# PREVALENCE OF GASTROINTESTINAL PARASITES IN BUFFALO (*Bubalus bubalis*) IN MALARANI RURAL MUNICIPALITY OF ARGHAKHANCHI, NEPAL



T.U. Registration No.: 5-2-50-217-2015

Symbol no: Zoo 811/076

Batch: 2076

A Thesis submitted

In the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science in Zoology with special paper Parasitology

### Submitted to

Central Department of Zoology

Institute of Science and Technology

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

May, 2023

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work presented in this thesis has been done by myself and has not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any degree. All source of information have been specifically acknowledged by reference to the author(s) or institutions(s).

Date: - 2080-01-30

Basanti Chhetri



TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY प्राणी शास्त्र केन्द्रीय विभाग URL: www.cdztu.edu.np CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY

त्रिभवन विश्वविद्यालय

पत्र संख्या :-च.नं. Ref.No.:-

कीतिपर. काठमाडी नेपालग Kirtipur, Kat NU., Kirtipu

## RECOMMENDATION

This is to recommend that the thesis entitled "PREVALENCE OF GASTROINTESTINAL PARASITES IN BUFFALO (Bubalus bubalis) IN MALARANI RURAL MUNICIPALITY OF ARGHAKHANCHI, NEPAL" has been carried out by Basanti Chhetri for the partial fulfillment of Master's Degree of Science in Zoology with special paper Parasitology. This is her original work and has been carried out under my supervision. To the best of my knowledge, this thesis work has not been submitted for any other degree in any institutions.

Date: - 2080-01-30

Prof. Dr. Mahendra Maharjan

Supervisor

Central Department of Zoology

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal



पत्र संख्या :-च.नं. Ref.No.:-

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal. LETTER OF APPROVAL

On the recommendation of supervisor "Prof. Dr. Mahendra Maharjan" this thesis submitted by Basanti Chhetri entitled "**PREVALENCE OF GASTROINTESTINAL PARASITES IN BUFFALO (***Bubalus bubalis***) IN MALARANI RURAL <b>MUNICIPALITY OF ARGHAKHANCHI, NEPAL**" is approved for the examination and submitted to the Tribhuvan University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master's Degree of Science in Zoology with special paper Parasitology.

iv

Date: - 2080-01-31

Prof. Dr. Kumar Sapkota

Head of Department

Central Department of Zoology

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal



त्रिभुवन विश्वविद्यालय TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY प्राणी शास्त्र केन्द्रीय विभाग URL: www.cdztu.edu.np CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY

पत्र संख्या :-च.नं. Ref.No.:-



## CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE

This thesis work submitted by Basanti Chhetri entitled "PREVALENCE OF GASTROINTESTINAL PARASITES IN BUFFALO (Bubalus bubalis) IN MALARANI RURAL MUNICIPALITY OF ARGHAKHANCHI, NEPAL" has been accepted as a partial fulfillment for the requirements of Master's Degree of Science in Zoology with special paper Parasitology.

#### **EVALUATION COMMITTEE**

Supervisor

### Prof. Dr. Mahendra Maharjan

Central Department of Zoology

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

External Examiner

#### Dr. Tirth Raj Ghimire

Trichandra Multiple Campus

Head of Department

Prof. Dr. Kumar Sapkota

Central Department of Zoology

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Grandey

Internal Examiner

**Dr. Kishor Pandey** 

Central Department of Zoology

Date of Examination: - 2023/07/07

V

### ACKNOWEDGEMENT

I would like to express my heartily gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Mahendra Maharjan Central Department of Zoology, T.U. for supervision, guidance, invaluable suggestion and support throughout my study. I am thankful to our honorable Head of Department Prof. Dr. Kumar Sapkota, Central Department of Zoology, T.U. for his kind cooperation and support. I am grateful to all the professors, lecturers and staffs of Central Department of Zoology. I also want to express my gratitude to Dr. Tanka Prasad Prasai, the chief veterinarian of Central Referral Veterinary Hospital, for allowing me to do a laboratory examination there. For providing me with laboratory facilities, I would like to thank Lab Officer Mrs. Kamala Mishra and Technical Assistant Mr. Ganesh Lama. It is indeed with a great sense of pleasure and immense sense of gratitude that I acknowledge the help of these individuals.

I would like to thank Niten Bharati and Santosh Pokhrel for helping me in my work. I would like to express gratitude to each and every homeowner from where the samples were obtained. I also like to thank all the people that worked along with me with their patience as they created an enjoyable working environment. I am very appreciative of my parents' ongoing support and advice during this time of education.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DECLARATIONi	i
RECOMMENDATION ii	i
LETTER OF APPROVALiv	V
CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE	V
ACKNOWEDGEMENTv	i
TABLE OF CONTENTSvi	i
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURESx	i
LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHSxi	i
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii	i
ABSTRACTxiv	V
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Agricultural practices of buffaloes in Nepal	2
1.3 Diseases of buffaloes	2
1.4 Veterinary services	3
1.5 Significance of the study	4
1.6 Objectives of the study	4
1.6.1 General objectives	4
1.6.2 Specific objectives	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Global distribution of gastrointestinal parasites	5
2.1.1 Protozoan parasites	5
2.1.2 Helminths parasites	5
2.2 Distribution of gastrointestinal parasites in Nepal	8
2.2.1 Protozoan	8

2.2.2 Helminths	8
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	10
3.1 Study Area	10
3.2 Materials	11
3.2.1 Materials for field	11
3.2.2 Materials for laboratory	11
3.3.3 Chemicals	11
3.3 Study design	11
3.3.1 Sample size	11
3.3.2 Sample collection/preservation	11
3.4 Microscopic examination	12
3.4.1 Iodine wet method	12
3.4.2 Concentration techniques	13
3.4.2.1 Floatation technique	13
3.4.2.2 Sedimentation technique	13
3.4.3 Determination of concurrency and intensity	13
3.4.3.1 Concurrency	13
3.4.3.2 Intensity	14
3.5 Eggs, cysts and larva size measurement	14
3.6 Interview format	14
3.7 Data analysis	14
4. RESULTS	15
4.1 Age wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of buffaloes	15
4.2 Species wise gastrointestinal parasitic prevalence in young and adult but	ıffaloes.
	15
4.3 Overall ward wise prevalence of GIs parasites in buffaloes	16
4.4 Ward wise prevalence of GIs parasites in buffaloes	17

4.5 Class wise distribution of GIs parasite in buffaloes	
4.7 Intensity of Parasites	18
4.8 Response of owner toward questionnaire	19
5. DISCUSSION	21
6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	23
6.1 CONCLUSION	23
6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	23
REFERENCES	24
APPENDIX I	32
APPENDIX II	34
APPENDIX III	35

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1:</b> Gastrointestinal parasitic prevalence in young and adult buffaloes	6
Table 2: Ward wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite with specific species1	7
Table 3: Overall concurrency of parasites         1	8
Table 4: Overall intensity of specific parasites	9
Table 5: Overall response of questionnaire    2	.0

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1: Showing Study Area	10
Figure 2: Flow chart showing research outline	.12
Figure 3: Age wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of buffaloes	15
Figure 4: Ward wise prevalence of GIs parasitic infection in buffaloes	17
Figure 5: Class wise prevalence of GIs parasites in buffaloes	18

## LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

Photograph	Title of photograph	Pages
Photo 1:	Nematodirus spp	31
Photo 2	Strongyle	31
Photo 3:	Fasciola spp	31
Photo 4:	Paramphistomum spp	31
Photo 5:	Capillaria spp	31
Photo 6:	Entamoeba spp	31
Photo 7:	<i>Moniezia</i> spp	32
Photo 8:	Ascaris spp	32
Photo 9:	<i>Eimeria</i> spp	32
Photo 10:	Eurytrema spp	32
Photo 11:	Microscopic examination	33
Photo 12:	Sample collection	33
Photo 13:	Questionnaire survey	33
Photo 14:	Concentration method	33

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviated form	Details of abbreviations
GI	Gastrointestinal
gm	Gram
μm	Micrometer
km <sup>2</sup>	Square Kilometer
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
MoAD	Ministry of Agriculture Development
P value	Probability value
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
IBM	International Business Machine
VDC	Village Development Committee
χ2	Chi square
df	Degree of freedom
rpm	Rotation per minute

#### ABSTRACT

The primary component of Nepal's agricultural system and a substantial contributor to the country's economy is livestock. Buffaloes are one of the main types of livestock grown in Nepal. Total 200 fecal samples were collected in order to determine the prevalence and distribution of gastrointestinal parasites in buffaloes of Arghakhanchi, Nepal. The samples were microscopically examined by direct wet mount, sedimentation, and floatation techniques. One or more intestinal parasites were detected in 125 (62.5%) of the samples. Female buffaloes (58.5%) had a higher frequency of parasitic infection than male buffaloes (4%). Among the 200 samples, 60 were collected from young buffaloes and 140 from adults. Age wise prevalence of parasitic infection indicated that 32% in young and 68% in adult buffaloes were found infected. Adult buffaloes had a significantly high parasitic infection compared to young one  $((\chi 2=16.20, df=1, p<0.05))$ . Protozoan parasites *Eimeria* and *Entamoeba* were found equally common in young and adult buffaloes. Trematodes including Fasciola, Paramphistomum, and Eurytrema, which are helminths, were more abundant in adult buffaloes. Except for Ascaris and Capillaria, other nematodes had a higher prevalence in adult buffaloes. Only adult buffaloes were found to be infected with cestode parasite. Buffaloes of Malarani rural municipality were found to be highly infected with Ascaris sp and *Entamoeba* sp belonging to helminth and protozoan parasites respectively. Ward wise parasitic prevalence rate indicated that the distribution of parasitic prevalence was not significant ( $\chi 2=1.216$ , df=2, p>0.05). Overall parasitic prevalence showed protozoa (42.50%), nematodes (37%), trematodes (15.50%), and cestodes (1%) infection in buffaloes of study area. Overall, ten different parasitic infection was found in buffaloes of Malarani rural municipality with high prevalence indicating urgent need of intervention programms.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Background

The domestic water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) belong to the family Bovidae and subfamily bovinae. Swamp buffalo and water buffalo are two separate categories of buffaloes. The swamp buffaloes are frequently used as draught animal and are mostly found in Southeast Asia. In terms of morphology, swamp buffaloes resemble the wild buffaloes of species *arni*. Water buffaloes are the most prevalent breed in countries like India, Nepal, Pakistan, Bulgaria, Hungary, Turkey, Italy, Egypt, and Brazil (Cockrill, 1994,1997). They are large, usually with curled horns, and prefer to wade in clear rivers. More Water buffaloes have been chosen for their ability to produce milk. About 48.5% of households in the nation raise buffaloes, moving them from the plains to the Himalayan highlands' high alpine meadows for summer grazing, which may be the highest location on earth for buffalo rearing. In the developing countries like Nepal and other Asian country, livestock is a crucial part of small-scale crop-livestock mixed farming systems and a primary source of many essential dietary elements (Devendra *et al.*, 2002). The main limitations on the production of ruminants in Nepal and around the world are significantly influenced by parasite infestations.

Gastrointestinal parasitic infection generates significant global economic loss as a result of decreased weight gain, digestive disruption, decreased production, impaired reproductive performance, condemnation of affected organs, and mortality in infected animals (Raza *et al.*, 2007). Gastrointestinal parasites such as coccidian, ascarid, strongyle, and amphistomes have been identified in nations with tropical and temperate climates, including India, Nepal, Bangladesh, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Italy, and Mongolia with a prevalence rate ranging from 20 to 96% (Sharma *et al.*, 2014 and Gebeyehu., *et al*, 2013). Over the past ten years, anthelmintics and antiprotozoal medications have been utilized to control gastrointestinal parasite infections (Gordon, 1935) but no one has been able to completely diminish reinfestation (Sutherland and Scott, 2010). Therefore, to control and manage parasitic diseases at the earliest stages of infection in farm management methods, periodic monitoring of parasite species among livestock animals would be helpful.

#### 1.2 Agricultural practices of buffaloes in Nepal

Buffalo farming is the integral component of rural economy. They are raised for milk, meat, draft power and manure. According to FAO (2012), there are 199 million buffalo globally, among them 96% of the population exist in Asia. There were 5,159,931 buffalo heads in Nepal contributing about 57.3% of Nepal's yearly milk production MoAD 2020/21. The three breeds of Hill buffalo are lime, parkote, and gaddi. Lime is more prevalent in the northern high mountain ranges, whereas parkote are more prevalent in the southern mid hills. Far-western Development Region is where Gaddi buffaloes can be found (Rasali, 1998). However, terai buffalo are considered as non-discript type. The main sources of food in Nepal include leaves from fodder trees, grasses, legumes, straw, stove d on the nutrients found in fodder tree leaves, grasses, and legumes (Osti et *al.*, 2006). Due of the terraced hills in the highlands and mountains, buffaloes are not utilized as draft animals. Most homes raise at least one buffalo to produce milk and dung, and they sell the male calves or adult males to make money for their families.

Eighty six percent of Nepal's population live in rural areas. In Arghakhanchi most of people involve in farming. Livestock rearing play a significant role in agriculture and source of incomes for farmers. There are 98,517 population of buffalo reared in Arghakhanchi district producing 7.86% of milk in Lumbini province MoAD (2020/21). After Kavre, Arghakhanchi has also become an independent milk-producing district, with average annual milk sales of Rs 70 million by Rastriya Samachar Samiti 2017. According to Bishnu Poudel (2017), a veterinarian at the District Livestock Service Office (DLSO), most of the overall production is consumed in the district itself, with some being shipped to Palpa and Gulmi as outlying districts. In order to increase the farmers' production of dairy products, the DLSO has been offering technical support and subsidies to those engaged in commercial animal husbandry.

#### **1.3 Diseases of buffaloes**

Water buffalo are renowned for their ruggedness and high adaptation to various topographies, soils, and climatic conditions. They are nevertheless susceptible to contracting a number of infectious diseases, which have a significant negative impact on their capacity for production. Some of the diseases affecting buffaloes have been categorized as follows:- Bacterial diseases- Anthrax, Black Quarters, Hemorrhagic

Septicaemia, Bovine Brucellosis, Tuberculosis; Viral diseases- Foot and Mouth diseases, Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitid, Bovine Viral diarrhea, Rabies; Parasitic diseases-Theilariasis, Babesiosis, Anaplasmosis, Trypanosomiasis, Strongylosis, Ascariasis, Coccidiasis, Fasciolosis, Schistosomiasis; Neonatal dirrheal diseases-Cryptosporidiosis; Fungal diseases- Deg Nala diseases (Fagiolo *et al.*, 2004).

The virus damages the villi as soon as it enters the small intestine, essentially preventing the animal from absorbing nutrients, which causes dehydration. The infected calves typically don't have a fever during the virus's 12- to 24-hour incubation period (Jakobsson, 2013). Water buffalo are susceptible to the disease Deg Nala, which causes lameness, edema of the extremities, gangrenous ulcers or necrosis of the mouth, ears, hooves, and tail (sloughing of epidermis), general wasting, recumbency, and ultimately death (Reddy et al., 2016). This causes a sizable reduction in milk production, which has not yet been quantified. Epithelial cells, dendritic cells, macrophages, and placental trophoblasts can all be invaded by *Brucella abortus*. According to reports, cattle and buffaloes were the main sources of B. abortus (Wareth et al., 2014). Crytosporidiosis causes diarrhea in humans and animals, although it is self-limiting in hosts with a functioning immune system. Additionally, young, immune-competent hosts could pass away from life-threatening diarrhea (Chalmers et al., 2010 and Xiao et al., 2003). While anemia, wasting, submandibular edema, and decreased milk production are among the clinical manifestations of chronic fasciolosis, heavily infected cattle do not show any clinical signs despite having severe liver damage and a 7-10week survival rate in subacute fasciolosis cases (Kaplan et al., 1995).

#### **1.4 Veterinary services**

In Arghakhanchi, district veterinary hospital located in Gorusinghe road, Sandhikhankha. Services available in veterinary hospital are: Behavior counseling, Dental care, Health certificates, Lab services, Nutrition consultation and pet emergency services. Malarani rural municipality contains nine words, each of which have veterinary clinics with individual veterinarian personnel. This clinic provides free medicine like antiparasitic drug and vitamin, and home services to the people of this area.

### 1.5 Significance of the study

Buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) is one of the important livestock which play a significant role to increase the Nepalese economy. In Nepal, different species of GI parasites was reported in buffaloes. Till the dates parasitic studies among the buffalo has not been carried out in Malarani rural municipality. Similarly, the study conducted in Pokharathok VDC showed 68% of overall prevalence and gastrointestinal parasitic infection in ruminant livestock become the biggest problem for both small and large-scale farmers (Devi, 2012). Besides, Buffalo milk is used in the dairy industry to make mozzarella, cream, yogurt, and butter since it has more fat and protein than cow's milk (Coroian, 2012). The present study provides some baseline for the parasitic information on buffaloes and also help to formulate the strategies to reduce the GI parasitic problem in Malarani rural municipality.

### 1.6 Objectives of the study

### 1.6.1 General objectives

• To determine the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) of Malarani rural municipality, Arghakhanchi, Nepal.

### **1.6.2 Specific objectives**

- To find out the distribution of gastrointestinal parasites of buffalo in Malarani rural municipality, Arghakhanchi, Nepal.
- To investigate the intensity and concurrency of GIs parasites.
- To assess the knowledge, attitude and practices of buffalo's owner in relation to parasitic infection.

### **2 LITERATURE REVIEW**

Endoparasitic infection among the large ruminant are most common in topical and subtropical countries. Parasitic infection causes direct and indirect effect on normal functioning of host body which ultimately induce the loss of economy for the farmers. The ruminant digestive tract is home to helminth infections, which are both common and seasonal and have a considerable negative influence on animal productivity (Charlier *et al.*, 2014).

### 2.1 Global distribution of gastrointestinal parasites

### 2.1.1 Protozoan parasites

Protozoan infections are a significant barrier to the development of dairy production worldwide, but especially in developing countries (Om et al., 2010). Among the protozoan parasites, coccidiosis can be a serious disease in livestock. More than 11 species of *Eimeria* are commonly found in water buffalo (Dubey, 2018). Nalbantoglu et al., (2008) had reported Isospors spp. for the first time in the province of Afyon in water buffaloes including E. zuernii (55.1%), E. auburnensis (44.9%), E. bovis (44.9%), E. ellipsoidalis (28.2%), E. ankarensis (16.7%), E. subspherica (16.7%), E. alabamensis (11.5%), E. cylindrica (10.3%). Infection with Eimeria parasites has been reported in several parts of India such as Gujarat (Thakre et al., 2019), Jabalpur (Marskole et al., 2016). Similarly, Eimeria infection has been reported from Egypt (El-Alfy et al., 2019), Romania (Bărburaș et al., 2021), Pakistan (Khan et al., 2023), Turkey (Nalbantoglu et al., 2008), Sri Lanka (Gunathilaka et al., 2018), Indonesia (Nurhidayah et al., 2019), Central Ethiopia (Terfa et al., 2023). Besides coccidian parasites, buffaloes have been found to be infected with Buxtonella sulcate in Andhra Pradesh, India, Romania(Bărburaș et al., 2021). Likewise, Entamoeba spp. in Pakistan (Khan et al., 2023).

#### 2.1.2 Helminths parasites

Helminth parasites can be very harmful to animal populations' health and result in significant economic losses. The prevalence of fascioliasis is global (Blood *et al.*, 1990). helminthiasis lead to slowed growth (Kochapakdee *et al.*, 1995), decreased productivity (Perry and Randolph, 1999), death (Sykes, 1994), and significant economic losses (Iqbal *et al.* 1993), which have an impact on the revenue of small-scale dairy

farming groups. Rumen fluke is more common in tropical and subtropical regions because the climatic conditions are favorable for intermediate hosts like mud snails or freshwater snails to develop and finish the parasite life cycle (Gordon *et al.*, 2013; Hajipour *et al.*, 2021). Mixed infections with *Fasciola gigantica* and *Amphistomes* were common (Saha *et al.*, 2014). *Fasciola hepatica* has been reported in Romania (Bărburaş et al., 2021), Poland (Kobak & Pilarczyk, 2012) and Italy (Cringoli *et al.*, 2009). *Fasciola gigantica* has been reported in Bangladesh (Saha *et al.*, 2014) and India (Marskole *et al.*, 2016).

Similarly, *Paramphistomum* is the intestinal fluke which causes enteritis and anaemia in livestock. Since mud snails and freshwater snails are suitable intermediary hosts for the development and completion of the parasite life cycle, rumen fluke is more prevalent in tropical and subtropical regions (Gordon *et al.*, 2013; Hajipour *et al.*, 2021). *Paramphistomum* sp. has been recored in different Asian countries like India (Yadav, 2020), Bangladesh (Saha *et al.*, 2014), Europe, Italy (Cringoli *et al.*, 2009), Sri Lanka (Gunathilaka *et al.*, 2018). In addition, *Paramphistomum cervi* recorded in Poland (Kobak & Pilarczyk, 2012) and Romania (Bărburaş *et al.*, 2021).

*Schiostosoma* is the genus trematode, known as blood fluke and it cause nasal, and viceral diseases in animals. *Schistosoma bavis, S.indicum and S. spindale* have been repoted in Bangaldesh by shan (2014) and (Manum *et al.,* 2011). Likewise, *Dicrocoelium dentriticum*, the lancet liver fluke that dwells in bile duct, canaliculi and gall bladder of host animal. *Dicrocoelium dentriticum* has been reported in Italy (Cringoli *et al.,* 2009).

The most common nematode parasites among livestock are *Haemonchus* spp, *Taxocara* spp, *Strongyloids* spp, *Oesophagostomum* spp, *Bunostomum* spp, *Trichuris* spp, *Trichostrongylus* spp and *Capillaria* spp. Nemotodes infections are a significant contributor to economic loss on farms all over the world. Clinical illness or slower development rates in young animals as well as decreases in milk production in adult cows are the main causes of the losses. *Strongyloides* and strongyle has been recorded in India (Manzer, 2022) and (Marskole *et al.*, 2016), Romania (Bărburaş *et al.*, 2021), Indonesia (Nurhidayah *et al.*, 2019), Italy (Cringoli *et al.*, 2009).

Similarly, *Trichostrongylus* also known as pseusdohookworm which exist in stomach or gut of ruminant worldwide. *Trichostrongylus* has been recorded in the buffalo of

India (Khadse *et al.*, 2022), Pakistan (Khan *et al.*, 2010). *Trichostrongylus axei* has also been reported in buffaloes of Bangladesh (Saha *et al.*, 2014) and India (Patel *et al.*, 2015) and cattle of Nigeria (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2022).

*Taxocara vitolorum* is the round worm of Bubalus and boss species found mostly throughout the world (Starke-Buzetti, 2006). *T. vitolorum* is one of the most harmful parasites that affect calves, and when its larvae migrate, it severely damages several organs, most specially the liver and the gut. It can cause up to 50% of the mortality in calves of cattle and buffalo (Srivastava and Sharma, 1981). *Taxocara* spp. has been reported in buffaloes of India (Khadse *et al.*, 2022), Bangladesh (Kanu *et al.*, 2021), Indonesia (Saukhan *et al.*, 2022), Romania (Bărburaş *et al.*, 2021), Combodia (Dorny *et al.*, 2015) and America (Goossens *et al.*, 2007).

*Trichuris* sp. is commonly known as whipworm found in livestock worldwide (Matsubayashi *et al.*, 2009). Heavy infections with this parasite caused diarrhea, anorexia and weight loss (Jiménez *et al* 2010). Trichuris sp. has been recorded in India (Khadse *et al.*, 2022) (Chavhan *et al.*, 2008) (Manzer, 2022), Sri Lanka (Gunathilaka *et al.*, 2018), Indonesia (Nurhidayah *et al.*, 2019), Pakistan (Khan *et al.*, 2010). *Haemonchus* spp has been recorded in India (Khadse *et al.*, 2022), Pakistan ( Khan *et al.*, 2010). *Haemonchus* spp has been recorded in India (Khadse *et al.*, 2022), Pakistan ( Khan *et al.*, 2021), Jran (Nouri *et al.*, 2022). *Oesophagostomum spp*. has been identified in India (Khadse *et al.*, 2022), Pakistan (Khan *et al.*, 2010), Iran (Nouri *et al.*, 2022). *Bunostomum* sp has been found in Sri Lanka(Gunathilaka *et al.*, 2018), India (Khadse *et al.*, 2022). *Capillaris* spp has been recorded in Romania (Bărburaş *et al.*, 2021), Bangladesh (Biswas, 2012).

There are various cestode species, including *Moniezia* spp., *Taenia* spp. and *Echinococcus* spp. that live in the small intestines of both domestic and wild animals, including sheep, goats, cows, buffalo and other ruminants. Cestode has been recorded in buffalo of India (Thakre *et al.*, 2019), (Marskole *et al.*, 2016),Romania (Bărburaș *et al.*, 2021), Pakistan (Khan *et al.*, 2021; Khan *et al.*, 2010), Italy (Cringoli *et al.*, 2009), Sri Lanka (Gunathilaka *et al.*, 2018), while some study has showed nematode and trematode only by (Kobak & Pilarczyk, 2012; Saha *et al.*, 2014).

### 2.2 Distribution of gastrointestinal parasites in Nepal

### 2.2.1 Protozoan

In the context of Nepal, limited study has been conducted on protozoan parasites rather than helminths. *Eimeria* sp. has been recorded in buffaloes of Chitwan (Adhikari & Dhakal, 2023),Satari and Udaypur district KTWR (Gupta, 2017), Chitwan Annapurna Landscape (Dhakal *et al.*, 2022). *Cryptosporidium* spp. has been recorded by Chalisa (2013) in KTWR and in Chitwan Annapurna Landscape(Dhakal *et al.*, 2022). *Entamoeba* sp. and *Balantidium coli* has also been recorded in captive buffalo Chitwan (Adhikari and Dhakal, 2023).

#### 2.2.2 Helminths

Helminth parasites have a negative impact on farm animal breeding; they harm the host either directly or indirectly. It includes nematode, trematode and cestode which are found in liver, bile duct and digestive tract of host body. *Fasciola* spp. is one of the most important pathogen species which cause the diseases fascioliasis. *Fasciola* spp and *Paramphistomum* spp has been identified in buffalo of Kavreplanchok (Tamang and Sukupayo,2022), Chitwan (Adhikari and Dhakal, 2023),Chitwan Annapurna Landscape (Dhakal *et al.*, 2022), Dharampur, Dhanusha district (Saha, 2015), Pokharathok, Arghakhanchi (Devi,2012),wild buffalo of Saptari and Udaypur district KTWR (Gupta, 2017), Satungal, Kathmandu (Mukhiya, 2007). Similarly, *Schistosoma* spp has been recorded in buffaloes of Chitwan (Adhikari and Dhakal, 2023), Arghakhanchi (Devi,2012), Kathmandu (Mukhiya, 2007).

Similarly, Nematodes are most important and prevalent parasites among the small and large ruminant. These parasites complete the life cycle within single host and transmitted by feacal contamination food, water and soil. *Taxocara* spp, *Haemonchus* spp and *Strongyloids* spp has been recorded in the buffaloes of Chitwan (Adhikari and Dhakal, 2023), in wild buffaloes of Saptari and Udaypur district KTWR (Gupta, 2017) , Chitwan Annapurna Landscape (Dhakal *et al.*, 2022), Dhanusha district (Shah,2015), Arghakhanchi (Devi,2012), Kathmandu (Mukhiya, 2007). *Trichostrongylus* sp has been recorded in Kavreplanchok (Tamang and Sukupayo, 2022), KTWR (Gupta, 2017), Chitwan Annapurna Landscape (Dhakal *et al.*, 2022). Arghakhanchi (Devi,2012). Likewise, *Trichuris* spp and *Capillaria* spp has been identified in buffaloes of Chitwan

(Adhikari and Dhakal, 2023), Pokharathol, Arghakhanchi (Devi, 2012). Satungal Kathmandu (Mukhiya, 2007).

Cestode are the ribbon like flat worm which found in gut of ruminant. These parasites required intermediate host to complete the life cycle. *Monienzia* spp has been recorded in the buffaloes of Chitwan (Adhikari and Dhakal, 2023), Satungal, Kathamandu (Mukhiya ,2007), Pokharathok, Arghakhanchi (Devi, 2012). *Taenia* spp has also been reported in Kavreplanchok (Tamang and Sukupayo, 2022).

### **3. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 3.1 Study Area

Arghakhanchi District, a part of Lumbini Zone, is one of the seventy-seven districts of Nepal. The district with Sandhikharka as its district headquarters, covers an area of 1,193 km<sup>2</sup> and has population 177,086 in 2021. The district is bound by Palpa in the east, Gulmi in the North, Kapilbastu and Rupandehi in the south and Dang and Pyuthan in the west. About 68% of the total area lies in the Mahabharat Range, and the remaining lies in the Siwalik Region. It is situated between 305m-2575m above sea level, about 40% of its total area is covered by forest.

Malarani is a Rural Municipality in the Lumbini Province of Nepal's Arghakhanchi District. According to 2021 census conducted in Nepal, the rural municipality has a population of 24,150. Most of the people in this municipality are engaged in agriculture sector and reared buffalo, cow goat, chicken, pigeon, pig as a livestock. Majority of households reared buffalo for personal and economic purpose. Buffaloes are reared under captive condition while other livestock are reared as free ranging animal. Out of nine wards three wards has been selected for the study to represent the whole ward.



Figure 1: Showing Study Area

### 3.2 Materials

The materials used during research are listed below:

### 3.2.1 Materials for field

I. Sterial vials	II. Camera
III. Gloves	IV. Potassium dichromate (K <sub>2</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> )
3.2.2 Materials for laboratory	
I. Electric microscope(SWIFT)	II. Ocular micrometer (Ubuy)
II. Stage micrometer (Ubuy)	IV. Centrifuge machine (REMI)
3.3.3 Chemicals	
I. Potassium dichromate (K <sub>2</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> )	II. Distilled water (D/W)
III. Saturated NaCl solution	IV. Methylene blue
V. Lugol's iodine solution	

### 3.3 Study design

### 3.3.1 Sample size

200 Feacal samples were collected from three wards i.e 66, 73, 61 samples from 3, 5 and 7 wards.

### 3.3.2 Sample collection/preservation

Sample were collected during winter (November/December,2022) from three wards of Malarani rural municipality. Fresh dung samples were carefully collected in sterial vials with the help of spatula and preserved in 2.5% potassium dichromate that helps in maintaining the morphology of protozoan parasites and preventing of some helminth eggs and larvae, mucus as well as parasitic segments. The collected sample were transported to laboratory of Central referral veterinary hospital.

The study was designed to determine the parasitic infection in buffaloes as fallows;



Figure 2: Flow chart showing research outline

### 3.4 Microscopic examination

All the collected samples were examined in laboratory of central referral veterinary hospital, Tripureshor, Kathmandu. For microscopic analysis, the samples were prepared. Further measurement of infected samples were done in Central Department of Zoology by using ocular and stage micrometer. The identification of eggs of parasites was performed by using previously published literature (Kaufmann, 1996; Zajac and Conboy, 2012; Hansen and Perry, 1994; Soulsby, 2012).

### 3.4.1 Iodine wet method

On a clean glass slide, a little amount of samples were emulsified in a drop of Lugol's Iodine solution before being covered with a clean cover slip. A 10X and 40X electric microscope was used to analyze the smear (Soulsby, 2012).

#### 3.4.2 Concentration techniques

#### 3.4.2.1 Floatation technique

This procedure is widely used for detecting the eggs of nematode and cestode. These eggs are lighter and can float on floatation liquid. About 3 gm of stool sample was taken in a beaker and added 42 ml of water with the help of motar and pestle, sample was grinded and clarified with tea stainer. The samples were filtered, placed in 15 ml plastic tubes and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1000 rpm. The plastic tube was removed from the centrifuge, and the upper portion of water was poured using a pipette. The tube was once more filled with NaCl solution and centrifuged for 5-7 minutes at 1000 rpm. To fill the tube all the way to the tip, NaCl solution was added. In order to allow the Sodium chloride to trace the cover slip for a short period of time, the cover slip was placed on a slide and magnified 10X (Khan et al., 2018).

#### 3.4.2.2 Sedimentation technique

This technique is used for detecting trematodes eggs. It provides better results as the eggs of trematodes are a bit heavier than any other eggs. Sediments of centrifuged content are taken for eggs detection. Saturated salt solution was removed gently from the test tube after examining the floatation portion and poured the sediment content into watch glass and stirred the content gently to mix it. 1-2 drop from mixture was taken to prepare another slide. The specimen was stained with iodine wet mounts solution. In this was two sides were prepared from one sample (one from floatation and one from sedimentation) were examined under 10X and 40X with objectives of microscopes to detect eggs of protozoan, helminths or cysts of gastrointestinal parasites (Soulsby, 2012).

### 3.4.3 Determination of concurrency and intensity

#### 3.4.3.1 Concurrency

The host harbors one or more parasites with low numbers; in such circumstances, the host does not develop sickness yet might continue to be a source of infection for an extended length of time. Single, double, triple and multiple infections of gastrointestinal parasites were classified. A single infection was defined as the presence of only one egg/cyst/larva of the parasite per field, whereas double, triple and multiple infections

were characterized as the presence of two, three or more egg/cyst/larva of the parasite per field.

### 3.4.3.2 Intensity

The total amount of eggs/oocysts and larvae detected per field was used to calculate the intensity of parasite infection. The level of gastrointestinal parasite infection was divided into three categories: light infection, moderate infection, and heavy infection. The presence of less than two eggs/cysts/larva of the same species per field was used to assess light infection. In a similar manner the presence of 2-5 egg/cyst/larva, and 6 or more egg/cyst/larva of the same species per field characterized moderate, and heavy infections.

### 3.5 Eggs, cysts and larva size measurement

Eggs and cysts size were measured by using micrometer. The calibration factor was found to be  $2.4 \ \mu m$  for 40x of objective lens.

### 3.6 Interview format

The semi-structured questionnaire was asked to the owners individually and the data were gathered.

### 3.7 Data analysis

All the data recorded after examination were entered into the Microsoft excel 2007 and statistical was performed using IBM SPSS statistics 27 software package. Data were statistically analyzed using the chi-square test. 95% confidence interval (CI) and P $\leq$  0.05 were always taken into consideration for statistically significant differences.

### 4. RESULTS

Out of 200 faecal samples examined, 125 (62.5%) were positive for one or more intestinal parasites of which 116(58.5%) female and 9(4%) males.

#### 4.1 Age wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of buffaloes

Out of 200 feacal samples, 60 young and 140 adults were examined. Among the young GIs parasitic infection was found 32% (40) and among the adult 68% (85). Parasitic infection in adult buffaloes showed significantly high ( $\chi 2= 16.20$ , df=1, p<0.05) (Fig.2).



Figure 3: Age wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of buffaloes

### 4.2 Species wise gastrointestinal parasitic prevalence in young and adult buffaloes.

In protozoa, both *Eimeria* and *Entamoeba* almost equally prevalent in young and adult buffaloes but comparatively *Entamoeba* infection found higher in buffaloes than *Eimeria*. Trematode i.e *Fasciola, Paramphistomum and Eurytrema* were higher in adults. Besides *Ascaris* and *Capillaria*, other nematode were found higher in adult buffaloes. Cestode were found only in adults. Overall gastrointestinal parasitic infection indicated highest prevalence of *Entamoeba* among protozoan parasites and *Ascaris* among helminths parasites (Table 1).

Class		Young<3 (n	Adult>3(n =	<b>Overall Positive</b>
	Parasites	= 60)	140)	Prevalence
Protozoa	Eimeria spp	19 (31.67 %)	33(23.52 %)	52 (26%)
	Entamoeba spp	23 (38.33 %)	56(40%)	79(39.5%)
Trematode	Fasciola spp	2(3.33%)	12 (8.57 %)	14 (7 %)
	Paramphistomum spp	1(1.67 %)	7 (5 %)	8 (4 %)
	Eurytrema spp	1(1.67 %)	8 (5.71 %)	9 (4.5 %)
Nematode	Strongyle	4(6.67 %)	18 (12.85 %)	22 (11 %)
	Ascaris spp	27(45%)	22(15.71%)	49(24.5%)
	Nematodirus spp	1(1.67%)	3 (2.14 %)	4 (2 %)
	Capillaria spp	2(3.33 %)	2 (1.42 % )	4 (2 %)
Cestode	Moniezia spp	-	2 (1.42 % )	2 (1 %)

Table 1: Gastrointestinal parasitic prevalence in young and adult buffaloes

### 4.3 Overall ward wise prevalence of GIs parasites in buffaloes

Out of 66,73 and 61 sample of ward no. 3, 5 and 7 were examined which showed 41 (62.12%), 37(50.68%) and 47(77.04%) of prevalence with one or more parasitic infection. Among them ward 7 showed the highest prevalence rate while ward 5 showed the lowest prevalence rate. Although parasitic infection was found high in ward 7, the parasitic distribution among wards insignificant (( $\chi 2= 1.216$ , df=2, p>0.05).





### 4.4 Ward wise prevalence of GIs parasites in buffaloes

*Eimeria* and *Entamoeba* were highest in ward 3 but *Eimeria* comparatively high in ward 5 and *Entamoeba* in ward 7. *Fasciola* and *Paramphistomum* were highly found in 7 but *Eurytrema* in ward 5. *Ascaris* were higher in ward 3 but strongyle in ward 7. However, cestode were only in ward 5.

Class		Ward no. 3	Ward no.	Ward no.	Total
	Species	( <b>n=66</b> )	5(n=73)	7(n=61)	prevalence
Protozoa	<i>Eimeria</i> spp	25(31.87%)	17(23.28%)	10(16.39%)	52(26%)
	Entamoeba spp	33(50%)	22(30.13%)	24(39.34%)	79(39.5%)
Trematode	Fasciola spp	3(4.54%)	4(5.47%)	7(11.47%)	14(7%)
	Paraphistomum spp	1(1.51%)	3(4.10%)	4(6.55%)	8(4%)
	Eurytrema spp	2(3.03%)	5(6.84%)	2(3.27%)	9(4.5%)
Nematode	Strongyle	6(9.09%)	4(5.47%)	12(19.67%)	22(11%)
	Ascaris spp	26(39.39%)	14(19.17%)	9(14.75%)	49(24.5%)
	Nematodirus spp	-	1(1.36%)	2(3.27%)	3(1.5%)
	Capillaria spp	2(3.03%)	1(1.36%)	1(1.63%)	4(2%)
Cestode	Moniezia spp	-	2(2.73%)	-	2(1%)

Table 2: Ward wise	prevalence of gastro	intestinal parasite	with specific specie
--------------------	----------------------	---------------------	----------------------

### 4.5 Class wise distribution of GIs parasite in buffaloes

In the class wise distribution of parasites, protozoa (42.50%) showed the highest prevalence followed by nematode (37%), trematode 15.50% and cestode (1%) respectively.



Figure 5: Class wise prevalence of GIs parasites in buffaloes

### 4.6 Concurrency of parasite

Among four type of infection i.e single, double, triple and multiple. Double infection showed highest rate 30.5% than other type of infection. Multiple infection (2.5%), triple infection (7%) and single infection (22.5%) was encountered in this study.

Infection type	Positive	prevalence %
Single	45	22.5%
Double	61	30.5%
Triple	14	7%
Multiple	5	2.5%

Table 3: Overall concurrency of parasites

### **4.7 Intensity of Parasites**

Parasitic infections in buffaloes showed different intensity. Most of the buffaloes

revealed with light parasitic infection. Species like *Entamoeba, Ascaris* and *Eimeria* showed a sign of heavy infection in 9, 2 and 7 samples respectively.

Class	Name of genera	Light	Moderate	Heavy
		infection (<2)	infection (2-5)	infection (>6)
Protozoa	Entamoeba (n=79)	32(40.50%)	38 (48.10%)	9 (11.39%)
	<i>Eimeria</i> (n=52)	19((36.53%)	16 (30.76%)	7 (13.46%)
Trematode	Fasciola (n=14)	12 (85.71%)	2 (14.28%)	-
	Paramphistomum(n=8)	6 (75.0%)	2 (25%)	-
	<i>Eurytrema</i> (n=9)	7 (77.77%)	2 (22.22%)	-
Nematode	Strongyle(n=22)	17 (77.27%)	5 (22.72%)	-
	Nematodirus(n=4)	4 (100.0%)	-	-
	Ascaris(n=49)	18 (36.73%)	29 (59.18%)	2 (4.08%)
	Capillaria(n=4)	4 (100.0%)	-	-
Cestode	Monienzia (n=20	2 (100.0%)	-	-

 Table 4: Overall intensity of specific parasites

### 4.8 Response of owner toward questionnaire

A total of 108 farmers were asked structured questionnaire to asses knowledge, attitude and practice. In case of knowledge, educated owner was found higher (18.9%) followed by uneducated and so on. Maximum 95.37% of owner did not observed parasites directly in fecal sample. 37.03% of the owner have knowledge about parasites. Most of the 75.92% of owner know the name of parasites. In case of practice field, 92.59% of owner did not use gloves, 65.745% have rear buffaloes for personal use. In case of attitude practice, 6.48% have superstitious believe. However, there was insignificant relation in parasitic knowledge and source of water (p>0.005) with prevalence of parasites, whereas significant relationship was found in education, parasite observed, parasite name, use of gloves, purpose of rearing, occupation, treatment, vet consultant, attitude and superstation belief (p< 0.005).

## Table 5: Overall response of questionnaire

Education         Uneducated $28(11.5\%)$ $3$ Significance (P vick the second secon
Education         Uneducated         28(11.5%)         0.001           Educated         46 18.9%)         +2         22(20.37%)           +2         22(20.37%)         +2         22(20.37%)           Higher         12(11.11%)         0.001           Parasite observed         Yes         5(4.62%)         0.001           No         103(95.37%)         0.001           Parasite knowledge         Yes         40 (37.03%)         0.07           No         68(62.96%)         0.001           Parasite name         Yes         80(75.92%)         0.001           Parasite name         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           Effect of parasite         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           Effect of parasite         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           Effect of parasite         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           No         96 (88.88%)         0.001         100(92.59%)           Purpose of rearing         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           No         100(92.59%)         0.001         100(92.59%)           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Source of water<
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline Educated & 46 18.9\%) \\ \hline \mbox{Educated} & 46 18.9\%) \\ \hline \mbox{Higher} & 22(20.37\%) \\ \hline \mbox{Higher} & 12(11.11\%) \\ \hline \mbox{Parasite observed} & Yes & 5(4.62\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 103(95.37\%) & 0.01 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 103(95.37\%) & 0.07 \\ \hline \mbox{Parasitic knowledge} & Yes & 40 (37.03\%) & 0.07 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 68(62.96\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Parasite name} & Yes & 80(75.92\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 28(25.92\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 28(25.92\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Parasite of parasite} & Yes & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 96 (88.88\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 100(92.59\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Purpose of rearing} & Personal & 71(65.745) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Purpose of water} & River & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 0.02 \\ \hline \mbo$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline +2 & 22(20.37\%) \\ \hline \mbox{Higher} & 12(11.11\%) \\ \hline \mbox{Higher} & 12(11.11\%) \\ \hline \mbox{Parasite observed} & Yes & 5(4.62\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 103(95.37\%) & 0.01 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 68(62.96\%) & 0.07 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 68(62.96\%) & 0.01 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 68(62.92\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 28(25.92\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 28(25.92\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 96 (88.88\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Yes & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 96 (88.88\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Yes & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{No} & 96 (88.88\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 12(11.11\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 100(92.59\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ves & 11(65.745) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ver & 37 (34.25\%) & 0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ver & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ver & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ver & 41(37.96\%) & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ver & Ver & 0.012 \\ \hline \mbox{Paratice} & Ver $
Higher12(11.11%)Parasite observedYes $5(4.62\%)$ $0.001$ No103(95.37%) $0.001$ Parasitic knowledgeYes $40$ (37.03%) $0.07$ No $68(62.96\%)$ $0.07$ Parasite nameYes $80(75.92\%)$ $0.001$ Parasite nameYes $80(75.92\%)$ $0.001$ Effect of parasiteYes $12(11.11\%)$ $0.001$ Effect of parasiteYes $12(11.11\%)$ $0.001$ ParacticeNo $96$ (88.88%) $0.001$ Purpose of rearingYes $8(7.40\%)$ $0.001$ Purpose of rearingPersonal $71(65.745)$ $0.001$ Source of waterRiver $41(37.96\%)$ $0.012$
Parasite observed         Yes $5(4.62\%)$ $0.001$ No $103(95.37\%)$ $0.001$ Parasitic knowledge         Yes $40(37.03\%)$ $0.07$ Parasitic knowledge         Yes $40(37.03\%)$ $0.07$ Parasite name         Yes $80(75.92\%)$ $0.001$ Parasite name         Yes $80(75.92\%)$ $0.001$ Effect of parasite         Yes $12(11.11\%)$ $0.001$ No $96(88.88\%)$ $0.001$ Practice         No $96(88.88\%)$ $0.001$ Use of gloves         Yes $8(7.40\%)$ $0.001$ Purpose of rearing         Personal $71(65.745)$ $0.001$ Source of water         River $41(37.96\%)$ $0.012$
No         103(95.37%)           Parasitic knowledge         Yes         40 (37.03%)         0.07           No         68(62.96%)         0.001           Parasite name         Yes         80(75.92%)         0.001           Parasite name         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           No         28(25.92%)         0.001           Effect of parasite         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           No         96 (88.88%)         0         0           Practice         Ves         8(7.40%)         0.001           Use of gloves         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012
Parasitic knowledge         Yes         40 (37.03%)         0.07           No         68(62.96%)         0.01           Parasite name         Yes         80(75.92%)         0.001           No         28(25.92%)         0.001           Effect of parasite         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           No         96 (88.88%)         0.001           Practice           Use of gloves         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           No         100(92.59%)         0.001           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012
No $68(62.96\%)$ Parasite nameYes $80(75.92\%)$ $0.001$ No $28(25.92\%)$ $0.001$ Effect of parasiteYes $12(11.11\%)$ $0.001$ No96 (88.88%) $0.001$ PracticeUse of glovesYes $8(7.40\%)$ $0.001$ No $100(92.59\%)$ $0.001$ Purpose of rearingPersonal $71(65.745)$ $0.001$ Source of waterRiver $41(37.96\%)$ $0.012$
Parasite name         Yes $80(75.92\%)$ $0.001$ No $28(25.92\%)$ $0.001$ Effect of parasite         Yes $12(11.11\%)$ $0.001$ No $96(88.88\%)$ $0.001$ <b>Practice</b> Use of gloves         Yes $8(7.40\%)$ $0.001$ No $100(92.59\%)$ $0.001$ Purpose of rearing         Personal $71(65.745)$ $0.001$ Source of water         River $41(37.96\%)$ $0.012$
No         28(25.92%)           Effect of parasite         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           No         96 (88.88%)         0         0           Practice         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           Use of gloves         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           Purpose of rearing         Personal         100(92.59%)         0.001           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012         0.012
Effect of parasite         Yes         12(11.11%)         0.001           No         96 (88.88%)         0           Practice         Ves         8(7.40%)         0.001           Use of gloves         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           No         100(92.59%)         0.001           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012
No         96 (88.88%)           Practice         96 (88.88%)           Use of gloves         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           No         100(92.59%)         0.001           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Commercial         37 (34.25%)         0.012           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012
Practice         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           Use of gloves         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           No         100(92.59%)         0.001           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Commercial         37 (34.25%)         0.001           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012
Use of gloves         Yes         8(7.40%)         0.001           No         100(92.59%)         0.001           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Commercial         37 (34.25%)         0.001           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012
No         100(92.59%)           Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Commercial         37 (34.25%)         0.012           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012
Purpose of rearing         Personal         71(65.745)         0.001           Commercial         37 (34.25%)         0.012           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012
Commercial         37 (34.25%)           Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012
Source of water         River         41(37.96%)         0.012           Underground         67(62.03%)         0.012
Underground 67(62.03%)
Occupation         Agriculture         74 (68.51%)         0.001
Others 34(31.48%)
Treatment         Yes         85 (78.70%)         0.001
No 23((21.29%)
Vet consultant         Yes         108 (100%)         0
No 0
Attitude
Superstation beliefYes7(6.48%)0.001
No 101(93.51%)

#### **5. DISCUSSION**

The current study aimed to investigate the prevalence of GIs parasites in buffaloes. A total of 200 samples were collected from Malarani rural municipality of Arghakhanchi and examined microscopically. Overall prevalence rate found to be 125 (62.5%) which was lower than the previous study conducted by Devi (2018), (68%) in Pokharathok VDC, Arghakhanchi. Similarly, the different study of Nepal recorded 90% (Adhikari and Dhakal, 2023), 62.7% (Dhakal *et al.*, 2022) from Chitwan, 74% (Yadav, 2015) from Dhanusha, 47.5% (Gupta, 2017) from Sunsari, 57.14% from Bhaktapur (Tamang and Sukupayo, 2022) and 83.96% (Mukhia, 2007) from Kathmandu. Among these, the present study showed the hightest prevalence rate from two studies but lower than other studies of Nepal. Similarly, the prevalence rate was found to be lower than India 70.45% (Marskole *et al.*, 2016), 85.24% (Manzer, 2022), Pakistan 63.55% (Khan *et al.*, 2023), Russia 71.42% (Hadree *et al.*, 2022),Bangladesh 84.90% (Hasan *et al.*, 2020), and higher than reported from Indonesia 37.65% (Nurhidayah *et al.*, 2017).

In the current study, parasitic infection showed higher in adult in comparison to young significantly higher (p<0.05) with both protozoan and helminths parasites. This findings is congruent with the results from Romania (Bărburaș *et al.*, 2021) and Indonesia (Saukhan *et al.*, 2022) while it is constrast with the result from India (AnandaRao *et al.*, 2020) and Sri Lanka (Gunathilaka *et al.*, 2018).

The diversity of parasitic species was found slightly different in young and adult (9 species versus 10 species). In both age group protozoan was the most prominent parasitic which includes *Eimeria* and *Entamoeba* and helminths; *Fasciola, Paramphistomum, Eurytrema, Strongyle, Ascaris, Nematodirus, Capillaria* observed in both population except the *Monienzia* only in adult. Besides *Ascaris* and *Capillaria* other helminths prominently found in adult. The prevalence rate of helminths was higher in adult which close with the findings from Bangladesh (Saha *et al.,* 2014) and constrast with the result from Indonesia (Nurhidayah *et al.,* 2019). Among helminths overall prevalence of *Fasciola* was found 7% which was lower than recorded by (Ahmad, 2023) 20% from Pakistan.

During the study, samples was collected from three wards i.e., 3,5 and 7 of Malarani rural municipality, Arghakhanchi. Out of these the parasitic prevalence was found high

in ward 7 in comparasion to ward 3 and 5. Although the parasitic distribution among the wards found insignificant (p>0.05).(Saha *et al.*, 2018) recorded the prevalence of *Fasciola* with three different location found insignificant which support the present study.

The present study recorded the prevalence of parasitic species with wards. Among the wards *Eimeria* and *entamoeba* found highest in ward 3. Comparatively *Eimeria* found higher in ward 5 but *Entamoeba* found higher in ward 7. *Fasciola* and *Paramphistomum* found higher in ward 7 but *Eurytrema* in ward 5. Likewise, Nematodes; *Strongyle*, *Ascaris*, *Nematodirus*, *Capillaria* was also recorded high in ward 3 in comparasion to others but strongyle in ward 7. However, cestode *Monienzia* were only conformed in ward 5.

Regarding the class wise distribution of parasites, the present study recorded the highest prevalence with protozoa 42.50% fallowed by nematode37%, trematode15.50% and cestode 1%. This findings is concordant with the findings of India (Thakre *et al.*, 2019) and contradict with the result of Pakistan (Khan *et al.*, 2023) and Nepal protozoa 35%, nematode 38.75%, trematode 11.82% (Gupta, 2017).

Notably, the current investigation only found one cestode species in buffaloes, *Moniezia*. The prevalence of this tapeworm is 1%.was lower than Malaysia's 1.10%, (Zainalabidin., *et al*, 2019) and Mexico's 18.1% (Ojeda-Robertos., *et al* 2017) but similar to finding of Nepal 1% (Mukhya,2007.)

The present study discovered concurrency of parasites, most of the buffaloes showed double infection 30.5% followed by single 22.5%, triple 7% and multiple 2.5% similar with the finding of (Adhikari and Dhakal, 2023).

The examination of faecal samples showed that the intensity of parasites most abundantly found in the light infection subsequently followed by moderate infection and heavy infection. The parasites like *Entaomeba* 32 (40.50%), *Eimeria* 19 (36.53%) and *Ascaris* 18 (36.73%) was found in case of light infection. Similarly, the parasites like *Entaomeba* 38 (48.10%), *Ascaris* 29 (59.18%) and *Eimeria* 16 (30.76%) was found in the case of the moderate infection and the parasites like *Entaomeba* 9 (11.39%) *Eimeria* 7 (13.46%) and *Ascaris* 2 (4.08%) was found in case of heavy infection respectively. Since, the parasites species like *Entaomeba*, *Eimeria* and *Ascaris* are the most responsible intensifying agents to cause the infection.

### 6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

### **6.1 CONCLUSION**

In the present study, the overall prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) was found to 62.5% with male 4% and female 58.5%. The parasitic infection of protozoa was found to be 42.5% followed by nematode 37%, trematode 15.50% cestode 1%. Ten different parasitic species were revealed in the buffalo of Malarani rural municipality such as *Eimeria* and *Entamoeba* among the protozoa; *Fasciola, Paramphistomum, Eurytrema* among trematode; Strongyle, *Ascaris, Nematodirus* and *Capillaria* among nematode and *Moniezia* among cestode. Out of all these identified GI parasites, *Eimeria* and *Entamoeba* showed the highest prevalent rate in buffalo. The parasites like *Entaomeba* 40.50%, *Eimeria* 36.53% and *Ascaris* 36.73% were found to be the most intensifying agent to cause the light infection and moderate and heavy infection. The study indicated that buffalo of Malarani rural municipality were highly infected with GI parasites. Therefore, it is urgently need to control the parasitic infection in buffalo of Malarani rural municipality.

### **6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Anti-helminth medications program need to be conducted through the veterinary professionals.
- Instead of allowing the animal to drink from diverse water sources because water is the primary source of contamination for different GI parasites, purified water should be provided.

#### REFERENCES

- Adhikari, R. B., and Dhakal, M. A. 2023. Prevalence and diversity of gastrointestinal parasites in domestic buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis* Linnaeus, 1758) reared under captive and semi-captive conditions in Ratnanagar, Chitwan, Nepal. Annals of Parasitology, 68(4), 701–713.
- Ahmad, M. 2023. Prevalence of fasciolosis in sheep, cow, buffalos and goats in Tehsil Kabal, Swat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pakistan. Pure and Applied Biology, 12(1), 292–300.
- AnandaRao, K., Kumari, G. D., and Latchumikanthan, A. 2020. Incidence of endoparasites in Murrah Buffaloes of Buffalo Research Station of West Godavari region of Andhra Pradesh. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 8(6), 1628–1630.
- Bărburaş, D. A., Györke, A., Pop, L. M., Bărburaş, R., Mircean, V., and Cozma, V. 2021. Epidemiology of digestive parasites in buffaloes from Romania. Journal of the Hellenic Veterinary Medical Society, **72**(4):3329–3336.
- Blood D.C, Radotits O.M, Arundle T.M and Gay C.C. 1990. Textbook of Veterinary Medicine. 7th Edition. Bailliere Tindal, London, UK.
- Chalise, P. 2013. Comparative study of *Cryptosporidium* infestation in wild water buffaloes (*Bubalus arnee*) and domestic buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) of Koshi Tappu Wildlife Reserve, Nepal. Himalayan College of Agricultural Sciences and Technology Affiliated to Purbanchal University.
- Chalmers R.M and Giles M. 2010. Zoonotic cryptosporidiosis in the UK—challenges for control. Journal of Applied Microbiology, **109**(5):1487–1497
- Charlier, J., Vercruysse, J., Morgan, E., Van Dijk, J., and Williams, D. 2014. Recent advances in the diagnosis, impact on production, and prediction of *Fasciola hepatica* in cattle. Parasitology, **141**(3): 326-335.
- Chavhan, P. B., Khan, L. A., Raut, P. A., Maske, D. K., and Rahman, S. 2008. Prevalence of nematode parasites of ruminants at Nagpur. Veterinary World, 1(5):140-140.
- Cockrill, W. R. 1977. Rome: Animal Production and Health Series No. 4. Food and

Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

- Cockrill, W. R., (ed).1974. The husbandry and health of the domestic buffalo. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Coroian, A., Trif, A., Coroian, C. O., Mireşan, V., Raducu, C., and Daraban, S. 2012.
  Qualitative evaluation of buffalo cheese using FTIR spectroscopy. Animal Biology and Animal Husbandry National Journal of The Biofluxbociety, 4(2), 66–70.
- Cringoli, G., Musella, V., Maurelli, M. P., Morgoglione, M. E., Santaniello, A., Condoleo, R., Guariglia, I., Rinaldi, L., *et al.* 2009. Helminths and arthropoda in buffalo farms from the Lazio region (Italy). Veterinary Research Communications, **33**(1): 31–34.
- Devendra, C. and Thomas, D. 2002. Crop–animal systems in Asia: Importance of livestock and characterisation of agro-ecological zones. Agricultural System, 71(1-2):5–15.
- Devi, R. 2012. Seasonal prevalence of Helminth parasites in Buffaloes of Pokharathok VDC in Arghakhanchi. M.Sc. Thesis. Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Dhakal, D. N., Adhikari, J. N., Regmi, R. S., Adhikari, S., and Bhattarai, B. P. 2022. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in bovines along the elevation gradients of Annapurna Landscape, Nepal. Nepalese Journal of Zoology, 6(1): 25–34.
- Dorny, P., Devleesschauwer, B., Stoliaroff, V., Sothy, M., Chea, R., Chea, B., Sourloing, H., Samuth, S., Kong, S., Nguong, K., Sorn, S., Holl, D., Vercruysse, J., *et a.l.* 2015. Prevalence and associated risk factors of toxocara vitulorum infections in buffalo and cattle calves in three provinces of central Cambodia. Korean Journal of Parasitology, 53(2): 197–200.
- Dubey, J. P. 2018. A review of coccidiosis in water buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). Veterinary Parasitology **256**: 50–57.
- El-Alfy, E., Abbas, I. E., Al-Kappany, Y., Al-Araby, M., Abu-Elwafa, S. A., and Dubey, J. P. 2019. Prevalence of *Eimeria* species in water buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) from Egypt and first report of *Eimeria bareillyi* oocysts. Journal of

Parasitology, **105**(5):748–754.

- Fagiolo, A., Roncoroni, C., Lai, O. And Borghese, A. 2004. Buffalo pathologies. Chapter XIII. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations Regional office for Europe.
- Food and Agriculture Organization, 2012. FAO Statistical Database. The State of Food and Agriculture.
- Gebeyehu, E. B., M. G. Seo, Jung B.Y. and Byun J.W., 2013. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in Korean native goats (*Capra hircusaegagrus*). Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 23(4): 986–989.
- Goossens, E., Dorny, P., Vervaecke, H., Roden, C., Vercammen, F., and Vercruysse, J. 2007. *Toxocara vitulorum* in American bison (*Bison bison*) calves. Veterinary Record, 160(16): 556–557.
- Gordon, C. 1994. New Deals: Business, Labour and Politics in America. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1920-35.
- Gordon, D., Roberts, L., Lean, N., Zadoks, R., Sargison, N., and Skuce, P. 2013. Identification of the rumen fluke, Calicophoron daubneyi, in GB livestock: possible implications for liver fluke diagnosis. Veterinary Parasitology, 195(1-2): 65-71.
- Gunathilaka, N., Niroshana, D., Amarasinghe, D., and Udayanga, L. 2018. Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasitic Infections and Assessment of Deworming Program among Cattle and Buffaloes in Gampaha District, Sri Lanka. BioMed Research International.
- Gupta, S. 2017. Prevalence of Intestinal Parasites in Wild Buffalo (*Bubalus arnee*, Kerr, 1792) of Koshi Tappu Wildlife Reserve. M.Sc. Thesis. Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan, University, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Hadree, D. H., Shihab, O. H., Fadhil, R. M., Hadi, K. A., and Suleiman, J. M., 2022. Some physiological and biochemical criteria in the local buffalo infected with stomach and intestinal worms in the city of Samarra. Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 36(1): 71–75.

- Hajipour, N., Mirshekar, F., Hajibemani, A., and Ghorani, M. 2021. Prevalence and risk factors associated with amphistome parasites in cattle in Iran. Veterinary Medicine and Science, 7(1):105–111.
- Hansen J., and Perry B. 1994. The epidemiology, diagnosis and control of helminth parasites of ruminants. 2nd ed. International Laboratory for Research on Animal Diseases, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Ibrahim, S., Auta, T., and Orpin, J. B. 2022. Occurrence of Gastrointestinal Parasites in Cattle Slaughtered at Central Abattoir in Katsina Metropolis, Katsina State, Nigeria.Research Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 15(2): 65–71.
- Iqbal Z, Akhtar M, Khan M.N and Riaz M. 1993. Prevalence and economic significance of haemonchosis in sheep and goats slaughtered at Faisalabad abattoir. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science, 30(1):51–53.
- Kanu, S., Chowdhury, M. S. R., Sabur, M. A., Rahman, M. M., Islam, K. M., Uddn, M.
  B., Lslam, M. R., Hossain, M. M., *et al.* 2021. Prevalence and therapeutic efficacy of anthelmintic against *Neoascaris vitulorum* in buffalo populations from sylhet district of bangladesh. Journal of Buffalo Science, **10**: 14–20.
- Kaplan R.M, Dame J.B, Reddy G.R, and Courtney C.H. 1995. A repetitive DNA probe for the sensitive detection of *hepatica* infected snails. International Journal of Parasitology, 25(5):601-610.
- Kaufmann J. Ed. 1996. Parasitic infections of domestic animals: a diagnostic manual. Basel, Springer Basel AG
- Khadse, J. R., Jiglekar, B. D., Shaikh, S. H., and Waghmare, S. S. 2022. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite infestation in ruminants in Ahmednagar, district. The Pharma Innovation Journal, **11**(6): 1787–1790. www.thepharmajournal.com
- Khan, M. N., Sajid, M. S., Khan, M. K., Iqbal, Z., and Hussain, A. 2010. Gastrointestinal helminthiasis: Prevalence and associated determinants in domestic ruminants of district Toba Tek Singh, Punjab, Pakistan. Parasitology Research, 107(4):787–794.
- Khan, T, Nasreen, N., Shater, A. F., khan, W., Khan, A., Kamal, M., Vinueza, R., Leon, R., Alhimaidi, A. R., Al-Jabr, O. A., *et al.* 2021. Risk factor analysis for the

prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites found in large ruminants in Lower Dir Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pakistan. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, **28**(12):7022–7026.

- Khan, T., Khan, W., Iqbal, R., Maqbool, A., Fadladdin, Y. A. J., and Sabtain, T. 2023.
  Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in cows and buffaloes in Lower
  Dir, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Brazilian Journal of Biology, 83: 1–6.
- Khan, W., Noor-Un-Nisa, N. and Nawaz, M.A., 2018. Incidence of tapeworm infection in human population of Swat, Pakistan: an occupation-based study. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 50(2): 639-645.
- Kobak, P., and Pilarczyk, B. 2012. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of water buffaloes raised in the Notecka Forest region (Poland). Bulletin of the Veterinary Institute in Pulawy, 56(1): 33–36.
- Kochapakdee S, Pralomkarn W.S, and Choldumrongku S.S. 1995. Change in live weight gain, blood constituents and worm egg counts in Thai native and crossbred goats raised in village environments in southern Thailand. Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences 8(3): 241–247
- Kumar Thakre, B., Kumar, B., Brahmbhatt, N., Parmar, V. L., Patel, J., Damor, J., Gamit, K., Patel, J. A. *et al* 2019. Gastrointestinal parasitic infections in cattle and buffaloes in southwestern region of Gujarat, India. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, **89**(7), 735–737.
- Mamun, M.A.A., Begum, N. and Mondal, M.M.H. 2011. A coprological survey of gastrointestinal parasites of water buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) in Kurigram district of Bangladesh. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University, 9(1): 103-109.
- Manzer, H. 2022. Studies on Prevalence of GI Nematodes of Buffalo in Udaipur, Rajasthan. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports, **28**(11): 85–90.
- Marskole, P., Verma, Y., Dixit, A. K., and Swamy, M. 2016. Prevalence and burden of gastrointestinal parasites in cattle and buffaloes in Jabalpur, India. Veterinary World, 9(11): 1214–1217.

MOAD. 2021. Statistical information on Nepalese Agriculture. Ministry of Agriculture

Development Monitoring, Evaluation and Statistics Division Agri Statistics Section, Singadurbar, Kathmandu, Nepal.

- Mukhia, G. 2007. A Study on intestinal helminthes parasites of buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) brought to Satungal, Kathmandu for slaughter purpose. M.Sc. Thesis.
   Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Nalbantoglu, S., Sari, B., Cicek, H., and Karaer, Z. 2008. Prevalence of coccidian species in the water buffalo (*Bubalus Bubalis*) in the province of Afyon, Turkey. Acta Veterinaria Brno, **77**(1):111–116.
- Nouri, N. V., Rahmatian, R., and Salehi, A. 2022. Prevalence of Helminthic Infections in the Gastrointestinal Tract of Cattle in Mazandaran Province (Northern Iran). Journal of Parasitology Research.
- Nurhidayah, N., Satrija, F., and Retnani, E. B. 2019. Gastrointestinal parasitic infection of Swamp Buffalo in Banten Province, Indonesia: Prevalence, risk factor, and its impact on production performance. Tropical Animal Science Journal, **42**(3): 261–261.
- Ojeda-Robertos N.F., Torres-Chablé O.M., Peralta Torres J.A., Luna-Palomera C., Aguilar-Cabrales A., Chay-Canul A.J., González-Garduño R., Machain Williams C., Cámara-Sarmiento R., *et al.* 2017. Study of gastrointestinal parasites in water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) reared under Mexican humid tropical conditions. Tropical Animal Health and Production 49(3): 613–618.
- Om, H., Kumar, S. and Singh, P. 2010. Prevalence of coccidia in Mathura region of Uttar Pradesh. Veterinary World, **3**(11): 503-505.
- Osti N.P, Upreti C.R, Shrestha N.P and Pandey S.B. 2006. Animal Nutrition Division (NARC). Proceedings 0f 5th Asian Buffalo Congress held from April 18-22, 2006, Naning China: 366-371.
- Perry B.D and Randolph T.F. 1999. Improving the assessment of the economic impact of parasitic diseases and of their control in production animals. Veterinary Parasitology **84**(3-4): 145–168
- Poudel, B. 2017. District Livestock Service Office (DLSO).
- Rasali D.P. 1998. Present status of indigenous buffalo genetic resources in the western

hills of Nepal. Proceedings of the 4th Global Conference on Conservation of Domestic Animal Genetic Resources and Rare Breeds International. 168-170.

- Raza, A.M., Iqbal, Z., Jabbar, A. and Yaseen, M. 2007. Point prevalence of gastrointestinal helminthiasis in ruminants in southern Punjab, Pakistan. Journal of Helminthology, 81(3): 323-328.
- Reddy P.R.K, Reddy A.N, Raja Kishore K, Reddy V.P., Reddy P.R, Ramesh R, Singh S, Prathap B., *et al.* 2016. Bovine Mycotoxicosis a remarkable disease in Ricegrowing areas of Indian subcontinent. International Journal of Science and Nature, 7(4):805-808 S
- Saha, S., Bhowmik, D., and Chowdhury, M. 2014. Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminthes in buffaloes in Barisal district of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 11(2), 131–135.
- Saukhan, G. N. S., Satrija, F., and Murtini, S. 2022. Parasites Infection OF Swamp Buffalo (*Bubalus Bubalis*) In East Sumba Regency. Jurnal Al-Azhar Indonesia Seri Sains Dan Teknologi, 7(3): 207.
- Sharma K., Kaith B.S, Kumar V., Kalia S., Kumar V., and Swart H.C. 2014. Water retention and dye adsorption behavior of Gg-cl-poly (acrylic acid-aniline) based conductive hydrogels, Geoderma: 45–55.
- Soulsby E.J. 2012. Helminths, arthropods, and protozoa of domesticated animals. 7th ed. East-West Press Private Limited, New Delhi.
- Sutherland I and Scott I. 2010. Anthelmintics in Gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep and goats. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 95-116.
- Sykes, A.R., 1994. Parasitism and production in farm animals. Animal Science, **59**(2): 155-172.
- Tamang S and Sukupayo P.R. 2022. Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Helminth Parasites in Livestock of Kavreplanchok. Journal of Institute of Science and Technology, 27(2): 23-29.
- Terfa, W., Kumsa, B., Ayana, D., Maurizio, A., Tessarin, C.,and Cassini, R., 2023. Epidemiology of Gastrointestinal Parasites of Cattle in Three Districts in Central Ethiopia. Animals, 13(2), 1–11.

- Wareth G, Hikal A, Refai M, Melzer F, Roesler U, and Neubauer H., 2014. Animal brucellosis in Egypt. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries, 8(11):1365-1373.
- Xiao L, Morgan U.M, Limor J, Escalante A, Arrowood M, Shulaw W., et al. 1999. Genetic diversity within *Cryptosporidium parvum* and related species of *Cryptosporidium*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 65:3386-3391
- Yadav, C. J. 2020. Incidence of endoparasites in Murrah Buffaloes of Buffalo Research Station of West Godavari region of Andhra Pradesh. **8**(6):1628–1630.
- Zainalabidin F.A., Raimy N., Hanifah A.L., Sathayah G., Marcel D., Musbah A., Ismail E.A., Bathmanaban P., Panchadcharam C., *et al.* 2019. Monieziasis in domestic ruminants in Perak, Malaysia. Songklanakarin Journal of Science and echnology, **43**(1): 218–221.
- Zajac A.M. and Conboy G.A. 2012. Fecal examination for the diagnosis of parasitism.In: Veterinary clinical parasitology. (Eds. A.M. Zajac, G.A. Conboy). 8th ed. UK, John Wiley and Sons, Inc: 1–169

## **APPENDIX I**



Photo 1: *Nematodirus* spp. (57.6/175.2µm) Photo 2: Strongyle (52.8/68.4µm)



Photo 3: *Fasciola* spp. (148/67.2µm)

Photo 4: *Paramphistomum* spp. (144/72µm)



Photo 5: Capillaria spp. (31.2/16.8µm)



Photo 6: *Entamoeba* spp. (60µm)



Photo 7: Moniezia spp. (43.2µm)



Photo 8: Ascaris spp. (60/48µm)



Photo 9: *Eimeria* spp. (50.4µm)



Photo 10: *Eurytrema* spp. (36/21.6µm)

## **APPENDIX II**



Photo 11: Microscopic examination



Photo 13: Questionnare survey

Photo 12: Sample collection



Photo 14: Concentration method

## **APPENDIX III**

## **Questionnaire Form**

Gastrointestinal Parasite Surveillance in Domestic animal (buffaloes) in Malarani			
rural municipality, Arghakhanchi, Nepal			
Name of owner:			
Sample Code:			
Address:			
Date:			
Education level:			
Major occupation:			
1. How many buffaloes do you have?			
2. Species/ages of buffaloes?			
3. Which sources of water do you use for buffaloes?			
4. Do you have any knowledge about parasite?			
5. If yes, mention the name and their effects?			
6. Do you see any parasites on dung?			
7. Do you call a veterinary doctor when buffaloes get sick?			
8. Do you believe in dhami/jhakri?			

9. Did you use any anti-parasitic medicines before 6 months?

.....

10. Do you use the gloves while caring the buffaloes?

.....

11. What is the purpose of rearing buffaloes?

.....