FERTILITY BEHAVIOUR OF DALIT COMMUNITY (A Case Study of Gauripur VDC, Siraha)

A THESIS

SUBMITED TO THE CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF POPULATION STUDIES

(CDPS), FACULTY OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES,

TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE

REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER

OF ARTS IN POPULATION STUDIES

BY RANJIT KUMAR SINGH

Central Department of Population Studies (CDPS)

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

March, 2012

DECLARATION

| Except where otherwise acknowledged | in | the | text, | the | analysis | in | this | thesis | represents | my |
|-------------------------------------|----|-----|-------|-----|----------|----|------|--------|------------|----|
| own original research. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | R | anji | t Kum | ar Singh | |
| | | | | | | N | Iaro | h, 201 | 2 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the thesis

Submitted by

Ranjit Kumar Singh

Entitled

Fertility Behaviour of Dalit Community

(A Case Study of Gauripur VDC Ward No. 4 and 5, Laxmipur Siraha) is Recommended for External Examination.

| Associate Prof. Mahendra Prasad Sharma | |
|--|--|
| (Thesis Supervisor) | |

Date: March, 2012

VIVA- VOCE SHEET

We have conducted viva- voce examination of the thesis

Submitted by

Ranjit Kumar Singh

Entitled

Fertility Behaviour of Dalit Community

(A Case Study of Gauripur VDC Ward No. 4 and 5, Laxmipur Siraha)

and find that the thesis to be an independent work of the student written according to the prescribed format. We accept the thesis as the partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master of Arts in Population Studies.

| Evaluation Committee: | |
|--|--|
| Prof. Dr. Prem Singh Bisht | |
| (Head, Central Department of Population Studies) | |
| | |
| Associate Prof. Mahendra Prasad Sharma | |
| (Thesis Supervisor) | |
| | |
| Associate Prof. Bhes Nath Sapkota | |
| (External Examiner) | |
| | |

March, 2012

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to the Central Department of Population Studies, Faculty of Humanities and Social Science, Tribhuvan University, for the partial fulfillment of Master's Degree of Arts in Population Studies. This study has been carried out with the help of proper guidance and continuous supervision of respected Associate Prof. Mahendra Prasad Sharma of CDPS. Therefore, I am very much indebted to him for his helps in providing me with his encouragement and suggestions during the work which made this work simple and possible.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Prem Singh Bisht, Head of Central Department of Population Studies for encouragement, support and permission to carry out research on selected topic. I am also equally grateful to all my respected teachers and administrative staffs of the Department. I am very much indebted to my parents Mr. Ram Kumar Singh and Urmila Singh, whose perpetual inspiration and encouragement with financial supports helped me to reach at this position.

I would like to give special thanks to my friends as well as other colleagues and associates for their respective helps and suggestions. Finally, I am grateful to Mr. Ramesh Maharjan (Dynamic Computer Centre, Kirtipur) for typing and designing this dissertation.

Ranjit Kumar Singh

ABSTRACT

The main purpose of this study is to examine the "Fertility Behaviour of Dalit Community: A Case Study Gauripur VDC Ward No. 4 and 5, Laxmipur, Siraha" in terms of selected demographic and socio-economic variables, knowledge of family planning relation between CEB with education, occupation, income and age at marriage. The study included 115 currently married women of reproductive ages (15-49years) from 115 households with 735 entire family members. Household and individual level information are collected by census method.

Among total Dalit population, male constitute 53.61 percent and female constitute 46.41 percent and hence the sex ratio is 115.54. About 66.13 percent people are married. The literacy rate of the Dalit population is 52.86 percent and 61.74 percent of respondent's women are illiterate. Among the total respondents 29.57 percent women are engaged in agricultural sector. 81.52 percent respondents are used of family planning method. High fertility culture is shown by these people. Women of age group 45-49 years has 5.38 mean CEB.

Lower fertility behaviour has associated with literacy and having higher age at marriage. Better occupation status helps to reduce fertility. Use of contraception, age at marriage, literacy status and occupation of respondents are found to be inversely (negatively) associated with the number of fertility (CEB). In the study area most of the Dalit women are backward from social economic and educational level. Most of the Dalit women are engaged in agriculture and daily wage labour. The educational situation is very poor. In the study area few, Dalit females are in reproductive age (15-49 years) have been attained formal education. It is argued that fertility remains high due to high child mortality. High fertility has ensured high dependency and burden. Similarly, current skewed distribution of population towards the younger ages would result high fertility in coming years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page No |
|-----|---|---------|
| DEC | CLARATION | i |
| REC | COMMENDATION | ii |
| VIV | VA VOCE SHEET | iii |
| ACI | KNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| ABS | STRACT | v |
| TAI | BLE OF CONTENTS | vi-viii |
| LIS | T OF TABLES | ix-x |
| ACl | RONYMS | xi-xii |
| | | |
| CH | IAPTER – I | |
| IN | TRODUCTION | 1-5 |
| 1.1 | General Background of the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Statement of the Problem | 2 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of the Study | 3 |
| 1.4 | Significance of the Study | 4 |
| 1.5 | Limitations of the Study | 4 |
| 1.6 | Organization of the Study | 4 |
| СН | APTER – II | |
| RE | VIEW OF LITERATURE | 6-13 |
| 2.1 | Theoretical Literature | 6 |
| 2.2 | Empirical Literature | 9 |
| | 2.2.1 Education and Fertility | 9 |
| | 2.2.2 Occupation, Income and Fertility | 9 |
| | 2.2.3 Age at Marriage and Fertility | 10 |
| | 2.2.4 Child Loss Experience and Fertility | 11 |
| | 2.2.5 Family Planning and Fertility | 12 |
| | 2.2.6 Proposed Conceptual Framework | 12 |

CHAPTER – III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

| 3.1 | Study | Area | 14 |
|-----|--------|---|-------|
| 3.2 | Samp | ling Design | 14 |
| 3.3 | Sourc | e of Data | 15 |
| 3.4 | Data (| Collection Tools and Technique | 15 |
| 3.5 | Analy | rsis of Data | 15 |
| | | | |
| СН | APTE | R - IV | |
| SO | CIO-E | CONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF | |
| RE | SPON | DENTS | 16-30 |
| 4.1 | House | ehold Characteristics | 16 |
| | 4.1.1 | Age Sex Structure | 16 |
| | 4.1.2 | Age Dependency Ratio | 17 |
| | 4.1.3 | Educational Status | 18 |
| | 4.1.4 | Marital Status | 18 |
| | 4.1.5 | Occupation Status | 19 |
| | 4.1.6 | Religion | 20 |
| | 4.1.7 | Caste | 21 |
| | 4.1.8 | Land Holding Status | 21 |
| | 4.1.9 | Household Income | 22 |
| | 4.1.10 | Types of House | 22 |
| 4.2 | Socio | -Economic and Demographic Characteristic of the Respondents | 23 |
| | 4.2.1 | Age | 23 |
| | 4.2.2 | Age at Menarche of Respondents | 24 |
| | 4.2.3 | Age at Marriage of the Respondents | 24 |
| | 4.2.4 | Age at First Birth | 25 |
| | 4.2.5 | Number of CEB | 26 |
| | 4.2.6 | Child Loss Experience | 26 |
| | 4.2.7 | Knowledge of Family Planning Methods | 27 |
| | 4.2.8 | Heard of Family Planning Methods | 28 |
| | 4.2.9 | Use and Non-Use of Contraceptive Tools | 28 |

14-15

| | 4.2.10 Occupation Status of the Respondents | 29 |
|-----|--|-------|
| | 4.2.11 Educational Status of the Respondents | 30 |
| СН | APTER – V | |
| FE | RTILITY BEHAVIOUR OF THE RESPONDENTS | 31-36 |
| 5.1 | Mean CEB by Age | 31 |
| 5.2 | Mean CEB by Age at Marriage | 32 |
| 5.3 | Mean CEB by Child Loss Experience | 32 |
| 5.4 | Mean CEB by Education | 33 |
| 5.5 | Mean CEB by Educational Status of Husband | 33 |
| 5.6 | Mean CEB of Respondents by Occupational Status | 34 |
| 5.7 | Mean CEB by Occupational Status of Husband | 35 |
| 5.8 | Family Planning and CEB | 35 |
| СН | APTER – VI | |
| SU | MMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION | 37-40 |
| 6.1 | Summary and Findings | 37 |
| 6.2 | Conclusion | 38 |
| 6.3 | Recommendations | 39 |
| 6.4 | Recommendations for Further Research | 39 |
| RE | FERENCES | 41-42 |
| | | |

QUESTIONNAIRE

LIST OF TABLES

| | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| Table 4.1.1: Distribution of the Household by Age and Sex | 17 |
| Table 4.1.2: Age Dependency Ratio of the Household Population | 17 |
| Table 4.1.3: Educational Status of Household Population | 18 |
| Table 4.1.4: Percentage Distribution of Household Population (age 8 years and above) by | |
| Marital Status | 19 |
| Table 4.1.5: Percentage Distribution of Household Population (aged 6 years and above) by | |
| Occupation Status | 19 |
| Table 4.1.6: Percentage Distribution of HHs by Religion | 20 |
| Table 4.1.7: Percentage Distribution of HHs by Caste | 21 |
| Table 4.1.8 Percentage Distribution of Land Holding Status | 21 |
| Table 4.1.9: Percentage Distribution of the Household by Monthly Income | 22 |
| Table 4.1.10: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Type of House | 23 |
| Table 4.2.1: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Age Group | 23 |
| Table 4.2.2: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Age at Menarche | 24 |
| Table 4.2.3: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Age at First Marriage | 25 |
| Table 4.2.4: Distribution of Respondents by Age at First Birth | 25 |
| Table 4.2.5: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Number of Children Born Alive Till | |
| the Time of Survey | 26 |
| Table 4.2.6: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Child Loss Experience | 27 |
| Table 4.2.7: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Knowledge of Family Planning and | |
| Uses and Non-Uses Experience | 27 |
| Table 4.2.8: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Uses of Contraceptive Methods | 28 |
| Table 4.2.9: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Uses and Non Uses of Contraceptive | |
| Tools | 29 |
| Table 4.2.10: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Occupational Status | 30 |
| Table 4.2.11: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Educational Status | 30 |
| Table 5.1: Distribution of the Respondents by Current Age and Mean Number of CEB | 31 |
| Table 5.2: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Mean CEB and Age at Marriage | 32 |
| Table 5.3: Distribution of Respondent by Mean CEB and Child Loss Experience | 33 |
| Table 5.4: Distribution of Respondents by Mean CEB and Educational Status | 33 |
| Table 5.5: Distribution of Respondents by the Husband Education and CEB | 34 |

| Table 5.6: Distribution of the Respondent by Occupational Status and Mean CEB | 34 |
|--|----|
| Table 5.7: Distribution of Husband by Mean CEB and Occupational Status | 35 |
| Table 5.8: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by CEB and Knowledge Use and Non-Use | |
| of Family Planning Method | 35 |
| Table 5.9: Distribution of Respondents by Mean CEB and Use of Contraceptive Methods | 36 |

LIST OF ACRONYMS

BDCS : Birth, Death and Contraceptive Survey

CBR : Crude Birth Rate

CBS : Central Bureau of Statistic

CDPS : Central Department of Population Studies

CEB : Children Ever Born

CHW : Community Health Workers

CPR : Contraceptive Prevalence Rate

FP : Family Planning

HHH : Head of the Household

HHs : Households

HMF : His Majesty's Government

ICPD : International Conference on Population and Development

IEC : Information, Education and Communication

IMR : Infant Mortality Rate

INFO : International Non-Government Organization

IUD : Intra Uterine Device

MoH : Ministry of Health

MOPE : Ministry of Population and Environment

NDHS : Nepal Demographic and Health Survey

NGOs : Non-Government Organization

NLSS : Nepal Living Standard Survey

PHC/HP : Primary Health Center/Health Post

PRB : Population Reference Bureau

TFR : Total Fertility Rate

TU : Tribhuvan University

UN : United Nations

UNDP : United Nation Development Programme

VDC : Village Development Committee

VHW : Village Health Worker

WHO : World Health Organization