# INDIGENOUS PRACTICES OF DISPUTE MANAGEMENT: AN ANTHROPOLOGICAL STUDY OF THE KISANS OF EASTERN NEPAL

#### **A Dissertation**

Submitted to the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences of
Tribhuvan University in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

**ANTHROPOLOGY** 

By

SHAMBHU PRASAD KATTEL

Ph. D. Regd. No: 2060-26

TU Regd. No: 5722 - 83

2011

### LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

We certify that this dissertation, "Indigenous Practices of Dispute Management: An Anthropological Study of the Kisans of Eastern Nepal" was prepared by Shambhu Prasad Kattel under our guidance. We hereby recommend this dissertation for external evaluation by the Research Committee of the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University, in fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Anthropology.

	Dissertation Committee
	Prof. Dr. Ram Bahadur Chhetri
	Supervisor
	Prof. Do. Con Consul
	Prof. Dr. Om Gurung  Expert
Date:	

#### APPROVAL LETTER

This dissertation entitled "Indigenous Practices of Dispute Management: An Anthropological Study of the Kisans of Eastern Nepal" was submitted by Mr. Shambhu Prasad Kattel for final examination by the Research Committee of the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribuvan University, in fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Anthropology. I hereby certify that the Research Committee of the Faculty has found this dissertation satisfactory in scope and quality and has therefore accepted it for the degree.

\_\_\_\_\_

Prof. Nav Raj Kanel, Ph.D.

Dean and Chairman

Research Committee

Faculty of Humanities and Social

Sciences

Tribhuvan University, Nepal

Date:

#### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this Ph. D. dissertation entitled "Indigenous Practices of Dispute Management: An Anthropological Study of the Kisans in Eastern Nepal" submitted to the Office of the Dean, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University, is an entirely original work prepared under the supervision of my supervisor. I have made due acknowledgement to all ideas and information borrowed from different sources in the course of writing this dissertation. The results presented in this dissertation have not been presented or submitted anywhere else for the award of any degree or for any other reasons. No part of the content of this dissertation has ever been published in any form before. I shall be solely responsible if any evidence is found against my declaration.

Date: Shambhu Prasad Kattel

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The completion of this dissertation is the result of a collective effort by many individuals and institutions. First of all, I would like to thank the Dean's Office, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University for giving me permission to conduct Ph. D. research in Anthropology. I am always indebted to my Guru Prof. Ram Bahadur Chhetri, thesis supervisor, who not only supervised me by reading drafts and providing comments, but also encouraged me in many ways from the beginning to the end of this work. I would like to thank Prof. Om Gurung, an expert, who read the bulky stuff at the end and gave me useful comments and suggestions that helped me to clarify, rethink and revisit it. I also would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Chaitanya Mishra, Prof. Dilli Ram Dahal, Dr. Laya Upreti and Dr. Tulsi Ram Pandey for their critical comments and supports on earlier stage while I was conceptualizing the writing.

I am highly indebted to University Grant Commission for providing me with a scholarship for three years. I am also indebted to Centre for Victims of Torture (CVICT) Nepal and Research Centre for Nepal and Asia Studies (CNAS) for providing me access to their libraries. In this regard, I would like to thank Ms. Anupama Subba of CVICT and Mr. Dalman Dahal of CNAS. My special thanks go to Prem Shrestha for facilitating my access to the library of Central Department of Sociology / Anthropology. I highly appreciate the support of the senior professors and teachers, my colleagues and juniors of the Central Department of Sociology / Anthropology, Tribhuban University.

In particular, I am indebted to the Kisans of Jhapa district for giving me information and hospitability throughout my fieldwork. My special thanks go to the young supporters: Raju Kisan and Shanti Kisan, Bishnu Ghimire and Himal Poudel for assisting me during data collection and facilitation. I would like to express my gratitude to many Kisans and non-Kisans of Jhapa. They are: Jogi Kisan, Ubaraj Kisan (Fagna), Haldar Kisan, Toyala Kisan, Budhan Kisan, Bhabir Kisan (the Kisan *Mahato*), Somanath Poudel, Tanka Upreti, Poona Kisan, Gopal Kisan, Jhingni Kisan, and Subash Kisan. My special gratitude goes to Bhim Kisan and Chilo Kisan. Bhim, the only Kisan doing a Masters, in Linguistics, helped me by correcting information

during the writing process. Chilho Kisan, wife of Dhodi Kisan (former *Mahato*), was in Kathmandu for two months during my writing, also assisted me by providing crucial information during her stay. I am particularly indebted to the staff of the Kisan Community Development Foundation (the Kisan Club), the District and local Police Office, District Court and District Administration Office of Jhapa for providing me essential information.

In addition, I would like to mention a few names here with respect. They have been extremely helpful during my fieldwork, data analysis and writing. Jiban Mani Poudel assisted me during fieldwork by managing my accommodation, participating in the research process, as well as collecting and typing references, and by doing many other tasks. Dr. Elvira Graner has always encouraged me and also prepared some attractive graphs. Dr Keshab Shrestha, Dr. Gyanu Chhetri, and Dr. Binod Pokharel also provided me memorable support. Similarly, I would like to remember the support of Kapil Dahal, Udbodh Bhandari and Sushma Kandu. I am also grateful to Molly Clark-Barol, Princeton in Asia Fellow, who provided me editing assistance.

At last, I am thankful to my family members for their patience and support during my research over the last five years. During my fieldwork, my small son Sadbhav missed me a lot, especially in the evening, when he had no one to play with; he would call me and asked me to come home the next day. If he got ill while I was in the field, my wife (Sita Kattel) would manage the situation courageously on her own, without informing me about his illness, so as not to worry me.

Finally, I am also grateful to Nirmal Computer Center for formatting and other types of computer supports. Moreover, I'm grateful to all the others, who helped me during my study and fieldwork, whose names I may be unable to mention here. I regret this sincerely, and I shall try to keep them in my memory with due regards.

The names mentioned in the following text are artificial; any names that seem to match an actual informant's are coincidence. All such mistakes, errors and shortcomings in this thesis are entirely my own.

Shambhu Prasad Kattel

#### **ABSTRACT**

This is an ethnographic study of political organization and practices of justice delivery system among Kisan community from a political anthropological perspective. The study analyses "indigenous practices of dispute management" particularly structure and functions of community court, access of common people to the court, strength and weakness of dispute management body, interrelationship between informal and formal forums and changes realized in the political organization of the community. The Kisan is a minority ethnic group of Tarai and have been living in Jhapa district for more than 7 generations. The total population of the Kisans is 773 with 380 male and 393 female.

As a closed community, Kisans live in clustered settlements closer to the forest and a river and are generally involved in agricultural farming for subsistence. The study explored distinct socio-cultural and political practices of this community. They are organized under an indigenous political organization which is known as Baiga **System**. The *Baiga system* is well structured and it appears similar to the government machinery of a state. The indigenous organization of the community runs an effective and well-functioning **community court** which is locally known as *Kachchheri*. The community government and the Kachchheri are run by specialized authorities such as the Mahato, Wakil and Sipahi. The Kachchheri has two layered structure such as Community Court and Village Court. The Mahato is the Chief Judge, Wakils are the Judges and the Sipahis are the assistants of the community court. The Sipahi is the village head as well as Chief Judge of the Village Court who also appoints volunteers for enhancing village justice (there are nine villages and Sipahi in the study area). Due to the availability of the services of **Community Court** and its specialized authorities, almost all community disputes are resolved immediately in the community. The Kisans do not go to formal forums to resolve any kind of intracommunity problems.

The Kisans claim that their origin and history is linked with the *Nagbanshi Raja* (Nagbanshi or Nagasia King) of Chhotanagpur of Madhyapradesh in India. Chhotanagpur was under the rule of Nagasia tribe from ancient to medieval period (500 to 1600AD) which was invaded by the Muslim rulers (Vidyarthi and Rai 1985). A group of the Nagasia tribe arrived in Jhapa district with their followers during 18<sup>th</sup>

century and settled there as farmers. The descendents of Nagasia settlers are known as Kisan today in Nepal while the original group is still known as Nagasia in India. The study has shown that there are close socio-cultural and political ties between the Kisan of Nepal and Nagasia of India. The group is known as Kisan in Nepal because of their farming profession.

The Community Court is found influential and accessible to all members of the community. It does not follow any formal procedures. The Kisan court resolves community disputes along with the formal forums set up by the state. It has recently established a relationship with local police offices in order to seek their services in resolving criminal cases from within the community. The study also fond out the processes of dispute management in the Kisan court, especially participation / accessibility of gender and common people and its effectiveness or strength in the community. It has also explored the contributions of the Kisan Court in dispute resolution in comparison to the formal forums of dispute resolution in Nepal (District Court, VDC, Police Office, and so on).

The Kisans are organised under the *Mahato*, the chief of *Baiga System*. The *Mahato* is not only considered the chief of the *Baiga system* and the community but is also recognised as a living representative of the god and goddess, the ancestors, controller of the earthly resources and representative of super natural power. Such a powerful leader of the community and his lieutenants are elected by the Kisan Community Assembly. The community organises community assembly periodically to elect their authorities (*Mahato*, *Wakil*, *Sipahi*), to pass laws and to settle community issues. The *Mahato* is hereditary even though the assembly decides on his successor by considering his leading capacity, soberness, and sense of responsibility in preserving and protecting their culture. Such a powerful leader, the *Mahato*, has no rights to declare his successor. The Community Assembly deserves the rights to terminate the working chief and elect a new chief. Due to effective services of the Kisan **community court** none of the community members has gone to formal forums for resolving their dispute until this study.

The study found that the community court is easily accessible to all community members. There is no undue delay in giving verdict, its services are not very expensive for the clients and its procedures are simple and non-formal. It resolves a case immediately and implements the decision. It follows simple but systematic

procedures of dispute resolutions; brings the disputants together at the end of the session and reintegrates them. Moreover, it assigns the responsibility to the concerned village *Sipahi* for implementation of the agreement. Therefore, chances of repetition / appellation of a case are very less in the Kisan court. The Kisan Court has registered 429 disputes and resolved 347 (81%) disputes whereas the Police Office received 682 disputes and settled 417 (61%), District Administration office received 589 disputes and settled 479 (81%), District Court, Jhapa received 1198 disputes and resolved 658 (55%), and VDC and Municipality received 1293 disputes and resolved 893 (69%) disputes in 2008. Out of the total settled cases in different formal forums in 2008, the Kisan Court itself has settled 12% cases.

The community has been influenced by non-Kisan migrants, development activities and modern market forces in recent years. In the interface with new situation, most of the Kisans have lost their productive land and resources and changed into daily wage labourers. The multiparty politics has also attracted community members to different political parties. Some of the young and educated Kisans have established an NGO (club) for dealing with the processes of socio-cultural change and community development. However, the *Baiga system* is effectively functioning in the community though it has some impacts of the present change and modernization.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Lette	of Reco	ommendation	ii
Appro	oval Lett	er	iii
Decla	ration		iv
Ackn	owledgn	nents	v
Abstr	act		vii
List o	f Tables		XV
List o	f Figures	S	xvi
	f Maps		xvi
	f Photog	raphs	xvi
	f Boxes		xvi
Accro	onyms		xviii
		CHAPTER I	
		Introduction	
1.1	An Int	roduction	1
1.2	Proble	m and Research Questions	7
1.3	Object	ives	10
1.4	Conce	ptual Framework	11
1.5	Organi	ization of the Study	17
		CHAPTER II	
		Review of Literature	
2.1	Politic	al and Legal Anthropology	19
	2.1.1	Initial Arguments and Debates	20
	2.1.2	Modern Perspectives from Political Anthropology	21
	2.1.3	Present Trends in the Study of Political Anthropology	22
	2.1.4	Meaning and Characteristics of Law	23
2.2	Studie	s of Law, Political Organization and Community Justice in Asia	24
2.3	Study	of Community Law and Social Conflict in Nepal	27
	2.3.1	Historical Review of Community Court and Justice System in	
		Nepal	29
	2.3.2	Politics, Conflict and Justice System in Different Communities	32
2.4	Resour	rce related Disputes and Resolution Practices in Nepal	36

	2.4.1	Water Resource Conflict and Management Practices	36
	2.4.2	Forest Resource Conflict and Management Practices	38
		CHAPTER III	
		Methodology of the Study	
3.1	Resea	arch Site and Reason for Selection	41
3.2	Natur	re and Source of Data	43
3.3	Tools	and Techniques of Data Collection	44
	3.3.1	Household Census	45
	3.3.2	Observations	45
	3.3.3	Group Discussions	46
	3.3.4	Key Informant Interviews	47
	3.3.5	Case Studies	48
3.4	Data A	Analysis and Presentations	48
3.5	Trian	gulation for Validity and Reliability of the Data	49
3.6	Perso	nal Experience of Fieldwork	51
		CHAPTER IV	
		Kisan Commuity: An Overview	
4.1	Settle	ement Patterns	56
4.2	House	eholds, Population and Family Size	60
4.3	Age,	Sex and Marital Status	62
4.4	Myth	of Origin and Arrival History	64
4.5	Caste	, Kinship and Marriage	69
4.6	Socio	-Political Organization	76
4.7	The E	Economy	78
	4.7.1	Agriculture	78
	4.7.2	Non-Agricultural Activities	83
	4.7.2.	1 Wage Laboring	83
	4.7.2.	2 Fishing	84
	4.7.3	Market Economy	85
4.8	Educa	ation	86

### CHAPTER V

	Genes	is, Strucutre and Function of $$ the Kisan Political Organisatio	n
5.1	The G	enesis of the Political organization	89
5.2	The S	tructure of the Kisan Government	90
	5.2.1	The Mahato or Raja	91
	5.2.2	The Wakil (Minister)	94
	5.2.3	The Sipahi (Police)	95
	5.2.4	The Laws	97
	5.2.5	The Community Court (Kachchheri)	98
5.3	Functi	ions of the Political Organization of the Kisan	101
	5.3.1	Function of the Kisan government	101
	5.3.1.	1 Executive Functions	102
	5.3.1.2	2 Legal Functions	103
	5.3.1.	3 Security Functions	105
	5.3.2	Functions of the Laws	106
	5.3.2.	1 Regulation of Individual Activities	107
	5.3.2.	2 Maintenance of Peace in the community	108
	5.3.2.	3 Protection and Promotion of the Culture	109
	5.3.3	Functions of the Community Court (Kachchheri)	110
	5.3.3.	1 Dispute Resolution	110
	5.3.3.	2 Enhancing Access to Justice in the Community	111
	5.3.3.	3 Social Control	113
		CHAPTER VI	
	Proces	s of Dispute Settlement, Participation, and Access to the Cou	rt
6.1	Dispu	tes in the Kisan community	122
	6.1.1	The Definition of Disputes among the Kisan	122
	6.1.2	Progress of a Dispute among the Kisan	125
	6.1.3	Types of Dispute	127
6.2	Proces	ss of Dispute Settlement in the Kisan Court	129
	6.2.1	Step one: Dispute Registration and Contact of Second Party	129
	6.2.2	Step two: Resolution Session	131
	6.2.3	Step three: Implementation and Supervision of Agreement	135
6.3	Status	of Participation and Access to the <i>Kachchheri</i>	136

	6.3.1	Participation and Access to the <i>Kachchheri</i>	137
	6.3.2	Role of Disputants in the Kachchheri	141
	6.3.3	Disputant's Perception of the Decision of the Kachchheri	143
		CHAPTER VII	
Ro	le of the	Kisan Court in Justice Delivery Process in Nepal, and Its Str	ength
		and Weaknesses	
7.1	Contr	ibution of the Kachchheri and Formal Forums in Justice	
	Syster	ms in Nepal	146
	7.1.1	The Kachchheri and VDCs / Municipality	147
	7.1.2	The Kachchheri and the Police Office	151
	7.1.3	The Kachchheri and the District Administration Office	154
	7.1.4	The Kachchheri and the District Court	156
7.2	Streng	gths of the Kachchheri	160
	7.2.1	The community Assembly forms the Kachchheri	160
	7.2.2	The Kachchheri and Its Authorities are Known to All	161
	7.2.3	The Kachchheri is easily Accessible to All	163
	7.2.4	The Kachchheri Offers Free Services	164
	7.2.5	Immediate and effective Justice: No Chances of	
		Appellation	166
	7.2.6	Effective Mechanism for Implementing Rules	169
7.3	Weak	ness of the Kachchheri	170
	7.3.1	The Court Authorities Recognize the Community Members	171
	7.3.2	Lack of Documentation	172
	7.3.3	No uniformity in Decision Making	173
	7.3.4	No Coordination with Formal Bodies	175
	7.3.5	No Motivation or Training for the Local Authorities	175
	7.3.6	No Recognition Given by the Formal Forums	176
		CHAPTER VIII	
	Cl	nanges in the Kisan community and Political Organization	
8.1	Influe	nce of Modern Education	178
8.2	Involv	vement in Party Politics	181
8.3	Influe	nce of Market and Modern Facilities	182

8.4	Development Activities and Change	184
8.5	Changes due to Migration Abroad	185
	CHAPTER IX	
	Summary and Conclusion	
9.1	Summary of the Study	189
9.2	Conclusions	193
9.3	Contribution of the Study to the Discipline of Anthropology	195
	Appendix	204-214
	Glossary of Nepali Words	215-217
	References	218-230

## LIST OF TABLES

1.1	District-wise Distribution of Kisan Population	3
4.1	Municipality/VDC-wise Households and Population of the Kisan	
	in Jhapa	57
4.2	Distribution of family size and Percentage of the Kisan Jhapa	61
4.3	Types of families among the Kisan in Jhapa	62
4.4	Age and sex-wise distribution of the Study Population	63
4.5	Food sufficiency among the Kisan in Jhapa	79
4.6	Land distribution among the Kisan in the Study Area	80
4.7	Size of Rented Land and Number of Cultivator Households	82
4.8	Distribution of animals among the Kisan in Jhapa	83
4.9	Number of Wwage Laborers and their Average Monthly Income	84
4.10	Educational Status of Kisan in Jhapa	87
6.1	Types of dispute in the Kisan community	128
6.2	Village-wise registration of disputes in the Kisan Kachchheri	138
6.3	Cases handle by Sipahi in 2008	140
7.1	Dispute settled by VDC/Municipality and the Kachchheri in 2008	150
7.2	Registered and Settled Cases in the Kachchheri and Police Offices	
	in 2008	154
7.3	Cases Registered and settled in DAO and in the Kachchheri	155
7.4	Cases Registered and Resolved in the District Court, Jhapa in 2008	160

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Conceptual Framework	15
4.1	Kisan Caste Position in the Study Area in Jhapa	70
4.2	Marriage Partners within the Sam Ancestry	73
	LIST OF MAPS	
3.1	Map of Nepal Shows Study Area	42
4.1	Jhapa District Showing the Kisan Settlements	57
	LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS	
4.1	A Meeting House of the Kisan	58
4.2	Houses of the Kisan Facing Opposite of the Roads	58
4.3	A House without Windows	60
4.4	A House of Rich Kisan with a Separate Kitchen and Animal House	60
4.5	The Present Mahato, Mr. Bhibir Kisan	77
4.6	The House of the Present Mahato	77
5.1	Rental House for the Offices of the Kisan	102
5.2	A Key Informant Going Out From His House	102
	LIST OF BOXES	
4.1	Land Loss among the Kisan	81
5.1	A Kisan Return Crown after One Year	93
5.2	A Sipahi also Uses the Power	103
6.1	Examples of Types of social tension among the Kisan	123
6.2	Examples of case settlement	126
6.3	Non-disclosure of disputes	141
6.4	Response of the disputants about the <i>Kachchheri</i> decisions	144
7.1	Experiences with Formal Forums	148
7.2	Kisan Impressions of the Police Office	151

7.3	Kisan think expensive to resolve dispute in the Police Office	153
7.4	Reflection about District Administration Office	155
7.5	Justice from District Court is not Affordable for Kisan	157
7.6	Disputants Experiences in the District Court	157
7.7	Example of Authority Selection in Community Assembly	162
7.8	Examples of Dissatisfaction with the Kachchheri authority	163
7.9	Reflections of Community Members on their Authorities	165
7.10	The Kisan Court is Quick and Effective	167
7.11	The Kachchheri Organizes Various Sessions to Settle a Case	168
7.12	The Kachchheri Implements Its Decisions	169
7.13	Decisions of Kisan Kachchheri are not Uniform	173

#### **ACCRONYMS**

CBS : Central Bureau of Statistics

CPNUML : Nepal Communist Party United Marxist and Leninist

DAO : District Administration Office

DDC : District Development Committee

DFO : District Forest Office

DLRO : District Land Revenue Office

IGNOU : Indira Gandhi National Open University

INGO : International Non-governmental Organization

INSEC : Informal Sector Service Centre

MA : Master of Art

NCDC : Nepal Community Development Centre

NCP : Nepali Congress Party

NEFIN : Nepal Federation of Indigenous Nationalities

NFDIN : National Foundation for Development of Indigenous

**Nationalities** 

NGO : Non-Governmental Organization

NPP : National Prajatantra Party

NTFPs : Non-Tiber Forest Products

PRI : Penal Reform International

Rs : Rupees

SLC : School Leaving Certificate

TU : Tribhuvan University

UCPN, M : United Community Party of Nepal, Maoists

UGC : User Group Committee / University Grant Commission

VDC : Village Development Committee

YCL : Young Communist League