Ethnobotanical Use of Wetland Resources and their Role in Local Livelihood

(A Case Study from Beeshazar Lake of Chitwan District)

A Dissertation

Submitted to Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Department of Sociology/Anthropology, Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Master Degree in Sociology

By
Pramod Bhattarai
Roll No: 104/063
Regd. No. 2-1-47-26-97

Tribhuvan University

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

Department of Sociology/Anthropology

Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara Nepal

December, 2013

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "Ethnobotanical Use of Wetland Resources

and their Role in Local Livelihood (A Case Study from Beeshazar Lake of Chitwan

District)" has been completed by Pramod Bhattarai under my supervision for partial

fulfillment of the requirements for Master of Arts in Sociology. I recommend this

thesis for the final approval and acceptance by dissertation committee.

Dr. Prakash Upadhyay

Associate Professor

Department of Sociology/Anthropology

Prithvi Narayan Campus

Tribhuvan University

Pokhara, Nepal.

Date: December, 2013

II

LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE

This thesis entitled "Ethnobotanical Use of Wetland Resources and their Role in Local Livelihood (A Case Study from Beeshazar Lake of Chitwan District)" submitted to the Department of Sociology/Anthropology, Tribhuvan University, Prithwi Narayan Campus, Pokhara by Pramod Bhattarai has been accepted as the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in Sociology by the undersigned members of the dissertation committee.

Dissertation Evaluation Committee

Date: December, 2013

Dr. Prakash Upadhyay Associate Professor Thesis Supervisor Dr. Bishwo Shrestha Professor External Examiner Mrs. Shanti Bhusal Head of the Department

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

During my research study, I received support from many individuals and institutions. It is my pleasure to express appreciation for those who helped me. My profound gratitude and deepest appreciation goes to Dr. Prakash Upadhyay; my advisor, for his constant guidance, strong support and highly remarkable comments and suggestions throughout the research period, without whom it would not have been possible for me to complete this research in a fruitful way.

I am also very thankful to the head of Sociology/Anthropology department; Ms. Shanti Bhusal and staffs of the department of Sociology/Anthropology, Prithvi Narayan Campus for their continuous support and cooperation.

My sincere thanks go to Bandevi Bufferzone Community Forest Users Group for their support and coordination throughout the research work. I am indebted to all the respondents and ethnic communities on the basis of which these interactions and research work have been carried out. The result oriented on the basis of their traditional knowledge and linkage to these resources since immemorial. I also thank Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation for allowing and trusting me to conduct the research work in the Ramsar site.

My deepest gratitude goes to Ms. Aneeta Gauchan for helping and coordinating in field works, without whose support my single mind wouldn't have gathered so much of the information needed. I also thank her for all the moral and logical supports to finalize this venture.

I would like to thank Partnership for Rhino Conservation (PARC), Chitwan for having granted me the grant and financial supports to accomplish my research work.

Finally, I am very thankful to everyone who has helped in my dissertation in different ways.

Pramod Bhattarai

Abstract

Ethnobotany is the study of how people of a particular culture and region make use of indigenous plants. Field ethnobotany is the observation of the human-plant relationship in places where it is visible and may be either experienced and/or documented, in stories and images. Wetlands are among the most productive ecosystems in the world. They are very important in terms of their ecological, economic, cultural and recreational domains of livelihood. Wetlands also support people's livelihood, or a means of earning for living. Often poor and some ethnic communities in rural areas, are directly dependent on wetlands for their socio-economic or cultural association.

The study entitled "Ethnobotanical Use of Wetland Resources and their Role in Local Livelihood" was conducted in wetland sites Beeshazar Lake and its surrounding community; the study was focused to access the ethnobotanical use and community's dependency on the wetland resources. The questionnaire survey was conducted on 30% of total households in surrounding community, which mainly comprised ethnic groups in the area who had close association with the use of wetland resources. 30 female and 35 male were interviewed followed by focus group discussions, key informant surveys and direct observations.

In this study area, total 133 species of 52 families were identified; out of which habit/plant type of 58 species were trees, 19 species were shrubs, 36 species were herbs, 3 species were grass and 17 species were climbers. Based on site condition, 10 species were dependent on wet regions of the wetland, 96 species on moist land and 27 on dry site condition. Among the communities, Tharu and indigenous group adjacent to the Beeshazar lake has close association with the use of the resources. Ten major types of species, having socio-cultural importance were Pipal, Bar, Bel, Sal, Aanp, Dhaturo, Aank, Tulsi, Dubo and Bayar. Among the highly collected edible resources; mushrooms (4 Species), Dryopteris cochleata (locally called as neuro), Spinach and Bamboo shoots make a good seasonal income to the local people. Local people make as much as NRs 20,000 per season by selling these mushrooms and fern. Amala, Harro and Barro, Asparagus and Sashra Buti were identified as a major contributor to household medicines. Local community forest user group is generating more than Rs. 19,42,468/year by selling wetland resources and using this cash for local development, skill development of community people, women empowerment, community forest office management, wetland resource conservation and management.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page no
LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION		I
LETTER OF APPROVAL		
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		III
TABLE OF CONTENTS		IV
LIST OF TABLE		VII
LIST OF FIG	URE	VIII
ABSTRACT		IX
ACRONYM		X
CHAPTER	I: INTRODUCTION	1-7
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	3
1.3	Objective of the Study	4
1.4	Important of the Study	4
1.5	Limitation of the Study	5
1.6	Operational Defition of the Key terms used in the Study	6
1.7	Organization of the Study	7
CHAPTE	R II: LITERATURE REVIEW	8-20
2.1	Concept Reviw	8
2.2	Theoretical Review	12
2.3	Review of Past Literature on Ethnobotany and Wetland	14
2.4	Review of Past Wetland and Ethnobotanical Exploration and	
	Studies in Nepal	16
2.5	Conceptual Framework adopted in Study	19
CHAPTER	III: METHODOLOGY	21-28
3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Rationale for the selection of study Site	21
3.3	Research Design	22
3.4	Universe, Sample population and Sampling	22

	3.5	Nature and Source of Data Collection		
	3.6	Tools	and Techniques of Data Collection	23
		3.6.1	Secondary data collection	23
		3.6.2	Primary data collection	23
	3.7	Data A	Analysis and Interpretation	26
		3.7.1	Assessment of indicators of livelihood	26
		3.7.2	Index of Relative Ranking (IRR)	27
CHAI	PTER I	V: PRO	OFILE OF THE STUDY AREA	29-34
	4.1	Brief	Introduction of Chitwan District	29
	4.2	Beesha	azar Lake	30
	4.3	Histor	y of Beeshazar Lake	32
	4.4	Demog	graphic Features of Targeted Community	33
CHAI	PTER V	': SOC	IO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTIC	
		OF R	ESPONDENT	35-38
	5.1	Caste/	Ethnicity	35
	5.2	Age of	f the Respondents	36
	5.3	Family	y Size	36
	5.4	Educat	tion Status of Respondents	37
	5.5	Occup	ational Status of Respondents	38
СНАН	PTER V	'I: LIS'	TING OF PLANTS, DOCUMENTATION OF	
		ET	THNOBOTANICAL USE	39-51
	6.1	Identif	fication and listing of the plant diversity in the study area	39
6.2 Docume		Docun	nentation of ethnobotanical use of the identified	
		(plant)	wetland resources	46
		6.2.1	Plants used as food, vegetable, spices	48
		6.2.2	Plants as medicines	49
		6.2.3	Plants used as fodder	49
		6.2.4	Plants used in crop management	50
		6.2.5	Plants used as pesticide	50
		6.2.6	Plants used as construction materials and for making	
			household implements	50

		6.2.7	Plants used for timber and fuelwood	51
		6.2.8	Plant's tuber and root use for edible purpose	51
CHAP	TER V	II: CON	NTRIBUTION OF WETLAND RESOURCES IN	
		LOC	CAL LIVELIHOOD	52-58
	7.1	Assessi	ng Linkage and Association of the Wetland Resource	
		use in C	Contribution to Local Livelihood	52
		7.1.1	Human Capital	52
		7.1.2	Social Capital	53
		7.1.3	Natural Capital	54
		7.1.4	Financial Capital	55
		7.1.5	Physical Capital	56
		7.1.6	Livelihood Assessment	56
	7.2	Wetland	d Resource and Income for Community	57
СНАР	TER V	III: SU	MMARY, CONCLUSION AND	
		RE	COMMENDATION	59-62
	8.1	Summa	ry	59
	8.2	Conclus	sions	61
	8.3	Suggest	tions to Local Community	62
	8.4	Suggest	tions to Future Researches	62
Refere	nces			
Annex	1: Live	lihood A	Analysis	
Annex	2 : Eth	nobotani	cal use of Wetland Flora	
Annex	3: Que	stionnair	re 84	
Annex	4: Som	e Glimp	ses of the work (Photo Gallery)	
Annex	5: Phot	os of So	me Important Plant Species Found in Study Area	

LIST OF TABLE

Table	no Title	Page no
3.1	Demographic Feature of the Targeted Community	34
5.1	Respondents by Caste/Ethnicity	35
5.2	Respondents by Age Group	36
5.3	Family Size of the Respondent	37
5.4	Educational Status of the Respondents	37
5.5	Occupational Status of the Respondents	38
6.1	Tree Species Diversity In and Around Beeshazar Lake	39
6.2	Shrub Species Diversity In and Around Beeshazar Lake	42
6.3	Shrub Species Diversity In and Around Beeshazar Lake	43
6.4	Climber Species Diversity In and Around Beeshazar Lake	45
7.1	Major Wetland Resources, Harvestable Amount and	
	Collection Cash Provision in Bandevi BZCFUG	58

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure no	Title	Page no
2.1	Conceptual Framework adopted in Study	20
3.1	Sustainable Livelihood Framework	27
4.1	Map of Beeshazar Lake	30
4.2	Map of Beeshazar and Associated Lake	33
7.1	Assessment of Human Capital	52
7.2	Assessment of Social Capital	53
7.3	Assessment of Natural Capital	54
7.4	Assessment of Financial Capital	55
7.5	Assessment of Physical Capital	56
7.6	Livelihood Assessment	57

ACRONYMS

BCN Bird Conservation Nepal

BZCF Bufferzone Community Forest

BZCFUG Bufferzone Community Forest User Group

CBOs Community Based Organizations

DFID Department for International Development

DNPWC Department of National Park and Wildlife Conservation

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

FUGs Forest User Groups

GEF Global Environment Facility

GON Government of Nepal

Ha Hectare

HH Household

ICIMOD International Center for Integrated Mountain Development

IUCN The World Conservation Union

MOFSC Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation

NTFPs Non Timber Forest Products

UNESCO United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural

Organization

VDC Village Development Committee

WWF World Wide Fund for Nature