IMPACT OF RURAL COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS PROGRAMME IN RURAL FOOD SECURITY

(A Case Study of Tallo-Mathillo Dungeshwor of Dailekh District)

A Thesis

Submitted by:

Thaneshwar Bhandari Class Roll No: 512

Exam Roll No: 3943

Registration Number: 62661-91

August, 2006

RECOMMENDATION

This dissertation entitled Impact of Rural Community

Infrastructure Works Programme in Rural Food Security: A

Case Study of Tallo-Mathillo Dungeshwor of Dailekh District has been

prepared by Mr. Thaneshwar Bhandari under my guidance and

supervision. I hereby recommend this thesis for examination by the

Thesis Committee as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of MASTER OF ARTS IN RURAL DEVELOPMENT.

.....

Associate Prof. Dr. Uma Kant Silwal Supervisor

Date: 25Th August, 2006

LETTER OF APPROVAL

This is to certify that this thesis entitled Impact of Rural Community Infrastructure Works Programme in Rural Food Security: A Case Study of Tallo-Mathillo Dungeshwor of Dailekh District has been prepared and submitted by Mr. Thaneshwar Bhandari to the Central Department of Rural Development, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the Degree of Master of Arts in Rural Development. This thesis has been accepted as the partial fulfillment of requirements of the degree of Master of Arts in Rural Development.

| Evaluation Committee |
|-----------------------------|
| |
| Prof. Dr. Pradeep Khadka |
| Head of Department |
| |
| |
| External Examiner |
| |
| |
| Dr. Uma Kant Silwal |
| Thesis Supervisor |

Dated: 26th August, 2006

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge respondents of five wards of Dailekh district for spending their time to answer the questionnaires.

I felt difficulty to acknowledge many of the individuals and institutions those have contributed to complete this thesis. First of all, I extremely appreciate to my thesis supervisor Dr. Umakanta Silwal associated with the Central Department of Rural Development, Tribhuvan University for his active supervision. Dr. Silwal was my personal back stopper, helping hand and guided academically from the beginning of the study to the finalization of the dissertation.

I would like to heartfelt recognition to the staffs of RPN-GTZ: Mr. Buddhi Kunwar, A.G. Ghimire, G. Gurung, and other staffs for signing the additional time and providing computers. Mr. Kunwar was my helping hand, provided relevant documents, and advised ideas during the study. Special thanks to colleagues and staffs of RAP/RCIW team Dailekh for their support in providing data and information. In this regard, I thank for the staffs of SuDECC for guiding the field and filling the questionnaires.

I owe faithful gratitude to my mother and family Sharada Pokharel for their blessness and moral support.

Last but not least, I would like to express my hearty gratitude to the Thesis Committee; Prof. Dr. Pradeep Khadka, (The Head of Department), External Examiner and Thesis Supervisor for their support in evaluation of my hardworking at the end of the study.

Thaneshwar Bhandari Central Department of Rural Development

23th August, 2006

ABSTRACT

This survey research was conducted on: "Impact of Rural Community Infrastructure Works Programme (RCIW) in Rural Food Security: A Case Study of Tallo-Mathillo Dungeshwor of Dailekh District" in January to April 2006. RCIW Programme is priority based pro-poor focused food security programme. Food security impact of this programme in beneficiaries level was not assessed before this study.

This study focused to fulfill the objectives as; to assess the change in food availability by the support of project activities, to assess the people's participation in different activities of the programme, to find out the major changes in food and market access realized by the people, to assess intra-household food distribution, to determine the major changes of RCIW programme and to examine the sustainability of programme.

Dandaparajul, Malika, Khadkawada, Gauri and Baraha VDCs were selected purposively and household survey was conducted in hundred households by simple random sampling and interview schedule was conducted by structured questionnaires. Focus group discussions were done in seven self-help groups and information was collected by semi-structured checklist. Both primary and secondary data were collected, tabulated, and interpretation was done through M.S. Excel, 2003 Version. The analytical frameworks were adopted to measure impact level.

Majority of the respondents prioritized to produce cereal based food and no food items by their own production were sufficient to year round consumption. Total of 97% households were found food deficient by their production. The food sufficiency level of the survey area was 9.33 months and maximum food deficient months were found January 15 to April 15. The total share of food sufficiency for cereals was nearly 63 by their production. Other two sources were local traders (26.56%) and RCIW programme (10.84%) for searching cereal foods

Cause of people's participation in RCIW programmes were; due to the limited job in village areas, compelled due to food insufficiency and proximity to their residence. Women's participation in the programme was found 22%. RCIW assisted additionally to cope food insecurity by employing as wage labourer in Food-for-Work activities and promoting cash crops selling. Average of 1.4 participants was employed in an average of 59 days in FfW projects and received 133 kg rice and NRs. 172.0 cash annually. The role of Tallo-Mathillo rural road for market access was found in the beginning stage. It linkage in two ways reduced porter fare by 38%, and transportation fare by 5% per kilogram.

Regarding RCIW's coverage for food access support: 65% fruit farming was supported in appropriate size of 0.15-0.3ha land. Fruits plants had insufficiently producing and are in growing stage. Intercropping supports and income generating activities assisted to receive average of NRs 850.0 income per household. The share of RCIW on food accessibility was analysed as 16%. Saving rate per month at the beginning and with programme was increased significantly by 130% however, 55% household collecting savings by working as daily wage. 86% participants found involved in integrated activities of the programme. Majority of community members demanded to implement marketing related infrastructures and sales promotion skill.

One third of households used optimum balance food. Preference was found for cereal food security rather than nutrition security. The role of RCIW in household food utilization was reported insufficient. The respondent's expectations and project estimation on future income security from economic promotion activities meets the objectives for micro project beneficiaries but insufficient for other road beneficiaries. Major problems in rural food security were: lack of irrigated land, insufficient land, technological insufficiencies, low income, lack of awareness, available of poor nutritious food items and geographical locality.

Majority of respondents were committed to continue programme activities even after the phase over. Registration, linkage, and coordination of SHG and CBO are in starting phase. The SHGs were found insufficient to fulfill technical expertise in economic promotion sectors. RCIW's impact level was found encouraging in three dimensions: food availability, food accessibility and stability, however, it was limiting in food utilization. The impacts of the programmes in food security were found cumulative efforts of aggregated outcomes of district based organizations and depended still in future outcomes. In overall, RCIW efforts were people centred and pro-poor focused.

Major recommendations to achieve food and nutrition security significantly were: increasing women's participation, intensive support at short duration on-farm and off-farm enterprises focusing one village one product, implementation of production supportive, food utilization, and marketing activities, increase rice and cash support for at least one hundred days of minimum guaranteed employment days, and prolong at least two additional phases to complete all the dimensions of food security.

(Key words: Food security, Impact, Nutrition Security, Sustainability)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------------|
| Letter of Recommendation | i |
| Approval Letter | ii |
| Acknowledgement | iii |
| Abstract | iv |
| Acronyms and Abbreviations Table of Contents | vi viii |
| List of Tables | xi |
| List of Figures | xii |
| List of Maps | xiii |
| CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION | 1-9 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Statement of Problem | 4 |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Study | 7 |
| 1.3.1 General Objective | 7 |
| 1.3.2 Specific Objectives | 7 |
| 1.4 Rationale of Study | 7 |
| 1.5 Limitation of the Study | 8 |
| 1.6 Organization of the Study | 9 |
| CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 10-28 |
| 2.1 Review of Food Security Definitions | 10 |
| 2.2 Past History of Food Security Efforts | 13 |
| 2.3 Past Impact Related Food Security Activities | 16 |
| 2.4 Measuring Indictors for Food and Nutrition Programmes | 26 |
| CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 29-38 |
| 3.1 Location of Study Area | 29 |
| 3.2 Rationale of Selection | 29 |
| 3.3 Analytical Framework | 30 |
| 3.3.1 Relation of Different Components in RAP/RCIW Programe | 30 |
| 3.3.2. Poverty Alleviation Framework | 31 |
| 3.3.3 Food Security Analysis Framework of RAP/RCIW Programme | 32 |
| 3.3.4 Food and Nutrient Security Elements | 33 |
| 3.3.5 Impact Assessment Steps in RAP/RCIW Programme | 34 |
| 3.4 Universe, Sampling Frame and Sample size | 35 |
| 3.5 Source of Data | 37 |
| 3.5.1 Primary Data | 37 |
| 3.5.2 Secondary Data | 37 |
| 3.6 Data Collection Techniques | 37 |
| 3.6.1 Household Survey | 37 |
| 3.6.2 Focus Group Discussion | 37 |

| 3.6.3 Observation | 37 |
|---|----------|
| 3.7 Data Collection Tools | 38 |
| 3.7.1 Interview Schedule | |
| | 38 |
| 3.7.2 Structured Questionnaire | 38 |
| 3.7.3 Topic Guideline | 30 |
| | 38 |
| 3.7.4 Checklist | |
| 3.8 Data Processing, Analysis and Interpretation | 38 38 |
| CHAPTER 4: DESCRIPTIONS OF RURAL COMMUNITY INFRAST | |
| WORKS ACTIVITIES | 39-47 |
| 4.1 Coverage and Targeting | 39 |
| 4.2 RCIW Framework: Aims, Strategies, Objectives | 41 |
| 4.3 RCIW Aid Partners and Responsibilities | 42 |
| 4.4 RCIW Components | 43 |
| 4.4.1 Core Project | 43 |
| 4.4.2 Micro Project | 43 |
| 4.4.3 The Complementary Activities | 44 |
| 4.5 Beneficiaries Coverage By RAP/RCIW Dailekh | 45 |
| 4.6 Progress Status of Various Components. | 45 |
| 4.6.1 Core Project | 45 |
| 4.6.2 Micro Project | 45 |
| 4.6.3 Savings and Credit | 46 |
| 4.6.4 Participatory Learning Centre | 47 |
| 4.6.5 Cooperative | 47 |
| 4.6.6. Income Generating Activities | 47 |
| CHAPTER 5: PROFILE OF STUDY AREA | 48-51 |
| 5.1 Coographical Location | 48 |
| 5.1 Geographical Location5.2 Population | 48 |
| 5.3 Religion and Cast System | 49 |
| 5.4 Land Utilization and Irrigation Situation | 49 |
| 5.5 Climate and Soil | 49 |
| 5.6 Area Converge and Food Sufficiency | 50 51 |
| 5.7 Crop Production, Export and Import Status 5.8 Road Network and Market Centres | 51 |
| CHAPTER 6: DATA ANALYSIS AND PRESENTATION | 52-82 |
| 6.1 Changes in Food Availability | 52 |
| 6.1.1 Status of Food Production | 52 |
| 6.1.1.1 Status of Own Food Production | 52 |
| 6.1.1.2 Share of Production in Food Availability | 53 |
| 6.1.1.3 Food Sufficiency Months and Level | 54 |

| 6.1.1.4 Food Deficit Months | 55 |
|--|-----------|
| 6.1.1.5 Productive Livestock and Food Availability | 55 |
| 6.1.2 Share of Local Traders in Food Availability | 56 |
| 6.1.3 Participation Level of Beneficiaries in RCIW programme | 56 |
| 6.1.3.1 RCIW Contribution for Short-term Employment | 56 |
| 6.1.3.2 Project Benefit and Its Utilization Level | 57 |
| 6.1.3.3 Share of RCIW in Food Availability | 59 |
| 6.1.3.4 Family Members Involvement in FfW Programme6.1.3.5 RCIW Support in Fruit Plantation | 60 |
| | 62 |
| 6.1.3.6 Participation in Integrated Activities | <i></i> 2 |
| 6.1.2.7 December habined Double in action in DCIW Draggerous | 63 63 |
| 6.1.3.7 Reasons behind Participation in RCIW Programme 6.1.3.8 Cooping Mechanisms Adopted in Food availability/Access | 64 |
| 6.1.4 Household Level Obstacles for Food Availability | 64 |
| 6.2 Change in Food Accessibility | 65 |
| 6.2.1 Income Accessibility from Own Production | 65 |
| 6.2.1.1 Marketed Food Items and Income | 65 |
| 6.2.1.2 Income by Selling Livestock Products | 66 |
| 6.2.1.3 Income by Selling RCIW Produces | 67 |
| 6.2.1.4 Change in Monthly Savings | 67 |
| 6.2.2 Change in Market Accessibility | 69 |
| 6.2.2.1 Selling of Produce before Programme | 69 |
| 6.2.2.2 Present Marketing Response | 70 |
| 6.2.2.3 Purchasing of Food Items in Different Market Centres | 70 |
| 6.2.2.4 Time Taken to Fetch Food Items | 70 |
| 6.2.2.5 Road Access of Tallo-Mathillo Rural Road | 71 |
| 6.3 Changes in Food Utilization | 71 |
| 6.3.1 Food Items Consumed as Breakfast | 71 |
| 6.3.2 Food Items Consumed as Lunch | 72 |
| 6.3.3 Food Items Consumed as Dinner | 73 |
| 6.3.4 Quantity of Food Items Consumed | 74 |
| 6.3.5 Bottlenecks in Food Utilization/Stability | 75 |
| 6.4 Sustainability of RCIW in Food Security | 76 |
| 6.4.1 Registration Process of Self-Help Group | 76 |
| 6.4.2 Change in Food Sufficiency Months by RCIW Activities | 77 |
| 6.4.3 Respondents Reactions on Continuity of Programme | 78 |
| 6.5 Summary of Changes before and after RCIW Programme | 79 |

| CHAPTER 7: FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 83-91 |
|--|-------|
| 7. 1 Summary of Findings | 83 |
| 7.2 Conclusion | 87 |
| 7.3 Recommendations | 89 |
| REFERENCE | 92-96 |
| APPENDICES I-Y | XXXIX |

LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Table 1: Definitions of Food Secure and Insecure Group | 12 |
| Table 2: Food Security Components, Requirements and Means | 23 |
| Table 3: Distribution Pattern of Household and Selected Samples | 36 |
| Table 4: Fruit Farming Groups, Cultivation Area, Plantation and Survival Rate | 48 |
| Table 5: Population, Households, Agriculturally Suitable Land | 48 |
| Table 6: Food Sufficiency Status of District, Project Area and Survey Area. | 50 |
| Table 7: Status of Food Items Produced in Survey Year (2004/05) | 52 |
| Table 8: Calorie Supply by Own Production and Share in Food Availability | 53 |
| Table 9: Quantity of Food Purchased and Its Share through Local Traders | 56 |
| Table 10: Utilization of Received Rice in Household Level | 57 |
| Table 11: Utilization of Received Cash in Different Sectors | 58 |
| Table 12: RCIW Share in Food Availability | 59 |
| Table 13: Number of Family Members Involved in FfW Project | 60 |
| Table 14: Number of Male /Female Involved in FfW Work | 61 |
| Table 15: Area of Fruit Cultivation by Involved Households | 62 |
| Table 16: Households Participation in Integrated Activities | 63 |
| Table 17: Cooping Mechanism of Households for Food Availability/Access | 64 |
| Table 18: Factors Affecting in Foods Unavailability | 65 |
| Table 19: List of Food Items Sold in the Market | 66 |
| Table 20: Amount of Income Received by Selling Livestock Products | 66 |
| Table 21: Income Status from Micro Project/ IG Activities | 67 |
| Table 22: Change in Savings Rate before and with Programme | 68 |
| Table 23: Status of Produce Sold before RAP/RCIW Programme | 69 |
| Table 24: Time Taken to Fetch Food Items | 71 |
| Table 25: Food Items Consumed as Breakfast | 72 |
| Table 26: Food Items Consumed as Lunch | 73 |
| Table 27: Food Items Consumed as Dinner | 74 |
| Table 28: Average Quantity of Food Items Consumed. | 74 |
| Table 29: Bottlenecks in Food Utilization | 75 |
| Table 30: Future Food Sufficiency Month Improvements by RCIW Activities | 77 |
| Table 31: Respondents Reactions on Continuity of Programme | 78 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Fig 1: The Relation of Different Components | 30 |
| Fig 2: Poverty Alleviation Framework of RAP/RCIW Programme | 31 |
| Fig 3: Conceptual Framework for Food Security Analysis | 32 |
| Fig 4: Elements of Food and Nutrition Security Framework | 33 |
| Fig 5: Impact Assessment Framework of RAP/RCIW Programme | 35 |
| Fig 6: RCIW Programme Framework | 41 |
| Fig. 7: Food Sufficiency Month in Survey Area | 54 |
| Fig. 8: Cash Utilization Sectors | 58 |
| Fig. 9: Contribution of RCIW Programme in Food Availability | 60 |
| Fig. 10: Beneficiaries Involved in Core and Micro Project | 61 |
| Fig. 11: Area of Fruit Plantation by Individual Households | 62 |
| Fig. 12 Reasons Behind Participation in RCIW Programme | 63 |
| Fig. 13: Monthly Group Saving Status of Involved Household | 69 |
| Fig. 14: Household's Reactions in Produce Marketing | 70 |
| Fig. 15: Status of SHG Registration and Linkage Development. | 76 |
| Fig. 16: Service Access with District Service Providers | 77 |

LIST OF MAPS

Page

Map 1: RCIW Districts of Nepal

Map 2: Working VDCS of RAP/RCIW Programme

Map 3: District Road Networks

Map 4: Administrative Maps Showing Survey VDCs

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AD Anne Domino

ARS Agriculture Research Station

BC Before Christ

BMZ Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development

BNI Basic Needs Income
CA Complementary Activities
CBO Community Based Organization
CBS Central Bureau of Statistics

CfW Cash -for -Work CP Core Project

CPSU Central Program Support Unit

DADO District Agriculture Development Office
DANIDA Danish International Development Assistance

DDC District Development Committee
DEB Detail Environmental Baseline

DFID Development Fund for International Development

DFO District Forestry Office

DLSO District Livestock Service Office
DPSU District Program Support Unit
DSCO District Soil Conservation Office
DTMP District Transport Master Plan
EDP Extended Delivery Point

FAN Food and Nutrition
FANS Food and Nutrition Security

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization FCAD Food and Cash Aid Development

FfW Food -for -Work

FSVIMS Food Security Vulnerability Information and Mapping System

FSI Food Security Index FSL Food Security Level

FY Fiscal Year

GO/N Government of Nepal

GTZ German Technical Cooperation

ICIMOD International Center for Integrated Mountain Development

IFPRI Integrated Food Policy Research Institute
IFSP Integrated Food Security Programme

ITSH Internal Transportation Storage and Handlings LEO Literacy, Empowerment and Organization

LRUC Local Resource Users Committee

MD Man Day

MEDEP Micro Enterprise Development Programme
MOAC Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives

MP Micro Project

NFC Nepal Food Corporation NGO Non-Government Organization NHDR Nepal Human Development Report

NLA Nepal Labour Academy

NLSS Nepal Living Standard Survey

PDDP Participatory District Development Programme

PLC Participatory Learning Centre PMU Poverty Monitoring Unit

PRSP Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper

QUIP Quick Impact Programme
RAP Rural Access Programme
RBG Road Building Group
RBM Result Based Monitoring

RCIW Rural Community Infrastructure Works

RPN Rural Programme Nepal

RR Rural Road

SDC Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation

SHG Self -Help Group

SNV Netherlands Development Organization

TA Technical Assistance

TMD Tallo-Mathillo Dungeshwor

UC Users Community
UG Users Group
UN United Nations

UNDP United Nation Development Programme

UNICEF United Nation Children's Fund

UNISCO United Nation's Economic, Social & Cultural Organization

USA United State of America

VAM Vulnerability Analysis and Mapping VDC Village Development Committee

WFP World Food Programme

ZOPP Goal Oriented Project Planning

Symbols and Local Terminologies

% Percent

Bhramin Elite cast, the highest ranked cast of Nepal.

Coop Cooperative

Dalit Recognized as untouchable cast of Nepal

Dhindo Locally prepared food items made from millet floor.

^oC Degree Centigrade

Ha Hectare

Hali A bounded labour who plough oxen in farm

Janajati Recognized as the third ranked cast

Jand Locally prepared alcohol

Kcal Kilo calorie
Kg Kilogram
Km Kilo meter
Lt Litre
No Number

NRs Nepalese Rupees
Mt Metric Tons
Ropani 0.05 Hectare
S/C Savings and Credit