MATHEMATICS TEACHING-LEARNER PRACTICES IN GRAMIN NAMUNA SCHOOLS (A CASE STUDY)

A THESIS

BY

RAM PRASAD NIROULA

CAMPUS ROLL NO.: 227/063

EXAM ROLL NO: 2140270/065

TU REGD. NO: 16677 - 91

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2063/064

IN PARTAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF EDUCATION

TO
DPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
SUKUNA MULTIPLE CAMPUS
INDRAPUR, MORANG
2015

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION SUKUNA MULTIPLE CAMPUS INDRAPUR, MORANG

Recommendation Letter

This is to certify that Ramprasad Niroula a student of academic year 2063/64 with campus Roll No 227 Exam Roll No 2140270 and T.U. Regd. No 16677-91 has completed his thesis under my supervision for the period prescribed by the rule and regulation of Tribhuvan University, Nepal. The thesis entitled, "Mathematics Teaching-Learner Practices in Gramin Namuna Schools (A Case Study)" has been prepared based on the result of his investigation conducted during the period of 2015. I hereby recommend and forward that his thesis is submitted for the evaluation as the partial requirement award the degree of Master's of Education.

Mr. Dandapani Gautam Supervisor

Department of Mathematics Education Sukuna Multiple Campus Indrapur, Morang Mr. Prem Bahadur Tamang Head

Department of Mathematics Education Sukuna Multiple Campus Indrapur, Morang

Date: 1st Jan 2015

DECLARATION

I hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that this thesis is

original; no part of it was submitted for the candidature of research

degree to any writing.

Date: 2071 -9 - 08

Ramprasad Niroula

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The researcher would like to express profound gratitude and

appreciation to my respected supervisor Mr. Dandipani Gautam

Department of Mathematics Education, Sukuna Multiple Campus, for his

inspirational guidance, generous advice, continuous support and valuable

suggestions.

The researcher expresses his gratitude to Mr. Prem Kumar Tamang

Head Department of Mathematics Education, Sukuna Multiple Campus,

for his creative suggestions and constant inspiration while accomplishing

this research work. My gratitude is also extended to Mr. Raj Kumar

Niroula, Mr. Laxmi Shrestha Mr Ganesh Prasad Dahal for their valuable

comments and suggestions to bring the work into present form.

I wish to acknowledge and express my profound gratitude to the

Head teachers and mathematics teacher of selected school for his valuable

suggestions, facilitating reference materials, advices and encouragement

during my research work.

I would like to thank to Mr. Yagyamani Niraula who helped for

typing this report.

.....

Ramprasad Niroula

ABSTRACT

This thesis entitled Mathematics Teaching-Learner Practices in Gramin Namuna Schools (A Case Study) is intended to discover the learning of mathematics in the model school in Dhankuta district of Nepal. In specific, this study attempts to describe the learning environment for mathematics, to analyze to teacher's and students' activities in the classroom, to identify the instructional strategies promote for the mathematics, to investigate the additional support for low performers and to find out whether the school once announced Namuna is still meeting its criteria. This qualitative study is descriptive in nature. To complete this study Gramin Janta Higher secondary school, Namje was choosen. The respondents of the study were 30 students, their parents, mathematics teachers and head teacher of the same school. To collect the data, the researcher has spent two weeks in this school area and observed the classes, conducted interview with the related stake holders and studied the documents from school related to the study. The collected information /data were analyzed using the frame work and interpreted school.

Mathematics teaching-learning practices is not only depends upon the subject teacher, students parents and environment but also school education system and common practices on standard maintained book. In this Namuna School the student teacher ratio is 1:38. There are experienced head-teacher, qualified teachers and conscious parents. Teachers mainly use lecture cum practice method without lesson plane extra books for practice as well. There is a provision of extra classes for low performers. Parents involvement in learning is appreciable. Mathematics teachers provide more emphasizes to class work and homework. In spite of this well management, good physical facilities, pass percentage are decreasing condition.

Table of Contents

P	age No.
Declaration	i
Recommendation Letter	ii
Approval Letter	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	<i>v</i>
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables	Х
Chapter One: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	6
1.3 Objective of the Study	7
1.4 Significance of the Study	8
1.5 Delimitation of the Study	8
1.6 Definition of Key Terms	9
Chapter Two: REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE AND)
THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	
2.1 Empirical Literature	10
2.2 Theoretical Framework Effective Schools	19
Chapter Three: METHODS AND PROCEDURES	
3.1 Design of the Study	26
3.2 Population	26
3.3 Sample	26
3.4 Selection of Case School	26
3.5 Selection of Respondents	27
3.6 Tools	27
3.7 Data Collection Procedure	28

3.8 Validity & Reliability of the tools	28
3 .8.1 Validity of the Tools	28
3.8.2 Reliability of Research findings	28
Chapter Four: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATON	
OF THE RESULTS	
4.1 Resources	30
4.1.1 History of the school Establishment	30
4.1.2 Physical Facility	31
4.1.3 Profile of Teaching and Non-teaching staff	32
4.2 Safe and Effective Learning Environment	33
4.3 Leadership / Job Embedded Professional Leadership	35
4.4 Family and Community Engagement	37
4.5 Teaching and Learning Environment	39
4.5.1 Class Practice in the Namuna School	42
4.5.2 Teacher and Students Work in the Class	45
4.5.3 Assessment	47
4.5.4 Reinforcement in the Classroom	48
4.5.5 Concentration on Learning and Teaching	50
4.5.6 Supports for Low Performer	50
4.6 Analysis of the School Performance	51
Chapter Five: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND	
RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Summary	54
5.2 Conclusions	57
5.3 Recommendations	57
BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APENDICES	

List of Tables

Table 4.1	Profile of Teaching and Non-teaching staff	32
Table 4.2	SLC Result Profile	52