AN ANALYSIS OF PUBLIC EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION SECTOR IN NEPAL

A Thesis

Submitted to Central Department of Economics

Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Arts (MA) in

ECONOMICS

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Subhash Chandra Panthi

Central Department of Economics

Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

Exam Roll No.: 40/070

TU Regd. No.: 6-2-50-746-2009

December 2018

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

This thesis entitledAn Analysis of Public Expenditure on Education Sector in

Nepalhas been prepared by Mr. Subhash Chandra Panthiunder my supervision. I

hereby recommend this thesis for examination by the Thesis Committee as a partial

fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in Economics.

.....

Prof. Dr. R.K. Shah

(Thesis Supervisor)

Date: 15/09/2075 B.S.

i

LETTER OF APPROVAL

We certify that this entitled An Analysis of Public Expenditure on Education

Sector in Nepalsubmitted by Mr. Subhash Chandra Panthi to the Central

Department of Economics, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan

University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree ARTS in

ECONOMICS has been found satisfactory in scope and quality. Therefore, we accept

this thesis as a part of the said degree.

Thesis Committee

.....

Prof. Dr. KushamShakya

(Head of the Department)

.....

Prof. Dr. Neelam Kumar Sharma

(External Examiner)

.....

Prof. Dr. R.K. Shah

(Thesis Supervisor)

Date:07/11/2075 B.S.

19/09/2019 A.D.

ii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude of Prof.Dr. R.K.Shah my thesis supervisor, who made it possible to complete this thesis by providing his valuable suggestions and guidance through this study.

I would to express my gratitude to the Head of Central Department of EconomicsTribhuvan University for providing me the opportunity to write and complete my thesis in time. My thanks go to my brother PrakashChandra Panthiand my friends, Mr. Surya PrakashLimbu, Mr. PrakashBhattaMr. Hari Krishna Phulara, Mr. KhubiramPoudel, Mr. RosanRaiMrs. JaibuNisha Miya for their extensive support and constant encouragement with valuable suggestion and technical support during the course of this study.

Finally, I take this opportunity to remember my parentsMr. Laxmi Prasad PanthiandMs. BalKumariPanthifor being there in each and every steps of my life with lots of love, understanding, moral support and constant care. At last, I am indebted to those who have directly and indirectly assisted me to make this study fruitful.

Subhash Chandra Panthi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page No |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Rec | ommendation Letter | i |
| App | oroval Letter | ii |
| Ack | nowledgements | iii |
| Tab | le of Contents | iv |
| List | of Tables | vii |
| List | of Figures | viii |
| Abb | oreviations /Acronyms | ix |
| CH | APTERI: INTRODUCTION | 1-5 |
| 1.1 | Background of the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Statement of the Problem | 3 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of the Study | 3 |
| 1.4 | Significance of the Study | 4 |
| 1.5 | Limitations of the Study | 4 |
| 1.6 | Organization of the Study | 4 |
| CH. | APTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 6-31 |
| 2.1 | Theoretical Context of Public Expenditure | 6 |
| | 2.1.1Pigou Approach: Ability to Pay Theory | 6 |
| | 2.1.2 Samuelson Approach: A Benefit Principle | 7 |
| | 2.1.3 Lindahl-Johansen (L-J) Approach: A Benefit Principle | 8 |
| | 2.1.4 Classical Views on Public Expenditure | 8 |
| | 2.1.5 Keynesian View on Public Expenditure | 9 |
| | 2.1.6 Wagner's Hypothesis | 10 |
| Inco | ome Effect | 10 |
| The Population Effect | | 11 |

| | | The Urbanization Effect | 11 | |
|----------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--|
| | | The Technical Effect | 11 | |
| | 2.1.7 | Peacock-Wiseman Hypothesis | 11 | |
| | | Displacement Effect | 11 | |
| | | Inspection Effect | 12 | |
| | | Concentration Effect | 12 | |
| | 2.1.8 | Colin Clark: A Critical Limit Hypothesis | 12 | |
| | 2.1.9 | Productivity Lag Hypothesis | 13 | |
| | 2.1.10 | Stanly Peace Hypothesis | 13 | |
| 2.2 | Intern | ational Context | 14 | |
| 2.3 | Nepal | ese Context | 22 | |
| 2.4 | Resea | rch Gap | 30 | |
| CHAPTERIII: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 32-33 | | | | |
| 3.1 | Resea | rch Design | 32 | |
| 3.2 | Natur | e and Sources of Data | 32 | |
| 3.3 | Data (| Collection Procedures | 32 | |
| 3.4 | Tools | and Techniques of Data Collection | 33 | |
| 3.5 | Metho | ods of Data Analysis | 33 | |
| CH | APTER | RIV: DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS | 34-55 | |
| 4.1 | Impac | ts of Public Expenditure in Primary and Secondary Education | 34 | |
| | 4.1.1 | Public Expenditure in Education and Number of Community Schools | 34 | |
| | 4.1.2 | Public Expenditure in Education and Number of Teachers in Community Schools | 37 | |
| | 4.1.3 | Public Expenditure in Education and Number of Students in Community Schools | 39 | |
| | 4.1.4 | Student Teacher Ratio (STR) in Community Schools | 41 | |
| 4.2 | Trend | and Pattern Analysis of Public Expenditure in Primary and | | |

| REFERENCES | | 59-62 |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 5.3 | Recommendations | 58 |
| 5.2 | Conclusion | 57 |
| 5.1 | Summary of the Findings | 56 |
| CHA | APTERV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 56-58 |
| СП | ADTEDV. CHMMADV OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND | |
| 4.4 | Share of Capital and Recurrent Public Expenditure in Education | 51 |
| 4.3 | Trend Analysis of Public Expenditure in Education to GDP Ratio | 49 |
| | Secondary Education | 43 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Title | Page |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 4.1 | Public Expenditure in Education and Number of Community Schools | 34 |
| 4.2 | Public Expenditure in Education and Number of Teachers in Commun Schools | ity 37 |
| 4.3 | Public Expenditure in Education and Number of Students in Commun Schools | ity 39 |
| 4.4 | Student Teacher Ratio (STR) in Community School | 42 |
| 4.5 | Public Expenditure in Primary and Secondary Education | 44 |
| 4.6 | Education Expenditure to GDP Ratio | 49 |
| 4.7 | Share of Recurrent and Capital Expenditure in Education Expenditure | 51 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Title | Page |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 4.1 | Public Expenditure in Education | 35 |
| 4.2 | Number of Community School | 35 |
| 4.3 | Number of Teachers in Community School | 38 |
| 4.4 | Number of Students in Community School | 41 |
| 4.5 | Students Teacher Ratio in Community School | 43 |
| 4.6 | Trend and Pattern of Public Expenditure in Primary and Secondary | |
| | Education | 46 |
| 4.7 | Trend of Public Expenditure in Primary and Secondary Education | 47 |
| 4.8 | Trend of Education Expenditure to GDP Ratio | 50 |
| 4.9 | Share of Recurrent and Capital Expenditure in Educational Expenditu | ire 52 |

ABBREVIATIONS / ACRONYMS

US \$ US Dollar

BS BikramSambat

BPEP Basic and Primary Education Program

CBS Central Bureau of Statistics

DoE Department of Economics

EFA Education for All

FY Fiscal Year

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GNP Gross National Product

GoN Government of Nepal

INGOs International Non-governmental Organizations

LDCs Least Development Countries

MoE Ministry of Education

MoF Ministry of Finance

NGOs Non-governmental Organizations

NPC National Planning Commission

NRs. Nepalese Rupees

PEE Public Expenditure in Education

STR Student Teacher Ratio

UDCs Under-developed Countries

URSA UchchastariyaRastriyaShikshyaAayog

US United States

USA United States of America

WB The World Bank