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#### Abstract

This research work entitled A study on Semantic Equivalence: A Case of Translated Novel 'Rupamati' has been carried out to analyze the semantic equivalence through sentence level between the source text (henceforth: ST) and translated text (henceforth: TT). The source of data consists of the original Nepali text and its translated English version Rupamati. The ST and TT are deemed as sample universe. From this, the selection of the sample size consists of sixty pairs of sentences from both texts. For sampling procedure, the random sampling method has been applied. The different tools such as observation, checklist and syntactic structure and semantic analysis have been used for the data analysis. It is found that the translator has carefully chosen different lexical item in TT to fulfill semantic equivalence. Sometimes, the translator himself gets derailed and the work of translation cannot meet the semantic equivalence. The translator has sometimes used extra lexical item which is not found in ST. It is found that, the translator has split the syntactic structure of the compound sentence into two in TT. It is also found that the translator has mostly omitted exclamatory marker in TT whereas the ST exclamatory sentences contain it.

This thesis comprises four chapters. Chapter one deals with general introduction, review of related literature, objectives of the study and significance of the study. Chapter two deals with method and procedure adopted to carry out the research. It contains information on the source of data, sampling procedures, tools, process of data collection and limitation of the study. Chapter three consists of the analysis and interpretation of the data. Chapter four deals with the major findings of the study on the basis of the analysis and interpretation of the collected data. It also deals with some recommendations made, based on the major findings of the study. Finally, chapter four is followed by the references and appendices.


## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No
Declaration ..... i
Recommendation for Acceptance ..... ii
Recommendation for Evaluation ..... iii
Evaluation and Approval ..... iv
Dedication ..... v
Acknowledgements ..... vi
Abstract ..... vii
Table of Contents ..... viii
List of Tables ..... $\mathbf{x}$
List of Abbreviations and Symbols ..... xi
CHAPTER-ONE: INTODUCTION ..... 1-29
1.1 General Background ..... 1
1.1.1 Importance of Translation ..... 4
1.1.2 Scope of Translation Studies ..... 5
1.1.3 Current Trends in Translation ..... 8
1.1.4 Relevance of Translation in Language Teaching ..... 10
1.1.5 Translation Equivalence ..... 11
1.1.6 Types of Translation Equivalence ..... 13
1.1.7 Cultural Categories ..... 19
1.1.8 Techniques and Procedures of Translation ..... 21
1.1.9 Gaps in Translation ..... 24
1.2 Review of Related Literature ..... 26
1.3 Objectives of the Study ..... 28
1.4 Significance of the Study ..... 29
CHAPTER-TWO: METHODOLOGY ..... 30-31
2.1 Sources of Data ..... 30
2.1.1 Secondary Sources of data ..... 30
2.2 Sampling Procedures ..... 30
2.3 Tools for Data Collection ..... 30
2.4 Process of Data Collection ..... 31
2.5 Limitations of the Study ..... 31
CHAPTER-THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION 32-42
3.1 Introduction ..... 32
3.2 Holistic Analysis ..... 32
3.3 Analysis of Simple Sentences ..... 34
3.4 Analysis of Compound Sentences ..... 37
3.5 Analysis of Interrogative Sentences ..... 40
3.6 Analysis of Exclamatory Sentences ..... 42
CHAPTER-FOUR: FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION ..... 46-47
4.1 Findings ..... 46
4.2 Recommendations ..... 47
References
AppendicesAppendix-1: Sentences
Appendix-2: Transliteration key

## LIST OF TABLES

## Page No.

Table No. 1: Sentence Types 33
Table No. 2: Simple Sentences of both Texts 35
Table No. 3: Three Levels of Description 37
Table No. 4: Compound Sentences of both Texts 38
Table No. 5: Interrogative Sentences of both Texts 40
Table No. 6: Exclamatory Sentences of both Texts 43
Table No. 7: Grammatical Form and Function 45

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

| CA | : Communicative Translation |
| :--- | :--- |
| DE | : Dynamic Equivalence |
| DET | : Dynamic Equivalence Translation |
| Dr. | : Doctor |
| e.g. | : For example |
| etc. | : etcetera |
| FE | : Formal Equivalence Equivalence Translation |
| FET | : Master is |
| i.e. | : Miss |
| Mr. | : Noun Phrase |
| Ms. | : Sage |
| NP | : Source Language |
| p. | : Sourget Text |
| SL Tanguage Text | Target Language |
| SLT | Tharget Language Text |
| ST | TET |

