial institutions in different types of shares and debentures to total investments are measured.

4.2.1 LOANS AND ADVANCES PORTFOLIO:

Since the survival of any banking business is dependent upon the good performance of its lending function, the high volume of well performing loans and advances in the economy is the symbol of healthy banking business


4.2.1.1 Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd:

Table-4.16
Loans and Advances Portfolio of SCBNL (Amt in Millions)

Fiscal Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Govt. Enterprises	569.58	641.02	814.32	893.54	1050.26
Pvt. Sector	5126.22	5769.22	7328.88	8041.88	9452.37
Total	5695.82	6410.24	8143.20	8935.42	10502.64

Source (Annual Reports)

Fig.-1



The above chart clearly signifies that private sector holds the major portion of loans and advances. The above table shows that in the portfolio of loans and advances of SCBNL, private sector holds about 90% of its share while; government sector holds just an average of 10%. The reason behind lending large amount in private sector could be that, the return that the financial institutions gets is higher in comparison to other sector. But along with the return, the risk attached with it is also high.

4.2.1.2 Nabil Bank Limited:


Table-4.17

Loans and Advances Portfolio of NABIL (Amt in Millions)

Fiscal Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Govt. Enterprises	241.95	356.11	471.17	665.59	894.14
Pvt. Sector	2177.57	3205.03	4240.54	5990.36	8047.26
Total	2419.52	3561.14	4711.71	6655.96	8941.40

Source (Annual Reports)

Fig.-4.2



The above table and chart of NABIL, again points out toward the contribution of lending portfolio of financial institutions in private sector. The liberalization of financial sector has aimed at the active participation of private sector in economic activities. Following the footsteps of SCBNL, NABIL's major portion of loans and advances portfolio is occupied by the private sector. So, they continue effort to increase the private sector participant in the economic activity and the increasing trend towards globalization of economy may cause this part of portfolio to increase in coming future.

4.2.2 INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO:

Commercial banks main function is to create credit from its borrowed fund. In doing so, commercial bank converts its liability into active assets. Under this heading we try to figure out the total amount of funds used in investments activity by the commercial banks.


4.2.2.1 Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd.

Table-4.18
Investment Portfolio of SCBNL (Amt in Millions)

Fiscal Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Govt. Securities	6722.80	7948.20	7203.06	8644.86	7107.94
Shares & Debentures	3634.88	3412.13	2499.49	4193.70	6445.29
Total	10357.68	11360.33	9702.55	12838.56	13553.23

Source (Annual Reports)

Fig.-4.3



The above table-4.18 shows that SCBNL Ltd. is more inclined towards investing their borrowed funds in government securities than in shares and debentures. In the F/Y 2006/07, SCBNL's investment portfolio was in the ratio of approx. 65% to 35%, the latter being the portion of shares and debentures, whereas government securities, occupied 65% of total investment fund. However, SCBNL has been increasing investments in government securities in later years due to insecure business environment. In the F/Y 2010/11, the portion of shares and debentures in the total

investment portfolio decreased to 12.5%. This clearly shows the changing attitude of the bank. Still, SCBNL has not invested its fund in NRB bonds.

4.2.2.2 Nabil Bank Limited:

Table-4.19

Investment Portfolio of NABIL (Amt in Millions)

Fiscal Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Govt. Securities	1075.20	1235.30	1194.31	1756.59	1104.06
Shares & Debentures	78.06	525.43	378.59	723.32	495.42
Total	1153.26	1760.73	1572.90	2479.91	1599.48

Source (Annual Reports)

Fig.-4.4




Fig.-4.4 represents the investment portfolio of NABIL. Like SCBNL, this bank too has invested its major portion of its fund in government securities. The trend of investing in shares & debentures in case of NABIL is fluctuating. The reason behind investing major portion of borrowed funds in government securities may be that, government securities hold minimal amount of risk.

4.2.3 Private Sector Loans to Total Loans and Advances:

This ratio measures the contribution of financial institution's lending in

private sector. The liberalization of financial sector has aimed at active participation of private sector in the development of overall economy of the country. The ratio of private sector loans to total loans and advances measures the volume of private sector activity in total economy with comparison to government sector activity.

Table-4.20

Private Sector Loan to Total Loan and Advances Ratio (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
NABIL	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90

(For detail: See Appendix 2P)

Table-4.20 explains the portion of loans and advances granted to private sector are significant for both the financial institutions. Both the financial institutions have granted loans to private sector around 90% in all the fiscal years. The ratio proves that the dependency of lending activity in government sector is still negligible compare to private sector loans in commercial banks. This may be due to the fact that granting loans to private sector results in more return to the financial institutions than providing loans to government sector. The overall conclusion drawn from the ratio explains the importance of private sector in the banking business. This shows that both the financial institution's lending is largely dependent on the private sector and on its development.

4.2.4 Government Sector Loans to Total Loans and Advances:

This ratio measures the contribution made by financial institutions in government sector. Even though, the liberalization of financial sector has aimed at active participation of private sector in the economic activities, the role of government sector cannot be denied and is essential for the economic development of any country. The below table represents the portion of loans and advances granted to government sector by two financial institutions namely SCBNL and NABIL. While calculating this ratio, the bills purchased and discounted are excluded from total loans and advances and from government sector as well as private sector loans.

Table-4.21**Government Sector Loan to Total Loan and Advances Ratio (In Percentage)**

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
NABIL	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10

(For detail: See Appendix 2Q)

Table-4.21 explains the contribution of loans and advances to government sector by both the financial institutions. And the result shows only 10% loans have been invested in the government sector by private commercial banks in comparison to 90% portion to private sector. The ratio of government sector to total loans and advances has been in increasing in positive trend but still, financial institutions heavily rely on the private sector. This shows that the dependency of lending activities of financial institutions in private sector is growing. This may be due to the fact that lending in private sector seeks more return to the financial institutions than lending to government sector, even though government sector is safer than private sector.

The ratio explains the importance of private sector in banking business for both the financial institutions. In the age of liberalization, the ratio is good in case of both the financial institutions, but the importance of government sector should not be ignored for the development of the country.

4.2.5 Ratio of Government Securities to Total Investments:

This ratio measures the financial institutions contribution in government securities. Loans and advances granted by financial institutions has risks attached with them which, financial institutions have to bear but, investments are comparatively less risky than loans and advances. Investing in government securities has minimal amount of risk attached with them. Tabulated value explains the ratio of government securities in total investments.

Table-4.22**Ratio of Government Securities to Total Investments (In Percentage)**

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	0.65	0.70	0.74	0.67	0.52	0.66
NABIL	0.93	0.70	0.76	0.71	0.69	0.76

(For detail: See Appendix 2R)

Table-4.22 shows that financial institutions spend a significant portion of their funds in government securities. The combined mean of both the financial institutions stands at 71%. While NABIL in the F/Y 2006/07, a total of 93% amount of the funds were spent on the government securities. Similarly, SCBNL, in the F/Y 2008/09, 74% of the funds were spent in government securities. But by the F/Y 2010/11 the ratio of both the banks decreased. This may be due to the fact that return generated from the government securities is less in comparison to the returns gained from other sources

4.2.6 Ratio of Shares and Debentures to Total Investments:

This ratio measures the portion of shares and debentures in the total investments. Organizations buy shares and debentures of other organizations and receive return from those invested assets. In other words, financial institutions invest their capital in other organization's shares and debentures. As said earlier, investments carry less amount of risk than granting loans and advances to different sectors with high risks.

Table-4.23
Ratio of Shares and Debentures to Total Investments (In Percentage)

Banks	Fiscal Year (Mid July)					MEAN
	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	
SCBNL	0.35	0.30	0.26	0.33	0.48	0.34
NABIL	0.07	0.30	0.24	0.29	0.31	0.24

(For detail: See Appendix 2S)

Table-4.23 explains the ratio of shares and debentures in total investments. Both the institutions have invested fewer amounts in the shares and debenture of other companies as compared to the investment done in Government Securities. The investment pattern of the financial institutions has been largely influence by the regulation of NRB. Since NRB do not permit any financial institutions to invest in other similar financial institutions, so they do not have opportunity to invest in the profitable companies.

4.3 Measuring the Lending Strength of the Banks in Absolute Terms:

In this topic various variables in their absolute value are measured. Unlike

ratio analysis, here values are measured individually. This variable enables us to measure the contribution of the respective financial institutions in those aspects. The ratio analysis solely describes about the ratio between two variables but does not provide information about the absolute value of those variables. Hence, some of the important variables are measured here.

4.3.1 Net Assets

A net asset of the firm refers to Total Assets minus Total Outsiders liability. Net asset of a firm measures the portion of net fixed assets in relation to net worth of a firm. Higher the value indicates the higher involvement of owner's equity in financing fixed assets of a firm and vice versa. This figure measures shareholder's wealth in a firm.

Table-4.24
NET ASSETS (Amt in Millions)

YEAR	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	1368.91	1495.73	1582.43	1754.14	2116.35	1663.51
NABIL	552.09	620.39	684.19	966.46	1117.99	788.22

Fig.-4.5




Fig.-4.5 shows that the net assets of SCBNL are high between the two financial institutions throughout five years. The trend line of both the financial institutions shows an increasing trend. The net asset of SCBNL in

the F/Y 2006/07 was Rs.1368.91 million but it increased its net assets to Rs.2116.35 by the F/Y 2010/11, which is an increment of approximately 54.5%. NABIL, on the other hand had a net assets of Rs.552.09 million at the start of the Fiscal Year, and in the F/Y 2006/07 the net assets amount of NABIL reached Rs.1117.99 million that constitutes 102.5 % increment in the five year's period.

Table-4.25
Mean / S.D. / C.V. of Net Assets

Banks	Mean	S.D.	C.V.
SCBNL	1663.51	494.16	29.71
NABIL	788.22	1394.29	51.23

Table-4.25 shows that the mean net asset of SCBNL is 1663.51 while the mean net asset of NABIL is 788.22. Likewise the Standard Deviation of NABIL is higher than that of SCBNL. However, SCBNL has the lower percentage of variation in its net assets. The volume of net assets of SCBNL permits it to expand its business in higher degree than NABIL.

4.3.2 Deposits

Deposits are the major source of fund for any financial institutions. The amount of deposits in the bank's liability plays a very important role in administering the lending and investing function of the bank. Deposits consist of all types of demand and fixed deposits as well as margin and deposits in foreign currency too. Larger the volume of deposits, larger will be the lending and investing functions and vice versa.

Table-4.26
Deposits (Amt in Millions)

YEAR	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	18755.64	21161.46	19363.47	23061.03	24647.02	21397.72
NABIL	3144.33	5146.49	6241.38	8765.95	10068.23	6673.28

Fig.-4.6




Fig.-4.6 gives us the picture of the deposits volume of the two concerned bank. The figure explains that the deposit volume of SCBNL is way too high than NABIL. Comparing the volume of the two banks, the deposits volume of SCBNL is about 70% higher than NABIL in every year. The figure shows that the deposit trend NABIL has a constant increasing trend whereas SCBNL barring F/Y 2004/05 has increasing trend. Percentage increment of NABIL is higher than that of SCBNL with maximum of about 63% to that of approximately 19% of SCBNL.

Table-4.27

Mean / S.D. / C.V. of Total Deposits

Banks	Mean	S.D.	C.V.
SCBNL	21397.72	4083.20	19.08
NABIL	6673.28	4308.62	64.57

Table-4.27 shows that the mean total of SCBNL is the highest with the least variation with 19.08% comparing to 64.57% of NABIL with its mean of 6673.28 and standard deviation of 4308.62. The high degree in the variation of NABIL is caused by the increasing trend of deposits.

4.3.3 Loans and Advances


The main function of any financial institution like banks is to create credits from its funds collected through deposits. In doing so, it converts its liability into assets. And loans and advances are the assets generated from such activities of the banks. Since the survivals of the banks are dependent mostly on the good quality of its credit function, the high volume of good performing loans and advances in economy is a symbol of healthy banking environment.

Table-4.28

Loans and Advances (Amt in Millions)

YEAR	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	5695.82	6410.24	8143.20	8935.42	10502.64	7937.46
NABIL	2419.52	3561.14	4711.71	6655.96	8941.40	5257.95

Fig.-4.7



The above-presented chart explains about the volume of loans and advances achieved by both SCBNL and NABIL respectively. The chart shows that throughout all the years, the volume of loans and advances of SCBNL is high than that of NABIL. Even though, the physical volume of NABIL is lower than that of SCBNL, the ratio of NABIL is increasing at much higher rate than SCBNL with an increment of 41% in the F/Y 2006/07 in comparison to 10% of SCBNL.

Table-4.29
Mean / S.D. / C.V. of Loans and Advances

Banks	Mean	S.D.	C.V.
SCBNL	7937.46	3056.18	38.50
NABIL	5257.95	4251.28	80.85

The mean loans and advances of SCBNL are high between the two banks, and have the least variation with 38.50% in comparison to 80.85% of NABIL. SCBNL has least deviation than NABIL.

4.3.4 Investments

Loans and advances and investments are the major area of fund mobilization for any financial institutions. Loans and advances are more risky compare to investments. Investments are considered as a cushion against the liquidity risk and at the same time give return. Investments have a nature of being more liquidity than loans and advances.

Table-4.30
Investment (Amt in Millions)

YEAR	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	10357.68	11360.33	9702.55	12838.56	13553.23	11562.47
NABIL	1153.26	1760.73	1572.90	2479.91	1599.48	1713.26

Fig.-4.8




Fig.-4.8 presented above shows the volume contributed by investments of both the banks. The amount of investments by SCBNL in the F/Y 2006/07 was Rs.10357.68 by the F/Y 2010/11; it increased its investments by 31% (Rs.13553.23 million). In the F/Y 2008/09, a decreased in its investments can be witnessed, by 6.32% but looking at the chart, the trend is increasing. NABIL on the other hand, has very less amount of investments in comparison to SCBNL, differing with about 7 folds.

Table-4.31
Mean / S.D. / C.V. of Investments

Banks	Mean	S.D.	C.V.
SCBNL	11562.47	2765.52	23.92
NABIL	1713.26	747.39	43.62

The above table shows that mean and standard deviation of SCBNL is high with 11562.47 and 2765.52 in comparison to 1713.26 and 747.39 of NABIL. However, the CV of NABIL is high between the two. This point out to the fact that SCBNL is more consistent is keeping the value close in the given five years than NABIL.

4.3.5 Interest Earned

Interest earned measures the financial institution's ability to generate income from its lending and investment activities. Interest earned by a financial institution is also one of the major indicators of a good financial performance. It reflects to the operational efficiency of financial institutions. So, higher ratio indicates higher efficiency and vice-versa.

Table-4.32
Interest Earned (Amt in Millions)

YEAR	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	1001.36	1042.18	1058.68	1189.60	1411.98	1140.76
NABIL	291.14	363.04	457.61	579.98	725.82	483.52

Fig.-4.9




Fig.-4.9 explains the earning trend of both the banks. The chart shows that the interest earned by both the banks is in increasing trend, however, the growth rate of NABIL is much higher than that of SCBNL. SCBNL's income at the starting of F/Y 2006/07 was Rs1001.36 million which increased to Rs1411.98 million by the end of F/Y 2010/11. NABIL's income in the F/Y 2006/07 was Rs291.14 million which increased to Rs725.82 million by the F/Y 2010/11, with an increment of approximately 149% against the SCBNL's increment of 41% during the last five years.

Table-4.33

Mean / S.D. / C.V. of Interest Earned

Banks	Mean	S.D.	C.V.
SCBNL	1140.76	295.44	25.90
NABIL	483.52	282.43	58.41

The above table further explains that SCBNL has high mean 1140.76 with less degree of variation and 25.90% against NABIL's 483.52 as mean and 58.41% as its CV. Standard Deviation of NABIL is low against the Standard Deviation of SCBNL.


4.3.6 Provision for Doubtful Debts:

Provision for doubtful debts indicates towards the amount provisioned against the different categories of loans and advances (pass, substandard, doubtful and loss). Provision for doubtful debts allows us to measure the financial institution's efficiency and the quality of the loans and advances and investments that it has invested into.

Table-4.34
Provision for Doubtful Debts (Amt in Millions)

YEAR	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	304.34	283.62	277.66	270.86	287.51	284.80
NABIL	143.34	181.95	197.64	246.16	187.25	191.27

Fig.-4.10



The above chart explains about the provision made against loans and advances that the financial institutions have issued. The chart shows that SCBNL's provision is high throughout the years when comparing the two. But the trend of SCBNL is decreasing in trends, while NABIL has increasing trend. In the starting of the F/Y 2006/07, the amount provisioned by NABIL against doubtful loans and advances was Rs143.34 million which increased to Rs187.25 million with an increment of almost 30% within the five years period, While SCBNL has 5.5% decrease in those years. The total amount of loans and advances issued by NABIL is

less in comparison to the total amount provisioned by it. This shows that the quality of loans disbursed by the bank is not profitable and beneficial, which would ultimately reflect in the profit that the bank earns.

Table-4.35
Mean / S.D. / C.V. of Provision for Doubtful Debts

Banks	Mean	S.D.	C.V.
SCBNL	284.80	16.44	5.77
NABIL	191.27	54.27	28.37

The above table further explains that SCBNL has high mean value of 284.80 against NABIL with 191.27, but SCBNL has less variability with 5.77% and 16.44 as Standard Deviation while that of NABIL is 28.37% and 54.27 as Standard Deviation. From the above table, we can make the final judgment that NABIL is likely to have high value of risky assets than SCBNL has, which could be witnessed in the following years to come.

4.3.7 Net Profit

The firm’s income usually refers to money received by an individual whether earned through work or earned through dividend, interest “everything then, must be assessed in money, for this always enable men to exchange their service and to make the society possible” (*ARISTOTLE, 384-322 B.C.*)

Income is an important indicator for measuring the financial performance of the financial institutions. Higher the volume, higher will be the success of the banks in operational and strategically aspects.

Table-4.36
Net Profit (Amt in Millions)

YEAR	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	MEAN
SCBNL	506.95	537.80	536.24	658.76	691.67	586.28
NABIL	25.94	68.27	113.76	96.59	158.48	92.41

Fig.-4.11




Fig.-4.11 shows the profit that the two financial institutions have earned in the five years. The chart shows that the net profit, SCBNL has earned are very high in all the given years against NABIL. Both the banks have increasing trend of net profit except in 2008/09, the profit of SCBNL decreased slightly compared to same period last year. NABIL on the other hand, too faced decreased profit from Rs113.75 million to Rs.96.59 million in the F/Y 2009/10 against last year. So, assessing the performance of both the financial institutions for the five years, SCBNL has an average increment in its net profit of 7.5%, while NABIL has the increment of overall 50% even though its downfall in the F/Y 2009/10. But if we are to analyze the performance of last one year of these banks, then the growth percentage of NABIL is way too high with 64% against that of SCBNL with 5%

Table-4.37

Mean / S.D. / C.V. of Net Profit

Banks	Mean	S.D.	C.V.
SCBNL	586.28	137.88	23.52
NABIL	92.41	75.80	81.85

The above table points out that the mean and SD of SCBNL is high in comparison to NABIL. But NABIL has high variability between the two

with 81.85% against 23.52% of SCBNL. The high variability of NABIL has been caused by the downfall of net profit in the F/Y 2006/07.

4.4 Measuring Growth Rate based on Trend Value:

Measuring variables through trend analysis, exhibits the behavior of given variables in series of time. Under this topic, we shall examine the trend analysis of variables like, net assets, deposits, loans and advances, investments and net profit. In other words, trend analysis is yet another statistical tool used for forecasting the future results, calculating the present values. However, certain assumptions have to be kept in mind while analyzing the trend value.

1. The main assumption is that other things will remain unchanged
2. The forecast will be true when only least square method will be carried out
3. The financial institution will run in the present situation
4. The economy will remain in the present stage
5. NRB will not change its guidelines concerning commercial banks

4.4.1 Trend Analysis of Net Assets:

Net assets reflect the overall efficiency and performance of the financial institutions. The trend line of two aforesaid financial institutions is obtained from the nine years data based on the least square method of time series.

Table-4.38

Trend value of Net Assets of SCBNL and NABIL (Amt in Millions)


Bank/Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
SCBNL	1312.85	1488.18	1663.51	1838.84	2014.17	2189.50	2364.83	2540.16	2715.49	2890.82
NABIL	492.65	640.44	788.22	936.01	1083.80	1231.59	1379.37	1527.16	1674.95	1822.73

(For detail: See Appendix -3 A)

From the above comparative table-4.38, it is clear that the net assets of both the financial institutions are in increasing trend. According to the calculation made on the basis of linear equation of $Y_c = a + bx$, the net assets of SCBNL in the F/Y 2015/16 will reach to Rs 2890.82 million approximately, while the net assets of NABIL estimated to reach at Rs 1822.73 million. As said earlier, the amount calculated in the above table is derived based under certain assumptions. The growth rate of SCBNL for

the given period stands at 8.76%, while growth rate of NABIL is 14.55%.

Fig.-4.12



The above Fig.-4.12 shows the trend behavior of net assets in SCBNL and NABIL. There is a great difference in the trend line of SCBNL and NABIL in both slope as well as magnitude. The above chart shows the increasing trend line of both the financial institutions but the degree of increment differs between the two banks. SCBNL has high magnitude of increment than NABIL. NABIL has low magnitude of increment in its net assets as well as its slope.

4.4.2 Trend Analysis of Deposits:

Deposits are one of the most vulnerable liabilities of commercial banks and its trend behaviors can be determined by various seasonal and cyclical factors as well as economic conditions. The trend line of deposits of these two financial institutions for the period of ten years is based on the least square method of time series.

Table-4.39

Trend Value of Deposits of SCBNL and NABIL (In Millions)


Bank/Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
SCBNL	18661.26	20029.49	21397.72	22765.96	24134.19	25502.42	26870.65	28238.89	29607.12	30975.36
NABIL	3179.82	4926.55	6673.28	8420.00	10068.73	11913.45	13660.18	15406.91	17153.63	18900.36

(For detail: See Appendix -3 B)

Table-4.39 shows the linear equation of the trend line of both banks, trend value of those banks for the F/Y 2006/07 to F/Y 2015/16. In the linear trend equation $Yc = a + bx$, the value of b represent the propensity of growth of each bank. It represents the absolute value of growth that the financial institution is likely to increase in its volume of deposits.

On the basis of the trend line calculations, the volume of deposits of these financial institutions will reach at Rs.30975.36 million and Rs.18900.36 million for SCBNL and NABIL respectively.

Fig.-4.13



The above Fig.-4.13 depicts the nature of total deposits in SCBNL and NABIL.. We can see a huge gap between the volumes of the deposits between two financial institutions and in their slopes and magnitude also. NABIL has high degree of increasing slope while SCBNL has a constant increase except in the F/Y 2006/07 in its slope and magnitude. However, both the banks are in increasing trend.

From the above chart and table, we can conclude that even though the volume of NABIL is less in comparison to SCBNL, the growth rate created by this bank has made this financial institution the better one than the other bank in terms of deposit collection.

4.4.3 Trend Analysis of Loans and Advances

Loans and advances are the major source of the bank's income and they represent the major portion in the volume of total assets of the bank. Here

the trend value of loans and advances of SCBNL and NABIL have been calculated for the period of next five years.

Table-4.40

Trend Value of Loans and Advances of SCBNL and NABIL (In Millions)

Bank/Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
SCBNL	5509.70	6723.58	7937.46	9151.35	10365.23	11579.11	12792.99	14006.87	15220.76	16434.64
NABIL	2030.23	3644.09	5257.95	6871.80	8485.66	10099.52	11713.38	13327.24	14941.09	16554.95

(For detail: See Appendix -3 C)

The above comparative chart and table makes it clear that the loan & advances of both the banks are increasing regularly. If the present conditions are to be considered like assumed before, the amount of loans and advances at the end of F/Y 2015/16 for SCBNL and NABIL will estimated to be at Rs.16434.64 and Rs.16554.95 million respectively.

Fig.-4.14




Fig.-4.14 exhibits the trend lines representing the lending behaviors of both the financial institutions. The trend line of SCBNL has been higher than NABIL, however, if the growth rate of NABIL continues, then in the F/Y 2015/16, NABIL will exceeds SCBNL representing its high contribution in the field of lending. The comparative above chart makes it clear that the loan and advances of both the banks are increasing regularly following the same pattern.

However, comparing the two financial institutions individually, NABIL's deposit utilization in terms of loans and advances is comparatively better than that of SCBNL, which means that the bank is very aggressive in

mobilizing its collected fund. But high utilization does not guarantee the quality return on loans as the evaluation of credit worthiness of the customer is the prime factor that has to be considered foremost.

4.4.4 Trend Analysis of Investments

Loans and advances and investments are two major area of fund mobilization of the financial institutions. Loans and advances, on one hand are considered to be risky assets whereas, investments on the other hand, is regarded as the cushion against the liquidity risk.

Table-4.41
Trend Value of Investments of SCBNL and NABIL (In Millions)

Bank/Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
SCBNL	9988.60	10775.54	11562.47	12349.40	13136.34	13923.27	14710.20	15497.14	16284.07	17071.00
NABIL	1390.93	1552.09	1713.26	1874.42	2035.58	2196.74	2357.90	2519.07	2680.23	2841.39

(For detail: See Appendix -3 D)

The above table presented makes it clear that the investments of both the banks are increasing simultaneously. The amount invested by both the financial institutions in investments in the F/Y 2015/16 will estimated to reach at Rs.17071.00 and Rs.2841.39 million for SCBNL and NABIL respectively. SCBNL has opted to invest its collected fund more in investments than NABIL. This proves that SCBNL is more aggressive in mobilizing its collected funds in investments than it has in loans and advances.

Fig.-4.15
Trend Value of Investments




Fig.-4.15 explains about the trend lines representing the investments of

SCBNL and NABIL. The chart clearly shows the difference in volume and magnitude of investments between the two banks. SCBNL always has high volume of investments in comparison to NABIL and also has achieved high degree of slope and magnitude. Both the financial institutions have increasing trend, but the degree of increment is different between these two banks.

4.4.5 Trend Analysis of Net Profit

Income is the major indicator to analyze the performance of the financial institutions. Here the calculations have been carried out to analyze the net profit of the two banks for the coming years based on its past performances.

Table-4.42

Trend Value of Net Profit of SCBNL and NABIL (In Millions)

Bank/Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
SCBNL	488.20	537.24	586.28	635.32	684.36	733.40	782.44	831.48	880.52	929.56
NABIL	33.93	63.27	92.61	121.95	151.29	180.63	209.97	239.31	268.65	297.99

(For detail: See Appendix -3 E)

The above table clearly shows the performance of the two banks NABIL and SCBNL in terms of the returns. And it can be clearly distinguished that SCBNL is more successful in achieving its goal than NABIL, where the latter bank has witnessed a downfall in its net profit in F/Y 2006/07. Assuming the present course of action, both SCBNL and NABIL could post its net profit to Rs.929.56 millions and Rs.297.99 millions in the next five years (F/Y 2012/13). The success to achieve high competitive growth rate can be attributed to balanced portfolio in advancing credits and in investments by diversifying its business.

Fig.-4.16




Fig.-16 clearly shows that both the financial institutions are successful in recording net profit in an increasing trend; also a prediction has been made for coming years using the linear equations based on its past year's performance. But the degree of slope and magnitude between SCBNL and NABIL has a vast difference.

4.5 Measuring Correlation between Different Variables:

4.5.1 Correlation between Deposits and Loans and Advances:

The correlation between deposits and loans and advances describes the degree of relationships between these two variables. How one unit of deposits increase influences the volume of loans and advance is the focal point of this measurement. Here, Deposit is the independent variable and Loans and Advances is the dependent variable as financial institution cannot control the volume of the deposits that it receives but on the other hand, it can control the flow of loans and advances.

Table-4.43

Correlation between Deposits and Loans and Advances

Banks	Correlation Coefficient (r)	r ²	P.Er	6 P.Er
SCBNL	0.828	0.686	0.095	0.569
NABIL	0.853	0.728	0.082	0.492

(For detail: See Appendix -4 A)

Table-4.43 describes the relationship between the total deposits and loans

and advances. There is a high degree of positive relationship between deposits and loans and advances in both the financial institutions. The correlation coefficient between the two variables is 0.828 for SCBNL, which means a positive relationship. The value of (r^2), coefficient of determination is 0.686, which indicates that 68.6% of variation of the dependent variable has been explained by the independent variable. Since, the value of (r) is more than six times the value of (P.Er), it can be said that the correlation between these two variables is certain and significant for SCBNL.

NABIL, on the other hand, has the correlation coefficient of 0.853 which means higher positive correlation, and the value of determination (r^2) is 0.728, indicating that 72.8% of dependent variable has been explained by the independent variable. And the value of (r) is greater than the value of (P.Er) with 0.853 against 0.082. Thus, it can be said that the relationship between deposits and loans and advances is significant.

The value of (r) calculated above explains that a percentage increase in deposits is likely to generate the same percentage of change in the value of loans and advances. The above table-4.43 shows that both SCBNL and NABIL have positive relationship. However, the value of (r) is higher for SCBNL among the two financial institutions, which points out that SCBNL has the higher probability of being so.

4.5.2 Correlation between Doubtful Debts and Loans and Advances:

Doubtful debts and loans & advances are inter-related as; doubtful debts are the result of the latter ones. And this correlation measures the degree of relationship between these two variables. Here, loans & advances act as an independent variable and doubtful debts as dependent variable, as a simple change in the volume of loans & advances directly impacts in the volume of the doubtful debts.

Table-4.44
Correlation between Doubtful Debts and Loans & Advances

Banks	Correlation Coefficient (r)	r²	P.Er	6 P.Er
SCBNL	-0.517	0.267	0.221	1.327
NABIL	0.834	0.695	0.092	0.552

(For detail: See Appendix -4 B)

Table-4.44 reveals the poor relationship between provision for doubtful debts and loans and advances for SCBNL. The above calculation show no relationship between the two variables as the value of correlation coefficient (r) for SCBNL is less than the value of 6P.Er. The value of r² (correlation of determination) is 0.267 which means that 26.7% of the variation in the dependent variable has been explained by the independent variable.

Though, relatively low, the relationship between the two variables of NABIL is significant and positive as the value of correlation coefficient (r) is greater than the value of 6P.Er. This implies that the change in the volume of loans & advances directly impacts on the volume of doubtful debts. The coefficient of determination (r²) of NABIL stands at 0.695.

From the above analysis, we can conclude that SCBNL has no relation between the two variables, while NABIL has relationship, but the margin is relatively low. The negative relationship occurred in SCBNL is caused due to the bad quality of their loans and advances and increase in risk element in lending.

4.5.3 Correlation between Interest Earned and Net Profit:

Interest earned by financial institutions contributes to the big portion of the bank's income. And this correlation measures the degree of relationship between the net profit and the interest earned by the financial institutions. Here, the financial institutions cannot control the volume of profit that the bank earns but it can control the interest that it earns. So, interest earned acts as an independent variable and net profit as a dependent variable.

Table-4.45
Correlation between Interest Earned and Net Profit

Banks	Correlation Coefficient (r)	r²	P.Er	6 P.Er
SCBNL	0.939	0.881	0.036	0.215
NABIL	0.988	0.977	0.007	0.042

(For detail: See Appendix -4 C)

Table-4.45 reveals the relationship between net profit and interest earned, of both the banks. The above table explains that the value of correlation coefficient (r) in SCBNL and NABIL is significant and the relationship between the two variables (Interest Earned and Net Profit) is certain, since the value of (r) is greater than the value of probable error (6P.Er) with 0.939 for SCBNL and 0.988 for NABIL. And the value of coefficient of determination (r²) for both the banks is at 0.881 and 0.977 for SCBNL and NABIL, which means that only 88.1% and 97.7% has been explained by the independent variables and that other variables have huge impact on the volume of the net profit.

From the above table, it can be concluded that certain change in the volume of interest earned has greater chance to generate same percentage change in the volume of net profit. And it can be concluded that other factors other than interest earned by the financial institutions govern low percent in the net profit of the banks.

4.5.4 Correlation between Net Profit and Loans & Advances:

The correlation between net profit and loans & advances describes the degree of relationship between these two variables and the value of (r); correlation coefficient measures if a percentage change in the volume of one variable affects the similar change in the volume of other variables. Here, loans & advances positioned as independent variable and net profit, as dependent variable. The financial institutions cannot control the profit that it earns but it can control the volume of loans & advances.

Table-4.46
Correlation between Net Profit and Loans & Advances

Banks	Correlation Coefficient (r)	r²	P.Er	6 P.Er
SCBNL	0.910	0.829	0.052	0.310
NABIL	0.807	0.651	0.105	0.631

(For detail: See Appendix -4 D)

Table-4.46 explains the correlation between net profit and loans & advances of SCBNL and the calculations shows a positive relationship, which means that a percentage change in the volume of loans & advances affects the similar change in the volume of the net profit of the financial institution. The value of correlation coefficient (r) is 0.910 for SCBNL and the value of probable error (6P.Er) is 0.052, which means that the value or “r” is more than the latter one. The correlation of determination (r²) is 0.829 which means that 82.9% in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variable. In other words, there is a significant relationship between loans & advances and net profit.

Likewise, there is a significant relationship between the above two variables for NABIL. Comparing the value of correlation coefficient (r) with that of probable error (6P.Er), the value of correlation coefficient is greater, which indicates towards the significant relationship between the two variables. Similarly, the correlation of determination (r²) is 0.651, which indicates towards the higher percentage of the dependent variable is controlled by the independent variable.

From the above analysis, it can be concluded that both the financial institutions, SCBNL and NABIL have a positive relationship between net profit and loans & advances. The relationship is significant and the value of determination shows good percentage of dependency for both SCBNL and NABIL. It indicates that increase in net profit of the bank can be attributed to the increase in loans & advances and that other factor have nominal role in the increment of the net profit of the banks.

4.6 Major Findings:

Measuring the liquidity position of the banks:

- Measuring through the respective means of current ratio of the two commercial banks, the performance of NABIL is better than SCBNL.
- Liquid fund to current liability ratio shows that SCBNL can better serve the unanticipated demand of current liability than NABIL.
- The ratio of liquid fund to total deposit shows that in adverse condition, SCBNL can better survive than NABIL.
- Total assets to total liability ratio shows that NABIL is better than that of SCBNL. But the ratio also points out that both the banks are not successful in converting their liability into assets, these institutions are not utilizing their fund to its fullest extent as their liability permits.
- SCBNL infers the lowest degree of investments in risk assets than NABIL.
- NABIL is better than SCBNL in utilizing its fund thus collected irrespective of the area of its utilization and returns.
- SCBNL is more successful in utilizing its assets in the profit generating projects than NABIL.
- SCBNL has better utilization of their assets than NABIL.
- SCBNL is far more successful gaining return from the fund it has invested in comparison to NABIL.
- SCBNL is charging high interest rates to the borrowers and on the other hand, offering low interest rates to its depositors.

Measuring the distribution of loans and advances and investments:

- The flow of loan and advances is more in private sector than the government sector in both the banks.

- Both the banks have invested major portion of borrowed funds in the government securities than that of shares and debenture.
- Both the bank's lending is largely dependent on the private sector.

Measuring the lending strength of the banks:

- The deposit volume of SCBNL is higher than NABIL.
- The volume of the net assets of SCBNL permits it to expand its business in higher degree than NABIL.
- The volume of loans and advances of SCBNL is higher than that of NABIL.
- NABIL has very less amount of investments in comparison to SCBNL.
- NABIL is likely to have high value of risky assets than SCBNL has.

Correlation between different variables:

- There is a high degree of positive relationship between deposits and loans and advances in both the financial institution.
- There is a poor relationship between provision for doubtful debts and loans and advances for SCBNL while NABIL has relationship, but the margin is relatively low.
- Both the financial institutions, SCBNL and NABIL have a positive relationship between net profit and loans and advances.

CHAPTER: 5

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION:

5.1 Summary:

Extent of development of any country is demonstrated by the development of the financial sector of that country. The financial sector comprises of Banks, Co-operative Societies, Insurance companies, and Finance companies. And integrated and speedy development of any country is possible only when a competitive financial service reaches every nook and corner of the country and its people. “Bank is an establishment for depositing, withdrawing and borrowing money” (Oxford English Dictionary). In general terms, banks are institutions that pool to gather the scattered savings of the people and arrange for its productive use. Banks, as a manager collects, disperses and controls the flow of the money. Banks collect fund from the public who has savings and it disperses the same fund to the persons who are in need of it. Banks can also be defined in terms of “Negotiators of Credit”. The activity of banks as a negotiator of credit is characterized by lending of other people’s, that is, of borrowed money. In fact, a bank borrows money in order to lend it.

Banking is a negotiation between granters of credit and the grantees of credit. For the activity of the bank as negotiators of credit, the golden rule holds that an organic connection must be created between the credit transactions and the debit transactions. The credit that the bank grants must correspond quantitatively and qualitatively to the credit that it takes up. More exactly expressed, “the date on which the bank’s obligations fall due must not precede the date on which its corresponding claims can be realized”. Only thus, can the damage of insolvency be avoided. It is true that the risk remains. Imprudent granting of credit is bound to prove just as ruinous to the bank as to any other merchants that follows from the legal structure of their business, there is no legal connection between their credit transactions and their debit transactions and their obligation to pay back the money they have borrowed is not affected by the fate of their investments. The obligation continues even if the investment proves dead losses.

Lending is the most fundamental function of the bank. “It is the essence of commercial banking; consequently the formulation and implementation of

sound lending policies are among the most important responsibilities of the bank directors and management. Well conceived lending policies and careful lending practices are essential if a bank is to perform its credit creating function effectively and minimize the risk inherent in any extension of credit.” (Bhattacharya, 1998)

The quality of loan, quality of borrower and quality of securities determines the health of any bank. The efficiency of banker lies in how it multiplies the deposits of depositors. Hence, lending should be accompanied by some basic principles and practices. No banker would willingly give a loan, unless he has sufficient confidence in the borrower that it will not be necessary to seek the help of court for the recovery. Safety of funds, liquidity of funds, purpose of loan, security of loan, profitability, spread of loan portfolio and compliance with the national interest are some of the principles that the banker should consider while granting loan.

The money mobilized in loans is borrowed from public via deposits, which is a liability of the company. Besides, interest from such loans is one of the main sources of income of banking institutions. If the financial institutions are not able to recover its loans, then it's a failure on the part of the company.

NRB, the central bank of Nepal, to fulfill the ever growing credit requirement has adopted the liberal policies and provided many facilities to the probable bankers of Nepal and abroad through Commercial Bank Act 1974 A.D. consequent of the policies provided by Nepal Government to open commercial banks with foreign joint ventures in 2041B.S. NRB has further introduced the new act called Bank and Financial Institutions Act 2058 to facilitate the operation of Banks and Financial Institutions smoothly in the present competitive environment. At present 31 commercial banks are helping not only in the field of deposit mobilization and lending but also to the areas like different product and service development and employment generations too.

As stated earlier, lending is one of the essential and main functions where the whole banking business is rested upon. The globalization and liberalization has opened various investment and lending opportunities in

front of the business community and to the banking business too. It has opened a new lending as well as investment area to diversify their respective portfolio. However, subsequent development of commercial banks in quality has not been satisfactory. The central bank, once has to withdraw the permission to register new banks due to its unsatisfactory increment in credit of productive and employment generating sector. Bank's growth and profitability are the result of carefully forecasting funding needs, competitively attracting funds, efficiently borrowing funds, and effectively investing funds in safe but profitable earning assets. (Halter, 1999)

Today, the banking sector is severely affected by the NPA (Non-Performing Assets) problem. The importance of lending in Nepalese Commercial Banks has remained virtually unchanged. Only the number of commercial banks and other financial institutions has increased significantly. And despite this increment in the number of financial institutions, the ratio of total assets to total credit of commercial banks has been decreasing. This is an indicative of bad performance of the commercial banks in the area of lending. Without implementing its lending function efficiently, none of the commercial banks, in the long run can survive. It is possible that commercial banks, in a year or two, can generate high volume of profit coming from other subsidiary fee based activities, but in the long run, without increasing its lending functions, it cannot increase its fee based income too.

Today, commercial banks in Nepal have been facing several challenges, some of them arising due to lack of smooth functioning of economy, some due to confused policies and many of them due to default of the borrowers. The liberalization of the financial sector demands a new technology of lending to cope up with the rising pressure on the profitability of banks and financial institutions.

5.2 Conclusion:

- 1) Nepal is a developing country. It needs to strengthen its economic structure to achieve rapid national development. And Commercial Banks plays a vital role in the economic

development of any country. The poor infrastructure of Nepalese economy, slow paced industrial sector, low rate of employment, majority of non-organized financial sector, lack of organized capital market, have always been demanding an efficient, competent, and liberalized banking industries. Today's so called developed countries also have fasted their economic development with the help of banking system.

- 2) Though Nepalese banking system has paced up in its development, but still it hasn't been very successful in bringing about changes in its developing economy, society and its people. Still, a large portion of Nepalese economy is untouched by its services.
- 3) At present, 32 commercial banks, 86 development banks, 79 finance companies are operating in Nepal. The market seems over crowded, and the financial institutions are finding a tough time competing among themselves. But the financial institution's performance cannot and should not be judged solely by the volume of profit that it has earned by maintaining adequate liquidity and safety, it should also be assessed on the basis of the contribution that these financial institutions have made to the community, to the government and to the national economy in the form of fund mobilization, service, employment, profit and discharging their accountability towards the government.
- 4) The measurement of liquidity position of SCBNL and NABIL has revealed that the current ratio of both the financial institutions stands below the normal standard of 2:1. In other words, from the working capital point of view; both the financial institutions are following an aggressive working capital policy. Though these banks are not able to put up with the standard ratio, but it cannot be said that these banks are not able to pay their short-term obligations. Keeping the standard ratio of combined mean of both of these banks, the performance of NABIL can be considered the better one among the two. As per the mean ratio of liquid fund to current liability, liquid fund to total deposit ratio of SCBNL is higher than NABIL. While cash

and bank balance to interest sensitive deposit ratio of NABIL is higher than SCBNL, which means that SCBNL can better serve the unanticipated demand of current liability and that it can better survive even in an adverse condition than NABIL.

- 5) Analysis of total assets to total liabilities and loans and advances to total assets ratio suggests the performance of NABIL is the best. It is always recommended to have higher total assets to total liabilities ratio since it signifies the overall increase of credit and overall development of the financial institutions. Against the performance of SCBNL in loans and advances to total assets ratio, it has a poor performance as compare to NABIL. These ratios in aggregate indicate that SCBNL might be shifting its business to other fee based activities and reducing its volume of loans and advances.
- 6) Investments are generally considered safer than loans & advances. Lack of lending opportunities and deteriorating economic growth may have led financial institutions to look for safer means of fund mobilization. Investments to loans & advances and investments indicate that SCBNL is more inclined towards investing their funds in investments than in loans & advances than NABIL. But, safety does not provide with satisfactory return. Analysis of loans & advances and investment to total deposit ratio indicate that both SCBNL and NABIL have been able to utilize their resources of assets satisfactorily. Comparatively, loans and advances to total deposit ratio of NABIL is higher than that of SCBNL. This implies that NABIL is more efficiently utilizing the funds in extending credit for profit generation.
- 7) Similarly, the ratio of performing assets to total assets of SCBNL is higher than that of NABIL. So, clearly SCBNL is also efficiently utilizing their assets in profit generating activities. The ratio of non-performing assets to total assets shows that NABIL has higher ratio than SCBNL. The ratio of return of loan and advances show that SCBNL is more successful and efficient in mobilizing their assets in productive sector than NABIL.
- 8) The ratio analysis of loan loss of both the financial institutions

indicates both of them have same ratio. Loan loss ratio provides a cushion for financial institutions in case of borrower's default in repayment of loans. Both the banks have lower value of bad loans, which suggest that these banks have been managing the risk assets very efficiently.

- 9) The major source of operating income of these financial institutions is from interest, and the analysis shows that ratio of interest earned to total income and interest expenses to total deposit of NABIL is higher than that of SCBNL. Which proves that NABIL is successful in generating more income from the funds mobilized as loans and advances, while on the other hand, it is also paying more interest to its depositors. SCBNL, being a pioneer in the modern technology and modern banking, has succeeded in collecting the fund in the cheapest possible price. Thus, the ratio of interest earned to interest expense of SCBNL confirms that it is more successful in earning more operating income than NABIL.
- 10) Analysis of the ratio of sector-wise distribution of loans & advances and investments of SCBNL and NABIL shows that flow of loans & advances in government sector are low against the flow of loans and advances in private sector. The contribution of both these financial institutions in private sector is appreciable, but the increase credit in this sector is indispensable for the national development. However the movement of government securities against shares and debentures in investments is high.
- 11) The absolute measures of lending strength have revealed that mean volume of net assets, deposits, loans and advances, investments, interest earned, provision for doubtful debts and net profit of SCBNL is higher with moderate variation against the performance of NABIL. The C.V of NABIL is highest in almost of the cases and this has significantly differed from the C.V of SCBNL. This indicates that there is a high degree of variation in the performance of NABIL. The variation has caused due to the increasing trend of various components in the performance of NABIL. Compared to NABIL, SCBNL has less degree of

variation, except in net assets. The volume contributed by SCBNL in case of investments is highly appreciable as compared to its net assets. This concludes that SCBNL is not quite positive towards increasing its assets in loans and advances.

- 12) Trend analysis experimented above has revealed that the performance of SCBNL in almost all the cases is better than NABIL, where the average growth rate of NABIL is higher than SCBNL. The slope of trend line is the higher for NABIL in all the variables measured. Especially in recent years, NABIL increasing efficiency in deposit collection and loans & advances and investments has placed this bank in better position. The high degree of growth in loans & advances and investments has kept NABIL in the better position in the field of lending.
- 13) SCBNL and NABIL are likely to increase Rs.2890.82 million and Rs.1822.73 million of net assets in the period of ten years experimented above. Similarly, these two financial institutions will likely to reach Rs.30975.36 million and Rs.18900.36 million in deposits. And Rs.16434.64 million and Rs.16554.95 million in loans and advances, Rs.17071.00 million and Rs.2841.39 million in investments. And net profit for SCBNL and NABIL in the period of ten years will reach at Rs.929.56 million and Rs.297.99 million.
- 14) The growth ratio of deposits is higher in comparison to loans and advances, and with limited investing and lending opportunities; the liquidity position of these two financial institutions is likely to increase in the near future. Even though, increase of deposits certainly gives more possibility in increasing its credit, but if the existing condition of the country does not turn toward the betterment, then the liquidity caused by the overflow of deposits could cause major impact in the profitability of these financial institutions.
- 15) The correlation calculated between variables has generally shown high degree of significant correlation between them except in the case of provision for doubtful debts & loans and advances. The highest correlation of NABIL in respect of deposits and loans & advances, interest earned and net profit and

provision for doubtful debts and loans & advances are the indicative of good performance of NABIL in generating loans and advances.

5.3 Recommendation:

Since these financial institutions are using public's hard-earned savings and that the performance of these institutions serve as the backbone of the economic development of the country, it makes it even more crucial to highlight and carry out corrective action plans to overcome any weaknesses and unsatisfactory policies. Although, these financial institutions have many years of commercial experience in the banking sector and expert management team to look into these matter, some weaknesses have come into light through the study and possible recommendations have been forwarded.

1. Expansion of banking services in rural areas:

The liquidity position of both the financial institutions cannot be put in the satisfactory level, as both the banks have the capacity and resource to perform better than their current performance. They are recommended to look upon new field of lending and investment. As stated earlier, a large portion of Nepalese economy is still untouched by its services. Thus, these financial institutions are recommended to explore and expand their credit in those areas. The government should also initiate appropriate environment to attract and motivate these financial institutions to invest in rural economy.

2. Utilization of resources:

Since the entire economy is largely dependent on the proper execution of lending functions by financial institutions, the negative tendency towards lending affects the performance of all the banks in the long run. It is recommended that SCBNL should be more liberal in utilizing its deposits in loans and advances for profit generation. Both the financial institutions, especially NABIL are recommended to utilize their assets in performing assets at their best.

3. Prudent lending policy:

The increasing provision for non-performing loans is not helping the overall performance and the profitability of the financial institutions.

Careful evaluation of the probable borrowers, identification of the probable risk before granting loans, helps in decreasing the volume of downgraded loans. All the financial institutions are recommended to follow the guidelines formulated by the central bank (NRB), and timely and proper actions may reduce many of the credit risk arising from the borrower's defaulter, lack of credit appraisal, black listed borrowers.

4. Ideal portfolio management:

Portfolio management of the financial institutions basically means the proper allocation of fund in different components of banking assets having different degrees of risk and varying rate of return in such a way that maximum profit can be achieved. And the portfolio of SCBNL cannot be regarded as the best mix of lending and investments even though the ratio of investment to total loan & advances and investments has been decreasing every year, which, however, is very nominal. The portfolio situation of all the financial institutions should analyze carefully in different time intervals and alternations should be made to maintain equilibrium in the portfolio management as far as possible.

5. Decrease spread rate:

According to NRB guidelines, the gap between interest charged and interest offered should not be more than 5%. And as the ratio shows, the interest gap of SCBNL between interests earned and interest expenses is highly unfavorable. Thus, SCBNL is recommended to minimize the gap by allowing higher interest rate in deposits. Minimizing this gap results in high volume of deposits and loans & advances and helps in increasing the sustainable lending practice.

6. Effective recovery mechanism:

The inappropriate and haphazard procedure in lending has been a major cause for the increasing trend of non-performing assets for most of the financial institutions operating in Nepal. So, it is recommended to all the financial institutions to exercise a suitable mechanism through which the overdue loans can be recovered timely. And for that purpose, all the financial institutions are advised to enact special "loan recovery act".

7. Innovation of new products:

To achieve desired result in today's cutthroat competition, every single financial institution need to offer new and better products and services to its customers time and again. SCBNL is widely known for its innovative, personalized and customer oriented banking systems. Since NABIL is comparatively new in the field of banking system in Nepal against SCBNL, it has lately come up with very innovative and new products. Even though, it is recommended to NABIL to bring about new products and services to its customers regularly. And marketing is one of the best ways to inform about products and services and its features.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Books, Journals and Articles

Aryal, K.B. (2058). *Provisioning to Productive, Priority and Deprived Sector Credit Banking Promotion-13, A Journal of Banking Promotion Committee*, NRB.

Atreya, B. (n.d). *Financial Sector Regulations in SAARC countries, Economic Integration in South Asia*. NRB, Research Department and International finance division.

Banking and Financial Statistics. (2006, Jan). NRB, Bank and Financial Institutions Regulations Department, Policy Planning, Statistics, and Banking Promotion division.

Banking and Financial Statistics. (2007, Jan). NRB, Bank and Financial Institutions Regulations Department, Policy Planning, Statistics, and Banking Promotion division.

Banking and Financial Statistics. (2008, Jan). NRB, Bank and Financial Institutions Regulations Department, Policy Planning, Statistics, and Banking Promotion division.

Bhattacharya,H.(1998). *Banking Strategy, Credit Appraisal and Lending Decisions- A Risk Return Framework*, (1st ed). India :Oxford University.

Cross, H.D. (1963). *Management Policies for Commercial banks*. (2nd ed). New Delhi. Prentice Hall of India.

Dongol, R.M.(2052). *Management Accounts*, Kathmandu: Taleju Publication.

Economic Report, A journal of Research Department. (2000/01). NRB.

Gerald, H. O. *Banks Investments and Funds Management.* (2nd ed). Delhi: Macmillan India.

Joshi, P.R.(2061). *Research Methodology.* (2nd ed). Kathmandu: Buddha Academics Enterprises.

Khubchandani, *B.S. Practice of Law of Banking,* (1st ed). New Delhi: Macmillan India.

Nabil Bank Ltd. Annual Report, 2005-2010

NRB Directive No. 1 of Circular No. 71/058, September 14, 2001

Pandey,C. (2009). *Regulatory Provision of the Banks and Financial Institution and its Impact on Economy, Banking Promotion-13, A Journal of Banking Promotion Committee.* NRB.

Quarterly Economic Bulletin. Mid-April/Mid- July 2009. NRB.

Rao, B. R. (1987). *Current Trends in Indian Banking.* New Delhi: Deep and Deep Publications.

Robinson, R. (1962). *The Management of Banks Funds.* (2nd ed). New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company Inc.

Shrestha, S. (1993). *Investment Planning of Commercial Banks in Nepal.* Ph.D. Thesis.

Singh S.P and Singh S. (1983). *Financial Analysis for Credit Management in Banks*. New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House Ltd.

Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd. Annual Report, 2005-2010

The Himalayan Times. (2010 July 15). Pg. 11.

Timilsina, Y.(2053). *Banking Business in Nepal*. (3rd ed). Kathmandu :Ratna Pustak Bhandar.

Unpublished Dissertations

Adhikari, S. (2009). *Credit Management of Siddhartha Bikash Bank Ltd*. An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Bhattarai, S.(2005). *Implementation of Directives Issued By NRB. A Comparative Study of Nepal SBI Bank Ltd. and NBB Ltd., with Respect to Capital Adequacy, Loan Classification and Provisioning*. An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Dhital, R. (2004). *A Comparative Study in Investment Policy of Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd. and Bank of Kathmandu*. An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Khadka, A. (2005). *Investment Portfolio Analysis of Joint Venture Banks*, An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Lamsal, B. (2006). *Credit Practices; A Study on NABIL Bank Ltd, SCBNL and Himalayan Bank Ltd*. An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Neupane, S. (2010). *Credit Policy Analysis of Commercial Bank with Reference to Everest Bank Ltd.* An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Ojha, L.P. (2004). *Lending Practices, a study of NABIL Bank Ltd., Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd. and Himalayan Bank Ltd.* An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Paudel, U. (2007). *Credit Policy of Commercial Banks in Nepal.* An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Sejuwal, K. (2008). *A Comparative Study on Credit Management of Commercial Banks, with special reference to NABIL and HBL.* An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

Shrestha, S. (2006). *NRB Guidelines on Investment Policy of Commercial Banks in Nepal, A Case Study of Nepal Investment Bank Ltd.* An unpublished Master's Thesis. Tribhuvan University.

WEBSITES

www.nepalstock.com

www.nrb.org.np

www.answers.com

www.investopedia.com

www.sebon.com

www.google.com

www.nabilbank.com.np

www.standardchartered.com.np

Appendices

Appendix-1

From the Capital and Liabilities Side of the Balance Sheet
(Rs. In Million)

Current Deposits					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	5768.62	5816.94	4356.34	4681.94	4794.53
NABIL	235.84	278.48	233.16	391.87	510.20

(Rs. In Million)

Saving Deposits					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	10633.16	12771.83	13030.93	14597.67	15244.38
NABIL	734.09	1280.48	2024.26	2797.42	3335.67

(Rs. In Million)

Fixed Deposits					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	1948.60	1428.50	1416.38	2136.31	3196.49
NABIL	1143.04	2083.07	2930.62	4064.50	4074.56

(Rs. In Million)

Non Interest Payable Deposits					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	5988.68	6020.13	4605.13	5191.36	5280.64
NABIL	301.14	366.70	296.59	444.81	591.81

(Rs. In Million)

Total Deposits					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	18755.64	21161.46	19363.47	23061.03	24647.02
NABIL	3144.33	5146.49	6241.38	8765.95	10068.23

(Rs. In Million)

Current Liabilities					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	19569.38	22086.21	20199.26	24013.21	26480.34
NABIL	3485.42	5309.68	6826.20	9406.19	10560.84

(Rs. In Million)

Total Liabilities					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	19631.59	22146.33	20199.27	24013.21	26480.35
NABIL	3485.42	5309.68	6826.39	9617.14	10760.84

(Rs. In Million)

Loan Loss Provision					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	304.34	283.62	277.66	270.86	287.51
NABIL	143.34	181.95	197.64	246.16	187.25

From the Assets Side of the Balance Sheet

(Rs. In Million)

Cash and Bank Balance					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	1512.30	2023.16	3370.81	3283.51	3782.17
NABIL	318.06	319.30	1010.38	1102.65	762.77

(Rs. In Million)

Liquid Fund					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	3170.00	4241.80	3371.08	3254.51	3782.17
NABIL	348.00	448.80	1100.27	1103.25	762.77

(Rs. In Million)

Current Assets					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	20797.6	23494.63	21216.57	25027.49	27838.04
NABIL	3902.14	5345.37	7384.88	10238.53	11303.65

(Rs. In Million)

Investments					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	10357.68	11360.33	9702.55	12838.56	13553.23
NABIL	1153.26	1760.73	1572.90	2479.91	1599.48

(Rs. In Million)

Total Assets					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	21000.50	23642.06	21781.68	25767.35	28596.69
NABIL	4037.51	5930.07	7510.39	10383.60	11678.83

(Rs. In Million)

Loans and Advances					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	5695.82	6410.24	8143.20	8935.42	10502.64
NABIL	2419.52	3561.14	4711.71	6655.96	8941.40

From Profit and Loss Account
(Rs. In Million)

Interest Expenses					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	255.13	272.24	254.13	303.20	413.06
NABIL	142.60	183.59	225.99	340.22	421.37

(Rs. In Million)

Total Income					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	1499.21	1578.35	1285.54	1418.24	1558.01
NABIL	327.76	416.67	292.51	314.83	410.91

(Rs. In Million)

Total Interest Earned					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	1001.36	1042.18	1058.68	1189.60	1411.98
NABIL	291.14	363.04	457.61	579.98	725.82

(Rs. In Million)

Private Sector Loan					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	5126.22	5769.22	7328.88	8041.88	9452.37
NABIL	2177.57	3205.03	4240.54	5990.36	8047.26

(Rs. In Million)

Government Sector Loan					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	569.58	641.02	814.32	893.54	1050.26
NABIL	241.95	356.11	471.17	665.59	894.14

(Rs. In Million)

Government Securities					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	6722.80	7948.20	9702.55	12847.54	13553.23
NABIL	1075.20	1235.30	1572.90	2479.91	1599.48

(Rs. In Million)

Shares and Debentures					
Banks	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SCBNL	3634.90	3412.10	2259.69	1977.27	1761.15
NABIL	78.10	525.40	89.88	353.52	163.01

Appendix-2

2A CALCULATION OF CURRENT RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	CURRENT ASSETS	CURRENT LIABILITIES	RATIO
2006/07	20797.60	19569.38	1.06
2007/08	23494.63	22086.21	1.06
2008/09	21216.57	20199.26	1.05
2009/10	25027.49	24013.21	1.04
2010/11	27838.04	26480.34	1.05
MEAN			1.05

NABIL

F/Y	CURRENT ASSETS	CURRENT LIABILITIES	RATIO
2006/07	3902.14	3485.42	1.12
2007/08	5345.37	5309.68	1.01
2008/09	7384.88	6826.2	1.08
2009/10	10238.53	9406.19	1.09
2010/11	11303.65	10560.84	1.07
MEAN			1.07

2B CALCULATION OF LIQUID FUND TO CURRENT LIABILITIES RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	LIQUID FUND	CURRENT LIABILITIES	RATIO
2006/07	3170.00	19569.38	0.16
2007/08	4241.80	22086.21	0.19
2008/09	3371.08	20199.26	0.17
2009/10	3254.51	24013.21	0.14
2010/11	3782.17	26480.34	0.14
MEAN			0.16

NABIL

F/Y	LIQUID FUND	CURRENT LIABILITIES	RATIO
2006/07	348.00	3485.42	0.10
2007/08	448.80	5309.68	0.08
2008/09	1100.27	6826.2	0.16
2009/10	1103.25	9406.19	0.12
2010/11	762.77	10560.84	0.07
MEAN			0.11

2C CALCULATION OF LIQUID FUND TO TOTAL DEPOSIT RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	LIQUID FUND	TOTAL DEPOSITS	RATIO
2006/07	3170.00	18755.64	0.17
2007/08	4241.80	21161.46	0.20
2008/09	3371.08	19363.47	0.17
2009/10	3254.51	23061.03	0.14
2010/11	3782.17	24647.02	0.15
MEAN			0.17

NABIL

F/Y	LIQUID FUND	TOTAL DEPOSITS	RATIO
2006/07	348.00	3144.33	0.11
2007/08	448.80	5146.49	0.09
2008/09	1100.27	6241.38	0.18
2009/10	1103.25	8765.95	0.13
2010/11	762.77	10068.23	0.08
MEAN			0.12

2D CALCULATION OF CASH & BANK BALANCE TO SAVINGS DEPOSIT RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	CASH & BANK BALANCE	SAVING DEPOSITS	RATIO
2006/07	1512.3	10633.16	0.14
2007/08	2023.16	12771.83	0.16
2008/09	3370.81	13030.93	0.26
2009/10	3283.51	14597.67	0.22
2010/11	3782.17	15244.38	0.25
MEAN			0.21

NABIL

F/Y	CASH & BANK BALANCE	SAVING DEPOSITS	RATIO
2006/07	318.06	734.09	0.43
2007/08	319.3	1280.48	0.25
2008/09	1010.38	2024.26	0.50
2009/10	1102.65	2797.42	0.39
2010/11	762.77	3335.67	0.23
MEAN			0.36

2E CALCULATION OF TOTAL ASSETS TO TOTAL LIABILITIES RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	TOTAL ASSETS	TOTAL LIABILITIES	RATIO
2006/07	21000.5	19631.59	1.07
2007/08	23642.06	22146.33	1.07
2008/09	21781.68	20199.27	1.08
2009/10	25767.35	24013.21	1.07
2010/11	28596.69	26480.35	1.08
MEAN			1.07

NABIL

F/Y	TOTAL ASSETS	TOTAL LIABILITES	RATIO
2006/07	4037.51	3485.42	1.16
2007/08	5930.07	5309.68	1.12
2008/09	7510.39	6826.39	1.10
2009/10	10383.6	9617.14	1.08
2010/11	11678.83	10760.84	1.09
MEAN			1.11

2F CALCULATION OF LOAN & ADVANCES TO TOTAL ASSETS RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	LOAN & ADVANCES	TOTAL ASSETS	RATIO
2006/07	5695.82	21000.50	0.27
2007/08	6410.24	23642.06	0.27
2008/09	8143.20	21781.68	0.37
2009/10	8935.42	25767.35	0.35
2010/11	10502.64	28596.69	0.37
MEAN			0.33

NABIL

F/Y	LOAN & ADVANCES	TOTAL ASSETS	RATIO
2006/07	2419.52	4037.51	0.60
2007/08	3561.14	5930.07	0.60
2008/09	4711.71	7510.39	0.63
2009/10	6655.96	10383.60	0.64
2010/11	8941.40	11678.83	0.77
MEAN			0.65

2G CALCULATION OF INVESTMENT TO TOTAL LOANS AND ADVANCES AND INVESTMENT RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	TOTAL INVESTMENT	LOANS AND ADVANCES & INVESTMENTS	RATIO
2006/07	10357.68	16053.5	0.65
2007/08	11360.33	17770.57	0.64
2008/09	9702.55	17845.75	0.54
2009/10	12838.56	21773.98	0.59
2010/11	13553.23	24055.87	0.56
MEAN			0.60

NABIL

F/Y	TOTAL INVESTMENT	LOANS AND ADVANCES & INVESTMENTS	RATIO
2006/07	1153.26	3572.78	0.32
2007/08	1760.73	5321.87	0.33
2008/09	1572.9	6284.61	0.25
2009/10	2479.91	9135.87	0.27
2010/11	1599.48	10540.88	0.15
MEAN			0.27

2 H CALCULATIONS OF LOANS AND ADVANCES & INVESTMENT TO TOTAL DEPOSIT RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	LOANS AND ADVANCES & INVESTMENTS	TOTAL DEPOSITS	RATIO
2006/07	16053.5	18755.64	0.86
2007/08	17770.57	21161.46	0.84
2008/09	17845.75	19363.47	0.92
2009/10	21773.98	23061.03	0.94
2010/11	24055.87	24647.02	0.98
MEAN			0.91

NABIL

F/Y	LOANS AND ADVANCES & INVESTMENTS	TOTAL DEPOSITS	RATIO
2006/07	3572.78	3144.33	1.14
2007/08	5321.87	5146.49	1.03
2008/09	6284.61	6241.38	1.01
2009/10	9135.87	8765.95	1.04
2010/11	10540.88	10068.23	1.05
MEAN			1.05

2I CALCULATION OF PERFORMING ASSETS TO TOTAL ASSETS RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	PERFORMING ASSETS	TOTAL ASSETS	RATIO
2006/07	17700.22	21000.5	0.84
2007/08	19977.98	23642.06	0.85
2008/09	18418.93	21781.68	0.85
2009/10	22965.12	25767.35	0.89
2010/11	25229.3	28596.69	0.88
MEAN			0.86

NABIL

F/Y	PERFORMING ASSETS	TOTAL ASSETS	RATIO
2006/07	3524.61	4037.51	0.87
2007/08	4926.08	5930.07	0.83
2008/09	4723.92	7510.39	0.63
2009/10	6722.57	10383.6	0.65
2010/11	9027.51	11678.83	0.77
MEAN			0.75

2J CALCULATION OF NON-PERFORMING ASSETS TO TOTAL ASSETS RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	NON-PERFORMING ASSETS	TOTAL ASSETS	RATIO
2006/07	3289.09	21000.5	0.16
2007/08	3652.89	23642.06	0.15
2008/09	3362.75	21781.68	0.15
2009/10	2802.23	25767.35	0.11
2010/11	3367.39	28596.69	0.12
MEAN			0.14

NABIL

F/Y	NON-PERFORMING ASSETS	TOTAL ASSETS	RATIO
2006/07	512.9	4037.51	0.13
2007/08	1003.99	5930.07	0.17
2008/09	2786.47	7510.39	0.37
2009/10	3661.03	10383.6	0.35
2010/11	2651.32	11678.83	0.23
MEAN			0.25

2K CALCULATION OF RETURN ON LOAN & ADVANCES RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	NET PROFIT	LOAN & ADVANCES	RATIO
2005/06	506.95	5695.82	0.09
2006/07	537.80	6410.24	0.08
2007/08	536.24	8143.2	0.07
2008/09	658.76	8935.42	0.07
2009/10	691.67	10502.64	0.07
MEAN			0.08

NABIL

F/Y	NET PROFIT	LOAN & ADVANCES	RATIO
2005/06	25.94	2419.52	0.01
2006/07	68.27	3561.14	0.02
2007/08	113.76	4711.71	0.02
2008/09	96.59	6655.96	0.01
2009/10	158.48	8941.4	0.02
MEAN			0.02

2L CALCULATION OF LOAN LOSS RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	LOAN PROVISION	TOTAL LOAN	RATIO
2006/07	304.34	5695.82	0.05
2007/08	283.62	6410.24	0.04
2008/09	277.66	8143.2	0.03
2009/10	270.86	8935.42	0.03
2010/11	287.51	10502.64	0.03
MEAN			0.04

NABIL

F/Y	LOAN PROVISION	TOTAL LOAN	RATIO
2006/07	143.34	2419.52	0.06
2007/08	181.95	3561.14	0.05
2008/09	197.64	4711.71	0.04
2009/10	246.16	6655.96	0.04
2010/11	187.25	8941.4	0.02
MEAN			0.04

2M CALCULATION OF INTEREST EARNED TO TOTAL INCOME OF

SCBNL

F/Y	INTEREST EARNED	TOTAL INCOME	RATIO
2006/07	1001.36	1499.21	0.67
2007/08	1042.18	1578.35	0.66
2008/09	1058.68	1285.54	0.69
2009/10	1189.6	1418.24	0.69
2010/11	1411.98	1558.01	0.72
MEAN			0.68

NABIL

F/Y	INTEREST EARNED	TOTAL INCOME	RATIO
2006/07	291.14	327.76	0.89
2007/08	363.04	416.67	0.87
2008/09	457.61	292.51	0.88
2009/10	579.98	314.83	0.89
2010/11	725.82	410.91	0.87
MEAN			0.88

2N CALCULATION OF INTEREST EXPENSES TO TOTAL DEPOSIT RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	INTEREST EXPENSES	TOTAL DEPOSIT	RATIO
2006/07	255.13	18755.64	0.01
2007/08	272.24	21161.46	0.01
2008/09	254.13	19363.47	0.01
2009/10	303.2	23061.03	0.01
2010/11	413.06	24647.02	0.02
MEAN			0.01

NABIL

F/Y	INTEREST EXPENSES	TOTAL DEPOSIT	RATIO
2006/07	142.6	3144.33	0.05
2007/08	183.59	5146.49	0.04
2008/09	225.99	6241.38	0.04
2009/10	340.22	8765.95	0.04
2010/11	421.37	10068.23	0.04
MEAN			0.04

2O CALCULATION OF INTEREST INCOME TO INTEREST EXPENSE RATIO OF

SCBNL

F/Y	INTEREST EARNED	INTEREST EXPENSES	RATIO
2006/07	1001.36	255.13	3.92
2007/08	1042.18	272.24	3.83
2008/09	1058.68	254.13	4.17
2009/10	1189.60	303.20	3.92
2010/11	1411.98	413.06	3.42
MEAN			3.85

NABIL

F/Y	INTEREST EARNED	INTEREST EXPENSES	RATIO
2006/07	291.14	142.60	2.04
2007/08	363.04	183.59	1.98
2008/09	457.61	225.99	2.02
2009/10	579.98	340.22	1.70
2010/11	725.82	421.37	1.72
MEAN			1.89

2P CALCULATION OF PRIVATE SECTOR LOANS TO TOTAL LOANS AND ADVANCES OF

SCBNL

F/Y	PRIVATE SECTOR LOAN	LOAN & ADVANCES	RATIO
2006/07	5126.22	5695.82	0.90
2007/08	5769.22	6410.24	0.90
2008/09	7328.88	8143.2	0.90
2009/10	8041.88	8935.42	0.90
2010/11	9452.37	10502.64	0.90
MEAN			0.90

NABIL

F/Y	PRIVATE SECTOR LOAN	LOAN & ADVANCES	RATIO
2006/07	2177.57	2419.52	0.90
2007/08	3205.03	3561.14	0.90
2008/09	4240.54	4711.71	0.90
2009/10	5990.36	6655.96	0.90
2010/11	8047.26	8941.4	0.90
MEAN			0.90

2Q CALCULATION OF GOVERNMENT SECTOR LOANS TO TOTAL LOANS AND ADVANCES OF

SCBNL

F/Y	GOVERNMENT SECTOR LOAN	LOAN & ADVANCES	RATIO
2006/07	569.58	5695.82	0.10
2007/08	641.02	6410.24	0.10
2008/09	814.32	8143.2	0.10
2009/10	893.54	8935.42	0.10
2010/11	1050.26	10502.64	0.10
MEAN			0.10

NABIL

F/Y	GOVERNMENT SECTOR LOAN	LOAN & ADVANCES	RATIO
2006/07	241.95	2419.52	0.10
2007/08	356.11	3561.14	0.10
2008/09	471.17	4711.71	0.10
2009/10	665.59	6655.96	0.10
2010/11	894.14	8941.4	0.10
MEAN			0.10

2R CALCULATION OF RATIO OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES TO TOTAL INVESTMENTS OF

SCBNL

F/Y	GOVERNMENT SECURITIES	INVESTMENTS	RATIO
2006/07	6722.80	10357.68	0.65
2007/08	7948.20	11360.33	0.70
2008/09	7203.06	9702.55	0.74
2009/10	8644.86	12838.56	0.67
2010/11	7107.94	13553.23	0.52
MEAN			0.66

NABIL

F/Y	GOVERNMENT SECURITIES	INVESTMENTS	RATIO
2006/07	1075.20	1153.26	0.93
2007/08	1235.30	1760.73	0.70
2008/09	1194.31	1572.90	0.76
2009/10	1756.59	2479.91	0.71
2010/11	1104.06	1599.48	0.69
MEAN			0.76

2S CALCULATION OF RATIO OF SHARES AND DEBENTURES TO TOTAL INVESTMENTS OF

SCBNL

F/Y	SHARE & DEBENTURES	INVESTMENTS	RATIO
2006/07	3634.88	10357.68	0.30
2007/08	3412.13	11360.33	0.26
2008/09	2499.49	9702.55	0.33
2009/10	4193.70	12838.56	0.48
2010/11	6445.29	13553.23	0.34
MEAN			2.05

NABIL

F/Y	SHARE & DEBENTURES	INVESTMENTS	RATIO
2006/07	78.06	1153.26	0.07
2007/08	525.43	1760.73	0.30
2008/09	378.59	1572.90	0.24
2009/10	723.32	2479.91	0.29
2010/11	495.42	1599.48	0.31
MEAN			0.24

Appendix-3

Trend Analysis

3.A Calculation of the trend line of net assets of:

SCBNL

Fiscal Year (X)	Net Assets (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	1368.91	-2	4	-2737.82	1312.85
2007/08	1495.73	-1	1	-1495.73	1488.18
2008/09	1582.43	0	0	0	1663.51
2009/10	1754.14	1	1	1754.14	1838.84
2010/11	2116.35	2	4	4232.7	2014.17
n=5	ΣY=8317.56	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=-1753.29	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{8317.56}{5} = 1663.51$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{-1753.29}{10} = -175.33$$

Trend values of Net Assets for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008/09	Trend Values
2011/12	3	2,189.50
2012/13	4	2,364.83
2013/14	5	2,540.16
2014/15	6	2,715.49
2015/16	7	2,890.82

NABIL

Fiscal Year (X)	Net Assets (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	552.09	-2	4	-1104.18	492.65
2007/08	620.39	-1	1	-620.39	640.44
2008/09	684.19	0	0	0	788.22
2009/10	966.46	1	1	966.46	936.01
2010/11	1117.99	2	4	1485.62	1083.80
n=5	ΣY=3941.12	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=1477.87	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{3941.12}{5} = 788.22$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{1477.87}{10} = 147.79$$

Trend values of Net Assets for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008/09	Trend Values
2011/12	3	1231.59
2012/13	4	1379.37
2013/14	5	1527.16
2014/15	6	1674.95
2015/16	7	1822.73

3.B Calculation of the trend line of Deposits of:

SCBNL

Fiscal Year (X)	Deposits (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	18755.64	-2	4	-37511.28	18661.26
2007/08	21161.46	-1	1	-21161.46	20029.49
2008/09	19363.47	0	0	0	21397.72
2009/10	23061.03	1	1	23061.03	22765.96
2010/11	24647.02	2	4	49294.04	24134.19
n=5	ΣY=106988.62	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=13682.33	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{106988.62}{5} = 21397.72$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{13682.33}{10} = 1368.23$$

Trend values of Deposits for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008/09	Trend Values
2011/12	3	25502.42
2012/13	4	26870.65
2013/14	5	28238.89
2014/15	6	29607.12
2015/16	7	30975.36

NABIL

Fiscal Year (X)	Deposits (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	3144.33	-2	4	-6288.66	3179.82
2007/08	5146.49	-1	1	-5146.49	4926.55
2008/09	6241.38	0	0	0	6673.28
2009/10	8765.95	1	1	8765.95	8420.00
2010/11	10068.23	2	4	20136.46	10166.73
n=5	ΣY=33366.38	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=17467.26	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{33366.38}{5} = 6673.27$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{17467.26}{10} = 1746.73$$

Trend values of Deposits for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008/09	Trend Values
2011/12	3	11913.45
2012/13	4	13660.18
2013/14	5	15406.91
2014/15	6	17153.63
2015/16	7	18900.36

3.C Calculation of the trend line of Loans and Advances of:

SCBNL

Fiscal Year (X)	Loans & Advances (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	5695.82	-2	4	-11391.64	5509.70
2007/08	6410.24	-1	1	-6410.24	6723.58
2008/09	8143.20	0	0	0	7937.46
2009/10	8935.42	1	1	8935.42	9151.35
2010/11	10502.64	2	4	21005.28	10365.23
n=5	ΣY=39687.32	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=12138.82	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{39687.32}{5} = 7937.46$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{12138.82}{10} = 1213.88$$

Trend values of Loans & Advances for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008/09	Trend Values
2011/12	3	11,579.11
2012/13	4	12,792.99
2013/14	5	14,006.87
2014/15	6	15,220.76
2015/16	7	16,434.64

NABIL

Fiscal Year (X)	Loans & Advances (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	2419.52	-2	4	-4839.04	2030.23
2007/08	3561.14	-1	1	-3561.14	3644.09
2008/09	4711.71	0	0	0	5257.95
2009/10	6655.96	1	1	6655.96	6871.80
2010/11	8941.40	2	4	17882.8	8485.66
n=5	ΣY=26289.73	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=16138.58	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{26289.73}{5} = 5257.95$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{16138.58}{10} = 1613.86$$

Trend values of Loans & Advances for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008	Trend Values
2011/12	3	10099.52
2012/13	4	11713.38
2013/14	5	13327.24
2014/15	6	14941.09
2015/16	7	16554.95

3.D Calculation of the trend line of Investments of:

Fiscal Year (X)	Investments (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	10357.68	-2	4	-20715.36	9988.60
2007/08	11360.33	-1	1	-11360.33	10775.54
2008/09	9702.55	0	0	0	11562.47
2009/10	12838.56	1	1	12838.56	12349.40
2010/11	13553.23	2	4	27106.46	13136.34
n=5	ΣY=57812.35	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=7869.33	

SCBNL

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{57812.35}{5} = 11562.47$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{7869.33}{10} = 786.93$$

Trend values of Investments for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008	Trend Values
2011/12	3	13,923.27
2012/13	4	14,710.20
2013/14	5	15,497.14
2014/15	6	16,284.07
2015/16	7	17,071.00

NABIL

Fiscal Year (X)	Investments (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	1153.26	-2	4	-2306.52	1390.93
2007/08	1760.73	-1	1	-1760.73	1552.09
2008/09	1572.90	0	0	0	1713.26
2009/10	2479.91	1	1	2479.91	1874.42
2010/11	1599.48	2	4	3198.96	2035.58
n=5	ΣY=8566.28	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=1611.62	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{8566.28}{5} = 1713.26$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{1611.62}{10} = 161.16$$

Trend values of Investments for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x= X-2008/09	Trend Values
2011/12	3	2196.74
2012/13	4	2357.90
2013/14	5	2519.07
2014/15	6	2680.23
2015/16	7	2841.39

3.E Calculation of the trend line of Net Profit of:

SCBNL

Fiscal Year (X)	Net Profit (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	506.95	-2	4	-1013.9	488.20
2007/08	537.80	-1	1	-537.8	537.24
2008/09	536.24	0	0	0	586.28
2009/10	658.76	1	1	658.76	635.32
2010/11	691.67	2	4	1383.34	684.36
n=5	ΣY=2931.42	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=490.40	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{2931.42}{5} = 586.24$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{490.40}{10} = 49.04$$

Trend values of Net Profit fo2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008/09	Trend Values
2011/12	3	733.40
2012/13	4	782.44
2013/14	5	831.48
2014/15	6	880.52
2015/16	7	929.56

NABIL

Fiscal Year (X)	Net Profit (Y)	x=X-2008/09	x ²	xY	Yc=a+bx
2006/07	25.94	-2	4	-51.88	33.93
2007/08	68.27	-1	1	-68.27	63.27
2008/09	113.76	0	0	0	92.61
2009/10	96.59	1	1	96.59	121.95
2010/11	158.48	2	4	316.96	151.29
n=5	ΣY=463.04	Σx=0	Σx ² =10	ΣxY=293.40	

Now,

$$a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{463.04}{5} = 92.61$$

$$b = \frac{\sum xY}{\sum x^2} = \frac{293.40}{10} = 29.34$$

Trend values of Net Profit for 2011-16

Fiscal Year (X)	x=X-2008/09	Trend Values
2011/12	3	180.63
2012/13	4	209.97
2013/14	5	239.31
2014/15	6	268.65
2015/16	7	297.99

Appendix-4

Correlation Coefficient:

4A. Correlation between Deposit and Loans & Advances of:

SCBNL

F/Y	Deposit (X)	Loans & Advances (Y)	x=(X- \bar{X})	y=(Y- \bar{Y})	x ²	y ²	xy
2006/07	18755.64	5695.82	-2,642.08	-2,241.64	6,980,607.86	5,024,967.82	5,922,611.75
2007/08	21161.46	6410.24	-236.26	-1,527.22	55,820.68	2,332,413.15	360,828.05
2008/09	19363.47	8143.20	-2,034.25	205.74	4,138,189.34	42,327.30	-418,519.28
2009/10	23061.03	8935.42	1,663.31	997.96	2,766,586.85	995,916.18	1,659,906.20
2010/11	24647.02	10502.64	3,249.30	2,565.18	10,557,924.50	6,580,127.91	8,335,016.12
n=5	$\sum X =$ 106988.62	$\sum Y =$ 39,687.32			$\sum x^2 =$ 24499129.22	$\sum y^2 =$ 14975752.36	$\sum xy =$ 15859842.83

$$\sum X = 106988.62$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{106988.62}{5} = 21397.72$$

$$\sum x^2 = 2449129.22$$

$$\sum Y = 39687.32$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{39687.32}{5} = 7937.46$$

$$\sum y^2 = 14975752.36$$

$$\sum xy = 59842.83$$

Correlation of Coefficient can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$$

$$r = \frac{15859842.83}{\sqrt{24499129.22} \sqrt{14975752.36}}$$

$$r = 0.828 \qquad r^2 = 0.686$$

Calculation of Probable Error:

$$P.E(r) = 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - 0.686}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$P.E(r) = 0.095$$

$$6P.E(r) = 0.569$$

NABIL

F/Y	Deposit (X)	Loans & Advances (Y)	x= \bar{X} (X-X)	y= \bar{Y} (Y- Y)	x ²	y ²	xy
2006/07	3144.33	2419.52	- 18,253.3 9	- 5,517.9 4	333,186,39 2.52	30,447,70 5.99	100,721,20 5.90
2007/08	5146.49	3561.14	- 16,251.2 3	- 4,376.3 2	264,102,60 6.52	19,152,21 1.75	71,120,665 .38
2008/09	6241.38	4711.71	- 15,156.3 4	- 3,225.7 5	229,714,76 3.45	10,405,48 8.87	48,890,637 .28
2009/10	8765.95	6655.96	- 12,631.7 7	- 1,281.5 0	159,561,71 4.39	1,642,252. 50	16,187,668 .91
2010/11	10068.23	8941.40	- 11,329.4 9	- 1,003.9 4	128,357,43 4.30	1,007,887. 49	- 11,374,086 .89
n=5	$\sum X =$ 33366.38	$\sum Y =$ 26289.73			$\sum x^2 =$ 111492291 1.17	$\sum y^2 =$ 62655546. 60	$\sum xy =$ 225546090 .59

$$\sum X = 33366.38$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{33366.38}{5} = 6673.28$$

$$\sum x^2 = 111492291.17$$

$$\sum Y = 26289.73$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{26289.73}{5} = 5257.95$$

$$\sum y^2 = 62655546.60$$

$$\sum xy = 225546090.59$$

Correlation of Coefficient can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$$

$$r = \frac{225546090.59}{\sqrt{111492291.17} \sqrt{62655546.60}}$$

$$r = 0.85 \quad r^2 = 0.728$$

Calculation of Probable Error:

$$P.E(r) = 0.6745 \times \frac{1-r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - 0.728}{\sqrt{5}}$$

P.E(r) = 0.082 6P.E(r) = 0.492

4B. Correlation between Provision for Doubtful Debts and Loans & Advances of:

SCBNL

F/Y	Loans & Advances (X)	Provision for Doubtful Debts (Y)	x=(X- \bar{X})	y=(Y- \bar{Y})	x ²	y ²	xy
2006/07	5695.82	304.34	- 2241.64	19.54	5024967.82	381.89	- 43806.21
2007/08	6410.24	283.62	-1527.22	-1.18	2332413.15	1.39	1799.07
2008/09	8143.20	277.66	205.74	-7.14	42327.30	50.95	- 1468.54
2009/10	8935.42	270.86	997.96	-13.94	995916.18	194.27	- 13909.51
2010/11	10502.64	287.51	2565.18	2.71	6580127.91	7.35	6956.76
n=5	$\sum X = 39687.32$	$\sum Y = 1423.99$			$\sum x^2 = 14975752.36$	$\sum y^2 = 635.85$	$\sum xy = -50428.43$

$$\sum X = 39687.32$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{39687.32}{5} = 7937.46$$

$$\sum x^2 = 14975752.36$$

$$\sum Y = 1423.99$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{1423.99}{5} = 284.80$$

$$\sum y^2 = 635.85$$

$$\sum xy = -50428.43$$

Correlation of Coefficient can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$$

$$r = \frac{-50428.43}{\sqrt{14975752.36} \sqrt{635.85}}$$

$$r = -0.517 \quad r^2 = 0.267$$

Calculation of Probable Error:

$$\begin{aligned} P.E(r) &= 0.6745 \times \frac{1-r^2}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &= 0.6745 \times \frac{1-0.267}{\sqrt{5}} \end{aligned}$$

$$P.E(r) = 0.221$$

$$6P.E(r) = 1.327$$

NABIL

F/Y	Loans & Advances (X)	Provision for Doubtful Debts (Y)	x= (X- \bar{X})	y= (Y- \bar{Y})	x ²	y ²	xy
2006/07	2419.52	143.34	-5,517.94	-141.46	30447705.99	20010.37	780557.32
2007/08	3561.14	181.95	-4376.32	-102.85	19152211.75	10577.71	450096.17
2008/09	4711.71	197.64	-3225.75	-87.16	10405488.87	7596.52	281150.27
2009/10	6655.96	246.16	-1281.50	-38.64	1642252.50	1492.90	49514.75
2010/11	8941.40	187.25	1003.94	-97.55	1007887.49	9515.61	-97931.95
n=5	$\sum X = 26289.73$	$\sum Y = 956.34$			$\sum x^2 = 62655546.60$	$\sum y^2 = 49193.10$	$\sum xy = 1463386.56$

$$\sum X = 26289.73$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{26289.73}{5} = 5257.95$$

$$\sum x^2 = 62655546.60$$

$$\sum Y = 956.34$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{956.34}{5} = 191.27$$

$$\sum y^2 = 49193.10$$

$$\sum xy = 1463386.56$$

Correlation of Coefficient can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$$

$$r = \frac{1463386.56}{\sqrt{62655546.60} \sqrt{49193.10}}$$

$$r = 0.834 \quad r^2 = 0.695$$

Calculation of Probable Error:

$$P.E(r) = 0.6745 \times \frac{1-r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= 0.6745 \times \frac{1-0.695}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$P.E(r) = 0.092 \quad 6P.E(r) = 0.552$$

4C. Correlation between Interest Earned and Net Profit of:

SCBNL

F/Y	Interest Earned (X)	Net Profit (Y)	x= (X- \bar{X})	y= (Y- \bar{Y})	x ²	y ²	xy
2006/07	1,001.36	506.95	-139.40	-79.33	19,432.36	6,293.88	11,059.16
2007/08	1,042.18	537.8	-98.58	-48.48	9,718.02	2,350.70	4,779.55
2008/09	1,058.68	536.24	-82.08	-50.04	6,737.13	2,504.40	4,107.61
2009/10	1,189.60	658.76	48.84	72.48	2,385.35	5,252.77	3,539.73
2010/11	1,411.98	691.67	271.22	105.39	73,560.29	11,106.21	28,582.79
n=5	$\sum X = 5703.80$	$\sum Y = 2931.42$			$\sum x^2 = 111833.14$	$\sum y^2 = 27507.96$	$\sum xy = 52068.84$

$$\sum X = 5703.80$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{5703.80}{5} = 1140.76$$

$$\sum x^2 = 111833.14$$

$$\sum Y = 2931.42$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{2931.42}{5} = 586.28$$

$$\sum y^2 = 27507.96$$

$$\sum xy = 52068.84$$

Correlation of Coefficient can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$$

$$r = \frac{52068.84}{\sqrt{111833.14} \sqrt{27507.96}}$$

$$r = 0.939 \qquad r^2 = 0.881$$

Calculation of Probable Error:

$$P.E(r) = 0.6745 \times \frac{1-r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= 0.6745 \times \frac{1-0.881}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$P.E(r) = 0.036 \qquad 6P.E(r) = 0.215$$

NABIL

F/Y	Interest Earned (X)	Net Profit (Y)	x= (X- \bar{X})	y= (Y- \bar{Y})	x ²	y ²	xy
2006/07	291.14	25.94	-849.62	-560.34	721,854.14	313,985.40	476,079.47
2007/08	363.04	68.27	-777.72	-518.01	604,848.40	268,338.50	402,869.85
2008/09	457.61	113.76	-683.15	-472.52	466,693.92	223,278.93	322,804.77
2009/10	579.98	96.59	-560.78	-489.69	314,474.21	239,800.21	274,610.60
2010/11	725.82	158.48	-414.94	-427.80	172,175.20	183,016.26	177,512.99
n=5	$\sum X =$ 2417.59	$\sum Y =$ 463 .04			$\sum x^2 =$ 2280045.88	$\sum y^2 =$ 1228419.31	$\sum xy =$ 1653877.68

$$\sum X = 2417.59$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{2417.59}{5} = 483.52$$

$$\sum x^2 = 2280045.88$$

$$\sum Y = 463.04$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{463.04}{5} = 92.61$$

$$\sum y^2 = 1228419.31$$

$$\sum xy = 1653877.68$$

Correlation of Coefficient can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$$

$$r = \frac{1653877.68}{\sqrt{2280045.88} \sqrt{1228419.31}}$$

$$r = 0.988 \quad r^2 = 0.977$$

Calculation of Probable Error:

$$P.E(r) = 0.6745 \times \frac{1-r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= 0.6745 \times \frac{1-0.977}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$P.E(r) = 0.007 \quad 6P.E(r) = 0.042$$

4D. Correlation between Net Profit and Loans & Advances of:

SCBNL

F/Y	Loans & Advances (X)	Net Profit (Y)	x= (X- \bar{X})	y= (Y- \bar{Y})	x ²	y ²	xy
2006/07	5695.82	506.95	-2,241.64	-79.33	5,024,967.82	6,293.88	177,838.59
2007/08	6410.24	537.8	-1,527.22	-48.48	2,332,413.15	2,350.70	74,045.93
2008/09	8143.2	536.24	205.74	-50.04	42,327.30	2,504.40	-10,295.85
2009/10	8935.42	658.76	997.96	72.48	995,916.18	5,252.77	72,327.86
2010/11	10502.64	691.67	2,565.18	105.39	6,580,127.91	11,106.21	270,333.64
n=5	$\sum X = 39687.32$	$\sum Y = 2931.42$			$\sum x^2 = 14975752.36$	$\sum y^2 = 27507.96$	$\sum xy = 584250.16$

$$\sum X = 39687.32$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{39687.32}{5} = 7937.46$$

$$\sum x^2 = 14975752.36$$

$$\sum Y = 2931.42$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{2931.42}{5} = 586.28$$

$$\sum y^2 = 27507.96$$

$$\sum xy = 584250.16$$

Correlation of Coefficient can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$$

$$r = \frac{584250.16}{\sqrt{14975752.36} \sqrt{27507.96}}$$

$$r = 0.910 \quad r^2 = 0.829$$

Calculation of Probable Error:

$$P.E(r) = 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - 0.829}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$P.E(r) = 0.052 \quad 6P.E(r) = 0.310$$

NABIL

F/Y	Loans & Advances (X)	Net Profit (Y)	$x = \bar{X}$ (X-X)	$y = \bar{Y}$ (Y- Y)	x^2	y^2	xy
2006/07	2419.52	25.94	-5,517.94	-560.34	30,447,705.99	313,985.40	3,091,946.81
2007/08	3561.14	68.27	-4,376.32	-518.01	19,152,211.75	268,338.50	2,266,997.10
2008/09	4711.71	113.76	-3,225.75	-472.52	10,405,488.87	223,278.93	1,524,246.18
2009/10	6655.96	96.59	-1,281.50	-489.69	1,642,252.50	239,800.21	627,544.82
2010/11	8941.40	158.48	1,003.94	-427.80	1,007,887.49	183,016.26	-429,487.84
n=5	$\sum X =$ 26289.73	$\sum Y =$ 463.04			$\sum x^2 =$ 62655546.60	$\sum y^2 =$ 1228419.31	$\sum xy =$ 7081247.08

$$\sum X = 26289.73$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{26289.73}{5} = 5257.95$$

$$\sum x^2 = 62655546.50$$

$$\sum Y = 463.04$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{463.04}{5} = 92.61$$

$$\sum y^2 = 1228419.31$$

$$\sum xy = 7081247.08$$

Correlation of Coefficient can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$$
$$r = \frac{7081247.08}{\sqrt{62655546.50} \sqrt{1228419.31}}$$
$$r = 0.807 \quad r^2 = 0.651$$

Calculation of Probable Error:

$$P.E(r) = 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - r^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$
$$= 0.6745 \times \frac{1 - 0.651}{\sqrt{5}}$$
$$P.E(r) = 0.105 \quad 6P.E(r) = 0.631$$