# READING COMPREHENSION TEST STRATIGIES ADOPTED BY ENGLISH TEACHERS OF SCHOOL LEVEL

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Rana Bahadur Neupane Chhetri

Faculty of Education Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal 2011

# READING COMPREHENSION TEST STRATIGIES ADOPTED BY ENGLISH TEACHERS OF SCHOOL LEVEL

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Rana Bahadur Neupane Chhetri Faculty of Education Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal 2011

T. U. Regd. No.: 6-2-48-2194-99 Campus Roll No.: 1041/2064 2<sup>nd</sup> Year Exam Roll No.: 280683/ 2067 Date of Approval of Thesis Proposal 2068/01/15 Date of Submission: 2068/03/23

### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is original; no part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any university.

\_\_\_\_\_

Rana Bahadur Neupane Chhetri

Date: 2068/

### **RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE**

This is to certify that **Mr. Rana Bahadur Neupane Chhetri** has prepared this thesis entitled **"Reading Comprehension Test Strategies Adopted by English Teachers of School Level"** under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend this thesis for acceptance.

Date: 2068/

.....

Mrs. Hima Rawal (Guide) Teaching Assistant Department of English Education Faculty of Education, T. U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal.

### **RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION**

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation from the following 'Research Guidance Committee:'

#### Signature

#### Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra

Professor and Head Department of English Education T. U., Kirtipur

Dr. Tara Datta Bhatta

Reader Department of English Education T. U., Kirtipur

Mrs. Hima Rawal

Teaching Assistant Department of English Education T. U., Kirtipur

Date: 2068/ 01 /15

Chairperson

Member

Member

#### **EVALUATION AND APPROVAL**

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following 'Thesis Evaluation and Approval Committee:'

Signature

#### Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra

Professor and Head, Department of English Education T. U. Kirtipur

Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi Professor Department of English Education Chairperson English and Other Foreign Languages English Subject Committee T. U., Kirtipur

#### Mrs. Hima Rawal (Guide)

Teaching Assistant Department of English Education T. U., Kirtipur

Date: 2068/

Chairperson

Member

Member

## DEEDICATION

Affectionately dedicated to my parents and my wife, Shova without whom my dreams would not have come true

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Such a difficult task would not have been prepared if there had not been continuous guidance, meticulous supervision and co-operative suggestions of different people. For this, I am very much indebted to and would like to extol **Mrs. Hima Rawal**, Teaching Assistant, Department of English Education, T. U., my thesis supervisor for her continuous assistance, inspiration, encouragement, cooperation, enthusiasm and constructive suggestions.

I feel fortunate to thank my honorable guru **Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra**, Professor and Head of the Department of English Education and Chairperson of Thesis Guidance Committee, for his supporting ideas and providing me an opportunity to conduct the present study.

I am very much indebted to research guidance committee member, **Dr. Tara Datta Bhatta**, Department of English Education, T. U., Kirtipur for providing valuable suggestions and supporting ideas.

I am very much indebted to and would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi**, Chairperson of the English and Other Foreign Languages Education Subject Committee, Department of English Education, T. U., my peer teaching observer for his inspiration, encouragement, co-operation, enthusiasm and constructive suggestions.

I gratefully acknowledge my deep indebtedness to **Prof. Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai, Prof. Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya, Prof. Dr. Anju Giri, Mr. Vishnu S. Rai, Dr. Bal Mukunda Bhandari, Dr. Laxmi Bahadur Maharjan, Dr. Tapasi Bhattacharya, Dr. Anjana Bhattarai, Mr. Raj Narayan Yadhav, Mrs. Madhu Neupane, Mrs. Saraswati Dawadi** and **Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel** for their cooperation which proves to be of a great value when the matter of accomplishment of this work arises. I am very grateful to **Mrs. Madhavi Khanal**, Librarian of the Department of English Education for her administrative support. I would like to thank my friends **Mr. Matrika Subedi, Mr. Ramesh Dhakal, Mr. Ramesh Bahadur Singh, Mr. Dharma Adhakari, Mr. Yam Subedi, Mr. Uwaraj Nepal, Mr Dipak Dhingal** and **Mr. Sagun Shrestha** for their kind help and co-operation at many stages of carrying out this research.

Last but not the least, I would like to express my cordial thanks to my respected headteacher, **Mr. Bhumi Raj Paudel**, all the members of the school management committee of Ishaneshwar and all the school family for providing me time and continuous support for the completion of my M. Ed. course. Similarly I would like to express my heartiest affection to my daughters **Raksha** and **Diksha** for their patience while carrying out this meritorious work.

Rana Bahadur Neupane Chhetri

Ashad, 2068

#### ABSTRACT

This study is the study of '**Reading Comprehension Test Strategies Adopted by English Teachers of School Level'**. The study attempted to identify the test strategies adopted by English teachers of school level. I utilized both the primary and secondary sources of data. The data for the study were collected by using purposive non-random sampling procedure. For primary data, I took twenty lower secondary and twenty secondary English teachers from Gandaki and Bagmiti zone. In order to find out the test strategies adopted by English teachers of school level, I used questionnaire as the tool of the research. The collected data were systematically analyzed using simple statistical tools. I used tables, bar diagrams and pie chart to analyze and present the collected data. From the collected data, I found that the English teachers of school level used different test strategies while testing reading comprehension text. I also found that some of the teachers were unknown about some of the strategies. So, they should be trained and informed about them to make their teaching and testing effective and result oriented.

This thesis consists of four chapters. Chapter one consists of general background, review of the related literature, objectives and significance of the study. Similarly, chapter two consists of the methodology adopted in course of the study. Sources of data, tools for data collection and the limitations of the study are the topics which come under chapter two. Similarly, chapter three consists of the analysis and interpretation of the data obtained through the primary and secondary sources. Chapter four includes findings and recommendations which are derived and suggested with the help of analysis and interpretation of the data. References and appendices are included at the end of this work to make the work more valid.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page no.
Declaration	i
<b>Recommendation for Acceptance</b>	ii
<b>Recommendation for Evaluation</b>	iii
Evaluation and Approval	iv
Dedication	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Abstract	viii
Table of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
List of Abbreviations and Symbols	xiii

#### **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

1.1 General Background	1
1.1.1 Language Teaching	3
1.1.2 Language Skills	4
1.1.3 Reading	5
1.1.4 Reading and Reading Comprehension	7
1.1.5 Testing and Teaching	11
1.1.6 Testing language Skills	12
1.1.7 Testing Reading	13
1.1.8 Testing Reading Comprehension	15
1.1.9 Strategy	16
1.1.10 Strategy in Foreign language Testing	17
1.1.11 Reading Comprehension Test Strategy	19
1.2 Review of the Related Literature	22
1.3 Objectives of the Study	26
1.4 Significance of the Study	26

#### **CHAPTER TWO: METHODOLOGY**

Sources	of Data	27
2.1.1	Primary Sources of Data	27
2.1.2	Secondary Sources of Data	27
Sample	Population	27
Samplir	ng Procedure	27
2.4 Tools for Data Collection 2		28
.5 Process of Data Collection		28
Limitati	ions of the Study	28
	2.1.1 2.1.2 Sample Samplin Tools fo Process	2.1.2 Secondary Sources of Data Sample Population Sampling Procedure Tools for Data Collection

#### CHAPTER THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

3.1	Analysis and Interpretation of Teachers' Responses on Each Question	30
3.2	Analysis and Interpretation of the Responses on the Basis of Reading	
	Comprehension Test Strategy	50
CHA	APTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
4.1	Findings	52
4.2	Recommendations	53

#### References

Appendix

### LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Titles	Page No.
Table No. 1	Teaching reading comprehension	30
Table No. 2	Test items used to test the students' sequential understanding	g 33
Table No. 3	Questions asked to check vocabularies of the text	35
Table No. 4	Strategy used to answer sign post question	35
Table No. 5	Frequency of asking the students about figurative language	40
Table No. 6	Information expected after skimming	42
Table No. 7	Way of testing short question answers	44
Table No. 8	Skill of language focused while testing reading comprehense	sion 47
Table No. 9	Teachers' responses on reading comprehension test strategi	es 50

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Titles	Page No.
Figure No. 1	Questions used to find out the main idea of the text	31
C	Questions used to ask the students to recall facts and details	
Figure No 3	Wh-questions used to ask about recognizing cause and effe	ct 33

Figure No. 5	win-questions used to ask about recognizing cause and effect	33
Figure No. 4 '	Test items used to ask the students to make prediction	34
Figure No. 5	Purpose of asking the students to make inferences	36
Figure No. 6 '	Time asked to summarize the text	37
Figure No. 7	Questions asked to distinguish between fact and opinion	38
Figure No. 8	Questions asked to find out the purpose of writing the particular	
]	paragraph/text	39
Figure No. 9	Strategy applied to conduct class work to check students'	
١	understanding	40
Figure No. 10	Strategy applied to attract attention to the class activities	41
Figure No. 11	Information expected after scanning	43
Figure No. 12	Types of text used to check the students' understanding of relation	ns
	within the sentence	44
Figure No. 13	Way of testing matching items	45
Figure No. 14	Strategy applied to test creative and long answer questions	46

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

%	Percentage
AD	Anno Domini
B. Ed.	Bachelor in Education
CDC	Curriculum Development Centre
CUP	Cambridge University Press
eds.	Editions
EFL	English as a Foreign Language
eg.	For Example
ESL	English as a Second Language
et al.	And others
etc.	Et Cetera
i. e.	That is
LSWR	Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing
M. Ed.	Master in Education
NESP	National Education System Plan
OUP	Oxford University Press
p.	Page
PCL	Proficiency Certificate Level
T. U.	Tribhuban University
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nation
USA	United States of America
viz.	Namely