

**PARASITIC FAUNA OF RODENTS (RODENTIA: MURIDAE)  
TRAPPED IN KIRTIPUR AND ITS ZONOTIC IMPORTANCE**



**A Thesis**

Submitted

In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of  
Science in Zoology with special paper Parasitology

**Submitted to**

Central Department of Zoology  
Institute of Science and Technology  
Tribhuvan University  
Kirtipur, Kathmandu  
Nepal

**Submitted by**

Robin Rana  
T.U. Registration No: 5-2-49-802-2004  
T.U. Examination Roll No: 5871  
Batch: 064/065

June, 2011

## RECOMMENDATION

This is to recommend that the thesis entitled “PARASITIC FAUNA OF RODENTS (RODENTIA: MURIDAE) TRAPPED IN KIRTIPUR AND ITS ZOONOTIC IMPORTANCE” has been carried out by Robin Rana for the partial fulfillment of Master’s Degree of Science in Zoology with special paper Parasitology. This is his original work and has been carried out under my supervision. To the best of my knowledge, this thesis work has not been submitted for any other degree.

Date: .....

.....

**Prof. Dr. Ranjana Gupta**

(Supervisor)

Central Department of Zoology

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

## LETTER OF APPROVAL

On the recommendation of supervisor Ranjana Gupta this thesis submitted by Robin Rana entitled “PARASITIC FAUNA OF RODENTS (RODENTIA: MURIDAE) TRAPPED IN KIRTIPUR AND ITS ZOONOTIC IMPORTANCE” is approved for the examination and submitted to the Tribhuvan University in partial fulfilment of the requirements for Master’s Degree of Science in Zoology with special paper Parasitology.

Date: .....

.....

**Prof. Dr. Ranjana Gupta**

Head of Department

Central Department of Zoology

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

# CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

This thesis work submitted by Robin Rana entitled “PARASITIC FAUNA OF RODENTS (RODENTIA: MURIDAE) TRAPPED IN KIRTIPUR AND ITS ZOONOTIC IMPORTANCE” has been approved as a partial fulfillment for the requirements of Master’s Degree of Science in Zoology with special paper Parasitology.

## EVALUATION COMMITTEE

.....  
Supervisor and Head of Department  
**Prof. Dr. Ranjana Gupta**

.....  
External examiner

.....  
Internal Examiner

Date: .....

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my honorable supervisor and head of the department **Prof, Dr. Ranjana Gupta**, Central Department of Zoology, T.U. for her valuable suggestions and constant guidance to carry on and complete this dissertation work.*

*I would also like to acknowledge **Dr. Mahendra Maharjan, Mr. Pitamber Dhakal, Mr. Janak Raj Subedi, Mr. Ashok Bahadur Bam and Mr. Prem Budha** lecturers CDZ, T.U. for their kind support and guidance. I would also like to express my thanks and best regards to all the staffs of CDZ, T.U.*

*I would also like to thank **Dr. Kedar Karki**, Central Veterinary Laboratory (CVL), for allowing me to use the lab and helping me in the identification of parasites.*

*I would like to acknowledge **Mr. Karan Bahadur Shah**, professor of CDZ and **Mr. Sanjan Thapa**, founder of SMCRF for helping in rodent identification.*

*I would also like to thank **Mr. Pooran Dass Dhaubaji Shrestha**, Rodent Control Technical Officer, Nepal Agricultural Research Council for his valuable suggestions relating rodent and rodent control.*

*I would like to express my deepest thanks to **National Academy of Science and Technology (NAST)** for providing scholarship for this research work. I would also like to thank **SMCRF** for the financial help for the rat traps.*

*I would like to thank my intimate colleagues **Sony Bajracharya, Chanchala Duwal, Pritima Tiwari, Ramila Shrestha, Madhu Nepal, Sunil Poudel, Hemant Dhakal and Rahul Ranjan** for their kind support throughout my dissertation work. Special thanks goes to my brother **Kul Bahadur Somai**, for his help on preparing poster of my thesis work. I am really blessed to have all of them and wish good luck for their future.*

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my respected parents for their support and inspiration in my whole academic career.*

*Last but not the least I would like to acknowledge all those persons who help me directly or indirectly to complete this work.*

**Robin Rana**

T.U. Registration No: 5-2-49-802-2004

T.U. Examination Roll No: 5871

Batch: 064/065

## ABSTRACT

A total of 32 rodents (Rodentia: Muridae) belonging to seven species, (12 *Rattus turkestanicus*, 6 *Rattus nitidus*, 6 *Rattus rattus*, 3 *Niviventer fulvescens*, 3 *Bandicota bengalensis*, 1 *Bandicota indica* and 1 *Mus cervicolar*) were trapped from five different sites of Kirtipur during 2010-2011, using live traps. Almost all rodents (100%) were found to be infected with ecto-parasites. The most prevalent ecto-parasite was *Polyplax spinulosa* (87.5%) followed by *Laelaps echidnina* (78.125%), *Xenopsylla cheopis* (59.375%) and *Ornithonyssus bacoti* (28.125%). A total of 31 rodents (15 males and 16 females) were infected with endo-parasites thus giving an overall infection rate of 96.875%. Statistically [ $t^2_{(cal)} = 2.0645$  and  $t^2_{(tab)} = 3.84$ , 1 d.f.,  $P < 0.05$ ] there was no major difference in the infection rate among the males and females. Ten different endoparasites were identified: 1 trematode, 2 cestodes, 6 nematodes and 1 acanthocephalan species. The identified endo-parasites belonged to trematodes: *Schistosoma* sp.; nematode: *Syphacia* sp., *Nippostrongylus* sp., *Capillaria hepatica*, *Heterakis* sp., *Physaloptera* sp. and *Aspiculuris* sp.; cestodes: *Hymenolepis diminuta*, strobilocercus larvae of *Taenia taeniaeformis* and acanthocephalan: *Moliniformis dubius*. Among the ten species of helminthes identified, six species (60%) have been incriminated as zoonotic. The most prevalent helminth type was the cestode *Taenia taeniaeformis* (strobilocercus larva) (62.5%) followed by nematode *Syphacia* sp. (53.125%) and cestode *Hymenolepis diminuta* (12.5%). Prevalance of infected liver by the eggs of *Capillaria* sp. was 43.75%. The following parasites *Schistosoma* sp., *Syphacia* sp., *Capillaria hepatica*, *Hymenolepis diminuta*, *Taenia taeniaeformis*, and *Moliniformis dubius* are considered as zoonotic and are of medical importance. *R. nitidus* was found to harbor maximum number of endo-parasite than other rodent species. Statistically [ $F_{(cal)} = 11.196$  and  $F_{(tab)} = 2.175$ , (for  $v_1=6$  and  $v_2=84$ ),  $P < 0.05$ ] it was found that there was significant difference in the prevalence of parasites between the seven different rodent species. The highest prevalence of parasitic infection in rodents was found in household areas (28.125%), followed by agricultural field (25%), departmental stores (21.875%), vegetable market (15.625%) and garbage site (6.25%). The diversity and prevalence of parasites were statistically [ $F_{(cal)} = 7.8$  and  $F_{(tab)} = 2.447$ , (for  $v_1=4$  and  $v_2=56$ ),  $P < 0.05$ ] found to be affected by the type of sites, with household area being at high risk area for zoonotic disease transmission.

**Keywords:** rodents, ecto-parasites, endo-parasites, zoonotic, Kirtipur

## CONTENTS

|  | <b>Page no.</b> |
|--|-----------------|
| Declaration                                  | i               |
| Recommendation                               | ii              |
| Letter of Approval                           | iii             |
| Certificate of Approval                      | iv              |
| Acknowledgement                              | v               |
| <br>   |                 |
| <b>I: INTRODUCTION</b>                       | 1-4             |
| 1.1 General Background                       | 1-2             |
| 1.2 Status of Rodents in Nepal               | 3               |
| 1.3 Justification of the Study               | 4               |
| 1.4 Limitation of the Study                  | 4               |
| <br>   |                 |
| <b>II: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                 | 5-8             |
| 2.1 Global Context                           | 5-7             |
| 2.2 In Context of Nepal                      | 7-8             |
| <br>   |                 |
| <b>III: OBJECTIVES</b>                       | 9               |
| 3.1 General Objective                        | 9               |
| 3.2 Specific Objective                       | 9               |
| <br>   |                 |
| <b>IV: MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>             | 10-14           |
| 4.1 Study Area                               | 10              |
| 4.2 Materials Used                           | 12              |
| 4.2.1 Laboratory Tools                       | 12              |
| 4.2.2 Chemicals Used                         | 12              |
| 4.3 Sample Collection and Sampling Technique | 13              |
| 4.4 Collection of Ecto-parasite              | 13              |

|  | <b>Page no.</b> |
|--|-----------------|
| 4.5 Collection of Endo-parasite                                      | 13              |
| 4.6 Data Collection  | 14              |
| 4.7 Statistical Analysis   | 14              |
| <b>V: RESULTS</b>  | <b>15-50</b>    |
| 5.1 Trapped Rodent Species   | 15              |
| 5.2 Sex-wise Infection in Rodents                                    | 15              |
| 5.3 Prevalence of Ecto-parasites                                     | 16              |
| 5.3.1 <i>Polyplax spinulosa</i>                                      | 17              |
| 5.3.2 <i>Xenopsylla cheopis</i>                                      | 18              |
| 5.3.3 <i>Ornithonyssus bacoti</i>                                    | 19              |
| 5.3.4 <i>Laelaps echidnina</i>                                       | 19              |
| 5.4 Prevalence of Endo-parasites                                     | 21              |
| 5.4.1.1 <i>Schistosoma</i> sp.                                       | 23              |
| 5.4.1.2 <i>Syphacia</i> sp.  | 24              |
| 5.4.1.3 <i>Capillaria hepatica</i>                                   | 25-26           |
| 5.4.1.4 <i>Nippostrongylus</i> sp.                                   | 27              |
| 5.4.1.5 <i>Aspicularis</i> sp.                                       | 28              |
| 5.4.1.6 <i>Heterakis</i> sp.   | 29              |
| 5.4.1.7 <i>Physaloptera</i> sp.                                      | 30              |
| 5.4.1.8 <i>Hymenolepis diminuta</i>                                  | 31-32           |
| 5.4.1.9 <i>Taenia taeniaformis</i>                                   | 32-33           |
| 5.4.1.10 <i>Moniliformis dubius</i>                                  | 34              |
| 5.4.2 <i>Concurrent Endo-parasitic Infection in Rodents</i>          | 37              |
| 5.5 Species-wise Parasitic Prevalence                                | 38-42           |
| 5.5.1 <i>Prevalence of Ecto-parasite in different Rodent species</i> | 38              |
| 5.5.2 <i>Prevalence of Nematodes in different Rodent species</i>     | 39              |



|  | <b>Page no.</b> |
|--|-----------------|
| 5.5.3 <i>Prevalence of Trematode, Cestodes and Acanthocephala<br/>in different Rodent species</i>        | 40              |
| 5.5.4 <i>Overall Prevalence of Parasite in different Rodent species</i>                                  | 41              |
| 5.5.4.1 <i>Ecto-parasite infection</i>   | 41              |
| 5.5.4.2 <i>Trematode infection</i>   | 42              |
| 5.5.4.3 <i>Cestode infection</i>   | 42              |
| 5.5.4.4 <i>Nematode infection</i>  | 42              |
| 5.5.4.5 <i>Acanthocephalan infection</i>   | 42              |
| 5.5.4.6 <i>Liver infected with eggs of Capillaria sp.</i>  | 42              |
| 5.6 <i>Site-wise Prevalence of Parasite</i>  | 44-46           |
| 5.6.1 <i>General prevalence of parasites (ecto and endo):</i>  | 44              |
| 5.6.2 <i>Prevalence of Ecto-parasite in Rodents of five different sites</i>                              | 44              |
| 5.6.3 <i>Prevalence of Nematodes in Rodents of five different sites</i>                                  | 45              |
| 5.6.4 <i>Prevalence of Trematode, Cestodes and Acanthocephala<br/>in Rodents of five different sites</i> | 45              |
| 5.6.5 <i>Overall Prevalence of Parasites in Rodents of five different sites</i>                          | 46              |
| 5.7 <i>Identification and Measurement of Eggs of Endo-parasites</i>                                      | 48              |
| 5.8 <i>Prevalence of Zoonotic Endo-parasites (Host Species-wise and Site-wise)</i>                       | 50              |
| <b>VI: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>   | 51-56           |
| <b>VII: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>  | 57              |
| <b>VIII: REFERENCES</b>  | 58-69           |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>Table no.</b> | <b>Title of table</b>                                | <b>Page no.</b> |
|------------------|--|-----------------|
| Table 1:         | Classification of ecto-parasites                     | 19              |
| Table 2:         | Prevalence of parasites in different rodent species. | 43              |
| Table 3:         | Prevalence of parasite in five different sites.      | 47              |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>Figure no.</b> | <b>Title of figures</b>  | <b>Page no.</b> |
|-------------------|--|-----------------|
| Figure 1:         | Species and numbers of trapped Rodent: Muridae.                                      | 15              |
| Figure 2:         | Sex-wise infected rodent.  | 15              |
| Figure 3:         | Prevalence of ecto-parasites in rodents.   | 16              |
| Figure 4:         | Number of endo-parasites obtained.   | 21              |
| Figure 5:         | Prevalance (%) of Zoonotic endo-parasites.   | 22              |
| Figure 6:         | Prevalence of endo-parasite in rodent.   | 22              |
| Figure 7:         | Endo-parasitic infection in rodents.   | 37              |
| Figure 8:         | Prevalence of ecto-parasite in different rodent species.                             | 38              |
| Figure 9:         | Prevalence of nematodes in different rodent species.                                 | 39              |
| Figure 10:        | Prevalence of trematode, cestode and acanthocephalan<br>in different rodent species. | 40              |
| Figure 11:        | Prevalence of parasites in different rodent species.                                 | 41              |
| Figure 12:        | Prevalence (%) of parasitic infection in five different sites.                       | 44              |
| Figure 13:        | Prevalence (%) of ecto-parasite in rodents of five different sites.                  | 44              |

|            |   |    |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 14: | Prevalence (%) of nematodes in rodents of five different sites.                   | 45 |
| Figure 15: | Prevalence (%) of trematode, cestode and acanthocephalan in five different sites. | 45 |
| Figure 16: | Overall prevalence of parasites at five different sites.                          | 46 |
| Figure 17: | Prevalance of host species-wise zoonotically infected rodents.                    | 50 |
| Figure 18: | Prevalence of site-wise zoonotically infected rodents.                            | 50 |

### LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

| Photograph no. | Title of photograph  | Page no. |
|----------------|--|----------|
| PN. 1:         | <i>Polyplax spinulosa</i> (1 mm)                                 | 20       |
| PN. 2:         | <i>Laelaps echidnina</i> (L) and <i>Ornythonyssus bacoti</i> (O) | 20       |
| PN. 3:         | <i>Xenopsylla cheopis</i> (Male, 1.8 mm)                         | 20       |
| PN. 4:         | <i>X. cheopis</i> (Female, 2.6 mm)                               | 20       |
| PN. 5:         | <i>O. bacoti</i> (0.5X0.7 mm)                                    | 20       |
| PN. 6:         | <i>L. echidnina</i> (0.7X1.2 mm)                                 | 20       |
| PN. 7:         | Collecting ecto-parasites.                                       | 20       |
| PN. 8:         | Dissecting rat.  | 20       |
| PN. 9:         | Observing parasite under microscope.                             | 20       |
| PN. 10:        | Dissected female rat.  | 35       |
| PN. 11:        | Dissected male rat.  | 35       |
| PN. 12:        | Visceral mass.   | 35       |
| PN. 13:        | <i>M. dubius</i> in small intestine.                             | 35       |
| PN. 14:        | <i>H. diminuta</i> in small intestine.                           | 35       |

|         |                                      |    |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----|
| PN. 15: | Liver infected with cyst.            | 35 |
| PN. 16: | <i>Schistosoma</i> sp.               | 35 |
| PN. 17: | <i>Syphacia</i> sp.                  | 35 |
| PN. 18: | <i>Capillaria hepatica</i>           | 35 |
| PN. 19: | <i>Nippostrongylus</i> sp.           | 36 |
| PN. 20: | <i>Aspicularis</i> sp.               | 36 |
| PN. 21: | <i>Heterakis</i> sp.                 | 36 |
| PN. 22: | <i>Physaloptera</i> sp.              | 36 |
| PN. 23: | Scolex of <i>H. diminuta</i>         | 36 |
| PN. 24: | Scolex of <i>T. taeniaformis</i>     | 36 |
| PN. 25: | Head of <i>M. dubius</i>             | 36 |
| PN. 26: | Grinding stool sample for sool test. | 36 |
| PN. 27: | Observing stool sample.              | 36 |

| <b>Photograph no.</b> | <b>Title of photograph</b> | <b>Page no.</b> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|

|         |   |    |
|---------|---|----|
| PN. 28: | Eggs of <i>Syphacia</i> sp. in ovi-sac.     | 49 |
| PN. 29: | Eggs of <i>Syphacia</i> sp. in stool.       | 49 |
| PN. 30: | Eggs of <i>Physaloptera</i> sp. in ovi-sac. | 49 |
| PN. 31: | Eggs of <i>C. hepatica</i> in ovi-sac.      | 49 |
| PN. 32: | Eggs of <i>Capillaria</i> sp. in liver.     | 49 |
| PN. 33: | Eggs of <i>Aspicularis</i> sp. in ovi-sac.  | 49 |
| PN. 34: | Eggs of <i>H. diminuta</i> in proglottid.   | 49 |
| PN. 35: | Egg of <i>H. diminuta</i> in stool.         | 49 |
| PN. 36: | Eggs of <i>M. dubius</i> in ovi-sac.        | 49 |

---

### LIST OF FIGURES IN ANNEX

| <b>Annex no.</b> | <b>Title of figures</b>                              | <b>Page no.</b> |
|------------------|--|-----------------|
| Annex-I          | Figure 19: Life cycle of <i>Hymenolepis diminuta</i> | 70              |

|           |  |    |
|-----------|--|----|
|           | Figure 20: Life cycle of <i>Capillaria hepatica</i>  | 70 |
| Annex-II  | Figure 21: Life cycle of <i>Moniliformis dubius</i>  | 71 |
|           | Figure 22: Life cycle of <i>Taenia taeniaformis</i>  | 71 |
| Annex-III | Figure 23: Life cycle of <i>Schistosoma</i> sp. (a)  | 72 |
|           | Figure 24: Life cycle of <i>Schistosoma</i> sp. (b)  | 72 |
| Annex-IV  | Figure 25: Poster presented in “2 <sup>nd</sup> Annual Seminar” organized by SMCRF. (4 X 3 feet square)                  | 73 |
| Annex- V  | Figure 26: Poster presented in “Students’ Conservation Conference & Exhibition” organized by NTNC. (3.5 X 3 feet square) | 74 |

## LIST OF MAP

| <b>Map no.</b> | <b>Title of Map</b>                                  | <b>Page no.</b> |
|----------------|--|-----------------|
| Map 1:         | Kirtipur municipality indicating the sampling sites. | 10              |
| Map 2:         | Nepal showing the location of Kirtipur.              | 11              |
| Map 3:         | Kirtipur showing administrative boundaries.          | 11              |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviated form | Details of abbreviations                          |
|------------------|---|
| Agri.            | Agricultural                                      |
| CDZ              | Central Department of Zoology                     |
| CVL              | Central Veterinary Laboratory                     |
| Dept.            | Departmental                                      |
| E                | East  |
| GI               | Gastro Intestinal                                 |
| Km               | Kilo-meter  |
| N                | North   |
| NAST             | National Academy of Science and Technology        |
| No.              | Number  |
| NTNC             | National Trust for Nature Conservation            |
| m                | Meter   |
| PN.              | Photograph Number                                 |
| SMCRF            | Small Mammal Conservation and Research Foundation |
| sq. km           | Square Kilo-meter                                 |
| TU               | Tribhuvan University                              |
| VDC              | Village Development Community                     |
| Veg.             | Vegetable -----                                   |

---