# CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES OF INTERNAL MIGRATION IN NEPAL:

A Case Study of Patabhar VDC, Bardiya District

#### **A Thesis**

Submitted to Central Department of Rural Development Faculty of
Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master of Arts
In Rural Development

## By:

#### MIJALA DANGOL

Exam Roll No.: 3211

T.U. Regd. No.: 19412-95

Central Department of Rural Development

T.U.

Kirtipur

2016

# **DECLARATION**

Mijala Dangol
thesis represents my own original research.
Except whether otherwise acknowledged in the text, the analysis in this

## RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the thesis entitled CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES OF INTERNAL MIGRATION IN NEPAL(A Case Study of Patabhar VDC, Bardiya District)Submitted by Mijala Dangol is Recommended for External Examination.

.....

**Suman Kharel** 

**Thesis Supervisor** 

## **APPROVAL LETTER**

The thesis entitled CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES OF INTERNAL MIGRATION IN NEPAL(A Case Study of Patabhar VDC, Bardiya District) Submitted by Mijala Dangol partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master's Degree (M.A.) in Rural Development has been evaluated and approved by the evaluation committee.

Evaluation Committee		
Prof. Dr. Prem Sharma Head Central Department of Rural Development		
Subas Jha		
(External Examiner)		
Suman Kharel		
(Thesis Supervisor)		
Date:		

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This dissertation entitled CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES OF INTERNAL MIGRATION IN NEPAL(A Case Study of Patabhar VDC, Bardiya District) is submitted to the Central Department of Rural Development, Faculty of Humanities and Social Science, Tribhuvan university, for the fulfillment of master's degree of arts in Rural Development.

This study has been carried out with the help of proper guidance and continuous supervision of respected lecturer Suman kharel. Therefore, I am very much indebted to him for this help in providing me with his encouragement and suggestion during the work simple and possible. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr Prem Sharma, Head of Central Department of Rural Development for encouragement, support, and permission to carry out research on selected topic. I also equally thank full to all my respected teachers, staffs of administration at the department.

I would like to thank for all households respondent at Homtang VDC. I am very much in debt to my parents, whose perpetual inspiration and encouragement with support to conduct this research at this position. I would also like to special thanks, to my respectable parents, my brothers and other colleagues for their encouragement who helped in very field during the research work.

Finally, I am very much grateful to Our Communication Computer Centre, kirtipur for designing this dissertation.

**MIJALA DANGOL** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

The present study is carried out to examine "Causes and Consequences of Internal Migration in Nepal: A Case Study of Patabhar VDC Wards No. 4 & 5, Bardiya District" The main objective of this study is to examine the demographic and social-economic characteristics of the internal migrants, and cause and consequences of internal migration in the study area.

This study is based on primary information from 150 internal migrant households by selecting through convenience sampling method. The information has been collected by face to face interview with the help of semi-structured questionnaires. The study reveals that the total population of 733 enumerated in 150 selected household, 54.2 percent are males and 45.8 percent are females. Similarly, if we observe the educational status of the local people, Primary Level passed male are 15.4 percent and female are 26.9 percent. Lower Secondary Level passed male are 18.2 percent and females are 13.7 percent. Whereas, Secondary Level passed male are 21.9 percent and female are 25.1 percent only. Similarly, Higher Secondary Level passed male are 21.1 percent and female are 19.4 percent. Likewise, Bachelor Level male are 15.4 percent passed and female are 11 percent passed, and Masters and above Level passed male are 7.9 percent and female are 3.8 percent. In overall, Secondary Level is highest in passed percentage and that is 23.3 percent. Masters and above areleast in passed percentage i.e. 6.2 in the study area.

At the same time, Occupation status is another important factor that expresses the socio-economic status of a particular population. In the study area, sex difference in occupational status has been explored. It has been observed that the large proportions of population are involved in study and the percent is 42.8. There are 46.65 percent male and 38.4 percent female literate in the study area. Similarly, there is about 13.1 percent population engaged in agriculture. Among the total population 7.2 percent are engaged in business sector. There are 16.1 percent male and 9.5 percent female engaged in agriculture sector. Just like the same, 10.3 percent male and 3.6 percent female are involved in business sector. Similarly, there are 17.2 percent people engaged in service sector as well.

Likewise, if we see the possession of the land by the local people, table 4.10 shows that 1-2 bigha land owners are highest among them. They are 32.7 percent. 2-3 bihga land owners are 28.7 percent, 3-4 bigha are 10.7 percent and higher than 4 bigha land owners are 22 percent. Less than 1 bigha are 6.0 percent in this study area.

Likewise, if we see the origin place of the migrants, the highest numbers of migrant people are from Jumla district. They are 52 households and 34.7 percentages outof the total 150 households. The second largest district from where migrants have come to this area is Dailekha and the percent is 25.3. Likewise, Banglung is 10 percent, Achham is 8 percent, Bardiya and Dang are 3.3 percent, whereas from Kailali 2.7 percent, From Kavre and Parbat 1.3 percent, from Kalikot 2.7 percent, from Salyan 4.7 percent people have came there. At the same time, from Mugu, Lanjung, Dhanusa and Tanahun, 0.7 percent people are internally migrated in Patabhar VDC, especially in ward no. 4 & 5.

Similarly, if we observed the place of origin, the highest monthly income households are 62 percent and they have monthly income of 4000-5000 rupees. Likewise, 13.3percent peoples monthly income ranges from one to thousand rupees. Similarly, 10.7percent monthly income households earn 1000-2000 rupees. 3000-4000 income households are 0.7 percent and 5000 above income holding households are 1.3percent in the research area.

This study also explores the major causes of migration which influence people to leave their place of origin. Among 150 migrants higher percent of migrants are in agriculture, which is 32 percent. Service is another important reason to leave their place of origin, which is 28 percent. Another important reason is education, which is 24.7 percent. Similarly, another cause of migration is trade and commerce, which is 12.7 percent. At last, among 150 migrants 1.3 percent migrated seeking for a job.

Similarly, it can also be seen that the responsible person to make decision for migration in the research area. Among total migrants, 85.3 percent have migrated by deciding himself/herself. It is followed by 10 percent, who are migrated because of spouse or children. Whereas, 30 percent are migrated due to their near relatives and friends and it occupies 8.7 percent. Similarly, employers and neighbors are about 1 percent in decision making.

At the same time, it shows that the main problems of the current place of residence are road, bad social environment, lack of communication, lack of social and physical

opportunities, health problems and others problems. Table 6.7 indicates that among 150 migrants, the majority of respondents i.e. 30 percent want to migrate from this current place of residents due to lack of social and physical opportunities. Similarly, 10.7 percent are suffering from lack of road, 10 percent due to communication. Whereas, 16.7 percent want to move due to lack of quality health facilities and 13.3 percent chose to leave to current place due to suffering from flood in the Rainy Season. Similarly, 4 percent are suffering from bad social environment. Likewise due to lack of transportation and quality education, 5.3 and 10 percent respondents want to leave the current place of origin.

If we observe the educational status of the 150 households, 140 households are literate, whereas only 10 households are illiterate. If we see in percentage wise 93.3 percent household are literate and 6.7 are illiterate. In the study area, Secondary Level attendants are higher among them which are 24.7 percent. Second Highest Level is Masters Level, which is 16 percent. Primary Level is 10.7 percent, Lower Secondary level is 12 percent, Higher Secondary level is 14.7 percent and Bachelor Level is 15.3 percent among them. The table which shows highest education attainment level is Secondary Level and that is 42.7 percent. Second highest level is Higher Secondary level i.e. 17.3 percent. Lower Secondary level is 13.3 percent, Primary level is 12 percent, Bachelors Level is 5.3 percent and Master Level is 2.7 percent.

Similarly, the major occupations, which are divided into 8 categories, are: peddler business, dairy production, service, agriculture, wage labour, business, housewife, students or study works. Among the 150 migrants, the highest 41.3 percent are engaged in service sector. People in Peddler business are 18.7 percent. Similarly, student or in study 4.7 percent, agriculture sector is 11.3 percent, and wage labour is 6.0 percent. Likewise, 16.7 percent are engaged in business sector and housewife is 0.7 percent.

It can be seen that possessions of land is another important factors in migration. Among the 150 households all are landholders. 1-4 ropani land holder are 56.7 percent, 5-9 ropani landholder are 22.7 percent, 10-14 ropani landowner are 12.7 percent. Similarly, 15-19 ropani land holders are 6.7 percent, 20 and above ropani land owner are only 1.3 percent in theresearch area. Similarly, after migration what is the landholding pattern of respondents in the residence place has been presented in the table no. 6.11. Among the 150 households all are landholders. 1-4 ropani land holder

are 26.0 percent, 5-9 ropani landholder are 10.7 percent, and 10-14 ropani landowner are 28.7 percent. Likewise, 15-19 ropani land holder are 19.3 percent, whereas 20 and above ropani land owner are 15.3 percent only.

With the help of close observation and minute study, this research is successful to analyze the demographic and socio economic characteristics, causes and consequences of internal migration in the Patabar VDC of Mid-western Development Region, Nepal.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.	
DEC	CLARATION	ii	
RECOMMENDATION		iii	
VIV.	A VOCE SHEET	iv	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		v	
ABS	TRACT	vi	
TABLE OF CONTENTS		X	
LIST OF TABLES		xiii	
LIST	LIST OF ACRONYMS		
CHA	APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1-6	
1.1	Introduction	1	
1.2	Statement of Problem	3	
1.3	Objectives of the study	5	
1.4	Significance of the study	5	
1.5	Limitation of the study	5	
1.6	Organization of the study	6	
CHA	APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	7-18	
2.1	Theoretical Literature	7	
2.2	Empirical Research on Migration	12	
CHA	APTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	19-20	
3.1	Introduction of study area	19	
3.2	Research design	20	
3.3	Nature of data	20	
3.4	Technique of data collection	20	
3.5	Method of data analysis	20	
CHA	APTER FOUR: DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC		
	CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS		
	POPULATION	21-31	
4.1	Demographic Characteristics	21	
	4.1.1 Age-sex Structure	21	

	4.1.2	Marital Status	22
	4.1.3	Family Status	23
	4.1.4	Internal Migration Status	23
4.2	Socio-	Economic Characteristics	24
	4.2.1	Literacy and Education Attainment of Status	24
	4.2.2	Occupation Status by sex	26
	4.2.3	Caste/Ethnicity Status	27
	4.2.4	Language Speak at home Status	28
	4.2.6	Cultivated Land in Family Status	28
	4.2.7	Food sufficient household status	29
	4.2.8	Type of Toilet used by Household	29
	4.2.9	Main Source of Income Status	30
	4.2.10	Physical Facilities Status	30
	4.2.11	Cooking Kind of fuel purposes of household Status	31
СНА	PTER F	TIVE: DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF MIGRANTS	33-37
5.1	Age-g	roup of the household head status	33
5.2	House	hold head of sex status	34
5.3	Place	of origin status	34
5.4	Durati	on of household population to stay status	35
5.5	Educa	tion attainment of the respondents at the place of origin	36
5.6	Educa	tion attainment of the respondents at the place of reside	36
СНА	PRER S	SIX: CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES OF MIGRATION	38-49
6.1	Causes	s of migration status	38
6.2	Reason	n for selecting the current place of residence	39
6.3	Respo	nsible person for decision making to migrate	40
6.4	Satisfa	action of respondents with the current place of residence	40
6.5	Future	intention by respondents about current place of residence	41
6.6	Like to	respondents in future place of residence	41
6.7	Main 1	reason for leave in current place of residence	42
6.8	Conse	quences of migration status	43

AI	PPEN	DIX 60	-71
RI	EFER	ENCES 56	-59
7.3	S R	ecommendations for Further Research	55
7.2	2 C	Conclusions	54
7.1	S	ummary of Findings	50
		RECOMMENDATION 50	-55
CI	<b>IAPT</b>	ER SEVEN: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND	
	6.2.8	Help Anyone to Move out from the Place of Origin to any Other Places	48
	6.2.7	Receive Any Money from Any One at Place of Origin	47
	6.2.6	Remit any Money to Anyone in the Place of Origin	47
	6.2.5	Any Relatives/Friends at Current Place of Residence	46
	6.2.4	Any Relatives/Friends at Current Place of Origin	46
	6.2.3	Landholding Pattern of Migrants at the Place of Origin and Residence	45
	6.2.2	Occupation Status of the Respondents at the Origin and Residence Place	e44
		and Origin	43
	6.2.1	Education Attainment of the Respondents at the Place of Residence	

# LIST OF TABLES

	Pag	e No.
Table 4.1:	Distribution of household population aged 5 years above by sex	22
Table 4.2:	Distribution of household marital status by sex	23
Table 4.3:	Distribution of household family types	23
Table 4.4:	Distribution of household population Internal migration	24
Table 4.5:	Distribution of household population by literacy and education	
	attainment according to sex	25
Table 4.6:	Distribution of education attainment according to sex	26
Table 4.7:	Distribution of household population by occupation status	27
Table 4.8:	Distribution of household caste/ethnicity status	28
Table 4.9:	Distribution of household Language Speak at home Status	28
Table 4.10:	Distribution of Households by Size of Landholding	29
Table 4.11:	Distribution of household population sufficient food land for a	
	whole year	29
Table 4.12:	Distribution of type of toilet used by household	30
Table 4.13:	Distribution of household population main source of income	30
Table 4.14:	Distribution of household population by physical facilities status	31
Table 4.15:	Distribution of household population used by Cooking kind of fuel	
	purposes	32
Table 5.1:	Distribution of age-group of the household head	33
Table 5.2:	Distribution of household head of sex	34
Table 5.3:	Distribution of household population in place of origin	35
Table 5.4:	Distribution of household duration to Stay	36
Table 5.5:	Distribution of household population marital status of	
	first time move from place of origin	36
Table 5.6:	Distribution of Monthly income of migrants at the place of origin	37
Table 6.1:	Distribution of causes of migration of the respondents	38
Table 6.2:	Distribution of reason for selecting the current place of residence	39
Table 6.3:	Distribution of household migrants by responsible person	
	for decision making	40
Table 6.4:	Distribution of household to live permanently at	

	current place of residence	40	
Table 6.5:	Distribution of respondents by future intention about		
	current place of residence	41	
Table 6.6:	Distribution of like to live in future residence	42	
Table 6.7:	Distribution of Household Population Reason for Leave in Current		
	Place of Residence	42	
Table 6.8:	Distribution of Literacy Status of Household	43	
Table 6.9:	Distribution of Education Attainment of the Respondents at Origin and		
	Residence Place	44	
Table 6.10:	Distribution of Occupation of the Respondents at the Origin and		
	Residence	45	
Table 6.11:	Distribution of Landholding Pattern of Migrants at the Place of Origin		
	and Residence	46	
Table 6.12:	Distribution of Any Relatives/Friends at Current Place of Origin	46	
Table 6.13:	Distribution of Any Relatives/Friends at Current Place of Residence	46	
Table 6.14:	Distribution of Remit Sending to Anyone in the Place of Origin	47	
Table 6.15:	Distribution of Remit any Money to Any Place of Origin	47	
Table 6.16:	Distribution of Receive Any Money from Any One at Place		
	of Origin	47	
Table 6.17:	Distribution of Money Receive on a Average in a Year	48	
Table 6.18:	Distribution of Help Anyone to Move Out from Place of Origin to		
	Any Other	48	
Table 6.19:	Distribution of Help to Move Out from Others	49	

#### LIST OF ACRONYMYS

CBS Central Bureau of Statistics

CDPS \_ Central Department of Population Studies

CEDA \_ Center for Economic Development and Administration

DSS \_ Demographic Sample Survey

HH \_ Household

ICPD \_ International Convention on Population and Development

INGO \_ International Non-Governmental Organization

NCCR \_ National Centre of Competence in Research

NDR \_ Nepal Development Research Institute

NGO \_ Non-Government Organization

NIDS \_ Nepal Institute of Development Studies

NPC \_ National Planning Commission

T. U. \_ Tribhuvan University

UN United Nations

UNFPA \_ United Nations Fund for Population Activities

VDC \_ Village Development Committee

VRS \_ Vital Registration System

WFP \_ World Food Programme