A STUDY ON NUMBER OF CHILD EVER BORN: A CASE STUDY OF DAYANAGAR VDC OF RUPENDEHI DISTRIC

A THESIS Submitted to the Central Department of Economics, Faculty of Humanities and Social Science, Tribhuwan University, In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for, MASTER'S DEGREE in ECONOMICS

Submitted By NAVIN ADHIKARI Roll No: - 171/2064

Central Department of Economics, Tribhuwan University Kritipur, Kathmandu, Nepal March, 2014

RECOMMENDATION LETTER

This is to certify that the thesis submitted by Mr. Navin Adhikari, entitled "A Study On Number Of Child Ever Born" has been prepared under my supervision in the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Economics. I recommend this thesis to the evaluation committee for examination.

Prof. Dr. Rudra Prasad Gautam Professor Central Department of Economics Tribhuwan University, Kritipur, Kathmandu.

Date: March, 2014

APPROVAL LETTER

We certify that this thesis entitled "A STUDY ON NUMBER OF CHILD EVER BORN (A CASE STUDY OF DAYANAGAR VDC OF RUPENDEHI DISTRIC) submitted by Mr. Navin Adhikari to the Central Department of Economics, faculty of Humanities and Social Science, Tribhuwan University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF ARTS in ECONOMICS has been found satisfactory in scope and quality. Therefore, we accept this thesis the said degree.

Thesis Committee

.....

Associate Prof. Dr. Ram Prasad Gyanwaly Head of the Department Prof. Dr. Bijaya Shrestha External Examiner Prof. Dr. Rudra Prasad Gautam Thesis Supervisor

Date: March, 2014

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Central Department of Economics for providing me an opportunity to research on the subject "A Study on Child Ever Born" A Case Study of Dayanagar VDC", Nepal.

I am greatly indebted to Prof. Dr. Rudra Prasad Gautam, my thesis supervisor for his inexhaustible support, encouragement, priceless and valuable guidance and inspiration in all stages of my work.

This study owes intellectual debts from my friends Bimal G.C, Basant Poudel, Subash poudel. I have deep gratitude towards them. I would like to thank my Father Mohan Kumar Adhikari, sister Prity Adhikari and also thanks to the employees of Dayanagar VDC office who help me by giving valuable time while collecting data. And all my colleagues friends for the stimulating intellectual and general discussions to complete this study.

Last but not the least, I am especially grateful for the valuable suggestion and most important support inspiration and encouragement that are never noticed cannot be forgotten my parents and I wish especially thanks to my wife Binita Ghimire (Adhikari) for being always support me throughout my life. I dedicate this work to my Family.

Navin Adhikari Central Department of Economics T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu, March, 2014.

ABSTRACT

Fertility behavior is very complex phenomenon. Fertility is the most interest area of many demographers. The twenty first century has witnessed the great changes in fertility and socioeconomic and demographic conditions of people have crossed its limit. It means the living standard has been increased. Furthermore, the concept of people has changed in relation with social, economic, political and demographic sectors.

Due to political developments in Nepal positive and dynamic changes have been achievement in many sectors. I have selected the study entailed "A study on fertility behavior of woman" I have selected this subject because it is my home VDC and no research have done in this subject so I have selected this subject. The study of fertility is my key interest area aswell. Therefore, I want to elaborate the poor-socio-economic and demographic status of people of study area.

This study covers population in selected wards of Dayanagar VDC. The primary data was collected from five wards of Dayanagar VDC in Rupendehi district. The main cause of selecting these five wards is due to the densely populated area and those wards have different group of people reside like Tharu, yadav, Brahmin, Muslim etc which can represent the fertility bahaviour of total VDC. The analysis and interpretation of the data were carried out by using frequency tables, cross tabulation, mean CEB with selected dependant and independent variables. This study has included 110 households. Ever married woman of reproductive aged 15-49 years as taken for study. Two type of information were included in the study. The 110 households had included 474 family members out of total population, where 47.68 percent were male and 52.32 percent were femels. Sex ratio is 91.12. Percent and the literacy rate of this VDC is 52.13, which is quite lower than primary census report 2011. Marriage is nearly universal in this VDC. Tharu, yadav, Muslim and dalit people marry relatively at lower ages in comparison to other caste like Brahami and Cherties. This survey has concluded that the higher the education, lower the mean CEB. The main occupation status and use of contraception are found negatively associated with fertility. Similarly, the majority of respondents are found illiterate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RECOMMENDATION LETTER	i
APPROVAL LETTER	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRECT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLE	viii
LIST OF FIGURE	ix
ABBREVAITION	Х

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 Objective of the Study	6
1.4 Significance of the Study	6
1.5 Limitation of the study	7
1.6 Organization of the Study	8

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

Theore	eoretical Literature Review	
2.1 Em	perical Literature Review	
2.2.1	Age at Marriage and Fertility	18
2.2.2	Occupation and Fertility	20
2.2.3	Family Planning and Fertility	21
2.2.4	Income and Fertility	22
2.2.5	Education and Fertility	22
2.2.6	Socio-cultural Norms, values and Fertility	24

2.2.7	Infant and Child Mortality and	24
2.2.8	Proposed Conceptual Framework	25

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

2.3	Study Area	27
2.4	Sources of Data	28
2.5	Sample Size	29
2.6	Questionnaire Design	30
2.7	Data Collection	31
2.8	Data Tabulation and Analysis	31

CHAPTER 4: SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA

4.1 Age-Sex Structure	32
4.2 Sex Ratio	34
4.3 Dependency Ratio	36
4.4 Household by Family	37
4.5 Occupation Status	38
4.6 Education Status	39
4.7 Marital Status	41
4.8 Status of Land Ownership	42
4.9 Distribution of Household by Cultivating Other's Land	44
4.10 Distribution of Household by Livestock	45
4.11 Types of House	46
4.12 Toilet facilities	47
4.13 Household Facility	48
4.14 Sources of Drinking Water	49
4.15 Fuel used for Cooking Food	50

CHAPTER 5: FERTILITY BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

5.1 Fertility and age distribution of the Respondent	54
5.2 Age of Marriage and Fertility	56
5.3 Child Loss Experience and Fertility	56
5.4 Ideal Number of Children and Fertility	58
5.5 Education Status of the Respondent and Fertility	59
5.6 Mean CEB an Occupation	60
5.7 Income and Fertility	62
5.8 Decision Making and Fertility	63
5.9 Current use of Contraception and Fertility	65
5.10 Discussion of Family Planning and Fertility	67
5.11 Knowledge of Family Planning and Fertility	67
5.12 cause of not using Family Planning Method	69
5.13 Caste/Ethnicity and Fertility	70

CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY, CONCLUTION AND RECOMMENDATION

6.1 Socio-Economic and Demographic characteristics of the Study Area Population	72
6.2 Differential in Fertility by Socio-Economic And Demographic Variables	73
6.3 Conclusion of the Study	74
6.4 Recommendation	74
6.5 Recommendation for Further Research	75

REFERENCE

51

52

QUESTIONNIRE

LIST OF TABLES

Table3.3 Selected Number of Households by Wards in the Study Are	29
Table4.1 Distribution of the Study Area Population by Age and Sex	33
Table4.2 Sex Ratio by Age Group	35
Table4.3 Distribution of the study Population by Dependency Ratio	36
Table4.4 Percentage Distribution of Household by family size in the study Area	38
Table4.5Distribution Population by sex aged 10 years and above by Occupation	39
Table4.6 Distribution of the Population by Literacy and Level of Education	40
Table4.7 Distribution of Marital Status by Aged 10 Years and Above	42
Table4.8 Distribution of Household by Landholding Status	44
Table4.9 Distribution of the Household by Cultivating Other's Land	44
Table4.10 Distribution of Household by Livestock	45
Table4.11 Distribution of the Study Area Population by Types of House	46
Table4.12 Distribution of the Household by Toilet Facilities	47
Table4.13 Distribution of Household by Physical Facilities in the Study Area	48
Table4.14 Distribution of Household by Source of Drinking Water in the Study Area	49
Table4.15 Distribution of Household by various Source of Fuel	50
Table4.16 Distribution of Household by Religion in the Study Area	51
Table4.17 Distribution of Household by Caste/ethnicity of The Study area	52
Table5.1 Distribution of Respondent (15-49). Five years age	55
Table5.2 Mean CEB and the Age at Marriage of the Respondent	56
Table 5.3 Distribution of Population by child Loss experience and Mean CEB	57
Tabl5.4 Distribution of Respondent by the Desire Number of Children by them	58
Table 5.5 Distribution of Respondent by Education Level and Mean CEB	59
Table5.6 Mean CEB and Occupation	61
Tabl5.7Distribution of Income of the Respondent and Mean CEB	63
Table 5.8 Decision Making Power of Respondent and Fertility	64
Table5.9 Distribution of Respondent by current use of Family Planning Methods	66
Table5.10 Discussion of Family planning with spouce	67
Table5.11 Distribution of Respondent by Heard of Family planning method	68
Table5.12 Distribution of Respondent by Reason of Not Using FP method	70
Table5.13 Mean CEB by Caste/Ethnicity	71

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure1: Easterline's framework for analysis of Fertility Change	12
Figure2: Modernization and Fertility	13
Figure3: Socio-economic Analysis of Fertility	15
Figure 4: Conceptual Framework	26
Figure4.3: Dependency Ratio	37
Figure 4.8: Distribution of Household by Landholding	44
Figure 4.9: Distribution of Households by cultivating other's Land	45
Figure 5.1: Mean CEB of Respondent	55
Figure 5.5: Mean CEB by Educational Status	60
Figure 5.6: Mean CEB and Occupational Status	62
Figure 5.8: Fertility and Decision Making	64
Figure 5.9: Percentage Distribution of Respondent by Current use of Contraception	66
Figure 5.11: Percentage of Respondent by Heard of FP Method	69

ABBREVIATIONS

А	Adobe
At	Attached
Ca	Campus
CBS	Central Bureau of Statistics
CDPS	Central Department of Population Studies
CEB	Child Ever Born
FP	Family Planning
GRR	Gross Demos tic Product
Н	Hospital
HH	Households
IMR	Infant Mortality Rate
INGO	International Non government organization
IUCD	Intrauterine Contraceptive Device
LDCS	Least Developed Countries
MOH	Ministry of Health
NA	Not Available
NDHS	Nepal Demographic Health Survey
NLSS	Nepal Living Standard Survey
NGO	Non government organization
NPC	National Planning Commission
NPHS	Nepal Population Health Survey
NRB	Nepal Rastra Bank
PRB	Population Reference Bureau
SAARC	South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation
SLC	School Living Certificate
TFR	Total Fertility Rate
U.N	United Nation
UNFPA	United Nation Population Fund

VDC Village Development Committee