

**PERCEPTIONS OF SECONDARY LEVEL  
ENGLISH TEACHERS ON DEDUCTIVE AND  
INDUCTIVE TEACHING METHODS**

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education  
In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English**

**Submitted by**

**Samiksha Sharma**

**Faculty of Education**

**Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur**

**Kathmandu, Nepal**

**2012**

PERCEPTIONS OF SECONDARY LEVEL ENGLISH  
TEACHERS ON DEDUCTIVE AND INDUCTIVE  
TEACHING METHODS

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education  
In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

Submitted by  
Samiksha Sharma  
Faculty of Education  
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur  
Kathmandu, Nepal  
2012

T.U.Regd.No: 9-2-327-487-2005

Second Year Examination

Roll No: 280754/067

Date of Approval of the

Thesis Proposal: 2068-09-14

Date of Submission: 2012-03-20

## **RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE**

This is to certify that **Mrs. Samiksha Sharma** has prepared this thesis entitled “**Perceptions of Secondary Level English Teachers on Deductive and Inductive Teaching Methods**” under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend the thesis for acceptance.

Date: 2012-03-23

.....

**Mr. Khem Raj Joshi (Guide)**

Teaching Assistant

Department of English Education

Faculty of Education, T.U., Kirtipur

Kathmandu, Nepal

## RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation by the following  
“**Research Guidance Committee**”:

**Signature**

**Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra**

Professor and Head

.....

Department of English Education

Chairperson

T.U., Kirtipur

**Mrs. Madhu Neupane**

Lecturer

.....

Department of English Education

Member

T.U., Kirtipur

**Mr. Khem Raj Joshi (Guide)**

Teaching Assistant

.....

Department of English Education

Member

T.U., Kirtipur

Date:

## EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following “**Thesis Evaluation and Approval Committee**”:

**Signature**

**Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra**

Professor and Head

.....

Department of English Education

Chairperson

T.U., Kirtipur

**Mrs. Madhu Neupane**

Lecturer

.....

Department of English Education

Member

T.U., Kirtipur

**Mr. Khem Raj Joshi (Guide)**

Teaching Assistant

.....

Department of English Education

Member

T.U., Kirtipur

Date:

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is original; no part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any University.

Date: 2012-03-19

.....

**Samiksha Sharma**

# **DEDICATION**

**Dedicated to**

**My husband and parents**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I am very much indebted to **Mr. Khem Raj Joshi**, Teaching Assistant of the Department of English Education, my honorable Guru as well as my thesis supervisor, for his regular and tireless assistance, co-operation and supervision of my work. His patience, co-operative nature, enthusiasm, suggestions and interests in this study have really left ever memorable impression. It is his insightful guidance and meticulous supervision that enabled me to present this work in such a form. It is very difficult to find words to express my gratitude to him for his kind and valuable time in preparing this thesis.

It is my fortune to thank my respected Guru **Prof. Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra**, Head of the Department of English Education, for his regular and kind co-operation.

Similarly, I am extremely grateful to my respected Guru **Mr. Prem Phyak**, Lecturer, Department of English Education for providing me his constructive suggestions and encouragement to complete this study.

My sincere thanks go to **Prof. Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai**, **Mr. Vishnu Singh Rai**, **Dr. Anjana Bhattarai**, **Mr. Raj Narayan Yadav**, **Mrs. Saraswati Dawadi**, **Mrs. Madhu Neupane**, **Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel**, **Mrs. Hima Rawal** and all the faculty members for necessary support. I would also like to express hearty thanks to **Mrs. Madhavi Khanal**, the librarian for her regular help and co-operation. I am really indebted to the administration of the secondary schools of Kailali district, where I visited for data collection and the teachers who helped me by filling in the questionnaire. I cannot help thanking the co-operation of **Mr. Yadav**



**Sharma**, Principal of Shree Jana Jagriti Higher Secondary School,  
Kailali.

My family members, especially my husband, **Krishna Prasad Sapkota** deserves my thanks for his regular inspiration and support. I am extremely grateful to my parents and brother for their regular inspiration and support.

Furthermore, I am extremely grateful to my friends **Mr. Krishna Timilsina, Mr. Nill Kantha Dhakal, Mrs. Goma Niraula, Mrs. Nira Basnet, Mrs. Gita Bhusal, Mrs. Devi Phulara, Mr. Shailendra Raj Sharma, Mr. Dilip Sharma** for their assistance in finding relevant materials, data collection and exchanging ideas about the work.

I would like to thank all the authors and publishers whose books have been used for secondary sources of data along with review of relevant literature in this research work.

Finally, I would like to thank **Mr. Yogendra Raj Sharma** for his help to type and finalize this thesis.

**Samiksha Sharma**

## **ABSTRACT**

This research study entitled “**Perceptions of Secondary Level English Teachers on Deductive and Inductive Teaching Methods**” aimed to find out the perceptions of secondary level English teachers towards the use of deductive and inductive teaching methods. This study was carried out using both the primary and secondary sources of data. The data for the study was collected through a set of questionnaire. As a primary source of data, the researcher purposively selected 40 secondary level English teachers of 20 different government-aided schools of Kailali district. From this study, it has been found that majority of teachers were implementing deductive method of teaching in the classroom. They thought inductive teaching method was more effective and suitable to implement in secondary level. They used deductive method more because it was easy and economic for them.

This study mainly includes four chapters. The first chapter introduces the study in terms of general background, review of the related literature, objectives and significance of the study. The second chapter concerned with the methodology used in the study: the sources of data, population of the study, sampling procedure, and tools for data collection, process of data collection and limitations of the study. Likewise, the third chapter of the study consists of analysis and interpretation of the data. The fourth chapter presents the findings derived from the analysis and interpretation of the data. It also suggests some recommendations on the basis of the findings. The final section presents the references and appendices which give validation to the research.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Declaration</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Recommendation for Acceptance</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Recommendation for Evaluation</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Evaluation and Approval</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Dedication</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations and Symbols</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>CHAPTER-ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-21</b>
1.1 General Background	1
1.1.1 Importance of Teaching Grammar	2
1.1.2 Language Teaching Approaches, Methods and Techniques	3
1.1.3 Brief History of Language Teaching Methods	6
1.1.3.1 The Grammar Translation Method	6
1.1.3.2 The Direct Method	8
1.1.3.3 Audio-lingual Method	9
1.1.3.4 Communicative Approach	11
1.1.4 Methods of Teaching Grammar	13
1.1.4.1 Deductive Method	13

1.1.4.2 Inductive Method	16
1.2 Review of the Related Literature	19
1.3 Objectives of the Study	20
1.4 Significance of the Study	21
<b>CHAPTER-TWO: METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>22-23</b>
2.1 Sources of Data	22
2.1.1 Primary Sources of Data	22
2.1.2 Secondary Sources of Data	22
2.2 Population of the Study	22
2.3 Sampling Procedure	22
2.4 Tools for Data Collection	23
2.5 Process of Data Collection	23
2.6 Limitations of the Study	23
<b>CHAPTER-THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION</b>	<b>25-51</b>
3.1 Classroom Management	25
3.2 Teaching Learning Activities	28
3.3 Use of English in the Classroom	33
3.4 Students' Participation in Learning and Teachers' Role	39
3.5 Grammar Correction and Feedback	43
3.6 Effectiveness and Suitability of Inductive Method	48
3.7 Advantages of Deductive and Inductive Methods in ELT Classroom	50
<b>CHAPTER-FOUR: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>52-53</b>
4.1 Findings	52
4.2 Recommendations	53
<b>REFERENCES</b>	
<b>APPENDICES</b>	

## **LIST OF TABLES**

	<b>Page No.</b>
Table No.1: Classroom Management in Deductive and Inductive Teaching	26
Table No.2: Practice of Deductive Method and Beliefs Towards it in ELT	29
Table No.3: Reasons to Choose Deductive Method	30
Table No.4: Learners' Involvement to Achieve Objectives of the Lesson	30
Table No.5: Ways to Make Inductive Teaching More Applicable	31
Table No.6: Beliefs on Inductive Teaching	32
Table No.7: Students' Chances to communicate in English	34
Table No.8: Teachers' Views on English	38
Table No.9: Better Environment for Learning	38
Table No.10: Teachers' Role in Classroom	39
Table No.11: Collaboration Between Teacher and Students	41
Table No.12: Memorization of Rules	42
Table No.13: Communication and Errors	45
Table No.14: Deductive Teaching and Negative Feedback	45
Table No.15: Feedback and Students Engagement in Learning	48

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

	<b>Page No.</b>
Figure No.1: Time Spent in Inductive Teaching	28
Figure No.2: Teachers' Satisfaction in Deductive Teaching Learning Activities	33
Figure No.3: Use of English in the Classroom	35
Figure No.4: Students' Language in Classroom	36
Figure No.5: Classroom Instruction	37
Figure No.6: Roles in Inductive Teaching	40
Figure No.7: Students' Interaction in Class	43
Figure No.8: Correction of Students' Errors	44
Figure No.9: Ways to Correct Students' Errors	46
Figure No.10: Mistakes and Punishment	47
Figure No.11: Effectiveness and Suitability of Inductive Method	49

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

P	Page
et al.	And Other People
viz.	Namely
GTM	Grammar Translation Method
L <sub>1</sub>	First Language
L <sub>2</sub>	Second Language
ALM	Audio Lingual Method
CLT	Communicative Language Teaching
ELT	English Language Teaching
SLA	Second Language Acquisition
etc.	Etcetera
Ed	Edited
i.e.	That is
T.U.	Tribhuvan University
%	Percent
No.	Number
OUP	Oxford University Press
CUP	Cambridge University Press