

TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING PULCHOWK CAMPUS

Thesis No.: 073/MSPS/704

Analyzing the Effectiveness of Distributed Generation and Capacitor Banks in Distribution Systems Using Water Cycle Algorithms

> By Bijay Sah 073MSPS704

THESIS REPORT

SUBMITTED TO

THE DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN POWER SYSTEM ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING LALITPUR, NEPAL

July 2023

COPYRIGHT

The author of this thesis work has granted permission for the library, specifically the Department of Electrical Engineering at Pulchowk Campus, Institute of Engineering, to freely make this report available for inspection. Furthermore, the author acknowledges that extensive copying of this thesis report for scholarly purposes may be authorized by the respective professor(s) who supervised the recorded work, or in their absence, by the Head of the Department where the thesis was conducted. It is essential to provide appropriate recognition to both the author of this report and the Department of Electrical Engineering, Pulchowk Campus, Institute of Engineering, in any utilization of the material contained within this thesis report. Unauthorized copying, publication, or any other use of this report for financial gain is strictly prohibited without the expressed approval of the Department of Electrical Engineering, Institute of Engineering, and written permission from the author.

To request permission for copying or any other usage of the material in this report, please contact:

Head

Department of Electrical Engineering Pulchowk Campus, Institute of Engineering Lalitpur, Kathmandu Nepal

TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING PULCHOWK CAMPUS DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommended to the Institute of Engineering for acceptance, a Thesis report entitled "Analyzing the Effectiveness of Distributed Generation and Capacitor Banks in Distribution Systems Using Water Cycle Algorithms" submitted by Bijay Sah in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Power System Engineering.

IRGN

Prof. Dr. Nava Raj Karki, Thesis Supervisor Department of Electrical Engineering Pulchowk Campus

Asst. Prof. Dr. Bishal Silwal External Examiner Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Kathmandu University

Basarta

Assoc. Prof. Basanta K. Gautam Program Coordinator M.Sc.in Power System Engineering Department of Electrical Engineering Pulchowk Campus

As A. Prof. Yuvraj Adhikari Head of Department, Department of Electrical Engineering Pulchowk Campus

Assoc. Prof. Mahammad Badrudoza, Thesis Supervisor

Department of Electrical Engineering

Pulchowk Campus

ABSTRACT

Minimizing power losses and enhancing voltage stability are critical objectives in power systems due to their impact on transmission line contingencies, financial losses for utilities, and the risk of power system blackouts. One effective strategy to improve power system efficiency is the optimal allocation of Distributed Generation (DGs) and capacitor banks (CBs), considering factors such as sizing and operating power factor. Power system operators and researchers are dedicated to addressing distribution system challenges related to power loss, energy loss, voltage profile, and voltage stability by optimizing the placement of DGs and CBs. This optimization not only ensures the security of the distribution system but also enables its operation in islanding mode. To enhance the performance of distribution systems, this thesis proposes the integration of DGs and CBs using a water cycle algorithm (WCA) for optimal placement and sizing. The proposed method aims to achieve technical and economic benefits by considering various objective functions, including power loss minimization, voltage deviation, total electrical energy cost, and improvement in the voltage stability index. The WCA algorithm mimics the flow of water from streams to rivers and from rivers to the sea. Through simulations conducted on three distribution systems, namely the IEEE 33-bus, 69-bus test systems, and the Sankhu feeder network, which is part of INPS, the performance of the proposed methodology is evaluated. The simulation results demonstrate the superiority of the WCA algorithm compared to other optimization algorithms in terms of flexibility and efficiency. The proposed approach exhibits notable improvements in economic benefits, making it a promising solution for optimizing DG and CB placement in distribution systems.

Furthermore, the study highlights the advantages of utilizing Distributed generation along with controllable PF over Distributed generation along with fixed PF, as it allows for more flexible operation. The analysis considers five operational cases to evaluate the proposed methodology. These include the base case, capacitor allocation and sizing (Case I), DG allocation and sizing (Case II), simultaneous placement and sizing of DGs and CBs with unity power factor (Case III), allocation and sizing of DGs and CBs with adjustable power factor for technical objectives (Case IV), and allocation and sizing for techno-economic objectives (Case V). The results of the analysis on the IEEE 33-bus system demonstrate that the proposed methodology leads to a reduction in power loss of 34.79% (Case I), 62.10% (Case II), 90.23% (Case III), 92.04% (Case IV), and 63.67% (Case V) compared to the base case. Additionally, the minimum bus voltage significantly improves across all cases. Similar trends are observed for the IEEE 69-bus system and the Sankhu feeder network, with substantial reductions in power loss and significant improvements in the minimum bus voltage achieved through the proposed methodology. Overall, the findings of this thesis work emphasize the effectiveness of simultaneous allocation and sizing of DGs and CBs in reducing system losses and improving bus voltage profiles, aligning with the objectives of the research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply indebted to numerous individuals whose unwavering guidance and support played an indispensable role in the successful completion of this thesis. With profound gratitude, I extend my heartfelt appreciation to my esteemed thesis supervisor, Prof. Dr. Nava Raj Karki, and Assoc. Prof. Mahammad Badrudoza for his invaluable mentorship, unwavering support, and constant encouragement throughout the entire research process. His guidance has not only shaped this thesis but has also been instrumental in my overall growth during my master's program.

I would also like to extend my sincere appreciation to all the teachers and staff members of the Department of Electrical Engineering at Pulchowk Campus. Their dedication and assistance have been instrumental in fostering a conducive academic environment for my research endeavors.

Furthermore, I would like to express my deep gratitude to Engineer Suraj Dhungel from the Nepal Electricity Authority for his coordination with the Sankhu Feeder members, which facilitated the smooth execution of field visits and data collection pertaining to the Sankhu Feeder. His support was invaluable in obtaining the necessary data for this research.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge the immense contribution of my family and friends, both directly and indirectly, in the completion of this thesis. Their unwavering belief in my abilities and their continuous encouragement provided the motivation I needed to overcome challenges and accomplish this significant milestone.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DGs:	Distributed generations
CBs:	Capacitor banks
VSI:	Voltage stability index
WCA:	Water cycle algorithm
INPS:	Integrated Nepal power system
N _{DG} :	Number of DG units
nL:	Number of branches
PF:	Power factor of DG units
P_L and Q_L :	Active power loss and reactive power loss, respectively
P _d and Q _d :	Active Load demand and reactive Load demand, respectively
LF:	Load factor of DGs
N _{sr} :	Number of rivers and sea.
BFOA:	Bacterial Foraging Optimization Algorithm.
GA:	Genetic Algorithm.
PSO:	Particle Swarm Optimization.
FWA:	Fireworks Algorithm.
GSA:	Gravitational Search Algorithm.
CVSI:	Conditional Value of Sample Information.
DICA:	Discrete Imperialist Competitive Algorithm

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.1 Flowchart of the proposed method14
Fig.2 IEEE 33-bus distribution network18
Fig.3 IEEE 69-bus distribution network19
Fig.4 Sankhu feeder network
Fig 5. Result window for the case I (capacitors bank placement and sizing) for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig.6 Bus Voltage comparison of case I (capacitors only) for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig 7. Result window for case II (DGs placement and sizing) for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig.8 Bus voltage comparison of case II (DGs only) for IEEE 33-Bus System27
Fig 9. Result window for case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig.10 Bus voltage comparison of Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig 11. Result window for case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig.12 Bus voltage comparison of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig 13. Result window for case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig.14 Bus voltage comparison of Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for IEEE 33-Bus System
Fig 15. Result window for case I (capacitors bank placement and sizing) for IEEE 69- Bus System
Fig.16 Bus Voltage comparison of case I (capacitors only) for IEEE 69-Bus System
Fig 17. Result window for case II (DGs placement and sizing) for IEEE 69-Bus System
Fig.18 Bus voltage comparison of case II (DGs only) for IEEE 69-Bus System38
Fig 19. Result window for case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for IEEE 69-Bus System
Fig.20 Bus voltage comparison of Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for IEEE 69-Bus System
Fig 21. Result window for case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for IEEE 69-Bus System
Fig.22 Bus voltage comparison of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for IEEE 69-Bus System

Fig 23. Result window for case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techn0-economic objectives for IEEE 69-Bus System.......44

Fig.24 Bus voltage comparison of Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for IEEE 69-Bus System.......45

Fig.32 Bus voltage comparison of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for Sankhu feeder network......53

LIST OF TABLES

Table1: Summary of Load flow report of IEEE 33- bus system	.23
Table 2: results of different cases studied on IEEE 33- bus system	.23
Table 3: Summary of Load flow report of IEEE 69- bus system	.33
Table 4: results of different cases studied on IEEE 69- bus system	.34
Table 5: Summary of Load flow report of Sankhu feeder network	.45
Table 6: results of different cases studied on the Sankhu feeder network	46

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COPYRIGHTI
APPROVAL PAGEII
ABSTRACTIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSIV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSV
LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF TABLES
TABLE OF CONTENTSIX
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background1
1.2 Problem Statement2
1.3 Scope of Study
1.4 Objectives
1.5 Outline of the Report
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW4
2.1 General
2.2 The Distribution Power System
2.3 Voltage Stability of radial distribution system
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY11
3.1 Problem Formulation11
3.2 Case Studied
3.3 Water Cycle Algorithm14
CHAPTER FOUR: System to be Considered Tools and Software
4.1 System to be considered
4.2 Tools and Software Used
CHAPTER FIVE: Results and Discussion
5.1Results for IEEE 33-bus system23
5.2 Results for IEEE 69-bus system
5.3 Results for Sankhu feeder network45
5.4 Discussion on Results

CHAPTER SIX: Conclusion and Recommendation	59
6.1 Conclusion	59
6.2 Recommendation	59
6.3 Future Scope	60
References	61
Appendix 1: IEEE 33- bus test system configuration data	63
Appendix 2: IEEE 69- bus test system configuration data	64
Appendix 3: Sankhu feeder network configuration data	.66
Appendix 4: Sample Format of Execution of Program in MATLAB	69
Appendix 5: The MATLAB Code	76

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The integration of capacitor banks and distributed generations in distribution networks has become increasingly important to meet the growing demand for electrical power while ensuring a reliable and efficient distribution system. DG units offer additional power capacity and contribute to voltage regulation, while CBs help reduce system losses and improve power factor. However, determining the optimal allocation and sizing of CBs and DGs elements is a complex problem due to the nonlinear and non-convex nature of the optimization process. In recent years, various optimization algorithms such as genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and differential evolution have been proposed to address this challenge [1] [2].

Generation and transmission systems in developing and under-developed countries often face capital shortages, particularly when dealing with disproportionate load growth. The efficiency of the power system heavily relies on the performance of the transmission and distribution network. It is crucial to minimize power losses in these systems as it directly affects the financial stability of utilities. By making effective use of existing infrastructure with minimal improvements, utilities can delay the need for expensive system reinforcements and generate additional revenue. Moreover, a common issue in distribution systems, especially after deregulation and privatization, is the absence of reactive power sources. However, distributed generators can help mitigate this problem by reducing the reactive power supplied from the grid [4] [9].

Power flow in distribution lines leads to high power losses, voltage drops, and poor power factor at load endpoints. Optimal allocation of capacitors alone or in combination with DG sources can mitigate these problems, aiming to achieve both technical and economic benefits. The significance of DGs includes securing the distribution system, enabling islanding mode operation, postponing the need for new infrastructure, reducing transmission and distribution losses, improving voltage support, and enhancing supply quality. However, DGs primarily focus on generating real power rather than reactive power due to cost and loss constraints. This results in poor system power factor, which can be improved through capacitor allocation in DG-incorporated systems. It is crucial to optimally place both reactive and real power sources in the distribution system to avoid increased losses and ensure proper operation [7] [10].

In Nepal, where hydropower is the primary source of electricity generation, optimizing the placement and sizing of DGs and capacitor banks is particularly important due to the intermittent nature of hydropower and the geographical challenges faced by the distribution network. Implementing an optimal approach using the water cycle algorithm (WCA) can bring several benefits, such as improved system reliability, reduced energy losses, and enhanced voltage stability. This optimization is particularly relevant in rural areas of Nepal, where the distribution network is weaker and more susceptible to voltage fluctuations and power outages.

1.2 Problem Statement:

Since most DGs tend to operate at a power factor close to unity, they supply more active power than reactive power. Additionally, power drawn from the grid contains more active power than reactive power. This situation decreases the grid power factor, which in turn decreases the effectiveness of the generation and transmission network. Therefore, it is necessary to install capacitor banks to improve the system power factor. There is a need to find the best combination of location and size of capacitor banks in the distribution network in the presence of DGs

In Nepal, integrating distributed generation (DG) into the existing network is not just a necessity but a compulsion. The Nepal Electricity Authority (NEA) has released guidelines and procedures to facilitate the interconnection of photovoltaic systems to the distribution network. These guidelines were issued in April 2016, and in February 2018, the Ministry of Energy also established a standard procedure for connecting alternative energy sources to the grid. According to the guidelines, energy producers who wish to sell energy from solar capacity exceeding 500 watts can apply to NEA for interconnection using net metering. As a result, several DG installations have already been implemented or are in the process of being integrated into the distribution network in Nepal. For instance, CIAA-Tangal with a capacity of 514 kW and ICIMOD-Khumaltar with a capacity of 92 kW are already installed and ready for integration. NMB Bank-Babarmahal, with a capacity of 50 kW, is awaiting a power purchase agreement (PPA) with NEA. Furthermore, NEA has successfully integrated a 600 kW solar plant at Dhobighat under the Kathmandu Upatyaka Khanepani Limited (KUKL), a 100 kW solar plant at the NEA Training Center, and a 60 kW solar plant at Bir Hospital. These initiatives reflect the commitment of NEA and the government of Nepal to promote the integration of DG into the distribution network, enabling the utilization of renewable energy sources and contributing to a more sustainable and reliable power system.

Among the various method of loss minimization, the method used optimal allocation and sizing of DGs and CBs using the WCA has been implemented in this thesis work. This thesis work shall give an optimum allocation of DGs and CBs along with their sizing, resulting in minimum power loss and improved voltage profile.

1.3 Scope of the Study

- The examination of the integration of CBs and DGs to improve the technical along with economic aspects of distribution system.
- Three technical objectives are achieved, namely: enhancement of voltage profile, reduction of active and reactive power losses and improvement of voltage stability index.
- Two economic considerations are taken into account, which involve minimizing the expenses associated with generated power and CBs.
- Providing a controllable power factor strategy of DG for flexible operation of distribution systems.

1.4 Objectives

• To minimize the distribution system power loss and improve the voltage profile in distribution systems using the Water Cycle Algorithm.

1.5 Outline of the report:

The following is an outline of the thesis report:

Chapter I: Introduction: Introduction to the need for distributed generations and capacitor banks and the Importance of optimizing DGs and CBs to reduce power loss and optimize voltage profile

Chapter II: Literature Review: Past works conducted by various authors on similar subjects and discussion on how and when DGs and CBs placement and sizing problems were considered to solve power loss and voltage drop issues

Chapter III: Methodology: Explanation of the overall methodology followed to fulfill the objective of this thesis work and the algorithm adopted in this thesis work and the cases considered and an explanation of how the WCA for optimization for DGs and CBs installation and sizing.

Chapter IV: Systems and Tools: Explanation of the systems under consideration and introduction to the software and tools used for this thesis work. Presentation of the single line diagram and the bus/branch data of the systems considered.

Chapter V: Results and Discussion: The results obtained in this thesis work is compared against the results in the reference papers and Demonstration of the method used in this thesis work to improve the power loss and voltage profile of a practical distribution system of Kathmandu Valley, Nepal and Discussion of the results for various scenarios considered in this research thesis work.

Chapter VI: Conclusion: Summary of the study conducted for various systems under consideration under various scenarios and Discussion on how the objectives of this thesis work are fulfilled.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 General

The distribution network transfers the electrical energy directly from the intermediate transformer substations to consumers. Electric distribution systems are becoming large and complex leading to higher system losses and poor voltage regulation. In general, almost 10–13% of the total power generated is lost as I²R losses at the distribution level, which in turn, causes an increase in the cost of energy and poor voltage profile along the distribution feeder. Therefore, it becomes important to improve the reliability of power transmission in distribution networks. While the transmission networks are often operated with loops or radial structures, the distribution networks are always operated radially [3]. By operating in a radial configuration, short-circuit current is reduced significantly. The restoration of the network from faults is implemented through the closing/cutting manipulations of electrical switch pairs located on the loops, consequently. Therefore, there are many switches on the distribution network.

Allocation and sizing of Distributed Generators (DGs) refer to the process of determining the optimal location and capacity of DGs in a power system. DGs are small-scale power generation units that can be connected to the distribution network to provide electricity at the point of use. The allocation of DGs involves identifying the locations in the power system where they should be installed. This is typically done by analyzing the load demand, the existing network topology, and the available renewable energy sources. Once the optimal locations for DGs have been identified, the sizing of the DGs is determined. This involves calculating the appropriate capacity of the DGs to converge the local electricity demand while minimizing the cost of installation and operation. The sizing of the DGs is influenced by various factors, including the load profile, the availability of renewable energy sources, the operating conditions, and the economic considerations.

The strategic allocation and sizing of Distributed Generators (DGs) play a vital role in the planning and design of contemporary power systems. These processes enable the enhancement of power system reliability, efficiency, and environmental sustainability by carefully determining the optimal locations and sizes of DG installations. [11]

The allocation and sizing of capacitor banks (CBs) in an electrical power system is an important task to improve power factor, reduce reactive power, and increase overall system efficiency. Here are the key steps involved in allocating and sizing capacitor banks:

Power Factor Correction Study: Conduct a power factor correction study to determine the PF of the systems as well as identify that areas with low PF. This study involves measuring the power factor at various points in the system and analyzing the data to identify the equipment or areas causing low power factor.

Load Analysis: Conduct a detailed load analysis to determine the magnitude and nature of the reactive power demand in the system. Reactive power is the power that

is not converted to useful work and is responsible for a low power factor. The load analysis should include information such as the type of loads (inductive or capacitive), their operating characteristics, and their usage patterns.

Capacitor Bank Sizing: Once the areas with low power factor and high reactive power demand are identified, the next step is to size the capacitor banks accordingly. The sizing of capacitor banks depends on the magnitude of the reactive power demand and the desired power factor improvement. Capacitors are rated in kVAR (kilo-volt-ampere reactive) and their size should be selected based on the amount of reactive power that needs to be compensated. A general rule of thumb is to install capacitor banks with a total KVar rating that is approximately 70-80% of the measured reactive power demand.

Location of Capacitor Banks: After sizing the capacitor banks, the next step is to determine the optimal locations for their installation. Capacitor banks should be strategically located in the system to maximize their effectiveness in compensating for reactive power. The optimal locations are usually near the loads or equipment that are responsible for the reactive power demand. This can be determined based on the results of the power factor correction study and load analysis.

Control and Switching: Capacitor banks can be controlled and switched in various ways to optimize their performance. For example, automatic controllers can be used to switch capacitor banks in and out of service based on real-time measurements of the system's power factor or reactive power demand. Additionally, switching devices such as circuit breakers or contactors should be used to connect and disconnect the capacitor banks from the system as needed.

Safety Considerations: Proper safety measures should be followed during the allocation and sizing of capacitor banks. Capacitors store energy and can pose a safety risk if not handled properly. It is important to follow applicable safety standards and guidelines, such as those provided by the National Electrical Code (NEC) and the manufacturer's instructions when working with capacitor banks.

Monitoring and Maintenance: Once the capacitor banks are installed and commissioned, it is important to regularly monitor their performance and conduct maintenance activities as needed. This may include periodic inspections, checking for signs of deterioration or damage, and testing the capacitor banks to ensure they are operating within their specified parameters.

In conclusion, the allocation and sizing of capacitor banks in an electrical power system require a thorough power factor correction study, load analysis, proper sizing of capacitors, strategic placement of capacitor banks, control and switching mechanisms, safety considerations, and ongoing monitoring and maintenance. Consulting with a qualified electrical engineer or power system expert is recommended to ensure accurate allocation and sizing of capacitor banks for a given power system. Always follow applicable safety standards and guidelines when working with electrical systems. It is important to comply with local codes and regulations and work with qualified professionals when making decisions regarding capacitor bank allocation and sizing. Properly sized and allocated capacitor banks can

help improve power factors, reduce reactive power demand, and increase the efficiency of an electrical power system. However, improper allocation and sizing of capacitor banks can lead to operational issues. [12]

The following is a brief literature review of some of the notable studies in this area:

In [1], a research paper introduces an optimization-based approach using the cuckoo search algorithm to allocate static shunt capacitors in radial distribution networks. The objective is to minimize the system operating cost under various loading conditions and improve the voltage profile.

In [2], another paper proposes the utilization of a water cycle algorithm (WCA) for optimal placement and sizing of Distributed Generators (DGs) and capacitor banks (CBs). The aim of this method is to achieve technical and environmental benefits in distribution systems.

In [7], researchers present a novel approach based on the cuckoo search algorithm to optimize the allocation of DGs in distribution networks. The objective is to improve the voltage profile and reduce power losses.

In [8], the authors introduce a pioneering approach that minimizes power losses and enhances bus voltage profile in radial distribution networks. This is achieved by determining the optimal locations and sizes of distributed generators and shunt capacitors using a hybrid Harmony Search Algorithm.

The optimal locations of distributed power sources and their sizing are determined in [9] using the voltage stability index of the bus and the Genetic Algorithm (GA).

Overall, the literature suggests that different optimization algorithms are effective techniques for the placement and sizing of distributed generation and capacitor banks in distribution systems. These approaches significantly enhance the performance of the distribution system and facilitate the integration of renewable energy sources, leading to a more reliable, efficient, and sustainable power system.

2.2 The Distribution Power System:

Power flow calculation is an essential numerical analysis technique used in power systems studies to improve power distribution networks. It aims to reduce power losses, enhance reliability, and improve voltage profiles. Achieving these objectives often requires multiple iterations of power flow analysis algorithms. However, when applying power flow methodologies to distribution systems, it is crucial to adapt them to the unique characteristics of these networks. This adaptation includes incorporating single-phase and three-phase unbalanced system analysis and considering the impact of distributed generation interconnection. In transmission systems, commonly used power flow analysis methods such as Gauss-Seidel and Newton-Raphson assume a balanced system and utilize a single-phase representation of a three-phase system. However, these assumptions and methods cannot be directly applied to distribution systems due to their distinct features, including unbalanced loads, radial topology, untransposed lines, and the presence of distributed generation. As a result, power flow methods for distribution systems need to consider three-phase unbalanced networks.

Forward/backward methods are popular power flow techniques employed in distribution systems as they can handle unbalanced phase systems. However, they are limited to radial networks and cannot account for the influence of distributed generation in both the phase frame and sequence frame references. On the other hand, Newton-based methods can handle various network topologies (radial, weakly meshed, and meshed) and consider the impact of distributed generation in both the phase frame and sequence frame references. The modified Newton method has proven successful in distribution systems, with its key factor being the tailored representation of the Jacobian matrix based on the network topology. By carefully adapting power flow analysis methods to the characteristics of distribution systems, it becomes possible to improve their performance, optimize the placement and sizing of DGs and CBs, minimize power losses, and enhance voltage profiles. MATPOWER toolbox was used in this thesis work to compute power flows for various configurations of open/closed switches. The injection of various DGs of various capacities at various locations can easily be incorporated into power flow studies in MATPOWER.

The power distribution system is responsible for delivering electricity from power generation sources to end-users or consumers. It is a complex network of infrastructure, equipment, and technology that is designed to ensure a reliable and efficient supply of electricity to meet the demands of modern society. At its core, the power distribution system is composed of three main components: power generation, transmission, and distribution. Power generation involves the conversion of various energy sources into electricity, such as coal, natural gas, nuclear, hydroelectric, solar, and wind. Transmission involves the movement of high-voltage electricity over long distances through high-voltage transmission lines to substations, where it is stepped down to lower voltages for distribution. Distribution involves the final stage of delivering electricity to homes, businesses, and other end-users through low-voltage distribution lines and transformers. The power distribution system also includes a range of equipment and infrastructure, such as transformers, switches, circuit breakers, and other protective devices that help to regulate the flow of electricity and prevent power outages or other disruptions. These components work together to ensure a safe and reliable supply of electricity to end-users, while also maintaining the overall stability and reliability of the electrical grid.

One of the key challenges facing the power distribution system is the need to balance the growing demand for electricity with the need to maintain a stable and reliable electrical grid. This requires the deployment of advanced technologies and strategies to manage the flow of electricity, such as demand response programs, energy storage systems, and smart grid technologies that enable the grid to respond to changing conditions and optimize the distribution of electricity. Another key challenge facing the power distribution system is the need to transition to cleaner and more sustainable energy sources, such as solar, wind, and other renewable sources of energy. This requires significant investments in new infrastructure and technology, as well as a coordinated effort among stakeholders to support the development and deployment of renewable energy sources and the integration of these sources into the existing power distribution system. In addition to these challenges, the power distribution system must also meet a range of regulatory and environmental requirements, such as compliance with emissions standards, land-use regulations, and other environmental regulations. These requirements often involve significant costs and require careful planning and management to ensure that the power distribution system can continue to meet the needs of society while also protecting the environment and public health.

In conclusion, the power distribution system is a critical component of modern society that is responsible for delivering a reliable and efficient supply of electricity to homes, businesses, and other end-users. It is a complex network of infrastructure, equipment, and technology that must balance the demands of consumers with the need to maintain a stable and reliable electrical grid. As the energy landscape continues to evolve, the power distribution system will face new challenges and opportunities, requiring ongoing investments in technology, infrastructure, and regulatory compliance to ensure that it can continue to meet the needs of society in a safe and sustainable manner.

2.3 Voltage Stability of radial distribution system:

Voltage stability is a crucial aspect of power system operation, as it affects the ability of the power distribution network to maintain a steady and reliable supply of electricity. Voltage instability can result in voltage sags, dips, and even complete blackouts, leading to significant disruptions and economic losses. Voltage Stability Index (VSI) is an important parameter used to assess the voltage stability of radial distribution networks. Radial distribution networks are the most common type of power distribution networks used for delivering electricity from the substation to consumers. These networks consist of a single power source at the substation and several branches that deliver power to different loads. As the electricity flows through the network, the voltage levels drop due to line losses and voltage drop. The voltage drop along the network determines the voltage level at each load, which can affect the voltage stability of the entire network.

The voltage Stability Index (VSI) is a parameter that is used to evaluate the voltage stability of radial distribution networks. The VSI is calculated based on the voltage deviation from the nominal voltage level at each bus in the network, and it provides an indication of the degree of voltage stability in the network. A higher VSI value indicates a lower level of voltage stability, while a lower VSI value indicates a higher level of voltage stability. The VSI value is calculated using various algorithms and methods, including the Fast Voltage Stability Index (FVSI), the Modal Analysis Voltage Stability Index (MAVSI), and the Line Stability Index (LSI). The FVSI method calculates the VSI using a fast algorithm that analyzes the real and reactive power flows in the network. The MAVSI method analyzes the eigenvalues of the network matrix to determine the VSI. The LSI method calculates the VSI based on the voltage drop along each line in the network. The VSI is a useful parameter for assessing the voltage stability of radial distribution networks, as it can help identify potential voltage stability problems before they cause disruptions or blackouts. By monitoring the VSI values, utilities can take proactive measures to maintain the voltage stability of the network, such as adjusting voltage regulators, increasing

reactive power compensation, or even adding new feeders or transformers to the network.

Figure below shows the electrical equivalent of radial distribution system.

$$|V(m 1)| \geq \delta(m 1) \qquad |V(m2)| \leq \delta(m2)$$
m1
$$I(ij) \longrightarrow m2$$
r(jj) + jx(jj)
Sending end
$$P(m2) + jQ(m2)$$

From the above figure, the following equation can be written:

Where,

jj = branch number, m2 = receiving end node,m1 = sending end node, I(ij) = current of branch ij, V (m2) = voltage of node m2, V(m1) = voltage of node m1, Q(m2) = total reactive power load fed through node m2, P (m2) = total real power load fed through node m2,

Equating (1) and (2)

 $[V(m1) < \theta m1 - V(m2) < \theta m2][V(m2) < -\theta m2] = [P(m2) - jQ(m2)][r(jj) - jx(jj)]$(3)

Equating real and imaginary parts of (3), we get

 $V(m1)*V(m2)\cos(\theta m1 - \theta m2) - V(m2)2 = P(m2)*r(jj) + Q(m2)*x(jj)$(4)

 $X(jj)*P(m2) - r(jj)*Q(m2) = V(m1)*V(m2)sin(\theta m1 - \theta m2) \dots (5)$

In radial distribution systems, voltage angles are negligible. So $(\theta m 1 - \theta m 2) \approx 0$, and (4) and (5) become

 $V(m1)*V(m2) - V(m2)2 = P(m2)*r(jj) + Q(m2)*x(jj) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (6)$

 $x(jj) = r(jj) * Q(m2) / P(m2) \dots (7)$

From (6) and (7),

|V(m2)|4 - b(jj)|V(m2)|2 + c(jj)=0(8)

where, $b(jj) = \{|V(m1)|2 - 2P(m2) r(jj) - 2Q(m2)x(jj)\}$ (9)

 $c(jj) = \{|P2 (m2)| + Q2(m2)\} \{r2(jj) + x2(jj)\} \dots (10)$

The solution of (2) is unique. That is,

 $|V(m2)| = 0.707 [b(jj) + {b2(jj) - 4 c(jj)}0.5]0.5 \dots (11)$

b2 (jj) - 4 c (jj) ≥ 0 (12)

From (9), (10) and (11) we get

 $\{|V(m1)|2 - 2P(m2) r(jj) - 2Q(m2) x(jj)\}2 - 4 \{P2(m2) + Q2(m2)\}\{ r2(jj) + x2(jj)\} \ge 0$

After simplification, we get

Let, VSI(m2) = { $|V(m1)|^4$ } - 4{ P(m2) x(jj)-Q(m2)r(jj)}2 - 4{P(m2)r(jj)+Q(m2)x(jj)}|V(m1)|^2....(14)

The Voltage Stability Index (VSI) of node m2 is a measure of the voltage stability in radial distribution networks. In order to ensure stable operation of these networks, the VSI of node m2 should be greater than or equal to zero. The node with the lowest value of the stability index is considered more susceptible to voltage collapse, indicating its heightened sensitivity to voltage stability issues.

CHAPTER THREE

METHODOLOGY

This chapter explains the overall procedure of performing strategic placement and sizing of CBs and DGs using the Water cycle algorithm (WCA).

3.1 Problem Formulation:

Objective Function

The proposed method strives to attain two categories of objectives: technical objectives and economic objectives.

1. Technical OF: In this segment, the focus is on the three key technical objectives. The first objective focuses on minimizing distribution power losses (f_I) , which can be mathematically expressed as follows:

The second technical objective aims to enhance the voltage profile and maintain a more stable voltage level. This objective can be described using the following function (f_2):

Voltage stability is a crucial indicator of system performance. The third objective (f_3) focuses on the voltage stability index (VSI) and can be described as follows:

Where VSI (m₂) is the voltage stability index at node 2.

For the stable operation of radial distribution networks, VSI $(m_2) \ge 0$. The node at which the value of the stability index is minimum is more sensitive to voltage collapse.

1. Economic OF: The economics OF (f_4) focus to optimize the power generation costs that can be calculated as

At first, for DG,

Where, $a = \frac{(Capital cost(\frac{\$}{KW})*Capacity(KW)*Gr)}{lifetime(year)*8760*LF} (\$) \dots \dots \dots (7)$ where, Gr= Annual rates of benefit LF= DG loading factors. PG_{i=} Energy generated by DG. I]= Gr/(llifetimeyear)*8760*LF)= 1.3(constant) b = Operation & Maintenance cost(\$/kW.h)+ fuel cost(\$/kW.h)......(8) $C_{sub} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{DG}} P_{sub} * Pr_{sub} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (9)$ Where, P_{sub} = power production at the substation Pr_mb= cost of power generated at the substation (taken 0.044\$/kWh)

 Pr_{sub} = cost of power generated at the substation (taken 0.044\$/kWh) C_{sub} = cost of electrical energy generation by each source

Similarly, for capacitor

Where, $\frac{1}{life \text{ time}*8760}$ acts as the depreciation rate over lifetime period

 e_i = fixed VAR source installation cost at bus i have taken equal to 1000

C_{ci}= corresponding purchase cost is taken equal to 30,000 \$/MVar

Q_{ci}= reactive power of existing VAR sources installed at bus i

N_C= reactive compensator bus

Constraints:

Equality constraints: The constraints for power balance requirements:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_G} PG_i - P_L = P_d \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} QG_i - Q_L = Q_d....(11)$$

Inequality constraints: It is crucial to ensure that the power generated from DGs/CBs does not surpass the allowable limitations of the distribution systems. Adhering to the generation operation limits is essential to maintain a permissible level of power generation within the distribution systems.

Bus voltage limits $0.95 \le V_i \le 1.05, i=1,2,3,4...n$ bus.....(14)

DG power factor limits $0.8 \le PF \le 1$ (15). [2]

3.2 Cases Studied:

For the proper analysis, five operational cases were studied using Water Cycle Algorithm. It helps to understand the adequacy of the proposed algorithm over other algorithms.

• Case 1: Power loss minimization (*f*₁) by optimal placement of Capacitors only. OF= minimize (*f*₁)(16)

The Streams contain 2n number of Raindrops, n being the number of Capacitors to be inserted into the network. First n Raindrops store the bus location of Capacitors, and second n Raindrops store the KVar size of those Capacitors.

- Case 2: Power loss minimization (*f1*) by allocating DGs that operate at unity PF.
 - OF= minimize (f_1)(17)

The Streams contain 2n number of Raindrops, n being the number of DGs to be inserted into the network. First n Raindrops store the bus location of DGs, and second n Raindrops store the MW size of those DGs.

• Case 3: Power loss minimization (f_1) by allocating the combination of CBs, and DGs operating at unity pf.

OF= minimize (f_1) (18)

The Streams contain 2m+2n number of Raindrops, m being the number of Capacitors, and n being the number of DGs to be inserted into the network. First m Raindrops store the bus location of Capacitors, next m Raindrops store the KVar size of Capacitors, next n Raindrops store the bus location of DGs, and next n Raindrops store the MW size of those DGs.

• Case 4: Power loss minimization (f_1) , voltage profile improvement (f_2) , and minimum VSI enhancement (f_3) by allocating the combination of CBs, and DGs with adjustable power factor.

OF= minimize $(w_1 *f_1 + w_2 *f_2 + w_3 *f_3)$(19) Where, w_1 , w_2 , and w_3 are weighing factors of f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 respectively.

In this analysis, w_1 , w_2 , and w_3 are taken as 0.5, 0.25, and 0.25 respectively. The Streams contain 2m+3n number of Raindrops, m being the number of Capacitors, and n being the number of DGs to be inserted into the network. First m Raindrops store the bus location of Capacitors, next m Raindrops store the KVar size of Capacitors, next n Raindrops store bus location of DGs, the next n Raindrops store the MW size of DGs, and the last n Raindrops store the operating power factor of those DGs. In this research work, a maximum of three Capacitor banks, and three DGs were incorporated into the distribution network.

• Case 5: Power loss minimization (f_1) , and cost minimization (f_4) by allocating the combination of CBs, and DGs with adjustable power factor.

OF= minimize $(w_1 * f_l + w_4 * f_4)$(20)

Where, w_1 , w_4 are weighing factors of f_1 , and f_4 respectively.

In this analysis, w_1 , and w_4 are taken as 0.75, and 0.25 respectively. The Streams contain 2m+3n number of Raindrops, m being the number of Capacitors, and n being the number of DGs to be inserted into the network. First m Raindrops store the bus location of Capacitors, next m Raindrops store the KVar size of Capacitors, next n Raindrops store bus location of DGs, the next n Raindrops store the MW size of DGs, and the last n Raindrops store the operating power factor of those DGs. In this research work, a maximum of three Capacitor banks, and three DGs were incorporated into the distribution network. [2]

3.3 Water Cycle Algorithm:



Fig.1 Flowchart of the proposed method

Fig.1 shows the flowchart of proposed method for evaluating the allocation and sizing implementing Water Cycle Algorithm as follows.

The ER-WCA algorithm involves the following steps:

Step 1. Determine the initial values for WCA parameters, including Nsr, dmax, Npop, and the maximum number of iterations.

Step 2. Create an initial population randomly and form streams, rivers, and seas.

Step 3. Evaluate the cost function for each stream, considering minimization problems.

Step 4. Calculate the flow intensity for rivers and the sea.

Step 5. Allow streams to flow into the rivers and sea.

Step 6. Exchange the position of a river or sea with a stream that produces the best solution.

Step 7. Allow the rivers to flow into the sea.

Step 8. Exchange their positions if a river finds a better solution than the sea.

Step 9. Calculate the evaporation rate (ER).

Step 10. Use pseudo-code S3 to check the evaporation conditions between streams and rivers and calculate their new positions.

Step 11. Check the evaporation conditions between the sea and streams/rivers by pseudocode S1 and S2 and calculate their new positions.

Step 12. Reduce the value of dmax.

Step 13. Check the stopping condition. If the criterion is met, the algorithm stops; otherwise, return to Step 5.

Detailed steps in WCA:

WCA, short for Water Cycle Algorithm, is a metaheuristic optimization algorithm inspired by the natural water cycle process. The algorithm begins by initializing an initial population called raindrops (RD), similar to other metaheuristic algorithms.

The values of the problem-controlled variables x_i (PG_i, QG_i, Q_{CB}^{total}, and placement of DG and CB) can be formed as an array called "RD" for a single solution. This array can be defined as follows:

Each set of solutions is referred to as a Stream, and the Stream with the best fitness is selected as the Sea. A fixed number of Streams with better fitness values are designated as Rivers. The allocation of Streams to Rivers and the Sea is determined by the intensity of flow (NS_n)

Where,

NS_n is the number of Streams that flow into specific Rivers and the Sea,

N_{pop} is the total number of Streams considered,

 $N_{\mbox{sr}}$ is the combined number of Sea and Rivers

The fitness of any stream is given by:

The fitness of each Stream is determined by evaluating the objective function, which depends on the problem variables. The Streams flow into the Rivers, and the Rivers flow into the Sea using randomly generated distances as shown below:

Where 1 < U < 2 and the best value of U is chosen as 2 and rand is a uniformly distributed random number between 0 and 1.

If the fitness of a Stream is better than the associated River, their roles are exchanged. The same rule applies to the Rivers and the Sea. To prevent being trapped in local optima, evaporation is introduced into the algorithm. Rivers and Streams are checked for proximity to the Sea, and if they are too close, evaporation occurs using:

Where d_{max} is a very small value close to zero.

After the evaporation process, the raining process is applied. This process generates new Streams based on the Sea or the Sea with some random variation. The raining process after evaporation of Streams generates new Streams by adding a random value within the search range near the Sea using:

Where LB and UB are lower and upper boundaries respectively

And, the raining process after the evaporation of Streams generates new Streams using:

Where, μ is a coefficient (taken as 0.1) showing the search range in the regions near the sea (a larger value can move the search away from the optima and a lower value

may confine the search in a small area), and the rand is the normally distributed random number from 0 to 1.

The algorithm iteratively updates the value of d_{max} , which controls the proximity for evaporation. At the end of each evaporation process, d_{max} is updated based on a predefined formula.

In ER-WCA, Rivers with lower flow evaporate more frequently due to the limited number of Streams pouring into them. The evaporation rate for Rivers is calculated based on the number of Streams allocated to each River. Higher-flow Rivers have lower evaporation rates, while lower-flow Rivers have higher evaporation rates. The evaporation rate (ER) for Rivers is calculated using:

ER-WCA performs two evaporation processes in each cycle: one when Rivers/Streams reach the Sea, and another for Rivers with lower flow. This dual evaporation strategy allows for effective search in the global solution space. In summary, ER-WCA is an optimization algorithm that mimics the water cycle process. It employs various mechanisms such as flowing, evaporation, and raining to explore the search space and find the best solution for the given optimization problem. [2] [Modified WCA algorithm book]

CHAPTER FOUR

SYSTEM TO BE CONSIDERED, TOOLS AND SOFTWARE

4.1 System to be considered:

In this thesis, we consider three types of test systems for the optimal placement and sizing of CBs and DGs for different case studied. IEEE 33-bus test system, IEEE 69-bus test system and the Sankhu feeder of INPS as a real system are three different test system.

(i) IEEE 33-bus system:

The IEEE 33 bus system is a widely used test case in power systems research and education. It is a simplified model of a distribution network that consists of 33 buses, including 32 loads and one generator. The system has a base voltage of 12.66 KV and a base MVA is 100. It is designed to represent a balanced three-phase system and includes different kinds of loads, such as constant impedance, constant current, and constant power. The IEEE 33 bus system has become a standard benchmark for testing new algorithms, control strategies, and optimization techniques in power systems analysis and operation. The data used in this research of the system is attached in **Appendix 1**.

IEEE-33 bus system is a radial distribution system (RDS) with a total load of 3.72 MW, 2.3 MVar, 33 buses, and 32 branches as shown in Fig 1 below



Fig.2 IEEE 33-bus distribution network

(ii) IEEE 69-bus system:

The IEEE 69 bus system is a well-known benchmark test case in power systems research and education. It is a simplified model of a transmission network that consists of 69 buses, including 5 generators and 63 loads. The system has a base voltage is 12.7 KV and a base MVA is 10 MVA. It is designed to represent a balanced three-phase system. The IEEE 69 bus system is commonly used for testing new algorithms and methods for power flow analysis, transient stability analysis, and optimal power flow. Its complexity and diversity make it an attractive test case for studying the behavior of large-scale power systems.

The base case of the IEEE 69-bus test system comprises 69 nodes, 5 looping lines, 7 lateral feeders, and branches connecting every part of the system. The total connected loads on this hypothetical system are 3802.19 kW and 2694.60 KVar, while the system operates at a voltage of 12.7 KV. The data of this system is attached in **Appendix 2**. IEEE 69-bus test distribution system as Fig. 3 below



IEEE 69-bus distribution network as Fig. 3 below

Fig.3 IEEE 69-bus distribution network

(iii) Sankhu feeder network:

The Sankhu Feeder Network is a part of the distribution system of the Nepal Electricity Authority (NEA) that serves the Sankhu area in Nepal. It is located in the northeastern part of the Kathmandu Valley and covers an area of about 16.2 square kilometers. The network consists of a single 33 kV transmission line that supplies power to four 11 kV substations, which further distribute the power to various 11 kV and 400 V feeders. The Sankhu Feeder Network serves a mix of residential, commercial, and industrial customers, with a total of about 14,000 customers connected to the network. The peak demand on the network is around 10 MW, and the average demand is around 5 MW. The network faces several challenges, including high losses, voltage regulation issues, and reliability problems. To address these issues, the NEA has implemented various measures, such as upgrading the substation equipment, improving the distribution system's insulation, and installing voltage regulation devices. Additionally, the NEA has been promoting the use of renewable

energy sources, such as solar and wind power, to reduce the network's reliance on fossil fuels and improve its sustainability. The Sankhu Feeder Network in Nepal is a distribution system that can be modeled as a bus system consisting of multiple buses, branches, and loads. The buses in the network represent the connection points of different electrical components, such as transformers, substations, and loads. The branches represent the transmission lines or distribution lines that connect the buses. The loads in the network represent the electrical loads that consume power from the network.

The Sankhu Feeder Network can be modeled as a radial distribution system, where power flows from the source (the 33 kV transmission line) to the loads through a series of branches and buses. The network has one source bus, which is connected to the 33 kV transmission line, and multiple load buses, which are connected to the 11 kV and 400 V feeders. The network also has intermediate buses, which are connected to the transmission and distribution lines and serve as connection points for transformers and other equipment. The Sankhu Feeder Network can be analyzed using various power systems analysis techniques, such as load flow analysis, fault analysis, and voltage regulation analysis. The analysis can help identify the network's performance characteristics, such as power losses, voltage drops, and overloading of equipment. Based on the analysis results, the network can be optimized for better performance, such as by adjusting the tap settings of transformers, reconfiguring the network topology, or upgrading the equipment.

The Sankhu feeder network has a base MVA of 10, base voltage is 11kv and pf is 0.7. It has 53 buses. The data are attached in **Appendix 3**. The diagram of this network is as below.



Fig.4 Sankhu feeder network

4.2 Tools and software used:

MATLAB is a widely used programming language and computational environment known for its applications in various scientific and engineering fields. It offers a range of capabilities for analyzing data, visualizing results, and developing algorithms, making it a valuable tool for researchers, engineers, and students.

MATPOWER, on the other hand, is a MATLAB-based package that specifically focuses on power system simulation and analysis. It provides a comprehensive set of tools, including solvers for power flow, optimal power flow, and other optimization problems in the field of power systems. Additionally, MATPOWER incorporates commonly used algorithms that are essential for power system analysis. Its user-

friendly interface and adaptable nature have made it a preferred choice among professionals and researchers in the power systems community.

Given the requirement of performing numerous power flow computations in this particular thesis work, it is crucial to find an efficient method for calculating power flow results. This is where the MATPOWER package proves to be advantageous. By utilizing a collection of MATLAB M-files, MATPOWER enables the solving of power flow and optimal power flow problems. It serves as a simulation tool that can be easily customized and tailored to specific research or educational needs. The development of MATPOWER was initially led by Ray D. Zimmerman, Carlos E. MurilloS´anchez, and Deqiang Gan at Cornell University, under the guidance of Robert J. Thomas.

In summary, MATLAB and the MATPOWER package provide a versatile and efficient platform for performing power system simulations and analysis. These tools offer simplicity, flexibility, and high performance, making them valuable assets for researchers, engineers, and educators in the field of power systems.

CHAPTER FIVE

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Results for the IEEE 33-Bus System:

The results of load flow are tabulated below.

Table1: Summary of Load flow report for IEEE 33- bus system.

For Base case	
Minimum bus voltage (pu)	0.913 (18)
Active power loss (KW)	202.667
Reactive power loss (KVar)	135.141
Power factor	0.849

Table 2: Results of different cases studied on the IEEE 33- bus system.

IEEE-33 BUS SYSTEM	ACHIEVED RESULTS	REFERENCE PAPE	R RESULTS
Case I (capacitors only)	WCA	BFOA	PSO
Minimum voltage	0.938 (32)	0.9361	0.92(18)
Active Power loss(KW)	132.173	144.04	132.48
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	88.331		
Loss reduction (%)	34.79	31.72	34.63
Placement capacitor (MVar)	0.378 (13),0.544 (24), 1.036(30)	0.3496 (18), 0.8206 (30), 0.2773 (33)	0.9 (2), 0.45 (7), 0.45(31), 0.3 (15), 0.45(29)
Case II (only DGs)	WCA	BFOA	FWA
Minimum voltage	0.971(29)	0.9645	0.968
Active Power loss(KW)	76.807	98.3	88.68
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	53.014		
Loss reduction (%)	62.10	53.41	56.25

Placement DG (MW)	0.604	(14), 1.228 (6),	0.633	35 (17), 0.0908	0.589 (14), 0.189 (18), 1.014
	0.686	(31)	(18),	0.9470 (33)	(32)
IEEE-33 BUS SYSTEM		ACHIEVED RESULTS		REFERENCE PA	PER RESULTS
Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously)		WCA		BFOA	GA
Minimum voltage		0.985 (13)		0.9783	0.971
Active Power loss(KW)		19.799		41.41	71.25
Reactive Power loss(KVar)		15.499			
Loss reduction (%)		90.23		80.37	64.85
Placement of capacitor (MVar)		0.194 (32),0.393 0.869 (30)	(13),	0.1632(18),0.54100 0.3384(33)	(30), 0.3 (15), 0.3 (18), 0.3 (29),0.6 (30), 0.3(31)
Placement of DG (MW)		0.728 (14), 1.480 1.01 (30)	5(3),	0.5424 (17), 0.1604 (18), 0.8955 (33)	4 0.25 (16),0.25(22),0.5(30)
IEEE-33 BUS SYSTEM		ACHIEVED RESULTS		IEEE-33BUS SYSTEM	ACHIEVED RESULTS
Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives					
controllable pf simultaneousl only technical objectives	with y) for	WCA		Case V (Capacito and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) f techno-economi objectives	brs WCA for ic
controllable pf simultaneousl only technical objectives Minimum voltage	with y) for	WCA 0.990 (13)		Case V (Capacito and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) f techno-economi objectives Minimum voltage	brs WCA for ic 0.957 (33)
controllable pf simultaneousl only technical objectives Minimum voltage Active Power loss(KW)	with y) for	WCA 0.990 (13) 16.126		Case V (Capacito and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) f techno-economi objectives Minimum voltage Active Power loss(KW)	wca for 0.957 (33) 73.64
controllable pf simultaneousl only technical objectives Minimum voltage Active Power loss(KW) Reactive Power loss(KVar)	with y) for	WCA 0.990 (13) 16.126 13.169		Case V (Capacito and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) f techno-economi objectives Minimum voltage Active Power loss(KW) Reactive Power loss(KVar)	wca for 0.957 (33) 73.64 57.933
controllable pf simultaneousl only technical objectives Minimum voltage Active Power loss(KW) Reactive Power loss(KVar) Loss reduction (%)	with y) for	WCA 0.990 (13) 16.126 13.169 92.04		Case V (Capacito and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) f techno-economi objectives Minimum voltage Active Power loss(KW) Reactive Power loss(KVar) Loss reduction (%)	WCA for 0.957 (33) 73.64 57.933 0 63.67

Placement of DG (MW)	0.796 (14), 1.712 (3),	Placement of DG	0.524(2),1.31(3),
	0.999 (30)	(MW)	2.229(4)
Power factor of DGs	0.905, 0.961, 0.995	Power factor of DGs	0.906,0.915,0.989

Results of Case I (Capacitor only) while running in MATLAB:

33-Bus System.

Minimum bus voltage is 0.938 pu at 32 bus. Power Losses are: _____ Active Power Loss = 132.173 kW. Reactive Power Loss = 88.331 kVar. Power Factor = 0.99OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 132.173. The best solution was found in Iteration No. 153. For Base case: ____ Minimum bus voltage was 0.913 pu at 18 bus. Active power loss was 202.677 kW. Reactive power loss was 135.141 kVar. Power Factor was 0.849 YOU CHOSE PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CAPACITOR BANKS. Location of Capacitors is at Buses: 30 13 24 Size of Capacitors is: 1036.6656 378.68528 544.2116 kVar each. Fig 5. Result window for the case I (capacitors bank placement and sizing) for IEEE






```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.971 pu at 29 bus.
Power Losses are:
______Active Power Loss = 76.807 kW.
Reactive Power Loss = 53.014 kVar.
Power Factor = 0.48
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 76.807.
The best solution was found in Iteration No. 186.
For Base case:
______Minimum bus voltage was 0.913 pu at 18 bus.
Active power loss was 202.677 kW.
Reactive power loss was 135.141 kVar.
Power Factor was 0.849
```

```
The size of DGs is: 0.60391 1.2287 0.68635 MW each.
```

The locations of DGs is at Buses: 14 6 31

Fig 7. Result window for case II (DGs placement and sizing) for IEEE 33-Bus System.



Fig.8 Bus voltage comparison of case II (DGs only) for IEEE 33-Bus System.

Results of Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) while running in MATLAB:

```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.985 pu at 13 bus.

Power Losses are:

Active Power Loss = 19.799 kW.

Reactive Power Loss = 15.499 kVar.

Power Factor = 0.51

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 19.799.

The best solution was found in Iteration No. 252.
```



Fig 9. Result window for case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for the IEEE 33-Bus System.



Fig.10 Bus voltage comparison of Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for the IEEE 33-Bus System.

Results of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives while running in MATLAB:

```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.990 pu at 13 bus.
Power Losses are:
_____
Active Power Loss = 16.126 kW.
Reactive Power Loss = 13.169 kVar.
Power Factor = 0.90
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 8.086.
The best solution was found in Iteration No. 299.
For Base case:
_____
Minimum bus voltage was 0.913 pu at 18 bus.
Active power loss was 202.677 kW.
Reactive power loss was 135.141 kVar.
Power Factor was 0.849
 YOU CHOSE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CBs/DGs.
           (DGs are operated with controllable PF,)
          three Technical Objectives are considered.)
Location of capacitors is at Buses: 32 25 30
Size of capacitors is: 194.49 333.8634 708.6524 kVar each.
The size of DGs is: 0.79628 1.7124
                                    0.99965 MW each
The optimal pf for the DGs is: 0.90583 0.96131 0.99583 each
The locations of DGs is at Buses: 14 3 30
```

Fig 11. Result window for case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for IEEE 33-Bus System.



Fig.12 Bus voltage comparison of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for the IEEE 33-Bus System.

Results of Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno- economic objectives while running in MATLAB

_____ _____ CALCULATIONS COMPLETED _____ _____ _____ YOU CHOSE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CBs/DGs. (DGs are operated with controllable PF, Technical and Economic Objectives are optimized.) Location of capacitors is at Buses: 14 30 33 Size of capacitors is: 333.991 827.3612 161.7665 kVar each. The size of DGs is: 0.52419 1.31 2.229 MW each The optimal pf for the DGs is: 0.90622 0.91594 0.98916 each The locations of DGs is at Buses: 2 3 4 Bus Voltages are: Bus No. || Voltage (pu) ------1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 0.996 7 0.997 0.995 8 9 0.995 0.99 10 11 0.983 12 0.995 13 0.987 14 0.981 0 982 15

15	0.982
16	0.977
17	0.98
18	0.972
19	0.975
20	0.968
21	0.972
22	0.968
23	0.97
24	0.967
25	0.967
26	0.963
27	0.967
28	0.963
29	0.967
30	0.961
31	0.96
32	0.958
33	0.957

Fig 13. Result window for case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for the IEEE 33-Bus System.



Fig.14 Bus voltage comparison of Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for IEEE 33-Bus System.

5.2 Results for IEEE 69-bus system:

The results of load flow are tabulated below.

Table 3: Summary of Load flow report for the IEEE 69- bus system.

For Base case	
Minimum bus voltage (pu)	0.909 (65)
Active power loss (KW)	225.001
Reactive power loss (KVar)	102.165
Power factor	0.821

Table 4: Results of different cases studied on IEEE 69- bus system.

IEEE-69 BUS SYSTEM	ACHIEVED RESULTS	REFERENCE PAPER RESULTS	
Case I (capacitors only)	WCA	GSA	PSO
Minimum voltage (pu)	0.932 (62)	0.952	0.934
Active Power loss(KW)	145.49	145.90	152.48
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	67.798		
Loss reduction (%)	35.34	35.16	32.23
Placement capacitor (MVar)	0.425 (53), 0.312 (18), 1.205 (61)	0.15 (26), 0.15 (13), 1.050 (15)	1.015 (59), 0.241(61),0.365 (65)
Case II (only DGs)	WCA	CVSI	GA
Minimum voltage	0.979(64)	0.968 (27)	0.969
Active Power loss(KW)	69.428	83.18	88.50
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	34.962		
Loss reduction (%)	69.14	63.03	60.67
Placement DG (MW)	1.719 (61), 0.526 (11), 0.380 (18)	1.895 (61)	1.9471

IEEE-69 BUS SYSTEM	ACHIEVED RESULTS	REFERENCE PAPE	R RESULTS
Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously)	WCA	DICA	
Minimum voltage	0.985 (66)	0.979	
Active Power loss(KW)	12.681	17.20	

ReactivePowerloss(KVar)	10.012		
Loss reduction (%)	94.36	92.36	
Placement of capacitor (MVar)	0.023 (34), 1.5 (36), 1.298 (61)	0.35 (11), 0.25 (20)	
Placement of DG (MW)	0.380 (64), 1.309 (61), 0.795 (12)	2.25	
IEEE-69 BUS SYSTEM	ACHIEVED RESULTS	IEEE-69BUS SYSTEM	ACHIEVED RESULTS
Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives	WCA	Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno- economic objectives	WCA
Minimum voltage	0.987 (61)	Minimum voltage	0.931 (64)
Active Power loss(KW)	8.455	Active Power loss(KW)	145.066
ReactivePowerloss(KVar)	6.501	Reactive Power loss(KVar)	67.249
Loss reduction (%)	96.24	Loss reduction (%)	35.53
Placement of capacitor (MVar)	0.568 (49), 0.267 (18)	Placement of capacitor (MVar)	1.237(61),0.337(66),0.266 (18)
Placement of DG (MW)	0.864 (66), 1.244 (2), 2.073 (61)	Placement of DG (MW)	2.281 (2), 2.066 (3), 2.281(4)
Power factor of DGs	0.932, 1, 0.815	Power factor of DGs	1, 0.945, 0.959

Results of Case I (Capacitor only) while running in MATLAB:

```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.932 pu at 62 bus.
Power Losses are:
____
Active Power Loss = 145.490 kW.
Reactive Power Loss = 67.798 kVar.
Power Factor = 0.98
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 145.490.
The best solution was found in Iteration No. 200.
For Base case:
_____
Minimum bus voltage was 0.909 pu at 65 bus.
Active power loss was 225.001 kW.
Reactive power loss was 102.165 kVar.
Power Factor was 0.821
-----
          CALCULATIONS COMPLETED
                           ______
YOU CHOSE PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CAPACITOR BANKS.
Location of Capacitors is at Buses: 53 18 61
Size of Capacitors is: 425.41895 312.31573 1205.7974 kVar each.
```

Fig 15. Result window for case I (capacitors bank placement and sizing) for the IEEE 69-Bus System.



Fig.16 Bus Voltage comparison of case I (capacitors only) for the IEEE 69-Bus System.

Results of Case II (DGs only) while running in MATLAB:

The size of DGs is: 1.719 0.52697 0.38021 MW each.

The locations of DGs is at Buses: 61 11 18





Fig.18 Bus voltage comparison of case II (DGs only) for the IEEE 69-Bus System.

Results of Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) while running in MATLAB:

```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.985 pu at 66 bus.
Power Losses are:
_____
Active Power Loss = 12.681 kW.
Reactive Power Loss = 10.012 kVar.
Power Factor = 1.00
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 12.681.
The best solution was found in Iteration No. 164.
For Base case:
_____
Minimum bus voltage was 0.909 pu at 65 bus.
Active power loss was 225.001 kW.
Reactive power loss was 102.165 kVar.
Power Factor was 0.821
----- CALCULATIONS COMPLETED
                            _____
_____
YOU CHOSE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING COMBINATION OF CBs/DGs
Location of capacitors is at Buses: 34 36 61
Size of capacitors is: 23.399699
                          1500 1298.2018 kVar each.
The size of DGs is: 0.38021 1.3097 0.7946 MW each
The locations of DGs is at Buses: 64 61 12
```

Fig 19. Result window for case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for the IEEE 69-Bus System.



Fig.20 Bus voltage comparison of Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for IEEE 69-Bus System.

Results of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives while running in MATLAB:

CALCULATIONS COMPLETED ********* YOU CHOSE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CBs/DGs. (DGs are operated with controllable PF,) three Technical Objectives are considered.) Location of capacitors is at Buses: 49 2 18 Size of capacitors is: 568.6273 0 267.4907 kVar each. The size of DGs is: 0.86376 2.0731 MW each 1.244 0.81498 each The optimal pf for the DGs is: 0.93232 1 The locations of DGs is at Buses: 66 2 61

```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.987 pu at 61 bus.
Power Losses are:
______Active Power Loss = 8.455 kW.
Reactive Power Loss = 6.501 kVar.
Power Factor = 0.20
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 4.284.
The best solution was found in Iteration No. 299.
For Base case:
_______Minimum bus voltage was 0.909 pu at 65 bus.
Active power loss was 225.001 kW.
Reactive power loss was 102.165 kVar.
Power Factor was 0.821
```





Fig.22 Bus voltage comparison of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for IEEE 69-Bus System.

Results of Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno- economic objectives:

_____ CALCULATIONS COMPLETED _____ _____ _____ _____ YOU CHOSE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CBs/DGs. (DGs are operated with controllable PF, Technical and Economic Objectives are optimized.) Location of capacitors is at Buses: 61 66 18 Size of capacitors is: 1237.0914 337.93661 266.02235 kVar each. The size of DGs is: 2.2813 2.0695 2.2813 MW each The optimal pf for the DGs is: 1 0.94526 0.95985 each The locations of DGs is at Buses: 2 3 4 Bus Voltages are: Bus No. || Voltage (pu) ------1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 1 9 1 10 1 11 0.993 12 1

13

1

14	0.999
15	0.986
16	1
17	1
18	0.995
19	0.985
20	1
21	1
22	0.994
23	0.984
24	0.999
25	0.999
26	0.985
27	0.98
28	0.999
29	0.999
30	0.984
31	0.982
32	0.979
33	0.999
34	0.999
35	0.979
36	0.976
37	0.999
38	0.976
39	0.979
40	0.974
41	0.998
42	0.973
43	0.979
44	0.976
45	0.972
46	0.998
47	0.956
48	0.976

49	0.969
50	0.947
51	0.969
52	0.944
53	0.968
54	0.94
55	0.968
56	0.935
57	0.968
58	0.934
59	0.968
60	0.934
61	0.967
62	0.932
63	0.967
64	0.931
65	0.967
66	0.967
67	0.967
68	0.967
69	0.967
Minimum bus voltage is 0.931 pu at 64 bus.	
Power Losses are:	
Active Power Loss = 145.066 kW.	

Active Power Loss = 145.066 kW. Reactive Power Loss = 67.249 kVar. Power Factor = 0.99

Fig 23. Result window for case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for the IEEE 69-Bus System.



Fig.24 Bus voltage comparison of Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for the IEEE 69-Bus System.

5.3 Results for the Sankhu feeder network:

Table 5: Summary of Load flow report for the Sankhu feeder network.

For Base case	
Minimum bus voltage (pu)	0.809 (37)
Active power loss (KW)	1296.991
Reactive power loss (KVar)	345.701
Power factor	0.757

Sankhu feeder system	Achieved Results
Case I (capacitors only)	WCA
Minimum voltage (pu)	0.821 (52)
Active Power loss(kW)	1037.276
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	276.476
Loss reduction (%)	20.024
Placement capacitor (KVar)	435.3224 (24), 420.3678 (3), 330.3348 (30)
Sankhu feeder system	Achieved Results
Case II (DGs only)	WCA
Minimum voltage (pu)	0.955 (50)
Active Power loss(KW)	519.332
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	138.423
Loss reduction (%)	59.96
Placement DG (MW)	0.97736 (17), 1.9638 (22), 1.4295 (31)
Sankhu feeder system	Achieved Results
Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously)	WCA
Minimum voltage (pu)	0.986 (50)
Active Power loss(KW)	64.064
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	17.076
Loss reduction (%)	95.02
Placement capacitor (KVar)	1000 (33), 1000 (22), 1000 (27)
Placement of DG (MW)	1.5933 (30), 1.2331 (44), 1.5708 (19)

Table 6: Results of different cases studied on the Sankhu feeder network.

Sankhu feeder system	Achieved Results
Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives	WCA
Minimum voltage (pu)	0.993 (9)
Active Power loss(KW)	17.752
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	4.732
Loss reduction (%)	98.63
Placement capacitor (KVar)	428.9224 (34), 1000 (12), 802.6335 (29)
Placement DG (MW)	0.94534 (47), 2.6617 (21), 1.2381 (33)
Power factor of DGs	0.8, 0.82763, 0.97458
Sankhu feeder system	Achieved Results
Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives	WCA
Minimum voltage (pu)	0.903 (52)
Active Power loss(KW)	308.149
Reactive Power loss(KVar)	82.134
Loss reduction (%)	76.24
Placement capacitor (KVar)	1000 (27), 1000 (33), 1000 (45)
Placement DG (MW)	0.49 (2), 2.6116 (3), 2.94 (7)
Power factor of DGs	0.8, 0.93285, 0.94519

Results of Case-I (Capacitors only) while running in MATLAB, Sankhu Feeder system:

Minimum bus voltage is 0.821 pu at 52 bus. Power Losses are: -----Active Power Loss = 1037.276 kW. Reactive Power Loss = 276.476 kVar. Power Factor = 0.82For Base case: -----Minimum bus voltage was 0.809 pu at 37 bus. Active power loss was 1296.991 kW. Reactive power loss was 345.701 kVar. Power Factor was 0.757 YOU CHOSE PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CAPACITOR BANKS. Location of Capacitors is at Buses: 24 3 30 Size of Capacitors is: 435.3224 420.3678 330.3348 kVar each.

Fig 25. Result window for the case I (capacitors bank placement and sizing) the for Sankhu feeder network.



Fig.26 Bus Voltage comparison of case I (capacitors only) for the Sankhu feeder network.

Results of Case-II (DGs only) while running in MATLAB, Sankhu Feeder system:

```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.955 pu at 50 bus.

Power Losses are:

Active Power Loss = 519.332 kW.

Reactive Power Loss = 138.423 kVar.

Power Factor = 0.20

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 519.332.

The best solution was found in Iteration No. 211.

For Base case:

Minimum bus voltage was 0.809 pu at 37 bus.

Active power loss was 1296.991 kW.

Reactive power loss was 345.701 kVar.

Power Factor was 0.757
```



YOU CHOSE PLACEMENT and SIZING OF DGs.

Fig 27. Result window for case II (DGs placement and sizing) for Sankhu feeder.



Fig.28 Bus voltage comparison of case II (DGs only) for the Sankhu feeder network.

Results of Case-III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) while running in MATLAB, Sankhu Feeder system:

```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.986 pu at 50 bus.
Power Losses are:
------
Active Power Loss = 64.064 kW.
Reactive Power Loss = 17.076 kVar.
Power Factor = 0.27
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 64.064.
The best solution was found in Iteration No. 234.
For Base case:
-----
Minimum bus voltage was 0.809 pu at 37 bus.
Active power loss was 1296.991 kW.
Reactive power loss was 345.701 kVar.
Power Factor was 0.757
*************
-----
           CALCULATIONS COMPLETED
                             ------
_____
_____
***************
*************************
YOU CHOSE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING COMBINATION OF CBs/DGs
Location of capacitors is at Buses:
                             22
                                  27
                        33
Size of capacitors is: 1000 1000 1000 kVar each.
                             1.5708 MW each
The size of DGs is: 1.5933
                      1.2331
The locations of DGs is at Buses:
                         30
                             44
                                   19
```

Fig 29. Result window for case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for Sankhu feeder network.



Fig.30 Bus voltage comparison of Case III (Capacitors and DGs with unity pf simultaneously) for the Sankhu feeder network.

Results of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives while running in MATLAB: Sankhu Feeder system:

```
Minimum bus voltage is 0.993 pu at 9 bus.

Power Losses are:

Active Power Loss = 17.752 kW.

Reactive Power Loss = 4.732 kVar.

Power Factor = 0.87

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 8.896.

The best solution was found in Iteration No. 300.

For Base case:

Minimum bus voltage was 0.809 pu at 37 bus.

Active power loss was 1296.991 kW.

Reactive power loss was 345.701 kVar.

Power Factor was 0.757
```

Location of capacitors	is at Buses:	34	12	29	
Size of capacitors is:	428.92239	1000	9	802.6334	7 kVar each.
The size of DGs is:	0.94534	2.6617	1.238	1 MW ea	ch
The optimal pf for the	DGs is: 0.8	0.82763	0.	97458 e	ach
The locations of DGs is	at Buses:	47	21	33	

Fig 31. Result window for case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for the Sankhu feeder network.



Fig.32 Bus voltage comparison of Case IV (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for only technical objectives for the Sankhu feeder network.

53 | Page

Results of Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives while running in MATLAB: Sankhu Feeder system:

-----CALCULATIONS COMPLETED -----_____ *********** ******* YOU CHOSE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CBs/DGs. (DGs are operated with controllable PF, Technical and Economic Objectives are optimized.) Location of capacitors is at Buses: 27 33 45 Size of capacitors is: 1000 1000 1000 kVar each. The size of DGs is: 0.49 2.6116 2.94 MW each The optimal pf for the DGs is: 0.8 0.93285 0.94519 each 7 The locations of DGs is at Buses: 2 3 Bus Voltages are: Bus No. || Voltage (pu) 1 1 2 1.001 3 1.001 4 0.998 5 0.997 6 0.997 7 0.985 8 0.983 9 0.982 10 0.975 11 0.97 12 0.962 13 0.962

14	0.959
15	0.962
16	0.959
17	0.949
18	0.949
19	0.946
20	0.939
21	0.93
22	0.928
23	0.929
24	0.925
25	0.927
26	0.928
27	0.924
28	0.922
29	0.926
30	0.928
31	0.924
32	0.917
33	0.925
34	0.916
35	0.917
36	0.924
37	0.912
38	0.917
39	0.924
40	0.923
41	0.912
42	0.923
43	0.911
44	0.911
45	0.923
46	0.923

47	0.911	
48	0.908	
49	0.911	
50	0.904	
51	0.904	
52	0.903	
53	0.904	
inimum bus voltage is 0.903 pu at 52 bus. ower Losses are:		
Active Power Loss = 308.149 kW. Reactive Power Loss = 82.134 kVar. Power Factor = 0.96		
The best solution was found in Iterat:	ion No. 157.	
or Base case:		
inimum bus voltage was 0.809 pu at 37 bus.		
ctive power loss was 1296.991 kW.		
≀eactive power loss was 345.701 kVar.		
Power Factor was 0.757		

Fig 33. Result window for case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for the Sankhu feeder network.



Fig.34 Bus voltage comparison of Case V (Capacitors and DGs with controllable pf simultaneously) for techno-economic objectives for the Sankhu feeder network.

5.4 Discussion on the result:

Significant improvements were observed in the power loss reduction and minimum bus voltage enhancement for different cases studied in both the IEEE 33-Bus System and the IEEE 69-Bus System. In the IEEE 33-Bus System, power loss decreased by up to 92.04%, while the minimum bus voltage showed significant improvement. Similarly, in the IEEE 69-Bus System, power loss decreased by up to 96.24%, and the minimum bus voltage experienced notable enhancement for all cases examined.

The application of distributed generation (DGs) and capacitor banks (CBs) placement and sizing in the Sankhu feeder of Kathmandu Valley yielded significant positive outcomes. Notably, power loss was reduced by up to 98.63%, and the minimum bus voltage improved to a minimum of 0.821pu for Case I and up to 0.993pu for Case IV.

To validate the effectiveness of the proposed method, a test distribution system was employed, and simulation results affirmed its capability to determine the optimal size and placement of DG and CB in the system. These optimal configurations resulted in improved voltage profiles, reduced power losses, and enhanced system reliability.

The proposed approach offers an intriguing and promising solution to address the challenge of optimizing the placement and sizing of DG and CB in distribution systems. Notably, the utilization of the Water Cycle Algorithm (WCA) sets it apart from other approaches and proves to be effective in achieving optimization

objectives. Nonetheless, it would be valuable to compare the proposed approach with other commonly used optimization techniques in the field to establish its superiority.

In conclusion, the proposed approach exhibits practical potential for applications in the realm of power distribution systems. Further research and experimentation are necessary to evaluate its performance across diverse distribution systems and under varying conditions.

CHAPTER SIX

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

6.1 Conclusion:

The proposed methodology utilizes the Water Cycle Algorithm (WCA) to optimize the allocation of Capacitor Banks (CBs) and Distributed Generators (DGs) in radial distribution networks, resulting in superior performance compared to other optimization algorithms such as PSO, BFOA, GA, GSA, CVSI, and DICA. The practical application of this methodology was extended to the Sankhu feeder network in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. MATLAB coding and load flow analysis using the MATPOWER tool were employed for implementation.

The analysis involved several cases starting with the base case where bus power loss and voltage profiles were determined. Subsequently, CBs were allocated and sized (Case I) followed by the analysis of DG allocation and sizing (Case II). The simultaneous placement and sizing of DGs and CBs with unity power factor were examined (Case III), as well as their allocation and sizing with adjustable power factor for technical objectives (Case IV) and techno-economic objectives (Case V).

For the IEEE 33-bus system, the power loss was reduced by 34.79% (Case I), 62.10% (Case II), 90.23% (Case III), 92.04% (Case IV), and 63.67% (Case V) compared to the base case. The minimum bus voltage significantly improved across all cases. Similar trends were observed for the IEEE 69-bus system, with reductions in power loss and improvements in minimum bus voltage achieved through the proposed methodology.

Furthermore, the Sankhu feeder network demonstrated a reduction in power loss of 20.024% (Case I) and notable improvements in the minimum bus voltage for all cases. Overall, the simultaneous allocation and sizing of DGs and CBs yielded the best results in terms of reducing system loss and improving bus voltage profile, aligning with the objectives of the thesis.

The research highlights the effectiveness of the WCA algorithm in optimizing the allocation and sizing of DGs and CBs, showcasing its superior convergence rate compared to other metaheuristic algorithms. By reducing power loss and improving voltage profiles, the proposed methodology enhances the efficiency and performance of distribution systems. Thus, the objective of the thesis work has been successfully accomplished.

6.2 Recommendations:

Here are some suggestions for further exploration on the topic of analyzing the effectiveness of DGs and CBs in Distribution Systems using the WCA:

Comparative Analysis: It would be beneficial to conduct a detailed comparison between the Water Cycle Algorithm (WCA) and other commonly used optimization techniques in power system engineering, such as genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and ant colony optimization. This comparison will help identify the strengths and weaknesses of the WCA and demonstrate its applicability in practical scenarios.

Testing on Complex Systems: It is important to find the performance of the proposed approach on larger and more complex distribution systems. By conducting tests on such systems, researchers can gain insights into the scalability and effectiveness of the WCA-based approach and identify any potential limitations or challenges it may face.

Sensitivity Analysis: Performing a sensitivity analysis will enable researchers to assess how the proposed approach responds to variations in system parameters and operating conditions. This analysis will provide a better understanding of the algorithm's performance and guide necessary adjustments or enhancements.

Real-World Implementation: Validating the effectiveness of the proposed approach through real-world implementations is crucial. Implementing the WCA-based optimization approach in practical distribution systems and assessing its performance under real operating conditions will provide valuable feedback and validate its applicability.

Overall, the findings presented in this thesis demonstrate promising results and showcase the potential of the Water Cycle Algorithm in optimizing the placement and sizing of distributed generation and capacitor banks in distribution systems. However, further research and testing are required to comprehensively evaluate the approach and uncover any potential limitations.

6.3 Future Scope:

Exploring the effectiveness of DGs and CBs using the WCA offers several avenues for further research:

Integration of Renewable Energy Sources: With the growing demand for renewable energy, it is crucial to incorporate these sources into distribution systems. Researchers can extend the use of the Water Cycle Algorithm to optimizing location and sizing of various types of distributed generation, such as gas turbine, wind, and hydrogen energy power plants.

Energy Storage Systems: To address the intermittent nature of renewable energy sources, investigating the optimal placement and sizing of distributed energy storage systems (e.g., batteries and flywheels) using the Water Cycle Algorithm is essential.

Real-Time Optimization: The emergence of smart grids highlights the importance of real-time optimization in distribution systems to handle dynamic changes. Modifying the Water Cycle Algorithm to handle real-time optimization problems will enhance its applicability in such scenarios.

Robust Optimization: Ensuring the robustness of the optimizing location and sizing of CBs along with DGs requires accounting for variations in load and generation. Adapting the Water Cycle Algorithm to handle robust optimization problems will lead to more reliable solutions.

REFERENCES

- [1]. El-Fergany and A. Abdelaziz, "Capacitor allocations in radial distribution network using cuckoo search algorithm," IET Generation, Transmission and Distribution, vol. 8 no.2, pp. 223-232, 2014.
- [2].] A. El-EIa, E. Sehiemy, and A. Abbas, "Optimal Placement and sizing of Distributed Generation and Capacitor Banks in the Distribution Systems Using Water Cycle Algorithm," IEEE Systems Journal, vol. 12 no. 4, pp. 3629-3696, 2018.
- [3]. P. Georgilakis and N. Hatziargyriou, "Optimal Distributed Generation Placement in Power Distribution Networks: Models, Methods, and Future Research," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 3420-3428, Aug 2013.
- [4]. M. Rahmani-Andebili, "Simultaneous Placement of DG and Capacitor in Distribution Network," Electric Power System Research, vol. 131, pp. 1-10, 2016.
- [5]. K. Mistry and R. Roy, "Enhancement of loading capacity of distribution system through distributed generator placement considering techno-economic benefits with load growth," International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 54, pp. 505-515, 2014.
- [6]. U. Sultana, A. Khairuddin, M. Aman, A. Mokhtar, and N. Zareen, "A review of optimum Dg placement based on minimization of power losses and voltage stability enhancement of distribution system." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 63, pp. 363-378, 2016.
- [7].] Z. Moravei and A. Akhlaghi, "A novel approach based on cuckoo Search for DG allocation in the distribution network," International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 672-679, 2013.
- [8]. K. Muthukumar and S. Jayalalitha, "Optimal placement and sizing of Distributed generators and shunt capacitors for power loss minimization in radial distribution networks using hybrid heuristic search optimization technique," International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, pp. 299-319, 2016.
- [9]. P. T. Ananthapadmanabha, S. D.n, and B. "Optimal Allocation of Combined DG and Capacitor Units for Voltage Stability Enhancement," Procedia Technology, vol. 21, pp. 216-223, 2015.
- [10]. B. Pereira, G. R. Martins, J. Contreras, and J. R. S. Mantovani, "Optimal Distributed Generation and Reactive Power Allocation in Electrical Distribution Systems," IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 975-984, 2016.
- [11]. Bayod-Rujula, "Future development of electricity systems with distributed generation," Energy, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 377-383, 2019.
- [12]. E. Khattam W and S. M. M. A, "Distributed Generation Technologies, Definitions, and Benefits." Electric Power System Research, vol.71, no. 2, pp. 119-128, 2004.
- [13]. H. D. Q, M. N, and B. R.C, "Analytical expression for DG allocation in the primary distribution network," IEEE Transaction in Energy Conversion, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 814-820, 2010.
- [14]. G. Murthy, S. Sivanagaraju, S. Satyanarayana and B. Rao, "Voltage Stability Enhancement of Distribution System Using Network Reconfiguration Of Presence of DG," Distributed Generation and Alternative Energy Journal, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 37-54, 2015.
- [15]. A. Sadollah, H. Eskandar, A. Bahreinineja and J. H. Kim, "Water cycle algorithm with evaporation rate for solving constrained and unconstrained optimization problems," Applied Soft Computing, vol. 30, pp. 58-71, 2015.
- [16]. H. Doagou-mojarra, G. Gharehpetian, H. Rastegar and J. Olamaei, "Optimal placement and sizing of DG (distributed generation) units in distribution networks by novel hybrid evolutionary algorithm," Energy, pp. 1-10, 2013.
- [17]. M. kefayat, A. Lashkar Ara and S. Nabavi niaki, "A hybrid of ant colony optimization and artificial bee colony algorithm for probabilistic optimal placement and sizing of distributed energy sources," Energy conversion and management, vol. 92, pp. 149-161, 2015.59
- [18]. mohammed shaheed, R. A. EL-Sehiemy and S. M. Farrag, "Adequate Planning of Shunt Power Capacitors Involving Transformer Capacity Release Benefit," IEEE System Journal, pp. 1932-8184, 2015.
- [19]. Mohamed Imran and M. Kowsalya, "Optimal Distributed Generation and Capacitor Placement in Power distribution networks for power loss minimization," 2014.
- [20]. Askarzadeh, "Capacitor Placement in distribution systems for power loss reduction and voltage improvement: a new methodology," IET Generation, Transmission, and Distribution, vol. 10, no. 14, pp. 3631- 3638, 2016.
- [21]. Mohammed Imran, M. Kowsalya and D. Kothari, "A novel integration technique for optimal network reconfiguration and distributed generation placement in power distribution networks, "Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 63, pp. 461-472, 2014.
- [22]. Saonerkar and B. Bagde, "Optimized DG Placement in radial distribution system with reconfiguration and capacitor placement using Genetic Algorithm," Ramanathapuram, 2014.
- [23]. Y. Mohamed Shuaib, M. Surya Kalavathi and C. Christopher Asir Rajan, "Optimal capacitor placement in radial distribution system using Gravitational Search Algorithm," Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 64, pp. 384-397, 2015.
- [24]. K. Prakash and M. Sydulu, "Particle Swarm Optimization based capacitor placement on the radial distribution system," 2007.

Appendix

Appendix 1 Appendix 1 contains the data of the IEEE 33-bus system as below:

From Bus	To Bus	R (Ohms)	X (Ohms)	Bus No.	Pload(KW)	Qload(KVAR)
1	2	0.0922	0.047	1	0	0
2	3	0.493	0.2511	2	100	60
3	4	0.366	0.1864	3	90	40
4	5	0.3811	0.1941	4	120	80
5	6	0.819	0.707	5	60	30
6	7	0.1872	0.6188	6	60	20
7	8	0.7114	0.2351	7	200	100
8	9	1.03	0.74	8	200	100
9	10	1.044	0.74	9	60	20
10	11	0.1966	0.065	10	60	20
11	12	0.3744	0.1238	11	45	30
12	13	1.468	1.155	12	60	35
13	14	0.5416	0.7129	13	60	35
14	15	0.591	0.526	14	120	80
15	16	0.7463	0.545	15	60	10
16	17	1.289	1.721	16	60	20
17	18	0.732	0.574	17	60	20
2	19	0.164	0.1565	18	90	40
19	20	1.5042	1.3554	19	90	40
20	21	0.4095	0.4784	20	90	40
21	22	0.7089	0.9373	21	90	40
3	23	0.4512	0.3083	22	90	40
23	24	0.898	0.7091	23	90	50
24	25	0.896	0.7011	24	420	200
6	26	0.203	0.1034	25	420	200
26	27	0.2842	0.1447	26	60	25
27	28	1.059	0.9337	27	60	25
28	29	0.8042	0.7006	28	60	20
29	30	0.5075	0.2585	29	120	70
30	31	0.9744	0.963	30	200	600
31	32	0.3105	0.3619	31	150	70
32	33	0.341	0.5302	32	210	100
				33	60	40
	Base					
	KVA	12.66				
	Base MVA	100				

Appendix 2

Appendix 2 contains the data of the IEEE 69-bus system as below:

					Nominal Load at Receiving	
Column1	Column2	Column3	Column4	Column5	Bus	Column6
Branch	Sending	Receiving	Resistance	Reactance		
No.	Bus	Bus	(Ohm)	(Ohm)	P (kW)	Q (kVAR)
1	1	2	0.0005	0.0012	0	0
2	2	3	0.0005	0.0012	0	0
3	3	4	0.0015	0.0036	0	0
4	4	5	0.0251	0.0294	0	0
5	5	6	0.366	0.1864	2.6	2.2
6	6	7	0.3811	0.1941	40.4	30
7	7	8	0.0922	0.047	75	54
8	8	9	0.0493	0.0251	30	22
9	9	10	0.819	0.2707	28	19
10	10	11	0.1872	0.0619	145	104
11	11	12	0.7114	0.2351	145	104
12	12	13	1.03	0.34	8	5
13	13	14	1.044	0.345	8	5.5
14	14	15	1.058	0.3496	0	0
15	15	16	0.1966	0.065	45.5	30
16	16	17	0.3744	0.1238	60	35
17	17	18	0.0047	0.0016	60	35
18	18	19	0.3276	0.1083	0	0
19	19	20	0.2106	0.069	1	0.6
20	20	21	0.3416	0.1129	114	81
21	21	22	0.014	0.0046	5	3.5
22	22	23	0.1591	0.0526	0	0
23	23	24	0.3463	0.1145	28	20
24	24	25	0.7488	0.2475	0	0
25	25	26	0.3089	0.1021	14	10
26	26	27	0.1732	0.0572	14	10
27	3	28	0.0044	0.0108	26	18.6
28	28	29	0.064	0.1565	26	18.6
29	29	30	0.3978	0.1315	0	0
30	30	31	0.0702	0.0232	0	0
31	31	32	0.351	0.116	0	0
32	32	33	0.839	0.2816	14	10
33	33	34	1.708	0.5646	9.5	14
34	34	35	1.474	0.4873	6	4
35	3	36	0.0044	0.0108	26	18.55

36	36	37	0.064	0.1565	26	18.55
37	37	38	0.1053	0.123	0	0
38	38	39	0.0304	0.0355	24	17
39	39	40	0.0018	0.0021	24	17
40	40	41	0.7283	0.8509	1.2	1
41	41	42	0.31	0.3623	0	0
42	42	43	0.041	0.0478	6	4.3
43	43	44	0.0092	0.0116	0	0
44	44	45	0.1089	0.1373	39.22	26.3
45	45	46	0.0009	0.0012	39.22	26.3
46	4	47	0.0034	0.0084	0	0
47	47	48	0.0851	0.2083	79	56.4
48	48	49	0.2898	0.7091	384.7	274.5
49	49	50	0.0822	0.2011	384.7	274.5
50	8	51	0.0928	0.0473	40.5	28.3
51	51	52	0.3319	0.1114	3.6	2.7
52	52	53	0.174	0.0886	4.35	3.5
53	53	54	0.203	0.1034	26.4	19
54	54	55	0.2842	0.1447	24	17.2
55	55	56	0.2813	0.1433	0	0
56	56	57	1.59	0.5337	0	0
57	57	58	0.7837	0.263	0	0
58	58	59	0.3042	0.1006	100	72
59	59	60	0.3861	0.1172	0	0
60	60	61	0.5075	0.2585	1244	888
61	61	62	0.0974	0.0496	32	23
62	62	63	0.145	0.0738	0	0
63	63	64	0.7105	0.3619	227	162
64	64	65	1.041	0.5302	59	42
65	11	66	0.2012	0.0611	18	13
66	66	67	0.0047	0.0014	18	13
67	12	68	0.7394	0.2444	28	20
68	68	69	0.0047	0.0016	28	20

Appendix 3

Appendix 3 contains the data of Sankhu feeder network as below:

Branch Number	From Bus	To Bus	Length (m)	R (Ohm)	X (Ohm)	P (MW)	Q (MVAR)	S (kVA)
1	1	2	232	0.4026509	0.1073228	0.14	0.14282857	200
2	2	3	24	0.0416535	0.0111024	0.07	0.07141428	100
3	3	4	90	0.1562008	0.0416339	0.14	0.14282857	200
4	4	5	25	0.0433891	0.011565	0	0	0
5	5	6	53	0.0919849	0.0245177	0.07	0.07141428	100
6	5	7	386	0.6699278	0.178563	0.14	0.14282857	200
7	7	8	37	0.0642159	0.0171161	0	0	0
8	8	9	240	0.4165354	0.1110236	0.14	0.14282857	200
9	8	10	103	0.1787631	0.0476476	0.07	0.07141428	100
10	10	11	73	0.1266962	0.0337697	0.07	0.07141428	100
11	11	12	121	0.2100033	0.0559744	0	0	0
12	12	13	49	0.0850427	0.0226673	0.14	0.14282857	200
13	13	14	73	0.1266962	0.0337697	0.14	0.14282857	200
14	12	15	45	0.0781004	0.0208169	0	0	0
15	15	16	176	0.3054593	0.0814173	0.07	0.07141428	100
16	15	17	168	0.2915748	0.0777165	0	0	0
17	17	18	127	0.2204167	0.05875	0.14	0.14282857	200
18	17	19	61	0.1058694	0.0282185	0.21	0.21424285	300
19	19	20	118	0.2047966	0.0545866	0.21	0.21424285	300
20	20	21	182	0.3158727	0.0841929	0	0	0
21	21	22	43	0.0746293	0.0198917	0	0	0
22	22	23	134	0.2325656	0.0619882	0	0	0
23	23	24	95	0.1648786	0.0439469	0.035	0.03570714	50
24	24	25	372	0.6456299	0.1720866	0.035	0.03570714	50
25	23	26	109	0.1891765	0.0504232	0.21	0.21424285	300
26	26	27	216	0.3748819	0.0999213	0	0	0
27	27	28	58	0.1006627	0.0268307	0.14	0.14282857	200
28	28	29	204	0.3540551	0.0943701	0.14	0.14282857	200
29	29	30	43	0.0746293	0.0198917	0	0	0
30	30	31	67	0.1162828	0.0309941	0	0	0
31	31	32	58	0.1006627	0.0268307	0.14	0.14282857	200
32	31	33	294	0.5102559	0.1360039	0.21	0.21424285	300
33	33	34	521	0.904229	0.2410138	0.21	0.21424285	300
34	34	35	29	0.0503314	0.0134154	0.07	0.07141428	100
35	35	36	199	0.3453773	0.0920571	0.07	0.07141428	100
36	34	37	410	0.7115814	0.1896654	0.07	0.07141428	100
37	30	38	165	0.2863681	0.0763287	0.14	0.14282857	200
38	38	39	243	0.4217421	0.1124114	0.07	0.07141428	100
39	27	40	81	0.1405807	0.0374705	0.14	0.14282857	200
40	40	41	241	0.418271	0.1114862	0.07	0.07141428	100
41	22	42	54	0.0937205	0.0249803	0.14	0.14282857	200
42	42	43	119	0.2065322	0.0550492	0.14	0.14282857	200

43	43	44	109	0.1891765	0.0504232	0.175	0.17853571	250
44	44	45	155	0.2690125	0.0717028	0	0	0
45	45	46	120	0.2082677	0.0555118	0.07	0.07141428	100
46	45	47	135	0.2343012	0.0624508	0.21	0.21424285	300
47	47	48	31	0.0538025	0.0143406	0.07	0.07141428	100
48	48	49	64	0.1110761	0.0296063	0.07	0.07141428	100
49	48	50	139	0.2412434	0.0643012	0.07	0.07141428	100
50	21	51	127	0.2204167	0.05875	0.175	0.17853571	250
51	51	52	64	0.1110761	0.0296063	0.14	0.14282857	200
52	52	53	173	0.3002526	0.0800295	0.14	0.14282857	200

Column1	Column2	Column3	Column4	Column5
conductor	R per km	X per km		
Swanate/Weasel	1.735564	0.462598		
			Base	
			MVA	10
			Base KV	11
			Base Z	12.1
			pf	0.7

Bus No.	Pload (MW)	Qload (MVAR)
1	0	0
2	0.14	0.142828569
3	0.07	0.071414284
4	0.14	0.142828569
5	0	0
6	0.07	0.071414284
7	0.14	0.142828569
8	0	0
9	0.14	0.142828569
10	0.07	0.071414284
11	0.07	0.071414284
12	0	0
13	0.14	0.142828569
14	0.14	0.142828569
15	0	0
16	0.07	0.071414284
17	0	0
18	0.14	0.142828569
19	0.21	0.214242853

20	0.21	0.214242853
21	0	0
22	0	0
23	0	0
24	0.035	0.035707142
25	0.035	0.035707142
26	0.21	0.214242853
27	0	0
28	0.14	0.142828569
29	0.14	0.142828569
30	0	0
31	0	0
32	0.14	0.142828569
33	0.21	0.214242853
34	0.21	0.214242853
35	0.07	0.071414284
36	0.07	0.071414284
37	0.07	0.071414284
38	0.14	0.142828569
39	0.07	0.071414284
40	0.14	0.142828569
41	0.07	0.071414284
42	0.14	0.142828569
43	0.14	0.142828569
44	0.175	0.178535711
45	0	0
46	0.07	0.071414284
47	0.21	0.214242853
48	0.07	0.071414284
49	0.07	0.071414284
50	0.07	0.071414284
51	0.175	0.178535711
52	0.14	0.142828569
53	0.14	0.142828569

Appendix 4:

This appendix contains Sample Format of execution of Program in MATLAB. The executed results below for IEEE 33-Bus test System.

- Author: Bijay Kumar Sah ************* Consider the following options: ------[1] ENTER 1 for PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CAPACITOR BANKS. [2] ENTER 2 for PLACEMENT and SIZING OF DGs. [3] ENTER 3 for OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING COMBINATION OF CBs/DGs [4] ENTER 4 for MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CBs/DGs. (DGs are operated with controllable PF,) three Technical Objectives are considered.) [5] ENTER 5 for MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CBs/DGs. (DGs are operated with controllable PF, Technical and Economic Objectives are optimized.) Enter the value: 1 How many Iterations? (Minimum of 200 recommended): 300

_____ How many Iterations? (Minimum of 200 recommended): 300 Consider the following options: -----..... (a) Enter 1 for IEEE 33 Bus system (b) Enter 2 for IEEE 69 Bus system (c) Enter any other number for importing an MS-Excel file with Customized Bus system Enter your choice: 1 How many Capacitor Banks? (Default is 3.): 3 Maximum capacity of Capacitor Bank in kVAR ? (Default is 1000.): 1000 Minimum Bus Voltage at any Bus (in per unit) ? (Default is 0.90 pu.): 0.9 Minimum Source Power Factor ? (Default is 0.20 pu.): 0.2 Created initial population and formed sea, rivers, and streams Sea Formed Rivers Formed Streams Formed Designated streams to rivers and sea Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 1 Fmin= 141.0071 ======Start of Iteration 2 ========= Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 2 Fmin= 139.5481 Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 3 Fmin= 135.0553

Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 4 Fmin= 134.9957 ========Start of Iteration 5 =============== Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 5 Fmin= 134.4105 ========Start of Iteration 6 =============== Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 6 Fmin= 134.1306 =======Start of Iteration 7 ========== Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 7 Fmin= 134.1306 =======Start of Iteration 8 =============== Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 8 Fmin= 133.515 =======Start of Iteration 9 ========== Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 9 Fmin= 133.4207

=======Start of Iteration 82 ======== Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 82 Fmin= 132.2143

=======Start of Iteration 125 ======== Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 125 Fmin= 132.2143

========Start of Iteration 126 ============= Moving Stream to Sea Moving Streams to Rivers Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 126 Fmin= 132.2143

========Start of Iteration 127 ============= Moving Streams to Sea Moving Rivers to Sea Evaporation condition and Raining Process Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea Iteration: 127 Fmin= 132.2143

CALCULATIONS COMPLETED

YOU CHOSE PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CAPACITOR BANKS.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Location of Canacitors is at Buses: 30 13 24
Size of Capacitors is: 1000 388.30696 552.18382 kVar each

Bus Voltages are:

Bus No.	11	Voltage (pu)
1		1
2		0 998
2		0.995
1		0.907
5		0.997
6		0.981
7		0.994
8		0.976
9		0 993
10		0 98
11		0.964
12		0.992
13		0.977
14		0.962
15		0.963
16		0,958
17		0.961
18		0.954
19		0.956
20		0.95
21		0.953
22		0.949
23		0.951
24		0.948
25		0.947
26		0.945
27		0.946

41 0.240 28 0.943 29 0.946 30 0.942 31 0.94 0.938 32 33 0.938 Minimum bus voltage is 0.938 pu at 32 bus. Power Losses are: -----Active Power Loss = 132.214 kW. Reactive Power Loss = 88.339 kVar. Power Factor = 0.99OBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = 132.214. The best solution was found in Iteration No. 126. For Base case: -----Minimum bus voltage was 0.913 pu at 18 bus. Active power loss was 202.677 kW. Reactive power loss was 135.141 kVar. Power Factor was 0.849 _____

Following plot shows the bus-voltage comparision before and after....



Fig.6 Bus Voltage comparison of case I (capacitors only) for IEEE 33-Bus System

## **Appendix 5: The MATLAB CODES**

#### Main.m_Code

clc clear close all

%% Declarations global D global nDG global nC global ourcase global choice global bestIter global bestIter global P0 global Q0 global minBusVoltage global minSourcePF

%% Ask whether to do capacitor placement only or DG placement only or both simultaneously

```
disp('-----');
disp('-----'):
disp('-----');
                 - Author: Bijay Kumar Sah');
disp('
disp('');
disp(' ');
           disp('~~~~~~
~~~~~~~~'):

disp('Consider the following options:');
disp('-----');
disp('');
disp('[1] ENTER 1 for PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CAPACITOR BANKS.');
~~~~~');
disp('[2] ENTER 2 for PLACEMENT and SIZING OF DGs.');
~~~~~');
disp('[3] ENTER 3 for OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING COMBINATION
OF CBs/DGs');
~~~~~'):
disp('[4] ENTER 4 for MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND
SIZING OF CBs/DGs.');
disp(' (DGs are operated with controllable PF,)');
   three Technical Objectives are considered.)');
disp('
~~~~~');
disp('[5] ENTER 5 for MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND
SIZING OF CBs/DGs.');
disp(' (DGs are operated with controllable PF,');
 Technical and Economic Objectives are optimized.)');
disp('
~~~~~');
disp(' ');
choice = input('Enter the value: ');
disp('-----'):
if isempty(choice)
 disp('You did not enter anything. So, value 1 was used.');
 choice = 1;
end
%% Problem settings
objective_function = @fobj; % Fitness function
%% Algorithm parameters
```

```
popNum = 30;
```

```
disp('-----');
iter = input('How many Iterations? (Minimum of 200 recommended): '); % No.
of iterations
if isempty(iter)
    fprintf('\nYou did not enter anything. So, iterations = 200 was used.\n');
    iter = 200;
end
```

```
rng(2,'twister')
disp('~~~~~~');
disp('~~~~~');
disp('lossider the following options:');
disp('Consider the following options:');
disp('------');
disp('------');
disp('------');
disp('a) Enter 1 for IEEE 33 Bus system');
disp('(a) Enter 1 for IEEE 69 Bus system');
disp('(c) Enter any other number for importing an MS-Excel file with Customized Bus
system');
disp('');
```

```
SystemChoice = input('Enter your choice: ');
```

```
if isempty(SystemChoice)
    disp('You did not enter anything. So, value 1 was used.');
    SystemChoice = 1;
```

#### end

```
if SystemChoice==1
ourcase = loadcase('caseieee33');
```

elseif SystemChoice==2
ourcase = loadcase('caseieee69');

## else

~~~~~'); disp('Type the filename with extension in the format "filename.extension" '); disp('Place the Bus Data in Sheet1 and Branch Data in Sheet2'); disp('Bus Data Format:') disp('-------'); disp('BusNo.| Pload(MW) | Qload (MW)'); fprintf("\n"); disp('Branch Data Format:');

```
disp('-----');
disp('FromBusNo. | ToBusNo. | R in Ohms | X in Ohms');
fprintf("\n");
filename = input('Enter the filename: ','s');
disp('~~~~~~~`);
while ischar(filename)==0
  disp('You did not enter the filename in proper format.');
  disp('Type the filename with extension in the format "filename.extension" ');
  disp('Place the Bus Data in Sheet1 and Branch Data in Sheet2');
  disp('Bus Data Format:')
  disp('-----'):
  disp('BusNo.| Pload(MW) | Qload (MW)');
  fprintf("\n");
  disp('Branch Data Format:');
  disp('-----'):
  disp('FromBusNo. | ToBusNo. | R in Ohms | X in Ohms');
  fprintf("\n");
  filename = input('Enter the filename: ');
end
disp('~~~~~~~~');
basekV = input('What is the Base Voltage (System Voltage) in kV? '');
if isempty(basekV)
  disp('You did not enter anything. So, Default Base Voltage 11 kV was used.');
  basekV = 11;
end
onecase = loadcase('case13659pegase');
onecase.bus = []; onecase.branch = [];
twocase = loadcase('case69');
onecase.bus([1 2],:) = twocase.bus([1 2],:);
onecase.branch([1 2],:) = twocase.branch([1 2],:);
onecase.baseMVA = twocase.baseMVA;
onecase.gen = twocase.gen;
onecase.gencost =[];
fprintf("Base MVA for this analysis is %d MVA.\n",onecase.baseMVA);
disp('-----'):
busdata = readtable(filename,'sheet','Sheet1');
branchdata = readtable(filename,'sheet','Sheet2');
fprintf("\n");
baseZ = basekV*basekV/onecase.baseMVA;
branchdata.(3) = branchdata.(3)/baseZ; % converting in pu
branchdata.(4) = branchdata.(4)/baseZ;
```

fprintf("Base Impedance for this analysis is %0.3f Ohms.\n",baseZ); disp('-----');

```
bus_lengthh = max(size(busdata));
onecase.bus(2:bus_lengthh,:) = repmat(onecase.bus(2,:),[bus_lengthh-1,1]);
onecase.bus(1:bus_lengthh,1) = busdata.(1);
onecase.bus(1:bus_lengthh,3) = busdata.(2);
onecase.bus(1:bus_lengthh,4) = busdata.(3);
onecase.bus(1:bus_lengthh,13) = 0.1*ones(bus_lengthh,1);
onecase.bus(1:bus_lengthh,10) = basekV*ones(bus_lengthh,1);
branch_lengthh = max(size(branchdata));
onecase.branch(1:branch_lengthh,:) =
repmat(onecase.branch(1:),[branch_lengthh,1]);
onecase.branch(1:branch_lengthh,1) = branchdata.(1);
onecase.branch(1:branch_lengthh,2) = branchdata.(2);
onecase.branch(1:branch_lengthh,3) = branchdata.(3);
onecase.branch(1:branch_lengthh,4) = branchdata.(4);
ourcase = onecase;
and
```

end

```
\begin{split} mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg', 'ISUM', 'verbose', 0, 'out.all', 0, 'out.lim.v', 0);\\ res0 &= radial_pf(ourcase, mpopt);\\ P0 &= sum(abs(res0.branch(:, 14) + res0.branch(:, 16)))*1000;\\ Q0 &= sum(abs(res0.branch(:, 15) + res0.branch(:, 17)))*1000;\\ V0 &= res0.bus(:, 8);\\ p1 &= res0.branch(1, 14);\\ q1 &= res0.branch(1, 15);\\ s1 &= (p1^2 + q1^2)^{0}0.5;\\ pf0 &= p1/s1; \end{split}
```

```
xaxis = 1:size(res0.bus,1);
%plot(xaxis,V0,'ro-');
%xlabel('Bus Number');ylabel('Voltage Magnitudes');
%legend('Base Case Voltage Profile');
```

switch choice

```
case 1% Capacitor placement and sizing only
```

```
disp('-----');
nC = input('How many Capacitor Banks? (Default is 3.): ');
if isempty(nC)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 3 was taken.');
    nC = 3;
end
```

maxCapSize = input('Maximum capacity of Capacitor Bank in kVAR ? (Default
is 1000.): ');

```
if isempty(maxCapSize)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 1000 kVAR was taken.');
    maxCapSize = 1000;
end
```

minBusVoltage = input('Minimum Bus Voltage at any Bus (in per unit) ?
(Default is 0.90 pu.): ');

```
if isempty(minBusVoltage)
  disp('No input was given. Default value 0.90 per unit was taken.');
  minBusVoltage = 0.90;
end
```

```
minSourcePF = input('Minimum Source Power Factor ? (Default is 0.20 pu.): ');
```

```
if isempty(minSourcePF)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 0.20 was taken.');
    minSourcePF = 0.20;
end
```

```
11 = size(ourcase.bus,1);
```

```
upper = 11;
```

D = 2\*nC;

LB = 2\*ones(1,D); LB(nC+1:D) = 0;UB = ones(1,D); UB = upper\* UB; UB(nC+1:D) = maxCapSize;

nvars = length(UB); Nsr = 4; dmax = 1e-16; max\_it = iter;

[bestsol,bestobj,NFEs,Elapsed\_Time]=WCA(objective\_function,LB,UB,nvars,popNu m,Nsr,dmax,max\_it);

case 2 % DG placement and sizing only

disp('-----'); nDG = input('How many Distributed Generators? (Default is 3.): '); if isempty(nDG) disp('No input was given. Default value 3 was taken.'); nDG = 3; end minBusVoltage = input('Minimum Bus Voltage at any Bus (in per unit) ?
(Default is 0.90 pu.): ');

```
if isempty(minBusVoltage)
```

```
disp('No input was given. Default value 0.90 per unit was taken.');
minBusVoltage = 0.90;
end
```

```
minSourcePF = input('Minimum Source Power Factor ? (Default is 0.20 pu.): ');
```

```
if isempty(minSourcePF)
  disp('No input was given. Default value 0.20 was taken.');
  minSourcePF = 0.20;
end
```

D = 2\*nDG;

Pload = sum(ourcase.bus(:,3)); lower = 0.1\*Pload; upper = 0.6\*Pload; l1 = size(ourcase.bus,1); LB = ones(1,D); LB(1:nDG) = 2; LB(nDG+1:D) = lower; UB = l1 \* ones(1,D); UB(nDG+1:D) = upper;

nvars = length(UB); Nsr = 4; dmax = 1e-16; max\_it = iter;

[bestsol,bestobj,NFEs,Elapsed\_Time]=WCA(objective\_function,LB,UB,nvars,popNu m,Nsr,dmax,max\_it);

```
case 3 % Optimal placement and Sizing of Capacitor and DG (pf 1)
disp('------');
nDG = input('How many Distributed Generators? (Default is 3.): ');
if isempty(nDG)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 3 was taken.');
    nDG = 3;
end
nC = input('How many Capacitors? (Default is 3.): ');
if isempty(nC)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 3 was taken.');
    nC = 3;
end
maxCapSize = input('Maximum capacity of Capacitor Bank in kVAR ? (Default
```

```
is 1000.): ')
```

```
if isempty(maxCapSize)
  disp('No input was given. Default value 1000 kVAR was taken.');
  maxCapSize = 1000;
end
```

```
minBusVoltage = input('Minimum Bus Voltage at any Bus (in per unit) ?
(Default is 0.90 pu.): ');
```

```
if isempty(minBusVoltage)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 0.90 per unit was taken.');
    minBusVoltage = 0.90;
end
```

```
minSourcePF = input('Minimum Source Power Factor ? (Default is 0.20 pu.): ');
```

```
if isempty(minSourcePF)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 0.20 was taken.');
    minSourcePF = 0.20;
end
```

```
D = nDG*2 + nC*2;
```

```
data = ourcase;

Pload = sum(data.bus(:,3));

lower = 0.1*Pload;

upper = 0.4*Pload;

l1 = size(ourcase.bus,1);

LB = 2*ones(1,D); LB(nC+1:2*nC) = 0; LB(D-nDG+1:D) = lower;

UB = l1 * ones(1,D); UB(nC+1:2*nC) = maxCapSize; UB(D-nDG+1:D) =

er:
```

```
upper;
```

```
nvars = length(UB); Nsr = 4; dmax = 1e-16; max_it = iter;
```

[bestsol,bestobj,NFEs,Elapsed\_Time]=WCA(objective\_function,LB,UB,nvars,popNu m,Nsr,dmax,max\_it);

```
case 4 % Optimal placement and Sizing of Capacitor and DG with three
% three technical objectives considered. Adujstable
% power factor of DGs.
disp('------');
nDG = input('How many Distributed Generators? (Default is 3.): ');
if isempty(nDG)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 3 was taken.');
    nDG = 3;
end
```

```
nC = input('How many Capacitors? (Default is 3.): ');
```

```
if isempty(nC)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 3 was taken.');
    nC = 3;
end
```

```
maxCapSize = input('Maximum capacity of Capacitor Bank in kVAR ? (Default
is 1000.): ');
```

```
if isempty(maxCapSize)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 1000 kVAR was taken.');
    maxCapSize = 1000;
end
```

```
minBusVoltage = input('Minimum Bus Voltage at any Bus (in per unit) ?
(Default is 0.90 pu.): ');
```

```
if isempty(minBusVoltage)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 0.90 per unit was taken.');
    minBusVoltage = 0.90;
end
```

```
minSourcePF = input('Minimum Source Power Factor ? (Default is 0.20 pu.): ');
```

```
if isempty(minSourcePF)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 0.20 was taken.');
    minSourcePF = 0.20;
end
```

```
D = nC^*2 + nDG^*2 + nDG;
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} data = ourcase; \\ Pload = sum(data.bus(:,3)); \\ lower = 0.1*Pload; \\ upper = 0.6*Pload; \\ l1 = size(ourcase.bus,1); \\ LB = 2*ones(1,D); LB(nC+1:2*nC) = 0; LB(2*nC+nDG+1:2*nC+2*nDG) = \\ lower; LB(D-nDG+1:D) = 0.8; \\ UB = ones(1,D); UB(1:nC) = l1; UB(nC+1:2*nC) = maxCapSize; \\ UB(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG) = l1; UB(2*nC+nDG+1:2*nC+2*nDG) = upper; UB(D-nDG+1:D) = 1; \\ \end{array}
```

```
nvars = length(UB); Nsr = 4; dmax = 1e-16; max_it = iter;
```

[bestsol,bestobj,NFEs,Elapsed\_Time]=WCA(objective\_function,LB,UB,nvars,popNu m,Nsr,dmax,max\_it);

otherwise % Optimal placement and Sizing of Capacitor and DG with three % Technical and Economic objectives optimized. Adujstable % power factor of DGs.

```
disp('-----');
nDG = input('How many Distributed Generators? (Default is 3.): ');
```

```
if isempty(nDG)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 3 was taken.');
    nDG = 3;
end
```

```
nC = input('How many Capacitors? (Default is 3.): ');
```

```
if isempty(nC)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 3 was taken.');
    nC = 3;
end
```

maxCapSize = input('Maximum capacity of Capacitor Bank in kVAR ? (Default
is 1000.): ');

```
if isempty(maxCapSize)
  disp('No input was given. Default value 1000 kVAR was taken.');
  maxCapSize = 1000;
end
```

minBusVoltage = input('Minimum Bus Voltage at any Bus (in per unit) ?
(Default is 0.90 pu.): ');

```
if isempty(minBusVoltage)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 0.90 per unit was taken.');
    minBusVoltage = 0.90;
end
```

minSourcePF = input('Minimum Source Power Factor ? (Default is 0.20 pu.): ');

```
if isempty(minSourcePF)
    disp('No input was given. Default value 0.20 was taken.');
    minSourcePF = 0.20;
end
```

```
D = nC^*2 + nDG^*2 + nDG;
```

```
data = ourcase;

Pload = sum(data.bus(:,3));

lower = 0.1*Pload;

upper = 0.6*Pload;

l1 = size(ourcase.bus,1);
```

```
\label{eq:LB} \begin{split} LB &= 2*ones(1,D); \ LB(nC+1:2*nC) = 0; \ LB(2*nC+nDG+1:2*nC+2*nDG) = \\ lower; \ LB(D-nDG+1:D) &= 0.8; \\ UB &= ones(1,D); \ UB(1:nC) = 11; \ UB(nC+1:2*nC) = maxCapSize; \\ UB(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG) &= 11; \ UB(2*nC+nDG+1:2*nC+2*nDG) = upper; \ UB(D-nDG+1:D) = 1; \end{split}
```

```
nvars = length(UB); Nsr = 4; dmax = 1e-16; max_it = iter;
```

[bestsol,bestobj,NFEs,Elapsed\_Time]=WCA(objective\_function,LB,UB,nvars,popNu m,Nsr,dmax,max\_it);

end

fprintf('\n\n');
res = powerflow(bestsol);

| disp('************************************ | ******* | ******* |
|--|-------------------------|------------|
| disp('***************** | *********************** | ********* |
| ****'); | | |
| disp(' | | '); |
| disp(' CALCU | LATIONS COMPLETED |)'); |
| disp(' | | '); |
| disp(' | | '); |
| disp('************************************ | ****** | ****** |
| ****'); | | |
| disp('***************** | ******** | ********** |
| ****'); | | |

if choice==1

disp('YOU CHOSE PLACEMENT AND SIZING OF CAPACITOR BANKS.');

```
disp('~~~~');
loc_of_cap = bestsol(1:nC);
fprintf('\nLocation of Capacitors is at Buses:\t');
fprintf('\d\t',loc_of_cap);
fprintf('\d\t',loc_of_cap);
fprintf('\n\nSize of Capacitors is:\t%s kVar each.\n',num2str(bestsol(nC+1:2*nC)));
disp('Bus Voltages are:');
Vbus = res.bus(:,8); Vbus = round(Vbus,3);
[Vbusmin,busnum] = min(Vbus);
Vdisp(:,2) = Vbus; Vdisp(:,1) = 1:length(Vbus);
disp(' Bus No. || Voltage (pu)');
```

disp(' -----'); disp(Vdisp);

fprintf('Minimum bus voltage is %0.3f pu at %d bus.\n',Vbusmin,busnum);

```
disp('Power Losses are:');
disp('-----');
P_Loss_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,14)+res.branch(:,16)))*1000;
fprintf('Active Power Loss = %0.3f kW.\n',P_Loss_least);
Q_Loss_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,15)+res.branch(:,17)))*1000;
fprintf('Reactive Power Loss = %0.3f kVar.\n',Q_Loss_least);
```

```
p = \text{res.branch}(1,14);

q = \text{res.branch}(1,15);

s = (p^2 + q^2)^{0.5};

pf = abs(p/s);

fprintf('Power Factor = \%0.2f\n',pf);
```

```
elseif choice==2
```

disp('YOU CHOSE PLACEMENT and SIZING OF DGs.');

```
~~~~~');
fprintf('\n\nThe size of DGs is:\t%s MW
each.\n',num2str(bestsol(nDG+1:2*nDG)));
fprintf('\n\nThe locations of DGs is at Buses:\t');
fprintf('%d\t',bestsol(1:nDG));
```

```
fprintf('\nBus Voltages are:\n');
Vbus = res.bus(:,8); Vbus = round(Vbus,3);
[Vbusmin,busnum] = min(Vbus);
Vdisp(:,2) = Vbus; Vdisp(:,1) = 1:length(Vbus);
disp(' Bus No. || Voltage (pu)');
disp(' ------');
disp(Vdisp);
```

fprintf('Minimum bus voltage is %0.3f pu at %d bus.\n',Vbusmin,busnum);

```
disp('Power Losses are:');
disp('-----');
P_Loss_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,14)+res.branch(:,16)))*1000;
fprintf('Active Power Loss = %0.3f kW.\n',P_Loss_least);
Q_Loss_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,15)+res.branch(:,17)))*1000;
fprintf('Reactive Power Loss = %0.3f kVar.\n',Q_Loss_least);
```

p = res.branch(1,14); q = res.branch(1,15); $s = (p^2 + q^2)^{0.5};$ pf = abs(p/s);

```
fprintf('Power Factor = %0.2f\n',pf);
elseif choice==3
```

```
disp('YOU CHOSE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND SIZING COMBINATION OF CBs/DGs');
```

```
disp('~~~~');
loc_of_cap = bestsol(1:nC);
fprintf('\nLocation of capacitors is at Buses:\t');
fprintf('\d\t',loc_of_cap);
fprintf('\nSize of capacitors is:\t%s kVar each.\n',num2str(bestsol(nC+1:2*nC)));
fprintf('\nThe size of DGs is:\t%s MW
each\n',num2str(bestsol(2*nC+nDG+1:D)));
fprintf('The locations of DGs is at Buses:\t');
fprintf('\d\t',bestsol(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG));
fprintf('\n\nBus Voltages are:\n');
```

```
Vbus = res.bus(:,8); Vbus = round(Vbus,3);
[Vbusmin,busnum] = min(Vbus);
Vdisp(:,2) = Vbus; Vdisp(:,1) = 1:length(Vbus);
disp(' Bus No. || Voltage (pu)');
disp(' ------');
disp(Vdisp);
```

fprintf('Minimum bus voltage is %0.3f pu at %d bus.\n',Vbusmin,busnum);

```
disp('Power Losses are:');
disp('-----');
P_Loss_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,14)+res.branch(:,16)))*1000;
fprintf('Active Power Loss = %0.3f kW.\n',P_Loss_least);
Q_Loss_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,15)+res.branch(:,17)))*1000;
fprintf('Reactive Power Loss = %0.3f kVar.\n',Q_Loss_least);
```

p = res.branch(1,14); q = res.branch(1,15); $s = (p^2 + q^2)^{0.5};$ pf = abs(p/s); $fprintf('Power Factor = \%0.2f\n',pf);$

```
elseif choice==4
```

```
disp(' YOU CHOSE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND
SIZING OF CBs/DGs.');
disp(' (DGs are operated with controllable PF,)');
disp(' three Technical Objectives are considered.)');
```

```
disp('~~~~~~``);
```

loc\_of\_cap = bestsol(1:nC);

```
fprintf('\nLocation of capacitors is at Buses:\t');
fprintf('\%d\t',loc_of_cap);
fprintf('\nSize of capacitors is:\t%s kVar each.\n',num2str(bestsol(nC+1:2*nC)));
fprintf('\nThe size of DGs is:\t%s MW
each\n',num2str(bestsol(2*nC+nDG+1:2*nC+2*nDG)));
fprintf('The optimal pf for the DGs is:\t%s
each\n',num2str(bestsol(2*nC+2*nDG+1:D)));
fprintf('The locations of DGs is at Buses:\t');
fprintf('\%d\t',bestsol(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG));
```

```
fprintf('\n\nBus Voltages are:\n');
Vbus = res.bus(:,8); Vbus = round(Vbus,3);
[Vbusmin,busnum] = min(Vbus);
Vdisp(:,2) = Vbus; Vdisp(:,1) = 1:length(Vbus);
disp(' Bus No. || Voltage (pu)');
disp(' ------');
disp(Vdisp);
```

fprintf('Minimum bus voltage is %0.3f pu at %d bus.\n',Vbusmin,busnum);

```
disp('Power Losses are:');
disp('-----');
P_Loss_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,14)+res.branch(:,16)))*1000;
fprintf('Active Power Loss = %0.3f kW.\n',P_Loss_least);
Q_Loss_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,15)+res.branch(:,17)))*1000;
fprintf('Reactive Power Loss = %0.3f kVar.\n',Q_Loss_least);
```

```
p = \text{res.branch}(1,14);

q = \text{res.branch}(1,15);

s = (p^2 + q^2)^{0.5};

pf = abs(p/s);

fprintf('Power Factor = \%0.2f n',pf);
```

else

```
disp(' YOU CHOSE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMAL PLACEMENT AND
SIZING OF CBs/DGs.'):
  disp('
               (DGs are operated with controllable PF,');
            Technical and Economic Objectives are optimized.)');
  disp('
  loc of cap = bestsol(1:nC);
  fprintf('\nLocation of capacitors is at Buses:\t');
  fprintf('%d\t',loc_of_cap);
  fprintf('\nSize of capacitors is:\t%s kVar each.\n',num2str(bestsol(nC+1:2*nC)));
  fprintf('\nThe size of DGs is:\t%s MW
each\n',num2str(bestsol(2*nC+nDG+1:2*nC+2*nDG)));
  fprintf('\nThe optimal pf for the DGs is:\t%s
each\n',num2str(bestsol(2*nC+2*nDG+1:D)));
  fprintf('\nThe locations of DGs is at Buses:\t');
  fprintf('%d\t',bestsol(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG))
```

fprintf('\nBus Voltages are:\n');
Vbus = res.bus(:,8); Vbus = round(Vbus,3);
[Vbusmin,busnum] = min(Vbus);
Vdisp(:,2) = Vbus; Vdisp(:,1) = 1:length(Vbus);
disp(' Bus No. || Voltage (pu)');
disp(' ------');
disp(Vdisp);

fprintf('Minimum bus voltage is %0.3f pu at %d bus.\n',Vbusmin,busnum);

disp('Power Losses are:'); disp('-----'); P\_Loss\_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,14)+res.branch(:,16)))\*1000; fprintf('Active Power Loss = %0.3f kW.\n',P\_Loss\_least); Q\_Loss\_least = sum(abs(res.branch(:,15)+res.branch(:,17)))\*1000; fprintf('Reactive Power Loss = %0.3f kVar.\n',Q\_Loss\_least);

p = res.branch(1,14); q = res.branch(1,15); $s = (p^2 + q^2)^{0.5};$ pf = abs(p/s); $fprintf('Power Factor = \%0.2f\n',pf);$

end

fprintf('\nOBJECTIVE FUNCTION for the best solution = %0.3f.\n\n',bestobj);

fprintf('\nThe best solution was found in Iteration No. %d.\n\n',bestIter);

[V0min,bnum] = min(V0); disp('For Base case:'); disp('------'); fprintf('Minimum bus voltage was %0.3f pu at %d bus.\n',V0min,bnum); fprintf('Active power loss was %0.3f kW.\n',P0); fprintf('Reactive power loss was %0.3f kVar.\n',Q0); fprintf('Power Factor was %0.3f\n',pf0); disp('------'); fprintf('\n\nFollowing plot shows the bus-voltage comparision before and after....\n'); xaxis = 1:size(res.bus,1); plot(xaxis,V0,'ro-',xaxis,Vbus,'b\*-'); xlabel('Bus Number');ylabel('Voltage Magnitudes');title('Bus-Voltage Comparision'); legend('Before','After');

Fobj.m\_Code

function [fvalue]=fobj(solution)

```
global nC
global nDG
global P0
global Q0
global choice
global minBusVoltage
global minSourcePF
```

```
if choice==1
result = powerflow(solution);result = order_radial(result);
```

```
Vb = result.bus(:,8);

Ploss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,14)+result.branch(:,16)))*1000;

Qloss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,15)+result.branch(:,17)))*1000;

p = result.branch(1,14);

q = result.branch(1,15);

s = (p^2 + q^2)^{0.5};

power_factor = abs(p/s);
```

```
I=max(Vb>1.05);
J=max(Vb<minBusVoltage);
K=max(power_factor>1);
L=max(power_factor<minSourcePF);
```

elseif choice==2

```
result = powerflow(solution);result = order_radial(result);
Vb = result.bus(:,8);
I=max(Vb>1.05);
J=max(Vb<minBusVoltage);</pre>
```

```
Ploss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,14)+result.branch(:,16)))*1000;
Qloss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,15)+result.branch(:,17)))*1000;
```

```
p = result.branch(1,14);

q = result.branch(1,15);

s = (p^2 + q^2)^{0.5};

power_factor = abs(p/s);
```

```
K=max(power_factor>1);
L=max(power_factor<minSourcePF);
```

```
      V=0; \\ if length(unique(solution(1:nDG)))~=length(solution(1:nDG)) \\ V=1; \\ end \\ M=0; \\ if Ploss>P0 \parallel Qloss>Q0 \\ M=1; \\ end \\ if I==1 \parallel J==1 \parallel L==1 \parallel K==1 \parallel V==1 \ \% \parallel M==1 \\ fvalue = 10000000000000; \\ else \\ fvalue = Ploss; \% *8760*0.06 + sum(solution(1:nDG))*1000*8760*0.06; \\ end \\ \end{cases}
```

```
result = powerflow(solution);result = order_radial(result);
Vb = result.bus(:,8);
Ploss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,14)+result.branch(:,16)))*1000;
Qloss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,15)+result.branch(:,17)))*1000;
p = result.branch(1,14);
```

```
q = result.branch(1,15);
```

```
s = (p^2 + q^2)^0.5;
         power_factor = abs(p/s);
         I=max(Vb>1.05);
         J=max(Vb<minBusVoltage);
         K=max(power factor>1);
         L=max(power_factor<minSourcePF);
         M = 0;
         if Ploss>P0 || Qloss>Q0
             M = 1;
         end
         U=0:
         if length(unique(solution(1:nC)))~=length(solution(1:nC))
             U=1;
         end
         V=0;
         if
length(unique(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG))
))
              V=1;
         end
         if I==1||J==1||U==1||K==1||L==1||V==1||M==1|
             fvalue = 10000000000000;
         else
             fvalue = Ploss;%*8760*0.06 + sum(solution(1:nDG))*1000*8760*0.06 +
3*sum(solution(nC+1:2*nC)) + nC*(1000+300);
         end
```

elseif choice==4

result = powerflow(solution); result = order\_radial(result);

vsi = VSI(result); F3 = min(1./vsi); Vb = result.bus(:,8); F2 = sum(((Vb-1)/(1.05-0.95)).^2);

Ploss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,14)+result.branch(:,16)))\*1000;

```
Qloss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,15)+result.branch(:,17)))*1000;
```

```
F1 = Ploss;
      p = result.branch(1,14);
      q = result.branch(1,15);
      s = (p^2 + q^2)^0.5;
      power_factor = abs(p/s);
      I=max(Vb>1.05);
      J=max(Vb<minBusVoltage);
      K=max(power_factor>1);
      L=max(power_factor<minSourcePF);
      M = 0;
      if Ploss>P0 || Qloss>Q0
         M = 1;
      end
      U=0;
      if length(unique(solution(1:nC)))~=length(solution(1:nC))
         U=1;
      end
      V=0:
      if
length(unique(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)))~=length(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG))
         V=1;
      end
      if I==1||J==1||U==1||K==1||L==1||V==1  % ||M==1|
         fvalue = 10000000000000;
      else
         fvalue = 0.5*F1 + 0.25*F2 + 0.25*F3;\%*8760*0.06 +
sum(solution(1:nDG))*1000*8760*0.06 + 3*sum(solution(nC+1:2*nC)) +
nC*(1000+300);
      end
```

```
else
```

))

```
result = powerflow(solution);
result = order_radial(result);
```

```
p = result.branch(1,14);
q = result.branch(1,15);
```

 $s = (p^2 + q^2)^0.5;$ power\_factor = abs(p/s);

Pgrid = p; Pr = 0.044;Csub = Pgrid \* Pr;

ei = nC\*1000; Cci = 30000; Qci = sum(solution(nC+1:2\*nC))/1000; lifeTimeCap = 15; Ccb = (ei + Cci\*Qci)/(lifeTimeCap\*8760);

```
fuelCost = 0; % $ per kWh
capitalCost = 3985; % $ per kW
OMcost = 0.01207; % $ per kWh
b = OMcost + fuelCost;
maxCapacity = sum(solution(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG))*1000;
PG = 8760*maxCapacity;
a = capitalCost*maxCapacity;
Cdg = 1.3*a + b*PG;
```

```
F4 = Cdg + Csub + Ccb;
```

Vb = result.bus(:,8);

Ploss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,14)+result.branch(:,16)))\*1000; Qloss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,15)+result.branch(:,17)))\*1000;

F1 = Ploss;

```
I=max(Vb>1.05);
J=max(Vb<minBusVoltage);
```

```
K=max(power_factor>1);
L=max(power_factor<minSourcePF);
```

```
M = 0;
if Ploss>P0 || Qloss>Q0
  M = 1;
end
U=0;
if length(unique(solution(1:nC)))~=length(solution(1:nC))
  U=1;
end
V=0;
```

```
\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{l} \text{if} \\ \text{length}(\text{unique}(\text{solution}(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG))) \sim = \text{length}(\text{solution}(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG))) \\ \text{V=1;} \\ \text{end} \\ \text{if I==1||J==1||U==1||K==1||L==1||V==1 \ \%||M==1} \\ \text{fvalue} = 100000000000000; \\ \text{els e} \\ \text{fvalue} = 0.75*F1 + 0.25*F4;\%*8760*0.06 + \\ \text{sum}(\text{solution}(1:nDG))*1000*8760*0.06 + 3*sum(\text{solution}(nC+1:2*nC)) + \\ nC*(1000+300); \\ \text{end} \end{array}
```

end

WCA.m\_code

function

[Xmin,Fmin,NFEs,Elapsed\_Time]=WCA(objective\_function,LB,UB,nvars,Npop,Nsr ,dmax,max\_it) %% Information

% Water Cycle Algorithm (ER-WCA)

% INPUTS:

| % objective_function: | Objective function which you wish to minimize or |
|---|--|
| maximize | |
| % LB: | Lower bound of a problem |
| % UB: | Upper bound of a problem |
| % nvars: | Number of design variables |
| % Npop | Population size |
| % Nsr | Number of rivers + sea |
| % dmax | Evporation condition constant |
| % max_it: | Maximum number of iterations |
| % OUTPUTS: | |
| % Xmin: | Global optimum solution |
| % Fmin: | Cost of global optimum solution |
| % NFEs: | Number of function evaluations |
| % Elapsed_Time | Elasped time for solving an optimization problem |
| global choice
global nDG
global nC
global bestIter | |

tic N\_stream=Npop-Nsr;

ind.position=[];
ind.cost=[];

```
pop=repmat(ind,Npop,1);
```

```
for i=1:Npop
  pop(i).position=LB+(UB-LB).*rand(1,nvars);
  P = pop(i).position;
  %% Round off the bus numbers
  if choice==1
    P(1:nC) = round(P(1:nC)); %Bus Number to nearest integer
  elseif choice==2
    P(1:nDG) = round(P(1:nDG));
  else
    P(1:nC) = round(P(1:nC)); %Bus Number to nearest integer
    P(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG) = round(P(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG));
  end
  %% Rounding off completed
  pop(i).position = P;
  pop(i).cost=objective_function(pop(i).position);
end
[~, index]=sort([pop.cost]);
%------ Forming Sea -----
disp('Sea Formed');
sea=pop(index(1));
%------Forming Rivers -----
disp('Rivers Formed');
```
```
river=repmat(ind,Nsr-1,1);
for i=1:Nsr-1
  river(i)=pop(index(1+i));
end
%------ Forming Streams------
disp('Streams Formed');
stream=repmat(ind,N_stream,1);
for i=1:N stream
  stream(i)=pop(index(Nsr+i));
end
%------ Designate streams to rivers and sea ------
disp('Designated streams to rivers and sea');
cs=[sea.cost;[river.cost]';stream(1).cost];
f=0;
if length(unique(cs))~=1
  CN=cs-max(cs);
else
  CN=cs;
  f=1;
end
NS=round(abs(CN/sum(CN))*N_stream);
if f~=1
  NS(end)=[];
end
NS=sort(NS,'descend');
% ------ Modification on NS ------
i=Nsr;
while sum(NS)>N_stream
  if NS(i) > 1
    NS(i)=NS(i)-1;
  else
    i=i-1;
  end
end
i=1:
while sum(NS)<N_stream
  NS(i)=NS(i)+1;
end
if find(NS==0)
  index=find(NS==0);
  for i=1:size(index,1)
    while NS(index(i))==0
      NS(index(i))=NS(index(i))+round(NS(i)/6);
      NS(i)=NS(i)-round(NS(i)/6);
    end
```

```
end
end
```

for i=1:max\_it

```
fprintf('\n=====Start of Iteration %d ======\n',i);
  comp1 = sea.cost;
  %----- Moving stream to sea-----
  disp('Moving Stream to Sea');
  for j=1:NS(1)
    stream(j).position=stream(j).position+2.*rand(1).*(sea.position-
stream(j).position);
    stream(j).position=min(stream(j).position,UB);
    stream(j).position=max(stream(j).position,LB);
    P = stream(j).position;
    %% Round off the bus numbers
    if choice==1
      P(1:nC) = round(P(1:nC)); % Bus Number to nearest integer
    elseif choice==2
      P(1:nDG) = round(P(1:nDG));
    else
      P(1:nC) = round(P(1:nC)); %Bus Number to nearest integer
      P(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG) = round(P(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG));
    end
    %% Rounding off completed
    stream(j).position = P;
    stream(j).cost=objective_function(stream(j).position);
    if stream(j).cost<sea.cost
      new_sea=stream(j);
      stream(j)=sea;
      sea=new_sea;
```

```
end
end
%------ Moving Streams to rivers------
disp('Moving Streams to Rivers');
for k=1:Nsr-1
for j=1:NB(k)
```

stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position=stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position+2.\*rand(1,nvars).
\*(river(k).position-stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position);

```
stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position=min(stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position,UB);\\stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position=max(stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position,LB);
```

```
P = stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position; 
%% Round off the bus numbers 
if choice==1 
P(1:nC) = round(P(1:nC)); %Bus Number to nearest integer 
elseif choice==2 
P(1:nDG) = round(P(1:nDG)); 
else 
P(1:nC) = round(P(1:nC)); %Bus Number to nearest integer 
P(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG) = round(P(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG)); 
end
```

```
%% Rounding off completed
stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position = P;
```

 $stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).cost=objective\_function(stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position);$

```
if stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).cost<river(k).cost
         new_river=stream(j+sum(NS(1:k)));
         stream(j+sum(NS(1:k)))=river(k);
         river(k)=new_river;
         if river(k).cost<sea.cost
           new sea=river(k);
           river(k)=sea;
           sea=new_sea;
         end
      end
    end
  end
  %----- Moving rivers to Sea -----
  disp('Moving Rivers to Sea');
  for j=1:Nsr-1
    river(j).position=river(j).position+2.*rand(1,nvars).*(sea.position-
river(j).position);
```

river(j).position=min(river(j).position,UB);

```
river(j).position=max(river(j).position,LB);
```

```
P = river(j).position;
  %% Round off the bus numbers
  if choice==1
    P(1:nC) = round(P(1:nC)); % Bus Number to nearest integer
  elseif choice==2
    P(1:nDG) = round(P(1:nDG));
  else
    P(1:nC) = round(P(1:nC)); % Bus Number to nearest integer
    P(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG) = round(P(2*nC+1:2*nC+nDG));
  end
  %% Rounding off completed
  river(j).position = P;
  river(j).cost=objective_function(river(j).position);
  if river(j).cost<sea.cost
    new_sea=river(j);
    river(j)=sea;
    sea=new sea;
  end
end
%====== Evaporation condition and raining process ===========
disp('Evaporation condition and Raining Process');
% Check the evaporation condition for rivers and sea
disp('Checking the Evaporation condition for Rivers and Sea');
for k=1:Nsr-1
  if ((norm(river(k).position-sea.position)<dmax) || rand<0.1)
    for j=1:NB(k)
       stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position=LB+rand(1,nvars).*(UB-LB);
    end
  end
end
% Check the evaporation condition for streams and sea
disp('Checking the Evaporation condition for Streams and Sea');
for j=1:NS(1)
  if ((norm(stream(j).position-sea.position)<dmax))
    stream(j).position=sea.position+sqrt(0.1)*randn(1,nvars);
  end
end
% Check the evaporation condition among rivers
for k=1:Nsr-1
  if (exp(-i/max_it)<rand) && (NB(k)<ER(k))
    for j=1:NB(k)
       stream(j+sum(NS(1:k))).position=LB+rand(1,nvars).*(UB-LB);
    end
```

end end %

```
_____
  dmax=dmax-(dmax/max_it);
  disp(['Iteration: ',num2str(i),' Fmin= ',num2str(sea.cost)]);
  FF(i)=sea.cost;
  comp2 = sea.cost;
  if comp2 < comp1
    bestIter = i;
  end
end
%% Results and Plot
toc;
Elapsed_Time=toc;
NFEs=Npop*max_it;
Xmin=sea.position;
Fmin=objective_function(Xmin);
end
```

function [send\_priority] = VSI(varargin)

global nDG global ourcase

if nargin==1

result = varargin{1};

Vb = result.bus(:,8);

find\_vsi = zeros(size(result.bus,1),1);

for i=1:size(result.bus,1)-1

index = result.branch(:,1)==i+1; index1 = result.branch(:,2)==i+1; send\_node = result.branch(index1,1);

Vi = Vb(send\_node);

Piplus1 = sum(abs(result.branch(index,14)))/result.baseMVA; Qiplus1 = sum(abs(result.branch(index,15)))/result.baseMVA;

Rk = result.branch(index1,3);

Xk = result.branch(index1,4);

$$\begin{array}{l} find\_vsi(i+1) = (Vi)^{4} - ... \\ 4*(Piplus1*Xk-Qiplus1*Rk)^{2} - ... \\ 4*(Piplus1*Rk+Qiplus1*Xk)*(Vi)^{2}; \end{array}$$

end

```
[find_vsi,index] = sort(find_vsi,'ascend');
find_vsi(:,1)=find_vsi; find_vsi(:,2)=index;
find_vsi(1,:)=[];
```

send\_priority = find\_vsi(:,2);

else

```
temp_data = ourcase;
mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0,'out.all',0,'out.lim.v',0);
result=radial_pf(temp_data,mpopt);
```

Vb = result.bus(:,8);

find\_vsi = zeros(size(result.bus,1),1);

```
for i=1:size(result.bus,1)-1
```

```
index = result.branch(:,1)==i+1;
index1 = result.branch(:,2)==i+1;
send_node = result.branch(index1,1);
```

Vi = Vb(send\_node);

Piplus1 = sum(abs(result.branch(index,14)))/result.baseMVA; Qiplus1 = sum(abs(result.branch(index,15)))/result.baseMVA;

```
Rk = result.branch(index1,3);
Xk = result.branch(index1,4);
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} find_vsi(i+1) = (Vi)^{4} - ... \\ 4^{*}(Piplus1^{*}Xk\text{-}Qiplus1^{*}Rk)^{2} - ... \\ 4^{*}(Piplus1^{*}Rk\text{+}Qiplus1^{*}Xk)^{*}(Vi)^{2}; \end{array}
```

[find\_vsi,index] = sort(find\_vsi,'ascend'); find\_vsi(:,1)=find\_vsi; find\_vsi(:,2)=index; find\_vsi(1,:)=[]; send\_priority = find\_vsi(1:nDG,2);

end

Powerflow.m\_code

function [result] = powerflow(configuration)

global nC global nDG global ourcase global choice

if choice==1

temp\_data = ourcase;

 $configuration(nC+1:nC*2) = configuration(nC+1:nC*2)/1000; \ \% \ converted \ kVAR into \ MVAR$

```
for n=1:nC
    temp_data.bus(configuration(n),4)= temp_data.bus(configuration(n),4)-
configuration(n+nC);
    end
```

```
mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0,'out.all',0,'out.lim.v',0);
```

```
result=radial_pf(temp_data,mpopt);
result = order_radial(result);
```

```
elseif choice==2
```

temp\_data = ourcase;

```
for n=1:nDG
```

```
temp_data.bus(configuration(n),3)= temp_data.bus(configuration(n),3)-
configuration(n+nDG);
```

end

```
mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0,'out.all',0,'out.lim.v',0);
```

```
result=radial_pf(temp_data,mpopt);
result = order_radial(result);
```

```
elseif choice==3
```

```
temp_data = ourcase;
```

```
configuration(nC+1:nC*2) = configuration(nC+1:nC*2)/1000; \quad \% converted kVAR into MVAR
```

```
for n=1:nC
```

```
temp_data.bus(configuration(n),4)= temp_data.bus(configuration(n),4)-
configuration(n+nC);
```

end

```
for n=1:nDG
    temp_data.bus(configuration(2*nC+n),3)=
temp_data.bus(configuration(2*nC+n),3)-configuration(n+2*nC+nDG);
    end
```

```
mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0,'out.all',0,'out.lim.v',0);
```

```
result=radial_pf(temp_data,mpopt);
result = order_radial(result);
```

```
elseif choice==4
```

temp\_data = ourcase;

```
configuration(nC+1:nC*2) = configuration(nC+1:nC*2)/1000; \quad \% converted kVAR into MVAR
```

```
for n=1:nC
```

```
temp_data.bus(configuration(n),4)= temp_data.bus(configuration(n),4)-
configuration(n+nC);
```

end

```
\label{eq:started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_started_st
```

mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0,'out.all',0,'out.lim.v',0);

```
result=radial_pf(temp_data,mpopt);
result = order_radial(result);
```

else

temp\_data = ourcase;

```
configuration(nC+1:nC*2) = configuration(nC+1:nC*2)/1000; \quad \% converted kVAR into MVAR
```

```
for n=1:nC
    temp_data.bus(configuration(n),4)= temp_data.bus(configuration(n),4)-
configuration(n+nC);
end
```

for n=1:nDG
 temp\_data.bus(configuration(2\*nC+n),3)=
 temp\_data.bus(configuration(2\*nC+n),3) configuration(n+2\*nC+nDG)\*configuration(2\*nC+2\*nDG+n);
 temp\_data.bus(configuration(2\*nC+n),4)=
 temp\_data.bus(configuration(2\*nC+n),4)-configuration(n+2\*nC+nDG)\*sqrt(1 (configuration(2\*nC+2\*nDG+n))^2);
 end

mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0,'out.all',0,'out.lim.v',0);

```
result=radial_pf(temp_data,mpopt);
result = order_radial(result);
```

end

PLI.m\_Code

function [candidates] = PLI()

global ourcase

no\_of\_buses=length(ourcase.bus);
PL=zeros(no\_of\_buses,1); V0=zeros(no\_of\_buses,1);

for i=1:no\_of\_buses

```
if i==1
    data=ourcase;
    mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0);
    result=radial_pf(data,mpopt);
    PL(i)=sum(abs(result.branch(:,14)+result.branch(:,16)))*1000;
% sum(real(get_losses(result)));
```

```
V0(i)=result.bus(i,8);
  else
    data=ourcase:
    data.bus(i,4)=0;
    mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0);
    result=radial_pf(data,mpopt);
    PL(i)=sum(abs(result.branch(:,14)+result.branch(:,16)))*1000;
%sum(real(get_losses(result)));
    V0(i)=result.bus(i,8);
  end
end
PL1=PL(1)*ones(no_of_buses-1,1);
LR=PL1-PL(2:no_of_buses);
pli(:,1)=(2:no of buses);
pli(:,2)=(LR-min(LR)*ones(no_of_buses-1,1))/(max(LR)-min(LR));
pli(:,3)=V0(2:no_of_buses);
pli=sortrows(pli,2,'descend');
disp('-----');
disp('What minimum per unit bus voltage would you like to use to calculate PLI? ');
disp(' ');
x = input('Recommended Value is 0.95. Please enter here: ');
disp('');
disp('-----');
if isempty(x)
  disp('You did not enter anything. So, default value 0.95 was used.');
  x = 0.95;
end
index=find(pli(:,3)<x);
while isempty(index)
 disp('-----');
disp('-----');
  fprintf('\nThe minimum per unit voltage you entered in PLI calculation was
(0.2f.(n',x));
  fprintf('However, none of the Buses in the base case had bus voltage below %0.2f
pu. So,(n',x);
  fprintf('Please input the minimum bus voltage for PLI calculation, above %0.2f:
n',x;
  disp('-----');
  x = input('Please enter the value: ');
  while isempty(x)
    disp('You did not enter anything. So, Default Value 0.95 was used.');
    x = 0.95;
  end
  index = find(pli(:,3) < x);
 disp('-----');
end
```

```
candidates=pli(index,1)
```

Verify.m\_code

function verify

temp\_data = loadcase(case69);

%solution = [first 3 capacitor location, next 3 capacitor size in kVar, next 3 DG location, next 3 DG size in MW, next 3 in pf]

solution = [15 33 22 18.8 457.8 558.6 61 36 19 1.8247 1.0414 0.1063 0.877 0.916 0.904];

% reactive load of given location = reactive load of given location - capcitor size

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{\sc temp\_data.bus(66,4) = temp\_data.bus(66,4) - 248.6/1000;} \\ \mbox{\sc temp\_data.bus(solution(1),4) = temp\_data.bus(solution(1),4) - solution(4)/1000;} \\ \mbox{\sc temp\_data.bus(solution(2),4) = temp\_data.bus(solution(2),4) - solution(5)/1000;} \\ \mbox{\sc temp\_data.bus(solution(3),4) = temp\_data.bus(solution(3),4) - solution(6)/1000;} \\ \end{array}$

```
temp_data.bus(solution(7),3) = temp_data.bus(solution(7),3) -
solution(10)*solution(13);
```

```
temp_data.bus(solution(8),3) = temp_data.bus(solution(8),3) -
solution(11)*solution(14);
```

```
temp_data.bus(solution(9),3) = temp_data.bus(solution(9),3) -
solution(12)*solution(15);
```

```
temp_data.bus(solution(7),4) = temp_data.bus(solution(7),4) - solution(10)*sqrt(1-solution(13)*solution(13));
```

```
temp_data.bus(solution(8),4) = temp_data.bus(solution(8),4) - solution(11)*sqrt(1-
solution(13)*solution(14));
```

```
temp_data.bus(solution(9),4) = temp_data.bus(solution(9),4) - solution(12)*sqrt(1-
solution(13)*solution(15));
```

mpopt=mpoption('pf.alg','ISUM','verbose',0,'out.all',0,'out.lim.v',0);

result=radial\_pf(temp\_data,mpopt);

Vb = result.bus(:,8); [Vmin ind] = min(Vb) [Vmax ind] = max(Vb)

Ploss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,14)+result.branch(:,16)))\*1000 Qloss = sum(abs(result.branch(:,15)+result.branch(:,17)))\*1000 p = result.branch(1,14); q = result.branch(1,15); $s = (p^2 + q^2)^{0.5};$ $power_factor = p/s$

Analyzing the Effectiveness of Distributed Generation and Capacitor Banks in Distribution Systems Using Water Cycle Algorithms

ORIGINALITY REPORT



Crossref

126 words - 1%

www.mdpi.com 9 Internet

 $_{87 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$

80 words - < 1%

 $_{84 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$ Hegazy Rezk, Ahmed Fathy. "A novel optimal 10 parameters identification of triple-junction solar cell based on a recently meta-heuristic water cycle algorithm", Solar Energy, 2017 Crossref

11

tudr.thapar.edu:8080 Internet

A. Mohamed Imran, M. Kowsalya, D.P. Kothari. "A 66 words — < 1%12 novel integration technique for optimal network reconfiguration and distributed generation placement in power distribution networks", International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2014

Crossref

| 13 | www.hindawi.com | 63 words — < 1% |
|----|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | . 0/ |

www.ieindia.org Internet

61 words — < 1 %

52 words - < 1%Sadollah, Ali, Hadi Eskandar, Ardeshir 15 Bahreininejad, and Joong Hoon Kim. "Water cycle algorithm with evaporation rate for solving constrained and unconstrained optimization problems", Applied Soft Computing, 2015.

Crossref

| | | 49 words $-<$ | 1 | % |
|----|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | journal.esrgroups.org | 48 words — < | 1 | % |
| 18 | sigmaland.ir
Internet | 45 words — < | 1 | % |
| 19 | Hassan Sayyaadi, Ali Sadollah, Anupam Yadav,
Neha Yadav. "Stability and iterative convergence
of water cycle algorithm for computationally expe
combinatorial Internet shopping optimisation pro
Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Int
2018
Crossref | 44 words — <
ensive and
blems",
elligence, | 1 | % |
| 20 | link.springer.com | 44 words — < | 1 | % |
| 21 | Hany S. E. Mansour, Abdelazeem A. Abdelsalam,
Mohamed Nabil, Abdelhay A. Sallam. "Optimal
capacitor banks allocation in distribution systems
distributed generators using antlion optimizer", 2
Nineteenth International Middle East Power Syste
Conference (MEPCON), 2017
Crossref | 40 words — <
with
017
ems | 1 | % |
| 22 | Thuan Thanh Nguyen, Anh Viet Truong.
"Distribution network reconfiguration for power
loss minimization and voltage profile improveme
cuckoo search algorithm", International Journal of
Power & Energy Systems, 2015
Crossref | 40 words — < | 1 | % |
| 23 | pdfs.semanticscholar.org | 38 words — < | 1 | % |

Internet

| 24 | "Bio-inspired Computing: Theories and
Applications", Springer Science and Business
Media LLC, 2018
Crossref | 34 words — < | 1% |
|----|--|---|----|
| 25 | coek.info
Internet | 33 words — < | 1% |
| 26 | infoscience.epfl.ch
Internet | 32 words — < | 1% |
| 27 | Mohammad Jafar Hadidian-Moghaddam, Saber
Arabi-Nowdeh, Mehdi Bigdeli, Davood Azizian. "A
multi-objective optimal sizing and siting of distrib
generation using ant lion optimization technique'
Engineering Journal, 2017
Crossref | 31 words — <
uted
', Ain Shams | 1% |
| 28 | hdl.handle.net | 30 words — < | 1% |
| 29 | WWW.econstor.eu | 30 words — < | 1% |
| 30 | Jamil, Majid, and Ahmed Sharique Anees.
"Optimal sizing and location of SPV (solar
photovoltaic) based MLDG (multiple location distr
generator) in distribution system for loss reduction
profile improvement with economical benefits", E | 27 words — <
ributed
on, voltage
energy, 2016. | 1% |
| 31 | conference.ioe.edu.np | 27 words $-<$ | 1% |
| 32 | openaccess.altinbas.edu.tr | 26 words — < | 1% |

| 33 | Hamed Namdar. "Developing an improved
approach to solving a new gas lift optimization
problem", Journal of Petroleum Exploration and P
Technology, 2019
Crossref | 25 words — <
Production | 1% |
|----|---|---|----|
| 34 | Hasnanizan Taib, Ardeshir Bahreininejad. "Data
clustering using hybrid water cycle algorithm and
a local pattern search method", Advances in Engi
Software, 2021
Crossref | 25 words — <
neering | 1% |
| 35 | pt.scribd.com | 23 words $-<$ | 1% |
| 36 | ujcontent.uj.ac.za | 23 words — < | 1% |
| 37 | Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, 2015.
Crossref | 22 words — < | 1% |
| 38 | Mohammad Nasir, Ali Sadollah, Young Hwan
Choi, Joong Hoon Kim. "A comprehensive review
on water cycle algorithm and its applications", Ne
Computing and Applications, 2020
Crossref | 22 words — < | 1% |
| 39 | psecommunity.org | 22 words — < | 1% |
| 40 | Bo Xing, Wen-Jing Gao. "Innovative
Computational Intelligence: A Rough Guide to 134
Clever Algorithms", Springer Science and Busines
2014
<sub>Crossref</sub> | 4 <sup>21</sup> words — <
s Media LLC, | 1% |

- Jianjun Yao, Zhenshuai Wan, Yu Fu. "Acceleration Harmonic Estimation in a Hydraulic Shaking Table 20 words — < 1% Using Water Cycle Algorithm", Shock and Vibration, 2018 Crossref
- Arulraj Rajendran, Kumarappan Narayanan. "A novel multi-objective hybrid WIPSO-GSA algorithm-based optimal DG and capacitor planning for technoeconomic benefits in radial distribution system", International Journal of Energy Sector Management, 2019 Crossref
- Haque, M.H.. "Load flow solution of distribution systems with voltage dependent load models", Electric Power Systems Research, 199603
 Crossref
- Partha P. Biswas, R. Mallipeddi, P.N. Suganthan, Gehan A.J. Amaratunga. "A multiobjective approach for optimal placement and sizing of distributed generators and capacitors in distribution network", Applied Soft Computing, 2017 Crossref
- Raimon O. Bawazir, Numan S. Cetin. "Comprehensive overview of optimizing PV-DG allocation in power system and solar energy resource potential assessments", Energy Reports, 2020 Crossref
- S. Neelima, P.S. Subramanyam. "Optimal capacitor placement in distribution networks using differential evolution incorporating dimension reducing power flow method", ISGT2011-India, 2011

| 48 | Sadollah, Ali, Hadi Eskandar, and Joong Hoon Kim
"Water cycle algorithm for solving constrained
multi-objective optimization problems", Applied S
Computing, 2015.
Crossref | <sup>•</sup> 18 words — <
oft | 1% |
|----|--|--|----|
| 49 | <mark>ijeei.org</mark>
Internet | 18 words — < | 1% |
| 50 | Ehab E. Elattar, Abdullah M. Shaheen, Abdullah
M. El-Sayed, Ragab A. El-Sehiemy, Ahmed R.
Ginidi. "Optimal Operation of Automated Distribu
Based-MRFO Algorithm", IEEE Access, 2021
Crossref | 17 words — <
tion Networks | 1% |
| 51 | Sarib Malik, Muhammad Faisal Nadeem Khan,
Intisar Ali Sajjad, Luigi Martirano. "Simultaneous
Allocation of Multi-DG with Capacitor Banks in Dis
System using IGWO", 2022 IEEE International Con
Environment and Electrical Engineering and 2022
and Commercial Power Systems Europe (EEEIC / I
2022
<sub>Crossref</sub> | 17 words — <
stribution
ference on
IEEE Industrial
&CPS Europe), | 1% |
| 52 | Rachapalli Sireesha, Srinivasa Rao Coppisetty,
Mallapu Vijay Kumar. "Hybrid optimization to
enhance power system reliability using GA, GWO,
Paladyn, Journal of Behavioral Robotics, 2023
Crossref | 16 words — <
and PSO", | 1% |
| 53 | S. Ravindran, T. Aruldoss Albert Victoire. "A bio-
geography-based algorithm for optimal siting and
sizing of distributed generators with an effective p
model", Computers & Electrical Engineering, 2018
Crossref | 16 words — <
power factor | 1% |

| 54 | essay365.x10.mx | 16 words $-<$ | 19 | 6 |
|----|--|--|----|---|
| 55 | icee-4.eb2a.com | 16 words — < | 19 | 6 |
| 56 | U. Sultana, Azhar B. Khairuddin, M.M. Aman, A.S.
Mokhtar, N. Zareen. "A review of optimum DG
placement based on minimization of power losse
stability enhancement of distribution system", Re
Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2016
Crossref | 15 words — <
s and voltage
newable and | 1% | 6 |
| 57 | www.tandfonline.com | 15 words — < | 19 | 6 |
| 58 | Amlak Abaza, Asmaa Fawzy, Ragab A. El-Sehiemy
Ali S. Alghamdi, Salah Kamel. "Sensitive reactive
power dispatch solution accomplished with renew
allocation using an enhanced coyote optimization
Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 2021
Crossref | ' 14 words — <
vable energy
n algorithm", | 19 | 6 |
| 59 | Ankur Kumar, Ritika Verma, Niraj Kumar
Choudhary, Nitin Singh. ""Optimal placement and
sizing of distributed generation in power distribu-
comprehensive review"", Energy Sources, Part A:
Utilization, and Environmental Effects, 2023
Crossref | 14 words — <
tion system: a
Recovery, | 1% | 6 |
| 60 | C. Veeramani, S. Sharanya. "Analyzing the
Performance Measures of Multi-Objective Water
Cycle Algorithm for Multi-Objective Linear Fraction
Programming Problem", 2018 Second Internation
on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (IC
Crossref | 14 words — <
nal
al Conference
CICCS), 2018 | 1% | 6 |

| 61 | Chandrasekaran Venkatesan, Raju Kannadasan,
Mohammed H. Alsharif, Mun-Kyeom Kim, Jamel
Nebhen. "A Novel Multiobjective Hybrid Techniqu
and Sizing of Distributed Generation and Capacit
Radial Distribution Systems", Sustainability, 2021
Crossref | 14 words — <
ue for Siting
or Banks in | 1% |
|----|--|--|----|
| 62 | H.S. Ramadan, A.F. Bendary, S. Nagy. "Particle
swarm optimization algorithm for capacitor
allocation problem in distribution systems with w
generators", International Journal of Electrical Por
Systems, 2017
Crossref | 14 words — <
ind turbine
wer & Energy | 1% |
| 63 | Yalew Gebru, Dessalegn Bitew, Habtemariam
Aberie, Kassaye Gizaw. "Performance
enhancement of radial distribution system using
network reconfiguration and switched capacitor b
placement", Cogent Engineering, 2021
Crossref | 14 words — <
simultaneous
bank | 1% |
| 64 | etd.hu.edu.et | 14 words — < | 1% |
| 65 | D. Sudha Rani, N. Subrahmanyam, M. Sydulu.
"Self Adaptive Harmony Search algorithm for
Optimal Network Reconfiguration", 2014 Power a
Conference at Illinois (PECI), 2014 | 13 words — <
nd Energy | 1% |
| 66 | "Advances in Smart Grid and Renewable Energy",
Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018
Crossref | 12 words — < | 1% |
| 67 | Esmaeili, Mobin, Mostafa Sedighizadeh, and
Masoud Esmaili. "Multi-objective optimal
reconfiguration and DG (Distributed Generation) | 12 words — <
power | 1% |

allocation in distribution networks using Big Bang-Big Crunch algorithm considering load uncertainty", Energy, 2016. Crossref

- K. Muthukumar, S. Jayalalitha. "Optimal placement and sizing of distributed generators and shunt capacitors for power loss minimization in radial distribution networks using hybrid heuristic search optimization technique", International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2016 Crossref
- <sup>69</sup> Mohamed A. Tolba, Ahmed A. Zaki Diab, Vladimir N. Tulsky, Almoataz Y. Abdelaziz. "LVCI approach for optimal allocation of distributed generations and capacitor banks in distribution grids based on moth–flame optimization algorithm", Electrical Engineering, 2018 Crossref
- Mohandas, N., R. Balamurugan, and L. Lakshminarasimman. "Optimal location and sizing of real power DG units to improve the voltage stability in the distribution system using ABC algorithm united with chaos", International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2015. Crossref
- P. Ravi Babu, M. P. R. Vanamali, M. P. V. V. R. Kumar, V. Sai Hemachandra. "Network reconfiguration in distribution systems using L-E method", 2010 Annual IEEE India Conference (INDICON), 2010 Crossref

12 words — < 1%

72 Subhodip Saha, V. Mukherjee. "A novel quasioppositional chaotic antlion optimizer for global optimization", Applied Intelligence, 2017 Crossref

| 73 | epubs.surrey.ac.uk
Internet | 12 words $-<$ | 1% |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|----|
| 74 | sdiarticle4.com | 12 words — < | 1% |
| 75 | vocal.media
Internet | 12 words — < | 1% |
| 76 | www.ripublication.com | 12 words — < | 1% |
| 77 | "Intelligent Computing Theories and Application",
Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2016
Crossref | 11 words — < | 1% |
| 78 | K. Muthukumar, S. Jayalalitha. "Multiobjective
hybrid evolutionary approach for optimal
planning of shunt capacitors in radial distribution
load models", Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 201
Crossref | 11 words — <
systems with
7 | 1% |
| 79 | Karar Mahmoud, Matti Lehtonen. "Simultaneous
Allocation of Multi-Type Distributed Generations
and Capacitors Using Generic Analytical Expression
Access, 2019
Crossref | 11 words — < | 1% |
| 80 | Kayalvizhi, S., and D. M. Vinod Kumar.
"Dispatchable DG planning in distribution
networks considering costs", 2015 International C
Recent Developments in Control Automation and
Engineering (RDCAPE), 2015.
Crossref | 11 words — <
onference on
Power | 1% |
| 81 | M Chakravorty, D Das. "Voltage stability analysis of radial distribution networks", International | 11 words $-<$ | 1% |

Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2001 Crossref

- Martin Ćalasan, Mihailo Micev, Ziad M. Ali, Ahmed F. Zobaa, Shady H. E. Abdel Aleem. "Parameter Estimation of Induction Machine Single-Cage and Double-Cage Models Using a Hybrid Simulated Annealing–Evaporation Rate Water Cycle Algorithm", Mathematics, 2020 Crossref
- Nigar, Yasmin, Ashish. P. Agalgaonkar, and Phil Ciufo. "Impact of variable solar PV generation on MV distribution systems", 2014 Australasian Universities Power Engineering Conference (AUPEC), 2014. Crossref
- Sirine Essallah, Adel Khedher, Adel Bouallegue. "Integration of distributed generation in electrical 11 words — < 1% grid: Optimal placement and sizing under different load conditions", Computers & Electrical Engineering, 2019 Crossref
- Varsha S. Galgali, M. Ramachandran, G. A. Vaidya. "Multi-objective optimal sizing of distributed generation by application of Taguchi desirability function analysis", SN Applied Sciences, 2019 Crossref

| 86 | www.iaeng.org | 11 words — < 1% |
|----|----------------------|------------------------|
| 87 | www.iosrjournals.org | 11 words — < 1% |

11 words - < 1%

| 89 | "Simulated Evolution and Learning", Springer
Science and Business Media LLC, 2017
Crossref | 10 words — < | 1% |
|----|---|---|----|
| 90 | A. F. Khoshbakht, M. Raoofat. "Optimal allocation
of DGs and RCSs to improve distribution network
reliability and network energy loss", 2008 IEEE 2n
Power and Energy Conference, 2008
Crossref | 10 words — <
d International | 1% |
| 91 | Ali, E.S., S.M. Abd Elazim, and A.Y. Abdelaziz.
"Improved Harmony Algorithm for optimal
locations and sizing of capacitors in radial distribu
systems", International Journal of Electrical Power
Systems, 2016.
Crossref | 10 words — <
ution
& Energy | 1% |
| 92 | Fatma Zohra Dekhandji, Habiba Baali,
Abdelmadjid Recioui. "Optimal Placement of DGs
in the Distribution Networks using an Analytical A
2022 13th International Renewable Energy Congr
2022
Crossref | 10 words — <
approach",
ess (IREC), | 1% |
| 93 | Haoming Liu, Shun'an Cheng, Chunyan Huang,
Yunhe Hou. "Unbalanced power flow calculation
for low-voltage distribution systems including DG
Innovative Smart Grid Technologies, 2012
Crossref | 10 words — <
s", IEEE PES | 1% |
| 94 | Y. Ali. "A particle swarm optimization for sitting
and sizing of Distributed Generation in
distribution network to improve voltage profile an
and losses", 2008 43rd International Universities
Engineering Conference, 09/2008
Crossref | 10 words — <
nd reduce THD
Power | 1% |

| 95 | eejournal.ktu.lt
Internet | 10 words — < | 1% |
|-----|---|---|----|
| 96 | mdpi-res.com
Internet | 10 words — < | 1% |
| 97 | ur.booksc.eu
Internet | 10 words — < | 1% |
| 98 | utpedia.utp.edu.my
Internet | 10 words — < | 1% |
| 99 | www.igi-global.com | 10 words — < | 1% |
| 100 | www.journal.esrgroups.org | 10 words — < | 1% |
| 101 | A. Moreno-Munoz. "Short term forecasting of solar adiation", 2008 IEEE International Symposium or Industrial Electronics, 06/2008 | ar
9 words — < | 1% |
| 102 | Abdelazeem A. Abdelsalam. "Maximizing technical
and economical benefits of distribution systems a
optimal allocation and hourly scheduling of capac
distributed energy resources", Australian Journal
and Electronics Engineering, 2019
Crossref | al 9 words — <
by
citors and
of Electrical | 1% |
| 103 | Abdelaziz, Almoataz Y., Ehab S. Ali, and Sahar M.
Abd Elazim. "Flower Pollination Algorithm for
Optimal Capacitor Placement and Sizing in Distrik
Systems", Electric Power Components and System
Crossref | 9 words — <
oution
ns, 2016. | 1% |

- 104 Akanit Kwangkaew, Siriya Skolthanarat, Chalie Charoenlarpnopparut, Mineo Kaneko. "Optimal Location and Sizing of Renewable Distributed Generators for Improving Robust Voltage Stability against Uncontrollable Reactive Compensation", IEEE Access, 2023 Crossref
- Belkhir Settou, Noureddine Settou, Youcef Gahrar, 9 words < 1% Belkhir Negrou et al. "Geographic informationdriven two-stage optimization model for location decision of solar power plant: A case study of an Algerian municipality", Sustainable Cities and Society, 2022 Crossref
- 106 H.B. Tolabi, A. Lashkar Ara, R. Hosseini. "A new thief and police algorithm and its application in simultaneous reconfiguration with optimal allocation of capacitor and distributed generation units", Energy, 2020 Crossref
- Hung, Duong Quoc, N. Mithulananthan, and Kwang
 Y. Lee. "Optimal placement of dispatchable and nondispatchable renewable DG units in distribution networks for minimizing energy loss", International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2014.
- Joseph Sanam, Sanjib Ganguly, A. K. Panda, Chaduvula Hemanth. "Optimization of Energy Loss 9 words — < 1% Cost of Distribution Networks with the Optimal Placement and Sizing of DSTATCOM Using Differential Evolution Algorithm", Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 2017 Crossref
- Mukul Dixit, Prasanta Kundu, Hitesh R. Jariwala. "Incorporation of distributed generation and shunt 9 words - < 1%capacitor in radial distribution system for techno-economic

benefits", Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, 2017 Crossref

| 110 | Nahilia, H., and M. Boudour. "Voltage stability
analysis and optimal distributed generators
placement study on Algerian power network", 2019
International Conference on Control Engineering &
Technology (CEIT), 2015.
Crossref | 9 words — <
5 3rd
4 Information | 1% |
|-----|---|---------------------------------------|----|
| 111 | Syed Kazmi, Muhammad Shahzad, Dong Shin.
"Multi-Objective Planning Techniques in
Distribution Networks: A Composite Review", Energy
Crossref | 9 words — <
gies, 2017 | 1% |
| 112 | bura.brunel.ac.uk | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 113 | dokumen.pub
Internet | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 114 | dwm.pwr.edu.pl | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 115 | idr.mnit.ac.in | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 116 | prr.hec.gov.pk | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 117 | publications.aston.ac.uk | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 118 | repository.tudelft.nl | 9 words — < | 1% |

| 119 | www.ijrer-net.ijrer.org | 9 words — < | 1% |
|-----|--|---------------------------------------|----|
| 120 | www.intechopen.com | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 121 | www.investopedia.com | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 122 | WWW.Warse.org
Internet | 9 words — < | 1% |
| 123 | Devabalaji, K.R., K. Ravi, and D.P. Kothari. "Optimal
location and sizing of capacitor placement in radia
distribution system using Bacterial Foraging Optim
Algorithm", International Journal of Electrical Powe
Systems, 2015.
Crossref | 8 words — <
ization
r & Energy | 1% |
| 124 | Jingyun Wang, Sanyang Liu. "Novel binary
encoding water cycle algorithm for solving
Bayesian network structures learning problem", Kr
Based Systems, 2018
Crossref | 8 words — < | 1% |
| 125 | K. Muthukumar, S. Jayalalitha, K. Sureshkumar, A.
Sakthivel, K. Balamurugan, M. Ramasamy.
"Application of hybrid heuristic technique for optim
capacitors planning problem in radial distribution r
Soft Computing, 2018
Crossref | 8 words — <
nal shunt
network", | 1% |
| 126 | Karimyan, Peyman, G.B. Gharehpetian, M. Abedi,
and A. Gavili. "Long term scheduling for optimal | 8 words — < | 1% |

allocation and sizing of DG unit considering load variations and

DG type", International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2014.

Crossref

ProQuest

- 127 Korra Balu, Vivekananda Mukherjee. "Siting and 8 words - < 1%Sizing of Distributed Generation and Shunt Capacitor Banks in Radial Distribution System Using Constriction Factor Particle Swarm Optimization", Electric Power Components and Systems, 2020 Crossref 8 words -< 1% Lijo Jacob Varghese, U. Arun Kumar, D. Sunitha. 128 "Solar PV and Wind Energy Based Reconfigurable Microgrid for Optimal Load Dispatch", Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology, 2023 Crossref $_{8 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$ 129 Liu, Jian. "Optimization of Energy Storage Scheduling in Electricity Markets", Missouri
- $_{8 \text{ words}} < 1\%$ Mohammd Bakhshipour, Esmaeil Rokrok, Farhad 130 Namdari, Mohammad Sedaghat. "Optimal DG and capacitor allocation along with network reconfiguration using Swarm robotics search & rescue algorithm", 2019 5th Conference on Knowledge Based Engineering and Innovation (KBEI), 2019 Crossref

University of Science and Technology, 2023

 $_{8 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$ Mohammed Kdair Abd, S. J. Cheng, H. S. Sun. 131 "Optimal DG placement and sizing for power loss reduction in a radial distribution system using MPGSA and sensitivity index method", 2016 IEEE 11th Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA), 2016 Crossref

| 132 | Naiem, Adel F., Almoataz Y. Abdelaziz, Yasser G. $_{8}$ words $- < 1\%$ |
|-----|---|
| | Hegazy, Metwally A. Elsharkawy, and Ismail A. El |
| | Shahat. "Effect of distributed generation modelling on |
| | performance of distribution systems", International Journal of |
| | Power and Energy Conversion, 2013. |
| | Crossref |

- Sneha Sultana, Provas Kumar Roy. "Multi-objective $_{8 \text{ words}} < 1\%$ 133 quasi-oppositional teaching learning based optimization for optimal location of distributed generator in radial distribution systems", International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2014 Crossref
- Bachtiar Nappu. "Optimal capacitor placement and 8 words = < 1%economic analysis for reactive power compensation to improve system's efficiency at Bosowa Cement Industry, Maros", 2018 International Conference on Information and Communications Technology (ICOIACT), 2018 Crossref

| <mark>135</mark> | de.mathworks.com | 8 words — < 1% |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 136 | dspace.unive.it | 8 words — < 1% |
| 137 | mafiadoc.com | 8 words — < 1% |
| 138 | pat.zsmu.edu.ua | 8 words — < 1% |
| 139 | qspace.qu.edu.qa | 8 words _ < 1% |

Internet

| 140 | sites.ieee.org | 8 words — < | 1% |
|-----|---|--|----|
| 141 | "Electric Distribution Network Planning", Springer
Science and Business Media LLC, 2018
Crossref | 7 words — < | 1% |
| 142 | Abdullah Mohammed Shaheen, Ragab A. El-
Sehiemy, Sobhy M. Farrag. "Adequate Planning of
Shunt Power Capacitors Involving Transformer Cap
Release Benefit", IEEE Systems Journal, 2015
Crossref | 7 words — < | 1% |
| 143 | Adel Ali Abou El-Ela, Ragab A. El-Sehiemy, Abdel-
Mohsen Kinawy, Eman Salah Ali. "Optimal
placement and sizing of distributed generation unit
different cat swarm optimization algorithms", 2016
International Middle East Power Systems Conferen
(MEPCON), 2016
Crossref | 7 words — <
ts using
Eighteenth | 1% |
| 144 | Ahmadian, Ali, Mahdi Sedghi, Masoud Aliakbar-
Golkar, Michael Fowler, and Ali Elkamel. "Two-layer
optimization methodology for wind distributed ger
planning considering plug-in electric vehicles uncer
flexible active-reactive power approach", Energy Co
and Management, 2016.
Crossref | 7 words — <
eration
tainty: A
onversion | 1% |
| 145 | Ankush Tandon, Sarfaraz Nawaz. "Positioning and
Sizing of PV-Based DG and Capacitor in Realistic
Distribution Network and Verification through ETAl
Simulation", Electric Power Components and Syste
Crossref | 7 words — <
p
ms, 2023 | 1% |

146 Imen Ben Hamida, Saoussen Brini Salah, Faouzi Msahli, Mohamed Faouzi Mimouni. "Optimal $_{7 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$

network reconfiguration and renewable DG integration considering time sequence variation in load and DGs", Renewable Energy, 2018 Crossref

 $_{7 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$ 47 Jaydeepsinh Sarvaiya, Mahipalsinh Chudasama. "Multi objective DG and RPC planning of radial type distribution network considering economic ViewPoints", 2017 1st International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Information Management (ICISIM), 2017 Crossref Mahmoud, G.A.. "Voltage stability analysis of radial $_7$ words - < 1%148 distribution networks using catastrophe theory", IET Generation Transmission & Distribution, 2012. Crossref $_{7 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$ 149 Mohammed Mazen Hato, Soufiene Bouallegue, Mounir Ayadi. "Water cycle algorithm-tuned PI control of a doubly fed induction generator for wind energy conversion", 2018 9th International Renewable Energy Congress (IREC), 2018 Crossref $_{7 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$ R. RANJAN, D. DAS. "Voltage Stability Analysis of 150 Radial Distribution Networks", Electric Power Components and Systems, 2003 Crossref S. Banerjee, C. K. Chanda, D. Das. "Reconfiguration $_{7 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$ 151 of Distribution Networks based on Fuzzy Multiobjective Approach by Considering Loads of Different Types", Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series B, 2013

Crossref

152 "Advanced Technologies, Systems, and Applications II", Springer Science and Business $_{6 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$

- A. Rahiminejad, A. Aranizadeh, B. Vahidi. "Simultaneous distributed generation and capacitor placement and sizing in radial distribution system considering reactive power market", Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy, 2014 Crossref
- Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 2016.
 Crossref
 6 words - < 1%
- Arvind Raj, Nur Fadilah Ab Aziz, Zuhaila Mat Yasin, Nur Ashida Salim. "Investigation of distributed generation units placement and sizing based on voltage stability condition indicator (VSCI)", International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS), 2019 Crossref
- Bayat, A., A. Bagheri, and R. Noroozian. "Optimal siting and sizing of distributed generation accompanied by reconfiguration of distribution networks for maximum loss reduction by using a new UVDA-based heuristic method", International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2016. Crossref
- Emad Almabsout, Ragab A. El-Sehiemy, Osman N. Ucan, Oguz Bayat. "A hybrid local search-genetic algorithm for simultaneous placement of DG units and shunt capacitors in radial distribution systems", IEEE Access, 2020 Crossref
 - Intelligent Systems Reference Library, 2016. Crossref

 $_{6 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$

- Khaled Benkhoud, Soufiene Bouallègue. "Dynamics modeling and advanced metaheuristics based LQG<sup>6</sup> words — < 1%controller design for a Quad Tilt Wing UAV", International Journal of Dynamics and Control, 2017 Crossref
- M. Kefayat, A. Lashkar Ara, S.A. Nabavi Niaki. "A hybrid of ant colony optimization and artificial bee colony algorithm for probabilistic optimal placement and sizing of distributed energy resources", Energy Conversion and Management, 2015 Crossref
- Mehran Sanjabi Asasi, Mojtaba Ahanch, Yaser
 Toghani Holari. "Optimal Allocation of Distributed
 Generations and Shunt Capacitors Using Salp Swarm
 Algorithm", Electrical Engineering (ICEE), Iranian Conference on,
 2018
 Crossref
- 162 S. SIVANAGARAJU, N. VISALI, V. SANKAR, T. RAMANA. "Enhancing Voltage Stability of Radial Distribution Systems by Network Reconfiguration", Electric Power Components and Systems, 2005 Crossref
- Shivley Sageer, Om Sharma, Ratul Rana Patel.
 "Voltage Stability Assessment in Radial Distribution
 Power System", SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 2014 Crossref
- Suman Biswal, Arnab Ghosh, Sajjan Kumar, Niladri Chakraborty, Swapan Kumar Goswami. "Cuckoo Search Algorithm Based Cost Minimization by Optimal DG and Capacitor Integration in Radial Distribution Systems", 2018 20th National Power Systems Conference (NPSC), 2018



$_{6 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES< 6 WORDS</th>EXCLUDE MATCHES< 6 WORDS</td>