

**LEARNING STYLES ADOPTED BY SECONDARY
LEVEL LEARNERS OF ENGLISH IN ILAM**

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education
In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English**

**Submitted by
Jashoda Karki**

**Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur
Kathmandu, Nepal
2016**

**LEARNING STYLES ADOPTED BY SECONDARY
LEVEL LEARNERS OF ENGLISH IN ILAM**

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education
In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English**

**Submitted by
Jashoda Karki**

**Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur
Kathmandu, Nepal
2016**

**T.U. Regd. No.: 9-2-202-265-2008
Second Year Examination
Roll No.: 280309/070**

**Date of Approval of the
Thesis Proposal: 2016-03-29
Date of Submission: 2016-09-01**

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Ms. Jashoda Karki** has prepared this thesis entitled **Learning Styles Adopted by Secondary Level Learners of English in Ilam** under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend this thesis for acceptance.

Date: 2016-09-01

Dr. Purna Bahadur Kadel (Supervisor)

Lecturer

Department of English Education

University Campus, T.U.

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation by the following **Research Guidance Committee:**

Signature

Dr. Anjana Bhattarai

Professor and Head
Department of English Education
University Campus
T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Chairperson

Dr. Purna Bahadur Kadel (Supervisor)

Lecturer
Department of English Education
University Campus
T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Member

Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel

Teaching Assistant
Department of English Education
University Campus
T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Member

Date: 2016-04-05

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following **Thesis**

Evaluation Committee:

Signature

Dr. Anjana Bhattarai

Professor and Head

Department of English Education

University Campus

T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Chairperson

Dr. Anju Giri

Professor

Department of English Education

Chairperson

English and Other Foreign Languages

Education Subject Committee

Faculty of Education

T.U., Kirtipur

Member

Dr. Purna Bahadur Kadel (Supervisor)

Lecturer

Department of English Education

University Campus

T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Member

Date: 2016-09-07

DEDICATION

Dedicated

To

My Parents

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is original; no part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any University.

Date: 2016- 08-31

Jashoda Karki

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply indebted and owe my special note of gratitude to my Guru and thesis supervisor **Dr. Purna Bahadur Kadel**, Lecturer of Department of English Education, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur who provided me with insightful ideas, suggestions and encouragement on different aspects of research. He was the source of inspiration for successful completion of this research work.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to **Dr. Anjana Bhattarai**, Professor and Head of Department of English Education for her invaluable comments and guidance. Similarly, I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai** and **Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel**, Teaching Assistant for their invaluable suggestions.

I would also like to thank to **Prof. Dr. Anju Giri, Dr. Ram Ekwel Singh, Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel, Ms. Madhu Neupane, Mr. Resham Acharya, Mr. Laxmi Prasad Ojha, Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel, Mr. Ashok Sapkota, Mr. Khem Raj Joshi** and other teachers of Department of English Education, T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu for their invaluable and inspirational lectures.

I would like to thank **Ms. Madhavi Khanal** and **Ms. Nabina Shrestha** for their kind helps in library study.

I want to express my sincere gratitude to **all the respondents** and **school administrations** for their kind co-operation.

I am thankful to my friends **Ms. Ramila Gartoula, Ms. Sita Karki, Mr. Tirtha Karki, Ms. Sunita Shrestha, Ms. Dhan Kumari Mahat, Ms. Anita Giri** and all who supported me to carry out this thesis.

Finally my special thanks goes to **Mr. Jackey Maharjan** who helped me to type this thesis.

Jashoda Karki

ABSTRACT

The present thesis entitled **Learning Styles Adopted by Secondary Level Learners of English in Ilam** aimed to find out the learning styles adopted by secondary level learners of English in Ilam and to find out the personality differences in the use of learning styles in English classroom. Keeping the objectives in consideration, 70 English learners of secondary school from Danabari, Ilam were sampled through non-random purposive sampling procedure. A set of structured questionnaire was the tool of data collection. After analysis and interpretation of data, it has been found that majority of the students used visual learning styles and least of the students used field independent learning styles. Likewise, all of the learning styles mentioned in the questionnaire i.e. perceptual styles, introvert, extrovert, deductive, inductive, field independent and field dependent learning styles were found to be used by both 35 introvert and 35 extrovert learners with slight variation in the degree of use.

This thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter one is introductory part which includes background of the study, statement of the problems, objectives of the study, research questions, significance of the study, delimitations of the study and operational definition of the key terms. Similarly, chapter two includes the review of the related theoretical literature, review of related empirical literature, implications of the review of the study and conceptual framework. The chapter three deals with methods and procedures of the study under which design and method of the study, population, sample and sampling strategy, study area/ site, data collection tools and techniques, data collection procedures, analysis and interpretation procedures are mentioned. Likewise, chapter four includes analysis and interpretation of results and summary of the findings. Chapter five includes conclusions and recommendations. The recommendation is classified into policy related, practice related and further research related. Finally, the references and appendices are included.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<i>Declaration</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Recommendation for Acceptance</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Recommendation for Evaluation</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Evaluation and Approval</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Dedication</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Acknowledgement</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Table of Contents</i>	<i>viii</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>xii</i>
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1-7
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Objectives of the Study	4
1.4 Research Questions	4
1.5 Significance of the Study	5
1.6 Delimitations of the Study	5
1.7 Operational Definitions of the Key Terms	5
CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	8-22
2.1 Review of Related Theoretical Literature	8
2.1.1 Learning Styles	12
2.1.1.1 Visual Learners	13
2.1.1.2 Auditory Learners	14
2.1.1.3 Tactile/Kinesthetic Learners	14
2.1.1.4 Introvert and Extrovert Learners	14
2.1.1.5 Risk taking Learners	15

2.1.1.6	Deductive and Inductive Learners	15
2.1.1.7	Field Independence/Dependence Learners	16
2.1.2	Personality	17
2.2	Review of the Related Empirical Literature	18
2.3	Implications of the Review of the Study	21
2.4	Conceptual Framework	22

CHAPTER THREE: METHODS AND PROCEDURES OF THE STUDY **23-26**

3.1	Research Design and Method	23
3.2	Population, Sample and Sampling Strategy	24
3.3	Study Area/Field	24
3.4	Data Collection Tools and Techniques	25
3.5	Data Collection Procedures	25
3.6	Data Analysis and Interpretation Procedures	25

CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS **27-75**

4.1	Analysis of Data and Interpretation of Results	27
4.1.1	Holistic Analysis of Data	27
4.1.2	Item-wise Analysis of Data	37
4.1.2.1	Analysis of Visual Learning Styles	38
4.1.2.2	Personality-based Analysis of Visual Learning Styles	40
4.1.2.3	Analysis of Auditory Learning Styles	42
4.1.2.4	Personality-based Analysis of Auditory Learning Styles	44
4.1.2.5	Analysis of Kinesthetic Learning Styles	46
4.1.2.6	Personality-based Analysis of Kinesthetic Learning Styles	48
4.1.2.7	Analysis of Introvert Learning Styles	50
4.1.2.8	Analysis of Extrovert Learning Styles	52
4.1.2.9	Analysis of Risk Taking Learning Styles	54

4.1.2.10	Personality-based Analysis of Risk Talking Learning Styles	55
4.1.2.11	Analysis of Deductive Learning Style	57
4.1.2.12	Personality- based Analysis of Deductive Learning Styles	59
4.1.2.13	Analysis of Inductive Learning Styles	61
4.1.2.14	Personality – based Analysis of Inductive Learning Styles	63
4.1.2.15	Analysis of Field Independent Learning Styles	65
4.1.2.16	Personality- based Analysis of Field Independent Learning Styles	67
4.1.2.17	Analysis of Field Dependent Learning Styles	69
4.1.2.18	Personality-based Analysis of Field Dependent Learning Styles	72
4.2	Summary and Findings	73
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		76-78
5.1	Conclusions	76
5.2	Recommendations	77
5.2.1	Policy Related	77
5.2.2	Practice Related	77
5.2.3	Further Research Related	78

References

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

	Page No.	
Table 1	Frequencies, Percentages and Weighted Means of the Responses to the Visual Learning Style	28
Table 2	Auditory Learning Style	29
Table 3	Kinesthetic Learning Style	30
Table 4	Introvert Learning Style	31
Table 5	Extrovert Learning Style	32
Table 6	Risk-taking Learning Style	33
Table 7	Deductive Learning Style	34
Table 8	Inductive Learning Style	35
Table 9	Field Independent Learning Style	36
Table 10	Field dependent Learning Style	37
Table 11	Visual Learning Styles of the Students	39
Table 12	Personality-Based Analysis of Visual Learning Styles	41
Table 13	Auditory Learning Styles of the Students	43
Table 14	Personality- Based Analysis of Auditory Learning Styles	45
Table 15	Kinesthetic Learning Styles of the Students	47
Table 16	Personality - Based Analysis of Kinesthetic Learning Styles	49
Table 17	Introvert Learning Styles of the Students	51
Table 18	Extrovert Learning Styles of the Students	53
Table 19	Risk-taking Learning Styles of the Students	54
Table 20	Personality - Based Analysis of Risk-taking Learning Styles	56
Table 21	Deductive Learning Styles of the Students	58
Table 22	Personality - based Analysis of Deductive Learning Styles	60
Table 23	Inductive Learning Styles of the Students	62
Table 24	Personality- Based Analysis of Inductive Learning Styles	64
Table 25	Field Independent Learning Styles of the Students	66
Table 26	Personality - Based Analysis of Field Independent Learning Styles	68
Table 27	Field Dependent Learning Styles of the Students	70
Table 28	Personality - Based Analysis of Field Dependent Learning Styles	72

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

SLA	Second Language Acquisition
L2	Second Language
ESL	English as a Second Language
etc.	et cetera
P.	Page
PP.	Pages
M. Ed.	Master of Education
e. g.	for example
i. e.	that is
%	percentage
f	frequency
ed.	Edition
CUP	Cambridge University Press
OUP	Oxford University Press
T. U.	Tribhuvan University
S.N.	Serial Number
No.	Number