# SOCIO ECONOMIC RELATIONSHIP WITH PROTECTED AREA:

A Case Study of Shivapuri National Park, Budhanilakantha, Kathmandu District, Nepal

**Rural Development** 

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

**RESHMA ADHIKARI** 

Central Department of Rural Development
Tribhuvan University,
TU Reg.no.-6-11-274-114-2002

Exam Role No: 281555 FEB, 2015 LETTER OF RECOMENDATION

This thesis entitled Socio-Economic Relationship withProtected Area (A Case

Study of Sivapuri National Park, Budhalinktha, Kathmanduhas been prepared by

ReshmaAdhikari under my supervision and guidance. This work for the partial

fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in rural development.

I hereby recommend this thesis to research committee for final evaluation and

acceptance.

•••••

Supervisor

(Madhab P. Bhusal)

Lecturer

Date: 23-02- 2015

11-11-2071



# TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY त्रिभुवन विश्वविद्यालय CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT ग्रामीण विकास केन्द्रीय विभाग

विभागीय प्रमुखको कार्यालय कीर्तिपुर, काठमाडौँ, नेपाल । Office of the Head of Department Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal.

Rof	No	
nej.	IYU.	

## APPROVAL LETTER

Date	मिति
------	------

We certify that thesis entitled"Socio-Economic Realationship with Protected Area (A Case study of Shivapuri National Park, Budhalinkantha Kathmandu" submitted by ReshmaAdhikari in Partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Masters of arts in Rural Development has found satisfactory in scope and quality. Therefore we accept this thesis as a part of said degree.

# Evaluation committee Prof. Chandra LalShrestha, Ph. D. Head of the Department External Examiner Madhab P. Bhusal Lecturer

Date: 24-02- 2015

12-11-2071

**Declaration** 

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled Socio Economic Relationship with Protected

Area(A Case Study of Sivapuri National Park, Budhalinktha,

KathmanduSubmitted to the Central Department of Rural Development, Tribhuban

University, in entirely my original work prepared under the guidance and supervision

of my supervisor. I have made due acknowledgements to all ideas and information

borrowed from different sources in the course of preparing this thesis. The results of

this thesis have not been presented or submitted anywhere else for the award of any

degree or for any other purposes. I assure that no part of the content of this thesis has

been published in any form before.

\_\_\_\_\_

Reshma Adhikari

T.U. Reg.No. -6-11-274-114-2002

Date: 09-02- 2015

26-10-2071

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This Thesis is prepared to fulfill the partial requirement for Master's Degree in Rural

Development. I would like to express my gratitude to the department in particular for

providing me such an opportunity

At first, I am highly indebted to my respected supervisor Mr. Madhab P. Bhusal for

his valuable guidance and supervision in the entire period of thesis preparation.

I wish to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Chandra Lal Shrestha, Head of the Central

Department of Rural Development and all other respected teachers for their valuable

advices and inspiration.

I owe a debt of gratitude to my husband KausalGhale, for being my source of

encouragement and invaluable support. I am also thankful my brother Sushovan

Baskota to complete this thesis.

My special thanks go to all my friends: BabitaAdhikari, ToyaNathUpadhaya,

ShekherPaudel, DevenAdhikari, MaiyaBhandari, Dipa Rout, deserve special

appreciation for their excellence support.

Lastly I would like to dedicate this work to the people of Vishnu Budanilkhanta ward

no -1 area, who have given their valuable time and sharing experiences.

FEB, 2015

ReshmaAdhikari

Central Department of Rural Development

Tribhuvan University

### **ABSTRACT**

The study entitled" Socio-economic relationship with protected area (A case study of shivapuri National park of Budhanilkhantha, Kathmandu" district on the basis of primary data collected for which field survey was conducted in April, 2014 and 43 household were selected for study.

shivapurinational park was established in 2002. Earlier, shivapuri was main source of fuel wood, fodder and timber for the local people. In 1975, Government of Nepal tried to check the problem of deterioration of shivapuri ecosystem and established development Board. Again the area was gazette as shivapuri Watershed and Wildlife Reserve (SWWR) in 1983. In February 2002, the reserve was declared as national park. Hence, the practice of local people for years and their access to natural resources of shivapuri have been undermine.

Keeping in mind the above -mention the shivapuri situation, Budhanilkhantha area inside the shivpuri national park was selected for the study. The general objectives of the study is to assess the socio-economic impact of the shivapuri national park on the livelihood of the people of nearby. The specific objectives of the study are to examine the socio-economic condition of the people, to estimate the demand and supply of firewood in Budhanilkhantha Area, to find out the components of conflicts between the local people and national park. The descriptive research design has been adopted. Primary data secondary data have been equally used. A sample of 43 has been taken using the purposive sampling method under non-probability sampling design. A questionnaire using closed and open -ended types of question was prepared and administered in Nepal language.

It has been conducted that the majority (90%) of the respondents are satisfied with the established of the shivapuri national park but the local people living in and around the Shivapuri National park have no legal access to all available and required natural recourses which are available and required natural recourses which are although in good condition and in reasonable distance for resources collection.

Agriculture and livestock rearing is one of the main sources of income of the local people. Although, fodder /grass collection and grazing have been banned by the park, (39%) of the respondents still collect fodder and grass from land of park. The local

people's demand for firewood for the energy purpose is not fulfilled by the park and sometimes the people steal required the fire wood and the fodder/grass from the park.

The better way to solve the above problem could be the creation of buffer zone, which could provide recourses to the local people and help minimize the present recourse related conflict. Moreover; the local people should be involved in all processes of the conservation strategy.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No
CHAPTER-ONE:	
INTRODUCTION	1-5
1.1General Background	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Significance of the Study	4
1.4 Objective of the Study	5
1.5 Limitation of the Study	5
CHAPTER-TWO:	
LIETRATURE REVIEW	6-17
2.1 Conceptual review	6
2.1.1 Conceptual / working Definition	6
2.1.2 Concept of protected area	7
2.2Forests Management in Nepal	7
2.3 Review of conservation policy in Nepal	8
2.4 Forests in and around Kathmandu Valley	10
2.5 Protected area in Nepal	10
2.6 Buffer Zone	11
2.7 Concept of Natural resource conflicts	12
2.8 Sustainable Management: Park and People Conflict	13
2.9 Government effort for managing the park – people conflict	14
2.10 Review of the Previous Studies	15
CHAPTER-THREE:	
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	18-20
3.1 Research Design	18
3.2 location of the study area	18
3.3 Rational of the Selection of the Study Area	18
3.4 Nature and Sources of Data	19
3.5 Population and Sample	19
3.6 Techniques and Tools of Data Collection	19
3.6.1 Household Survey	19

3.6.2 Observation	19	
3.6 Data Processing and Analysis		
CHAPTER-FOUR:		
DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS	21-37	
4.1 Introduction of study area		
4.2Socio-Economic Conditions of Local People		
4.2.1 Gender of the Respondents	22	
4.2.2 Family Size	22	
4.2.3 Religion	23	
4.2.4 Education Level	23	
4.2.5 Occupation		
4.2.6 Land Holding Size	25	
4.2.7 Income Source	25	
4.2.8 Livestock		
4.3. Demand and Supply of Firewood	27	
4.3.1. Collection of Fodder\Grass	27	
4.3.2. Energy Source	28	
4.3.3 Wood Used For Cooking Purpose per Day	29	
4.3.4 Access to Firewood after Establishment of SNP	30	
4.4 Perception of the Respondents about the National Park		
4.4.1 Living Condition improved after the Establishment of SN		
4.4.2 Tourist Amenities	31	
4.4.3 Local Economy after Establishment of SNP	32	
4.5 Satisfied with Present Condition of SNP		
4.6 Firewood Fulfilled by the Park	33	
4.7Knowledge about the Park's Rules 34		
4.8 Area of Conflict		
4.8.1 Crops Damage by Wild Animal	34	
4.8.2 Grazing Problem	35	
4.8.3 Opinion of the Respondents Regarding to Close the National Park	36	
4.8.4 Park's Rule	36	

# **CHAPTER-FIVE:**

SUMMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDAT S	38-41
5.1 Summary	38
5.2 Conclusion	40
5.3 Recommendation	41
REFERENCE	42
APPENDIX–I	45

# LIST OF TABLE

Table No. 4.1:	Distribution of Respondents by Gender
Table No. 4.2:	Distribution of Respondents by Family size
Table No. 4.3:	Religion of the Respondent
Table No. 4.4:	Educational Attainment of Respondents
Table No. 4.5:	Distribution of the Respondents by Occupation
Table No. 4.6:	Distribution of Respondents by the Land Holding size
Table No. 4.7:	Distribution of the respondents by their Monthly Income (Rs)
Table No. 4.8:	Distribution of Respondents by Livestock Keeping
Table No. 4.9:	Distribution of Respondents by Collection Fodder\Grass
Table No. 4.10:	Fuel Used For Energy Purpose
Table No. 4.11:	Respondents used Wood for Cooking Purpose
Table No. 4.12:	Firewood after Establishment of SNP
Table No. 4.13:	Respondents Selling Firewood in the Market.
Table No 4.14:	Distribution of Respondents about Living Condition.
Table No 4.15:	Increased in Tourists Number after the Establishment of Park.
Table No 4.15:	Respondents Perception about the Local Economy.
Table No. 4.16:	Respondents Level of Satisfaction with Park
Table No 4.17:	Firewood Fulfilled by the Park
Table No 4.18:	Respondents know the Park's Rules
Table No 4.19:	Crops Damaged by the Wild Animals
Table No 4.20:	Problem Faced by the Respondents
Table No 4.21:	Respondents Opinion to Close the National Park
Table No 4.22:	Respondents Disobey of the Park's Rule

### ABBRIVIATION

GDP : Gross Domestic product

NAD- : Net Area Damage

BZ- : Buffer Zone

PA- : Protected Area

UGC – : User Group Committee

NGO- : Non-Government Organization

UNDP- : United Nation Development Program

CBS – : Central Bureau of Statistics

HMG- : His Ministry of Government

VDC- : Village Development Committee

SNP- : Shivapuri National Park

NFP- : National Forestry Plan

FAO- : Food and agricultural organization

GN – : Government of Nepal

KMTNC : King Mahandra Trust for Nature Conservation

BC- : Before Christ

NWPC : National Park and Wildlife Conservation

WCMC : World Conservation Monitoring Center

RS : Rupees

KG : Kilogram

KM : Kilometer

BS : Bikram Sambat

UCs : User Committees

M : Meter

% : Percentage