Role of Resource Center to Promote Quality Education in Community Schools

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of Educational Planning and Management in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of M.Ed.

Degree in Educational Planning and Management

Submitted by Narayan Koirala Exam Roll No: 2140056-2063 T. U. Regd. No: 48714-91 Campus Roll No: 01-2061

Sukuna Multiple Campus
Department of Educational Planning and Management
Indrapur Morang

Acknowledgements

The research sincerely expresses his gratitude to all who have directly and indirectly helped him to complete this study. He wishes to express his deep appreciation and gratitude to Mr. Laxmi Kumar Shrestha, Co-ordinator of Master's Degree Programme of Sukuna Multiple Campus, for his invaluable guidance, suggestion, encouragement and assistance throughout the study.

The investigator also wishes to thank Mr. Shiva Kumar Gautam, resource person of Nepaledanda resource center of Bhojpur for their help in spite of his business in his work. He would like to extend his sincere thanks to the head teachers of Janasewa Primary School Nepaledanda -6, Ichhawati Primary School Kudak kaule-3 and the in charge of Khartamchha Secondary School Khartamchha, Kalika Primary School Khartamchha-4, Janodaya Lower Secondary School Kudakkaule-1, Chandrawati Lower Secondary School Khartamchha-6 who helped filling the questionnaires, all the participant head teachers and SMC members of the focal group discussion and Parshuram Gautam who helped in type setting.

He also wishes to extend his thanks to all the figures involved in the research and organizations and authors listed in the bibliography whose books, reports and articles supported him to complete the research successfully.

Narayan Koirala

Abstract

This research on 'Role of Resource Center to Promote Quality Education in Community Schools' of Nepaledanda Resource Center in Bhojpuir district. The main objectives to carry out this research are to indentify the role of RC in promoting students' achievement, to analyze the role of RC in promoting quality education, to assess issues and problems related to quality education with a focus on RC and to suggest making the role of RC more effective.

The RP of the resource center three primary level head teachers from sheer primary schools three primary level incharges from lower secondary or secondary level attached schools, five SMC presidents and sixteen other head teachers have been included in this research. It is the sample size. Selection of the RP and the sixteen head teachers (for focal group discussion) is purposeful and others were selected through random sampling.

The main findings of this research are the learning outcome of community based schools' grade five students is poor, below 50% almost in every subject, the RC works as bridge to join schools to the district education office and it conducts some programmes such as short term teacher training, student oriented programme as well as guidance and counseling to promote quality education, it has many things to do in the future. It should start clinical supervision and action research and needs to increase its supervision frequency schedule.

CONTENTS

COTTENTS	
of tables	
of charts	
previations	
CHAPTER: ONE	
INTRODUCTION	
	Page
Background	1
Statement of the problem	4
Rationale of the study	5
Objectives of the study	5
Research questions	6
Delimitations of the study	6
Definition of terms	7
CHAPTER: TWO	
EORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITER.	ATURE REVIEW
Theoretical framework of the study	8
Review of the related literature	9
Educational implication	12
CHAPTER: THREE	
METHODOLOGY	
Research design	13
	CHAPTER: ONE INTRODUCTION Background Statement of the problem Rationale of the study Objectives of the study Research questions Delimitations of the study Definition of terms CHAPTER: TWO CORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITER Cheoretical framework of the study Review of the related literature Educational implication CHAPTER: THREE METHODOLOGY

3.2	Data collection procedure	13
3.2.1	Population	13
3.2.2	Sample size	13
3.2.3	Sampling methods	14
3.2.4	Criteria of sample selection	14
3.3	Instrumentations (Research tools)	14
3.4	Sources of data	15
3.4.1	Primary source	15
3.4.2	Secondary source	15
3.5	Data analysis procedure	15
	CHAPTER: FOUR	
	ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA	
4.1 D	ata analysis, interpretation and presentation	16
4.2 A	nalysis and interpretation of learning achievement of grade fix	e students
	16	
4.3 Ea	ach year's average scores of the last three years	17
4.4 A	ggregate average percentage in each subject	19
4.5 R	esponse from resource person	20
4.6 R	esponse from primary level in charges/head teachers	22
4.7 A	nalysis of the programmes launched by the RC	24
	CHAPTER: FIVE	
FINI	CHAPTER: FIVE DINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	
FINI 5.1		31
	DINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	31 32

References Annexes

LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
	Tables	
1.	Average scoring marks of the schools in 2064	17
2.	Average scoring marks of the schools in 2065	18
3.	Average scoring marks of the schools in 2066	19
4.	Aggregate average percentage in each subject	19
	(in 2064,2065 and 2066)	

LIST OF CHARTS

Charts

1.	Resource person's response	20
2.	Primary level in charge/head teachers' response	23
3.	Teacher oriented programmes	25
4.	Student oriented programmes	26
5.	Examination oriented programmes	27
6.	Creative and innovative programmes	28
7.	Miscellaneous programmes	29

ABBREVIATIONS

A D. Anno Domini

ADB Asian Development Bank

BPEP Basic and Primary Education Program

B.S. Bikram Sambat

EFA Education for All

MoES Ministry of Education

NCED National Center for Education Development

PEDP Primary Education Development Project

RC Resource Center

RP Resource Person

SESP Secondary Education Support Program

SSRP School Sector Reform Program

UNDP United Nations Development Program

UNESCO United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural

Organization

CAS Continuous Assessment System SMC School Management Committee PTA Parents Teacher Association

FM Full Marks

AS Average Scoring

AAS Aggregate Average Scoring