ROLE OF ECO-TOURISM FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN NEPAL:

A Case Study of Godawari VDC of Lalitpur District

A Thesis Submitted to

The Central Department of Rural Development,

Tribhuvan University,
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of the Masters of Arts

in

Rural Development

Submitted By:

RENUKA SILWAL

Central Department of Rural Development

TU Reg. No: 7-2-347-138-2004

Exam Roll No: 282206

DEC 2016

Declaration

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled ROLE OF ECO-TOURISM FOR RURAL

DEVELOPMENT IN NEPAL A Case Study of Godawari VDC of Lalitpur District

submitted to the Central Department of Rural Development, Tribhuvan University, is

entirely my original work prepared under the guidance and supervision of my

supervisor. I have made due acknowledgements to all ideas and information borrowed

from different sources in the course of preparing this thesis. The results of this thesis

have not been presented or submitted anywhere else for the award of any degree or

for any other purposes. I assure that no part of the content of this thesis has been

published in any form before.

Renuka Silwal

Date: 8th Dec, 2016

ii

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

The thesis entitled ROLE OF ECO-TOURISM FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT

IN NEPAL A Case Study of Godawari VDC of Lalitpur District has been prepared

by Renuka Silwal under my guidance and supervision. I hereby forward this thesis to

the evaluation committee for final evaluation and approval.

(Prof. Dr. Prem Sharma)

Thesis Supervisor

Date: 8th Dec, 2016

23rd Mangsir, 2073

iii

APPROVAL LETTER

The thesis entitled ROLE OF ECO-TOURISM FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT

IN NEPAL A Case Study of Godawari VDC of Lalitpur District submitted by

Renuka Silwal in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master's Degree

(MA) in Rural Development has been approved by the evaluation committee.

Evaluation Committee

Prof. Dr. Prem Sharma

Supervisor and Head of the Department

External Examiner

Mr. Mani Lamichhane

Nepal Tourism Board

Date: 14th Dec 2016

ίv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my profound gratitude to my respected supervisor **Prof. Dr. Prem Sharma** for providing an opportunity to carry out this project work on **ROLE OF ECO-TOURISM FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN NEPAL** A Case Study of Godawari VDC of Lalitpur District. He provided continuous guidance, advice and encouragement since the proposal preparation to report finalization. His constructive comments, untiring help, guidance and practical suggestions inspired me a lot to accomplish this work successfully.

I would also like to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Umakant Silwal, Ms Tina Manandhar and Mr. Suman Kharel for providing required guidelines and suggestions during my thesis writing. Similarly I would also like to thank all the Professors, Lecturers of Central Department of Rural Development for their continuous help, support and guidelines during my two years study in the University.

Similarly, I would like to express my appreciation to VDC secretary, Mr. Jay Ram Silwal and staff for their comments and suggestions and providing the important knowledge and data. I acknowledge to Tribhuvan University Central Library of Kirtipur and Library and Librarian of Central Department of Rural Development, Department of Economics and Central Bureau of Statistics for making the required books, journals and reports of past literature available.

Likewise, I would like to give special thanks to Mr. Shailendra Kumar Pandit and Mr. Bishoka Mulmi for their generous cooperation and tireless responses during the process of thesis writing.

Last but not the least, my deep love and respect goes to my parents, Mr. Rabindra Silwal, Ms. Radha Silwal, Mr. Roshan Silwal and Ms. Roshani Silwal who always inspired and helped me a lot to build my educational career.

It is hoped that this report tried to portray accurately the ecotourism scenario, the potential impacts of ecotourism in the study area and shall be instrumental in the promotion and development of sustainable ecotourism programs in the study area in the future.

Ms. Renuka Silwal 8 Dec, 2016

ABSTRACT

This Study entitled **ROLE OF ECO-TOURISM FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN NEPAL** A Case Study of Godawari VDC of Lalitpur District was conducted with the objectives of exploring the socio-cultural aspects of tourism, assessing the facilities available for tourists and describing the positive and negative impacts of ecotourism in Godawari VDC of Lalitpur district. This study is mainly based in the primary information and the data were collected using the techniques of household survey, interview and key informant interview with the help of questionnaire and interview.

In Godawari VDC, there are 1825 households and total population is 7558 out of which male and female population are 3715 and 3843 respectively. (Population and Housing census, 2011).

In order to make the study meaningful as well as advanced, within the limited time period a larger sample size was not feasible so the sample taken can be considered to be good representative of the universe of study. There were 1825 households (HHs) in the VDC. Of the total households, 30 HHs were selected on the basis of random sampling method and 10 shops of the foot trails were selected by lottery method. So, the total number of sample taken of the local HHs was 40. During the study it was found that Tamang (42.5%) were the main ethnic group in the study area. Agriculture (42.5%) was the main occupation, the average family size of the sample HHs were 5.42 persons per family, average literacy rate 70.51%, 45% of the sample HHs have knowledge about ecotourism, 38.89% think experiencing remote, un-crowded and unspoiled nature is ecotourism. 72.22% HHs thinks environment plays a decisive role in the promotion of ecotourism. 90% sample HHs believe employment opportunities is being created by ecotourism. 41.67% of sample HHs are engaged in hotel business such as hotel owner, cook, waiter, dish washer, etc. 55% HHs believed that the monthly income is NRs. 10,000-20,000. 47.5% of the sample HHs agreed that income generation and employment opportunities are the positive impacts of ecotourism. 32.5% of the sample HHs believed that high pricing is the negative impacts of ecotourism. 40 sample HHs believed that 52.5% tourism planning in the area is done by Government. 87.5% HHs agreed that about the local participation in the tourism activities in the study area. 77.5% of the sample HHs believed that tourist's

satisfaction level was satisfactory and 35% of the sample HHs think foot trails should be improved in the study area.

In the same way, 5 tourists were chosen at once in different time interval, 3 times so the total number of sample tourists was 15. During the study it was found that Out of the sample tourists, 33.33% of them were above 45 years of age. 26.67% of them were from China, 66.37% of the sample tourists were male and 46.67% of them were engaged in service/jobs. 73.33% of the sample tourists were making their first visit to the study area and 40% of the sample tourists were staying for more than 4 days, trekking was the main purpose of visit of 40% sample tourists, 46.67% of the sample tourists think taking only photographs and leaving only footprints is ecotourism, 40% of the sample tourists think trekking is the main attraction of the study area. 86.67% tourists did not buy the products made from timber and wildlife. 40% of the sample tourists agreed about the lack of proper information, sign and symbols in the foot trails is the major problems of this area, 33.34% of the sample tourists suggested to increase the information, sign and symbols in the trekking zone and foot trails.

From the study we can conclude that all the households who are engaged in ecotourism are getting benefit. Their access to health, education and economy has increased. By the use of the income, there has been investment in the income generating activities resulting in the regular flow of money in the HHs and motivation for entrepreneurship development at local level has helped positively in reduction of poverty and in holistic development of rural areas. All HHs were very positive towards enhancing tourism activities. They suggested that focus should be in made in making proper plans and policies regarding ecotourism and there should be active participation of local people.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Title Page | i |
|-----|--|------|
| | Declaration | ii |
| | Letter of Recommendation | iii |
| | Approval Sheet | iv |
| | Acknowledgements | V |
| | Abstract | vii |
| | Table of Contents | viii |
| | References | xii |
| | Acronyms | XV |
| | List of Tables | xvi |
| | List of Figures | xvii |
| | Annex | xvii |
| СН | APTER – ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1-6 |
| 1.1 | Background of the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Statement of the Problem | 4 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of the Study | 5 |
| 1.4 | Significance of the Study | 5 |
| 1.5 | Limitations of the Study | 6 |
| 1.6 | Organization of the Study | 7 |
| СН | APTER- TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 8 |
| СН | APTER- THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 | Research Design | 18 |
| 3.2 | Rationale of the Selection of Study Area | 18 |
| 3.3 | Nature and Sources of Data | 18 |
| | 3.3.1 Primary Data | 19 |
| | 3.3.2 Secondary Data | 19 |
| 3.4 | 3.4 Universe and Sampling | |

| 3.5 | Techniques and Tools of Data Collection | 20 |
|------|--|----|
| | 3.5.1Households Survey | 20 |
| | 3.5.2 Interview | 20 |
| | 3.5.3 Key Informant Interview | 20 |
| 3.6 | Data Processing and Analysis | 20 |
| 3.6. | 1. Data Processing | 20 |
| 3.6. | 2 Data Analysis | 20 |
| СН | APTER- FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION | |
| | Study Area | 22 |
| | 1 Godawari VDC of Lalitpur District | 23 |
| | 2 Geographical Setting of the Study Area | 24 |
| | 3 Climate | 24 |
| 4.2 | Socio-Economic Characteristics of the Households | 25 |
| 4.2. | 1 Ethnicity/Cast | 25 |
| | 3 Household Size of the Respondents | 26 |
| | 4 Occupational Status of the Sample HHs | 27 |
| 4.3 | Distribution of HHs on the basis of the knowledge about Ecotourism | 28 |
| 4.3. | 1 Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of the understanding and | |
| mea | aning of Ecotourism | 29 |
| 4.3. | 2 Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of understanding the | |
| rela | tionship between environment and Ecotourism | 30 |
| 4.4 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of views about employment | |
| crea | ated by Ecotourism | 31 |
| 4.4. | 1 Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of types of employment | |
| gen | erated by the tourism activities | 32 |
| 4.5 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of income per month | 33 |
| 4.6 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of impacts of Ecotourism | 33 |
| 4.6. | 1 Positive Effects of Ecotourism | 34 |
| 4.6. | 2 Negative Effects of Ecotourism | 34 |
| 4.7 | Distribution of sample HHs to know about the tourism planning | |
| in s | study area | 35 |
| 4.8 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of local participation in | |
| toui | rism activities in the study area | 36 |
| 4.8. | 1 Level of participation of local people in the tourism activities in | |
| the | study area | 37 |
| 4.9 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of opinion about the satisfaction of | |
| toui | rists after visiting the study area | 38 |
| 4.10 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of opinion regarding the | |

| improvement of Ecotourism | 39 |
|---|-----|
| 4.11 Distribution of sample tourists on the basis of their age, gender, country and | |
| occupation | 40 |
| 4.11.1 Distribution of tourists according to their age | 41 |
| 4.11.2 Distribution of tourists according to their country | 42 |
| 4.11.3 Distribution of tourists according to their gender | 42 |
| 4.11.4 Distribution of tourists according to their occupation | 43 |
| 4.12 Distribution of the sample tourists on the basis of number of visit | |
| to the study area | 44 |
| 4.13 Distribution of the sample tourists on the basis of the total number of | |
| days of their stay | 45 |
| 4.14 Distribution of the sample tourists on the basis of purpose of their visit | 46 |
| 4.15 Distribution of the sample tourists according to understanding of the term | |
| Ecotourism | 47 |
| 4.16 Distribution of the sample tourists on the basis of their view about | |
| the main attraction of the study area | 48 |
| 4.17 Distribution of the tourists according to the products bought made | |
| form wildlife and timber | 49 |
| 4.18 Distribution of the sample tourists according to their view about the | |
| facilities in the study area | 50 |
| 4.19 Distribution of the sample tourists on the basis of the problems of the study | |
| area | 51 |
| 4.20 Distribution of the sample tourists on the basis of the suggestion | |
| given to improve the study area | 52 |
| CHAPTER-FIVE: MAJOR FINDINGS, CONCLUSION | AND |
| RECOMMENDATION | |
| 5.1 Major Findings | 53 |
| 5.2 Conclusion | 56 |
| 5.3 Recommendation | 57 |
| 5.4 Others | 59 |

List of Tables

| Table | No. Title | Page No. |
|-------|--|----------|
| 4.1 | Ethnicity/Caste composition of sample HHs | 25 |
| 4.2 | Sex and Literacy Composition of the respondent HHs | 26 |
| 4.3 | Distribution by the HHs size of the respondents | 27 |
| 4.4 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of occupation | 27 |
| 4.6 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of idea about ecotourism | 28 |
| 4.7 | Distribution of sample HHs on the basis of definition of ecotourism | 29 |
| 4.8 | Monthly income of sample HHS | 33 |
| 4.9 | Positive impacts of ecotourism | 34 |
| 4.10 | Negative impacts of ecotourism | 35 |
| 4.11 | Tourism planning in the study area | 36 |
| 4.12 | Level of participation of local people | 38 |
| 4.13 | Suggestions for improving ecotourism in study area | 40 |
| 4.14 | Age group of the sample tourists | 41 |
| 4.15 | Country of the sample tourists | 42 |
| 4.16 | Occupation of the sample tourists | 44 |
| 4.17 | No. of visits of tourists in the study area | 44 |
| 4.18 | Distribution of sample tourists according to their purpose of visit | 46 |
| 4.19 | Distribution of sample tourists according to the meaning of ecotouri | sm 47 |
| 4.20 | Main attraction of the study area according to the tourists | 48 |
| 4.21 | Tourists buying products made from wildlife and timber | 49 |
| 4.22 | View of tourists regarding facilities of the study area | 50 |
| 4.23 | Problems of the study area | 51 |
| 4.24 | Suggestions to improve the study area | 52 |

List of Figures

| Fig No. | . Title | Page No. |
|---------|--|----------|
| 4.1 | Role of environment in promoting ecotourism | 30 |
| 4.2 | Tourism activities creating employment opportunities | 31 |
| 4.3 | Local participation in tourism activities | 37 |
| 4.4 | Level of satisfaction of tourists | 39 |
| 4.5 | Gender of the tourists | 43 |
| 4.6 | No. of days spent by tourists in the study area | 45 |

Acronyms

ACAP : Annapurna Conservation Area Project

ASTA : American Society of Travel Agents

CBS : Central Bureau of Statistics

CTEVT : Centre for Technical Education and Vocational

DDC : District Development Committee.

Fy : Fiscal Year

GDO : Gross Domestic Product

IC : Indian Currency

ILO : International Labor Organization

IUOTO : International Union of Official Travel Organization.

NTNC : Nepal Trust for Nature Conservation

MOCTCA : Ministry of Culture, Tourism and Civil Aviation

NGO : Non-Governmental Organization

NPC : Nepal Planning Commission

NTB : Nepal Tourism Board Organization
PATA : The Pacific Area Travel Association.

NAC : Nepal Airlines Corporation

SNV/N : Netherlands Assistance Organization/Nepal Training

TRPAP : Tourism for Rural Poverty Alleviation Program.

UNDP : United Nation Development Program.

UNESCO: United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural

Organization

UNO : United Nations Organization

VDC : Village Development Committee

WHO : World Health Organization