# TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS ON AND PRACTICES OF COLLABORATIVE LEARNING IN DEVELOPING SPEAKING SKILL

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

**Submitted by Gopal Poudel** 

Faculty of Education Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu Nepal 2015

# TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS ON AND PRACTICES OF COLLABORATIVE LEARNING IN DEVELOPING SPEAKING SKILL

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

**Submitted by Gopal Poudel** 

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu
Nepal
2015

T.U. Regd. No.: 9-2-201-6-2007 Date of Approval of the

2nd Year Exam Roll No.280504/069 Thesis Proposal: 2071/05/11

Date of Submission: 02-08-2015

#### RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that Mr. Gopal Poudel has prepared this thesis entitled "Teachers' Perceptions on and Practices of Collaborative Learning in Developing Speaking Skill" under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend this thesis for acceptance.

Date: 02-08-2015

Dr. Anju Giri (Supervisor)

Professor

Department of English Education

T.U., Kirtipur

iii

## RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation from the following **Research** 

| Guidance Committee:             | Signature   |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
|                                 |             |
| Dr. Anjana Bhattarai            |             |
| Reader and Head                 | Chairperson |
| Department of English Education |             |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu       |             |
|                                 |             |
|                                 |             |
| Dr. Anju Giri (Supervisor)      |             |
| Professor                       | Member      |
| Department of English Education |             |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu       |             |
|                                 |             |
|                                 |             |
| Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel          |             |
| Teaching Assistant              | Member      |
| Department of English Education |             |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu       |             |

#### **EVALUATION AND APPROVAL**

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following **Thesis** 

#### **Evaluation and Approval Committee:**

|                                     | Signature   |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Dr. Anjana Bhattarai                |             |
| Reader and Head                     | Chairperson |
| Department of English Education     |             |
| T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu            |             |
|                                     |             |
| Dr. Anju Giri (Supervisor)          |             |
| Professor                           | Member      |
| Department of English Education     |             |
| Chairperson                         |             |
| English and other Foreign Languages |             |
| Education Subject Committee         |             |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu           |             |
| Dr. Rom Ekwol Singh                 |             |
| <b>Dr. Ram Ekwal Singh</b> Reader   | Member      |
|                                     | Member      |
| Department of English Education     |             |
| T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu            |             |
| Date: 07-08-2015                    |             |

## **DECLARATION**

| I hereby declare, to the best of my knowledge, this thesis is original; no part of |
|--|
| it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any             |
| university.  |

| Gopal Poudel |
|--------------|

## **DEDICATION**

#### Dedicated

to

my parents who spent their whole life to make me what I am today.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, I avail this opportunity to express my sincere and profound gratitude to my honorable Guruma **Prof. Dr. Anju Giri** who helped me from the very beginning to the end by providing her valuable time, different kinds of ideas, techniques and information necessary for carrying out this research work on time. Her encouragement, inspiration, co-operation, constructive suggestions are ever memorable.

I cannot forget my Guruma **Dr. Anjana Bhattarai** who guided me very much in this research work. I always remember her invaluable suggestions and encouragement.

I am highly indebted to my honorable gurus **Prof. Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai**, **Prof. Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra** and **Prof. Dr. Trith Raj Khaniya** for their regular inspiration and enthusiastic encouragement in my academic life.

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Tara Datta Bhatta, Prof. Dr. Vishnu S. Rai, Prof. Dr. Laxmi Bahadur Maharjan, Dr. Ram Ekwal Singh, Dr. Bal Mukunda Bhandari, Dr. Tapasi Bhattacharya, Mr. Raj Narayan Yadav, Mr. Prem Bahadur Phyak, Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel, Mrs Hima Rawal, Mr. Ashok Sapkota, Mr. Khem Raj Joshi and Mr. Laxmi Ojha for their direct and indirect encouragement and valuable academic support.

Similarly, I cannot forget my friends who helped me directly or indirectly in course of this research work.

**Gopal Poudel** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

The present research study entitled "Teachers' Perceptions on and Practices of Collaborative Learning in Developing Speaking Skill" aimed to find out the teachers' perceptions on and practices of collaborative learning in developing speaking skill. To meet the objectives of this research study, I purposively selected 20 secondary level English teachers from 20 schools of Taplejung district as sample for this study. One secondary level English teacher from each school was selected for this study. Questionnaire and Classroom observation checklist were used to collect the data for this study. The findings of this study showed that collaborative learning provided opportunities for learners to develop successful learning and communication strategies. Similarly, seventy percent teachers agreed that working in pairs and groups students could increase their fluency and command over speaking skill. Although collaborative learning is important in developing speaking skill, it was found that only 66.67% English teachers were interested in creating environment of collaboration for teaching this skill. Likewise, it was found that teachers rarely used collaborative games in developing speaking skill of the students.

This thesis is organized into five chapters. The first chapter deals with the background of the topic, statement of the problem, objectives of the study, research questions, significance of the study, delimitations of the study and operational definition of the key terms. The second chapter includes review of related theoretical literature, review of related empirical literature, implication of the review for the study and conceptual framework. The third chapter covers all the areas of methodology. Likewise, the fourth chapter presents the analysis and interpretation of results and the fifth chapter includes conclusions and recommendations at three different areas (policy related, practice related and further related).

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|      |           |  | Page No. |
|------|-----------|--|----------|
| Deci | laration  |  | i        |
| Reco | ommend    | ation for Acceptance                     | ii       |
| Reco | ommend    | ation for Evaluation                     | iii      |
| Eval | luation a | and Approval                             | iv       |
| Ded  | ication   |  | v        |
| Ackr | nowledge  | ements                                   | vi       |
| Abst | ract      |  | vii      |
| Tabl | le of Cor | ntents                                   | viii     |
| List | of Table  | S  | xi       |
| List | of Symb   | ols and Abbreviations                    | xii      |
| CHA  | APTER     | ONE: INTRODUCTION                        | 1-5      |
| 1.1  | Back      | ground of the Study                      | 1        |
| 1.2  | State     | ment of the Problem                      | 3        |
| 1.3  | Objec     | ctives of the Study                      | 3        |
| 1.4  | Resea     | arch Questions                           | 4        |
| 1.5  | Signi     | ficance of the Study                     | 4        |
| 1.6  | Delin     | nitations of the Study                   | 5        |
| 1.7  | Opera     | ntional Definition of the Key Terms      | 5        |
| CHA  | APTER     | TWO: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATUR         | E AND    |
|      |           | CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK                     | 6-27     |
| 2.1  | Revie     | ew of Related Theoretical Literature     | 6        |
|      | 2.1.1     | English Language Teaching                | 6        |
|      | 2.1.2     | Language Skills                          | 8        |
|      | 2.1.3     | An Introduction to Speaking Skill        | 8        |
|      |           | 2.1.3.1 Components of Speaking           | 10       |
|      |           | 2.1.3.2 Stages of Teaching Speaking      | 11       |
|      |           | 2.1.3.3 Activities for Teaching Speaking | 12       |
|      | 2.1.4     | Collaborative Learning                   | 17       |

|     | 2.1.5 | Collaborative and Co-operative Learning                     | 17      |
|-----|-------|---|---------|
|     |       | 2.1.5.1 Theories Underlying Collaborative Language Learn    | ning 18 |
|     |       | 2.1.5.2 Elements of Collaborative Language Learning         | 20      |
| 2.2 | Revie | ew of Related Empirical Literature                          | 23      |
| 2.3 | Impli | cations of the Review for the Study                         | 26      |
| 2.4 | Conc  | eptual Framework  | 27      |
| CHA | APTER | THREE: METHODS AND PROCEDURES OF                            |         |
|     |       | THE STUDY   | 28-31   |
| 3.1 | Desig | gn and Method of the Study                                  | 28      |
| 3.2 | Popu  | lation, Sample and Sampling Strategies                      | 30      |
| 3.3 | Study | Area/Field  | 30      |
| 3.4 | Data  | Collection Tools and Techniques                             | 31      |
| 3.5 | Data  | Collection Procedure  | 31      |
| 3.6 | Data  | Analysis and Interpretation Procedure                       | 31      |
| CHA | APTER | FOUR: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION                           |         |
|     |       | OF RESULTS  | 32-42   |
| 4.1 | Resul | lts   | 32      |
| 4.2 | Anal  | ysis and Interpretation of Results                          | 33      |
|     | 4.2.1 | Analysis of Data Collected through Questionnaire            | 34      |
|     |       | 4.2.1.1 Appropriateness of Collaborative Learning           | 34      |
|     |       | 4.2.1.2 Collaborative Learning Increases Speaking Ability   | 35      |
|     |       | 4.2.1.3 Students' Nature to Speak in a Group                | 35      |
|     |       | 4.2.1.4 Collaborative Learning Develops Successful Learning | ng      |
|     |       | and Communication Strategies                                | 36      |
|     |       | 4.2.1.5 Pair and Group Work Increase Fluency and Comma      | nd      |
|     |       | over Speaking Skill   | 37      |
|     |       | 4.2.1.6 Fruitfulness of Collaborative Learning              | 38      |
|     |       | 4.2.1.7 Collaborative Learning Develops Critical Thinking   | and     |
|     |       | Communicative Competence                                    | 39      |

|     | 4.2.2               | Analysis of Information Collected from Classroom |       |
|-----|---------------------|--|-------|
|     |                     | Observation Checklist                            | 40    |
| СНА | PTER                | FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS            | 43-44 |
| 5.1 | Conclusions         |  |       |
| 5.2 | 5.2 Recommendations |  | 43    |
|     | 5.2.1               | Policy Related                                   | 44    |
|     | 5.2.2               | Practice Related                                 | 44    |
|     | 5.2.3               | Further Research Related                         | 44    |
| REF | EREN(               | CES  |       |
| APP | ENDIC               | ES   |       |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1 | : | Appropriateness of Collaborative Learning               | 34 |
|---------|---|---|----|
| Table 2 | : | Collaborative Learning Increases Speaking Ability       | 35 |
| Table 3 | : | Students' Nature to Speak in a Group                    | 36 |
| Table 4 | : | Collaborative Learning Develops Successful Learning and |    |
|         |   | Communication Strategies                                | 37 |
| Table 5 | : | Pair and Group Work Increase Fluency and Command over   |    |
|         |   | Speaking Skill  | 38 |
| Table 6 | : | Fruitfulness of Collaborative Learning                  | 39 |
| Table 7 | : | Collaborative Learning Develops Critical Thinking and   |    |
|         |   | Communicative Competence                                | 40 |

#### LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

/ - Slash

CUP - Cambridge University Press

Dr. - Doctor

e. g. - For example

EFL - English as a Foreign Language

ELT - English Language Teaching

ESL - English as a Second Language

etc. - Et cetera

i.e. - That is

M.Ed. - Masters of Education

No. - Number

NESP - National Education System Plan

Regd. No. - Registration Number

S.N. - Serial Number

T.U. - Tribhuvan University