SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DOCUMENTATION CENTERS: STATUS AND SERVICES

A thesis submitted to the Central Department of Library and Information Science in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master's Degree in Library and Information Science

Submitted by SITA DEVI

Central Department of Library and Information Science
Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences
Tribhuvan University,
Kirtipur, Kathmandu
2011

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

This thesis entitled "SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DOCUMENTATION

CENTERS: STATUS AND SERVICES " has been prepared by Mrs. Sita Devi under

my supervision. I hereby recommend this thesis for examination as a partial

fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF LIBRARY AND

INFORMATION SCIENCE.

Date: 2068/01/

.....

Mrs. Nirmala Shrestha

Thesis Supervisor

LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE

We certify that this thesis entitled "SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DOCUMENTATION CENTERS: STATUS AND SERVICES" by Mrs. Sita Devi to the Central Department of Library and Information Science, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF LIBRARY AND INFORMATION SCINECE has been found satisfactory. Therefore, this thesis as a part of the said Degree has been accepted.

Approval committee
Nirmala Shrestha
Thesis supervisor
Indira Dali
External Examiner
Dr. Madhusudn Karki
Head of Department

Date: 2068/01/

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank all of those people who have made this research possible. First, of course, I would like to thank Mrs Nirmala Shrestha for her encouragement and guidance during the preparation of this thesis. I got necessary guidance from the beginning of the research to the final phase. She also taught me the course on research methodology which was the guideline for me to organize this thesis.

I would like to thank Dr. Madhusudan Karki, Head of the department for allowing me to undertake this thesis, and for suggestions for the improvement. I am also equally grateful to Ms. Indira Dali for suggestions.

I would also like to thank the faculties at the Central Department of Library and Information Science, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal who volunteered invaluable feedback on the research at various stages: Mr. Rudra Prasad Dulal, Dr. Mohan Raj Pradhan, Mr. Bishnu Prasad Arayal, Mr. Bhim Dhoj Shrestha and Mr. Ramesh Parajuli.

Similarly, I am equally grateful to the respondents who filled up the questionnaire during their busy work. I would like to thank the staffs of the three documentation centers who generously provided me with the necessary information related to the documentation centers where they work.

Finally, I wish to thank my family members, my parents as well as my husband Dubi Nanda Dhakal for their encouragement and patient support.

Sita Devi

ABSTRACT

This thesis entitled "Science and Technology Documentation Centers: Status and Services" has been carried out to fulfill the partial requirements for the degree of Master in Library and Information Science. The existing status of the three documentation centers has been taken as the problem of this thesis. The objectives of this thesis are to find out the status of the documentation centers, compare the services rendered by these documentation centers and to find out the users' satisfaction. This study includes collections, service, physical facilities, services, budget of these documentation centers.

Related literature has been reviewed in chapter two. The focus of this dissertation has been limited to three science and technology documentation centers, namely Nepal Academy of Science and Technology, Nepal Agricultural Research Council and Ministry of Environment documentation centers in chapter three. A simple random sampling method has been employed for sampling purpose. Two sets of questionnaires, for the users and the library staffs, have been used for the collection of data. A survey has been carried out on these documentation centers to explore their status, and services. The time period of this study is from December 2010 to January 2011.

A total of 59 questionnaires have been distributed among the users of the documentation centers. 51 questionnaires have been returned by the library users. Additionally, 6 questionnaires have been returned by the library staffs. The information obtained has been tabulated, presented and analyzed in chapter five by means of tabulation, pie chart, and diagram.

The greatest numbers of the visitors are the researchers who go to these documentation centers. The most frequently collections which have been used are journals/ periodicals followed by magazines/newsletters. The collections in these documentation centers are 'fairly adequate' as it has been reported by 30% respondents. The two conventional services the largest numbers of respondents (29%) have used are the display of new books followed by abstracting and indexing (19%) and subject and bibliography (13%). The two methods which have been used to locate the information are by self-search (37%) and with the help of staff (42%). The two modern services which have been used by the higher percentages of the users are internet and database in interrelated subjects.

Almost half of the total respondents (37%) are not satisfied with these documentation centers as these documentation centers do not have adequate library tools. The needs of the largest number of users are not met by the collection in all documentation centers. Majority of respondents (86%) have replied that the library staffs are helpful.

The summary, findings and recommendations have been presented in chapter six. The precise status of these documentation centers differs. They all are related to science, technology and agriculture. They follow the same classification scheme, i.e. DDC. Document loan is provided only to the members of the parent organizations. The documentation centers spend some amount of budget for the management of the documentation centers. Taking into consideration several factors such as budget, management, manpower, users' satisfaction, NAST documentation center is best among these documentation centers. Above all, researchers' needs are not met by these documentation centers. Recruitment of professional manpower and subscription of international journals and databases are major recommendations suggested for the effective delivery of library services.

Sita Devi

PREFACE

This research work held on *Science and Technology Documentation Centers: Status and Services* primarily focused on the services and status of the documentation centers. This is the study made about the documentation centers related to science and technology of vrious governmental organizations of Nepal.

Chapter one of this study describes about the brief history of the origin of the term 'documentation', its development and various activities. This chapter also includes the background of the study, definitions of the terms, objectives and history of documentation centers. It also includes the statements of the problems as well as the objectives.

Chapter two is the review of literature summarizing the previous studies related to the documentation centers.

Chapter three is the focus of the study. It provides information related to these three documentation centers.

Chapter four deals with the research methodology. Since the main objective of this study is to find out the status and services of the documentation centers, a comparative research design has been adopted for this study.

Chapter five presents the data obtained from the field. This chapter compares several aspects of the documentation centers such as users' needs, collections, services, library rules, users' satisfaction etc. The information related to these aspects of the documentation centers as well as the comparative status has been presented.

This study attempts to contribute something to the people involved in library profession. I hope it will be able to draw the attention of the authorities to solve the current problems of documentation centers and make aware to the users as well as the documentalists to improve the services of the documentation centers in much better way.

Sita Devi

CATALOGUE OF THE THESIS

Main card

D 025

Sh86s Sita Devi

Science and technology documentation centers: status and service/Sita Devi.-Kathnandu: TU. Department of Library and Information Information Science, 2011.

xv, 101p.:ill., tables;29cm.

Thesis: Master's degree of Library and Information Science from CDLISc, T.U.

Includes appendix

Includes bibliographies

1. Documentation.I. Title

O

Selflist card

D

025

Sh86s Sita Devi

Science and technology documentation centers: status and service/Sita Devi.-Kathnandu: TU. Department of Library and Information Information Science, 2011.

xv, 101p.:ill., tables;29cm.

Thesis: Master's degree of Library and Information Science from CDLISc, T.U.

Includes appendix

Includes bibliographies

1. Documentation.I. Title

O

D

025 DOCUMENTATION

Sh86s Sita Devi

Science and technology documentation centers: status and service/Sita Devi.-Kathnandu: TU. Department of Library and Information Information Science, 2011.

xv, 101p.:ill., tables;29cm.

Thesis: Master's degree of Library and Information Science from CDLISc, T.U.

Includes appendix

Includes bibliographies

O

Title card

D Science and technology documentation centers; status and services

Sh86s Sita Devi

Science and technology documentation centers: status and service/Sita Devi.-Kathnandu: TU. Department of Library and Information Information Science, 2011.

xv, 101p.:ill., tables;29cm.

Thesis: Master's degree of Library and Information Science from CDLISc, T.U.

Includes appendix

Includes bibliographies

O

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITL	LE PAGE	
RECOMMENDATION LETTER		
ACC	iii	
ACK	iv	
ABS	TRACT	V
PREFACE		vi
CAT	ALOGUE OF THE THESIS	
TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	X
LIST	xiii	
LIST	xiv	
LIST	OF ACRONYMS	XV
1. Cl	napter: INTRODUCTION	1-13
1.1	Background of the study	1
1.2	Statement of the problem	8
1.3	Objectives of the study	9
1.4	Scope and the limitation of the study	9
1.5	Significance of the study	9
1.6	Definitions of the terms	10
1.7	Organization of the study	11
	References	
2. Cl	napter: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	14-22
3. Cl	napter: FOCUS OF THE STUDY	23-44
3.1	Nepal Academy of Science and Technology	23
3.2	Nepal Agricultural Research Center	29
3.3	Ministry of Environment	37
	References	

4. Ch	apter: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	45-49
4.1	Research design	45
4.2	Population	46
4.3	Sampling procedure	46
4.4	Data collection procedure	47
4.5	Data analysis procedure	48
	References	
5. Cha	apter: DATA ANALYSIS AND PRESENTATION	51-92
5.1	Personal information	51
5.2	User needs	54
5.3	Collection	59
5.4	Services	64
5.5	Library rules	71
5.6	Users' satisfaction	74
5.7	General suggestions	82
5.8	Status of documentation centers	84
5.8.1	Managing the documentation centers	84
5.8.2	Collection	87
5.8.3	Technical processing	88
5.8.4	Service provided	89
5.8.5	Resource sharing with other documentation centers	89
5.8.6	Physical facilities	90
	References	
6. Ch	apter: SUMMARY, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
		93-98
6.1	Summary	93
6.2	Findings	94
6.2.1	Users' needs	94
6.2.2	Collection	94
6.2.3	Services	95
6.2.4	Library rules	96
6.2.4	Users' satisfaction	96

6.2.5	General suggestions					
6.2.6	Status and services of documentation centers					
6.3	Recommendations	97				
BIBLIOGRAPHY 99-						
APPENDICES						
	Appendix I. Questionnaire Set I (for Library Users)					
	Appendix II. Questionnaire Set I (for Documentation Center Staff)					
	Appendix III. Biodata of Researcher					

LIST OF TABLES

Table	1:	Comparison of the documentation centers	43
Table	2:	Number of questionnaires distributed and returned by	
		respondents	50
Table	3:	Qualifications of the users	52
Table	4:	Users' positions	54
Table	5:	Purposes of visiting documentation centers	56
Table	6:	Frequency of documentation center visit	57
Table	7:	Correlation between the purpose and frequency of visit	58
Table	8:	Collections	60
Table	9:	Rate the collection	61
Table	10:	Services	64
Table	11:	Conventional services	66
Table	12:	Modern services	67
Table	13:	Physical facilities	69
Table	14:	Rank of services rendered by documentation centers	70
Table	15:	Ranking the current services	71
Table	16:	Library opening hours	72
Table	17:	Library staffs" cooperation	73
Table	18:	Availability of collections	75
Table	19:	Are sufficient reading materials available?	76
Table	20:	Non availability of the reference materials	77
Table	21:	Current information available	78
Table	22:	Users' satisfaction with services	78
Table	23:	Present available methods	79
Table	24:	Reasons of dissatisfaction	80
Table	25:	Research needs met by the collections of NAST	
		documentation center	81
Table	26:	Research needs met by the collections of NARC	
		documentation center	81
Table	27:	Research needs met by the collections of ME	
		documentation center	82
Table	28:	Allocated budget in documentation centers	85
Table	29:	Staffs in documentation centers	86
Table	30:	Size of collection	87
Table	31:	Technical processing in the documentation centers	88
Table	32:	Services provided in the documentation centers	89
Table	33:	Users' area	90
Table	34:	Other physical facilities	91

LIST OF FIGURES

Organizational structure of NAST	26
Organizational structure of NARC	33
Organizational structure of Ministry of Environment	40
Users' sex in documentation centers	51
Users' qualification	53
A model of the information seeking of professionals	55
Frequency of visits to the documentation centers	58
Rate the collection	62
Services	65
Modern services	68
Ranking of services rendered by documentation centers	70
Library staffs' cooperation	73
Are the materials sufficient?	75
Users' satisfaction with services	79
Library budget	86
Staffs in documentation centers	87
Library collection	88
	Organizational structure of NARC Organizational structure of Ministry of Environment Users' sex in documentation centers Users' qualification A model of the information seeking of professionals Frequency of visits to the documentation centers Rate the collection Services Modern services Ranking of services rendered by documentation centers Library staffs' cooperation Are the materials sufficient? Users' satisfaction with services Library budget Staffs in documentation centers

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AACR: Anglo-American cataloguing rules

ALA: The American Library Association

CAS: Current awareness service

DDC: Dewey Decimal Classification

DOC: Documentation Center

ME: Ministry of Environment

NARC: Nepal Agricultural Research Council

NAST: Nepal Academy of Science and Technology

SDI: Selective dissemination of information

TEEAL: The Essential Electronic Agriculture Library