

**AN ANALYSIS OF CONTENT VALIDITY OF THE M.Ed.
COURSE 'SOCIOLINGUISTICS'**

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education
In Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English**

Submitted by

Jyoti Bhandari

Faculty of Education

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

2012

**AN ANALYSIS OF CONTENT VALIDITY OF THE M.Ed.
COURSE 'SOCIOLINGUISTICS'**

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education
In Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English**

Submitted by

Jyoti Bhandari

Faculty of Education

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

2012

T.U. Regd. No:9-2-329-137-2005

Date of Approval of Thesis

Campus Roll No:1468

Proposal:28/12/2011

Second Year Exam Roll No:280496/067

**Date of Submission of
Thesis:27/03/2012**

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Ms. Jyoti Bhandari** has prepared the thesis entitled **An Analysis of Content Validity of the M.Ed.Course 'Sociolinguistics'** under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend the thesis for approval and acceptance.

Date: 27/03/2012

.....

Mr. Resham Acharya (Guide)

Teaching Assistant

Department of English Education

T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for the evaluation by the following '**Research Guidance Committee**'.

Signature

Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra

.....

Professor and Head
of English Education,
Kirtipur, Kathmandu,

Chairperson Department
T.U.,

Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel

.....

Lecturer
Department of English Education,
T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu,

Member

Mr. Resham Acharya (Guide)

.....

Teaching Assistant
Department of English Education,
T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu,

Member

Date:

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following '**Thesis Evaluation and Approval Committee**'.

Signature

Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra

.....

Professor and Head,

Chairperson

Department of English Education,

Chairperson

English and Other Foreign Languages

Education Subject Committee

T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

Dr. Tapasi Bhattacharya

.....

Reader

Member

Department of English Education,

T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

Mr. Resham Acharya (Guide)

.....

Teaching Assistant

Member

Department of English Education,

T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

Date:

DECLARATION

I hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that this thesis is original; no part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any University.

Date: 26/03/2012

.....
Jyoti Bhandari.

Dedication

Affectionately Dedicated

To

My Loving Parents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my thesis supervisor **Mr. Resham Acharya** who has played the pivotal role for the completion of the research work through his continuous support and encouragement. I am deeply indebted to him for all his guidance, invaluable suggestions and co-operation and I extend my sincere thanks to him for his support.

I am obliged to **Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra**, Professor and Head of the Department of English Education for his inspiration and valued suggestions.

My sincere gratitude goes to **Mr. Bhesh Raj Pokhrel**, Lecturer of the Department of English Education for his support, help and suggestions during my research work.

I owe a debt of profound gratitude to Prof. **Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi**, Chairperson of English and Other Foreign Languages, Education Subject Committee for his regular inspiration and encouragement.

Hearty acknowledgement is extended to **Dr. Laxmi Bahadur Maharjan**, Reader of Department of English Education and **Dr. Tara Datt Bhatta**, Reader of the Department of English Education whose valued suggestions, guidance and help are painstaking in completing this research work.

I am equally grateful to **Prof. Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya**, **Prof. Dr. Govind Raj Bhattarai**, **Prof. Dr. Anju Giri**, **Dr. Bal Mukunda Bhandari**, **Dr. Anjana Bhattarai**, **Dr. Tapasi Bhattacharya**, **Mr. Raj Narayan Yadav**, **Ms. Hima Rawal**, **Ms. Saraswati Dawadi**, **Ms. Madhu Neupane** and other faculty members of the Department for their valuable suggestions and encouragement.

I am highly indebted to my brothers **Mr. Deepak Bhandari**, **Mr. Ganesh Bhandari**, **Mr. Thaneshwar Bhandari**, **Mr. Surendara Raj Joshi** and **Mr. Durga Bhatta** for their continual help and support during my thesis work.

At last, I would like to express my warm gratitude to my husband **Mr. Bishnu Datt Pandey** for his continuous encouragement, inspiration and guidance. I am also grateful to my brother-in-law **Mr. Khem Raj Pandey** and my family members for their support and cooperation during my study and research work. I

am equally indebted to my each and every friend for their help and constant guidance.

Chaitra, 2068

Jyoti Bhandari.

ABSTRACT

This thesis entitled "An Analysis of Content Validity of the M.Ed. Course Sociolinguistics" attempts to determine the content validity of the annual examination papers of Sociolinguistics Eng.Ed.518. The main objective of this research study was to examine the content validity of the annual examination papers of M.Ed. First Year's course (Sociolinguistics Eng.Ed.518) in terms of content coverage and content weightage. In order to fulfill this purpose, the researcher analyzed the question papers of the year 2066 and 2067 (I) and (II). Only the secondary sources of data were used for this purpose. The question papers were compared and analyzed with course contents in terms of content coverage and weightage. The research findings indicate that the exam paper of Sociolinguistics Eng.Ed.518 have good content validity in terms of content coverage but low content validity in terms of content weightage.

The study consists of four chapters. The first chapter deals with the introduction which consists of general background, review of related literature, objectives of the study and significance of the study. The Second chapter is related to the methodology which consists of sources of data, process of data collection and limitations of the study. The third chapter consists of analysis and interpretation of the data. The collected data were analyzed and interpreted in terms of content coverage and weightage. The last chapter deals with the findings and recommendations of the study. The findings have been made on the basis of the analysis and interpretation of data. And, on the basis of these findings, some recommendations have been made.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No
<i>Declaration</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Recommendation for Acceptance</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Recommendation for Evaluation</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Evaluation and Approval</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Dedication</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Acknowledgment</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>viii</i>
<i>Table of Contents</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>xiv</i>
<i>List of symbols and Abbreviations</i>	<i>xv</i>
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General Background	1
1.1.1 Language Teaching and Language Testing	2
1.1.2 Types of Testing	3
1.1.2.1 Subjective Test	3
1.1.2.2 Objective Test	4

1.1.3 Qualities of a Good Test	4
1.1.3.1 Reliability	5
1.1.3.2 Practicality	5
1.1.3.3 Validity	6
1.1.4 Types of Validity	6
1.1.4.1 Construct Validity	7
1.1.4.2 Face Validity	7
1.1.4.3 Wash back Validity	7
1.1.4.4 Criterion-related Validity	8
1.1.4.5 Content Validity	9
1.1.5 Sociolinguistics: An Introduction	12
1.1.5.1 Sociolinguistics at M.Ed Level	13
1.2 Review of Related Literature	13
1.3 Objectives of the Study	16
1.4 Significance of the Study	16

CHAPTER TWO: METHODOLOGY

2.1 Sources of Data	18
2.1.1 Primary Sources of Data	18
2.1.2 Secondary Sources of Data	18

2.2 Tools for Data Collection	18
2.3 Process of Data Collection	19
2.4 Limitations of the Study	19

CHAPTER THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

3.1 Analysis and Interpretation of Content Coverage	20
3.1.1 Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit One	21
3.1.2 Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Two	24
3.1.3 Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Three	27
3.1.4 Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Four	30
3.1.5 Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Five	33
3.1.6 Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Six	36
3.1.7 Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Seven	39
3.1.8 Examining Content Validity of the Test Papers on the Whole in Terms of Coverage	41
3.1.9 Unit wise Comparison of the Test Papers in Terms of Content Coverage	42
3.2 Content Weightage	43
3.2.1 The Whole Content Validity in Terms of Content Weightage	44
3.3 Comparison of Content Validity in Terms of Content Coverage and Weightage	47

CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Findings	49
--------------	----

4.2 Recommendations 53

References 53

Appendices 55

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table No. 1: Units with their Marks Division	13
Table No. 2: Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit One	21
Table No. 3: Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Two	24
Table No. 4: Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Three	27
Table No. 5: Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Four	30
Table No. 6: Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Five	33
Table No. 7: Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Six	36
Table No. 8: Analysis of Content Coverage from Unit Seven	39
Table No. 9: The Whole Content Validity in Terms of Content Coverage	41
Table No. 10: The Whole Content Validity in Terms of Content Weighting	44

LISTS OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure No. 1: Content Coverage from Unit One	23
Figure No. 2: Content Coverage from Unit Two	26
Figure No. 3: Content Coverage from Unit Three	29
Figure No. 4: Content Coverage from Unit Four	32
Figure No. 5: Content Coverage from Unit Five	35
Figure No. 6: Content Coverage from Unit Six	38
Figure No.7: Content Coverage from Unit Seven	40
Figure No. 8: The Whole Content Validity in Terms of Content Coverage	42
Figure No. 9: Unit wise Content Coverage	43

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

No. = Number

O = Objective Question

S.S. = Subjective Short Question

S.L. = Subjective Long Question

P = Partial Question

alt = alternative

P. = Page Number

vs. = versus

etc. = etcetera

et.al = And other people