IMPACT OF LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME BY MULTI STAKEHOLDER FORESTRY PROGRAMME ON RURAL HOUSEHOLDS IN PARBAT DISTRICT

A Thesis Submitted to

Central Department of Rural Development,
Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences
Tribhuvan University,
In Partial Fulfill of the Requirements for the
Degree of the Master of Arts (M.A.)
In
Rural Development

Ву

RAJENDRA SUBEDI

Central Department of Rural Development Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu TU, Reg. No.: 6-2-240-80-2009 Exam Roll Number: 02625 November, 2016 **RECOMMENDATION LETTER**

This thesis entitled Impact of Livelihood Improvement Programme by Multi

Stakeholder Forestry Programme on Rural Households in Parbat District has

been prepared by Mr. Rajendra Subedi under my guidance and supervision in partial

fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in Rural

Development. Therefore, this is recommended for the final evaluation and approval.

Bishnu K.C., Lecturer

Thesis Supervisor

Central Department of Rural Development

Tribhuvan University,

Kathmandu, Nepal

Date: 2073/06/07

23 Sept. 2016

ii

APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis entitled Impact of Livelihood Improvement Programme by Multi Stakeholder Forestry Programme on Rural Households in Parbat District submitted by Rajendra Subedi has been accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Rural Development.

Evaluation Committee
Prof. Dr. Prem Sharma
Head
External Examiner
Bishnu K.C., Lecturer,
Thesis Supervisor
Date: 2073/06/14

30 Sept. 2016

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work reported in this thesis entitled Impact of Livelihood

Improvement Programme by Multi Stakeholder Forestry Programme on Rural

Households in Parbat District. Submitted to Office of the Dean, Faculty of Arts,

Tribhuvan University, is my original work done in the form of partial fulfillment of

the requirement for the Degree of Master of Arts under the supervision of Lecturer,

Bishnu K. C. University Campus.

.....

Rajendra Subedi

Central Department of Rural Development

Tribhuvan University

Roll No. 03/070-072

Date: 2073/06/02

18 Sept. 2016

iv

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This thesis entitled Impact of Livelihood Improvement Programme by Multi Stakeholder Forestry Programme on Rural Households in Parbat District has been prepared for partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master's Degree in Rural Development.

I am very pleased to express my dense of gratitude to my thesis supervisor lecturer Bishnu K.C. Central Department of Rural Development for his valuable guidance, suggestions and encouragement without which this work would never have completed. I am extremely grateful to the Head of Department of Rural Development Prof. Dr. Prem Sharma for providing me this opportunity, many important suggestions without which this work could not have come through. I also owe my gratitude to all the lecturer of Central Department of Rural Development and non-teaching staffs without their direct and indirect help this work would not have completed.

I would like to express my deep indebtedness to all of who belong to the entire members of my study area. Then I would like to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to VDC Secretary of sampled VDC, DDC, DFO, LIBIRD, FECOFUN, ENPRED, and all PNGOs staff and CFUGs executive committee and members. I would like to thank the Librarians of TU, Librarian of CDRD. I would like to thanks Santosh Paudel, Madan Paudel, Krishna Sharma, Indra Bahadur Dawadi, Khadananda Paudel, Hari Devkota, Sunita Sharma and all my colleagues for their valueless cooperation to complete my research. For their kind cooperation in providing all sorts of information that this study incorporated. Last but not the least; I am indebtedness to my all family father, mother and brother for their constant encouragement, inspiration and continuous support throughout my study period.

Rajendra Subedi November, 2016

ABSTRACT

The thesis entitled Impact of Livelihood Improvement Programme by Multi Stakeholder Forestry Programme on Rural Households in Parbat District. This study was conducted to trace out the impact of livelihood improvement programme by MSFP for the residence of sampled VDCs of Parbat district in Nepal. The study objective was to analyze the bases of livelihood improvement plan, to access level of participation on programme activities, to examine changing pattern of socio economic condition after the LIP implementation and overall impact of programme activities on beneficiaries' livelihood. This study sampled one hundred sixty eight HHs of Majhaphant, Banau, tilahar and Kurgha VDC's were selected purposively for conducting a structured and semi structured questionnaire survey together with checklist in-depth interviews and focus group discussion for data collection.

The field survey showed 85.1percent respondents found to receive information to discuss about plan. The livelihood improvement plan had three bases: local resources, need and interests and market situation as major bases for livelihood improvement of beneficiaries. The majority (65.5%) respondents respond on plan incorporated these three aspects. In addition, livelihood improvement plan made by need based and bottom up approach in which 63.1percent and 32 percent respondents answered respectively. Furthermore, the result shows 81.5percentrespondents were active participation on LIP that participation in programme planning, implementation and monitoring activities has promoted transparency and developmental governance. Also, 94 Percent respondents agreed that it has also helped to enhance ownership of the programme among FUGs members.

The implementation of LIP has positive impact on the socio-economic as well as environmental condition. The study shows, 98 percent respondents agreed on positive impact on forest conservation. Respondents who believe on positive change of programme intervention on water resource conservation, greenery, wildlife expansion were found to be above 85 percent. Also, more than 85 percent respondents agreed on the positive impact on health and sanitation, education, IGAs, capacity development of executive committee.

For building climate resilience, the project reached climate vulnerable households through the implementation of LAPAs and CAPAs. The programme activities have been reached the targeted group through the local level implementing unit and these activities are regular monitoring by the local level monitoring committee and own local government and people for sustainability. The study recommends to regular monitoring and backstopping for the institutionalization of LIP. Institutional development and capacity building of CFUGs is needed to make them able to lead LIP and other similar activities.

Poor and disadvantaged households have accessed to a fund which mobilized as revolving fund through CFUGs, three lakhs amount of money has been collected in the community as permanent. In Banau, two Sitake mushroom enterprises and in Kurgha and Tilahar VDCs Instant stick enterprises provide employment for 32 members, more than 24 and 23 members of CFUG respectively. Furthermore, irrigation facilities support in vegetable farming. Moreover pig farming, vegetable farming goat raising and furniture making enterprises get self-employment opportunities through technical and financial support for support their income generating activities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
RECOMMENDATION LETTER	i
APPROVAL SHEET	ii
DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
ACRONYMS	xiii
CHAPTER - I: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	4
1.3Objective of the Study	5
1.4 Significance of the Study	5
1.5 Limitations of the Study	7
1.6 Organization of the Study	7
CHAPTER - II: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	
2.1 Conceptual Review	9
2.1.1 Livelihood Concept	9
2.1.2 Meaning of Livelihoods	10
2.1.3 Livelihood Improvement Programme	13
2.2 Empirical Review	15

2.2.1 Promoting Sustainable Forest Management in Nepal's	
Forest Contributing to Local and National Economy	19
2.2.2 Enhancing Socio-ecological Resilience through Forestry	
in Nepal	20
2.2.3 Strengthening Governance of Nepal's Forest Sector	
Following Multi Stakeholder Approach	22
CHAPTER - III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1Research Design	25
3.2 Rationale of the Selection of the Study Area	25
3.3Nature and Sources of Data	26
3.4 Population, Sample and Sampling Procedure	26
3.5 Data Collection Tools and Techniques	27
3.5.1 Household Survey	27
3.5.2 Key Informant Interview	27
3.5.3 Focus Group Discussion	28
3.6 Data Processing, Presentation and Analysis	28
CHAPTER - IV: BASIC INFORMATION ABOUT THE STUDY AREA	
4.1 Background of the Study Area	
4.1.1 Biophysical Condition	29
4.1.1.1Geographical Location	29
4.1.1.2Climate and Temperature	29
4.1.1.3Topography	29
4.1.1.4Land Use	30
4.1.2The Demographic Status	30

4.1.2.1 Household and Population	30
4.1.2.2 Caste, Ethnicity and Language	31
4.1.2.3 Religion	32
4.1.2.4 Literacy Status	32
4.1.3 Economic Status	33
4.1.3.1 Employment Source	33
4.1.3.2 Land under Agriculture and Irrigation	33
4.1.3.3 Cropping Pattern and Production	34
4.1.3.4 Food Sufficiency Status	35
4.1.3.5 Forest-Based Enterprises and Entrepreneurship	35
4.1.4 Access to Services	36
4.1.4.1 Drinking Water and Sanitation	36
4.1.4.2 Energy	36
4.1.4.3 Market Centres and Major Trade	37
4.1.4.4 Place of Cultural and Tourism Importance	37
4.2 General Information of Sampled Respondent	38
4.2.1 Family Size and Ethnicity Status of Sampled	
Respondents	38
4.2.2 Sex of Respondents	39
4.2.3 Occupational and Family Size Status of Respondents	40

4.2.4 Income Group Status of Respondents	41
4.2.5 Literacy and Educational Attainment	42
4.2.6 Food Security and Sufficiency Status	42
4.2.7 Well-being Ranking of Sampled Household CHAPTER- V: PLANNING PROCESS, PARTICIPATION AND	43
IMPLEMENTATION OF LIP	
5.1 About Livelihood Improvement Plan	45
5.1.1Bases of Livelihood Improvement Plan	45
5.1.2 Received Information, Planning Approach	
or Process	47
5.1.3 Participation to Make a Plan	48
5.1.4Suggestions and Incorporate Needs and Interest of	
Local People to Make a Plan	51
5.2 Implementation of LIP Activities	53
5.2.1 Activities of Targeted to Poor, Women and	
Marginalized Status	54
5.2.2Received Support into Different Sectors	55
5.2.3 Effectiveness of the Programme	56
5.2.4Effectiveness for Improve Living Standard by	
the Support of LIP	57

5.2.5 Participation in the Program Activities	
5.2.6 Monitoring and Evaluation	59
5.3Positive change after project	
5.3.1 Change after Project Implementation on Environmental	
and Socio Economic conditions	61
5.3.2 Beneficiaries Support Status in Different Sectors	63
5.3.3 Strength and Continuation of FUG and Household	64
5.4 Overall Impact of LIP	65
CHAPTER-VI: MAJOR FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND	
RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1 Major Findings	67
6.2 Conclusions	68
6.3 Recommendations	69
REFERENCES	
ANNEXES	

LIST OF TABLE

Table 1:	Sample Household survey	27
Table 2:	Land Use Pattern	30
Table 3:	Population by Citizenship	31
Table 4:	Ownership of Houses	31
Table 5:	Caste and Ethnicity Structure of Study Area	31
Table 6:	Religion Status of Study Area	32
Table 7:	Gender Wise Literacy Rates of the Parbat	33
Table 8:	Employment Status	33
Table 9:	Small Irrigation Program and Irrigated Land	34
Table 10:	Crops Tradition/System (Crops Denseness)	34
Table 11:	Food Balance Sheet (Units are in Ha and M. Ton as Required)	35
Table 12:	Households by Source of Drinking Water	36
Table 13:	Hydropower Projects in the District	37
Table 14:	Hilly Tourist Areas	38
Table 15:	Information of Sampled Family Size and Ethnicity Respondents	39
Table 16:	Information of Sex Status of Sampled Respondents	40
Table 17:	Occupational Statuses of Respondents	41
Table 18:	Income Groups of Households	41
Table 19:	Food Sufficiencies and Security of Households	43
Table 20:	Received Information and Planning Process	47
Table 21:	Level of Participation and Group of Influncing Role	49
Table 22:	Monitoring and Evaluation Status	60
Table 23:	Positive Change After Project Implementation	62
Table 24:	Beneficiaries Support Status in Different Sectors	63
Table 25:	Strength and Continuation of FUG and Household	64

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1:	Literacy and Educational Attainment of Sampled Respondents	42
Figure 2:	Respondent in Which Category of Wellbeing Ranking of Forest User Group	44
Figure 3:	Major Bases of Livelihood Improvement Plan	46
Figure 4:	How Participate of Local People on Planning Process of LIP	50
Figure 5:	Give Suggestion by Respondent to Livelihood improvement Planning	51
Figure 6:	Suggestions Need and Intrests are Incoporated in LIP	52
Figure 7:	Activities of Targeted to Poor, Women and Marginalized	55
Figure 8:	Received Support into Different Sectors	57
Figure 9:	Effectiveness of the Programme	58
Figure 10:	Effectiveness for Improve Living Standard by the Support of LIP	59
Figure 11:	Participation in the Program Activities	61

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

AEC Agro Enterprise Centre

AFEC Agriculture, Forest and Environment Committee

BCTS Brahmin, Chetri, Thakuri and Sanyasi

BEDO Business and Enterprise Development Officer

BDS Business Development Supporter

CAPA Community Adaptation plan of Action

CBFMA Community Based Forest Management Assistant

CBS Central Bureau of Statistics, Nepal

CC Climate Change

CC/A Climate Change/Adaptation

CDO Chief District Office

CECRED Center for Community Resource and Environmental

Development Nepal

CF Community Forest/Forestry

CFD Community Forest Division

CFM Collaborative Forest Management

CFOP Community forest Operational Plan

CFUG Community Forest User Group

CFUG Community Forest Users Group

CPC Cluster Programme Coordinator

DADO District Agriculture Development Office

DAG Disadvantaged Groups

DCM District Coordination Meeting

DDC District Development Committee

DFID Department for International Development

DFO District Forest Office

DFSCC District Forest Support Coordination Committee

DIP Detail Implementation Plan

DLSO District Livestock Office

DPC District Programme Coordinator

DSM District Support Mechanism

EC Executive Committee

ENPRED Environmental Preservation Services for Development

ERI Environment Resources Institute

FBEs Forest Based Enterprises

FECOFUN Federation of Community Forest Users Group of Nepal

FGD Focused Group Discussion

FNCCI Federation of Nepal Chamber of Commerce and Industry

FNSCI Federation of Nepal Cottage and Small Industries

FUC Forest Users Committee

GLA Government Line Agencies

GoN Government of Nepal

HH Households

ICM Illaka Coordination Meeting

IFP Interim Forestry Project

IGA Income generating activity

INGO International Non-Government Organization

KII Key Informant Interview

LF Leasehold Forest

LFG Local Forest Group

LFG Local Forest Groups

LFP Livelihood Forestry Program

LIBIRD Local Initiatives for Biodiversity, Research and Development

LIP Livelihood Improvement Programme

LIPOs Livelihood Improvement Partnership Organizations

LRP Local Resource Person

MAP Medicinal and Aromatic Plants

MFSC Ministry of Forest & Soil Conservation

MSFP Multi Stakeholder Forestry Programme

NESDO Nepal Educational and Social Development Organization

NGO Non-Governmental Organization

NLSS Nepal Living Standard Survey

NTFP Non Timber Forest Product

ODF Open Defecation Free

OP Operational Plan

PES Payment for Environmental Services

PF Private Forest

PGA Participatory Governance Assessment

PNGO Partner Non- Governmental Organization

SDC Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation

SFM Sustainable Forest management

SSU Services Support Unit

UN United Nation

VDC Village Development Committee

VFCC Village Forest Coordination Committee

YPO Yearly Programme Output