WRITING PROFICIENCY OF TEN GRADERS IN TEHRATHUM DISTRICT

A Thesis submitted to the Department of English Education Sukuna Multiple Campus, Morang in Partial fulfillment for the Master of Education in English

Submitted by Devi Prasad Acharya

Faculty of Education Tribhuwan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal 2012

WRITING PROFICIENCY OF TEN GRADERS IN TEHRATHUM DISTRICT

A Thesis submitted to the Department of English Education Sukuna Multiple Campus, Morang in Partial fulfillment for the Master of Education in English

Submitted by Devi Prasad Acharya

Faculty of Education Tribhuwan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal 2012

T.U. Regd. No: 33236-95 Exam Roll No: 2140370 Date of Approval of the Thesis proposal: 2067-03-32 Date of Submission: 2068-09-23

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Mr. Devi Prasad Acharya** has completed his **M.Ed**. thesis entitled "**Writing Proficiency of ten Graders in Tehrathum District**" under my guidance and supervision.

I recommended the thesis for necessary evaluation.

Date: 2068/ /

Mr. Durgamani Sharma(guide)

Asst. Lecturer Department of English Sukuna Multiple Campus Indrapur, Morang

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

The following Research Guidance committee has recommended this thesis for approval and evaluation.

Mr. Mohan Kumar Tumbahang	
Lecturer and Head	
(Chairperson)	
Department of English	
Mr. Durgamani Sharma(Guide)	
Asst. Lecturer	(Member)
Department of English	
Mr. Guru Prasad Adhikari	

Asst. Lecturer

(Member)

Department of English

Date:-----

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following **Research Evaluation and Approval Committee**.

Signature

Mr. Mohan Kumar Tumbahang Lecturer and Head Chairperson Department of English Sukuna Multiple Campus, Morang

Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya

Professor

Expert

Department of English Education T.U. Kritipur, Kathmandu. Nepal

Mr. Durgamani Sharma(Guide)

Asst. Lecturer

Department of English

Sukuna Multiple Campus Date :- 2068/09 /23 Member

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis entitled "**Writing Proficiency of ten Graders in Tehrathum District**" is original; no part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research to any university.

Date : 2068/ 09 / 22

Devi Prasad Acharya

DEDICATION

Dedicated

to

My Parents and Gurus

Who have devoted their entire life

to make me what I am today.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely happy to express my sincere gratitude to my thesis supervisor **Mr. Durgamani Sharma**, Asst. Lecturer of English at Sukuna Multiple campus, Morang for his constant guidance, enlightening ideas, and invaluable suggestions. Without his encouragement, co-operation, assistance and constructive suggestions, this study would not have taken this shape of success.

My sincere gratitude goes to **Mr. Mohan Kumar Tumbahang**, Lecturer and Head of the Department of English, Sukuna Multiple Campus for providing me with greater insight. I am also very much indebt to **Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya**, Professor, Department of English Education, T.U., Kirtipur for evaluating this research and providing me a lot of valuable suggestions to complete my study in the right way.

I am equally grateful to **Mr. Guru Prasad Adhikari**, **Mr. N.P. Bhandari**, **Mr. Shankar Dewan**, **Mr. Amrit Prasad Acharya**, who continuously helped me by providing valuable time, basic ideas and techniques to carryout a well thought of this study.

I am profoundly grateful to **Mr. Rajendra Giri**, **Mr. Dev Raj Giri**, **Mr. Mohan Acharya**, **Mr. Nawaraj Acharya** who continuously helped me by providing essential resource materials and books with their valuable suggestions.

Similarly, I would like to express my heartiest gratitude to Mr. Som Nath Gautam, Principal of Krishna Higher Secondary School and Mr. Khagendra Khatri, Asst. Campus Chief of Hyatrung Jharna Campus for co-operating data collection. Likewise, I owe to enormous thanks to my friends Mr. Yuvraj Acharaya, Mr. Ghanashyam Paudel, Mr. Bijay Kumar Yadav, Mr. Santosh Gautam, Mr. Khagendra Gautam, Mr. Rohini Gautam, Mr. Priya Bahadur Khatri, Mr. Punya Aryal, Mr. Narayan Timsina and all teacherstaffs of Ram Sanskrit Higher Secondary School, Sakranti, Tehrathum for their constant encouragement and kind co-operation during my thesis writing.

I am thankful to my better half **Mrs. Tara Acharya and daughter Soniya Acharya** for providing me time to complete this research work. Likewise I would like to thank all the Headmasters/ Principals, all the teachers and students for the selected school of Tehrathum District.

Finally, I would like to thank **Mr. Krishna Prasad Timsina** and **Mr. Yagyamani Niraula** for the help in computer work.

2068/09 /22

Devi Prasad Acharya

ABSTRACT

This research entitled Writing Proficiency of Ten Graders in Tehrathum **District** has been carried out with the aim of finding out the writing proficiency adopted by the students of selected six higher secondary schools in Teharthum District. In order to find out the proficiency in writing a set of questionnaire for the students of government aided high schools was prepared. In the questionnaire, different questions were asked related to writing skills. This study is mainly based on the primary sources of data. The sample population of study consisted of 60 students studying in grade ten of Tehrathum District. Ten students were from each selected school. Thirty boys and thirty girls from six different higher secondary schools. The required data were collected by using questionnaire of subjective test. The responses were collected through answer sheets and analysed them. The research found that the proficiency of writing was good according to their level. In overall, the students of selected Higher Secondary School found to have good proficient in writing. In the gender-wise comparison, the female informants of each school performed better than the male ones.

This thesis has been divided into four chapters. The first chapter deals with introduction which presents a brief overview of teaching writing skill, components of writing, process of writing, Mechanics of writing, stages of writing, the essential characteristics of good writing, Importance of writing, writing proficiency, Review of related literature, objectives of the study and significance of the study. Likewise, second chapter deals with the methodology of this study, sources of data, sample and sampling procedure, tools for data collection, limitation of the study. Third chapter deals with analysis and interpretation of collected data and fourth chapter presents findings and recommendations of the study. The findings have been outlined on the basis of the analysis and interpretation of the data and appropriate recommendations and pedagogical implications have been finally made. References and the appendices are presented in the final part of this study.

Devi Prasad Acharya

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	
i	
Recommendation for Acceptance	
ii	
Recommendation for Evaluation	
iii	
Evaluation and approval	
iv	
Dedication	
v	
Acknowledgements	
vi	
Abstract	
vii	
Table of contents	
viii	
List of tables	
xii	
Abbreviations	
xiii	

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION 1-24

1.1	General Background	1
1.1.1	ELT situation in Nepal	3
1.1.2	Language Skills	4
1.1.2.1	1.1.2.1 Listening	4
	1.1.2.2. Speaking	5
	1.1.2.3. Reading	5
	1.1.2.4. Writing	7
1.1.3.	Components of writing	8
1.1.4.	Process of Writing	12
1.1.5.	Mechanics of Writing	13
1.1.6.	Stages of Writing	14
	1.1.6.1. Copying	14

	1.1.6.2. Reproduction	14
	1.1.6.3. Recombination	15
	1.1.6.4. Guided Writing	15
	1.1.6.5. Free Writing	15
1.1.7.	The Essential Characteristics of Good Writing	16
	1.1.7.1. Simplicity	16
	1.1.7.2. Clarity	16
	1.1.7.3. Continuity	17
	1.1.7.4. Economy	17
	1.1.7.5. Coherence	17
	1.1.7.6. Complete	17
	1.1.7.7. Free From Error	17
1.1.8.	Importance of writing	18
1.1.9.	Writing Proficiency	19
1.2.	Review of Related Literature	21
1.3.	Objectives of the Study	24
1.4.	Significance of the Study	24
СНАРТ	ER TWO: METHODOLOGY	25-27

2.1.	Sources of Data	25
2.1.1.	Primary Sources of Data	
2.1.2.	Secondary Sources of Data	25
2.2.	Sample and Sampling Procedure	25
2.3.	Tools for Data Collection	26
2.4.	Process of Data Collection	26
2.5.	Limitations of the Study	27
СНАРТ	ER THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	28-51

3.1.	Total proficiency analysis	29
3.2.	Gender- wise analysis	30
3.2.1.	Gender- wise Analysis and Interpretation of each Item	31
3.2.2	Errors committed by male informants	32
3.2.3	Errors committed by female informants	36

3.3	Item- wise analysis	34
3.3.1.	Item- wise analysis and interpretation of total proficiency. Item No 1	37
3.3.2.	Item- wise analysis and Interpretation of total proficiency Item No 2	38
3.3.3.	Item- wise analysis and interpretation of total proficiency Item No 3	39
3.4.	School- wise comparison	41
3.4.1.	School wise comparison of the proficiency of the students in	45
	essay writing	
3.4.2.	School- wise comparison of the proficiency of students in paragraph writing	46
3.4.3.	School-wise comparison of the proficiency of students in letter writing.	47
3.4.4.	School-wise comparison of the proficiency of students in letter writing.	48
СНАРТЕ	R FOUR: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	52-54

4.1.	Findings	52
4.2.	Recommendations	53

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

List of tables and Figures

Table No 1	Total Proficiency Analysis	29
Table No 2	Gender-wise analysis	31
Table No 3	Gender-wise Analysis and interpretation of each item	31
Table No 4	Errors committed by male informants.	32
Table No 5	Errors committed by Female informants.	34
Table No 6	Item wise analysis and interpretation of total proficiency item No-1	37
Table No 7	Item-wise analysis and interpretation of total proficiency, item No -2	38
Table No 8	Item-wise analysis and interpretation of total proficiency, item No -3	39
Table No 9	Item-wise errors committed by students.	40
Table No 10	School wise comparison	43
Table No 11	School wise comparison of the proficiency of students in essay writing.	45
Table No 12	School wise comparison of the proficiency of students in	46
Table No 13	paragraph writing School wise comparison of the proficiency of students in letter	47
Table No 14	writing School wise comparison of the total proficiency in each item.	48
Diagram-1		49
Diagram-2		50

Diagram-3	51
8	

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Agree.	Agreement
В.	Boys
BHS.	Bhagawati Higher Secondary School.
Dr.	Doctor
ELT	English Language Teaching
Etc.	et cetera
F.M	Full marks
G.	Girls
i.e.	id est (that is)
KHS.	Krishna Higher Secondary School.
L2.	Second Language (English).
MHS.	Mahendra Higher Secondary School.
N. infts.	Number of informants
Prof.	Professor
RSHS.	Ram Sanskrit Higher Secondary School.
SHS.	Saraswati Higher Secondary School.
S.N.	Serial Number
T.A.	Total Average.
THS.	Trimohan Higher Secondary School.
T.I.	Total informants.
Ts	Teachers
T.U.	Tribhuvan University.
Viz.	Namely
%	Percentage