USE OF INTERACTION AND CLASSROOM LANGUAGE IN IMPROVING READING AND WRITING SKILLS

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Prem Bahadur Bista

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University Kirtipur
Kathmandu, Nepal
2016

USE OF INTERACTION AND CLASSROOM LANGUAGE IN IMPROVING READING AND WRITING SKILLS

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English

Submitted by Prem Bahadur Bista

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University Kirtipur
Kathmandu, Nepal
2016

T.U. Reg. No.: 9-2-320-279-2007

Second Year Examination

Roll No.: 280778/069

Date of Approval of the

Thesis Proposal: 21-09-2014

Date of Submission: 28-04-2016

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Mr. Prem Bahadur Bista** has prepared this thesis entitled **Use of Interaction and Classroom Language in Improving Reading and Writing Skills** under my guidance and supervision.

I	recommend	the	thesis	for	acceptance:
•	1 CCOIIIIICII G	uic	UICOID	101	acceptance.

Date: 28-04-2016

Mr. Ashok Sapkota (Supervisor)

Teaching Assistant

Department of English Education

Faculty of Education

T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for education from the following

Research Guidance Committee:

	Signature
Dr. Anjana Bhattarai	
Professor and Head	Chairperson
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Mr. Ashok Sapkota (Supervisor)	
Teaching Assistant	Member
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel	
Teaching Assistant	Member
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Date:	

EVULATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following thesis

Evaluation and Approval Committee:

	Signature
Dr. Anjana Bhattarai	
Professor and Head	Chairperson
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai	
Professor	Member
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	
Mr. Ashok Sapkota (Supervisor)	
Teaching Assistant	Member
Department of English Education	
T.U., Kirtipur	

Date: 09-05-2016

DECLARATION

Prem Bdr. Bista
Date: 27-04-2016
um versity.
university.
of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any
I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is original; no part

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated

To

All my family members and teachers who have spent a lot of their time to make me what I am today.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere and hearty gratitude to my Guide Mr. Ashok Sapkota, Teaching Assistant, Department of English Education who has certainly given me a life in the field of research. I am really indebted to and would like to acknowledge Mr. Sapkota for providing a lot of theoretical knowledge on research and for his indefatigable guidance and supervision of my work as my thesis supervisor. His regular inspiration and academic guidance made me successful in completing this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my respected guruma **Prof. Dr. Anjana Bhattarai,** Head of the Department of English Education, who encouraged me to improve my work by giving a lot of suggestions. Similarly, I am really grateful to my guru **Prof. Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai** for his important suggestions.

I am also grateful to my respected teachers Prof. Dr. Tirtha Raj Khaniya, Prof. Dr. Chandreswor Mishra, Prof. Dr. Anju Giri, Prof. Dr. L.B Maharjan, Dr. Tapasi Bhattacharya, Mr. Raj Narayan Yadav, Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel, Mrs. Madhu Neupane, Mrs. Hima Rawal, Mrs. Saraswati Dawadi, Mr. Khem Raj Joshi, Mr. Resham Acharya, Mr. Laxmi Prasad Ojha, Mr. Guru Prasad Poudel and all other teachers of the department of English Education for their regular co-operation during this work. I am thankful to the administration of Ma.Vi. Madhapur for providing the classes for carrying out my research. Mr. Khemraj Budhathoki, head teacher of Ma.Vi. Madhapur and Mr. Padam Bahadur Basnet, English teacher of lower secondary level of that school also deserve my thankfulness and gratitude for helping during the collection of data. My parents, brother, sister in law, cousins deserve thanks and gratitude from my side for their direct and indirect support and co-operation in the production of this work in this form.

I am extremely grateful to my friends Mr. Chakra Bhandari, Mr. Prakash Bhattarai, Mr. Bed Prakash Bhattarai, Mr. Basanta Subedi, Mr. Binod **Bhatt**, **Mr. Debendra Giri** for their help in collecting information, data exchanging ideas about the research.

I would like to offer my thanks to all the staff of Central Library, Curriculum Resource Centre and Mrs. Madhavi Khanal and Mrs. Nabina Shrestha librarian of the Department of English Education for providing me with books and other materials. At last, my sincere gratitude goes to Mr. Rohit Basnet for typing this thesis and Ram Krishna Maharjan also deserve my thanks for its excellent computer work.

Prem Bahadur Bista

ABSTRACT

This research is study on Use of Interaction and Classroom Language in Improving Reading and Writing Skills. The main aim of this research was to find out the proficiency of reading and writing using interaction and classroom language. In order to collect the data, both primary and secondary sources were used. All the data were collected through pre-test, progress test and post test. For the primary resources of data, 50 students of grade 8 of Ma.Vi. Madhapur Goltakuri Dang were selected by using non-random purposive sampling procedure. The main tools for data collection were sets of test. Pre-test was administered before the intervention to know the existing proficiency of students. Progress test was administered in between the intervention and finally, the post test was administered to know whether there was development of reading and writing skills or not and the scores obtained in the different tests were analyzed. In reading and writing, the students scored 14.24 average score in pre-test, 17.68 in progress test and 20.28 in post-test. Similarly in interactional skill the average scores were 5.42, 6.28 and 7.24 out of 10 in pre, progress and post-test respectively. In the same way in class room language, the students scored 4.2, 4.86 and 6.16 average scores out of 10 in pre, progress and post-test respectively. At each test students were found showing better performances. From this study, it has been found that use of interaction and classroom language is an effective to develop proficiency of reading and writing in the students.

This thesis has been divided into five chapters. The first chapter consists of the introduction of the study. The introduction consists of general background, statement of problem, objectives of the study, research questions, significance of the study, delimitations of the study and operational definition of key terms. The second chapter deals with review of related literature and conceptual framework. Similarly, the third chapter of this study consists of methods and procedure of the study. The fourth chapter deals with analysis and interpretation of results. In this way fifth chapter includes conclusions and some recommendations made on the basis of the findings. Finally, the references and the appendices which are necessary for the validation of the research are also presented.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
Decl	laration		i
Reco	ommend	ation for Acceptance	ii
Reco	ommend	ation for Evaluation	iii
Eval	uation a	and Approval	iv
Dedi	ication		ν
Ackr	owledg	ements	vi
Abst	ract		viii
Tabl	e of Cor	ntents	ix-xi
List	of Table	es	xii
List	of Acror	nyms and Abbreviations	xiii
CHA	APTER	- ONE : INTRODUCTION	1-6
1.1	Back	ground of the Study	1
1.2	State	ment of the Problem	3
1.3	Objec	ctives of the Study	4
1.4	Resea	arch Questions	4
1.5	Signi	ficance of the Study	5
1.6	Delin	nitations of the Study	5
1.7	Opera	ational Definitions of the Key Terms	6
CHA	APTER	- TWO : REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	AND
		CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	7-26
2.1	Revie	ew of Theoretical Literature	7
	2.1.1	English Language Teaching	7
	2.1.2	Development of English in Nepal	9
	2.1.3	Language Skills	11
		2.1.3.1 Reading Skill	12
		2.1.3.2 Types of Reading Skill	13
		2.1.3.3 Writing Skill	15
		2.1.3.4 Activities for Developing Writing Skill	16
	2.1.4	Classroom Interaction	18
		2.1.4.1 Types of Classroom Interaction	19

	2.1.5	Classroom Language	21
2.2	Revie	w of Related Empirical Literature	23
2.3	Impli	cation of the Review for the Study	25
2.4	Conce	eptual Framework of the Study	26
СНА	PTER-	THREE: METHODS AND PROCEDURES OF THE	
		STUDY	27-32
3.1	Desig	n and Method of the Study	27
3.2	Popul	ation, Sample and Sampling Procedure	30
3.3	Study	Area/Field	30
3.4	Data (Collection Tools and Techniques	30
3.5	Data (Collection Procedures	31
3.6	Data A	Analysis and Interpretation Procedure	32
СНА	PTER-	FOUR: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF	
		RESULTS	33-47
4.1	Holist	tic Analysis	34
	4.1.1	Holistic Analysis of Reading and Writing Skills	34
	4.1.2	Holistic Analysis of Interactional Skill	35
	4.1.3	Holistic Analysis of Classroom Language	36
4.2	Comp	parative Analysis of Tests	37
	4.2.1	Comparison Between the Pre-test and Post Test in Reading	ng and
		Writing Skills	37
	4.2.2	Comparison Between the Pre-test and the Progress Test in	n
		Reading and Writing Skills	38
	4.2.3	Comparison Between the Progress Test and Post Test in	Reading
		and Writing Skills	39
	4.2.4	Comparison Between the Pre-test and Post Test in terms	of
		Interactional Skill	40
	4.2.5	Comparison Between the Pre-test and Progress Test in ter	rms
		Interactional Skill	41
	4.2.6	Comparison Between the Progress Test and the Post Test	in terms
		of Interactional Skill	42
	4.2.7	Comparison Between Pre-test and Post Test on terms of	
		Classroom Language	43

	4.2.8	Comparison Between the Pre-test and Progress Test in term	is of
		Classroom Language	44
	4.2.9	Comparison Between the Progress test and the Post Test in	terms
		of Classroom Language	45
4.3	Sumn	nary of the Findings	46
СНА	PTER-	FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	48-50
5.1	Concl	usion	48
5.2	Recor	nmendations	49
	5.2.1	Policy Related	49
	5.2.2	Practice Related	49
	5.2.3	Further Research Related	50
REFI	EREN	CES	51-53
APPE	ENDIC	ES	54-68
Appe	ndix I		
	Mode	l Lesson Plan 1	54
	Mode	l Lesson Plan 2	55
	Mode	l Lesson Plan 3	56
	Mode	l Lesson Plan 4	57
	Mode	l Lesson Plan 5	58
	Mode	l Lesson Plan 6	59
APPI	ENDIX	2: Pre-test Items	60
APPI	ENDIX	3: Progress Test	62
APPI	ENDIX	4: Post Test	64
APPE	ENDIX	5 : Reflective Journal- I	67

LIST OF TABLES

	P	age
Table No. 1:	Holistic Analysis of Reading and Writing Skills	35
Table No. 2:	Holistic Analysis of Interactional Skill	36
Table No. 3:	Holistic Analysis of Classroom Language	36
Table No. 4:	Comparison between the Pre-test and Post Test in Reading	
	and Writing Skills	37
Table No. 5:	Comparison between the Pre-test and the Progress Test in	
	Reading and Writing Skills	38
Table No. 6:	Comparison between the Progress Test and Post Test	39
Table No. 7:	Comparison between the Pre-test and Post Test in terms of	
	Interactional Skill	40
Table No. 8:	Comparison between the Pre-test and the Progress Test	
	in terms of International Skill	41
Table No. 9:	Comparison between the Progress Test and the Post Test in	
	terms of Interactional Skill	42
Table No. 10:	Comparison between Pre-test and Post Test in terms of	
	Classroom Language	43
Table No. 11:	Comparison between the Pre-test and Progress Test in terms	}
	of Classroom Language	44
Table No. 12:	Comparison between the Progress test and the Post Test in	
	terms of Classroom Language	45

LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOLS

% Percentage

B.Ed. Bachelor of Education

C.L. Classroom Language

D. Difference

Dr. Doctor

ELT English Language Teaching

ESL English as a Second Language

etc Etcetera

F.M. Full Marks

i.e. That is to say

M.Ed. Master of Education

NESP National Education System Plan

No. Number

OPT Optional

p. Page

PCL Proficiency Certificate Level

pp. Pages

Prof. Professor

R. Reading

S Speaking

S.N. Serial Number

Ss. Students

T.U. Tribhuvan University

W Writing