

**EFFECTIVENESS OF SOCIAL CONSTRUCTIVIST APPROACH IN
MATHEMATICS TEACHING**

**A
THESIS
BY
JBP KOIRALA**

**FOR THE PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE
OF MASTER OF EDUCATION**

**SUBMITTED
TO
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY CAMPUS
TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY
KRITIPUR, KATHMANDU**

2016

LETTER OF CERTIFICATE

This is to certify Mr. JBP Koirala, a student of academic year 2012 with Campus roll No. 221, Exam Roll No. 281067 , Thesis No. 1079 and TU Registration No. 9-2-21-780-2008, has completed his thesis under my guidance during the period prescribed by the rules and regulation of T.U., Nepal. This thesis entitled "**Effectiveness of Social Constructivist Approach in Mathematics Teaching**" embodies the result of his investigation conducting during the period of 2015 at the Department of Mathematics Education, University campus, Kirtipur, Kathmandu. I recommend and forward that his thesis be submitted for the evaluation for awarding the Degree of Master of Education.

.....
(Mr. Bed Prasad Dhakal)

Supervisor

.....
(Assot. Prof. Laxmi Narayan Yadav)

Head

Date: 22 May, 2016

LETTER OF APPROVAL

A

Thesis by

JBP KOIRALA

Entitled

Effectiveness of Social Constructivist Approach in Mathematics Teaching

has been approved in partial fulfillment requirements for the degree of

Master of Education

Committee of the viva-voice

Signature

1. Assot. Prof. Laxmi Narayan Yadav

.....

(Chairman)

2. Prof. Dr. Hari Prasad Upadhyay

.....

(Member)

3. Mr. Bed Prasad Dhakal

.....

(Member)

Date: June 6, 2016

Acknowledgement

I am heavily indebted to my respected supervisor Mr. Bed Prasad Dhakal, Department of Mathematics education, T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu. I am grateful to him for his continuous guidance, creative suggestions, generous comments, encouragement and inspiration to complete this thesis on time. Without his constructive supervision and intellectual guidance this would never have been appeared in this form. So, his valuable suggestion and instruction have become greatest part of this thesis. My sincere appreciation goes to Assot. Prof. Laxmi Narayan Yadav, Head of the Mathematics Education, Department, T.U., Kirtipur for his helpful suggestion, Co-operation, inspiration and encouragement during this study.

At the same time, I am very grateful to my respected teachers' Prof. Dr. Hari Prasad Upadhyay, Prof. Dr. Min Bahadur Shrestha, Prof. Dr. Lekhnath Sharma., Prof. Dr. Bed Raj Acharya., Dr. B.L. Shah, Mr. Abatar Subedhi, Mr. Lok Nath Bhattarai, and Mr. Arjun Neupane Department of Mathematics education, T.U., Kirtipur for their valuable comments, suggestions, encouragements and inspiration to complete this thesis.

I am also indebted to the school families of both school for their kind co-operation and providing time for collection of data.

I am equally indebted to my Grand Mother Chudamaya Koirala, My parents Mr. Min Prasad Koirala and Mrs. Gita Koirala and others family members for their financial support, encouragement and co-operation during my study. I received great inspiration and support from my friend Mr. Harikrishna Budhathoki. So I cannot stay without giving special thanks to him.

JBP Koirala

Abstract

Due to the reform in mathematics education, in the developed countries, mathematics curriculum is changed and finally a great change in teaching methods occurred. In Nepal, mathematics teaching is still characterized as traditional approach of teaching focusing more on the memorization of facts conveyed to the students by the teacher. In this context, the researcher is interested to implementing new approach of teaching learning in classroom. Reformer mathematics educator argue that social constructivist (specially, collaborative learning approach) teaching approach is best one in modern world in learning mathematics. Therefore the researcher aimed to determine the effectiveness of social constructivist approach in teaching different mathematical content. Especially, this study was intended to determine effects of social constructivist method of teaching compared to the conventional method of mathematics teaching based on following objects:

- To compare the achievement of the students taught by Traditional approach and Social constructivist approach.
- To find the effect of social constructivist teaching approach on mathematics teaching.

Two school of Bhaktapur districts, named Orbit English Secondary School, Gatthaghar and Little Blooms Secondary School as experimental and control group respectively. Designed of the experiment was pre test, post test non-equivalent quasi experimental design. The selected all 16 students from experimental group and 14 student from the control group. Experimental and control groups were taught the same content of grade VIII by using social constructivist and traditional method of teaching respectively. The duration of experiment was one and half week.

After completing the experiment an achievement test was administered on both the groups and the mean, variance, S.D. and coefficient of variance were calculated. After calculating mean, variance, S.D. and coefficient of variance, one tailed t-test was applied at the level of significance 0.05 to examine the mean difference. According to pretest and posttest, the researcher concludes that the social constructivist approach is better method of teaching mathematics.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page no.
<i>Letter of Certificate</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Letter of Approval</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Acknowledgement</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Table of contents</i>	<i>vi</i>
Chapter	
I: INTRODUCTION	1-10
Background of the study	1
Statement of the problem	7
Objective of the study	8
Significance of the study	8
Statement of Hypothesis	9
Delimitation of the study	9
Definition and Terms	10
II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	11-17
Implications of the review for the study	11
Theoretical Framework	16
III: METHODS AND PROCEDURES	18-23
Design of the study	18
Population of the Study	18
Sample of the Study	18
Variable studied	19

Instrument of the Study	20
Data collection tools	20
Reliability and validity of tools	21
Item Analysis	21
Stages of the study	22
Data analysis	23
IV: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE DATA	24-29
Introduction	24
Comparison of the Achievement Score of control group and experimental group from pre test data	25
Comparison of the Achievement Score of control group and experimental group from posttest data	26
Bar Diagram	27
V: SUMMARY, FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	30-33
Summary	30
Findings	30
Conclusions	31
Recommendation	32
REFERENCES	34-35
APPENDICES	36-60