# THE PROFICIENCY OF GRADE TEN STUDENTS IN GRAMMAR

A Thesis submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Umesh Bagale

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur
Kathmandu, Nepal
2016

# THE PROFICIENCY OF GRADE TEN STUDENTS IN GRAMMAR

A Thesis submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Umesh Bagale

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur
Kathmandu, Nepal
2016

T.U. Regd. No. 9-2-268-53-2006

**Second Year Exam:** 

Roll No. 281046/069

Date of Approval of

Thesis Proposal: 2016/02/04

Date of Submission: 2016/04/27

### RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Mr. Umesh Bagale** has prepared this thesis entitled 'The Proficiency of Grade Ten Students in Grammar' under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend this thesis for acceptance.

Date: 2016/05/08

Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel (Supervisor)

Teaching Assistant,

Department of English Education,

T. U. Kirtipur, Nepal

## RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation from the following **Research Guidance Committee** 

	Signature
Dr. Anjana Bhattarai	
Professor and Head	(Chairperson)
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Ms. Madhu Neupane	
Lecturer	(Member)
Department of English Education	
T. U., Kirtipur	
Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel (Supervisor)	
Teaching Assistant	(Member)
Department of English Education	
T. U. Kirtipur	

Date: 2016/02/04

## **EVALUATION AND APPROVAL**

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following **Research Guidance Committee:** 

	Signature
Dr. Anjana Bhattarai	
Professor and Head	(Chairperson)
Department of English Education	
T. U., Kirtipur	
Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai	
Professor	(Member)
Department of English Education	
T. U., Kirtipur	
Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel (Supervisor)	
Teaching Assistant	(Member)
Department of English Education	
T. U. Kirtipur	

Date: 2016/05/08

# **DEDICATION**

This research is dedicated

To

My family and relatives

# **DECLARATION**

Umesh Bagale	
Date: 2016/04/27	
degree to any university.	
degree to any university.	
part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research	
I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is original; no a	ny

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I am highly indebted to my thesis supervisor **Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel**, Teaching Assistant, Department of English Education, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur for his regular guidance, invaluable suggestions, co-operation, constant encouragement, inspiration and patient guidance at every step of my research work. The work would not have been materialized in the present form without his constructive feedback and incisive observation from the very beginning.

I would like to express my due respect to my gurumas **Dr. Anjana Bhattarai**, Professor and Head, Department of English Education, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur and **Ms. Madhu Neupane**, Lecturer, Department of English Education, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur for their continual support and encouragement during this research work. I would also like to offer my thanks to my guru **Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai**, Professor of the department who encouraged me a lot during this study.

I owe a great debt of gratitude and appreciation to my respected Gurus and Gurumas Prof. Dr. Tirtha Raj Khaniya, Prof. Dr. Laxmi Bahadur Maharjan, Dr. Bal Mukunda Bhandari, Prof. Dr. Bishnu Singh Rai, Dr. Tapashi Bajracharya, Dr. Ram Ekwal Singh, Dr. Purna Bahadur Kadel, Mr. Prem Bahadur Phyak, Mrs. Madhu Neupane, Mrs. Hima Rawal, Mrs. Saraswati Dawadi, Mr. Khem Raj Joshi, Mr. Ashok Sapkota, Mr. Resham Acharya, Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel, Mr. Laxmi Prasad Ojha and other Readers and Lecturers in the Department of English Education for their friendly teaching as well as their academically encouraging ideas.

Similarly, I am extremely thankful to Mrs. Madhavi Khanal and Mrs.

Nabina Maharjan, Librarian, Department of English Education for their co-

operation and help extended to me by providing me various books useful for this research.

Finally, I am thankful to all administrative staff of the department, respondents, teachers, head teachers, and others who helped me during this research work. I would also like to thank my friends, relatives, parents and family members for their support in this research work.

**Umesh Bagale** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

The present study entitled "The Proficiency of Grade Ten Students in Grammar" attempted to find out the proficiency of class ten students in the use of both text based and context based grammatical items and to compare the proficiency of male and female. The study was carried out by collecting the data from 40 students who were selected by adopting non-random purposive sampling procedure from two public schools in Kathmandu district. The data were collected by using a set of test items. The collected data were analyzed and descriptively interpreted using mean, percentage and tabulation. After the analysis and interpretation of the data it was found that the students were more proficient in discrete text based items of the grammar than context based items. It was found that the male students were more proficient only in the use of conditionals but the female students were more proficient in the use of articles, prepositions, tag questions, concords and causatives in both text and context based items. The proficiency of students was satisfactory in the study since the sample 40 students obtained 62.96 percent average mark in all items.

The present study consists of five chapters. Chapter one deals with the introduction of the study. It consists of background of the study, statement of the problems, objectives of the study, research questions, significance of the study, delimitations of the study and operational definitions of key terms. Chapter two deals with the review of related literature, implication of the review for the study and conceptual framework of the study. The review of related literature includes the review of both theoretical and empirical literature. Chapter three deals with methods and procedures of the study. It includes design and method of the study, population, sample and sampling strategies, study area/ field, tools for data collection, data collection procedures, and data analysis and interpretation procedures. Likewise, chapter four deals with the analysis and interpretation of the result. It also includes the summary of findings of the study. Chapter five is the last chapter which deals with the conclusion and recommendations along with the recommendations given to the policy makers, practitioners and further researchers. Finally, references and appendixes are attached in the final part of this research report.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page No.		
Declaration	i		
Recommendation for Acceptance	ii		
Recommendation for Evaluation	iii		
Recommendation and Approval	iv		
Dedication	ν		
Acknowledgements	vi		
Abstract	viii		
Table of Contents	ix		
List of Tables	xii		
List of Symbols and Abbreviations	xiii		
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1-6		
1.1 Background of the Study	1		
1.2 Statement of the Problems	2		
1.3 Objectives of the Study	3		
1.4 Research Questions	4		
1.5 Significance of the Study	4		
1.6 Delimitations of the Study	5		
1.7 Definition of the Specific Terms	5		
CHAPTER - TWO: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATUR	RE		
AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	7-27		
2.1 Review of Related Theoretical Literature	7		
2.1.1 Grammar	7		
2.1.2 Testing Grammar	8		
2.1.3 English Curriculum for Grade Ten	10		
2.1.4 The SLC Grid and Grammar Items	11		
2.1.5 The Specification grid for English	12		
2.2 Review of Related Empirical Literature	23		
Implications of the Review for the Study 26			

CII		THE	E . METHODE AND DDOCEDHDES	
Сн	APILI	KIHKE	E : METHODS AND PROCEDURES	20.20
2.1	ъ.	13.5	OF THE STUDY	28-30
	1 Design and Methods of the Study			28
	Population, Sample and Sampling Strategies			29
	•	Area/ Fie		30
3.4	Tools 1	for Data (	Collection	30
3.5	Proced	ures of D	Pata Collection	30
3.6	Proced	ures of D	Pata Analysis and Interpretation	30
СН	APTEI	R FOUR	: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF THI	${\mathbb E}$
			RESULTS	31-53
4.1	Analys	is of the	Data and Interpretation of the Results	31
	4.1.1	Holistic	Analysis of the Proficiency	31
		4.1.1.1	Holistic Comparison of Proficiency of Students in	
			Discrete Text Grammatical Items	33
		4.1.1.2	Holistic Comparison of Proficiency of Students in	
			Context based Grammatical Items	34
	4.1.2	Itemwis	e Proficiency of the Students in Discrete Text based	
	Grammatical Items		35	
		4.1.2.1	Proficiency in Articles	35
		4.1.2.2	Proficiency in Prepositions	36
		4.1.2.3	Proficiency in Tag Questions	37
		4.1.2.4	Proficiency in Concords	37
			Proficiency in Causatives	38
		4.1.2.6	Proficiency in Conditionals	39
	4.1.3	Itemwis	e Proficiency of Students in Context based Grammat	ical
		Items		40
		4.1.3.1	Proficiency in Articles	40
		4.1.3.2	Proficiency in Prepositions	40

27

2.4 Conceptual Framework

		4.1.3.3	Proficiency in Tag Questions	41
		4.1.3.4	Proficiency in Concords	42
		4.1.3.5	Proficiency in Causatives	43
		4.1.3.6	Proficiency in Conditionals	44
	4. 1.4	Genderw	vise Proficiency of Students in Discrete Text and Contex	ĸt
		based Gr	rammatical Items	45
		4.1.4.1	Proficiency of Male and Female Students in Articles	45
		4.1.4.2	Proficiency of Male and Female Students in Prepositions	46
		4.1.4.3	Proficiency of Male and Female Students in Tag	
			Questions	46
		4.1.4.4	Proficiency of Male and Female Students in Concords	47
		4.1.4.5	Proficiency of Male and Female Students in Causatives	s 48
		4.1.4.6	Proficiency of Male and Female Students in Conditionals	3 49
4.2	Summa	ary of the	Findings	50
СН	APTER	R FIVE :	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 54	-58
5.1	Conclus	sion		54
5.2	Recomi	mendation	as	55
	5. 2.1 I	Policy Rel	ated Recommendations	56
	5.2.2 P	ractice Re	elated Recommendations	56
	5.2.3 F	urther Re	search Related Recommendations	58

### **REFERENCES**

#### **APPENDIX**

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title Page	Page No.	
1.	Grammatical Items	14	
2	Holistic Proficiency of the Students in Discrete Text and		
	Context based Grammatical Items	32	
3	Holistic Proficiency of the Students in Discrete Text Items	33	
4	Holistic Proficiency of Students in Context based Grammatica		
	Items	34	
5	Proficiency in Articles	35	
6	Proficiency in Prepositions	36	
7	Proficiency in Tag Questions	37	
8	Proficiency in Concords	38	
9	Proficiency in Causatives	38	
10	Proficiency in Conditionals	39	
11	Proficiency in Articles	40	
12	Proficiency in Prepositions	41	
13	Proficiency in Tag Questions	42	
14	Proficiency in Concords	42	
15	Proficiency in Causatives	43	
16	Proficiency in Conditionals	44	
17	Proficiency in the Articles	45	
18	Proficiency in Prepositions	46	
19	Proficiency in Tag Questions	47	
20	Proficiency in Concords	48	
21	Proficiency in Causatives	49	
22	Proficiency in Conditionals	50	

#### LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A.M. - Average Marks

*B.S.* - Bikram Sambat

*CBS* - Central Bureau of Statistics

*ELT* - English Language Teaching

etc. - et cetera

*F.M.* - Full Marks

*ibid.* - in the same book or piece of writing

M. Ed. - Masters in Education

*Obt. M.* - Obtained Marks

*p*. - Page

*pp*. - Pages

Q. - Question

SLC - School Leaving Certificate

S.N. - Serial Number

*T.U.* - Tribhuvan University