Impact of Ecological Sanitation Toilets on Sustainable Development: A Case Study of Siddhipur Village Development Committee of Lalitpur District, Nepal

by

Samita Shrestha Reg. No: 6-1-38-488-97 Exam Roll No: 3307

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts

Central Department of Rural Development Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur Kathmandu, Nepal

September, 2006

Recommendation Letter

This project work entitled Impact of Ecological Sanitation Toilets on Sustainable

Development: A Case Study of Siddhipur Village Development Committee of Lalitpur

District, Nepal, submitted by Samita Shrestha, is a bonafide project work carried out under my

supervision in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Arts in Rural

Development. I, therefore, recommend for its evaluation and approval by the project work

Evaluation Committee.

Dr. Ek Raj Ojha

Research Supervisor

i

Approval Letter

This project work entitled Impact of Ecological Sanitation Toilets on Sustainable Development: A Case Study of Siddhipur Village Development Committee of Lalitpur District, Nepal, prepared by Samita Shrestha, has been accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Rural Development.

Evaluation Committee
Prof. Pradeep Kumar Khadka
Head of Department
Dr. Ek Raj Ojha
Research Supervisor
Dr. Uma Kant Silwal
External Examiner

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and deepest gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Ek Raj Ojha, for his enthusiastic guidance, valuable suggestion and continued encouragement for the study. I am grateful to Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka and Mr. Pramendra Kumar Shrestha for their support and inspiration to conduct the research in this subject.

I also wish to express sincere thanks to Ms. Pragya Shrestha of ENPHO for her valuable help and suggestion during this study. My special thanks go to my colleagues Mr. Akhil Shrestha and Mr. Sangam Maharjan for their constant support in the study.

I would like to thank Ms. Shardha Shrestha of ENPHO, Mr. Ram Naryan Shrestha, Mr. Sunil Nemkul, and Ms. Sunita Nemkul for their constant help during the fieldwork. Special thanks go to the library staff of ENPHO, ICIMOD and Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur.

Finally, I deeply appreciate my father, mother and all of my family members for their valuable encouragement and support.

Executive Summary

The ecological sanitation, which is economically feasible, environmentally sustainable and based on a loop approach, is being promoted in different parts of agro based settlements. The double-vault urine diverting toilet, one of the options of ecological toilets, had constructed different peripheral communities of capital city. The beauty of ECOSAN toilet is assessed in terms of sustainable development in this study.

Nepal is a poor and agricultural dominant country. Being a poor country, we have to import chemical fertilizers and pesticides from other countries. Use of this fertilizers and pesticides leads to soil degradation which in turn leads to low production and two steps backward to poverty. Besides, except core areas of metropolitan and sub metropolitan cities, there are no sewerage systems. So, the main problem is how to use human waste properly.

The general objective of the study is to find out how ECOSAN toilet helps in the process of sustainable development. The specific objectives are functions of toilet, advantage over traditional sanitation system, impact of ECOSAN and social acceptance over the settlement of Siddhipur.

Siddhipur is traditional farmers' village located around 10 km southeast of Kathmandu in Lalitpur district. People are farming as an occupation, low rate of literacy, low level of income, poor sanitary condition, lack of sanitary facilities and lack of drinking facilities. Some of the sanitary practices are: *nauga*, *sag* and *khikhamoga*.

Fifty-nine ECOSAN toilets and same number. of households are in control area are taken for the study. The households in the control area were respondents in the study area. Observation, household survey and questionnaire were main sources of primary information. Various theses, ECOSAN journals and websites, paper of workshops and seminars are main sources of secondary data.

The ecological sanitation toilet can be promoted as a sustainable alternative. It is not only beneficial from the point of view of the excreta management and sanitation, but also from the point of view of nutrient recycling which is being lost as waste.

ECOSAN toilet pan consists of a slab built over two vaults. The slab has a hole over each vault for the faeces to drop in and a funnel like device to collect the urine. It takes an average household six months to fill one of the vaults. Then second vault is used. The vault is emptied following an additional six months of sanitization and the material is taken to soil compost. Urine is never mixed in this system which is collected separately and can be applied as a fertilizer by diluting with water as necessary.

It is found accepted as improved approach over traditional unhygienic practice of open defectation. ECOSAN is self modification in design and construction incorporating nauga and saga with ECOSAN. Its replacement in some cases and use of the unit constructing inside the dwelling with satisfaction can be taken as an evidence of cultural acceptance.

The settlements of Siddhipur community feel comfortable to use the toilet. Besides, there is improvement in sanitation status. Moreover, acceptability is found higher in agro-based settlement due to its manure value and also suitable in low land settlements because of its water tightness or collection tank and constructed above the ground. ECOSAN toilet is found superior to conventional options of sanitation in terms of cost, social and technical acceptance and environment benefit.

Urine separation or non-mixing system toilets are found accepted by the communities because of their manure value, improvement of traditional method, economic benefit, environmental benefit as well as social benefit. Yet, the people feel difficulty to use this type of toilet due to lack of public awareness.

We need to make additional efforts for massive replication of the system, addressing the reservation, correcting defects and exploring benefits.

Table of Contents

		P	age No.		
		ndation Letter			
		Letter			
Exe	ecutive	Summary	iii		
		dgements			
		ronyms and Abbreviation			
	U	ures			
Lis	t of Tab	bles	viii		
1.	INTR	RODUCTION	1		
	1.1	Background			
	1.2	Rationale for the Study			
	1.3	Objectives 0f the Study			
	1.4	Research questions			
	1.5	Limitation of the Study			
	1.6	Organization of the Study			
2.	I ITE	ERATULRE REVIEW	7		
4.	2.1	Sustainable Development			
	2.2	Natural Resources			
	2.3	Sanitation			
	2.4	ECOSAN Toilet			
	2.5	Human Excreta as a Resource			
	2.6	Ecological Sanitation closes the Loop between Sanitation and Agriculture			
	2.7	Ecological Sanitation Systems			
	2.8	ECOSAN Waterless Toilet			
	2.9	Fertilizer			
	2.10	Waste			
3.	DECI	EARCH METHODOLOGY	10		
<i>J</i> .	3.1	Selection of the Study Area			
	3.2	Research Design			
	3.3	Nature and Sources of Data			
	3.3	3.3.1 Primary Source of Data			
		3.3.1.1 Household Survey of ECOSAN users			
		3.3.1.2 Questionnaire for Field Staff and Representative of Local			
		Institution Involved in ECOSAN	19		
		3.3.1.3 Observation			
		3.3.2 Secondary Source of Data			
	3.4	Universes			
	3.5 Tools and Techniques of Data Collection				
		3.5.1 Observation			
		3.5.2 Household Survey of ECOSAN Users	20		

		3.5.3	Questionnaire	20	
			3.5.3.1 Household Questionnaire of ECOSAN users		
			3.5.3 2 Questionnaire for Field Staff and Representative of Loca		
			Institution Involved in ECOSAN Toilet		
	3.6.	Classi	ification and Editing of Data		
			-		
4.	EXAMINATION OF ECOSAN AND TRADITIONAL SANITATION SYSTEM				
	4.1	Introduction to ECOSAN			
	4.2	How does ECOSAN Work?			
	4.3	4.3 Traditional Sanitation System			
		4.3.1	Nauga	27	
		4.3.2	Saaga	29	
		4.3.3	Khikhamoga	30	
		4.3.4	Drawbacks of Traditional System		
_	БАТ	A A N T A T	I NOIC AND INDEPEDDE A DION	21	
5.	DA 1 . 5.1		LYSIS AND INTERPREATIONPopulation		
	5.1		re Sources		
	5.3				
	5.4	Agricultural Land Investment in Agricultural			
	5.5		uman excreta as fertilizer		
	5.6	Harvesting			
	5.7		e of Drinking Water		
	5.8				
	5.9	House having toilet before using ECOSAN Defection Place for Women			
	5.10		tional method for making ash before ECOSAN		
	5.10				
	5.11	1			
	5.12		cult to use Toilet		
	5.14				
	5.15		estion for Toilet		
6.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS				
	6.1		usion		
	6.2		mmendations		
		6.2.1	Recommendation for Improvement		
		6.2.2	Recommendation for Future Study	43	

References Appendix

List of Tables

Table 1	Advantages and Drawbacks of Traditional System	32
Table 2	Total Population	33
Table 3	Percentage of Income Source.	34
Table 4	Percentage of Agricultural Land	35
Table 5	Decreasing in Agricultural Investment	36
Table 6	Sources of Drinking Water	38

List of Figures

Figure 1	Map of Lalitpur	2
Figure 2	Map of Siddhipur	3
Figure 3	State of the Art of Ecological Sanitation	10
Figure 4	Closing the Loop on Sanitation	11
Figure 5	Infectious Routes of Pathogens	12
Figure 6	Advantages of Ecological Sanitation Systems	13
Figure 7	How does Waterless Toilet Work	15
Figure 8	Basic concept of ECOSAN	23
Figure 9	ECOSAN Toilet	25
Figure 10	Nauga under Staircase in Siddhipur	28
Figure 11	Saga Located at the Back of the House in Siddhipur	29
Figure 12	Public Toilet for Women in Siddhipur	31
Figure 13	Total Population	34
Figure 14	Income Source	35
Figure 15	Agricultural Land	36
Figure 16	Investment in Agriculture	37
Figure 17	Source of Drinking Water	38
Figure 18	Defecation Places	39

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

CBS Central Bureau of Statistics

ECOSAN Ecological Sanitation

ENPHO Environment and Public Health Organization

FSD Forum for Sustainable Development

HH Household

ICIMOD International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development

OPD Outpatients department

SOE State of the Environment

UNICEF United Nations International Children Emergency Fund

VDC Village Development Committee

WCED World Commission on Environment and Development

WHO World Health Organization

% Percentage