MANAGING MULTILEVEL DIVERSITY IN ELT CLASSES

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education in Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Ramesh Prasad Ghimire

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu
2011

MANAGING MULTILEVEL DIVERSITY IN ELT CLASSES

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education in Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

Submitted by Ramesh Prasad Ghimire

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu
2011

T.U. Reg. No.: 9-1-21-242-2002 Date of Approval of the Thesis

Second Year Examination Proposal: 2067-08-21

Roll No.: 280675/066 Date of Submission: 2067-11-03

DECLARATION

I here by declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is original; no part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any university.

Date: 2067-11-02

Ramesh Prasad Ghimire

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that Mr. Ramesh Prasad Ghimire has prepared this thesis entitled **Managing Multilevel Diversity in ELT Classes** under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend the thesis for acceptance.

Date: 2067-11-03

.....

Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi

Professor

Department of English Education

Faculty of Education

T.U., Kirtipur

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation from the following Research Guidance Committee.

| | Signature |
|---|-------------|
| Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra | |
| Professor and Head | Chairperson |
| Department of English Education | |
| T.U., Kirtipur | |
| Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi (Guide) | |
| Professor | Member |
| Department of English Education | |
| Chairperson | |
| English and Other Foreign Languages Education | |
| Subject Committee | |
| T.U., Kirtipur | |
| Dr. Bal Mukunda Bhandari | |
| Reader | Member |
| Department of English Education | |
| T.U., Kirtipur | |
| | |

Date: 2067-11-10

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following Thesis Evaluation and Approval Committee.

| | Signature |
|---|-------------|
| Dr.Anjana Bhattarai | |
| Reader and Head (acting) | Chairperson |
| Department of English Education | |
| T.U., Kirtipur | |
| Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi (Guide) | |
| Professor | Member |
| Department of English Education | |
| Chairperson | |
| English and Other Foreign Languages Education | |
| Subject Committee | |
| T.U., Kirtipur | |
| Dr. Tara Datta Bhatta | |
| Reader | Member |
| Department of English Education | |
| T.U., Kirtipur | |
| | |
| | |

Date: 2067-11-16

DEDICATION

Dedicated

to my late guru, Mr. Khadak K.C., without whom I would not be in the position where I am now.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those without whose support this work would not have taken this form.

I am deeply indebted and owe a great debt of gratitude to my Guru and thesis supervisor **Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi**, Professor of the Department of English Education, who provided me with relentless encouragement and guidance throughout my research work. I would also like to acknowledge him for being a constant source of inspiration not only to me but to all the people who are directly or indirectly linked to ELT in Nepal.

I am extremely grateful to **Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra**, Professor and Head of the Department of English Education and **Dr. Bal Mukunda Bhandari**, Reader of the Department of English Education for their insightful comments on my work. Their inputs proved instrumental in deepening my knowledge and understanding and contributed to gaining new insights into the enquiry.

I am deeply indebted to my late Guru **Mr. Khadak K.C**., who helped me learn to learn, and made me take responsibility of my own learning. He will remain the source of inspiration throughout my life.

I highly appreciate the support, suggestions and encouragements I received from all the **Gurus** and **Gurumas** of the Department of English Education during my study as well as this rigorous process of researching.

I am truly grateful to the school administrations and all the respondents without whose support; I would not be able to complete this research.

I will be forever indebted to my family and friends for their unconditional support and love throughout my study.

Ramesh Prasad Ghimire

ABSTRACT

The present study entitled 'Managing Multilevel Diversity in ELT Classes' was intended to find out the secondary level English teachers' awareness of diversity in ELT classes along with the challenges and opportunities of a diverse ELT class. In order to fulfil the objectives of the study, 40 secondary level English teachers and 40 students of the same level were selected from the 20 schools of the Kathmandu valley through purposive non-random sampling procedure. Two sets of questionnaire were the research tools for eliciting the required information for the study. The findings of the study showed that the secondary level English teachers are aware of diversity in ELT classes. They are aware of the fact that in an ELT classroom, there are students with different language level, cultural background, motivation, personality, etc. However, majority of the teachers do not devise different levels of exercises for addressing the diverse needs and abilities of the students. It was also found that diversity enhances collaborative learning, and helps the teachers grow as teachers. However, in a diverse ELT class, there remains the danger of student monotony and low participation in classroom activities.

This thesis is organized in four chapters. The first chapter presents the general background on diversity in ELT classes including the concept of diversity, and challenges and opportunities of a diverse ELT class. It also includes the strategies for coping with the challenges. The second chapter is concerned with the methodology used in the study, under which sources of data, sampling procedure, tools for data collection, process of data collection and limitations of the study are described. The third chapter provides the comprehensive picture of the analysis and interpretation of the data. The data are analyzed and interpreted under the four main headings. Under each heading, teachers' and students' responses are analyzed separately. The final chapter lists the major findings and recommendations of the study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page | |
|------|--|------|--|
| Decl | aration | i | |
| Reco | ommendation for Acceptance | ii | |
| Reco | ommendation for Evaluation | iii | |
| Eval | uation and Approval | iv | |
| Dedi | ication | v | |
| Acki | nowledgements | vi | |
| Abst | ract | vii | |
| Tabl | e of Contents | viii | |
| List | of Figures | xii | |
| List | of Symbols and Abbreviations | xiv | |
| CHA | APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1-40 | |
| 1.1 | General Background | 1 | |
| | 1.1.1 English Language Teaching in the Present Context | 3 | |
| | 1.1.2 Concept of Diversity | 6 | |
| | 1.1.3 Diversity in ELT Classes | 7 | |
| | 1.1.3.1 Components of Diversity | 9 | |
| | 1.1.3.2 Proficiency as a Major Component of Diversity | 11 | |
| | 1.1.3.3 Factors Causing Diversity in Proficiency | 13 | |
| | 1.1.4 General Strategies for Managing Diversity in ELT Classes | 19 | |
| | 1.1.5 Diverse Classes | 22 | |
| | 1.1.5.1 Challenges in Diverse Classes | 24 | |
| | 1.1.5.2 Opportunities in Diverse Classes | 27 | |
| | 1.1.5.3 Strategies for Coping with the Challenges | 29 | |
| 1.2 | Review of Related Literature | 35 | |
| 1.3 | Objectives of the Study | | |
| 1.4 | Significance of the Study | | |

| CHA | PTER | TWO: METHODOLOGY | 41-43 | |
|-----|----------------------------|--|-------|--|
| 2.1 | Sourc | res of Data | 41 | |
| | 2.1.1 | Primary Sources of Data | 41 | |
| | 2.1.2 | Secondary Sources of Data | 41 | |
| 2.2 | Samp | ling Procedure | 41 | |
| 2.3 | Tools | for Data Collection | 42 | |
| 2.4 | Process of Data Collection | | 42 | |
| 2.5 | Limit | Limitations of the Study | | |
| CHA | PTER | THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION | 44-84 | |
| 3.1 | Diver | sity in ELT Classes | 44 | |
| | 3.1.1 | Analysis and Interpretation of Teachers' Responses | 44 | |
| | | 3.1.1.1 The Notion of Diversity in ELT Classes | 45 | |
| | | 3.1.1.2 Coverage of the Term Diversity | 45 | |
| | | 3.1.1.3 Diversity in ELT Classes as a Norm | 46 | |
| | | 3.1.1.4 ELT Classes Full of Diversity | 47 | |
| | | 3.1.1.5 Diversity in ELT Classes as Common as in the Societ | y 47 | |
| | | 3.1.1.6 Valuing and Appreciating Diversity | 48 | |
| | | 3.1.1.7 Multilevel Diversity in ELT Classes | 49 | |
| | | 3.1.1.8 Teaching Diverse Students Together Well | 50 | |
| | 3.1.2 | Analysis and Interpretation of Students' Responses | 51 | |
| | | 3.1.2.1 Devising Different Levels of Exercises for Different | | |
| | | Groups of Students | 51 | |
| | | 3.1.2.2 Humiliating the Less Proficient Students | 52 | |
| | | 3.1.2.3 Teachers' Expectation of Student Proficiency | 52 | |
| 3.2 | Challe | enges in Diverse ELT Classes | 53 | |
| | 3.2.1 | Analysis and Interpretation of Teachers' Responses | 53 | |
| | | 3.2.1.1 Challenges as Natural Phenomena | 54 | |
| | | 3.2.1.2 Making the Class Beneficial for all the Students | 55 | |

| | | 3.2.1.3 Deciding Appropriate Level of Instruction and Task | 56 |
|-----|--------|---|------|
| | | 3.2.1.4 Anxiety among the Students | 56 |
| | | 3.2.1.5 One Size Fits all Approach as a Fundamental Problem | 57 |
| | | 3.2.1.6 Diverse ELT Class and its Direction | 58 |
| | | 3.2.1.7 Problems Faced by Teachers | 59 |
| | 3.2.2 | Analysis and Interpretation of Students' Responses | 60 |
| | | 3.2.2.1 Students' View on their Language Proficiency | 60 |
| | | 3.2.2.2 Boredom among the Students | 61 |
| | | 3.2.2.3 Lack of Self Confidence among the Students | 62 |
| 3.3 | Oppo | rtunities in Diverse ELT Classes | 63 |
| | 3.3.1 | Analysis and Interpretation of Teachers' Responses | 63 |
| | | 3.3.1.1 Changing the Challenges into Opportunities | 63 |
| | | 3.3.1.2 Creating Lively Context for Language Teaching | 64 |
| | | 3.3.1.3 Collaborative Activities for Natural i + 1 Input | 65 |
| | | 3.3.1.4 Opportunities: Simply Outcomes of Controlled Research | h 65 |
| | | 3.3.1.5 Teachers' Professional Development | 66 |
| | | 3.3.1.6 Emphasis on Minimizing Problems Rather than on | |
| | | Creating Opportunities | 67 |
| | | 3.3.1.7 Focus of Teacher Education in Creating Opportunities | 68 |
| | | 3.3.1.8 Opportunities Observed by Teachers | 69 |
| | 3.3.2 | Analysis and Interpretation of Students' Responses | 70 |
| | | 3.3.2.1 Facilitating Classmates in the Activities | 70 |
| | | 3.3.2.2 Students' Learning from One Another | 71 |
| | | 3.3.2.3 Collaborative Learning | 72 |
| | | 3.3.2.4 Opportunities Observed by Students | 73 |
| 3.4 | Strate | gies for Coping with the Challenges | 74 |
| | 3.4.1 | Analysis and Interpretation of Teachers' Responses | 74 |
| | | 3.4.1.1 Managing the Challenges | 75 |
| | | 3.4.1.2 Complete Avoidance of the Challenges | 75 |

| | 3.4.1.3 Individualized Techniques in a Diverse ELT Class | 76 |
|------|---|------|
| | 3.4.1.4 Collaborative Learning in a Diverse ELT Class | 77 |
| | 3.4.1.5 Contextual Language Teaching in a Diverse ELT Class | 78 |
| | 3.4.1.6 Appropriacy of the Same Learning Task for all the | |
| | Students | 78 |
| | 3.4.1.7 Domination of more Proficient Students | 79 |
| | 3.4.1.8 Strategies Adopted by the Teachers | 80 |
| | 3.4.2 Analysis and Interpretation of Students' Responses | 81 |
| | 3.4.2.1 Students' Feeling in a Diverse ELT Class | 81 |
| | 3.4.2.2 Student Involvement in the Task | 82 |
| | 3.4.2.3 Strategies as Noticed by Students | 83 |
| СНА | APTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 8: | 5-90 |
| 4.1 | Findings of the Study | 85 |
| 4.2 | Recommendations for Pedagogical Implications | 88 |
| 4.3 | Recommendations for Further Research | 89 |
| Refe | rences 9 | 1-94 |
| Appe | endices | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | Page |
|----------------|---|-------|
| Figure No. 1: | The Nation of Diversity in ELT Classes | 45 |
| Figure No.2: | Coverage of the Term Diversity | 46 |
| Figure No. 3: | Diversity in ELT Classes as a Norm | 46 |
| Figure No. 4: | ELT Classes Full of Diversity | 47 |
| Figure No. 5: | Diversity in ELT Classes as Common as in the Society | 48 |
| Figure No. 6: | Valuing and Appreciating Diversity | 49 |
| Figure No. 7: | Multilevel Diversity in ELT Classes | 49 |
| Figure No. 8: | Teaching Diverse Students Together Well | 50 |
| Figure No. 9: | Devising Different Levels of Exercises for Different Grou | ps of |
| | Students | 51 |
| Figure No.10: | Humiliating the Less Proficient Students | 52 |
| Figure No. 11: | Teachers' Expectation of Student Proficiency | 53 |
| Figure No. 12: | Challenges as Natural Phenomena | 54 |
| Figure No. 13: | Challenges as Natural Phenomena | 55 |
| Figure No. 14: | Making the Class Beneficial for all the Students | 55 |
| Figure No. 15: | Deciding Appropriate Level of Instruction and Task | 56 |
| Figure No. 16: | Anxiety among the Students | 57 |
| Figure No. 17: | One Size Fits all Approach as a Fundamental Problem | 58 |
| Figure No. 18: | Diverse ELT Class and its Direction | 58 |
| Figure No. 19: | Students' View on their Language Proficiency | 61 |
| Figure No. 20: | Boredom among the Students | 61 |
| Figure No. 21: | Lack of Self Confidence among the Students | 62 |
| Figure No. 22: | Changing the Challenges into Opportunities | 63 |
| Figure No. 23: | Creating Lively Context for Language Teaching | 64 |
| Figure No. 24: | Collaborative Activities for Natural i + 1 Input | 65 |
| Figure No. 25: | Opportunities: Simply Outcomes of Controlled Research | 66 |
| Figure No. 26: | Teachers' Professional Development | 67 |

| Figure No. 27: | Emphasis on Minimizing Problems Rather than on Creating | |
|----------------|--|----|
| | Opportunities | 67 |
| Figure No. 28: | Focus of Teacher Education in Creating Opportunities | 68 |
| Figure No. 29: | Facilitating Classmates in the Activities | 71 |
| Figure No. 30: | Students' Learning from One Another | 71 |
| Figure No. 31: | Collaborative Learning | 72 |
| Figure No. 32: | Managing the Challenges | 75 |
| Figure No. 33: | Complete Avoidance of the Challenges | 76 |
| Figure No. 34: | Individualized Techniques in a Diverse ELT Classes | 76 |
| Figure No. 35: | Collaborative Learning in a Diverse ELT Class | 77 |
| Figure No. 36: | Contextual Language Teaching in a Diverse ELT Class | 78 |
| Figure No. 37: | Appropriacy of the Same Learning Task for all the Students | 79 |
| Figure No. 38: | Domination of more Proficient Students | 79 |
| Figure No. 39: | Students' Feeling in a Diverse ELT Class | 81 |
| Figure No. 40: | Students' Feeling in a Diverse ELT Class | 82 |
| Figure No. 41: | Student Involvement in the Task | 83 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CPH : Critical Period Hypothesis

CUP : Cambridge University Press

ELT : English Language Teaching

ESL : English as Second Language

FD : Field Dependent

FI : Field Independent

L₂ : Second Language

NELTA: Nepal English Language Teachers' Association

OUP : Oxford University Press

SLA : Second Language Acquisition

STT : Student Talking Time