TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY

Subversion of Colonial Discourse in (J)ohn (M)axwell Coetzee's Foe

A Thesis Submitted to Central Department of English

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of

Master of Arts in English

by

Chandra Mani Paudel

Central Department of English

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

March 2009

Tribhuvan University

Central Department of English

Letter of Recommendation

Mr. Chandra Mani Paudel has completed his thesis entitled "Subversion of Colonial Discourse in J(ohn) M(axwell) Coetzee's *Foe''* under my supervision. He carried out his research from July 2008 to March 2009. I, hereby, recommend his thesis be submitted for viva voce.

Supervisor

Date:....

Tribhuvan University

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

This thesis entitled "**Subversion of Colonial Discourse in J.M Coetzee's** *Foe*" submitted to the Central Department of English, Tribhuvan University, by Chandra Mani Paudel, has been approved by the undersigned members of the Research Committee.

Members of the Research Committee:

Internal Examiner

External Examiner

Head

Central Department of English

Date:....

Acknowledgements

Inspiration always plays a vital role in every progression of human life. The present dissertation would have been impossible to emanate in this form without the vision and guidance of Badri Prasad Acharya, lecturer at Central Department of English. Words fail to communicate my heartfelt indebtedness not only for supplying me rare materials but also the genuine insight provided by my 'guru', despite his busy schedule.

Likewise, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Dr. Krishna Chandra Sharma, the Head of Central Department of English for providing me an opportunity to work on this subject.

I am equally grateful to Dr. Arun Gupto, Dr. Sanjeev Upreti Dr, Rebati Neupane and Dr. Beerendra Pandey for their precious suggestions and recommendations. I heartily express my sincere gratitude to all my respected teachers of the Central Department of English for their continuous encouragement.

Similarly, Bipin Chandra Subedi, Sarbahit Pandit and Navaraj Tiwari are some of my friends who deserve my sincere thanks for passing me their valuable ideas. Bishnu Bahadur Thapa is another name which is worthy to mention here because of his persistent help in search of some essential materials required to complete this dissertation.

Finally, my soul is always deeply indebted to my dearest father- Late Purnadip Paudel whose stimulating inspiration has always guided me to approach this success and my mother- Mayadevi Paudel whose suggestions made indelible impression on me to complete this task. Last but not the least, my wife, Bindu Acharya who created lovely and pleasant environment for me with great care at the time of preparing this thesis deserves to be acknowledged. I would like to thank all of my well-wishers who helped me directly or indirectly for the completion of this work and Kumar Jamarkatel for computer typing and printing.

March 2009

Chandra Mani Paudel

Abstract

J. M. Coetzee's *Foe* is a radical revision of Daniel Defoe's *Robinson Crusoe*. It subverts allegorical and canonical Robinson myth from postcolonial perspective. Defoe's eighteenth century novel was built upon the false ideologies imposed by the western colonizers. It valorizes the European values such as the eighteenth century Enlightenment rationality, individuality, capitalism, patriarchy and so on. The novel disregards the significant aspects of the females as well as the colonized subjects. It is because Defoe writes Robinson Crusoe from the perspective of the white colonizer, an Englishman. In order to counter colonialist discourse, Coetzee writes his novel from the perspective of the marginalized and colonized (in terms of biological and geographical colonization). Because of Defoe's indifference towards the pain and sufferings of the non-Whites, Coetzee deliberately represents him as foe--an enemy of the colonized. So, it is essential for him to subvert such European notions in his masterpiece, Foe. Coetzee's Foe gives adequate role to the females as well as the blacks such as Susan Barton and Friday. He makes his female narrator, Barton evaluate every incident of the story to give voice to the marginalized or colonized non-White Africans so that their pains and sufferings will be heard throughout the world.

Table of Contents

Contents	Page No.
I. General Introduction	1-13
II. Postcolonialism	14-40
III. Subversion of Colonial Discourse in Coetzee's Foe	41-57
Valorization of Colonial Discourse in Robinson Crusoe.	41
Politics Behind the Use of a Female (Susan Barton) as a Narrator in Foe	<i>e</i> 45
Crusoe's Island as an Allegory of Colony.	49
Friday's Resistance Against Colonialism.	52
IV. Conclusion	58-60
Works Cited	61-62