USE OF CONTINUOUS ASSESSMENT IN DEVELOPING PROFICIENCY IN GRAMMATICAL ITEMS

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Karan Chand

Faculty of Education,
Tribhuvan University,
Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal
2014

USE OF CONTINUOUS ASSESSMENT IN DEVELOPING PROFICIENCY IN GRAMMATICAL ITEMS

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Karan Chand

Faculty of Education,
Trbhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal
2014

T.U. Reg. No.: 8-2-60-232-2006 Date of Approval of

Second Year Examination Thesis Proposal: 31-05-2013

Roll No: 280461/068 Date of Submission: 06-02-2014

DECLARATION

hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is original; no	part
of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any	
niversity.	
Date: 05-02-2014	
Karan Chand	

RECOMMEDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that Mr. Karan Chand has prepared this thesis entitled "Use of Continuous Assessment in Developing Proficiency on Grammatical Items" under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend thesis for acceptance.	
Date:	
	Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel (Supervisor)
	Lecturer
	Department of English Education
	T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu,
	Nepal

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation by the following **Research Guidance Committee:**

	Signature
Dr. Anjana Bhattarai	
Reader and Head,	Chairperson
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel (Supervisor)	
Lecturer,	Member
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Mr. Ramesh Prasad Ghimire	
Teaching Assistant	Member
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	

Date: 20-02-2014

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following 'Thesis

Evaluation and Approval Committee':

Date: 21-02-2014

	Signature
Dr. Anjana Bhattarai	
Reader and Head,	Chairperson
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra	
Professor	Member
Department Of English Education	
Chairperson	
English and Other Foreign Languages	
Education Subject Committee	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel (Supervisor)	
Lecturer,	Member
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	

DEDICATION

Affectionately Dedicated

to

MY PARENTS

Who devoted their entire life for my study and made me what I am today

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

After a continuous and tireless effort, I have completed this study on the "Use of Continuous Assessment System in Developing Proficiency in Grammatical Items." I would not have been able to complete this thesis without those people from whom I collected these ideas, support and guidance. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all the persons from the core of my heart.

My first debt of gratitude goes to my guru and thesis supervisor **Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel**, Lecturer, Department of English Education, T.U Kirtipur;

who provided me with feedback, encouragement and guidance throughout my research work. As a result, I came up in this stage.

I am extremely grateful to **Dr. Anjana Bhattarai**, Reader and Head of the Department of English Education for her kind affection suggestions and instructions during the period of thesis writing as well as before this period.

Similarly, I am extremely grateful to my respected guru **Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra**, Professor and the Chairperson of English and Other Foreign
Languages, Education Subject Committee for his constructive counseling
during academic journey and in the writing of this thesis.

In the same way, my sincere gratitude goes to Prof. Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai, Prof. Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya, Porf. Dr. Anju giri, Prof. Dr. V.S Rai, Porf. Dr.L.B Maharjan, Porf. Dr. Tara Datta Bhatta, Dr. Tapasi Bhattacharya, Dr. Balmukunda Bhandari, Mr. Raj Narayan Yadav, Mr. P.B Phyak, Mrs. Hema Rawal, Mrs. Madhu Neupane, Mrs. Saraswati Dawadi and all others at the Department of English Education T.U, for their constructive suggestions and guidelines.

I would not forget to extend my respect to **Mrs. Madhavi Khanal**, Librarian, at the Department of English Education for her regular help in issuing the required books and theses.

In writing this thesis, I took help from the Shree Manjushree Secondary School Jyamrung Dhading. So, I am very much grateful to all concerned authority and students of that school for their genuine support and co-operation during to teach the students of grade five. I am indebted to my friends, Mr. Mohan Singh Air (Alimaya), Mrs. Nirmala Thagunna, Mr. Bhim Dhimi, and Mr. Dhirendra Singh Air, Mr Puskar raj Joshi.

Finally, my special thanks go to Friend's Computer Service, Nayabazar, Kirtipur for its good computer works.

...

Karan Chand

Date:

ABSTRACT

The present study entitled "Use of Continuous Assessment System (CAS) in Developing Proficiency on Grammatical Items "is basically an action research. The main objective of this study was to find out the development proficiency on grammatical items through CAS in English at primary level. In order to fulfill the objective of this study, I selected Manjushree Secondary School Jyamrung ,Dhading and all the students of grade 5 (i.e. 29) were the sample. I used purposive non- random procedure to select the field of this study. I used both primary and secondary sources of data to fulfill the objectives of the study. It was an action research, so I taught thirty lessons using continuous assessment. Observation and tests were used as research tools but checklist, homework, class work, behavior change, attendance and creative work were also used under observation. Collected data were interpreted and compared to find out the development in proficiency on grammatical items. The research result showed that continues assessment system had positive influence on developing proficiency in grammatical items in comparison to the result of pre-test and post-test.

This thesis consists of five chapters; the first chapter includes the background, statement of the problem, rationale of the study, objectives of the study, research questions, significance of the study, delimitations of the study and the operational definitions of the key terms. The second chapter deals with review of related empirical literature, review of related theoretical literature, and implications of the review for the study along with conceptual framework. The third chapter includes, design of the study, population and sample, sampling procedure, data collection tools, data collection procedure and data analysis and interpretation procedure. The fourth chapter deals with the results and discussion of the data. In the same away the last chapter comprises summary, conclusion and implications.

References, model of the test, test items and lesson plans are added in the final part of the thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No
Dec	elaration	i
Rec	Recommendation for Acceptance	
Rec	ommendation for Evaluation	iii
Eva	luation and Approval	iv
Dea	lication	ν
Ack	nowledgements	vi
Abstract		viii
Table of Contents		ix
List of Tables		xii
List of Figures		xiii
Sym	abols and Abbreviations	xiv
СН	APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1-8
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	3
1.3	Rationale of the Study	4
1.4	Objectives of the Study	5
1.5	Research Questions	5
1.6	Significance of the Study	6
1.7	Delimitations of the Study	7
1.8	Operational Definitions of the Key Terms	7

Cl	CHAPTER TWO: REVIEWS OF RELATED LITERATURE AND		
	CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	9-26	
2.1	Review of Related theoretical Literature	9	
	2.1.1 Language Testing	9	
	2.1.2 Language Assessment	10	
	2.1.3 Relationship between Assessment and Testing	12	
	2.1.4 Types of Language Assessment	12	
	2.1.5 Continuous Assessment System (CAS)	14	
	2.1.6 Features of CAS	16	
	2.1.7 Basic Principles of CAS	17	
	2.1.8 Objectives of CAS	18	
	2.1.9 Historical Perspective of CAS in Nepal	19	
	2.1.10 Need of CAS for Primary Level Learners	21	
2.2	Review of Related Empirical Literature	22	
2.3	Implications of the Review for the Study	24	
2.4	Conceptual framework	25	
СН	APTER THREE: METHODS AND PROCEDURES OF		
	THE STUDY	27-32	
3.1	Design of the Study	27	
3.2	Population and Sample	31	
3.3	Sampling Procedure	31	
3.4	Data Collection Tools	31	
3.5	Data Collection Procedure	31	
3.6	Data Analysis and Interpretation Procedure	32	

CH	APTE	R FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	33-44
4.1	Resul	ts	33
4.2	Discu	Discussion and Interpretation	
	4.2.1	Score of the pre-test	34
	4.2.2	Score of the post-test	35
	4.2.3	Score of the pre -test and the post-test	36
	4.2.4	Student score on the first progressive-test	37
	4.2.5	Scores of the second progress-test	38
	4.2.6	Score of the first progress and the second progress- test	39
	4.2.7	Average score of the pre-test and the post -test	40
	4.2.8	Average score in the first and the second progress-test	40
	4.2.9	Score of the pre-test and the first progress-test	41
	4.2.10	Comparison of the pre-test and the second progress- test	42
	4.2.11	Score of the first progress-test and the post-test	43
	4.2.12	2 Score of the second progress- test and the post-test	44
СН	APTE l	R FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND	
		IMPLICATIONS	45-50
5.1	Sumn	nary	45
5.2	Concl	usions	47
5.3	Impli	cations	48
	5.3.1	Policy level	48
	5.3.2	Practice level	49
	5.3.3	Further research	49
REI	FERE	NCES	

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

Title	Page No
Table No: 1 Score of the pre-test	34
Table No: 2 Students score on the post-test	35
Table No 3 Comparisons of the Pre- test of the students with post-test	36
Table No: 4 Student Score on the first progressive- test	37
Table No: 5 Interpret the scores of the second progress -test	38
Table No: 6 Scores of the first progress and second progress- test	39
Table No: 7 Average score of the pre-test and the post test	40
Table No: 8 Average score in the first and the second progress-test	40
Table No: 9 Interpretation the score of the pre-test and first progress-te	est 41
Table No: 10 Comparison of the pre-test and the second progress- test	42
Table No: 11 Score of the first progress-test and the post –test	43
Table No: 12 Score of the second progress- test and the post-test	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Title	
Figure No: 1 Relationship between Testing and Assessment	15
Figure No: 2 Conceptual Framework of CAS	26
Figure No: 3 Cyclical Process in Action Research	29

SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CAS = Continuous Assessment Systems

i.e. = That is

% = Percentage

Dr. = Doctor

e. g. = For example

p. = Page

Prof. = Professor

R. N. = Roll Number

S. N. = Serial Number

T. U. = Tribhuvan University

CDC = Curriculum Development Center

NEC = National Education Commission

HLNEC = Higher Level National Education Commission

ARNEC = All- Round National Education Commission

NESP = National Education System Plan

No. = Number

M. Ed. = Master in Education