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#### Abstract

Variety of climatic conditions, topographic area, physiographic conditions, seasonal rain patterns, industrial areas, population affect water availability in those areas and the result directly appear on agriculture. It is well known that agriculture provides employment to about $80 \%$ of economically active population of Nepal, it increases GDP of country. The main cereal crops produced are used for food and fodders. So for maximum crop yield and systematic irrigation pattern, the availability of water should be known.

This study reviews calculation of amount of available surface runoff for major crops of Kaski district using Thronthwaite monthly water balance model. Kaski district is in center point of country with area of 2017 square kilometer. Its altitude ranges from 450 to 8091 masl and is covered mostly by forest, agriculture, snow, barren land, water resources. Paddy, maize, wheat and millet are major cereal crops cultivated in Kaski district. District receives maximum rainfall in July and minimum rainfall in November; Maximum temperature occurs in June and Minimum temperature occurs in January. The monthly surface runoff of Bhadaure, Ghandruk, Lamachour, Lumle, Pamdur, Pokhara Airport, Malepatan, Sikles and salyan is calculated using Thronthwaite monthly water balance model in millimeter. Blaney-Cridle climatic method is used for calculation of crop water need at different stages of crop growth. The surface water availability is calculated from difference of monthly surface runoff and monthly total crop water need. Spatial and temporal variation of water surplus and deficit is also analyzed.
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## CHAPTER I: PRELIMINARY

### 1.1 Introduction

Water is available as fresh water (snow, glacier), surface water (such as lakes, rivers, reservoirs) and groundwater in the earth. Water is constantly moving on the Earth between the atmosphere, ocean, and different fresh water bodies. Climate, land use, local geology, physiographic condition, population, seasonal rainfall all affects the availability of water resources. One of the main and easily accessible water resources is surface runoff. It is a flow of water that occurs when rainfall, melt water or other sources of water that flows over earth surface exceeds a soil's maximum saturation level and all surface depression storage is filled. It is considered as major component of hydrological cycle.

Rainfall is the main source of surface runoff that varies both temporally and spatially. Nepal receives about $79.8 \%$ of the total annual rainfall during summer monsoon (June to September), $12.5 \%$ of the total annual rainfall in pre-monsoon (March to May), $4.2 \%$ of total annual rainfall in post monsoon (October to November) and $3.5 \%$ of total annual rainfall in winter (December to February) season(DHM, 2015).Nepal is mountainous country so the spatial feature of the rainfall is affected by the topography and altitudinal variations.

Water surplus or water deficit in agriculture is the factor to determine whether the surface runoff is sufficient or not for crop growth. Water surplus occurs where there is excess water available to the drainage system. This occurs when precipitation exceeds evapotranspiration and the excess is not being used by plants. Water deficit occurs where there is insufficient water to the drainage system. This occurs when evapotranspiration exceeds precipitation.

### 1.2 Rational of study

This study is based on agriculture and available water for irrigation. Since Nepal is agriculture based country, contributes about one third of GDP and provides employment to $80 \%$ of economically active population, research on agriculture is very important in context of Nepal. Food is important for human beings for survival. Water is necessary for
agriculture and is utilized by crops through rainfall and irrigation. Nepal is rich in water resources such as river basin, snow, glaciers, monsoon rainfall, ground water etc. Agricultural water requirement is fulfilled through these resources of water. So it is very necessary to know the crop water requirement and availability of surface water for irrigation in certain area. If any area have water surplus then it can be utilized for another high water demand area and if any area have water deficit then the water demand can be fulfilled with many processes such as drainage systems, reservoirs, dams etc. These increases agricultural productivity as well as GDP of country and better utilization of water.

### 1.3 Topography of Nepal

Nepal is a land locked country that covers an area of $147,181 \mathrm{~km} 2$ between India and China. It covers $0.03 \%$ area of the world and $0.3 \%$ area of Asia. Geographically, Nepal is situated from $26^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ to $30^{\circ}$ North latitude and from $80^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ to $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime}$ East longitudes. Its elevation varies from about 80 meters above sea level in low lying areas (Terai plain) to 8848 meters above sea level in the Himalaya (Mount Everest).


Figure 1: Map of Topographic division of Nepal (Source: Topographic Survey Branch, Department of Survey, HMG, Nepal,1983)

Topographically, Nepal is divided into five physiographic regions: Terai, Siwalik range, Middle Mountains, High Mountains, High Himalayas and administratically, Nepal is divided into seven provinces.

### 1.4 Agriculture in Nepal

Agriculture, the major sector of Nepalese economy provides employment opportunities to 66 percent of the total population and contributes about 36 percent in the GDP.(MoAD). Nepalese agricultural sector highly depends on water availability and seasons especially monsoon season. Rice, wheat, maize, millet, barley and buck wheat are major growing cereal crops in Nepal.(FAO).

Nepal has three major agro-ecological zones terai plain, hilly region and mountainous region. Different geological, climatological and hydrological characteristics of these zones are responsible for distinct and varied agricultural land uses.

### 1.4.1 Terai region

This is most fertile zone of Nepal and occupies 23 percent of nation's land ranging from 59 to 700 meters elevation. Over 40 percent of available agricultural land is cultivated and due to the flat as well as low land surface irrigation is easily accessible. Flooding is common in these regions during monsoon season.

### 1.4.2 Hilly region

Hills are characterized by sloping lands and small valleys ranging from 700 to 3000 meters elevation. This region comprises 42 percent of nation's land mass but only 20 percent is cultivated. The area is characterized by high ridges and steep slopes around numerous streams giving rise to many microclimates.

### 1.4.3 Mountainous regions

This region is characterized by steep and rugged terrain lying between 3000 to 8848 meters. Only 5 percent of land in this region is suitable for cultivation.

### 1.5 Weather and Agriculture

Weather is an atmospheric condition that has an important impact on agriculture. Growth of crops highly depends on rainfall and temperature as these parameters are responsible for water availability and evapotranspiration. Agriculture is sensitive to short-term changes in weather that affect the production of crops. Insufficient rain and increasing temperature cause drought, whereas intense rain in short period reduces ground water recharge by accelerating runoff and causes floods. Both the situations induce negative effects in the agriculture.

### 1.6 Climate of Nepal

The climate in Nepal varies from sub-tropical to alpine within a short distance due to tremendous variation in topography and altitude ( 60 to $8,848 \mathrm{~m}$ ). These factors along with direction of mountain slope have created numerous micro-environments. Alpine, cool temperate, warm temperate, subtropical and tropical climates prevail in Nepal. The snow line lies on around $2,500 \mathrm{~m}$ in winter and $4,000 \mathrm{~m}$ in summer. Snow rarely falls below $1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ altitude. On shaded north, snow remains considerably longer than on south facing slopes. The average annual rainfall is estimated as $1,600 \mathrm{~mm}$, about $80 \%$ of which falls between June to September. The mean annual precipitation ranges from nearly 200 mm in rain shadow area near the Tibetan plateau to $4,600 \mathrm{~mm}$ along the southern slopes of Annapurna mountain range. Most of the winter rainfall occurs during December to February. Total number of rainy days varies from 24 to 181 days. Annual sunshine hours vary between 922 to 2,820 hours. The recorded maximum temperature during the summer varies from 250 C to 46 o C and the recorded minimum temperature during the winter varies from -260 C to nearly freezing point in the crop growing areas. Winter, spring, summer and autumn seasons prevails in the country.

### 1.7 Objectives of the study

Objective of this study is to estimate surface water availability for major crops of Kaski district and analyze temporal and spatial variation of water availability by calculating surface runoff and crop water need of major crops.

### 1.8 Limitations of the study

There are some limitations in accurate estimation from the study.

- Unavailability of data from remote places.
- Lack of reliable agricultural data.
- Insufficient Agro-meteorological data such as wind, Evapotranspiration.


## CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Major cereal crops of Nepal

### 2.1.1 Paddy

Paddy is the first most important food crop in Nepal and among all cereal crops paddy occupies first position in terms of area (42.2\%) and production (51.7\%) (MoAD, 2015a). It is cultivated in many parts of the country. Rice crop is suited in regions with high humidity, prolonged sunshine with an assured supply of water. Rice needs relatively high temperature for their optimum growth and development. This crop is grown in wet (monsoon) season and also in spring season in two crop rice growing areas. The main season rice is planted from 3rd week of May to 3rd week of June depending on variety and rainfall. The rice is harvested from 3rd week of October to 2nd week of December and left for 4 to 6 days in field. On average total crop water need for paddy is 450 to 700 mm depending upon type, sunshine duration, temperature, location, etc.(C. Brouwer, 1986). Rice area and production in eastern region are higher and decline from east to west due to large variation in rainfall and socio economic conditions in among the regions. However central region accounts for higher grain yield due to the fertile soil and higher use of chemical fertilizers and organic manures. For paddy growth, night temperature plays important role especially during reproductive phase. Low night temperature results in high productivity. High amount of solar radiation, after flowering in rice, gives more production and vice versa. (Basnet, 2008). Temperature increase is more harmful during day than during night. Heat susceptible variety of paddy may suffer from a high percentage of spikelet sterility induced by high temperature and this occurs largely on the day of flowering. Low temperature at night reduces expansion of leaves resulting in less photosynthesis and increasing specific leaf weight and thereby assimilate partitioned to the roots increases. Hence rising maximum temperature has negative impact on rice yield, lower temperature prolongs the growth duration of rice and reduces crop growth rate. (Yam K Rai, 2011). Rice is cultivated in mono-crop system.

### 2.1.2 Maize

Maize is the most important food crops in the hills. It comes second only to rice as in production and acres planted. The average upper limit of maize is considered 2,300 meters. At higher elevation maize is either single annual crop or is rotated with millet or barley. In terai it is grown both as summer and winter crop.(Khadka, 1987). Maize is a traditional food cultivated mainly in the rain fed upland hills for food, feed and fodder. It is grown under rain fed conditions in summer from April to August. (: Paudyal, 2001) In the terai and low lying river basin areas maize is also grown in winter and spring with irrigation. Maize is cultivated in both irrigated and non irrigated land across different agro-climatic condition of the country.(Paudyal, Ransom, Rajbhandari, Gerpacio, \& Pingali, 2001). Maize requires 500 to 800 mm of water according to types and climatic conditions (C. Brouwer)(FAO). The optimum temperature range for germination is 20 to 30 degree Celsius while optimum soil moisture content should be approximately $60 \%$ of soil capacity. Maize is a warm weather crop and is not grown in areas with low mean daily temperature. Frost can damage maize at all growth stages and a frost free period of 120 to 140 days required to prevent damage. At maturity each maize plant have used 250 liter of water in absence of moisture stress. The most suitable soil for maize growth is sandy and clay-loam soils, the texture classes between these soils have air and moisture regimes that are optimal for healthy maize production (Plessis, 2003). Maize is cultivated in multi-crop system.

### 2.1.3 Wheat

Wheat is the third most important crop in Nepal after rice and maize comprising 0.6 m ha land of country. It is cultivated in wide range of environment ranging from terai to high hills as winter crop (Oct/Nov to Apr/May) and in the inner Himalayas as summer crop (Mar/Apr to Sept/Oct) (Bhandari H.S, 1997). In Nepal over 80 percent of wheat cultivation is under rice-wheat system. Due to the varied agro-ecological diversity of the country, it is possible to plant same cultivar in both winter and summer season. (Bal K. Joshi, 2006 ). Wheat sowing time varies from 3rd week of November to last week of December. Generally only one irrigation is practiced in wheat crop 30 days after sowing, because two irrigation in late sown delays maturity period. So the crop is affected by hot westerly wind and grains are shriveled. The crop is harvested between 2 nd week of

March to 2nd week of April. The best climatic condition for wheat production is temperate climate. High temperature generally limits yield if wheat crop. (OYEWOLE, 2016). Temperature and humidity is considered as major yield limiting climatic factor. Under very dry condition, high soil temperature can negatively impact on yield, while humidity will favor incidence of pests and diseases. (Olugbemi, 1990). Temperature plays important role in wheat cultivation. Wheat thrives best in well drained fertile loam sand to medium textured clay loam and area of low night temperature.(OYEWOLE, THE WHEAT CROP, 2016). The wheat crop grown on sandy soil should be irrigated frequently than those cultivated on clayish soil. For, sandy loam irrigation interval of 5 to 7 days until booting stage is recommended and subsequent irrigation should be 7 days interval. Water stress at flowering will negatively affect yield by reducing grain number and weight. Irrigation should be terminated two weeks to crop harvest.

### 2.1.4 Millet

Finger millet is the fourth major cereal crop of Nepal according to area and production. Millet represents diverse group of small seeded grasses grown for food, feed or forage. (Lata, 2015). Six major types of millet are finger millet, foxtail millet, kodo millet, proso millet, barnyard millet and little millet (FAO). The varieties of species differ in their physical characteristics, quality, soil and climatic requirement as well as growth duration (FAO).The most common millet grown in Nepal is Finger millet and it is one of the important crops cultivated in hills and mountains. The average upper limit for crop cultivation is around 2,250 meters altitude. Below 1,000 meters altitude millet is transplanted after maize and from 1,000 meters to 1,500 meters considerable part of growing season overlaps with maize. Above 1,500 meters growing period of millet completely overlaps with maize.(Khadka, 1987). The majority of finger millet is mainly grown in relay with maize. (Baniya, 1990). Finger millet is planted after 55 days of maize seeding. (Tika Bahadur Karki, 2014). Harvesting and threshing of Finger millet is done mainly in October-November. (Rachana Devkota, 2016). Millet needs very little water for their production and can be cultivated in non irrigated conditions or in very low rainfall regimes. Finger millet can be grown in low fertility soil and is not dependent of use of chemical fertilizers. (Gull A., 2014). Millets are the mostly adaptable crop as they can withstand droughts and extreme heat, stress from moisture and temperature variation,
heavy to sandy infertile soils with poor water holding capacity, degrees of soil acidity and alkalinity and precipitation variation so, millets can be cultivated in a wide range of variable environmental conditions. The high adaptability is due to the strong, deep root system which can be able to extract water from the soil. Millet is cultivated in multi-crop system.

### 2.2 Crop Water Need (ET crop)

Some amount of water is extracted from soil by plant root to grow. This amount of water escapes to the atmosphere from leaves and stem and the process is called Transpiration. Some amount of water on open soil surface and on plant's leaves, stem also escape as vapor and the process is called evaporation. So the crop water need is defined as the depth or amount of water needed to meet the water loss through evapotranspiration. The crop water need is usually expressed in $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{day}, \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{month}$, and $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{season}$. The crop water need refers to crop grown under optimal conditions such as a uniform crop, actively growing, completely shading the ground, free of diseases and favorable soil condition. The crop water needs mainly depends on:

- Climate:

Crop needs more water in sunny and hot climate than in cloudy and cool climate.

- Type of crop:

Crops like maize or sugarcane needs more water than millet or sorghum.

- Growth stage of the crop:

Fully grown crop needs more water than crop that have just been planted.

### 2.2.1 Influence on crop water need

### 2.2.1.1 Climatic influence on crop water need

The major climatic factors that influence crop water needs:

- Sunshine
- Temperature
- Humidity
- Wind speed


Figure 2 : major climatic factors that influence crop water needs
The highest crop water need is found in areas with hot, dry, windy and sunny climate whereas the lowest crop water need is found in areas with cool, humid, cloudy and little or no wind. Any one crop grown in different climatic zones will have different crop water need. So it is useful to take standard crop or reference crop and determine how much water the crop needs per day in various climatic regions. The influence of climate on crop water need is given by reference crop Evapotranspiration (ETo).

### 2.2.1.2 Influence of crop type on crop water need

1. Influence of crop type on daily water needs

On daily peak water need, different types of crops that is grown on same place, will have different crop water need. Forexample Maize and Radish
2. Influence of crop type on seasonal water needs

Crop type has influence on duration of the total growing season of the crop. So it effects on seasonal crop water need. For example there are many verities of rice with different growing cycle from 90 days to 150 days. Rice with 150 days growth cycle will need more
water than rice with 90 days growth cycle. For these two types of rice crop daily peak water need may be same but seasonal water need, will be different. Certain crop grown in cooler months will need less water as compared to crop grown in hotter months.

### 2.2.1.3 Influence of growth stage of the crop on crop water need

A fully grown crop will need more water than a crop just has been planted. When the plants are small evaporation is more important than transpiration and when the plants are fully grown transpiration is more important than evaporation.


Figure 3 : Growth stage of a maize crop
At the initial stage crop water need is estimated at 50 percent of crop water need during the mid-season stage. In crop development stage crop water need gradually increases and reaches its maximum value at the beginning of mid-season stage. In late season stage, fresh harvesting crops needs same amount of water as in mid season stage and for dry harvesting crops no water is irrigated.

### 2.3 Surface runoff process

Rainfall firstly being intercepted by vegetation reaches the ground surface and infiltrates into the soil until the rainfall intensity reaches infiltration capacity of the soil and after
filling depression storage surface runoff is generated. Runoff generates until the rainfall intensity exceeds actual infiltration capacity of the soil. (Rainfall-Runoff Analysis, FAO)


Figure 4: Schematic diagram of relationship between rainfall, infiltration and runoff (Linsley, 1958)

For water resource management and planning the runoff is most important hydrological parameter. The landscape of Nepal has unique pattern as flashy rivers and heavy rainfallrunoff. And due to the lack of knowledge of high altitude hydrology, it is challenging part to apply model in Nepal. So the regional modeling is helpful tool to predict hydrological variable at the ungauged stations in Nepal. (Jayandra Prasad Shrestha, 2014)

In case study of Rangoon watershed of Nepal, the mean annual rainfall and average annual temperature data series is in increasing trend whereas mean annual runoff data is in falling trend. The study area has cultivated land, urbanization, fragile ecosystem, unstable and fragile hill slopes so further study should be take to assess agricultural practice, land use patterns, sedimentation erosion rate and their impacts on watershed such as rainfall runoff etc. The analysis of these data gives identification of any serious events; hence assessment of trend of data set would be helpful to water resources managers to minimize the effects of vulnerable disasters. (Amar Bahadur Pal\#1, 2017)

The research on estimation of surface runoff of Warasgaon dam catchment shows high surface runoff in the western part and most part is endowed by dense forest in medium slope and rich in floras. As these areas may prone to soil erosion due to high rainfall and runoff too, these areas can be developed as ecotourism destination by facilitating proper ecotourism infrastructure and services under policy guidelines. This will help to conserve and maintain the biological richness of the area as well as economic upliftment of the local people by providing employment and opportunities in the field of ecotourism management. Also, this runoff potential can be used for the Artificial Recharge by constructing the Nala Bundies and Farm ponds at suitable sites. Also, constructing the structures like check dams water can be stored and helpful for the dry summer days for drinking as well as agricultural purposes. (A. A. KULKARNI, 2018)

### 2.4 Factors affecting runoff

Runoff is affected by meteorological and physical factors:

## Meteorological factors affecting runoff

- Type of precipitation(rain, snow, sleet, etc)
- Rainfall intensity
- Rainfall amount
- Rainfall duration
- Distribution of rainfall over basin
- Direction of storm movement
- Precipitation that occurred earlier and resulting soil moisture
- Other meteorological and climatic conditions ( temperature, wind, relative humidity and season)


## Physical factors affecting runoff

- Land use
- Vegetation
- Soil type
- Drainage area
- Basin area
- Elevation
- Slope of the land
- Drainage network pattern
- Ponds, lakes reservoirs, sinks, etc. in the basin, which prevent or delay runoff from continuing downstream


### 2.5 Runoff Coefficients

The runoff coefficient $(\mathrm{Kc})$ is a dimensionless value that relates the amount of runoff $(\mathrm{mm})$ to the amount of rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ received.
$\mathrm{Kc}=$ Runoff $/$ Rainfall
The value is larger for areas where there is low infiltration with high runoff and lower values for permeable, well vegetated areas.(waterboards.ca.gov)

## CHAPTER III: DESCRIPTION OF MODELS AND METHODS

### 3.1 ArcGIS 10

ArcGIS is a geographic information system (GIS) that helps in working with maps and geographic information. It is used for: creating and using maps; compiling geographic data; analyzing mapped information; sharing and discovering geographic information; using maps and geographic information in a range of applications; and managing geographic information in a database. It is a computer based system that stores geographically referenced data, links it with non-graphic attributes allowing for a wide range of information processing including manipulation, analysis and modeling. ArcGIS Desktop 10 is the newest version of GIS software produced by ESRI. ArcGIS Desktop is comprised of a set of integrated applications, which are accessible from the start menu of computer. It is equipped with excellent Graphical User Interface (GUI), which enables visualization, exploring and the analysis of spatial data. The ArcGIS is capable of displaying, viewing, editing vector datasets called shape files. It has also the facility to display the tables, charts, layouts associated with the shape files. There are various extensions are incorporated in the ArcGIS to perform different tasks. The processing, modeling, visualization and interpretation of grid based raster data can be performed using the spatial analyst extension. Nowadays ArcGIS is widely used in various sectors like health, education, environment, defense etc.

### 3.2 Background of the Thronthwaite water balance model

Many researches have been done to examine various component of hydrologic cycle such as precipitation, evapotranspiration, and runoff; by using monthly water balance model (Gregory J. McCabe, 2007). Such models have been used to estimate the global water balance (Mather J. , 1969)(Legates, 1992)(Legates D. a., 2005); to develop climatic classification (Thornthwaite C. , 1948); to estimate soil moisture storage (Alley, 1984)(Mintz, 1992), Runoff (Alley, 1984)(Alley, 1985)(Yates, 1996)(Wolock, 1999) and irrigation demand (McCabe, 1999); and to evaluate the hydrologic effects of climate change (McCabe G. a., 1989);(Yates, 1996);(Strzepek, 1997);(Wolock, 1999). In this studyThronthwaite monthly water balance model is used for estimation of runoff. This water balance model is driven by graphical user interface (GUI) developed by the U.S.

Geological Survey. It is easy to modify water-balance parameters and estimate various water-balance components for a specified location. This model can be used as a research tool, an assessment tool, and as a tool for classroom instruction.

The water-balance model (Figure 5) analyses the allocation of water among various components of the hydrologic system using a monthly accounting procedure based on the A Monthly Water-Balance Model Driven By A Graphical User Interface By Gregory J. McCabe and Steven L. Markstrom methodology originally presented by Thornthwaite ( (Thornthwaite, 1948), (Mather, 1978) (Mather J. , 1979) (McCabe, 1999). Inputs to the model are mean monthly temperature ( T , in degrees Celsius), monthly total precipitation ( P , in millimeters), and the latitude (in decimal degrees) of the location of interest. The latitude of the location is used for the computation of day length, which is needed for the computation of potential evapotranspiration (PET).


Figure5 : Diagram of the water balance model

## Method of analysis

Snow Accumulation The first computation of the water-balance model is the estimation of the amount of monthly precipitation ( P ) that is rain ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {rain }}$ ) or snow ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {snow }}$ ), in millimeters. When mean monthly temperature $(\mathrm{T})$ is below a specified threshold ( $\mathrm{T}_{\text {snow }}$ ), all precipitation is considered to be snow. If temperature is greater than an additional threshold ( $\mathrm{T}_{\text {rain }}$ ), then all precipitation is considered to be rain. Within the range defined by $T_{\text {snow }}$ and $T_{\text {rain }}$, the amount of precipitation that is snow decreases linearly from 100 percent to 0 percent of total precipitation. This relation is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {snow }}=P \times\left[\frac{T_{\text {rain }}-T}{T_{\text {rain }}-T_{\text {snow }}}\right] \text {. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{\text {rain }}$ then is computed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {rain }}=P-P_{\text {snow }} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Direct Runoff

Direct runoff (DRO) is runoff, in millimeters, from impervious surfaces or runoff resulting from infiltration-excess overflow. The fraction (drofrac) of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {rain }}$ that becomes DRO is specified; based on previous water-balance analyses, 5 percent is a typical value to use (McCabe, 1999). The expression for DRO is:
$\mathrm{DRO}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {rain }} \times$ drofrac

Direct runoff (DRO) is subtracted from Prain to compute the amount of remaining precipitation ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {remain }}$ ):
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {remain }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {rain }}-\mathrm{DRO}$

## Evapotranspiration and Soil-Moisture Storage

Actual evapotranspiration (AET) is derived from potential evapotranspiration (PET), $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$, soil-moisture storage (ST), and soil-moisture storage withdrawal (STW). Monthly PET is estimated from mean monthly temperature (T) and is defined as the water loss
from a large, homogeneous, vegetation-covered area that never lacks water (Thornthwaite C. , 1948) (Mather J. 1., 1978). Thus, PET represents the climatic demand for water relative to the available energy. In this water balance, PET is calculated by using the Hamon equation (Hamon, 1961):
$\mathrm{PET}_{\text {hamon }}=13.97 \times \mathrm{d} \times \mathrm{D}^{2} \times \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}$
WherePET Hamon is PET in millimeters per month, d is the number of days in a month, D is the mean monthly hours of daylight in units of 12 hrs , and Wt is a saturated water vapor density term, in grams per cubic meter, calculated by:
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\left(4.95 \times \mathrm{e}^{0.062 \times \mathrm{T}}\right) / 100$

Where' $T$ ' is the mean monthly temperature in degrees Celsius. (Hamon, 1961).
When $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$ (total liquid water input to the soil i.e. Premain plus melted snow to the soil) for a month is less then PET, then AET is equal to $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$ plus the amount of soil moisture that can be withdrawn from storage in the soil. Soil-moisture storage withdrawal linearly decreases with decreasing ST such that as the soil becomes drier, water becomes more difficult to remove from the soil and less is available for AET.

STW is computed as follows:
$\mathrm{SWT}=\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{i}-1-}-\left[\right.$ abs $\left.\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}-\mathrm{PET}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{i}-1} / \mathrm{STC}\right)\right]$
Where $\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ is the soil-moisture storage for the previous month and STC is the soilmoisture storage capacity. An STC of 150 mm works for most locations (McCabe, 1999) (Wolock, 1999).

If the sum of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$ and STW is less than PET, then a water deficit is calculated as PETAET. If $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$ exceeds PET, then AET is equal to PET and the water in excess of PET replenishes ST. When ST is greater than STC, the excess water becomes surplus (S) and is eventually available for runoff.

## Runoff Generation

Runoff (RO) is generated from the surplus, S , at a specified rate (rfactor). An rfactor value of 0.5 is commonly used (Wolock, 1999). The rfactor parameter determines the fraction of surplus that becomes runoff in a month. The remaining surplus is carried over to the following month to compute total S for that month. Direct runoff (DRO), in millimeters, is added directly to the runoff generated from surplus (RO) to compute total monthly runoff ( $\mathrm{RO}_{\text {total }}$ ), in millimeters.

## Running the Water-Balance Program

The window for the Thornthwaite monthly water-balance program will behave like any other window on the desktop. Resize, iconify, or close it like any other application by dragging the borders and clicking on the window controllers in the upper corners of the frame. Figure 6 is a screen image of the program's graphical user interface.

The water-balance model has seven input parameters (runoff factor, direct runoff factor, soil-moisture storage capacity,latitude of location, rain temperature threshold, snow temperature threshold, and maximum snow-melt rate of the snow storage) that are modified through the graphical user interface (Figure 6). The range and default values for these parameters are set by the model. These values are changed by clicking on the corresponding slider bar and dragging the value. The system will not allow invalid values to be entered. Latitude for all station is 28 degrees used; default value is used for raintemperature threshold. Runoff factor is calculated from Table 3-1, for which slope is calculated reference with Pokhara Airport shown in Appendix L and land cover is assumed farmland. Soil moisture storage capacity is taken $140 \mathrm{~mm} /$ meter as for loamy soil, it is (100 to 175 ) $\mathrm{mm} /$ meter (FAO).

Table 3-1: Runoff coefficient for different soil and slope areas (McCuen, 1998)

|  | Runoff Coefficient, $C$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Soil Group A |  |  | Soil Group B |  |  |
| Slope : | $<2 \%$ | 2-6\% | $>6 \%$ | $<2 \%$ | $2-6 \%$ | $>6 \%$ |
| Forest | 0.08 | O. 11 | 0.14 | O. 10 | O. 14 | 0.18 |
| Meadow | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.37 |
| Pasture | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.45 |
| Farmland | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.28 |
| Res. 1 acre | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.34 |
| Res. 1/2 acre | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.36 |
| Res. 1/3 acre | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.39 |
| Res. 1/4 acre | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.42 |
| Res. 1/8 acre | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.44 |
| Industrial | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Commercial | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Streets: ROW | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.84 |
| Parking | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 |
| Disturbed Area | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.70 |

## Rational Method Runoff Coefficients - Part I

The model requires a simple input data file. To select the input file, click on the button corresponding to the file ("Input file") and a file browser will appear. The input file must be a file on the user's local file system that contains monthly water balance input data. A sample data file (input.file) is provided with the model and is located in the USGS Thornthwaite installation folder. The data file must be organized into four columns with one or more space characters between the columns. The first column is the year, the second is the numeric month of the year, the third is mean monthly temperature in degrees Celsius, and the last is monthly total precipitation in millimeters. When the model runs, tabular output is written to a popup window (Figure7). The columns of the output are date, PET, P, P-PET, soil-moisture storage, AET, PET-AET (also known as moisture deficit), snow storage, surplus, and $\mathrm{RO}_{\text {total }}$. The contents of this window can be saved to a file by clicking on the Save button at the bottom of the window and specifying the name (and directory) of an output file in the file browser. At the bottom of the main program window (Figure 6), the user can select the specific variables to be plotted by clicking on the corresponding circle. After the model runs, a window will open with the plotted time series (Figure 8). The model can be run any number of times, each time selecting a different set of variables to plot.


Figure 6 : Thronthwaite monthly water balance model

| $\frac{1}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Soil |  |  | Snow |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| Date | PET | P | P-PET | Moisture | AET | PET-AET | Storage | Surplus | Rototal | $\equiv$ |
| Jan-1960 | 18.0 | 44.1 | 23.9 | 173.9 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.D | 14.9 |  |
| Feb-1960 | 18.2 | 62.9 | 41.6 | 200.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 17.2 |  |
| Mar-1960 | 20.5 | 32.8 | 2.7 | 200.0 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 10.0 |  |
| Apr-1960 | 70.1 | 48.5 | -24.1 | 175.9 | 70.1 | -0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 |  |
| May-1960 | 98.0 | 150.6 | 45.1 | 200.0 | 98.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 20.1 |  |
| Jun-1960 | 147.5 | 74.7 | -76.6 | 123.4 | 147.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Jul-1960 | 156.7 | 151.6 | $-12.5$ | 115.7 | 151.9 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.7 |  |
| Aug-1960 | 142.1 | 88.1 | -58.4 | 81.9 | 117.5 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Sep-1960 | 96.2 | 60.6 | -38.6 | 66.1 | 73.4 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 |  |
| Oct-1960 | 56.8 | 115.6 | 53.0 | 119.1 | 56.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1] | 6.2 |  |
| Nov-1960 | 29.6 | 13.4 | -16.8 | 109.1 | 22.8 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |  |
| Dec-1960 | 17.6 | 84.5 | 62.6 | 171.7 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 |  |
| Jan-1961 | 17.5 | 10.0 | -8.0 | 164.9 | 16.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | O.D | 0.6 |  |
| Feb-1961 | 24.0 | 43.5 | 17.4 | 182.3 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |  |
| Mar-1961 | 41.9 | 90.0 | 43.6 | 200.0 | 41.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 17.4 |  |
| Apr-1961 | 60.7 | 27.0 | -35.1 | 164.9 | 60.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.15 | 7.8 |  |
| May-1961 | 100.5 | 123.5 | 16.9 | 181.8 | 100.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 |  |
| Jun-1961 | 131.7 | 111.6 | -25.6 | 158.5 | 129.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 |  |
| Jul-1961 | 155.5 | 133.5 | -28.7 | 135.8 | 149.6 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 |  |
| Aug-1961 | 132.1 | 84.4 | -51.9 | 100.6 | 115.4 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |  |
| Sep-1961 | 83.5 | 140.8 | 50.3 | 150.8 | 83.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 |  |
| Oct-1961 | 53.6 | 66.5 | 9.5 | 160.3 | 53.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | $\sim$ |
| Save |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 7 : Screen image of example output from the water-balance model.


Figure 8 : Screen image of example time series plotted by the water-balance model.

### 3.3 Estimation of crop water need

Crop water need is the calculation of evapotranspiration of the crop. Since there is influence of climate on crop water needs, reference crop evapotranspiration (ETo) is calculated.ETo is the rate of evapotranspiration from a large area, covered by green grass, 8 to 15 cm tall, which grows actively, completely shades the ground and which is not short of water. Grass has been taken as reference crop. In order to obtain the crop water need (ETcrop) the reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo, must be multiplied by the crop factor, Kc. The crop factor (or "crop coefficient") varies according to the growth stage of the crop. There are four growth stages to distinguish:

- the initial stage: when the crop uses little water;
- the crop development stage, when the water consumption increases;
- the mid-season stage, when water consumption reaches a peak;
- the late-season stage, when the maturing crop once again requires less water. (FAO)

ETcrop $=$ ETo $\times \mathrm{kc}$
with ET crop = crop evapotranspiration or crop water need (mm/day)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Kc}= & \text { crop factor } \\
\mathrm{ETo}= & \text { reference evapotranspiration (mm/day) }
\end{array}
$$

Both ET crop and ETo are expressed in the same unit: usually in mm/day (as an average for a period of one month) or in $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{month}$.

Table 3-2: Crop Factor (kc) at different stages of growth for cereal crops (FAO)

| Crops/Growth <br> stage | Initial <br> stage | Crop dev <br> stage | Mid season <br> stage | late season <br> stage |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Paddy | 1.05 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| Maize | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.15 | 0.7 |
| millet | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.65 |
| wheat | 0.35 | 0.75 | 1.15 | 0.45 |

Table 3-3: Growing days at different stages for cereal crops (FAO)

| Crops/G <br> rowth <br> stage | Initial stage | Crop <br> dev <br> stage | Mid season stage | late season stage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { total } \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | duration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | 30 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 150 | June 15 to Nov 15 |
| Maize | 20 | 35 | 40 | 30 | 125 | Apr 15 to Aug 20 |
| millet | 15 | 25 | 40 | 25 | 105 | June 20 to Oct 5 |
| wheat | 15 | 30 | 65 | 40 | 150 | Nov01 to Mar 30 |

Methods to calculate reference crop Evapotranspiration (ETo)

1. Blaney-Cridle method
2. Radiation method
3. Penman method
4. Pan-evaporation method

Concerning accuracy, only approximate possible errors can be given since no base-line type of climate exists. The modified Penman method would offer the best results with minimum possible error of plus or minus 10 percent in summer, and -up to 20 percent under low evaporative conditions. The Pan method can be graded next with possible error of 15 percent, depending on the location of the pan. The Radiation method, in extreme conditions, involves a possible error of up to 20 percent in summer. The Blaney-Criddle method should only be applied for periods of one month or longer; in humid, windy, midlatitude winter condition; an over and under prediction of up to 25 percent has been noted. (Doorenbos, 1997)

In this research, Blaney-cridle method is used to determine reference crop evapotranspiration. If no measured data on pan evaporation are available locally, a theoretical method (Blaney-Criddle method) to calculate the reference crop evapotranspiration ETo has to be used. This method use measured data on temperature only. It should be noted, however, that this method is not very accurate; it provides a rough estimate or "order of magnitude" only. Especially under "extreme" climatic conditions the Blaney-Criddle method is inaccurate: in windy, dry, sunny areas, the ETo is underestimated (up to some 60 percent), while in calm, humid, clouded areas, the ETo is overestimated (up to some 40 percent).


Figure 9 : Blaney-Cridle method

## The Blaney-Criddle formula:

$\mathrm{ETo}=\mathrm{p}(0.46 \mathrm{~T}$ mean +8$)$

ETo $=$ Reference crop evapotranspiration ( $\mathrm{mm} /$ day) as an average for a period of 1 month T mean $=$ mean daily temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
$\mathrm{p}=$ mean daily percentage of annual daytime hours

The Blaney-Criddle method always refers to mean monthly values, both for the temperature and the ETo.

To determine the value of p . Table 3-4 is used. To be able to determine the p value it is essential to know the approximate latitude of the area: the number of degrees north or south of the equator

Table 3-4: Mean daily percentage (p) of annual daytime hours for different latitudes

| Latitude | North | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | South | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June |
| $60^{0}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| $55^{0}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $50^{0}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $45^{0}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $40^{0}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $35^{0}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $30^{0}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $25^{0}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| $20^{0}$ |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| $15^{0}$ |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| $10^{0}$ |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| $5^{0}$ |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 0 |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

## CHAPTERIV: DATA AND METHODOLOGY

### 4.1 Description of study area



Figure 10 : Map showing location of study area
Kaski District a part of Province No 4 is one of the seventy-five districts of Nepal. Geographically the district lies on $83^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ east to $84^{\circ} 12^{\prime}$ East longitude and $28^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$ north to $28^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ North latitude. It is adjacent to the neighboring districts with Lamjung and Tanahun districts in the East; Syangja and Parbat districts in the West; Manang and Myagdi districts in the North; and Syangja and Tanahu districts in the South. The district covers an area of 2,017 square km . This district lies at the centroid point of the country. The altitude of Kaski district ranges from 450 to 8091 masl. The district covers parts of the Annapurna mountain range, and the picturesque scene of the mountains can be observed from most parts of the district. It is one of the best tourist destinations of Nepal. The district is full of rivers such as SetiGandaki, Modi and Madi along with other rivulets. The district is famous for the Himalayan range with about 11 Himalayas with height greater than 7000 m .

### 4.1.1 Geography and Climate

Consistent to the national topographical variation, the district has also the diversity of weather and climate according to the elevation of the district. As the altitude of the district varies greatly, climate of the district can be found different in different altitudes, the classification of which is given as under:

Five types of climate are found in this district, sub-tropical, temperate, temperate cold, alpine and tundra climate.

Mountainous climate: This type of climate is found above 5,000 masl, the area of which is well known for its trekking routes and eye-catching view of the Himalayas.

Alpine climate: This type of climate is found at altitudes of 2,500 to 5,000 masl. The topography is composed of large sloping land areas, which remain covered with frost and snow in the winter. In the rainy season, these areas turn into grazing land for the yaks and sheep.

Temperate climate: This type of climate is found at altitudes of 1,500 to 2,500 masl, where frost is common and sometimes snowfall occurs when the temperature is very low in the winter. Apple, pear, walnut, and peach are the common fruits and buckwheat, barley, cardamom, and potatoes are the main crops.

Mild-temperate climate: This type of climate is present at altitudes of 800 to 1,500 masl, where winter is cold and summer is warm. Peach, persimmon, orange, litchi, lime, and banana are the main fruits cultivated in this type of climate. Millet, maize, buckwheat, rice, mustard, cardamom, zinger and different types of vegetables are the main crops.

Sub-tropical: Areas located at altitudes of 300 to 800 masl consisted of this type of climate, where summer is hot and winter is cool. Plenty of agricultural land is available in this area. Hence, crops like rice, maize, wheat, millet, sugarcane, and black gram are produced. Different type of vegetables and fruits like mango, litchi, pineapple, jackfruit, and banana etc. are commonly cultivated.


Figure 11 : Physiographic map of Kaski (Maharjan, 2017)
Kaski district contains three physiographic zones namely: High Mountains, Middle Mountains and Hills. High mountains usually have trans- Himalayan and alpine type climate; upper parts of middle mountains have sub-alpine where as lower part has temperate type of climate. Similarly Hills have sub-tropical type of climate. The hill region located at the south west part of the district receives the highest annual rainfall of above 4000 mm . The middle mountains and High Mountain receives less than hilly regions.(Maharjan, 2017)

### 4.1.2 Major cereal crop cultivated land in Kaski district

Major crop percentage of kaski district


Figure 12 : Major cereal crop cultivated area in percentage (National Sample Census of Agriculture)

### 4.1.3 Land use map of Kaski district



Figure 13 : Map showing land use distribution of Kaski (Maharjan, 2017)
The required Land Cover Data was taken from International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development's (ICIMOD) geoportal. The land use patterns of Kaski are Agriculture, bare area, forest, water bodies, built-up area, grassland, shrub land and snow/glacier, agriculture land, pasture and water bodies.

### 4.2 Data collection

The climatic data such as rainfall and temperature of Kaski district from 9 different stations were collected from Department of Hydrology and Meteorology (DHM).

Table 4-1 : Selected meteorological stations for data analysis:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | Index <br> No. | Station | Type | lat | Long | Elevatio <br> n | collected data | Collected period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | E | m |  |  |
| 1 | 804 | Pokhara <br> Airport | Aeronautical | 28.20 | 83.98 | 827 | 1.Rainfall <br> 2.Temperature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. 1985-2016 } \\ & \text { 2.1985-2016 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 811 | Malepatan | Agro meteorological | 28.22 | 83.97 | 858 | 1.Rainfall <br> 2.Temperature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. 1985-2016 } \\ & 2.1985-2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 813 | BhadaureD eurali | Precipitation | 28.27 | 83.82 | 1629 | Rainfall | 1985-2016 |
| 4 | 814 | Lumle | Agro meteorological | 28.30 | 83.82 | 1740 | 1.Rainfall <br> 2.Temperature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. 1985-2016 } \\ & 2.1985-2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 818 | Lamachaur | Precipitation | 28.27 | 83.97 | 1070 | Rainfall | 1985-2016 |
| 6 | 821 | Ghandruk | Precipitation | 28.38 | 83.80 | 1960 | Rainfall | 1985-2016 |
| 7 | 824 | Siklesh | Precipitation | 28.37 | 84.10 | 1820 | Rainfall | 1985-2016 |
| 8 | 829 | Sallyan | Precipitation | 28.28 | 83.80 | 1600 | Rainfall | 1992(4)-2016 |
| 9 | 830 | Pamdur | Precipitation | 28.29 | 83.83 | 1713 | Rainfall | 1992(4)-2016 |

### 4.3 Methodology

Thronthwaite water balance model needs input file of temperature and rainfall with year and month in Notepad++. Lapse rate method is used for calculation of missing temperature data of climatic stations and for precipitation stations.

## Lapse rate method Regression formula:

Temperature=Reference temperature of lowest elevation station+ (elevation of station to calculate temperature-Elevation of reference station)* $(-0.006)$

Input parameter to run thronthwaite water balance model are runoff factor, direct runoff factor, soil-moisture storage capacity, latitude of location, rain temperature threshold, snow temperature threshold, and maximum snow-melt rate of the snow storage that are modified through the graphical user interface. Latitude is used 28 degrees for all stations; soil moisture storage capacity is used $140 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{m}$, runoff factor is taken from Table 3-1. Rest of the parameter is taken as default values. The output monthly surface runoff is plotted on ArcGIS 10.

Blaney-Cridle method is used for calculation of crop water need. Reference crop water need is calculated from Blaneycridle formula. Mean daily percentage of annual day time hours (Table 3-4) and monthly mean temperature is used as parameter. Crop factor for different crops is used from Table 3-2 and growth stages and duration of crop growth is taken as from Table 3-3.

The crop water availability is calculated by subtracting crop water need to monthly surface runoff.

## CHAPTERV: RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Observed monthly Average Precipitation and temperature of Kaski District

In the analysis of monthly average precipitation of Kaski district, the average highest rainfall of about 1116.7 mm in month of July and average lowest rainfall of only 19.1 mm in November. District receives about 3547.8 mm i.e. $83 \%$ of rain in the monsoon season. In pre-monsoon season average rainfall is 465.8 mm i.e. $11 \%$ of annual rainfall. 181 mm of rainfall i.e. $4 \%$ of average annual rainfall occurs in post-monsoon season and nearly $2 \%$ of rainfall occurs in winter season. The table of average rainfall calculation is shown in Appendix A.


Figure 14: Monthly average precipitation of Kaski district

The highest average maximum temperature is observed to be $26.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in month of June while average minimum temperature is experienced in month of January which is $3.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The calculation of average temperature of Kaski District is given in Appendix B.


Figure 15 : Monthly average temperature of Kaski District

### 5.2 Observed annual precipitation Trend






Figure 16 : Average annual precipitation trend for bhadaure, ghandruk, lamachour, malepatan, pokhara airport, lumle, pamdur, salyan and sikles.

The observed annual precipitation trend analysis is done using excel chart. The stations Bhadaure(813), Lamachour(818), Pokhara Airport(804), Lumle(814), Pamdur(830) and Sikles(824) shows decreasing trend in annual precipitation as $9.8 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year, $7.7 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year, $10.5 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year, $27.4 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year, $1.9 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year, $7.4 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year and $7.7 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year respectively. The stations Ghandruk(821) and Malepatan(811) shows increasing trend in annual precipitation as $38.6 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year and $5.3 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year respectively. Drastic annual rainfall increase is in Ghandruk whereas rainfall decrease is in Salyan.

### 5.3 Average annual rainfall and maximum temperature at different stations of Kaski district



Figure 17 : Annual rainfall at different stations of Kaski district
The maximum annual rainfall is observed in Lumle and Pamdur i.e. 5474 mm and 4922 mm respectively whereas minimum rainfall is observed in Ghandruk i.e. 3686 mm


Figure 18 : Average maximum temperature at different stations of Kaski district
The highest maximum temperature is observed in Pokhara Airport and Malepatan i.e. 20.9 and 20.7 respectively whereas lowest maximum temperature is observed in Ghandruk i.e. 14.1

### 5.4 Monthly runoff analysis of Kaski District with mean temperature



Figure 19 : Runoff distribution of Kaski in January
The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in January. Runoff is above 240 mm in the south west part of Middle Mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 172 mm in northwest Middle Mountain and southern Hill. According to altitudinal map of district this maximum surface runoff area is steep slope area between hills.


Figure 20 : Runoff distribution of Kaski in February

February is last month of winter season with less rainfall. Surface runoff above 240 mm is in the south east part of Middle mountain and northwest part of Hill. Rest of the part has relatively less runoff. Surface runoff above 240 mm is in the south western part of middle hills and north western part of hill.


Figure 21 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district in March
March is the starting of pre monsoon season accompanied with isolated local systems for development of clouds and precipitation. Parts of southern hill, north western Middle mountain, south western High Mountain, areas including salyan and bhadaure stations accompanied with less surface runoff.


Figure 22 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district in April
The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in April. Runoff is above 240 mm in the south west part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 180 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern hill.


Figure 23 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district in May

The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in May. Runoff is above 245 mm in the south west part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 192 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern Hill.


Figure 24 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district in June

The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in June. This month is starting of monsoon season. Runoff is above 275 mm in the south west part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 220 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern hill.


Figure 25 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district in July
The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in July. Normally peak rainfall occurs in this month. Runoff is above 300 mm in the south west part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 250 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern hill.


Figure 26 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district in August

The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in August. Runoff is above 300 mm in the south west part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 250 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern hill.


Figure 27 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district on September

The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in September. It is the end month of monsoon season.Runoff is above 296 mm in the southwest part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 235 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern hill.


Figure 28 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district on October

The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in October. It is the starting of post monsoon season. Runoff is above 266 mm in the southwest part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 211 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern hill.


Figure 29 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district on November
The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in November. Runoff is above 255 mm in the south west part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 201 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern hill.


Figure 30 : Runoff distribution of Kaski district on December

The figure shows average runoff distribution of Kaski district in December. It is the starting of winter season. Runoff is above 252 mm in the south west part of Middle mountain and northwest part of hill where as surface runoff is less than 193 mm in northwest Middle mountain and southern hill.

The surface runoff with average maximum and average minimum temperature is also calculated and the output map is shown in Appendix J. Relatively high surface runoff is calculated with minimum temperature and relatively less surface runoff is calculated with maximum temperature. The less surface runoff is due to high Evapotranspiration in maximum temperature.

### 5.5 Analysis of Crop water need for major crops (Paddy, Maize, Wheat, Millet) of Kaski district at 9 meteorological stations




Figure 31: Graph of Cropwater need for major crops of Kaski district at different stations
There is more water need in the months of June, July, August and September. These months are accompanied with growth season of three major crops i.e. paddy, maize and millet. Calculation of crop water need of major crops in each station is shown in Appendix C.

### 5.6 Analysis of Water surplus/Water deficit





Figure 32: Graph of Average monthly water surplus/deficit of Pokhara airport(804),
Bhadaure(813), Gandruk(821), Lamachour(818), Lumle(814), Malepatan(811), Pamdur(830), Salyan(829) and Sikles(824).

The average water surplus or water deficit is calculated by subtracting monthly total crop water need to monthly total runoff on each station. Where, total crop water need is calculated using Blaney Cridle method and monthly runoff using thronthwaite water balance method. The calculation of average monthly water surplus of nine meteorological stations of Kaski district is shown in Appendix B. In January, there is water surplus in every station with maximum surplus in Lumle i.e. 183.1 mm to minimum surplus in Pokhara Airport and Malepatan i.e. 55.7 mm and 54.3 mm respectively. In the month of February, there is surplus in every station with maximum surplus in Lumle i.e. 193.4 mm and minimum surplus in Pokhara Airport and Malepatan i.e. 66.85 mm and 65.64 mm . In March, there is surplus in every station with maximum surplus in Lumle i.e. 227.8 mm whereas minimum surplus in Pokhara Airport and Malepatan i.e. 109.2 mm and 107.7 mm each. In April, there is surplus in all station with maximum surplus in Lumle i.e. 252.6 mm and minimum surplus in Malepatan i.e. 137.7 mm . In May, there is surplus in all stations with maximum surplus in Lumle i.e. 176.6 mm and minimum surplus in Malepatan i.e. 49.1 mm . In June, there is water surplus of 20.33 mm in Lumle and water deficit in rest of the stations with maximum deficit in Malepatan i.e 149 mm . July is month with water deficit in all station with maximum deficit in Malepatan and Pokhara Airport i.e. 329.2 mm and 327.2 mm respectively. In August, there is water deficit in all stations, with maximum deficit in Malepatan and Pokhara Airport and Malepatan and Pokhara Airport i.e 278.5 mm and 275.3 mmrespectively whereas minimum deficit in Lumle i.e. 65.34 mm . In September, there is surplus in Lumle, Pamdur and sikles i.e. $98.45 \mathrm{~mm}, 59.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 24.81 mm respectively. There is deficit in rest of the stations with maximum deficit in Malepatan i.e. 72.66 mm . In October, there is water surplus in all stations with maximum surplus in Lumle i.e. 187.6 mm and minimum surplus in Pokhara Airport and Malepatan i.e. 47.72 mm and 45.74 mm respectively. In November, there is surplus in all stations with maximum surplus in Lumle i.e. 201.7 mm and minimum surplus in Pokhara Airport and Malepatan i.e. 69.86 mm and 67.91 respectively. In December, there is surplus in all stations with maximum surplus in Lumle i.e. 212.6 mm and minimum surplus in Pokhara Airport and Malepatan i.e. 84.14 mm and 82.83 mm respectively.

### 5.7 Analysis of Annual surplus/deficit at all stations



Figure 33 : Graph of Annual water surplus/deficit at different meteorological stations of Kaski District


Figure 34 : Spatial variation of annual water availability for major crops of kaski district

The annual surplus/deficit is calculated from annual runoff and annual crop water need. Malepatan and Pokhara Airport are with water deficit of 218.53 mm and 191.12 mm . Rest of the stations have water surplus with maximum in lumle i.e. 1571.75 mm and Pamdur i.e. 1156.20 mm . InLumle and Pamdur there is high rainfall and low temperatures that result in high surplus. Deficit in Malepatan and Pokhara Airport is due to the high temperature.

## CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 6.1 Conclusion

The major findings of this study are summarized as below:

- From the analysis of Monthly average rainfall, Kaski district receives maximum rainfall in July as it is peak period of monsoon season and minimum rainfall in November as it is end of post monsoon season with relatively dry weather.
- From the analysis of average temperature, average maximum temperature occurs in June as it is ending of pre-monsoon season and starting of monsoon season. Normally, temperature increases in pre-monsoon season until onset of monsoon. The average minimum temperature occurs in January as it is in winter season where cold and dry northwesterly wind prevails in the country.
- In the spatial analysis of average annual rainfall and average maximum temperature, the annual rainfall is maximum in Lumle as compared to other stations. In case of maximum temperatures, the highest values are observed in Pokhara Airport and Malepatan.
- Thronthwaite water balance model calculated monthly surface runoff of Kaski district. Maximum surface runoff is in southwest part of middle-mountain and northwest part of hill with maximum runoff in August in Lumle and Pamdur i.e 369.8 mm and 326.4 mm respectively. Minimum surface runoff is in southwest part of high-mountain, northwest part of middle-mountain, southern part of hill and little part of western hill including Salyan and Bhadaure. The month of minimum runoff calculated is March in Malepatan and Pokhara airport i.e. 168.7 mm and 171.7 mm respectively.
- Crop water need is calculated maximum in the month June to September because it is growing season of paddy, millet and crop development season of maize. Less crop water need is in November as it contains only harvesting season of paddy. From December to April only wheat is grown, so crop water need is less as compared to June, July, August and September.
- Monthly water availability (surplus /deficit) for major crops calculated from difference of monthly surface runoff and monthly crop water need. There is large
water deficit in July and August in all stations. This large deficit is due to the high value of crop water need. There is little amount of deficit in all stations in June and September. There is water surplus in October, November, December, January, February, March, April and May due to less crop water requirement.
- Annual rainfall trend of each station is calculated from excel trend analysis. There is maximum rainfall decrease of $27.4 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year in Salyan and minimum rainfall increase of $38.6 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year in Ghandruk.
- The annual water availability (surplus/deficit) is calculated from annual runoff and annual crop water need. There is Water deficit of 218.53 mm and 191.12 mm in Malepatan and Pokhara Airport which is result of high evaporation because of relatively high temperature. Rest of the stations have water surplus with maximum in lumle i.e. 1571.75 mm and Pamdur i.e. 1156.20 mm which is due to the high rainfall and low temperature.


### 6.2 Recommendations

After result and discussions made in previous chapter, following recommendations are prescribed.

- Study of water surplus/deficit for another pilot district with less annual rainfall can be done for comparison of result.
- Study on rain temperature threshold and snow temperature threshold of Nepal should be done for better result of model output.
- Study on geographic conditions should be done for establishment of meteorological stations that can cover best range of climatic conditions.
- Computing crop water need of other cereal crops, leguminous grains, tubers and bulb crops, oil seeds, cash crops, spices and vegetables can be taken into account to improve irrigation practices and irrigation schedules.
- Since there is temporal and spatial variation of water surplus and deficit, the study on utilization of surplus water can be done for crop harvesting in water deficient months and places.
- Study on soil type and spatial variation of major crops growing area can be done to know actual crop water need as well as for better irrigation practice.
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## APPENDIX

AppendixA
Table 0-1 : Average Monthly rainfall data of meteorological stations in mm

| Sation/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bhadaure | 21.72 | 37.35 | 51.95 | 93.07 | 304.93 | 691.66 | 1097.69 | 994.78 | 584.15 | 156.48 | 17.83 |
| Ghandruk | 25.07 | 57.54 | 73.42 | 106.14 | 208.57 | 565.80 | 978.41 | 974.64 | 538.59 | 114.16 | 20.70 |
| Lamachour | 18.91 | 37.00 | 68.27 | 103.43 | 337.92 | 788.06 | 1053.24 | 978.04 | 757.70 | 167.01 | 16.58 |
| Lumle | 32.08 | 52.59 | 70.28 | 104.23 | 309.06 | 886.75 | 1485.12 | 1396.44 | 886.33 | 209.05 | 22.28 |
| Malepatan | 22.35 | 35.13 | 61.35 | 106.12 | 308.75 | 648.46 | 983.33 | 840.48 | 648.22 | 158.16 | 17.33 |
| Pamdur | 28.49 | 37.53 | 61.37 | 101.51 | 279.44 | 777.55 | 1371.83 | 1221.69 | 808.98 | 188.48 | 23.43 |
| Pokhara Airport | 19.76 | 36.17 | 62.26 | 120.23 | 339.59 | 666.12 | 937.55 | 845.10 | 640.39 | 157.54 | 19.88 |
| salyan | 25.67 | 43.31 | 59.30 | 107.68 | 243.57 | 668.22 | 1090.41 | 969.11 | 578.28 | 138.98 | 17.47 |
| Sikles | 18.91 | 37.00 | 68.27 | 103.43 | 337.92 | 788.06 | 1053.24 | 978.04 | 757.70 | 167.01 | 16.58 |
|  | 16.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix B
Table 0-2 : Average monthly water surplus or deficit (mm) of meteorological stations

| station/month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bhadaure | 99.52 | 107.3 | 141.8 | 168.1 | 90.79 | -79.84 | -231.1 | -184.3 | -13.25 | 92.5 | 112 | 126 |
| Ghandruk | 81.42 | 89.19 | 121.2 | 145.7 | 67.25 | -95.88 | -237 | -189.1 | -27.4 | 71.98 | 92.77 | 106.7 |
| Lamachour | 93.67 | 103.7 | 144.1 | 173 | 88.92 | -94.43 | -269.4 | -217.3 | -19.16 | 88.72 | 108.8 | 123.2 |
| Lumle | 183.1 | 193.4 | 227.8 | 252.6 | 176.6 | 20.329 | -117.1 | -65.34 | 98.447 | 187.6 | 201.7 | 212.6 |
| Malepatan | 54.3 | 65.64 | 107.7 | 137.7 | 49.1 | -149.2 | -329.2 | -278.5 | -72.66 | 45.74 | 67.91 | 82.83 |
| Pamdur | 155.4 | 162 | 195.8 | 221.2 | 142.6 | -19.43 | -156.7 | -111 | 59.152 | 153.1 | 170.5 | 183.7 |
| Pokhara Airport | 55.7 | 66.85 | 109.2 | 141 | 52.77 | -144.9 | -327.2 | -275.3 | -70.99 | 47.72 | 69.86 | 84.14 |
| Salyan | 95.13 | 103.2 | 138.1 | 165.1 | 83.03 | -86.42 | -238.1 | -192.2 | -19.18 | 86.41 | 106.8 | 120.9 |
| Sikles | 123.7 | 130.3 | 164.4 | 189.4 | 116.1 | -44.88 | -199 | -152.2 | 24.806 | 118.7 | 136.7 | 150.3 |

Appendix C
Table 0-3: Monthly crop water need of major crops of Kaski district
Bhadaure
Bhadaure

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total Crop <br> water need |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 28.41 | 116.05 | 175.19 | 165.09 | 74.75 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 559.49 |
| Wheat | 99.00 | 90.10 | 54.48 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 53.58 | 81.39 | 378.54 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 89.22 | 185.73 | 192.22 | 174.77 | 125.67 | 43.84 | $\times$ | 811.44 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 45.32 | 137.58 | 176.20 | 78.89 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 437.98 |
| Monthly total <br> crop water need | 99.00 | 90.10 | 54.48 | 28.41 | 116.05 | 309.72 | 488.40 | 443.17 | 253.65 | 125.67 | 97.41 | 81.39 | 2187.45 |

Ghandruk
Ghandruk

| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total crop <br> water need |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 26.81 | 109.77 | 166.52 | 156.54 | 71.08 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 530.72 |
| Wheat | 91.38 | 83.78 | 51.12 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 50.09 | 75.36 | 351.72 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 84.60 | 176.10 | 182.78 | 165.49 | 118.42 | 40.98 | $\times$ | 768.38 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 42.97 | 130.45 | 167.55 | 74.70 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 415.67 |
| Monthly total <br> crop water need | 91.38 | 83.78 | 51.12 | 26.81 | 109.77 | 294.09 | 463.09 | 421.41 | 240.20 | 118.42 | 91.07 | 75.36 | 2066.49 |

Lamachour

| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total crop <br> water need |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 31.05 | 126.72 | 190.70 | 179.64 | 81.32 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 609.43 |
| Wheat | 111.95 | 100.86 | 60.01 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 59.51 | 91.64 | 423.97 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 96.89 | 202.09 | 209.11 | 190.53 | 137.99 | 48.69 | $\times$ | 885.30 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 49.21 | 149.70 | 191.68 | 86.00 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 476.60 |
| Monthly total <br> crop water need | 111.95 | 100.86 | 60.01 | 31.05 | 126.72 | 336.80 | 531.43 | 482.11 | 276.54 | 137.99 | 108.21 | 91.64 | 2395.29 |

Lumle

| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total crop <br> water need |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 28.09 | 113.54 | 171.99 | 162.53 | 73.40 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 549.55 |
| Wheat | 101.66 | 89.79 | 53.64 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 54.28 | 84.70 | 384.07 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 87.38 | 182.84 | 188.74 | 171.98 | 124.94 | 44.41 | $\times$ | 800.30 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 44.39 | 135.44 | 173.01 | 77.63 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 430.47 |
| monthly <br> totalcrop water <br> need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Malepatan

| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total crop <br> water need |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 31.69 | 130.17 | 197.55 | 186.48 | 84.22 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 630.10 |
| Wheat | 115.75 | 103.39 | 61.02 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 61.43 | 94.96 | 436.55 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 100.37 | 209.79 | 216.56 | 197.49 | 142.70 | 50.26 | $\times$ | 917.17 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 50.98 | 155.40 | 198.51 | 89.14 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 494.04 |
| Monthly total <br> crop water need | 115.75 | 103.39 | 61.02 | 31.69 | 130.17 | 348.89 | 551.68 | 499.29 | 286.63 | 142.70 | 111.70 | 94.96 | 2477.86 |

Pamdur

| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total crop <br> water need |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 28.01 | 114.48 | 172.91 | 162.95 | 73.79 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 552.13 |
| Wheat | 97.09 | 88.52 | 53.64 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 52.70 | 79.88 | 371.84 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 88.06 | 183.32 | 189.73 | 172.45 | 123.86 | 43.12 | $\times$ | 800.54 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 44.73 | 135.80 | 173.92 | 77.84 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 432.29 |
| Monthly <br> lotalcrop water <br> need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Pokhara

| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total crop <br> water need |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 32.25 | 131.11 | 197.55 | 186.06 | 84.22 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 631.18 |
| Wheat | 117.28 | 105.28 | 62.53 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 61.96 | 96.46 | 443.51 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 100.39 | 209.31 | 216.56 | 197.95 | 143.42 | 50.69 | $\times$ | 918.33 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 50.99 | 155.05 | 198.51 | 89.35 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 493.91 |
| Monthly total <br> crop water need | 117.28 | 105.28 | 62.53 | 32.25 | 131.11 | 348.93 | 550.42 | 499.29 | 287.30 | 143.42 | 112.65 | 96.46 | 2486.92 |

Salyan

| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total crop <br> water need |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 28.57 | 116.68 | 176.10 | 165.95 | 75.14 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 562.43 |
| Wheat | 99.76 | 90.74 | 54.81 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 53.93 | 81.99 | 381.22 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 89.47 | 186.69 | 193.21 | 175.69 | 126.39 | 44.12 | $\times$ | 815.59 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 45.45 | 138.29 | 177.11 | 79.31 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 440.15 |
| Monthly <br> totalcrop water <br> need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sikles

| Crop/Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total crop <br> water need |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 27.45 | 112.60 | 170.17 | 160.39 | 72.63 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 543.23 |
| Wheat | 94.81 | 86.94 | 52.47 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 51.66 | 78.07 | 363.94 |
| Paddy | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 86.46 | 180.44 | 186.75 | 169.67 | 121.68 | 42.27 | $\times$ | 787.26 |
| Millet | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 43.91 | 133.66 | 171.19 | 76.59 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 425.35 |
| Monthly total <br> crop water need | 94.81 | 86.94 | 52.47 | 27.45 | 112.60 | 300.54 | 474.48 | 430.57 | 246.25 | 121.68 | 93.92 | 78.07 | 2119.78 |

Appendix D
Table 0-4 : Average monthly runoff with mean temperature at all stations

| Index No./ Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 813 | 198.5 | 197.4 | 196.3 | 196.5 | 206.8 | 229.9 | 257.3 | 258.9 | 240.4 | 218.2 | 209.4 | 207.4 |
| 821 | 172.8 | 173.0 | 172.3 | 172.5 | 177.0 | 198.2 | 226.1 | 232.3 | 212.8 | 190.4 | 183.8 | 182.0 |
| 818 | 205.6 | 204.5 | 204.1 | 204.1 | 215.6 | 242.4 | 262.1 | 264.8 | 257.4 | 226.7 | 217.0 | 214.9 |
| 814 | 284.8 | 283.2 | 281.4 | 280.7 | 290.1 | 324.1 | 363.7 | 369.8 | 348.1 | 312.6 | 300.4 | 297.3 |
| 811 | 170.1 | 169.0 | 168.7 | 169.4 | 179.3 | 199.7 | 222.5 | 220.8 | 214.0 | 188.4 | 179.6 | 177.8 |
| 830 | 252.5 | 250.5 | 249.4 | 249.2 | 257.1 | 286.3 | 325.4 | 326.4 | 309.4 | 277.0 | 266.3 | 263.6 |
| 804 | 173.0 | 172.1 | 171.8 | 173.2 | 183.9 | 204.1 | 223.2 | 224.0 | 216.3 | 191.1 | 182.5 | 180.6 |
| 829 | 194.9 | 193.9 | 192.9 | 193.7 | 199.7 | 224.6 | 252.9 | 253.2 | 235.8 | 212.8 | 204.8 | 202.9 |
| 824 | 218.5 | 217.3 | 216.8 | 216.8 | 228.7 | 255.7 | 275.5 | 278.4 | 271.1 | 240.4 | 230.6 | 228.3 |

Appendix E
Table 0-5 : Average monthly runoff with maximum temperature at all stations

| Index No./ Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Bhadaure(813) | 183.4 | 182.4 | 181.4 | 181.6 | 191.5 | 214.1 | 241.4 | 242.9 | 224.3 | 202.1 | 193.5 | 191.6 |
| Ghandruk(821) | 158.6 | 158.8 | 158.2 | 158.3 | 162.5 | 183.4 | 211.1 | 217.2 | 197.6 | 175.3 | 168.9 | 167.2 |
| Lamachour(818) | 187.9 | 187.0 | 186.7 | 186.7 | 197.7 | 224.0 | 243.5 | 246.1 | 238.5 | 207.8 | 198.3 | 196.3 |
| Lumle(814) | 273.1 | 271.5 | 269.7 | 269.0 | 278.0 | 311.8 | 351.3 | 357.4 | 335.6 | 300.1 | 288.0 | 285.0 |
| Malepatan(811) | 150.7 | 149.9 | 149.8 | 150.6 | 159.9 | 179.7 | 202.3 | 200.3 | 193.4 | 167.9 | 159.2 | 157.6 |
| Pamdur(830) | 236.9 | 235.1 | 234.0 | 233.8 | 241.2 | 270.1 | 309.1 | 310.0 | 292.9 | 260.5 | 249.9 | 247.3 |
| Pokhara Airport(804) | 154.6 | 153.9 | 153.7 | 155.1 | 165.3 | 184.9 | 203.9 | 204.5 | 196.7 | 171.5 | 163.0 | 161.3 |
| Salyan(829) | 179.0 | 178.2 | 177.3 | 178.0 | 183.5 | 208.0 | 236.2 | 236.4 | 218.9 | 195.9 | 188.1 | 186.3 |
| Sikles(824) | 203.5 | 202.4 | 202.0 | 201.9 | 213.2 | 240.0 | 259.7 | 262.5 | 255.1 | 224.4 | 214.8 | 212.6 |

Appendix F
Table 0-6 : Average monthly runoff with minimum temperature at all stations

| Index No./ Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 813 | 210.6 | 209.4 | 208.2 | 208.6 | 219.3 | 242.5 | 270.0 | 271.7 | 253.2 | 231.0 | 222.1 | 220.0 |
| 821 | 183.5 | 184.1 | 183.5 | 183.8 | 188.5 | 209.9 | 237.8 | 244.1 | 224.6 | 202.2 | 195.5 | 193.4 |
| 818 | 207.5 | 206.5 | 205.5 | 206.4 | 212.7 | 237.8 | 266.2 | 266.6 | 249.3 | 226.2 | 218.2 | 216.2 |
| 814 | 294.4 | 292.8 | 291.0 | 290.4 | 300.1 | 334.1 | 373.8 | 380.0 | 358.3 | 322.8 | 310.6 | 307.4 |
| 811 | 186.3 | 185.2 | 184.8 | 185.6 | 196.0 | 216.7 | 239.7 | 238.1 | 231.3 | 205.8 | 196.8 | 194.9 |
| 830 | 264.7 | 262.7 | 261.5 | 261.4 | 269.7 | 299.0 | 338.2 | 339.3 | 322.4 | 290.0 | 279.2 | 276.2 |
| 804 | 188.7 | 187.7 | 187.3 | 188.9 | 200.1 | 220.5 | 239.8 | 240.7 | 233.1 | 207.9 | 199.1 | 197.1 |
| 829 | 207.5 | 206.5 | 205.5 | 206.4 | 212.7 | 237.8 | 266.2 | 266.6 | 249.3 | 226.2 | 218.2 | 216.2 |
| 824 | 230.1 | 228.9 | 228.4 | 228.6 | 240.8 | 267.8 | 287.8 | 290.7 | 283.5 | 252.8 | 242.9 | 240.5 |

Appendix G
Table 0-7 : Average Monthly Minimum temperature of all stations

| Index No./ Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 813 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 11.1 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 17.3 | 15.9 | 12.0 | 7.2 | 3.3 |
| 821 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 9.1 | 11.8 | 14.3 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 13.9 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 1.3 |
| 818 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 11.5 | 14.4 | 17.1 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 19.2 | 15.3 | 10.6 | 6.6 |
| 814 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 16.7 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 16.4 | 12.9 | 9.3 | 6.2 |
| 811 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 12.8 | 15.7 | 18.4 | 20.9 | 21.8 | 21.9 | 20.5 | 16.6 | 11.9 | 7.9 |
| 830 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 7.7 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 15.4 | 11.5 | 6.7 | 2.8 |
| 804 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 15.9 | 18.6 | 21.1 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 20.7 | 16.8 | 12.1 | 8.1 |
| 829 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 8.3 | 11.2 | 13.9 | 16.4 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 16.1 | 12.1 | 7.4 | 3.4 |
| 824 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 9.9 | 12.6 | 15.1 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 14.7 | 10.8 | 6.1 | 2.1 |

Appendix H
Table 0-8 : Average Monthly Maximum temperature of all stations

| Index No./ Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 813 | 15.0 | 17.6 | 22.1 | 25.2 | 25.6 | 25.9 | 25.3 | 25.5 | 24.9 | 22.8 | 19.5 | 16.1 |
| 821 | 13.1 | 15.6 | 20.1 | 23.2 | 23.6 | 23.9 | 23.3 | 23.5 | 22.9 | 20.8 | 17.5 | 14.1 |
| 818 | 18.4 | 21.0 | 25.4 | 28.6 | 28.9 | 29.3 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 28.2 | 26.2 | 22.8 | 19.4 |
| 814 | 13.8 | 15.6 | 19.7 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 24.0 | 23.6 | 23.7 | 23.1 | 21.4 | 18.3 | 15.2 |
| 811 | 19.7 | 22.3 | 26.7 | 29.9 | 30.2 | 30.5 | 29.9 | 30.1 | 29.5 | 27.4 | 24.1 | 20.7 |
| 830 | 14.5 | 17.1 | 21.6 | 24.7 | 25.1 | 25.4 | 24.8 | 25.0 | 24.4 | 22.3 | 19.0 | 15.6 |
| 804 | 19.9 | 22.4 | 26.9 | 30.0 | 30.4 | 30.7 | 30.1 | 30.3 | 29.7 | 27.6 | 24.3 | 20.9 |
| 829 | 15.2 | 17.8 | 22.3 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 26.1 | 25.5 | 25.7 | 25.0 | 23.0 | 19.6 | 16.3 |
| 824 | 13.9 | 16.5 | 20.9 | 24.1 | 24.4 | 24.8 | 24.2 | 24.4 | 23.7 | 21.7 | 18.3 | 14.9 |

Appendex I
Table 0-9 : Average Monthly Minimum and Maximum Temperature of Kaski district

| Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average Minimum Temperature | 3.6 | 5.9 | 9.4 | 12.3 | 14.9 | 17.4 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 13.1 | 8.5 | 4.6 |
| Average Maximum Temperature | 15.9 | 18.4 | 22.9 | 26.0 | 26.4 | 26.7 | 26.2 | 26.4 | 25.7 | 23.7 | 20.4 | 17.0 |

Appendix J

Figure 35 : Monthly runoff map of Kaski district calculated by Thronthwaite model using maximum and minimum temperatures















## Appendix K

Figure 36 : Crop calendar of Nepal for major food crop (FAO)

## Nepal

Crop calendar (*major foodcrop)


## Appendix L

Table 0-10 : Slope calculation for all stations

| Index <br> No. | LAT | LONG_ | ELEV_m | From_To | Distance (m) | Difference of elevation between stations | Rise/run | Slope\% | Slope in <br> degrees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 821 | 28.38 | 83.80 | 1960 | Pokhara Airport_Ghandruk | 27220 | 1133 | 0.041623806 | 4.162381 | 2.383493 |
| 824 | 28.36 | 84.10 | 1820 | Pokhara Airport_Siklesh | 19315 | 993 | 0.051410821 | 5.141082 | 2.943032 |
| 814 | 28.30 | 83.80 | 1740 | Pokhara Airport_Lumle | 22031 | 913 | 0.041441605 | 4.144161 | 2.373071 |
| 830 | 28.29 | 83.83 | 1713 | Pokhara Airport_Pamdur | 18906 | 886 | 0.04686343 | 4.686343 | 2.683114 |
| 813 | 28.27 | 83.82 | 1629 | Pokhara Airport_Bhadaure | 18890 | 802 | 0.042456326 | 4.245633 | 2.431108 |
| 829 | 28.28 | 83.80 | 1600 | Pokhara Airport_Sallyan | 21121 | 773 | 0.036598646 | 3.659865 | 2.096012 |
| 818 | 28.26 | 83.96 | 1070 | Pokhara <br> Airport_Lamachaur | 6796 | 243 | 0.035756327 | 3.575633 | 2.047814 |
| 811 | 28.21 | 83.95 | 856 | Pokhara <br> Airport_Malepatan | 4912 | 29 | 0.005903909 | 0.590391 | 0.338265 |
| 804 | 28.21 | 84.00 | 827 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix M

Table 0-11: Calculation of annual surplus/deficit at all stations

| Index <br> No. | Total annual <br> runoff |  | Total annual crop <br> water need |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 813 | 2616.95 | 2187.45 | Annual <br> surplus/deficit |
| 821 | 2293.27 | 2066.49 | 226.78 |
| 818 | 2719.17 | 2395.29 | 323.88 |
| 814 | 3736.14 | 2164.39 | 1571.75 |
| 811 | 2259.33 | 2477.86 | -218.53 |
| 830 | 3313.00 | 2156.80 | 1156.20 |
| 804 | 2295.80 | 2486.92 | -191.12 |
| 829 | 2562.21 | 2199.39 | 362.82 |
| 824 | 2878.10 | 2119.78 | 758.33 |

## Appendix N

Table 0-12 : Output from Thronthwaite water balance model of Pokhara Airport

| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | soil Moisture | AET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PET- } \\ & \text { AET } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | snow <br> Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 1 | 36.9 | 22.3 | -15.7 | 133.2 | 38 | -1.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 |
| 1985 | 2 | 43.4 | 25.8 | -18.9 | 115.2 | 42.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 |
| 1985 | 3 | 80.7 | 26.6 | -55.5 | 69.5 | 70.9 | 9.8 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 |
| 1985 | 4 | 105.1 | 63.4 | -44.8 | 47.3 | 82.5 | 22.6 | 0 | 0 | 3.4 |
| 1985 | 5 | 117.2 | 298.8 | 166.7 | 140 | 117.2 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 15.9 |
| 1985 | 6 | 134.9 | 372.7 | 219.2 | 140 | 134.9 | 0 | 0 | 219.2 | 21.8 |
| 1985 | 7 | 129.4 | 904.9 | 730.2 | 140 | 129.4 | 0 | 0 | 730.2 | 55.7 |
| 1985 | 8 | 127.4 | 525 | 371.4 | 140 | 127.4 | 0 | 0 | 371.4 | 40.3 |
| 1985 | 9 | 97.4 | 696.4 | 564.2 | 140 | 97.4 | 0 | 0 | 564.2 | 54.4 |
| 1985 | 10 | 71.5 | 203.5 | 121.8 | 140 | 71.5 | 0 | 0 | 121.8 | 30.7 |
| 1985 | 11 | 46.8 | 50.8 | 1.4 | 140 | 46.8 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 22.9 |
| 1985 | 12 | 38.5 | 58.1 | 16.7 | 140 | 38.5 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 23.2 |
| 1986 | 1 | 37 | 0.6 | -36.4 | 103.6 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.2 |
| 1986 | 2 | 42.4 | 46.8 | 2.1 | 105.7 | 42.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.3 |
| 1986 | 3 | 68.5 | 64 | -7.7 | 99.8 | 66.6 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 22.9 |
| 1986 | 4 | 87 | 191 | 94.5 | 140 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 54.3 | 29.6 |
| 1986 | 5 | 109.1 | 158.9 | 41.8 | 140 | 109.1 | 0 | 0 | 41.8 | 28.2 |
| 1986 | 6 | 135.3 | 726.2 | 554.6 | 140 | 135.3 | 0 | 0 | 554.6 | 62 |


| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | soil <br> Moisture | AET | PET- <br> AET | snow <br> Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 7 | 136 | 768.2 | 593.8 | 140 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 593.8 | 69.7 |
| 1986 | 8 | 126.6 | 574.2 | 418.9 | 140 | 126.6 | 0 | 0 | 418.9 | 63.9 |
| 1986 | 9 | 94.7 | 1164.2 | 1011.3 | 140 | 94.7 | 0 | 0 | 1011.3 | 103.2 |
| 1986 | 10 | 70.4 | 130.3 | 53.3 | 140 | 70.4 | 0 | 0 | 53.3 | 51.6 |
| 1986 | 11 | 49.5 | 14.2 | -36 | 104 | 49.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45.3 |
| 1986 | 12 | 36 | 69 | 29.6 | 133.5 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47.6 |
| 1987 | 1 | 38.2 | 3 | -35.3 | 99.9 | 36.5 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 43.9 |
| 1987 | 2 | 44.3 | 62.9 | 15.5 | 115.4 | 44.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46.4 |
| 1987 | 3 | 67.7 | 85.9 | 13.9 | 129.3 | 67.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47.1 |
| 1987 | 4 | 90.5 | 117.3 | 20.9 | 140 | 90.5 | 0 | 0 | 10.2 | 48.4 |
| 1987 | 5 | 116.5 | 110.6 | -11.4 | 128.6 | 116.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47.6 |
| 1987 | 6 | 137 | 655.7 | 485.9 | 140 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 474.5 | 79.2 |
| 1987 | 7 | 131.4 | 1212.8 | 1020.7 | 140 | 131.4 | 0 | 0 | 1020.7 | 116.8 |
| 1987 | 8 | 121.2 | 741.6 | 583.3 | 140 | 121.2 | 0 | 0 | 583.3 | 98.5 |
| 1987 | 9 | 102 | 728.1 | 589.7 | 140 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 589.7 | 103.1 |
| 1987 | 10 | 73.1 | 167.2 | 85.7 | 140 | 73.1 | 0 | 0 | 85.7 | 75.3 |
| 1987 | 11 | 49.7 | 5 | -44.9 | 95.1 | 49.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66.5 |
| 1987 | 12 | 39.5 | 28.3 | -12.6 | 86.5 | 35.4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 1988 | 1 | 39.4 | 1.6 | -37.8 | 63.1 | 24.9 | 14.5 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 1988 | 2 | 45.1 | 26.1 | -20.3 | 54 | 33.9 | 11.1 | 0 | 0 | 65.6 |
| 1988 | 3 | 67.7 | 73.4 | 2.1 | 56 | 67.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67.3 |
| 1988 | 4 | 96.9 | 135.6 | 31.9 | 87.9 | 96.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69.8 |
| 1988 | 5 | 123.1 | 241.4 | 106.2 | 140 | 123.1 | 0 | 0 | 54.1 | 75 |
| 1988 | 6 | 134.5 | 782.6 | 609 | 140 | 134.5 | 0 | 0 | 609 | 107.5 |
| 1988 | 7 | 138.1 | 1093.5 | 900.7 | 140 | 138.1 | 0 | 0 | 900.7 | 131.4 |
| 1988 | 8 | 123.9 | 810.5 | 646.1 | 140 | 123.9 | 0 | 0 | 646.1 | 122.9 |
| 1988 | 9 | 102.3 | 793.9 | 651.9 | 140 | 102.3 | 0 | 0 | 651.9 | 127.8 |
| 1988 | 10 | 75.9 | 15 | -61.6 | 78.4 | 75.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87.9 |
| 1988 | 11 | 48.4 | 3.6 | -45 | 53.2 | 28.6 | 19.8 | 0 | 0 | 86.5 |
| 1988 | 12 | 40.5 | 55 | 11.8 | 64.9 | 40.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88.2 |
| 1989 | 1 | 35.3 | 68 | 29.3 | 94.2 | 35.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| 1989 | 2 | 39.5 | 14.2 | -26 | 76.7 | 31 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 84.5 |
| 1989 | 3 | 67 | 65.5 | -4.8 | 74.1 | 64.9 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 86.2 |
| 1989 | 4 | 94.8 | 11.7 | -83.7 | 29.8 | 55.4 | 39.4 | 0 | 0 | 82.7 |
| 1989 | 5 | 127 | 518.3 | 365.4 | 140 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 255.2 | 109.7 |
| 1989 | 6 | 132 | 594.1 | 432.4 | 140 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 432.4 | 117 |
| 1989 | 7 | 127.8 | 973.9 | 797.4 | 140 | 127.8 | 0 | 0 | 797.4 | 143.1 |
| 1989 | 8 | 121.2 | 871.6 | 706.8 | 140 | 121.2 | 0 | 0 | 706.8 | 144.1 |
| 1989 | 9 | 99.8 | 807.2 | 667 | 140 | 99.8 | 0 | 0 | 667 | 146.6 |
| 1989 | 10 | 74 | 58.4 | -18.6 | 121.4 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 1989 | 11 | 47 | 44.4 | -4.8 | 117.3 | 46.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 106.3 |
| 1989 | 12 | 35.9 | 42.9 | 4.9 | 122.2 | 35.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105.2 |
| 1990 | 1 | 41 | 0 | -41 | 86.4 | 35.8 | 5.2 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 1990 | 2 | 42 | 59.6 | 14.6 | 101 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 1990 | 3 | 60.3 | 114.7 | 48.6 | 140 | 60.3 | 0 | 0 | 9.7 | 105.8 |
| 1990 | 4 | 88.9 | 44.8 | -46.3 | 93.7 | 88.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101.3 |
| 1990 | 5 | 117.2 | 361.1 | 225.9 | 140 | 117.2 | 0 | 0 | 179.6 | 117.9 |


| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | soil <br> Moisture | AET | PET- <br> AET | snow <br> Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 6 | 137 | 931.5 | 747.9 | 140 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 747.9 | 152.9 |
| 1990 | 7 | 135.2 | 732.5 | 560.7 | 140 | 135.2 | 0 | 0 | 560.7 | 147.5 |
| 1990 | 8 | 123.1 | 742.5 | 582.3 | 140 | 123.1 | 0 | 0 | 582.3 | 152.7 |
| 1990 | 9 | 100.1 | 530.2 | 403.6 | 140 | 100.1 | 0 | 0 | 403.6 | 145 |
| 1990 | 10 | 71.5 | 99.7 | 23.2 | 140 | 71.5 | 0 | 0 | 23.2 | 122.5 |
| 1990 | 11 | 50.1 | 0 | -50.1 | 89.9 | 50.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116.4 |
| 1990 | 12 | 38.5 | 2.9 | -35.8 | 66.9 | 25.7 | 12.8 | 0 | 0 | 115.3 |
| 1991 | 1 | 36 | 9.9 | -26.6 | 54.2 | 22.1 | 13.9 | 0 | 0 | 114.5 |
| 1991 | 2 | 45.4 | 22.4 | -24.1 | 44.9 | 30.6 | 14.8 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| 1991 | 3 | 70.9 | 77.6 | 2.8 | 47.7 | 70.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115.7 |
| 1991 | 4 | 92.8 | 64.2 | -31.8 | 36.9 | 71.8 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 113.9 |
| 1991 | 5 | 122 | 358.3 | 218.4 | 140 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 115.3 | 128.6 |
| 1991 | 6 | 132.4 | 497.5 | 340.2 | 140 | 132.4 | 0 | 0 | 340.2 | 137.9 |
| 1991 | 7 | 140.7 | 797.7 | 617.1 | 140 | 140.7 | 0 | 0 | 617.1 | 157.9 |
| 1991 | 8 | 125.4 | 602.9 | 447.3 | 140 | 125.4 | 0 | 0 | 447.3 | 151.5 |
| 1991 | 9 | 101.4 | 994.1 | 843 | 140 | 101.4 | 0 | 0 | 843 | 178.3 |
| 1991 | 10 | 75.4 | 53 | -25.1 | 114.9 | 75.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129.9 |
| 1991 | 11 | 46.1 | 0.8 | -45.3 | 77.7 | 38 | 8.1 | 0 | 0 | 126 |
| 1991 | 12 | 36.3 | 35.2 | -2.9 | 76.1 | 35 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 126.5 |
| 1992 | 1 | 36.3 | 10.5 | -26.3 | 61.8 | 24.3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 1992 | 2 | 38.6 | 24.7 | -15.1 | 55.1 | 30.2 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 123.5 |
| 1992 | 3 | 74.5 | 0.5 | -74 | 26 | 29.6 | 44.9 | 0 | 0 | 121.1 |
| 1992 | 4 | 104.4 | 53.9 | -53.2 | 16.1 | 61.1 | 43.3 | 0 | 0 | 122.5 |
| 1992 | 5 | 114 | 247 | 120.7 | 136.8 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 1992 | 6 | 134.9 | 469.1 | 310.8 | 140 | 134.9 | 0 | 0 | 307.6 | 144 |
| 1992 | 7 | 135.6 | 793.6 | 618.3 | 140 | 135.6 | 0 | 0 | 618.3 | 165.2 |
| 1992 | 8 | 125 | 798.7 | 633.7 | 140 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 633.7 | 170.5 |
| 1992 | 9 | 101.4 | 396.5 | 275.3 | 140 | 101.4 | 0 | 0 | 275.3 | 151.8 |
| 1992 | 10 | 73.1 | 246.5 | 161.1 | 140 | 73.1 | 0 | 0 | 161.1 | 144.6 |
| 1992 | 11 | 48.4 | 2.4 | -46.2 | 93.8 | 48.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131.1 |
| 1992 | 12 | 36.3 | 26.2 | -11.4 | 86.2 | 32.6 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 1993 | 1 | 37.3 | 9.7 | -28.1 | 68.9 | 26.5 | 10.8 | 0 | 0 | 128.9 |
| 1993 | 2 | 45.4 | 20.2 | -26.2 | 56 | 32.1 | 13.3 | 0 | 0 | 128.1 |
| 1993 | 3 | 62 | 55.7 | -9.1 | 52.3 | 56.6 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 128.6 |
| 1993 | 4 | 88.9 | 205.2 | 106.1 | 140 | 88.9 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 135 |
| 1993 | 5 | 116.5 | 358.4 | 224 | 140 | 116.5 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 143.7 |
| 1993 | 6 | 134.9 | 652.1 | 484.6 | 140 | 134.9 | 0 | 0 | 484.6 | 161.9 |
| 1993 | 7 | 141.6 | 965.6 | 775.7 | 140 | 141.6 | 0 | 0 | 775.7 | 184.1 |
| 1993 | 8 | 123.1 | 1168.5 | 987 | 140 | 123.1 | 0 | 0 | 987 | 202.7 |
| 1993 | 9 | 95.6 | 478.5 | 359 | 140 | 95.6 | 0 | 0 | 359 | 170.4 |
| 1993 | 10 | 75.2 | 294.7 | 204.8 | 140 | 75.2 | 0 | 0 | 204.8 | 161.8 |
| 1993 | 11 | 50.6 | 0.6 | -50 | 90 | 50.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145.6 |
| 1993 | 12 | 40 | 0 | -40 | 64.3 | 25.7 | 14.3 | 0 | 0 | 144.1 |
| 1994 | 1 | 37.8 | 34.7 | -4.8 | 62.1 | 35.2 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 144.4 |
| 1994 | 2 | 40.1 | 49.5 | 6.9 | 69 | 40.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143.7 |
| 1994 | 3 | 72.2 | 73.2 | -2.7 | 67.7 | 70.9 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 143.5 |
| 1994 | 4 | 90.8 | 59.6 | -34.2 | 51.1 | 73.1 | 17.7 | 0 | 0 | 141.4 |


| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | soil <br> Moisture | AET | PET- <br> AET | snow <br> Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 5 | 125.1 | 380.7 | 236.6 | 140 | 125.1 | 0 | 0 | 147.8 | 157.6 |
| 1994 | 6 | 134.5 | 686.9 | 518.1 | 140 | 134.5 | 0 | 0 | 518.1 | 176.7 |
| 1994 | 7 | 140.7 | 1020.3 | 828.6 | 140 | 140.7 | 0 | 0 | 828.6 | 200.2 |
| 1994 | 8 | 128.2 | 794.4 | 626.5 | 140 | 128.2 | 0 | 0 | 626.5 | 193.7 |
| 1994 | 9 | 100.4 | 523.8 | 397.2 | 140 | 100.4 | 0 | 0 | 397.2 | 182.6 |
| 1994 | 10 | 71.1 | 97.8 | 21.8 | 140 | 71.1 | 0 | 0 | 21.8 | 159.9 |
| 1994 | 11 | 45.5 | 1.8 | -43.8 | 96.2 | 45.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153.6 |
| 1994 | 12 | 36.2 | 0 | -36.2 | 71.3 | 24.9 | 11.3 | 0 | 0 | 152 |
| 1995 | 1 | 34.3 | 16 | -19.1 | 61.6 | 24.9 | 9.4 | 0 | 0 | 151.2 |
| 1995 | 2 | 41.2 | 43.4 | 0 | 61.6 | 41.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151.1 |
| 1995 | 3 | 68.1 | 72.5 | 0.8 | 62.4 | 68.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151.1 |
| 1995 | 4 | 94.8 | 75.8 | -22.8 | 52.2 | 82.2 | 12.7 | 0 | 0 | 149.8 |
| 1995 | 5 | 134.7 | 282.4 | 133.6 | 140 | 134.7 | 0 | 0 | 45.7 | 159.1 |
| 1995 | 6 | 132 | 1391.3 | 1189.7 | 140 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 1189.7 | 225 |
| 1995 | 7 | 135.2 | 1372.1 | 1168.3 | 140 | 135.2 | 0 | 0 | 1168.3 | 234.2 |
| 1995 | 8 | 125.8 | 745.5 | 582.4 | 140 | 125.8 | 0 | 0 | 582.4 | 207 |
| 1995 | 9 | 101.7 | 560 | 430.3 | 140 | 101.7 | 0 | 0 | 430.3 | 200.3 |
| 1995 | 10 | 77.1 | 202.8 | 115.6 | 140 | 77.1 | 0 | 0 | 115.6 | 181.9 |
| 1995 | 11 | 48.9 | 80.8 | 27.9 | 140 | 48.9 | 0 | 0 | 27.9 | 174.4 |
| 1995 | 12 | 37.9 | 3.9 | -34.2 | 105.8 | 37.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168.8 |
| 1996 | 1 | 36 | 59.7 | 20.7 | 126.5 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169.9 |
| 1996 | 2 | 42.5 | 75.4 | 29.1 | 140 | 42.5 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 169.2 |
| 1996 | 3 | 74 | 94.6 | 15.8 | 140 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 15.8 | 168.6 |
| 1996 | 4 | 97.2 | 38.5 | -60.6 | 79.4 | 97.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164.2 |
| 1996 | 5 | 122.8 | 371.9 | 230.5 | 140 | 122.8 | 0 | 0 | 169.9 | 180.9 |
| 1996 | 6 | 129.2 | 687.5 | 524 | 140 | 129.2 | 0 | 0 | 524 | 200.3 |
| 1996 | 7 | 137.7 | 936.8 | 752.3 | 140 | 137.7 | 0 | 0 | 752.3 | 218.7 |
| 1996 | 8 | 124.7 | 857.4 | 689.9 | 140 | 124.7 | 0 | 0 | 689.9 | 219.9 |
| 1996 | 9 | 99.8 | 703.4 | 568.4 | 140 | 99.8 | 0 | 0 | 568.4 | 216.1 |
| 1996 | 10 | 71.1 | 131.7 | 54 | 140 | 71.1 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 186.2 |
| 1996 | 11 | 50.6 | 0 | -50.6 | 89.4 | 50.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177.9 |
| 1996 | 12 | 38.4 | 0 | -38.4 | 64.9 | 24.5 | 13.9 | 0 | 0 | 176.1 |
| 1997 | 1 | 35.3 | 62.5 | 24.1 | 88.9 | 35.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177.4 |
| 1997 | 2 | 39.1 | 11.8 | -27.9 | 71.2 | 28.9 | 10.2 | 0 | 0 | 173.2 |
| 1997 | 3 | 69.6 | 45.6 | -26.3 | 57.9 | 56.7 | 12.9 | 0 | 0 | 173.1 |
| 1997 | 4 | 82.7 | 224.6 | 130.6 | 140 | 82.7 | 0 | 0 | 48.5 | 180.9 |
| 1997 | 5 | 114.7 | 308.7 | 178.6 | 140 | 114.7 | 0 | 0 | 178.6 | 185.2 |
| 1997 | 6 | 130 | 545.8 | 388.6 | 140 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 388.6 | 199.2 |
| 1997 | 7 | 141.1 | 1113.5 | 916.7 | 140 | 141.1 | 0 | 0 | 916.7 | 235 |
| 1997 | 8 | 126.2 | 604.1 | 447.7 | 140 | 126.2 | 0 | 0 | 447.7 | 212.2 |
| 1997 | 9 | 99.2 | 327.4 | 211.8 | 140 | 99.2 | 0 | 0 | 211.8 | 198.7 |
| 1997 | 10 | 65 | 115.8 | 45 | 140 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 186.8 |
| 1997 | 11 | 47.3 | 30 | -18.8 | 121.2 | 47.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180.7 |
| 1997 | 12 | 35 | 133.9 | 92.2 | 140 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 73.4 | 184.8 |
| 1998 |  | 35.4 | 0 | -35.4 | 104.6 | 35.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176.3 |
| 1998 | 2 | 44 | 24.8 | -20.4 | 89.3 | 38.8 | 5.2 | 0 | 0 | 175.8 |
| 1998 | 3 | 63.8 | 107.6 | 38.4 | 127.7 | 63.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178.2 |


| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | soil Moisture | AET | PET- <br> AET | snow Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 4 | 94 | 238.9 | 133 | 140 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 120.7 | 184.2 |
| 1998 | 5 | 126.2 | 415.3 | 268.3 | 140 | 126.2 | 0 | 0 | 268.3 | 194 |
| 1998 | 6 | 144 | 769.7 | 587.3 | 140 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 587.3 | 215.9 |
| 1998 | 7 | 139.4 | 917.2 | 731.9 | 140 | 139.4 | 0 | 0 | 731.9 | 228.8 |
| 1998 | 8 | 124.7 | 1493.5 | 1294.2 | 140 | 124.7 | 0 | 0 | 1294.2 | 268.7 |
| 1998 | 9 | 103.9 | 740.3 | 599.4 | 140 | 103.9 | 0 | 0 | 599.4 | 235.1 |
| 1998 | 10 | 83.8 | 158.7 | 67 | 140 | 83.8 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 204.7 |
| 1998 | 11 | 52.7 | 9.6 | -43.6 | 96.4 | 52.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195.3 |
| 1998 | 12 | 39.3 | 3.4 | -36 | 71.6 | 28 | 11.2 | 0 | 0 | 193 |
| 1999 | 1 | 37.6 | 7.5 | -30.4 | 56.1 | 22.7 | 14.9 | 0 | 0 | 191.3 |
| 1999 | 2 | 50.1 | 22.6 | -28.6 | 44.6 | 32.9 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 190.2 |
| 1999 | 3 | 78 | 0 | -78 | 19.7 | 24.9 | 53.2 | 0 | 0 | 187.1 |
| 1999 | 4 | 117.1 | 20.6 | -97.5 | 6 | 33.3 | 83.8 | 0 | 0 | 186.3 |
| 1999 | 5 | 122.4 | 899.7 | 732.3 | 140 | 122.4 | 0 | 0 | 598.3 | 234.4 |
| 1999 | 6 | 132 | 979.6 | 798.6 | 140 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 798.6 | 244.5 |
| 1999 | 7 | 133.5 | 950.5 | 769.5 | 140 | 133.5 | 0 | 0 | 769.5 | 248.8 |
| 1999 | 8 | 119.7 | 899.7 | 735 | 140 | 119.7 | 0 | 0 | 735 | 251.6 |
| 1999 | 9 | 103.9 | 730.7 | 590.2 | 140 | 103.9 | 0 | 0 | 590.2 | 246.9 |
| 1999 | 10 | 76.6 | 176.4 | 91 | 140 | 76.6 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 218 |
| 1999 | 11 | 50.8 | 0 | -50.8 | 89.2 | 50.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207.1 |
| 1999 | 12 | 40.5 | 0.8 | -39.7 | 63.9 | 26.1 | 14.4 | 0 | 0 | 205.1 |
| 2000 | 1 | 38.4 | 10.6 | -28.3 | 51 | 23 | 15.4 | 0 | 0 | 203.5 |
| 2000 | 2 | 39.3 | 13.3 | -26.7 | 41.3 | 22.4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 201.6 |
| 2000 | 3 | 65.6 | 51.5 | -16.7 | 36.3 | 53.8 | 11.8 | 0 | 0 | 201.5 |
| 2000 | 4 | 87.5 | 199.5 | 102 | 138.4 | 87.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206.9 |
| 2000 | 5 | 109.1 | 682.9 | 539.6 | 140 | 109.1 | 0 | 0 | 538 | 234.5 |
| 2000 | 6 | 114.4 | 875.7 | 717.5 | 140 | 114.4 | 0 | 0 | 717.5 | 249.3 |
| 2000 | 7 | 128.6 | 1032 | 851.8 | 140 | 128.6 | 0 | 0 | 851.8 | 263.6 |
| 2000 | 8 | 123.9 | 1192.4 | 1008.9 | 140 | 123.9 | 0 | 0 | 1008.9 | 279.6 |
| 2000 | 9 | 97.4 | 572.7 | 446.7 | 140 | 97.4 | 0 | 0 | 446.7 | 250.9 |
| 2000 | 10 | 77.1 | 136 | 52.1 | 140 | 77.1 | 0 | 0 | 52.1 | 227.3 |
| 2000 | 11 | 51.9 | 18.4 | -34.4 | 105.6 | 51.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219.3 |
| 2000 | 12 | 38.3 | 0 | -38.3 | 76.7 | 28.9 | 9.4 | 0 | 0 | 216.2 |
| 2001 | 1 | 37.6 | 3 | -34.7 | 57.7 | 21.9 | 15.7 | 0 | 0 | 214.1 |
| 2001 | 2 | 46.1 | 25 | -22.3 | 48.5 | 33 | 13.1 | 0 | 0 | 213.1 |
| 2001 | 3 | 72.4 | 15.3 | -57.9 | 28.4 | 34.6 | 37.8 | 0 | 0 | 210.5 |
| 2001 | 4 | 97.2 | 111.7 | 8.9 | 37.3 | 97.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213.2 |
| 2001 | 5 | 121.2 | 359.2 | 220 | 140 | 121.2 | 0 | 0 | 117.3 | 224.7 |
| 2001 | 6 | 137.8 | 711.5 | 538.1 | 140 | 137.8 | 0 | 0 | 538.1 | 245.6 |
| 2001 | 7 | 142.9 | 856.4 | 670.7 | 140 | 142.9 | 0 | 0 | 670.7 | 257.5 |
| 2001 | 8 | 127.8 | 1521.9 | 1318 | 140 | 127.8 | 0 | 0 | 1318 | 301.8 |
| 2001 | 9 | 101.7 | 716.1 | 578.6 | 140 | 101.7 | 0 | 0 | 578.6 | 265 |
| 2001 | 10 | 80.2 | 115.3 | 29.3 | 140 | 80.2 | 0 | 0 | 29.3 | 233 |
| 2001 | 11 | 53.5 | 77.1 | 19.7 | 140 | 53.5 | 0 | 0 | 19.7 | 229 |
| 2001 | 12 | 39.5 | 0 | -39.5 | 100.5 | 39.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222.9 |
| 2002 | 1 | 37.7 | 44.4 | 4.5 | 105 | 37.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222.9 |
| 2002 | 2 | 46.1 | 54 | 5.2 | 110.2 | 46.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221.2 |


| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | soil <br> Moisture | AET | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PET- } \\ & \text { AET } \end{aligned}$ | snow Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 3 | 73.8 | 61.9 | -15 | 98.4 | 70.6 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 219.4 |
| 2002 | 4 | 95.7 | 202.1 | 96.3 | 140 | 95.7 | 0 | 0 | 54.7 | 224.8 |
| 2002 | 5 | 122.4 | 437.1 | 292.9 | 140 | 122.4 | 0 | 0 | 292.9 | 237.3 |
| 2002 | 6 | 140.9 | 703.4 | 527.4 | 140 | 140.9 | 0 | 0 | 527.4 | 253.7 |
| 2002 | 7 | 140.3 | 1815.1 | 1584.1 | 140 | 140.3 | 0 | 0 | 1584.1 | 323 |
| 2002 | 8 | 127 | 693.3 | 531.6 | 140 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 531.6 | 269.9 |
| 2002 | 9 | 101.1 | 335.4 | 217.6 | 140 | 101.1 | 0 | 0 | 217.6 | 251.8 |
| 2002 | 10 | 76.4 | 95.8 | 14.6 | 140 | 76.4 | 0 | 0 | 14.6 | 237.6 |
| 2002 | 11 | 50.8 | 23.5 | -28.4 | 111.6 | 50.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231.7 |
| 2002 | 12 | 39.4 | 0 | -39.4 | 80.2 | 31.4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 228.2 |
| 2003 | 1 | 37 | 36.6 | -2.2 | 78.9 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 227.7 |
| 2003 | 2 | 43.6 | 84.6 | 36.8 | 115.7 | 43.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227.9 |
| 2003 | 3 | 68.7 | 100.1 | 26.4 | 140 | 68.7 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 226.4 |
| 2003 | 4 | 99.7 | 202.6 | 92.8 | 140 | 99.7 | 0 | 0 | 92.8 | 230.3 |
| 2003 | 5 | 117.5 | 246 | 116.2 | 140 | 117.5 | 0 | 0 | 116.2 | 231.4 |
| 2003 | 6 | 136.1 | 785.4 | 610 | 140 | 136.1 | 0 | 0 | 610 | 262.3 |
| 2003 | 7 | 140.3 | 1291.8 | 1086.9 | 140 | 140.3 | 0 | 0 | 1086.9 | 296.3 |
| 2003 | 8 | 133 | 586 | 423.7 | 140 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 423.7 | 262.9 |
| 2003 | 9 | 103.3 | 953 | 802.1 | 140 | 103.3 | 0 | 0 | 802.1 | 286.9 |
| 2003 | 10 | 80.5 | 17.2 | -64.2 | 75.8 | 80.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237.7 |
| 2003 | 11 | 52.4 | 16.9 | -36.3 | 56.2 | 35.7 | 16.6 | 0 | 0 | 235.3 |
| 2003 | 12 | 39 | 42.1 | 1 | 57.2 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234.3 |
| 2004 | 1 | 37.8 | 31.2 | -8.2 | 53.8 | 33 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 231.4 |
| 2004 | 2 | 46.7 | 10.9 | -36.3 | 39.9 | 24.3 | 22.3 | 0 | 0 | 228.1 |
| 2004 | 3 | 84.6 | 28.4 | -57.6 | 23.5 | 43.4 | 41.2 | 0 | 0 | 226.7 |
| 2004 | 4 | 95.1 | 268.7 | 160.1 | 140 | 95.1 | 0 | 0 | 43.6 | 236.9 |
| 2004 | 5 | 125.5 | 372.5 | 228.4 | 140 | 125.5 | 0 | 0 | 228.4 | 242.1 |
| 2004 | 6 | 136.6 | 772 | 596.8 | 140 | 136.6 | 0 | 0 | 596.8 | 265.8 |
| 2004 | 7 | 137.7 | 816.9 | 638.4 | 140 | 137.7 | 0 | 0 | 638.4 | 272.2 |
| 2004 | 8 | 133.5 | 788.7 | 615.8 | 140 | 133.5 | 0 | 0 | 615.8 | 274.6 |
| 2004 | 9 | 101.4 | 867 | 722.3 | 140 | 101.4 | 0 | 0 | 722.3 | 283.4 |
| 2004 | 10 | 73.1 | 184.2 | 101.9 | 140 | 73.1 | 0 | 0 | 101.9 | 247.9 |
| 2004 | 11 | 47.1 | 33 | -15.8 | 124.2 | 47.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237.9 |
| 2004 | 12 | 39.4 | 0 | -39.4 | 89.3 | 34.9 | 4.4 | 0 | 0 | 233.9 |
| 2005 | 1 | 37.6 | 58 | 17.5 | 106.8 | 37.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234.5 |
| 2005 | 2 | 44.9 | 11.4 | -34.1 | 80.8 | 36.9 | 8.1 | 0 | 0 | 229.8 |
| 2005 | 3 | 74.5 | 85 | 6.3 | 87 | 74.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231.2 |
| 2005 | 4 | 94.8 | 104.6 | 4.5 | 91.6 | 94.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229.9 |
| 2005 | 5 | 117.5 | 309.5 | 176.5 | 140 | 117.5 | 0 | 0 | 128.1 | 239.2 |
| 2005 | 6 | 140 | 282.2 | 128.1 | 140 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 128.1 | 236.9 |
| 2005 | 7 | 143.4 | 548.8 | 378 | 140 | 143.4 | 0 | 0 | 378 | 251.8 |
| 2005 | 8 | 128.2 | 924.5 | 750.1 | 140 | 128.2 | 0 | 0 | 750.1 | 275.8 |
| 2005 | 9 | 107.5 | 313.5 | 190.3 | 140 | 107.5 | 0 | 0 | 190.3 | 244.9 |
| 2005 | 10 | 73.6 | 325.7 | 235.8 | 140 | 73.6 | 0 | 0 | 235.8 | 245.6 |
| 2005 | 11 | 48.3 | 3.6 | -44.9 | 95.1 | 48.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227.2 |
| 2005 | 12 | 37.5 | 0 | -37.5 | 69.7 | 25.5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 224.7 |
| 2006 | 1 | 39.4 | 0 | -39.4 | 50.1 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 0 | 0 | 222.5 |


| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | soil Moisture | AET | PET- <br> AET | snow Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 2 | 54.6 | 5.3 | -49.6 | 32.3 | 22.8 | 31.9 | 0 | 0 | 220.5 |
| 2006 | 3 | 72.4 | 83.5 | 6.9 | 39.2 | 72.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222.2 |
| 2006 | 4 | 93.7 | 147 | 46 | 85.2 | 93.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223.2 |
| 2006 | 5 | 125.8 | 586.7 | 431.5 | 140 | 125.8 | 0 | 0 | 376.7 | 246.8 |
| 2006 | 6 | 136.1 | 493.8 | 333 | 140 | 136.1 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 243.3 |
| 2006 | 7 | 148.3 | 433.5 | 263.5 | 140 | 148.3 | 0 | 0 | 263.5 | 240.7 |
| 2006 | 8 | 132.2 | 529.8 | 371.1 | 140 | 132.2 | 0 | 0 | 371.1 | 247.1 |
| 2006 | 9 | 103.3 | 409.4 | 285.6 | 140 | 103.3 | 0 | 0 | 285.6 | 241.7 |
| 2006 | 10 | 76.8 | 275 | 184.4 | 140 | 76.8 | 0 | 0 | 184.4 | 234.6 |
| 2006 | 11 | 50.9 | 3.3 | -47.8 | 92.2 | 50.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218.8 |
| 2006 | 12 | 40.9 | 17.1 | -24.6 | 76 | 32.5 | 8.4 | 0 | 0 | 217.3 |
| 2007 | 1 | 37.5 | 0 | -37.5 | 55.7 | 20.3 | 17.1 | 0 | 0 | 214.3 |
| 2007 | 2 | 42 | 159.5 | 109.5 | 140 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 25.2 | 220.4 |
| 2007 | 3 | 69.2 | 59.2 | -12.9 | 127.1 | 69.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213.3 |
| 2007 | 4 | 98.7 | 219.7 | 110 | 140 | 98.7 | 0 | 0 | 97.1 | 220.1 |
| 2007 | 5 | 129.4 | 307.8 | 163 | 140 | 129.4 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 224.1 |
| 2007 | 6 | 139.6 | 616.1 | 445.7 | 140 | 139.6 | 0 | 0 | 445.7 | 241.9 |
| 2007 | 7 | 139 | 931.4 | 745.9 | 140 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 745.9 | 263 |
| 2007 | 8 | 129.4 | 676.1 | 512.9 | 140 | 129.4 | 0 | 0 | 512.9 | 253.2 |
| 2007 | 9 | 100.4 | 1186.2 | 1026.4 | 140 | 100.4 | 0 | 0 | 1026.4 | 286.8 |
| 2007 | 10 | 80.2 | 72.6 | -11.3 | 128.7 | 80.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228.8 |
| 2007 | 11 | 49.8 | 25 | -26.1 | 104.8 | 47.7 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 224.2 |
| 2007 | 12 | 37.4 | 12.2 | -25.8 | 85.5 | 30.9 | 6.5 | 0 | 0 | 221.3 |
| 2008 | 1 | 37 | 17.1 | -20.8 | 72.8 | 28.9 | 8.1 | 0 | 0 | 219.3 |
| 2008 | 2 | 41.2 | 1.6 | -39.7 | 52.2 | 22.2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 216.4 |
| 2008 | 3 | 74.7 | 29.3 | -46.9 | 34.7 | 45.3 | 29.4 | 0 | 0 | 215.6 |
| 2008 | 4 | 96 | 113.6 | 11.9 | 46.6 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217.7 |
| 2008 | 5 | 118.6 | 332.8 | 197.5 | 140 | 118.6 | 0 | 0 | 104.1 | 227.6 |
| 2008 | 6 | 136.1 | 604.3 | 437.9 | 140 | 136.1 | 0 | 0 | 437.9 | 243.4 |
| 2008 | 7 | 143.4 | 487.4 | 319.7 | 140 | 143.4 | 0 | 0 | 319.7 | 238.6 |
| 2008 | 8 | 126.2 | 1208.7 | 1022.1 | 140 | 126.2 | 0 | 0 | 1022.1 | 282.8 |
| 2008 | 9 | 98.3 | 365.1 | 248.6 | 140 | 98.3 | 0 | 0 | 248.6 | 240.9 |
| 2008 | 10 | 74.7 | 102.8 | 22.9 | 140 | 74.7 | 0 | 0 | 22.9 | 225.7 |
| 2008 | 11 | 51.4 | 0 | -51.4 | 88.6 | 51.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218.4 |
| 2008 | 12 | 41.9 | 0 | -41.9 | 62.1 | 26.5 | 15.4 | 0 | 0 | 216.2 |
| 2009 | 1 | 41.6 | 0 | -41.6 | 43.6 | 18.5 | 23.2 | 0 | 0 | 214 |
| 2009 | 2 | 50.7 | 0 | -50.7 | 27.8 | 15.8 | 34.9 | 0 | 0 | 211.9 |
| 2009 | 3 | 74.7 | 25.3 | -50.7 | 17.8 | 34.1 | 40.6 | 0 | 0 | 211.1 |
| 2009 | 4 | 107.4 | 45.6 | -64 | 9.6 | 51.4 | 55.9 | 0 | 0 | 210 |
| 2009 | 5 | 125.5 | 260.2 | 121.7 | 131.4 | 125.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218.6 |
| 2009 | 6 | 140.4 | 609.3 | 438.4 | 140 | 140.4 | 0 | 0 | 429.8 | 238.3 |
| 2009 | 7 | 146.9 | 762.7 | 577.6 | 140 | 146.9 | 0 | 0 | 577.6 | 249.7 |
| 2009 | 8 | 130.6 | 1026.2 | 844.3 | 140 | 130.6 | 0 | 0 | 844.3 | 269.2 |
| 2009 | 9 | 103.9 | 302.5 | 183.4 | 140 | 103.9 | 0 | 0 | 183.4 | 232.7 |
| 2009 | 10 | 76.6 | 220.2 | 132.6 | 140 | 76.6 | 0 | 0 | 132.6 | 227.7 |
| 2009 | 11 | 49.8 | 0 | -49.8 | 90.2 | 49.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214.5 |
| 2009 | 12 | 41 | 4.4 | -36.8 | 66.5 | 27.9 | 13.1 | 0 | 0 | 212.6 |


| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | soil <br> Moisture | AET | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PET- } \\ & \text { AET } \end{aligned}$ | snow <br> Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | I | 40.5 | 0.1 | -40.4 | 47.3 | 19.3 | 21.2 | 0 | 0 | 210.3 |
| 2010 | 2 | 44.8 | 55.1 | 7.5 | 54.8 | 44.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210.9 |
| 2010 | 3 | 82.5 | 72.9 | -13.3 | 49.6 | 74.4 | 8.1 | 0 | 0 | 209.7 |
| 2010 | 4 | 109 | 74.9 | -37.9 | 36.2 | 84.6 | 24.5 | 0 | 0 | 207.8 |
| 2010 | 5 | 126.2 | 271.6 | 131.8 | 140 | 126.2 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 215.8 |
| 2010 | 6 | 141.3 | 539.2 | 370.9 | 140 | 141.3 | 0 | 0 | 370.9 | 230.9 |
| 2010 | 7 | 140.7 | 1083.9 | 889 | 140 | 140.7 | 0 | 0 | 889 | 265 |
| 2010 | 8 | 128.2 | 1187.8 | 1000.2 | 140 | 128.2 | 0 | 0 | 1000.2 | 278.1 |
| 2010 | 9 | 101.4 | 595.6 | 464.4 | 140 | 101.4 | 0 | 0 | 464.4 | 250.9 |
| 2010 | 10 | 78.3 | 76.6 | -5.5 | 134.5 | 78.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222.8 |
| 2010 | 11 | 52.8 | 9 | -44.3 | 91.9 | 51.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 217.2 |
| 2010 | 12 | 37.2 | 0 | -37.2 | 67.5 | 24.5 | 12.8 | 0 | 0 | 214.6 |
| 2011 | 1 | 35.1 | 19.2 | -16.9 | 59.4 | 26.4 | 8.7 | 0 | 0 | 213.4 |
| 2011 | 2 | 44.9 | 32.3 | -14.3 | 53.3 | 36.7 | 8.2 | 0 | 0 | 211.9 |
| 2011 | 3 | 72.9 | 27.9 | -46.4 | 35.6 | 44.2 | 28.7 | 0 | 0 | 209.6 |
| 2011 | 4 | 93.4 | 161.9 | 60.4 | 96.1 | 93.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214.2 |
| 2011 | 5 | 122.4 | 284.4 | 147.8 | 140 | 122.4 | 0 | 0 | 103.9 | 219.3 |
| 2011 | 6 | 132.4 | 474.9 | 318.8 | 140 | 132.4 | 0 | 0 | 318.8 | 230 |
| 2011 | 7 | 135.6 | 1041.2 | 853.6 | 140 | 135.6 | 0 | 0 | 853.6 | 264.8 |
| 2011 | 8 | 124.3 | 690.4 | 531.6 | 140 | 124.3 | 0 | 0 | 531.6 | 250.4 |
| 2011 | 9 | 104.3 | 549.1 | 417.4 | 140 | 104.3 | 0 | 0 | 417.4 | 245.4 |
| 2011 | 10 | 76.8 | 71.5 | -8.9 | 131.1 | 76.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219.3 |
| 2011 | 11 | 47 | 133.7 | 80 | 140 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 71.1 | 221 |
| 2011 | 12 | 35.7 | 0 | -35.7 | 104.3 | 35.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212.1 |
| 2012 | 1 | 34.8 | 12.4 | -23 | 87.2 | 28.9 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 210.6 |
| 2012 | 2 | 44.3 | 53.8 | 6.9 | 94.1 | 44.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210.6 |
| 2012 | 3 | 72.7 | 16.2 | -57.3 | 55.6 | 53.9 | 18.8 | 0 | 0 | 206.6 |
| 2012 | 4 | 97.2 | 137.3 | 33.2 | 88.8 | 97.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210.6 |
| 2012 | 5 | 127 | 186.2 | 49.9 | 138.7 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 |
| 2012 | 6 | 141.7 | 499.3 | 332.6 | 140 | 141.7 | 0 | 0 | 331.3 | 228 |
| 2012 | 7 | 140.7 | 877.6 | 693 | 140 | 140.7 | 0 | 0 | 693 | 251.8 |
| 2012 | 8 | 129.8 | 711.9 | 546.5 | 140 | 129.8 | 0 | 0 | 546.5 | 246.9 |
| 2012 | 9 | 104.6 | 538.5 | 407 | 140 | 104.6 | 0 | 0 | 407 | 240.2 |
| 2012 | 10 | 74.3 | 232.7 | 146.8 | 140 | 74.3 | 0 | 0 | 146.8 | 224.2 |
| 2012 | 11 | 47.6 | 0 | -47.6 | 92.4 | 47.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210.5 |
| 2012 | 12 | 39.4 | 0 | -39.4 | 66.4 | 26 | 13.4 | 0 | 0 | 208.4 |
| 2013 | 1 | 36.9 | 17.6 | -20.2 | 56.9 | 26.3 | 10.6 | 0 | 0 | 207.2 |
| 2013 | 2 | 44.7 | 68.7 | 20.6 | 77.5 | 44.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207.7 |
| 2013 | 3 | 77.1 | 30.1 | -48.5 | 50.6 | 55.4 | 21.7 | 0 | 0 | 203.7 |
| 2013 | 4 | 97.5 | 114.1 | 10.9 | 61.5 | 97.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205.9 |
| 2013 | 5 | 123.5 | 277.3 | 139.9 | 140 | 123.5 | 0 | 0 | 61.4 | 212.6 |
| 2013 | 6 | 138.3 | 823.1 | 643.7 | 140 | 138.3 | 0 | 0 | 643.7 | 244.4 |
| 2013 | 7 | 144.7 | 973.9 | 780.5 | 140 | 144.7 | 0 | 0 | 780.5 | 257.7 |
| 2013 | 8 | 131.8 | 490.6 | 334.3 | 140 | 131.8 | 0 | 0 | 334.3 | 234.8 |
| 2013 | 9 | 106.2 | 319.2 | 197 | 140 | 106.2 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 226.1 |
| 2013 | 10 | 77.8 | 233 | 143.6 | 140 | 77.8 | 0 | 0 | 143.6 | 221.1 |
| 2013 | 11 | 49.2 | 22.3 | -28 | 112 | 49.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208.5 |


| Year | Month | PET | P | P-PET | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { soil } \\ \text { Moisture } \end{array}$ | AET | PETAET | snow <br> Storage | Surplus | ROtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 12 | 38.3 | 0 | -38.3 | 81.4 | 30.6 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 205.3 |
| 2014 | 1 | 38.4 | 17.5 | -21.8 | 68.7 | 29.3 | 9.1 | 0 | 0 | 204.1 |
| 2014 | 2 | 43.7 | 17.8 | -26.8 | 55.6 | 30.1 | 13.6 | 0 | 0 | 202.1 |
| 2014 | 3 | 71.1 | 74 | -0.8 | 55.2 | 70.6 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 202.9 |
| 2014 | 4 | 100.3 | 48.2 | -54.5 | 33.7 | 67.3 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 199.6 |
| 2014 | 5 | 128.6 | 125.5 | -9.4 | 31.5 | 121.5 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 | 201.5 |
| 2014 | 6 | 144 | 687.8 | 509.5 | 140 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 400.9 | 231.7 |
| 2014 | 7 | 147.4 | 800.4 | 613 | 140 | 147.4 | 0 | 0 | 613 | 241.5 |
| 2014 | 8 | 129 | 1421.7 | 1221.6 | 140 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 1221.6 | 282.7 |
| 2014 | 9 | 105.2 | 581.2 | 446.9 | 140 | 105.2 | 0 | 0 | 446.9 | 243.1 |
| 2014 | 10 | 75.2 | 158.9 | 75.8 | 140 | 75.2 | 0 | 0 | 75.8 | 220.6 |
| 2014 | 11 | 53.3 | 10.1 | -43.7 | 96.3 | 53.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 |
| 2014 | 12 | 50.7 | 26.9 | -25.1 | 79 | 42.8 | 7.9 | 0 | 0 | 209.7 |
| 2015 | 1 | 40 | 54.3 | 11.6 | 90.6 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209 |
| 2015 | 2 | 47.1 | 30.3 | -18.3 | 78.7 | 40.6 | 6.5 | 0 | 0 | 205.8 |
| 2015 | 3 | 72.4 | 167.9 | 87.1 | 140 | 72.4 | 0 | 0 | 25.8 | 210.8 |
| 2015 | 4 | 91.4 | 128.2 | 30.4 | 140 | 91.4 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 207.1 |
| 2015 | 5 | 129 | 213.8 | 74.1 | 140 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 74.1 | 210.2 |
| 2015 | 6 | 141.3 | 506.9 | 340.3 | 140 | 141.3 | 0 | 0 | 340.3 | 226.2 |
| 2015 | 7 | 145.1 | 923 | 731.7 | 140 | 145.1 | 0 | 0 | 731.7 | 252.3 |
| 2015 | 8 | 130.6 | 781.8 | 612.1 | 140 | 130.6 | 0 | 0 | 612.1 | 249.3 |
| 2015 | 9 | 109.6 | 702.9 | 558.2 | 140 | 109.6 | 0 | 0 | 558.2 | 248.9 |
| 2015 | 10 | 78.5 | 215.2 | 125.9 | 140 | 78.5 | 0 | 0 | 125.9 | 223.6 |
| 2015 | 11 | 53.2 | 2.9 | -50.4 | 89.6 | 53.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210.9 |
| 2015 | 12 | 38.2 | 0 | -38.2 | 65.2 | 24.4 | 13.7 | 0 | 0 | 208.6 |
| 2016 | 1 | 38.6 | 2.3 | -36.5 | 48.2 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 0 | 0 | 206.6 |
| 2016 | 2 | 49.8 | 0.1 | -49.7 | 31.1 | 17.2 | 32.6 | 0 | 0 | 204.5 |
| 2016 | 3 | 76.6 | 93.5 | 12.2 | 43.3 | 76.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207.1 |
| 2016 | 4 | 109 | 24.6 | -85.7 | 16.8 | 49.9 | 59.2 | 0 | 0 | 201.6 |
| 2016 | 5 | 123.9 | 241.9 | 105.9 | 122.7 | 123.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210.5 |
| 2016 | 6 | 140.4 | 589 | 419.1 | 140 | 140.4 | 0 | 0 | 401.8 | 229.9 |
| 2016 | 7 | 139.4 | 877.5 | 694.2 | 140 | 139.4 | 0 | 0 | 694.2 | 249.2 |
| 2016 | 8 | 136.8 | 381.6 | 225.7 | 140 | 136.8 | 0 | 0 | 225.7 | 224.6 |
| 2016 | 9 | 104.9 | 972 | 818.5 | 140 | 104.9 | 0 | 0 | 818.5 | 260.3 |
| 2016 | 10 | 82 | 335.4 | 236.6 | 140 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 236.6 | 228.7 |
| 2016 | 11 | 51.4 | 0 | -51.4 | 88.6 | 51.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209.8 |
| 2016 | 12 | 43.2 | 0 | -43.2 | 61.3 | 27.3 | 15.9 | 0 | 0 | 207.7 |
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