VICIOUS CIRCLE OF POVERTY IN NEPAL: A CASE STUDY OF KAPALLEKHI VDC OF DOTI DISTRICT OF NEPAL

A Thesis Submitted to: Central Department of Rural Development Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

> Submitted By Nabin Prasad Bhatta Regd. No. 5-1-33-782-97 Class Roll No.: 359/059 Exam Roll No.: 3879 (2nd Year)

Central Department of Rural Development Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

In the Partial Fulfillment of the requirements of Master's Degree of Arts in Rural Development January, 2007

Nabin Prasad Bhatta

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

It is a great pleasure that I recommend for the approval of the thesis entitled Vicious Circle of Poverty in Nepal: A Case Study of Kapallekhi VDC of Doti District of Nepal prepared by Nabin Prasad Bhatta under my supervision for the partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master of Arts in Rural Development. Therefore, this thesis report is recommended for its evaluation.

> Prof. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka (Supervisor)

Date:

LETTER OF APPROVAL

This is to certify that the thesis submitted by Nabin Prasad Bhatta entitled Vicious Circle of Poverty in Nepal: A Case Study of Kapallekhi VDC of Doti District of Nepal has been approved by this Department in the prescribed format of the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences. This thesis is forwarded for the acceptance.

Thesis Evaluation Committee:

Prof. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka Head of the Department

Panna Kazi Amatya External

Prof. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka Supervisor Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Poverty is rural in nature in case of Nepal. As high percentage of people of Nepal are the inhabitants of rural areas, the situation of poverty is quite dangerous. The presence of vicious circle of poverty in rural Nepal shows the unbreakable situation. It is multidimensional and deeply rooted in the rural areas. Size of landholding, literacy, employment, productivity, market facilities, basic infrastructures and services etc. are the main factors affecting poverty.

It is worth mentioning here that the inspiration to carryout this research, come to me when I visited the rural areas of Nepal. In fact, during the time, I have personally realized that the life of the rural people is totally affected by poverty and they are spending their daily life in vicious circle of poverty. So, the topic has been chosen to find out the nature, extent and vicious circle of poverty in the rural areas of Nepal.

It is my great privilege to complete this thesis under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka, Head of Department of Central Department of Rural Development. I am indebted to my teacher, without his guidance, suggestions and full cooperation, it would not have been possible for me to complete this work.

I am very much grateful to Kapallekhi VDC, Doti DDC, and all its staffs especially Mr. Govinda Prasad Bhatta (Secretary of VDC) for flourishing me with necessary information and data needed for this study and their kind cooperation throughout my field visit. Similarly, my sincere thanks also goes to administration and all the teachers of Central Department of Rural Development for their valuable suggestions and kind cooperation. I am also very thankful to my brother Sagar Prasad Bhatta and sister Shanti Kumari Bhatta for their constant and regular support in data collection.

I am also thankful to the staffs of central library of T.U., who have helped me in many ways during the collection of information and reviewing the materials from library. I am also thankful to Mr. Madhav Prasad Bhatta for assistance during typing this thesis. I am equally thankful to my friends Bibek, Ajit, Kishor, Pankaj, Rajiv for their help in the completion of this thesis.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all the members of my family and relatives, who have been sources of encouragement for me and inspired me emotionally for the completion for this thesis.

> Nabin Prasad Bhattad Researcher Roll No.: 359/059 Central Department of RD Tribhuvan University

Date:

ABSTRACTS

Poverty is multidimensional and deeply rooted in rural areas in case of Nepal. Small size of landholding, low productivity, lack of market facilities, lack of firm jobs, unemployment, illiteracy, lack of credit, lack of agriculture training and other basic infrastructures are the major causes of poverty in Nepal.

Present study is conducted to findout the situations and problems of poverty in Nepal through a case study of Kapallekhi VDC of Doti District. It is found that the poor people of the study area have low landholding and its uneven distribution, unequal distribution of income, and particularly among lower castes. It is found that 44.4 percent of people are absolute poor and 14.6 percent of people are relative poor, i.e., 59 percent of people are total poor and 41 percent of populations are nonpoor.

Gini coefficient of the study area showed the high degree of inequality in the distribution of income while Sen's poverty index showed the high-extent of poverty. The value of range, variance, mean deviation, and coefficient of variance also showed the high degree of inequality in the distribution of income.

Similarly, marginal propensity to consume and correlation showed a positive relationship between income and consumption. Earning capacity is positively related with level of education, employment and landholding size. In the other hand, income level is negatively related with family size and agricultural-oriented job. It is also found a close relationship between ethnicity and poverty. It is also observed that there are a large number of problems which are directly affecting in the increment of poverty. The basic objectives behind the study are to measure absolute and relative poverty as well as nature and extent of poverty in the study area to findout the various factors affecting poverty.

The research design used in the study is analytical and descriptive in nature and stratified random sampling is used for the collection of primary data. Both primary and secondary data are used in the study and for data analysis purpose, various econometric tools are used.

So, to reduce the poverty in the study area, it is recommended that agricultural productivity should be increased, employment opportunities, created, informal education and vocational training provided, various facilities created, awareness and poverty alleviation programmes generated, cottage and small industries promoted, labour intensive technologies implemented, unnecessary expenses reduced and strong commitment of people towards reducing poverty accelerated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Letter of Recommendation

Letter of Approval

Acknowledgments

Abstracts

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Annexes

Abbreviations

CHA	PTER ONE : INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1	Background	1	
1.2	Statement of the Problem	8	
1.3	Objectives of the Study	10	
1.4	Rationale of the Study	10	
1.5	Limitations of the Study	12	
1.6	Organizations of the Study	13	
CHAPTER TWO : REVIEW OF LITERATURE			
CHA	PTER THREE : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	50	
3.1	Terminology	50	
3.1.1	Household	50	
3.1.2	Total Household Income	50	
3.1.3	Total Household Consumption	50	
3.1.4	Absolute Poor	50	
3.1.5	Relative Poor	51	

3.1.6	Non-poor	51
3.1.7	Illiterate/Literate/Educated	51
3.1.8	Main Occupation	51
3.1.9	Active/ Working Age Group Population	51
3.1.10	Size of Landholding	51
3.2	Rationale of Selection of Study Topic and Study Area	52
3.3	Research Design	53
3.4	Nature and Sources of Data	53
3.4.1	Selection of Key Information	54
3.5	Sampling Procedure	54
3.6	Method of Data Collection	55
3.6.1	Household Survey/ structured questionnaire- Interview	55
3.6.2	Discussion	56
3.6.3	Observation	56
3.6.4	Desk Research	57
3.7	Method of Data Presentation and Analysis	57
3.7.1	Method of Estimating Poverty Line	58
	3.7.1.1 Computation of Absolute Poverty Line	58
	3.7.1.2 Computation of Total Poverty Line	59
	3.7.1.3 Derivation of Relative Poverty Line	60
	3.7.1.4 Estimation of Non-poor	60
3.7.2	Computation of the Magnitude or Intensity of Poverty Situation	61
	3.7.2.1 Computation of Sen's Poverty Index with	
	Considering Gini Coefficient	61
	3.7.2.2 Sen's Index Without Considering Gini Coefficien	ıt
		61
3.7.3	FGT (Forester, Greer and Thorbecks) Index	62
	3.7.3.1 Income Gap Ratio	62
	3.7.3.2 Head Count Index	63

	3.7.3.3 Poverty Gap Index	63
	3.7.3.4 Squared Proportionate Poverty Gap Index	64
3.7.4	Calculation of Extent of Income Inequality and Distribution of	
	Income Among the Sampled Household	64
	3.7.4.1 Relative Mean Deviation	64
	3.7.4.2 Variance	65
	3.7.4.3 Coefficient of Variation	65
	3.7.4.4 Range	66
	3.7.4.5 Lorenz Curve	66
	3.7.4.6 Gini Coefficient	66
3.7.5	Derivation of Relation Between Income and Other Factors Such	as
	Expenditure, Level of Education, Level of Employment	68
	3.7.5.1 Correlation Coefficient	68
	3.7.5.2 Z-test	68
	3.7.5.3 Chi-square test	69
	3.7.5.4 t-Test	69
3.7.6	Study of Nature of Poverty	71
CHA	PTER FOUR : MEASUREMENT OF RURAL POVERTY	72
4.1	Introduction to the Study Area	72
4.1.1	Background	72
4.2	Poverty Situation	83
4.2.1	Absolute Poverty Line and Absolute Poor	84
4.2.2	Relative Poverty Line and Relative Poor	89
4.2.3	Total Poverty Line and Total poor	91
4.2.4	Total Non-poor in Different Studies	93
CHA	PTER FIVE : NATURE AND EXTENT OF POVERTY	
	PROBLEM IN THE STUDY AREA	95
5.1	Incidence of Poverty	95

5.2	Measurement of Poverty and its Extent	97
5.3	Measurement of Income Inequality in the Study Area	101
5.3.1	Income Distribution Among Sampled Households	101
5.3.2	Income Distribution Among Absolute Poor	105
5.3.3	Statistical Measurement of Standard of Living	108
5.4	Nature of the Poverty Problem in the Study Area	109
5.4.1	Family Size and The Poverty	110
5.4.2	Size of Landholding and the Poor	111
5.4.3	Ethnic Group and the Poor	113
5.4.4	Main Occupation and the Poor	116
5.4.5	Educational Status and the Poor	117
5.4.6	Employment Status and the Poor	121

CHAPTER SIX : SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

	RECOMMENDATION	125
6.1	Summary	125
6.1.1	Major findings	125
6.2	Conclusion	129
6.3	Recommendations	132

BIBLIOGRAPHY	136
ANNEXES	143
QUESTIONNAIRE	182

LIST OF TABLES

Table No. 3.1	Ward Wise Sample Size	55
Table No. 4.1	Distribution of Population and Household by Sex and	l
	Wards	74
Table No. 4.2	Population by 5 years age group and sex	75
Table No. 4.3	Population 6 Years of Age and over by Literacy Statu	S
	and Sex	76
Table No. 4.4	Population 10 Years of Age and Over by Usually	
	Economic Activity	76
Table No. 4.5	Population by Caste/ Ethnic group	77
Table No. 4.6	Population by Mother Tongue and Religion	78
Table No. 4.7	Household having Agricultural Land, Livestock and	
	Poultry	78
Table No. 4.8	Types of Economic Activity	79
Table No. 4.9	Population by Country of Citizenship/ Place of Birth	80
Table No. 4.10	Population 6 Years of Age and Over by Status of Scho	ool
	Attendance	81
Table No. 4.11	Population 10 Years of Age and Over by Marital State	JS
		82
Table No. 4.12	Children Below 16 Years of Age by Status of Living	
	Arrangement	83
Table No. 4.13	Absolute Poverty line Estimated in Different Studies	86
Table No. 4.14	Absolute Poor Households and Population in Differen	nt
	Studies	88
Table No. 4.15	Relative Poor Households and Populations in Different	nt
	Studies	90
Table No. 4.16	Total Poor Households and Population in Different	
	Studies	92
Table No. 4.17	Non-poor Household and Populations in Different	
	Studies	93
Table No. 5.1	Distribution of Household by Living Standard	96

Table No. 5.2	Gini Coefficient Ratio of the Absolute Poor Among	
	Different Studies	98
Table No. 5.3	Sen's Poverty Index (P*)	99
Table No. 5.4	Sen's Poverty Index in Different Studies	100
Table No. 5.5	Income Distribution Among Sampled Households p	er
	Capita by Decile Group	102
Table No. 5.6	Alternative Indicators of Measuring the Extent of	
	Income Inequality	104
Table No. 5.7	Income Distribution Among Absolute Poor Househousehousehousehousehousehousehouseh	olds
		105
Table No. 5.8	Alternative Indicators of Measuring the Extent of	
	Income Inequality	107
Table No. 5.9	Difference in Mean Income Among Total Sampled a	and
	Absolute Poor Households	109
Table No. 5.10	Household Size and Mean Income of the Poor	111
Table No. 5.11	Distribution of poor by landholding size and the per	•
	capita daily mean income	112
Table No. 5.12	Income by ethnicity among absolute poor household	ls
		113
Table No. 5.13	Poor within the ethnic composition	115
Table No. 5.14	Distribution of absolute poor by main occupation	116
Table No. 5.15	Literacy Status and Level of Income	118
Table No. 5.16	Level of education of total sampled population by s	ex (6
	years and above)	119
Table No. 5.17	Distribution of population by level of education and	l
	income (6 years and above)	120
Table No. 5.18	Distribution of the poor population by working age	
	group	121
Table No. 5.19	Level of employment of total sampled households b	y
	sex (15 years to 59 years)	122
Table No. 5.20	Distribution of population by employment status an	d
	income group (15 years to 59 years)	123

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 5.1	Absolute, Relative and Non-poor Populations in the Study	
	Area	96
Fig. 5.2	Absolute, relative and non-poor households in the study	
	area	97
Fig. 5.3	Lorenz Curve of the Sampled Households	103
Fig. 5.4	Lorenz Curve Among the Absolute Poor	107

LIST OF ANNEXES

Annex	Title Pa	age No.
1	Calculation of Minimum Subsistence Level of Income	143
2 (A)	Income-consumption relationship among total sampled hou	seholds
	and their marginal propensity to consumption (MPC)	145
2 (B)	Income-consumption relationship among absolute poor hou	seholds
	and their marginal propensity to consumption (MPC)	146
3	Derivation of Wolf Point	148
4	Gini Coefficient	149
4 (A)	Gini Coefficient among the total sampled households accord	ling to
	per capita daily income for group data (Decile groups)	149
4 (B)	Gini Coefficient among the absolute poor according to per o	capita
	daily income:	150
5	Estimation of Sen's Poverty Index	152
5 (A)	Sen's Poverty index considering inequality, i.e. Gini Coeffic	cient
	among absolute poor	152
5 (B)	Sen's Poverty index without considering inequality, i.e. with	nout
	considering Gini Coefficient	153
6	Estimation of Coefficient of variation among absolute poor	
	population	154
7	Foster Greer Thorbecks (FGT) measure of absolute poor (P	λ) 156
7 (A)	Income Gap Ratio	156
7 (B)	Head Count Index	157
7 (C)	Poverty Gap Index:	157
7 (D) \$	Squared proportionate poverty gap index	158
8	Correlation	159
8 (A)	Correlation between income and consumption expenditure	among
	total sampled households:	159

8 (B)	Correlation between income and consumption expenditure am	ong
	absolute poor households	160
9	Range	161
9 (A)	Computation of range among total sampled households	161
9 (B)	Computation of range among absolute poor households	161
10	Relative Mean Deviation, Variance and Coefficient of Variance	2
	among sampled households	163
10 (A)) Computation of relative mean deviation among the sampled	
	households	163
10 (B)	Computation of variance among the sampled households	164
10 (C)	Computation of coefficient of variance among the sampled	
	households	164
11	Relative Mean Deviation, Variance and Coefficient of Variance	÷
	among absolute poor households	165
11 (A)	Computation of relative mean deviation among absolute poor	
	households	166
11 (B)	Computation of variance among absolute poor households	166
11 (C)	Computation of coefficient of variance among absolute poor	
	households	167
12	t-test	168
12 (A)) Test of the significance of intercept parameter (a)	169
12 (B)) Test of the significance of slope parameter (b)	170
13	Chi-square test (Test Statistics on level of income and	
	education)	172
14	Chi-square test (Test Statistics on level of income and	
	employment)	175
15	Z-test	178
16	Sampled households - An Introduction	180

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	:	Agriculture Development Bank
CBO	:	Community Based Organizations
CBS	:	Centre Bureau of Statistics
CV	:	Coefficient of Variation
DDC	:	District Development Committee
Dr.	:	Doctor
FAO	:	Food and Agricultural Organization
FGT	:	Foster Greer Thorbecks
GBB	:	Grameen Bikas Bank
GC	:	Gini coefficient
GDP	:	Gross Domestic Product
GNP	:	Gross National Product
Gms.	:	Grams
HDR	:	Human Development Report
HH	:	Household
HMG/N	:	His Majesty Government/ Nepal
INGO	:	International Non-Governmental Organization
kg.	:	Kilogram
MD	:	Mean Deviation
MPC	:	Marginal Propensity to Consume
NGO	:	Non-Governmental Organization
NPC	:	National Planning Commission
NRB	:	Nepal Rastra Bank
No.	:	Number
PCI	:	Per capita Income
PE	:	Probable Error
Prof.	:	Professor

R.D.	:	Rural Development
Rs.	:	Rupees
SAARC	:	South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation
SLC	:	School Leaving Certificates
T.U.	:	Tribhuvan University
UN	:	United Nations
UNDP	:	United Nations Development Program
USA	:	United States of America
US \$:	United States Dollor
VDC	:	Village Development Committee
WB	:	World Bank