

**EFFECTIVENESS OF VAN-HIELE GEOMETRIC THOUGHT IN  
TEACHING GEOMETRY AT SECONDARY LEVEL**

**A  
THESIS  
BY  
SURESH SUBEDI**

**FOR THE PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE  
DEGREE OF MASTER OF EDUCATION**

**SUBMITTED  
TO  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
UNIVERSITY CAMPUS  
TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY  
KIRTIPUR, KATHMANDU**

**APRIL, 2019**

**Letter of Approval**

Thesis Submitted

By

**Suresh Subedi**

Entitled

**“Effectiveness of van-Hiele Geometric Thought in Teaching Geometry at Secondary Level”** has approved in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Education.

Committee for the Viva-Vice

Signature

1. Assoc. Prof. Laxmi Narayan Yadav .....

(Chairman)

2. Prof. Uma Nath Pandey .....

(Member)

3. Mr. Abatar Subedi .....

(Supervisor)

Date: .....

## Letter of Certificate

This to certify that **Mr. Suresh Subedi**, a student of academic year 2070/071 with Exam Roll No. 281247 and T.U. Regd. No. 9-2-240-416-2008 has completed his thesis (Thesis No. 1173) under my supervision during the period prescribed by the rules and regulations of T.U. Nepal. The thesis entitled “**Effectiveness of van-Hiele Geometric thought in Teaching Geometry at Secondary Level**” embodies the result of his investigation conducted during the period of 2019 at the Department of Mathematics Education, University Campus, Kirtipur, Kathmandu. I recommend and forward that his thesis be submitted for the evaluation to award the Degree of Master of Education.

.....

.....

(Mr. Abatar Subedi)

(Assoc.Prof. Laxmi Narayan Yadav )

(Supervisor)

(Head)

Date: .....

## **DEDICATION**

*Dedicated*

*To*

*My respected parents, Mitralal Sharma Subedi and Thuma Devi Subedi who have devoted their entire life to uplift and enlighten my life and also dedicated to my wife Deepa Sharma. I also remember my late brother Mr. Dinesh Subedi.*

## **Acknowledgement**

I am havely indebted to my respected supervisor Mr. Abatar Subedi, lecturer of Department of mathematics Education, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, for his constructive suggestion, instruction and scholarly guidance, a greatest property of this theses. Without His constant supervision and intellectual guidance this thesis would never have been appeared in this from.

My sincere appreciation goes to Assoc. Prof. Laxmi Narayan Yadav, Head, Department of Mathematics Education, T.U., Kirtipur for his helpful suggestions, cooperation inspiration and encouragement during this study. I wish to express my gratitude to prof. Dr. Min Bahadur Shrestha, Dr. Ekaratna Acharya and Mr. Dipak Mainali and faculty member of Education, T.U.Kirtipur for their suggestions, encouragement and stimulation to complete this study. I am very much indebted the students, great opportunities for collection data and want to say thanks to galyan Municipality for providing data.

I especially express my heartier gratitude to my family members and friends Krisna Sapkota, Dhalak Khadka, Shyam Neupane, Deepa Sharma and other relatives, who associated directly or indirectly to complete this study. Thanks also go to Satya Sheela Secondary School for provider of ICT.

## Abstract

This is an experimental types and carried out the researcher to explore the effectiveness of van Hiele geometric thought in teaching geometry at secondary level. Out of 20 schools, four are selected randomly. Twenty five students of grade X from each school are participate in the research. Van Hiele geometric test (VHGT) is used main tool for data collection.

Students performance of VHGT is analyzed and interpreted using statistical devices as students to obtain total row score and converted in to percentage score at pre-test and post-test times. The reliability is checked using by test re-test method and calculated coefficient of correlation ( $r=0.83$ ). The level wise performance of the students on VHGT of each school group were assigned mainly according to Usiskin;s (1982) “3 of 5” modified van Hiele level determination scheme.

At pre-test time majority of students were at low van Hiele geometric thinking levels (possibly level 0 and 1). At post-test time the group of many students, who are thought by teaching episodes according to van Hiele (1955/1986) are at VH level 3 and 4. To check effectiveness researcher administered and analyzed pre-test data, there is nearly same achievement on VHGT of these two groups named excremental and control. Secondly administered and analyzed post-test data, there is different achievement between these groups such that the average achievement of VHGT of experimental group is higher than the achievement of VHGT of control group. Hence, the van Hiele geometric thought in teaching geometry is more effectiveness than conventional teaching approach.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page No.
<i>Letter of certificate</i>	<i>I</i>
<i>Letter of approval</i>	<i>II</i>
<i>Dedication</i>	<i>III</i>
<i>Acknowledgement</i>	<i>IV</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>V</i>
<i>Table of content</i>	<i>VI</i>
<i>Abbreviations/Acronyms</i>	<i>VIII</i>
<b>Chapter I: Introduction</b>	<b>1-7</b>
Background of the Study	1
Statement of the Problem	3
Objectives of the Study	4
Hypothesis of the Study	4
Significance of the Study	5
Delimitation of the Study	6
Definition of the Terms	7
<b>Chapter II: Review of the Related Literature</b>	<b>8-20</b>
Empirical Literature	8
Theoretical Literature Review	13
Conceptual Framework	18
<b>Chapter III: Methods and Procedures</b>	<b>21 -30</b>
Design of the Study	21
Population and Sample of the Study	21
Formation of Control and Experimental Group	22

Variables of the Study	22
Control Exercise of Extraneous Variables	23
Tools of Data Collection	25
Reliability and Validity of Tools	26
Data Collection Procedures	27
Test Administration	28
Test Grading	29
Data Analysis Procedure	29
<b>Chapter IV: Analysis and Interpretation of Data</b>	<b>31-40</b>
Level of Students in Geometry According to VH level	31
Analysis of Pre-test Result	36
Analysis of Post-test Result	37
Effectiveness of Van Hiele Approach in Teaching Geometry	38
Non-cognitive Effect	38
Students' Point of View	39
<b>Chapter V: Summary, Findings, Conclusion and Recommendations</b>	<b>41-46</b>
Summary of the Study	41
Findings	42
Conclusion	43
Recommendation of Stakeholder	44
Suggestion for the Further Study	45
<b>Reference</b>	
<b>Appendices</b>	



## Abbreviations/Acronyms

CDASSG	:	Cognitive Development and Achievement in Secondary School Geometry
RCED	:	Research Center for Education Innovation and Development
TU	:	Tribhuvan University
VH level	:	Van Hiele Level
VHGT	:	Van Hiele Geometric Test
NCF	:	National Curriculum Framework