IMPACT OF LOCAL GOVERNANCE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (LGCDP-I) ON DISADVANTAGE GROUP

A case study of Gaushala VDC, Mahottari district, Nepal

A thesis Submitted to The Central Department of Rural Development, Tribhuvan University, In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of the Master of Arts (M.A) in

Rural Development

By

RANJIT KUMAR KANAUJIYA Central Department of Rural Development Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu T.U. Reg. No: 41144-93 Exam Roll No: 282894 April, 2016

Declaration

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled Impact of Local Governance and Community Development Program (LGCDP-I) on Disadvantage Group, A Case Study of Gaushala VDC, Mahottari District, submitted to the central Department of Rural Development, Tribhuvan University, is entirely my original work prepared under the guidance and supervision of my supervisor. I have made the acknowledgements to all ideas and information borrowed from different sources in the course of preparing this thesis. The results of this thesis have not been presented or submitted anywhere else for the award of any degree or for any other purposes. I assure that no part of the content of this thesis has been published in any from before.

.....

Ranjit Kumar Kanaujiya TU. Reg. No.: 41144-93

Date: 30-03-2016 17-12-2072

Recommendation Letter

The thesis entitled **Impact of Local Governance and Community Development Program** (LGCDP-I) on Disadvantage Group: A case study of Gaushala VDC, Mahottari district, has been prepared by **Mr. Ranjit Kumar Kanaujiya** under my guidance and supervision. I hereby forward this thesis to the evaluation committee for final evaluation and approval.

(Ratna Mani Nepal) Supervisor

Date: 30-03-2016 17-12-2072

Approval Letter

The thesis entitled Impact of Local Governance and Community Development Program (LGCDP-I) on Disadvantage Group: A Case Study of Gaushala VDC, Mahottari district, submitted by Mr. Ranjit Kumar Kanaujiya in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master's Degree (M.A.) in Rural Development has been approved by the evaluation committee.

Evaluation committee

Prof. Dr. Prem Sharma Head of Central Department of Rural Development Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur

Prof. Dr. Gehendra Mall External Examiner

Ratna Mani Nepal Supervisor

Date: 04-04-2016

22-12-2072

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many individual have supported in the various stages to complete my thesis. I duly acknowledge each of them for their extensive contribution. In this regard, my first and foremost gratitude goes to my thesis supervisor Ratna Mani Nepal, Lecturer of Central Department of Rural Development for his invaluable suggestions and guidance without which my effort would never come into this from.

I would like to thank the Department Head, Prof. Dr. Prem Sharma, the faculty members and the staff of the Central Department of Rural Development, Tribhuvan University Campus for their co-operation.

I would also like to express my sincere thanks to the community people, LB's staff members, Social Mobilizers and the respondents of Gaushala VDC, for their kind's co-operation and support during the field study period.

Similarly, I want to thank, with high respect my father and mother Mr. Pachu Das Kanaujiya and Mrs. Saroj Devi Kanaujiya for their valuable suggestions and inspiration. I would also like to thank my brothers Sanjay Kanaujiya and Sanjit Kanaujiya for his cooperation to collect study materials.

Finally, I want to thank my wife Diksha Das Kanaujiya and daughter Rakshita Kanaujiya who helped me to complete this study. Without her warm and close help this study would not be completed.

Ranjit Kumar Kanaujiya

30 March, 2016

ABSTRACT

LGCDP-I is one of the largest program of Ministry of Federal Affair and Local Development to strengthen of good governance and community development process of local bodies. The program has been implemented from 2008 to 2012 for all the VDCs, Municipalities and DDCs of the country. The issues of social gap, disparity, privileges and disadvantaged groups of the area are still not resolved properly and the minorities of backward groups of the society are dominated by power elites. So, the title has selected and focused to analyze of impacts of local governance and community development process of Gaushala VDC. In this study, out of 3,022 household area having different castes and ethnics groups only 90 HHs have been taken sampled households heads as respondents.

This study has focuses to assess and evaluate the representation and participation status of DAGs in the planning process and decision making role at local body. Also the study has explored the factors that are responsible to support for inclusion of DAGs in local governance and community development process including causes to regulate effective services by local body. And the study aim is to find the status of Government rules and provisions are implemented properly or misused of the resources.

In the study exploratory and descriptive research design used and similarly sampling method used simple random. The sample size of DAGs and Non-DAGs including VDC personnel for qualitative and quantitative information within the periphery of Gaushala VDC. Simple statistical data used for data analysis and the study presents comparative situation of the inclusion status of DAGs in local governance and community development process at local level.

This impact study is fundamentally intending to explain the effort made by LGCDP-I for the inclusion of DAG's in local body's planning, implementing, monitoring and decision making process. It has analyzed that due to organize regular awareness programs, the DAGs has arising of their voices at local level and their participation has been increased at local structures. Also cause of mandatory provision of LSGA and LGCDP, the representation of

DAGs has been ensured and increased at local level. Before the program implementation only 12 percent DAG's were found to involve in the socio-economic related development activities but after the program completion the ratio has been increased as 55 percent. So, the findings of representation of disadvantage groups has seen increased.

The study indicates that the impacts of the program has been developed the structures to planning, implementing, monitoring and ensuring by DAGs at local body and supported to improve of governance process. Also to make transparency of local resources, reducing of discriminatory practices, supported for inclusion of DAGs at local level structures. So continuity of the program can support to effective representation of DAGs in local level.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	Page No
DECLERATION	ii
LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION	iii
APPROVAL LETTER	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
TABAL OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLE	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
ACRONYMS\ABBREVIATION	xii
CHAPTER-I: INTRODUCTION	1-6
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Statement of the problems	3
1.3 Objective of study	4
1.4 Significance of the study	5
1.5 Limitation of the study	6
1.6 Organization of study	6
CHATER-II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	7-21
2.1 Concept of Local Governance	7
2.2 Concept of Community Development	9
2.3 Concept of Disadvantaged Group	10
2.4 History of Local Governance and Community Development Process in Nepal	11
2.5 Summary of the Review	20
CHAPTER-III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	22-28
3.1 Selection of the study Area	22
3.2 Research Design	22
3.3 Nature and Sources of Data	23
3.4 Universe and Population of the Study	23
3.5 Sampling Procedure and Sample size	23

3.6 Tools of Data Collection		
3.6.1 The Questionnaire		
3.6.2 Participant Observation		
3.6.3 Key Informants' Interview		
3.6.4 Focus Group Discussion	25	
3.6.5 Selection of Dependent and Independent Variables	26	
3.7 Data Processing, Analysis and Interpretation	26	
3.8 Conceptual Framework of the Study	26	
CHAPTER-IV: INTRODUCTION OF THE STUDY AREA	29-31	
4.1The Mahottari District	29	
4.2 Background of the VDC	29	
4.2.1 Location	29	
4.2 2 Demography	30	
J Population Characteristics	30	
CHAPTER-V: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA	32-54	
5.1 Socio-Economic Background of the Respondents	32	
5.1.1 Age Structure of the Respondents		
5.1.2 Sex Structure of the Respondents	33	
5.1.3 Caste and Ethnic Composition	33	
5.1.4 DAG and Non-DAG Composition		
5.1.5 Educational Status		
5.1.6 Respondents belongs to the Political Parties		
5.1.7 Respondents representation Situation in LGCDP-I Structure	37	
5.1.8 Marital Status	38	
5.1.9 Family Structure	39	
5.1.10 Language and Religion	40	
5.2. Impact of LGCDP's on Participation and Inclusion of DAG's in Local		
Governance and Community Development Process	41	
5.2.1 Economic Aspects		
5.2.2 Occupational Status	41	
5.2.3 Ownership Discrimination		

5.2.4 Relation of the Respondents with the Neighborhoods Before and After		
LGCDP	43	
5.2.5 Causes of Improved of Relationship with the Neighborhoods		
5.2.6 Representation Trend Percent of Respondents in LGCDP's Structure		
5.3 Factors of Governance and Inclusion Process		
5.3.1 Representation in the Planning Process of VDC		
5.3.2 Impact of LGCDP to Support on Inclusion Process of DAG's in Local		
Body's Structure	47	
5.3.3 Role of DAGs in Major Decision Making Process and Ensure to Address of		
their Voices at Local Level	48	
5.3.4 Causes to Influence of Role of DAGs in Major Decision Making Process and	l	
Ensure of their Voices at Local Level	49	
5.3.5 Role of Participation of DAGs in VDC's Budget Preparation and Allocation		
Process	50	
5.3.6 Major Changes on Local Governance and Community Development Area		
in the VDC through LGCDP-I	51	
5.3.7 Factors Affecting the Benefit from the Program	52	
5.3.8 Comparison of Achievements of the Program on Local Governance and		
Community Development Process of Local Body	53	
CAPTER-VI: SUMMRY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	55-60	
6.1 Summary	55	
6.2 Conclusion	58	
6.3 Recommendations		
REFERENCES		
ANNEXES		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Distribution of Households and Sample Size	Page No 24	
Table 4.1	Ward Wise Distribution of Population in the VDC		
Table 4.2	Population Distribution by Gender/Sex		
Table 5.1	Age Structure of the Respondents		
Table 5.2	Distribution of Respondents by Caste and Ethnicity	34	
Table 5.3	Distribution of Respondents by DAG and Non-DAG	35	
Table 5.4	Distribution of Respondents by Educational Status		
Table 5.5	Respondents belongs to the Political Parties		
Table 5.6	Respondents Representation Situation in LGCDP's Structure		
Table 5.7	Marital Status of the Respondents		
Table 5.8	Family Structure of the Respondents	40	
Table 5.9	Distribution of the Respondents by Profession	41	
Table 5.10	Distribution of respondents by Occupation	42	
Table 5.11	Percentage of Respondent Represented in Socio-economic		
	Development	43	
Table 5.12	Relationships of the Respondents with Neighborhoods	44	
Table 5.13	Causes of Improvement the Relationships with Neighborhoods	45	
Table 5.14	Representation Trend of Respondents in LGCDP's Structure 45		
Table 5.15	Representation Trend of Respondents in LGCDP's Structure	46	
Table 5.16	Percentage of Respondents Representation in the Planning		
	Process of Local Body	47	
Table 5.17	Percentage of Respondent's Views on Inclusion Process at Local		
	Body	47	
Table 5.18	Cause of the Representation in the Decision Making, Community		
	Development and Planning Process of LB	48	
Table 5.19	Percentage of Respondent in Major Decision Role and Ensure of		
	Their Voices Address at Local Level	49	

Table 5.20	Percentage of Respondent's Views on Major Causes to not	
	Representation in the Decision Process of LGCDP-I and at the	
	Local Body	50
Table 5.21	Role and Participation of DAGs in VDC's Budget Preparation,	
	Allocation and Implementation	51
Table 5.22	Percent of Respondents on Major Changes in the Community	
	through the LGCDP-I	52
Table 5.23	Percentage of Respondent's Benefit Affected from the LGCDP's	
	Program	53
Table 5.24	Percent of Respondents on Comparison of LGCDP-I Achievement 53	

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page No
Figure No. 1	Structure of Good Governance	8
Figure No. 2	Conceptual Framework of the Study	27
Figure No. 3	Population Ratio of the VDC	31
Figure No. 4	Sex Ratio of Respondents	33
Figure No. 5	Distribution of the Respondents by Marital Status	39
Figure No. 6	Distribution of the Respondents by their Family Size	40

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS

APM	:	Annual Plan Monitoring
CA	:	Constitution Assembly
CAC	:	Citizen Awareness Centre
CBOs	:	Community Based Organizations
CBS	:	Central Bureau of Statistics
CIAA	:	Commission for the Investigation of Abuse of Authority
CSO	:	Civil Society Organization
CPN		Communist Party Nepal
DAG	:	Disadvantaged Group
DDC	:	District Development Committee
DFID	:	Department for International Development
DP	:	Development Partner
EU	:	European Union
FEDO	:	Feminist Dalit Organization
FGD	:	Focus Group Discussion
GIZ	:	German Development Cooperation
GON	:	Government of Nepal
GOs	:	Government Organizations
GSEA	:	Gender and Social Exclusion Assessment
HH	:	Household
IIDS	:	Institute of International Development Studies
ILO	:	International Labor Organization
INGOs	:	International Non-Government Organizations
LB	:	Local Body
LGCDP	:	Local Governance and Community Development Program
LPC	:	Local Peace Committee
LSGA	:	Local Self-Governance Act
KM	:	Kilometer
MA	:	Master in Arts

МСРМ	:	Minimum Condition and Performance Measure
MOLD	:	Ministry of Local Development
MOFALD	:	Ministry of Federal Affairs and Local Development
MUN	:	Municipality
NP	:	Nepali Congress
NPC	:	National Planning Commission
NGOs	:	Non-Government Organization
NPC	:	National Planning Commission
OBC	:	Other Backward Castes
PRA	:	Participatory Rural Appraisal
RD	:	Rural Development
RRA	:	Rapid Rural Appraisal
SIRF	:	Social Inclusion Research Fund
SLC	:	School Leaving Certificate
SM	:	Social Mobilizer
SMC	:	School Management Committee
SPA	:	Seven Party Alliance
TU	:	Tribhuvan University
UN	:	United Nations
UNDP	:	United Nations Development Program
UG	:	User Group
UNMIN	:	United Nations Mission in Nepal
VDC	:	Village Development Committee
WB	:	World Bank
WCF	:	Ward Citizen Forum
WHO	:	World Health Organization