IMPACT OF TOURISM IN SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF NEPAL: A MULTIVARIATE APPROACH



A THESIS SUBMITED TO THE CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY NEPAL

FOR THE AWARD OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN STATISTICS

BY
BASANTA KUMAR DHAKAL
JULY 2018

DECLARATION

Thesis entitled "IMPACT OF TOURISM IN SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF NEPAL: A MULTIVARIATE APPROACH" which is being submitted to the Central Department of Statistics, Institute of Science and Technology (IOST), Tribhuvan University, Nepal for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.), is a research work carried out by me under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Azaya Bikram Sthapit, Central Department of Statistics, Tribhuvan University and co supervised by Prof. Dr. Shankar Prasad Khanal, Central Department of Statistics, Tribhuvan University.

This research is original and has not been submitted earlier in part or full in this or any other form to any university or institute, here or elsewhere, for the award of any degree.

Basanta Kumar Dhakal

RECOMMENDATION

This is to recommend that **Basanta Kumar Dhakal** has carried out research entitled "**Impact of Tourism in Socio-economic Development of Nepal: A Multivariate Approach**" for the award of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in **Statistics** under our supervision. To our knowledge, this work has not been submitted for any other degree.

He has fulfilled all the requirements laid down by the Institute of Science and Technology (IOST). Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur for the submission of the thesis for the award of Ph.D. degree.

•••••

Prof. Dr. Azaya Bikram Sthapit

Supervisor

(Professor)

Central Department of Statistics

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

.....

Prof. Dr. Shankar Prasad Khanal

Co-supervisor

(Professor, Head of Department)

Central Department of Statistics

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

July 2018

LETTER OF APPROVAL

Date:

On the recommendation of **Prof. Dr. Azaya Bikram Sthapit** and **Prof. Dr. Shankar Prasad Khanal**, this Ph.D. thesis submitted by **Basanta Kumar Dhakal** entitled "**Impact of Tourism in Socio-economic Development of Nepal: A Multivariate Approach**" is forwarded by Central Department Research Committee (CDRC) to the Dean, IOST, T.U..

......

Prof. Dr. Shankar Prasad Khanal

Head,

Central Department of Statistics

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Nepal

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely grateful and deeply indebted to my respected supervisor Prof. Dr. Azaya Bikram Sthapit and co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Shankar Prasad Khanal for their scholarly supervisions and constantly guiding me throughout the research work. Their encouragement, suggestions, cooperation have been extremely fruitful in the outcome of the research.

I am very thankful and obliged to Prof. Dr. Srijan Lal Shrestha, Prof. Dr. Chandra Mani Paudel, Prof. Dr. Tika Ram Aryal, and Associate Prof. Dr. Gauri Shrestha, members of Research Committee of Central Department of Statistics for their valuable suggestions and support during the research work. Similarly, I am also thankful to all the faculty members of Central Department of Statistics, Mr. Pravat Upreti, Mr. Madhav Bhushal, Mr. Arun Kumar Yadav, Mr. Santosh Kumar Shah and Mr. Ishwori Banjade, Dr. Govinda Tamang for their support and cooperation. My special thanks go to the administrative and support staffs of Central Department of Statistics for facilitating the process smoothly to carry out the research.

I express my cordial thanks to Dr. Gopal Thapa (Lecturer, Nepal Commerce Campus), Mr. Puspa Raj Ojha (Assistant Campus Chief, Nepal Commerce Campus), Mr. Churamani Pandey (Lecturer, Nepal Commerce Campus), Mr. Sushil Awale (Lecturer, Central Department of Management), Mr. Sindu Madhav Dhakal (Faculty Member, Nepal Commerce Campus), Mr. Prakash Sapkota (Lecturer, St. Xavier's College), Mr. Amit Tiwari (Coordinator, K & K international College), and Mr. Bibek Devkota (Tour Operator, Serva Travels Pvt. Ltd.) for their contributions in data collection procedure.

I am grateful to Mr. Krishna Prasad Acharya (Campus Chief, Shanker Dev Campus) for his innovative suggestions and help. I am also thankful to Dr. Lekhanath Dhakal (Associate Professor, Nepal Commerce Campus), Mr. Megharaj Adhikari (Faculty Member, Central Department of Management, T.U.), Mr. Uttam Paudel (Faculty Member, Nepal Commerce Campus), and Mr. Pashupati Koirala (Lecturer, Nepal Commerce Campus), Dr. Sitaram Raj Bistha (Associate Professor, Central Department of Nepali) for language editing.

I express my indebtedness to the Coordination Council of Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur for

allowing the fellowship to enable me to undertake the research work. I extend my sincere thanks

to the anonymous referees of some journals (in particular, American Journal of Theoretical and

Applied Statistics, International Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences and

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics), who kindly reviewed some of the

drafts in the form of research paper and offered their valuable comments in course of publication.

I owe to my wife, Mrs. Geeta Aryal for her support throughout my career. Without her help and

encouragement I could not have reached to this level. My deep appreciation is due to my mother,

Mrs. Bidhya Devi Dhakal for her emotional and moral support. I would like to pay my tribute to

my late father, Mr. Ashok Dhakal who would have been one of the happiest persons in the world

to witness my success. I thank my daughters Shaili Dhakal and Swosti Dhakal for putting up

with my negligence towards them, which I could not help owing to my occupation with my

research work.

Finally, I would like to thank to all well-wishers who directly and indirectly help and encourage

me in my research work.

Basnata Kumar Dhakal

July, 2018

vi

ABSTRACT

Tourism being one of the major foreign exchange earnings and job providing sectors in local level is a growing service industry in Nepal. It significantly plays an important role in social and economic development of nation. Keeping in view of this reality, the objectives of this study are to examine the relationship between tourism benefits towards economic development process of the nation by using VEC model, to assess the residents' attitudes towards economic impact of tourism in Nepal, and to assess the residents' perceptions towards social impact of tourism in Nepal using EFA. This study is an attempt to apply different statistical methods/ models using two different sets of data namely secondary time series data and primary data. Vector error correction (VEC) model has been applied to analyze the secondary data from the period of 1990/91 to 2014/15 tourism data of Nepal provided by Ministry of Tourism and Civil Aviation for examining the relationship between tourism benefits towards economic development process of the nation. For analyzing the residents' attitudes and perceptions towards economic and social impacts of tourism respectively, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) has been used based on primary data through face to face field survey of 601 respondents from three tourist destinations with response rate 91.76%. A set of questionnaire was developed to collect the data, and the respondents' level of agreement has been measured by five point Likert scale.

In order to investigate the long run relationship, VEC model has been used, and it indicated that the role of average length of stay towards increasing GDP is greater than number of international tourist arrival in Nepal. The results of Granger causality analysis have also illustrated that the increasing average length of stay of tourist plays positive role to increase GDP and vice versa (p value <0.001) and large number of international tourist plays the affirmative role to increase their average length of stay (p value <0.001). Similarly, in order to look into Nepal's foreign exchange earnings through tourism with an analysis of the international tourists' arrival and the duration they spent in Nepal. The empirical result from the VEC model has concluded that the role of average length of stay towards increasing earnings from tourism is greater than number of international tourist. The findings from Granger causality analysis have also demonstrated the large number of international tourist and their average length of stay play positive role to increase foreign exchange earnings (p value <0.001). Similarly, the large number of international

tourist plays the affirmative position to expand their average length of stay and vice versa (p value <0.001).

Likewise, in order to explore long run relationship between number of international visitors and their length of stay towards their average expenditure in Nepal, the result of VEC model has indicated that the role of average length of stay towards increasing expenditure per tourist is greater than number of international tourists' arrivals in Nepal. The results of Granger causality analysis have depicted that the increasing average length of stay of tourist takes part in affirmative position to increase expenditure of visitor and vice versa (p value <0.001). The large number of international tourist plays the positive role to increase their average length of stay (p value <0.001). In order to examine long run relationship of foreign exchange earnings from tourism and average expenditure of international tourists towards share of GDP of Nepalese tourism, the result of VEC model has shown that the role of average expenditure per visitor towards increasing GDP is greater than foreign exchange earnings from tourism. The results of Granger causality analysis have also depicted that increasing expenditure per visitor plays positive role to increase GDP and vice versa (p value <0.001). Similarly, foreign exchange earnings also facilitate the expansion of GDP (p value <0.001).

The EFA found that 67.84% total variance has been explained by positive economic factors of tourism and 59.39% total variance has been explained by negative economic factors of tourism illustrating both positive and negative impacts of tourism from the respondents. Tourism, apart from being perceived as an economic factor, is also a social component and it prevails subjectively and intangibly in the community. It is found that 56.3% total variance has been explained by positive social factors of tourism and 60.4% total variance has been explained by negative social factors of tourism indicating the both negative and positive perceptions towards social impacts of tourism from respondents. It shows that tourism industries of Nepal are not still well planed and controlled but it has great potentiality for further development. So, effort should pay critical and sustained attention towards promoting cultural and natural resources, improving the infrastructure of tourism industry and employing the tourism marketing skills to optimize the economic benefits and social betterment for the quality of life of people through the tourism development.

Keywords: Factor analysis. Ganger causality, Long run relationship, Vector error correction.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page No. |
|----------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Declarati | on | ii |
| Recommendation | | iii |
| Letter of | Approval | iv |
| Acknowl | edgements | v |
| Abstract | | vii |
| Table of | Contents | ix |
| List of A | cronyms and Abbreviations | xiv |
| List of Ta | ables | xvi |
| List of Fi | gures | xix |
| CHAPT | TER 1: INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 | Tourism in a Global Context | 1 |
| 1.2 | Tourism in Nepal | 4 |
| 1.3 | Trend of Tourist Arrivals in Nepal | 8 |
| 1.4 | Socio-economic Impact of Tourism | 9 |
| 1.4.1 | Economic Impact of Tourism | 11 |
| 1.4.2 | Social Impact of Tourism | 13 |
| 1.5 | Statement of the Problem | 15 |
| 1.6 | Rationale | 16 |
| 1.7 | Objective | 17 |
| 1.8 | Limitation | 18 |
| СНАРТ | TER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 | Input Output Model | 19 |
| 2.2 | Simple Regression Analysis | 21 |

| 2.3 | Granger Causality Test | 22 |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.4 | Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Model | 23 |
| 2.5 | Almost Ideal Demand System (AIDS) Model | 25 |
| 2.6 | Poisson Regression Model and Negative Binomial Regression Model | 26 |
| 2.7 | Probabilistic Travel Model | 27 |
| 2.8 | Conjoint Analysis | 28 |
| 2.9 | Deterministic Model in a Time Series | 29 |
| 2.10 | Multiple Regression Analysis | 29 |
| 2.11 | Multivariate Analysis | 30 |
| 2.12 | Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) Model | 31 |
| 2.13 | Vector Error Correction (VEC) Model | 32 |
| 2.14 | Factor Analysis | 33 |
| 2.15 | Conceptual Framework | 35 |
| 2.16 | Research Questions | 36 |
| СНАРТ | TER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS | |
| 3.1 | Secondary Data | 37 |
| 3.1.1 | Stationary Test | 38 |
| 3.1.2 | Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test | 39 |
| 3.1.3 | Determination of Lags Order | 39 |
| 3.1.4 | Co-integration Test | 40 |
| 3.1.5 | Multivariate Vector Error Correction (VEC) Model | 40 |
| 3.1.6 | Granger-Causality Test | 41 |
| 3.1.7 | Lagrange- Multiplier Test of Autocorrelation | 42 |
| 3.1.8 | Jarque – Bera Test for Normality Distributed Disturbances | 42 |
| 3.2 | Primary Data | 43 |
| 3.2.1 | Sample Selection and Data Collection | 44 |
| 3.2.2 | Population and Sampling Frame | 45 |
| 3.2.3 | Exploratory Factor Analysis | 47 |
| 3.2.4 | Assumptions of Exploratory Factor Analysis | 50 |

| 3.2.5 | Kaiser – Meyer - Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy | 50 |
|---------|--|------|
| 3.2.6 | Bartlett's Test of Sphericity | 51 |
| 3.2.7 | Bivariate Correlation Analysis | 51 |
| 3.2.8 | Cronbach Alpha | 51 |
| 3.3 | Data Analysis Software | 52 |
| CHAPT | ER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | |
| 4.1 | Results based on Secondary Data | 53 |
| 4.1.1 | Relationship between Number of International Tourist and their Avera | age |
| | Length of Stay towards Share of GDP of Tourism in Nepal | 53 |
| 4.1.1.1 | Augmented Dickey Fuller Test | 54 |
| 4.1.1.2 | Lag Order Selection Criteria | 54 |
| 4.1.1.3 | Johansen Test of Co-integration | 55 |
| 4.1.1.4 | Co-integration Equation | 56 |
| 4.1.1.5 | Error Correction Terms | 56 |
| 4.1.1.6 | Granger Causality Test | 57 |
| 4.1.1.7 | Lagrange - Multiplier Test of Autocorrelation | 58 |
| 4.1.1.8 | Jarque – Bera Test for Normally Distributed Disturbances | 59 |
| 4.1.2 | Relationship between Number of international Tourists and their Aver | rage |
| | Length of Stay towards Foreign Exchange Earnings from Tourism | 59 |
| 4.1.2.1 | Augmented Dickey Fuller Test | 60 |
| 4.1.2.2 | Lag Order Selection Criteria | 61 |
| 4.1.2.3 | Johansen Test of Co-integration | 61 |
| 4.1.2.4 | Co-integration Equation | 62 |
| 4.1.2.5 | Error Correction Terms | 63 |
| 4.1.2.6 | Granger Causality Test | 64 |
| 4.1.2.7 | Lagrange- Multiplier Test of Autocorrelation | 65 |
| 4.1.2.8 | Jarque –Bera Test for Normally Distributed Disturbances | 65 |

| 4.1.3 | Relationship between Number of International Tourists and their Averag | |
|---------|--|-----|
| | Length of Stay towards Average Expenditure per Visitor | 66 |
| 4.1.3.1 | Augmented Dickey Fuller Test | 66 |
| 4.1.3.2 | Lag Order Selection Criteria | 67 |
| 4.1.3.3 | Johansen Test of Co-integration | 68 |
| 4.1.3.4 | Co-integration Equation | 68 |
| 4.1.3.5 | Error Correction Terms | 69 |
| 4.1.3.6 | Granger Causality Test | 70 |
| 4.1.3.7 | Lagrange- Multiplier Test of Autocorrelation | 71 |
| 4.1.3.8 | Jarque -Bera Test for Normality Distributed Disturbances | 72 |
| 4.1.4 | Relationship between Foreign Exchange from Tourism and Average | |
| | Expenditure per Visitor towards Share of GDP from Tourism | 72 |
| 4.1.4.1 | Augmented Dickey Fuller Test | 73 |
| 4.1.4.2 | Lag Order Selection Criteria | 74 |
| 4.1.4.3 | Johansen Test of Co-integration | 74 |
| 4.1.4.4 | Co-integration Equation | 75 |
| 4.1.4.5 | Error Correction Term | 76 |
| 4.1.4.6 | Granger Causality Test | 77 |
| 4.1.4.7 | Lagrange- Multiplier Test of Autocorrelation | 78 |
| 4.1.4.8 | Jarque -Bera Test for Normality Distributed Disturbances | 78 |
| 4.2 | Results based on Primary Data | 78 |
| 4.2.1 | Socio-demographic Profile of Respondents | 80 |
| 4.2.2 | Exploratory Factor Analysis for Residents' Attitude towards | |
| | Socio-economic Impact of Tourism | 82 |
| 4.2.2.1 | Positive Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector | 82 |
| 4.2.2.2 | Negative Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector | 87 |
| 4.2.2.3 | Positive Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 93 |
| 4.2.2.4 | Negative Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 99 |
| 4.2.2.5 | Scale Evaluation | 104 |

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| 5.1 | Conclusion | 106 |
|---|-----------------|-----|
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 115 |
| CHAP' | TER 6: SUMMARY | 121 |
| REFERENCES | | 135 |
| Publication/Seminar Presentation | | 153 |
| APPEN | NDIXES | |

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ADF Augmented Dickey Fuller

AIC Akaike Information Criteria

AIDS Almost Ideal Demand System

ARDL Autoregressive Distributed Lag Model

ARIMA Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average

AVLS Average Length of Stay

CFA Confirmative Factor Analysis

EARN Earnings from Tourism

EFA Exploratory Factor Analysis

ECT Error Correction Terms

EXPV Expenditure per Visitor

GDP Gross Domestic Product

IMF International Monetary Found

IOM Input Output Model

KMO Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin

LM Lagrange Multiplier

MOTCA Ministry of Tourism and Civil Aviation

NIE Negative Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector

NIS Negative Impact of Tourism on Social Sector

OECD Organization and Economic Cooperation and Development

PIE Positive Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector

PIS Positive Impact of Tourism on Social Sector

SBIC Schwartz Bayesian Information Criteria

SMC Squared Multiple Correlation

TOUR Number of International Tourist

UK United Kingdom

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

USA United States of America

VAR Vector Auto Regressive

VDC Village District Committee

VEC Vector Error Correction

WTO World Tourism Organization

WTTC World Travel and Tourism Council

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page No |
|------------------|---|---------|
| Table 1: | Population and Sampling Frame | 46 |
| Table 2: | Results of ADF Test on GDP, TOUR and AVLS | 54 |
| Table 3: | Results of Lag Order Selection Criteria | 55 |
| Table 4: | Results of Johansen Test of Co-integration | 55 |
| Table 5: | Long Run Relationship between GDP, TOUR and AVLS | 56 |
| Table 6: | Summary of Error Correction Terms (ECT) | 57 |
| Table 7: | Results of Granger Causality Test | 58 |
| Table 8: | Results of L-M Test of Autocorrelation | 59 |
| Table 9: | Results of Jarque-Bera Test Normality | 59 |
| Table 10: | Results of ADF Test on EARN, TOUR and AVLS | 60 |
| Table 11: | Result of Lag Order Selection Criteria | 61 |
| Table 12: | Results of Johansen Test of Co-integration | 61 |
| Table 13: | Long Run Relationship between EARN, TOUR and AVLS | 62 |
| Table 14: | Result of Coefficient of Error Correction Terms (ECT) | 63 |
| Table 15: | Results of Granger Causality Test | 64 |
| Table 16: | Results of L- M Test of Autocorrelation | 65 |
| Table 17: | Results of Jarque –Bera Test for Normality | 65 |
| Table 18: | Results of ADF Test on EXPV, TOUR and AVLS | 67 |
| Table 19: | Results of Lag order selection Criteria | 67 |
| Table 20: | Results of Johansen Test of Co-integration | 68 |
| Table 21: | Long Run Relationship between EXPV, TOUR and AVLS | 69 |
| Table 22: | Result of Coefficient of Error Correction Terms (ECT) | 70 |
| Table 23: | Results of Granger Causality Test | 71 |
| Table 24: | Results of L- M Test of Autocorrelation | 72 |
| Table 25: | Results of Jarque –Bera Test for Normality | 72 |
| Table 26: | Results of ADF Test on GDP, EARN and EXPV | 73 |
| Table 27: | Results of Lag Order Selection | 74 |
| Table 28: | Results of Johansen Test of Co-integration | 74 |

| Table | 29: | Long Run Relationship between GDP, EARN and EXPV | 75 |
|-------|-----|--|-----|
| Table | 30: | Results of Coefficient of Error Correction Terms (ECT) | 76 |
| Table | 31: | Results of Ganger Causality Test | 77 |
| Table | 32: | Results of L- M Test of Autocorrelation | 78 |
| Table | 33: | Results of Jarque –Bera Test for Normality | 78 |
| Table | 34: | Socio-demographic Profile of Respondents | 80 |
| Table | 35: | Correlation Matrix of Retained Items for Positive Impact of Tourism on | |
| | | Economic Sector | 83 |
| Table | 36: | KMO and Bartlett's Test for Positive Impact of Tourism on Economic | |
| | | Sector | 83 |
| Table | 37: | Rotated Factor Solution of Positive Impact of Tourism on Economic | |
| | | Sector | 85 |
| Table | 38: | Mean Score of Scale for Positive Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector | 86 |
| Table | 39: | Reliability Statistics for Positive Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector | 87 |
| Table | 40: | Correlation Matrix of Retained Items for Negative Impact of Tourism on | |
| | | Economic Sector | 88 |
| Table | 41: | KMO and Bartlett's Test for Negative Impact of Tourism on Economic | |
| | | Sector | 89 |
| Table | 42: | Rotated Factor Solution of Negative Impact of Tourism on Economic | |
| | | Sector | 90 |
| Table | 43: | Mean Score of Scale for Negative Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector | 92 |
| Table | 44: | Reliability Statistics for Negative Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector | 92 |
| Table | 45: | Correlation Matrix of Retained Items for Positive Impact of Tourism on | |
| | | Social Sector | 94 |
| Table | 46: | KMO and Bartlett's Test for Positive Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 94 |
| Table | 47: | Rotated Factor Solution of Positive Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 96 |
| Table | 48: | Mean Score of Scale for Positive Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 97 |
| Table | 49: | Reliability Statistics for Positive Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 98 |
| Table | 50: | Correlation Matrix of Retained Items for Negative Impact of Tourism on | |
| | | Social Sector | 100 |
| Table | 51: | KMO and Bartlett's Test for Negative Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 100 |

| Table 52: | Rotated Factor Solution of Negative Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 102 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 53: | Mean Score Scale for Negative Social Impact of Tourism | 102 |
| Table 54: | Reliability Statistics for Negative Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 103 |
| Table 55: | Summary Measures of PIE, NIE, PIS and NIS | 104 |
| Table 56: | Correlation Matrix of Summated Scale for PIE, NIE, PIS and NIS | 105 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page No. |
|-----------|--|----------|
| Figure 1: | Framework for Impact of Tourism in Socio-economic Developmen | t of |
| | Nepal | 35 |
| Figure 2: | Scree plot for Positive Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector | 84 |
| Figure 3: | Scree plot for Negative Impact of Tourism on Economic Sector | 90 |
| Figure 4: | Scree plot for Positive Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 95 |
| Figure 5: | Scree plot for Negative Impact of Tourism on Social Sector | 101 |