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1.1 Background

In an economy, the role of money is very vital. Proper and well-planned management of money directs, determines and enhances the health and productivity of total financial sector and the performance of financial sector affect the growth of economy. Hence money is a subject to manage, and banks are the managers there of Bank, as manager collects disburse and controls the flow of money. Banks collect the fund from public who have saving and disburse the fund to the person and organizations who are in need of it. Banks borrow most funds from households and non-financial businesses, and lend most funds to households and non-financial businesses, but non-bank lenders provide a significant and in many cases adequate substitute for bank loans, and money market funds, cash management trusts and other non-bank financial institutions in many cases provide an adequate substitute to banks for lending savings too. In this way, entire infrastructure of national development, direction of economy, rate of progress and even the habit of people falls under the periphery of banking systems.

Finance is the science of funds management. The general areas of finance are business finance, personal finance, and public finance. Finance includes saving money and often includes lending money. The field of finance deals with the concepts of time, money, risk and how they are interrelated. It also deals with how money is spent and budgeted. The activity of finance is the application of a set of techniques that individuals and organizations use to manage their financial affairs, particularly the differences between income and expenditure.
Financial performance covers the financial analysis and other portfolios. Financial Analysis is the process of determining the significant operating and financial characteristics of a firm from accounting data and financial statements. The goal of financial analysis is to determine the efficiency and the performance of the firm’s management as reflected in the financial records and reports. Financial Performance is the picture of the organisation that shows how the organization is doing. Profit is one of the basic indicators of sound financial performance.

The performance of commercial banks is governed by the policies and regulations set by the government. Central bank represents the government and plays the role of monitor and controller in every country. The primary function of a central bank is to provide the nation's money supply, but more active duties include controlling interest rates, and acting as a lender of last resort to the banking sector during times of financial crisis. It may also have supervisory powers, to ensure that banks and other financial institutions do not behave carelessly or fraudulently. In our context, Nepal Rastra Bank (NRB) deserves the authority to monitor and control the financial system of Nepal.

Commercial banks and other financial institutions (FIs) have to be operated according to the directives issued by NRB. NRB as an apex of monetary authority of the country and it is monitoring and controlling the financial institutions by issuing various directives & policies to the financial institutions. As the banks play the pivotal role in the economy, their performance should be supervised by the central bank and take necessary corrective actions if their health is poor. Smooth and effective regulation of banking activities is a must for sustainable economic growth of a country.
NRB does the regular auditing and timely supervision of FIs have been inspecting their activities to maintain their sound financial health and to build up the confidence of private sector in the liberalized economy and protect the interest of the investors. It has adopted the international banks rating system (CAMELS) to assess the financial performance of Nepalese commercial banks.

1.2 Focus of the Study

In Nepal, NRB uses the CAELS (Capital, Assets, Earnings, Liquidity, Sensitivity) system for assessing the financial soundness of commercial banks and accordingly for the first time ranked the banks based on the statistics of 3rd quarter of the FY 2061/62. However, the Office of Inspector General’s (OIG) Audit report, USA (September 2002) replaced CAEL with SCOR for review program of the FDIC-Supervised Banks. SCOR uses quarterly report of condition and income (Call Reports) to rate institutions.

In any economy, the importance of financial sector in general and banking sector in particular cannot be undermined. The banking sector plays crucial role in the overall development of an economy. The economic reforms has many more impact in Nepalese banking sector and this sector has been going through major changes as a consequence of shift in banking needs among the customers, change in regulatory framework, alteration in ownership pattern and liberalization of interest and exchange rates. While these changes have positively affected the banking sector, at the same time, increased competition due to mushrooming of financial institutions has impacted the banks negatively. The study aims to find out the position of the bank and its viability by using descriptive and analytical research design.
The study has focused on the financial performance of a Nepalese bank in the framework of internationally recognized bank’s rating system known as CAMEL.

1.3 Profile of the Bank

Although there are various commercial banks operating in Nepal, Nepal SBI Bank Limited is taken as a sample for the study. Nepal SBI Bank Limited (NSBL) is the first Indo-Nepal joint venture bank in the financial sector sponsored by three institutional promoters, namely State Bank of India, Employees Provident Fund and Agricultural Development Bank of Nepal through a Memorandum of Understanding signed on 17th July, 1992. NSBL was established on 7th July, 1993 with an Authorized Capital of Rs. 12 Crores and commenced operation with one full-fledged office at Durbar Marg, Kathmandu with 18 staff members. The staff strength has since increased to 511. The Authorized, Issued and Paid-Up Capitals have been increased to Rs. 200 Crores, Rs. 166.16 Crores and Rs. 165.36 Crores, respectively. After its establishment, this bank is achieving the continuous growth in profit. For a researcher it is quite fruitful opportunity to research about the financial performance of bank like NSBL. Besides, as per the CMAML rating conducted by New Business Age in August 2007 for the fiscal year 2006/07, NSBL is rated out in top position. It is awarded with the boss 7th Top Ten Business Excellence Award under "Best Commercial Bank of the Year 2008-09" category on June 8, 2010. Therefore, I have selected NSBL as a sample for this study.

1.4 Statement of the Problem

It is said that the banking sector is the mirror of the larger economy, its linkage to all sectors makes it a proxy for what is happening in the economy as a whole, indeed, the Nepalese banking sector today is at boiling point.
Questions frequently raised are in a situation where most business is struggling, how can banks show such large profits? Or if the banking sector mirrors the larger economy, why is this inverse relationship in their performance? Banks and FIs can be evaluated comparing with Nepal Rastra Bank’s regulatory framework, in which banks and FIs are required to maintain a standard set by NRB. CAMEL is a widely used tool to analyze financial performance of banks.

The general problem towards which the study is directed is to investigate the financial performance of Nepal SBI Bank Limited in the framework of CAMEL. As per the annual report published by the bank in the year 2066/67, NSBL has achieved the 23.82 percent growth in net profit in the year 2066/67 which is significant. Seeing its past record, it has achieved the continuous growth in profit. It is quite fruitful opportunity for the researchers to research the organization like NSBL. For this analysis, I have taken the required data of past Six Fiscal Years (2061/62 to 2066/67 i.e. from Mid July 2005 to 2010). Based on this fundamental problem the following specific problems are set in this study:

i) What is the Capital Adequacy ratio of the bank?

ii) How is the Assets Quality of the bank?

iii) How proficient is the Management of the bank?

iv) Do the Earning indicators show the performance of the bank satisfactory?

v) What is the Liquidity position of the bank?
1.5 Objectives of the Study

The fundamental objective of the study is to analyze the financial performance of Nepal SBI Bank Limited in the framework of CAMEL from the year 2061/62 to 2066/67. The study has been undertaken with the following specific objectives:

i) To examine the Capital Adequacy of the bank.
ii) To assess the Assets quality of the bank.
iii) To analyze the efficiency of the bank’s Management.
iv) To evaluate the Earning performance of the bank.
v) To find out the Liquidity position of the bank.

1.6 Significance of the Study

The financial sector has evolved as the biggest sector in the economy. In the entire sector, banks play the crucial role in the overall development of an economy. After the economic reforms initiated by the government, this sector has been going through the major changes. Increased competition due to mushrooming of financial institutions across the country has impacted the banking sector negatively, so the financial performance of the banks has to be evaluated properly to know the strength and weaknesses of the banks.

Although the various studies have been carried out regarding financial performance of banks, very few studies have been employed in term of CAMEL framework analysis. This study aims to analyze the financial performance of one of the commercial bank of Nepal in the framework of CAMEL.

The research will be useful to the financial sector of Nepal. The study will also be a great value for investors, equity holders, bankers, capital markets, government, financial intuitions, researchers, and students.
1.7 Limitation of the Study

As every study is conducted with in certain limitations the present study is not an exceptional. This is subject to following limitations:

- Only one commercial bank is taken as the sample of the study among 27 commercial banks of Nepal.
- Only data of six years (FY 2061/62 to 2066/67) has been covered by the study.
- No comparison has been made with other commercial banks.
- The study has been based on the secondary data only.
- The main objective of this study is to fulfill partial requirement of Master Degree of Business Studies. Stipulated time and resources are also limitation of this study.
- The qualitative and external variables that affect the performance of the bank have not been considered in the study.

1.8 Organization of Study

The study is structured into five chapters:

Chapter I: Introduction

This chapter includes the background information of the subject matter of research undertaking to provide a general idea of its history. Likewise it also included statement of problem, objectives of study, significance of the study, limitation and organization of study.

Chapter II: Review of Literature

This chapter comprises the reviews of relevant previous writing and studies to find the existing gaps. It includes conceptual framework regarding banks and performance analysis of financial institutions, and review of related studies. Review of journal, books, thesis and newspaper was also included in this chapter.
Chapter III: Research Methodology
This chapter describes about the methodology used in the study. This includes the population, sample, sampling procedures and sources of data. It also comprises the research design employed along with the various financial and statistical tools used in the study.

Chapter IV: Presentation and Analysis of Data
Chapter four is the main part of this study; it presents the data and information collected from primary as well as secondary sources. Chapter four comprise of presentation and analysis of data and major findings. The data collected after processing have been presented using figures and tables and results of statistical analysis are interpreted in this chapter.

Chapter V: Summary, Conclusion and Recommendation
This chapter is for major findings, summary conclusion and recommendation.

References, Bibliography, appendices, preface are incorporated at the end of the study.
CHAPTER - II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Review of Literature means reviewing research studies or other pertinent propositions in the related area of the study so that, all the past studies their conclusions and deficiencies may be known and further research can be conducted. A literature review is a critical and in depth evaluation of previous research. It is a summary and synopsis of a particular area of research, allowing anybody reading the paper to establish why you are pursuing this particular research program. This chapter deals with the conceptual review regarding financial performance analysis and CAMEL framework of financial performance analysis. Past studies carried out on financial performance analysis are also incorporated here. This chapter is divided into two sections. Section I deals with theoretical review where as the section II presents the review of relevant past studies.

2.1 Conceptual Review

This sub-chapter presents the theoretical aspect of the study. It includes historical background of banking industry, evolution of banking in Nepal, concept of bank, concept of commercial bank, functions of commercial banks, financial statements of commercial banks, and concept of financial performance analysis, types of financial analysis, objectives of financial performance analysis and concept of financial performance analysis in the framework of CAMELS.

2.1.1 Financial Performance Analysis

In this sub-chapter, approach of financial performance analysis is presented. By the help of financial performance analysis, we can identify strength and
weakness of financial institutions. Under this sub heading type of financial analysis, concept of financial performance analysis in the framework of CAMELS and objectives of financial analysis is discussed.

A commercial bank is simply a business corporation organized for maximizing the value of shareholders wealth invested in the firm at an acceptable level of risk. Profit is one of the basic indicators of sound financial performance. It is usually the result of sound business management, cost control, credit risk management and general efficiency of operation (Robinson & Wrightman, 1957). Profit is essential for a firm for its survival, growth and to maintain capital adequacy through profit retention. The objective of maximizing profit with a level of risk acceptable to the bank’s stockholders is not easy to achieve, as the recent upsurge in bank failures around the globe clearly suggests. Under the free economic system like USA or liberal economic system of Nepal, the interest of the nation as well as those of the individual stockholder’s are supposed to be best served by vigorously seeking profit.

Although the profit is important for any business motive firm, it cannot be the sole objective of an enterprise or financial institution and a financial enterprise should not be evaluated just on the ground of the profit it has earned. Neither the bank nor the community will be best served if the banker unreasonably sacrifices the safety of his funds or the liquidity of his bank in an effort to increase income.

Financial performance analysis is a process of identifying the financial strength and weakness of the firm by properly establishing relationship between the item of balance sheet and the profit and loss statements. It is also a study of relationship among various financial factors in a business as disclosed by a single set of statements and a study of the trend of these
factors as shown in a series of statements. By establishing a strategic relationship between the items of a balance sheet and income statement and other operative data, the financial analysis unveils the meaning and significance of such items. Thus, financial performance analysis is required to take managerial and financial decisions.

A fair evaluation of bank’s performance should start by evaluating whether it has been able to achieve the objectives its management and stockholders have set. The fundamental analysis in terms of financial analysis is different from market message reflected in technical analysis guided by the investors ‘psychology based in speculators’ manipulation of information. These are very different from industry and overall economic analysis (Shrestha M.K., and Bhandari D.B. 2004, *Financial Markets and Institutions*). Financial decisions cannot be made in vacuum. They are to be based on proper financial analysis by using financial tools such as financial ratios to maximize the financial performance of a company. The assessment of the company’s past, present and anticipated future financial conditions is important to identify the overall financial health of such company. Annual report contains financial statements as well as management opinion of the past years’ performance and firm’s future prospects. In financial analysis, certain guiding criteria include:

Historical evidence as a base of evaluating company’s financial performance- an understanding of change and factors of change that appropriately influence financial decisions. Economic consideration- gaining additional perspective and improved insight of both trend and averages such as price level, business profits, interest rates, dividends, security-price movements, etc.
Analysis of these financial statements helps in measuring the overall financial performance of companies. What can be done through financial performance analysis is to:

- Obtain information that can be used for decision-making.
- Judge performance and management effectiveness.
- Identify the deficiencies and weaknesses.
- Take corrective actions timely to improve the performance.
- Gain adequate insights into the possibilities of making changes worthwhile.
- Evaluate the possible implications of alternative courses of actions.

The roots of major management decisions revolve around financial information. A careful scrutiny of alternatives based on projected information depicting the comparative results of each is needed to arrive at the selection of most favorable decision for eventual implementation. This brings us to the question what constitute financial information. The basic source covering financial information about a firm's affairs is its annual final accounts i.e. Profit & Loss Statement for the last operating period (quarter/half year/year etc,) and Balance Sheet as at the end of that period. Profit and Loss accounts reveal the operating results of the business activities of the firm. These sources, however, reveal only part of the necessary and required information and leave a considerable gap. It is therefore necessary to further examine and breakdown the information in these statements with a much greater elaboration and detail to decipher the comparative strengths and weaknesses of the firm. For this purpose, we can employ certain analytical tools and perceptive statements based on the source data from the balance sheet and profit & loss account statements.

Financial analysis serves the following purposes to the concerned authorities/bodies:
The government for compiling national statistics relating to the status and growth of each industry; The shareholders, as well as perspective investors desirous to know the present and anticipated trends of the business; Banks and financial institutions who are interested with project appraisal and conducting feasibility and viability studies to ascertain the credit worthiness of the applicant-firm's project; Suppliers who want to know how viable the business is in order to enter into long-term contracts; the same need arises for customers who need to procure products from the business regularly; Credit Rating Agencies, Stock exchange authorities who study the risk-factor affecting the innumerable small investors who have parked their life-savings in the firm by way of equity, debt (bonds) or deposits.

Financial data is to be analyzed with reference to the particular objectives of the person concerned either external or internal as regards the firm. Before commencing analysis the type of analysis and the type of information needed are to be ascertained, as well as identification of the source-data, and the analytical tools to be employed. Analysis may be done with reference to a particular financial year in respect of different firms of a particular group or industry to assess their comparative status and performance or it may be restricted to a particular firm for a stretched period of 5 to 10 years to decipher its strengths and weakness and to analyze how it is progressing indifferent directions over this period.

Basically, a financial analysis consists of a three-step process as under:

- Identify the source information relevant to the decision to be made from the total pool of data provided by the annual financial statements;
- Re-arrange the particular data selected to highlight significant relationship;
- Study the analyzed information critically and draw pertinent conclusions there form.
2.1.1.1 Types of Financial Analysis

It may be categorized as external or internal analysis based to whom it is intended. Internal analysis for management information and decision thereon are generally more detailed than external analysis intended for trade creditors, investors, term lending institutions and bankers supplying working capital.

The analysis may be classified as Horizontal or vertical analysis. Horizontal analysis is conducted to compare the annual financial statements of the current year with that of the previous year to ascertain the comparative trends of the progress of the business, while vertical analysis is restricted to an in-depth study of the current year’s financial statements. It converts each element of the information into a percentage of the total amount of the statement (like profit to sales turnover) so as to establish relationship with other components of the same statement.

Trend Analysis: Trend analysis is comparative analysis of a company’s financial ratios over time. This is arrived by preparing relevant ratios of the firm for a series of years (three or more) to study the comparative performance. The different performance ratios related to the previous year is compared with that of the current year (base year) to draw such conclusion.

Ratio analysis: An arithmetic ratio explains the relationship between two numbers. The ratio to be meaningful, the numbers selected must be correlated i.e. must bear a connected relationship. The one must have an influencing effect on the other. Ratio Analysis establishes meaningful quantitative relations between two linked/connected items/variables of financial statements so that the strength or weakness of the business is brought out. For examples, current assets are the source to meet current liabilities. Availability of sufficient current assets capable of quickly being
converted to cash will assure that creditors for liabilities in the short run will be promptly discharged. The quantitative relationship of the set of items is indicated by the 'Current Ratio'. Banks are happy if the borrowing firm to whom working capital accommodation is extended has a current ratio of 1.4 or more. Similarly, net profit is related to both capital employed and the sales turnover. Therefore, net profit can be compared either to net-worth or sales turnover. The net profit to net-worth ratio indicates the return on the investment, while the net profit to sales turnover indicates the operational efficiency.

**Funds Flow Statement:** This is a statement, which explains the various sources from which funds were raised and the uses to which the funds are put. The statement indicates the changes which have taken place between two accounting periods. While the Balance sheet as at a particular date presents a static picture of the sources and uses of funds, the fund flow statement captures the movement of funds over a specified period. A fund flow statement, therefore, explains the transformation or changes underwent by individual assets and liabilities of a firm from one balance sheet date to another. A projected fund flow for a future span of periods can also be prepared. This will facilitate budgetary control and capital expenditure control to be exercised in the organization.

Break-even analysis helps to ascertain the point in terms of sales turnover at which the firm is able to cover all its expenses out of its earnings and reaches the position of neither profit nor loss. In other words before the BEP the firm incurs loss and after BEP the firm will show profit. BEP is the demarcating line. This is more meaningful for a newly established manufacturing business, as it takes time to develop the market for its products and build up sales. The period from the date of commencing construction/erection of the project to the date of reaching BEP sales is called the gestation period for the industry.
2.1.2 Concept of Financial Performance Analysis in the Framework of CAMELS

CAMELS rating system is an international bank-rating system with which bank supervisory authority rates institutions according to six factors. The six areas examined are represented by the acronym "CAMELS." In this acronym, each letter stands:

- C = Capital Adequacy
- A = Asset Quality
- M = Management Quality
- E = Earnings
- L = Liquidity
- S = Sensitivity to Market Risk

i) Capital Adequacy: The Capital is defined as wealth employed in production process to generate more wealth and profit. Capital includes any funds thus employed. Capital can also be defined as the money contributed by the proprietors to an organization to enable it to functions, thus share capital is the amount provided by way of loans. However, the capital of the proprietors of the companies not only consists of the share and loan, capital, but also includes retained profit, which accrues to the holders of the ordinary shares. Commercial bank should have adequate capital to support its risks assets in accordance with the risk-weighted capital ratio framework. It has become recognized that capital adequacy more appropriately relates to assets structure than to the volume of liabilities. Adequacy and inadequacy of bank capital directly affects the banking transaction. The adequacy of bank capital is the most important aspect of a bank. If there is inadequacy of capital, the bank should take step for the adequacy of capital as per legal requirement. They should remove inadequacy of bank capital through the medium of collecting of ownership and borrowed capital. If there is scarcity of capital in
a bank, its financial health can’t be regarded capable and healthy. The advantages of the bank capital adequacy are as follows:

- If the bank has an adequate bank capital, people trust upon such banks, such bank becomes successful to gain the trust of all sectors.
- If the bank has adequate capital, it can invest into any sectors at any time from which the bank gets success to gain a lot of profit.
- The bank does not face problem to collect the capital.
- The bank does not need to take loan, and do not have to pay interest.
- There will be not possibility of liquidation of bank.

The capital accounts of a commercial bank play several vital roles in supporting its daily operations and ensuring its long-run viability. Firstly, capital provides a cushion against the risk of failure. Second, capital provides the funds needed to get the bank chartered, organized and operating before deposits come flowing in. Thirdly, capital promotes public confidence in a bank and reassures its creditors (including the depositors) of the bank’s financial strengths. Fourthly, capital provides funds for the organization’s growth and the development of new services programs, and facilities. Finally, capital serves as a regulator of bank growth, helping to ensure that the individual bank’s growth is held to pace that is sustainable in the end.

ii) **Asset Quality:** A bank’s assets are grouped into four major subcategories:
1) Cash and balances due from other depository institutions
2) Investment securities
3) Loans and leases
4) Other assets.

Among them loans and advances dominate the asset side of the balance sheet of the banks. Similarly, earning from such loans and advances occupy a major space in income statement of the bank. Hence, Asset is the critical
factor in determining the strength of any bank. Primary factors that can be considered are the quality of loan portfolio, mix of risk assets and credit administration system. Many financial crises in the past (including the Asian crises) have been caused or amplified by downturns in particular sectors of the economy spilling over into the financial system via concentrated loan books of financial institutions.

However, loans are also the least liquid asset item and the major source of credit and liquidity risk for most banks. Thus, quality of assets has direct impact in the financial performance of a financial institution. The quality of assets particularly, loans assets and investments, would depend largely in the risk management system of the institution. We can use number of measures to indicate the quality of assets held by the banks. An increasing trend in the ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans signals a deterioration in the quality of credit portfolios and, consequently, in financial institutions' cash flows, net income, and solvency. It is often helpful to supplement this information with information on nonperforming loans net of provisions, and on the ratio of provisions plus interest suspension on impaired loans to total loans—particularly if impaired loans have not yet been classified as nonperforming.

Although these indicators are primarily backward looking, reflecting past problems that have already been recognized, they can be useful indicators of the current health of the financial system, and are often used in connection with stress tests of financial institutions. Trends in nonperforming loans should be looked at in conjunction with information on recovery rates—for example, using the ratio of cash recoveries to total nonperforming loans. Such information points to the level of effort or the ability of financial institutions to cope with high nonperforming loan portfolios. Loans
outstanding to loss-making public sector entities are often the result of past
directed lending, may also signal significant credit risk. Depending on the
country, loans to loss-making public enterprises or to regional governments
may not be classified as nonperforming, even though they may not be repaid

**Non Performing Assets:** Non performing Assets means an assets or account
of borrower, which has been classified by a bank or financial institution as
sub-standard, doubtful or loss asset, in accordance with the directions or
guidelines relating to asset classification issued by RBI (Athmanathan and
Venkatakrishnan, 2001, Non-Performing Assets and its Impact in Banking
Sector. Report Submitted to RBI). An amount due under any credit facility is
treated as “past due” when it has not been paid within 30 days from the due
date. Due to the improvement in the payment and settlement systems,
recovery climate, up gradation of technology in the banking system, it was
decided to dispense with past due concept, with effect from March31, 2001.
Accordingly, as from that date, a non performing assets (NPA) shell be an
advance where

1) Interest and/ or installment of principal remain overdue for a period
   of more than 180 days in respect of a Term Loan.
2) The account remains out of order for a period of more than 180 days,
   in respect of an overdraft/cash credit (OD/CC),
3) The bill remains overdue for a period of more than 180 days in the
   case of bills purchased and discounted.
4) Interest and/ or installment of principal remains overdue for two
   harvest seasons but for a period not exceeding two half years in the
   case of an advance granted for agricultural purpose, and
5) Any amount to be received remains overdue for a period of more than
   180 days in respect of other accounts.
In case of the banks the loans and advances are the assets of the banks. As the banks flow loans from the fund generated through shareholders equity, money deposited by the people and fund having through the borrowings, it expect he repayment of funds with some additional amount that is interest so that it could meet its all kinds of expenses. When any loans couldn’t be repaid in time it directly effects to the performance of the banks. Hence, non-performing assets means that loans and advances, which are not performing well or those, loans and advances which are irregular. In this regard, it would be very useful to present cross-country definition concerning non-performing assets, which is presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Definitions of Non-Performing Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Loans and advances which are due for six months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Loans and advances classified as substandard, doubtful and bad (over three months overdue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Loans overdue over three months plus non accrual loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Loans classified as substandard, doubtful and bad as per banks discretion (principal or interest overdue by three or six months at bank’s discretion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Substandard, doubtful and loss loan. Loans payable in monthly installments more than three months overdue and loans repayable on other term if one month overdue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Loans classified as substandard and all loans and advances which are overdue more than three months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Substandard, doubtful and bad loans (overdue more than three months)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Cotvaria L, Dziobek C., Kanaya A. and Song I., 2000)
As per the Nepal Rastra Bank Directives “Non-performing assets are the classified loans and advances and this includes sub-standard, doubtful, and bad loans categorized as defined by *NRB Directives 2006*, compiled by R. Bajracharya and Company.”

With an objective of minimize the possible loss of credits extended by commercial banks, Nepal Rastra bank amended the policies relating to loan classification and provision. As per the new circular of NRB the commercial banks should classify the principal amount of loans and advances on the basis of aging. Under the new rules the loans and advances are classified into the following categories:

**Pass Loan:** Loans in this category are performing and have sound fundamentals, which includes borrowers overall financial conditions, resources and cash flow, credit history and character. They also include the purpose of loan, and types of secondary sources of repayment. Loans and advances whose principal amount are not past due and past due of for a period up to 3 months shall be included in this category. These are classified and defined as performing Loans or Performing Assets.

**Substandard Loan:** Loans in this category have well defined weakness, where the current sound worth and repayment capacity of borrower is not assured. Orderly repayment of debt is in jeopardy. All loans and advances that are past due for a period of 3 months to 6 months shall be included under this category.

**Doubtful Loan:** Doubtful loans exhibit all the characteristics of substandard loans, with the added characteristics that collection I full is highly questionable and improbable. Classification of loss is deferred because of
specific pending factors that may strengthen the quality of assets. Such factors include merger, acquisition, liquidation procedures, capital injection, perfecting liens on additional collateral, and refinancing plan. All loans and advances, which are past due or a period of 6 months to 1 year, shall be included in this category.

**Loss/Bad Loan:** These loans are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer full provision or writing of this basically worthless loan. Partial recovery of this may be possible in future. All loans and advances which are past due for a period of more than 1 year as well as advances which have least possibility of recovery or considered unrecoverable and those having thin possibility of even partial recovery in future shall be included in this category.

**Loan Loss Provisioning:** Nepal Rastra Bank has made it mandatory to commercial banks to make the loan loss provisioning on the basis of outstanding loans and advances and bill purchases on the following basis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Loans</th>
<th>Loan Loss Provisioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>1 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substandard</td>
<td>25 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubtful</td>
<td>50 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss/ Bad</td>
<td>100 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apart from the above mentioned arrangement following additional arrangement are provided for the loan loss provisioning.
• Where the loan is extended only against the personal guarantee statement of the assets, equivalent to the personal guarantee amount not claimed by another shall be obtained. Such loans shall be classified as per above and where the loans fall under the category of pass, substandard and doubtful, in addition to the normal loans loss provision applicable for the category, an additional provision by 20 percentage shall also be provided.

• The loan loss provisioning in respect of rescheduled, restructured and swap loans shall be provided at a minimum of 12.50 percent.

• In case of priority sector loans the provisioning are made 1%, 25%, 50% and 100% to the loan categorized as pass, substandard, doubtful and loss respectively.

However, in respect of insured loans, the provisioning should be made on the following way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Loan</th>
<th>Loan Loss Provisioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>0.25 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substandard</td>
<td>6.25 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubtful</td>
<td>12.50 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss/Bad</td>
<td>25.00 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iii) Management: Good management can make, and poor management can break an organization. Banks are no exception to this universal phenomenon. Sound management is a key to financial institutions’ performance. Although several indicators can be used as proxies for the soundness of management, such evaluation is still primarily a qualitative exercise, particularly when it comes to the evaluation of the management of operational risk, that is, the functioning of internal control systems. The quality of management is the most important element in CAMELS framework of financial performance.
analysis. The Nepali banking sector has matured over the last 20 years and there is sufficient evidence of professional management being able to translate their management efficiency towards producing wonderful results for the bank. At the same time we also have enough cases where due to poor management banks have performed poorly. Human resource management is a key management issue. Good or bad human resource management translates into staff efficiency of a particular bank.

The productivity of employees can be used as a measuring rod for evaluation. Like wise sustainability of earning shows the efficiency of management. Expenses ratio, earning per employee, cost per loan average loan size and cost per unit of money lent can also be used as proxy of the management quality. A high or increasing ratio of expenses to total revenues can indicate that financial institutions may not be operating efficiently. This can be, but is not necessarily due to management deficiencies. In any case, it is likely to negatively affect profitability. Similarly, low or decreasing earnings per employee can reflect inefficiencies as a result of overstaffing, with similar repercussions in terms of profitability. Another possible ratio of management soundness is the rate of expansion in the number of branches whereas some expansion may reflect a healthy degree of competition, too rapid a rate of expansion may indicate lax licensing requirements, unsound management, and a gap in the supervisory capacity.

Although, there is a risk of being slightly subjective, the issue of evaluating management quality can not be completed if we do not consider corporate governance factor. While management must work to maximize shareholder’s value in any organization, there must be a clear line between management and shareholders or board of directors in terms of authority, responsibility and accountability levels. Good corporate governance requires policies,
procedures and operating manuals to be supreme in any bank, whereby only professional considerations should play a role in strategic decision-making.

The board of director plays a key role in formulation of policies, supervisions and control. On the other hand managing director is liable to the successful operation of the bank. The success of any bank is largely determined by the efficiency of its management. Poor Loan policies and the poor asset/liability management lead any bank to failure. The problematic variable for researcher in the development of CAMELS models has largely been the choice of a representative measure for management quality. NRB also has evaded this component of CAMELS in the performance evaluation of commercial banks in Nepal.

iv) **Earnings:** An analysis of the earnings helps the management, shareholders and depositors to evaluate the performance of the banks, sustainability of earnings and to forecast growth of the bank. The success of the bank heavily relies upon the efficiency of its management to drive the bank to earn good profits. Net profit is the major yardstick to measure such profits. A required level of profit is necessary for the firm’s growth and survival in the competitive environment. Profitability is the measurement of the worth of the selected investment in various categories of assets depending largely on sales performance and operative efficiency. Profitability is vitally more important for assuring that a bank stays in business or activity. Net profit of any bank decreases resulting from high non-performing loans, lack of avenues for earning fee based income and operating in-efficiencies.

Net income (after tax) to total assets, net earnings (after tax) to core capital, net spread, net interest margin and net operating margin can be used to assess the earning performance of the bank.
v) Liquidity: Banks are in business where liquidity (ability to pay cash to its depositors) is of prime importance. Liquidity ratios are used to judge a bank's ability to meet short-term obligation. It is the comparison between short-term obligations and short-term resources available to meet such obligations. Liquidity risk threatens the solvency of financial institutions. In case of commercial banks, first type of liquidity risk arises when depositors of commercial banks seek to withdraw their money and the second type does when commitment holders want to exercise the commitments recorded off the balance sheet. Commercial banks have to borrow the additional funds or sell the assets at fire sale price to pay off the deposit liabilities. They become insolvent if sale proceeds of the assets are not enough to meet the liability withdrawals. The second type of liquidity risk arises when demand for unexpected loans cannot be met due to the lack of funds. The banks can raise the funds by running down their cash assets, borrowing additional funds in the money markets and seeking off other assets at distressed price.

Commercial banks are directed by NRB to maintain five percent of their deposits as CRR in NRB’s account to ensure adequate liquidity. As per NRB regulations, banks have to maintain CRR on a weekly basis. Therefore, if a bank has maintained higher NRB balance on other days of the week, it can afford to maintain lower than five percent balance on next days. Therefore, rather than disclosing the CRR of year-end, banks should report the exact CRR ratio maintained during the week, in which year-end falls. Deposit organization like banks, showing lower than regulatory CRR in their annual accounts, might lead to depositors mistrust towards the bank. NRB should ensure that the banks report correct CRR ratio in their annual accounts.

Cash and bank balance to total deposit ratio is designed to measure the bank’s ability to meet immediate obligation, mainly cash withdrawal by
depositors. Lower ratio indicates that banks might face a liquidity crunch while paying its obligations, where as a very high ratio points out that the banks have been keeping idle funds and not deploying them properly. From the above table we can see that all the banks have set aside reasonable funds to meet their payment obligations.

Banks around the world invest a significant portion of their deposits in government securities because maintaining adequate CRR and C & B balance only cannot be considered sufficient for liquidity maintenance. There are occasions when a bank may need to face unexpected withdrawals. In such cases, as banks are run from depositor’s money they need to maintain adequate investment in government securities as such investments can be liquidated at any point in time.

vi) Sensitivity to Market Risk: Banks are increasingly involved in diversified operations, all of which involve one or more aspects of market risk. A high share of investments in volatile assets may signal a high vulnerability to fluctuations in the price of those assets. In general, the most relevant components of market risk are interest rate and foreign exchange risk, which tend to have significant impacts on financial institutions’ assets and liabilities. Large open foreign exchange positions (including foreign exchange maturity mismatches) and a high reliance on foreign borrowing (particularly of short-term maturity) may signal a high vulnerability of financial institutions to exchange rate swings and capital flow reversals.

Interest rate risk is one of the most common financial risks, and virtually all financial institutions are subject to it. Even though it is commonly considered as a market risk indicator, interest rate risk arises from both an institution’s banking book as well as from its trading book. Financial institutions can, in
many countries, incur substantial equity price risk, by either trading or investing in the stock market, or via derivatives, which exposes the institutions to the risk of stock market crashes. Indicators of equity price risk would include the absolute size of certain classes of financial institutions’ investment in equities, their size in terms of various balance sheet indicators, or the capital charges allocated against equity price risk.

2.1.3 Evolution of Banking in Nepal

The development of modern banks in Nepal does not have as old history as the developed countries have got. Although there are mentions of lending and other banking activities in the ancient books, ‘Manusmriti’ and ‘Kautilya’s Economics’. Found evidences have proved that in the seventh century King Guna Kamadev had collected loans from the people to rehabilitate the Kathmandu valley. According to ancient “Vanshavali”, during the last decade of Eighth century, Sahnakhadhar, a local merchant from Kathmandu started the Nepal Era after freeing the people by paying off their loans and liabilities. By this instance, it can be understood that there might have the transaction of money depositing and lending. During the Rana Regime, the Rana Primeminister Ranodip Singh Rana established a state-owned lending institution called ‘Tejarath Adda’. Which had provide financial assistance in the form of loans to the government employees against their personal guarantee (Dhan jamani) and deduction of a certain amount of their salary as installment charging 5% interest. Later this institute started providing loans to the general people against pledge of precious and valuable materials like gold, silver, etc. ‘Tejaratha Adda’ can be regarded as the father of modern banking system in Nepal.

Though Nepal had rudimentary forms of banking as early as seventh century, the history of modern banking began in Nepal only after when the first
organized and modern bank Nepal Bank Ltd. Established in 1973 as a semi-
government organization with an authorized capital, issued capital and paid
capital of Rs.1 core Rs.25 Lacs and Rs.8.45 Lacs respectively. Before that
unorganized money market was the only source of financing for investors in
Nepal. Lack of economic development programmes in those days confirmed
the services of Nepal Bank Ltd., in accepting deposits from the public and
financing them trade transactions. Later the Nepal Rastra Bank was
established in 1955 which has helped to make baking system more
systematic and dynamic during that time. As the time passed, the Rastriya
Baniya Bank established in 1966 in order to play a major role not only in
domestic banking but also in the foreign trade.

To encourage healthy competition in the Nepalese financial sector
government introduced financial sector reforms policy in 1980, which
allowed the entry of foreign banks in the form of Joint Venture bank in
Nepal. There are 27 commercial banks operating in Nepal. Today Nepal can
take legitimate pride in the remarkable growth and progress in the banking
industry. (Deoja, S., 2001, A Comparative Study of the Financial Performance
between Nepal SBI Bank Ltd. and Nepal Bangladesh Bank Ltd. An

2.1.4 Concept of Bank

Banks are among the most important financial institutions in the economy.
Banks are those institutions, which perform the indispensable task of
intermediating between two individuals and institutions in order to raise
funds and then loaning the funds to deficit spending individuals and
institutions. There is no unanimity among the economists about the origin of
word ‘banking’. Some of them insist that the term ‘bank’ derives from the
Latin ‘bancus’, which refers to the bench on which the banker would keep his
money and his records. Some people trace the origin to the French word ‘banque’ and the Italian word ‘banca’ which means a bench for keeping, lending and exchanging of money or coins in the market place by money-lenders and money-changers (Upadhyay & Tiwari, 1980, *Principal of Money and Banking and Banking in Nepal*).

The bank operates in the modern and competitive business environment. So it is very difficult to illustrate any absolute definition of bank. Different economists have offered different definitions such as:

According to Kolb & Rodriguez (1996), a bank is an organization whose principal operations and concerned with the accumulation of the temporarily idle money of the general public for the purpose of advancing to other for expenditure.

Banks provide short term debt necessary for trade and commerce of the country along with other ordinary banking business such as collecting the surplus in the form of deposit, lending debts by discounting bills o exchange, accepting valuable goods in security, acting as an agent of the client and so on. Therefore a bank is an institution which accepts deposits from the public and in turn advances loans by creating credit.

Ordinary banking business consists of changing cash for bank deposits and bank deposits for cash, transferring bank deposits from one person or corporation to another, giving bank deposits in exchange for bills of exchange, government bonds, the secured or unsecured promises of businessmen to repay and so forth. (Hayes & Meerchuan, 1991, *Managing Financial Institutions*).
Therefore, it should be differentiated from other financial institutions as they can not create credit though they accept deposits. Any institution offering deposits subject to withdrawal on demand and making loans of a commercial or business nature is a bank.

2.1.5 Concept of Commercial Bank
More often banks and commercial banks are interpreted as being synonym of each other but in reality they are two different areas of study. Commercial bank is one of the various types of bank and would need a separate identity before one should go any further on study of commercial banks.

There are several types of banks like commercial bank, central bank, industrial bank, agricultural bank, rural development bank, saving bank, exchange bank, universal bank, co-operative bank, mutual fund, housing bank, equipment bank etc. commercial banks contribute significantly in the financial system of a country. They pool together the savings of the community and arrange for their productive use. They supply the financial needs of modern business by various means. They accept deposits from the public on the condition that they are repayable on demand or on short notice. Their business is confined to financing the short-term needs of trade and industry such as working capital financing. They grant loans in the form of cash credits and overdrafts. Apart form financing, the role of commercial banks, in modern age, is more vital in agency service and general utility service. Under agency service a commercial bank performs a number of activities on behalf of its customers. A commercial bank undertakes the payment of subscriptions, insurance premium, rent etc. and collection of cheques, bills salaries, pensions, dividends, interest etc. on behalf of the customers. It also arranges to remit money from one place to another by means of cheques, demand drafts, money order, telex transfer (T.T), society
for worldwide inter-bank financial telecommunication, (SWIFT) etc. Apart from agency services, the commercial bank also renders some useful services known as general utility services which include safekeeping of valuables, providing assistance in foreign trade, issuing credit instruments like letters of credit and traveler’s cheques, acceptance of bill of exchange, financial advising, offering security brokerage services, etc (Singh, 2003, A Brief Study in Resources Utilization by Nepalese Commercial Banks).

Banking and Financial Institutions Act of Nepal has defined commercial bank as, organization which exchanges money, accepts deposits, grants loans and performs commercial banking functions and which is not a bank meant for co-operative, agriculture, industries or for such specific purpose (NRB, 2061 Banking & Financial Institution Act, 2061/2062).

2.1.6 Functions of Commercial Banks
A modern commercial bank performs a variety of functions and services. The functions of commercial banks are grouped under five sub-headings as under:

2.1.6.1 Acceptance of Deposits
The bank accepts different types of deposits from the public:

Fixed Deposit: Fixed deposit is also known as Time Deposit. Bank offers fixed interest rate on this deposit and repays principal together with interest at fixed maturity or pays interest on regular interval but principal only at the maturity, owners cannot write cheque on time deposit, but the interest rates are generally higher than those of saving deposits. Time deposits have fixed maturity length, ranging from several months to over five year and have substantial penalties for early withdrawal. Time deposits are more costly source of funds for the banks.
**Current Deposit:** In this type of deposit, the depositor can withdraw money whenever he requires and there is no limitation in issuing cheque by the customer. This type of deposit is generally maintained by business firms, other business motive institutions and individuals that have higher volume of transactions in their account. Banks charge certain amount to the customer for not maintaining the minimum balances in the current account.

**Saving Deposit:** Some restrictions are imposed on the depositor under this account. For example, he/she can withdraw only a specified sum of money in a day. Generally, in this type of deposit banks accept deposits from individuals and non-profit making organization. NRB however does not bar banks from accepting saving deposits form profit making organization. Saving deposits attract interest, which is normally less than that of long term deposit but more than that of short term deposit. Saving deposit is an important source of Bank funds. Saving deposit are payable on demand, that is, if a depositor shows up at the Bank and requests payment by making withdrawal, the Bank must pay the depositor immediately.

**Recurring Deposit:** The purpose of this account is to encourage regular savings by the public, particularly by the fixed income group. Generally, money in these accounts is deposited in monthly installments for a fixed period and is repaid to the depositors along with interest on maturity.

**Call Deposit:** Call Deposit incorporates the characteristics of currents and saving deposit. Current in the sense, deposits is withdrawn at call and savings in a sense the deposits earns interest. Interest rate on call deposit is negotiable between the bank and the depositors and hence it is normally not announced in public.
2.1.6.2 Advancing of Loan

The various types of loans and advances are as follows:

**Cash Credit:** It is a revolving type of loan account, normally granted against stock and receivables. This account is regulated by stock statements and drawing power wherein credit/debit transactions are permitted within the sanctioned limit. The level to which debit balance can be permitted is decided by drawing power or limit whichever is lower. Cash credit is normally granted against security of certain commodities, products or book debts/receivables.

**Overdraft:** The bank allows its credit worthy and reliable customer to overdraw their accounts through cheques. The customers, however, pays interest to the bank on the amount overdrawn by them. An overdraft is granted against security of certain investments like Bonds/Fixed Deposits or some time it is given against personal guarantee.

**Demand/Term Loan:** Demand loan is a loan provided on repayment basis and is not a running account. Demand/term loan once granted will have a debit for the quantum sanctioned and thereafter only credits of repayment, normally personal in nature, are permitted. It is given against security and the security will be in the form of fixed assets or fixed deposits and it will never be given against stocks. These loans are granted to acquire fixed assets like machinery and construction works.

**Trust Receipt Loan:** Trust receipt loans are sanctioned as a limit to be utilized against hypothecation of stocks imported under own letters of credit, normally for a period of 90 days. It is in the nature of demand loan, which is liquidated by 2-3 installments and the limit is not cancelled with liquidation but is reinstated. Hence this loan is more in the form of working capital loan.

**Bill/Cheque Purchase/Discounting:** This is the best form of advance in terms of credit discipline as it is self-liquidating in nature. Any trader/industrialist receives payments by cheques or draws documents on the buyer. These
cheques/bills of exchange are discounted by the banks and in turn receive commission.

**Money at Call and Short Notice:** These loans are generally made to other banks and financial institutions. Such loans are very short period loans and can be called back by the bank at a very short notice of one day to 14 days.

### 2.1.6.3 Agency Functions of Banks

The various agency services rendered by the banks are as follows:

**Transfer of Funds:** Fund transfer from one place to another is the necessity of the today’s world but the physical transfer of cash from one place to another involves many risks. The banks help their customers in transferring funds from one place to another through different mechanisms such as bank draft, fax, TT, and SWIFT and so on. People transfer money to or from one country to another—such as Nepalese who are in abroad for foreign employment send their earnings through foreign bank to Nepalese bank called as inward remittance. Alternatively, one can transfer money to another country from Nepal called as outward remittance. In export and import business, a firm needs to send/bring money from/to a country. A firm that needs to import raw material for producing its goods opens LC in a bank or it may directly import without opening LC in case it pays to the party in advance—called as outward remittance. Alternatively, a Nepalese firm exports goods to a firm in abroad need to pay the bills to Nepalese party called as inward remittance.

**Collection of Funds:** The bank collects the funds of its customers from other banks and credits to their accounts. The customers do have bills/cheques that need to be collected from the other banks in own country or foreign. A bank plays a role of intermediary in collecting funds from other banks. Banks collect bills or cheques through local clearing or outward bills collection (OBC) through their correspondent banks.
Purchase and Sale of Share and Securities: The bank buys and sells stocks and shares of private companies as well as government securities on behalf of customers. Customers who wish to buy/sale securities and shares can get access through a bank, which acts as an intermediary institution.

Trustee and Executor: A bank is registered under the existing laws of the nation and operates subject to the rules and regulations laid down in the act. So, the bank preserves the will of the customers and executes them after their deaths. This function of a bank is bound by specific laws and facilitates to customers in trust worthy way.

Acts as Correspondent: The bank may also act as a correspondent, agent or a representative of its customers. Global trade is widely practiced now days. A bank in a part of world does have a correspondent bank in other corner of the world. The channel of correspondence bank helps clients do their business operating in any corner of the globe. Imports and exports business heavily rely on banks as they act as a correspondent to their clients in another country. Personal remittances too flow in and out through the banks having correspondence with other banks.

Purchase and sale of Foreign Exchange: The bank also carries on the business of buying and selling foreign currencies. Generally exchange of foreign currencies in developed countries is done by Exchange Company/banks but due to lack of exchange banks in our country this function is done by commercial banks. Tourists carrying foreign currency (FCY) such as US dollars, Great Britain Pounds (GBP), EURO and so on can exchange their currency in banks. This function has facilitated many people across the world. People in need of FCYs also get easily in their home country provided the purpose of their need is as per central bank regulations regarding FCYs exchange.
**Creation of Credit:** Creation of credit is one of the most important functions of commercial banks. In order to earn profits, they accept deposits and advance loans by keeping small cash in reserve for day-to-day transactions. When a bank advances a loan, customers need to open an account to draw money by cheque according to his needs. By granting a loan, the bank creates credit or deposit. Bank lending in productive sector has multiplier effect in the economy. It not only helps the particular borrower/entrepreneur, it helps generate employment, contributes positively to international trade, and enhances national income and savings.

2.1.6.4 **General Utility Services**

Apart from agency services, the bank also renders some useful services known as general utility services. They can be explained as follows:

**Safekeeping of Valuables:** During the middle ages, banks began the practice of holding gold, securities and other valuables owned by their customers in secure vaults. A modern bank also receives from its customers, valuables such as securities, jewelries, documents of title to goods, etc. for safe custody. The bank acts as the custodian of the valuables belonging to the customers. The bank receives them and returns back when demanded.

**Assist in Foreign Trade:** The bank assists traders engaged in foreign trade of the country. It discounts the bills of exchange drawn by Nepalese exporters on the foreign importers and enables the exporters to receive money in the home currency. Similarly, it also accepts the bills drawn by the foreign exporters.

**Making Venture Capital Loans:** Increasingly, banks have become active in financing the start-up costs of new companies, particularly in high-tech industries. Because of the added risk involved in such loans, this is generally through a venture capital firm that is a subsidiary of a bank holding company, and other investors are often brought in to share the risk.
Financial Advising: Bankers have long been asked for financial advice by their customers, particularly when it comes to the use of credit and the saving or investing of funds. Many banks offer a wide range of financial advisory services, from helping financial planning to consulting to business managers and checking on the credit standing of firms.

Automated Teller Machines (ATM): Most of the banks have provided the facility to the customer to withdraw money from their accounts through a machine kept in the prime locations of the cities called as ATM in 24 hours basis. This has provided the customers with a facility to withdraw the money when they require it.

Anywhere Branch Banking Service (ABBS): Banks offer account holder of a branch to avail some banking services from other branches located at various parts of the country which is called anywhere branch banking service. This is one of the distinguished features of the modern banking services.

Telebanking: Customers may acquire information like, account balance, exchange rate, and requisition for cheques and may instruct banks to do various jobs over the phone, fax, mobile phone etc.

Credit/Debit Card: Banks issue credit cards to highly creditworthy customers. Banks also issue debit card as well. This relieves the customers from carrying cash.

Besides these functions, a commercial bank also finance internal and foreign trade, collects statistics about money, banking, trade and commerce, and underwrite shares and debentures issued by private companies, offer some of the banking services at the door of highly valued customers. It also guarantees to other parties on behalf of its customers to make payment up to a specified sum of money to the beneficiary on demand in case of default by its customers. Further, a commercial bank also facilitates the trading between two parties who live in different countries through letters of credit and guarantees the seller of payment in case the buyer defaults to pay.
2.1.7 Financial Statements of Commercial Banks

Financial Information of commercial Banks is reported in two basic documents. The report of condition (or Balance sheet) presents financial information on a Bank’s assets, liabilities and equity capital. The balance sheet reports a Bank’s condition at a single point of time. The report of Income (or the Income statement) presents the major categories of revenues and expenses (or cost) and the net profit or loss for a Bank over a period of time. Financial statements of commercial banks must be submitted to regulators and stockholders. Financial institutions are also engaging in an increase level of off-balance sheet (OBS) activities. These activities produce income (and sometimes losses) for the FIs that are reported on the income statement. Retail banks focus on individual consumer banking relationship, such as residential mortgages ad consumer loans on the asset side of the portfolio, and individual demand, savings and time deposits on banking relationship, such as residential mortgages and consumer loans on the liability side. In contrast, wholesale banks focus their business activities in business banking relationship, they hold more business loans and fewer mortgages and consumer loans and use fewer consumer deposits and more purchased funds than retail banks do.

Financial statements report both on the firm’s financial position at a point in time and on its operations over some past couples of years regarding what they have performed financially, this is reporting about what the company has done in terms of assets, liabilities, income and expense. Alternatively, they highlight in important financial aspects such as liquidity, profitability, activity capital structure and market capitalization value. Annual report made available to the shareholders in annual general meeting is the basic raw material of financial analysis, comments and interpretation. Shareholders raise various issues regarding irregularities, operational inefficiencies and
internal management deficiencies causing poor performance of a company. Financial Statements collected, consolidated and analyzed by Nepal Stock Exchange Limited Provide better insights about the company’s performance. In other words, financial statements comprise:

2.1.7.1 Balance Sheet
As the name implies, the balance sheet list balances that is, it has the characteristics that Total Asset = Total Liabilities + Capital. Hence, the balance sheet is a statement of the firm’s financial position at a specific point in time regarding assets, liabilities and stockholder’s equity to balance debt and ownership position. The Balance Sheet is a statement of resources at the disposal of the firm and how they are put to use. In other words the acquired assets at the disposal of the firm and liabilities that the firm has incurred and remains indebted to others.

Furthermore, a Bank’s balance sheet lists sources of Bank funds (liabilities) and uses to which they are put (assets) Bank obtain funds by borrowing and by issuing other liabilities such as deposits. They then use these funds to acquire assets such as securities and loans. Banks make profits by charging an interest rate on their holdings of securities and loans that is higher than the expenses on their liabilities.

Assets
A bank’s assets are grouped into four major subcategories: 1) cash and balances due from other depository institutions 2) investment securities, 3) loans and leases and 4) other assets. Investment securities and loans and leases are the bank’s earning assets. Cash and balances due from depository institutions consists of vault cash, deposits at the Central Bank, deposits at other financial institutions, and cash items in the process of collection. None
of these items generate much income for the bank, but each is held because they perform specific functions. Vault cash is composed of the currency and coin needed to meet customer withdrawals. Deposits at the central bank are used primarily to meet legal reserve requirements to assist in cheque, clearing, wire transfers, and the purchase or sale of Treasury securities. Deposits at other financial institutions are primarily used to purchase services from those institutions. These banks generally purchase services such as cheque collection, cheque processing, and investment advice from correspondent banks. Cash items in the process of collection are cheque written against accounts at other institutions that have been deposited at bank.

Credit is given to the depositor of these cheques only after they clear. Investment securities consist of items such as interest bearing deposit at other financial institutions, repurchase agreements, Treasury and agency securities, securities issued by central bank and other debt and equity securities. These securities generate income for the bank and are used for liquidity risk management purpose. Investment securities are highly liquid, have low default risk and can usually be traded in secondary markets. Banks generally maintain significant amount of these securities to ensure that they can easily meet liquidity needs that arise unexpectedly. However, because the revenue generated from investment securities is low compared to that from loans and leases, many banks attempt to minimize the amount of investment securities they hold.

Although banks with excess cash reserves invest some of this in interest-earning liquid assets such as T-bills and short term securities, they have the option to lend excess reserves for short intervals to other banks seeking increased short-term funding. The market for excess reserves is inter-bank
dealing. In an inter-bank transaction, the bank with excess reserves sells funds for one day to the purchasing bank. The next day, the purchasing bank returns the funds plus one day’s interest reflecting the market rate. Since credit risk exposure exists for the selling bank, because the purchasing bank may be unable to repay the funds the next day, the seller may seek collateral backing for the one-day funds loan. In the context of Nepalese banking sector, banks generally do not seek collateral but set the limit of exposure to the other banks. In this transaction, the funds selling bank receives government securities as collateral from the funds-purchasing bank—that is, the funds-purchasing bank temporarily exchanges securities for cash. The next day, this transaction is reversed—the funds-purchasing bank sends back the funds it borrowed plus interest rate; it receives in return its securities used as collateral in the transaction.

Long-maturity investments such as NRB bonds usually offer somewhat higher expected returns than short-maturity investment since they are subject to greater interest rate risk exposure. Treasury securities and NRB Bonds are fully backed by the government and thus carry no default risk.

Loans are the major items in a bank’s balance sheet and generate the largest flow of revenue income. However, loans are also the least liquid asset item and the major source of credit and liquidity risk for most banks. Leases are used as alternatives to loans when the bank, as owner of a physical asset, allows a customer to use an asset in return for periodic lease payments. Loans are categorized as commercial and industrial loans, loans secured by real estate, individual or consumer loans, and other loans. Commercial and industrial loans are used to finance a firm’s capital needs, equipment purchases, and plant expansion.
Commercial loans can be made at either fixed rates or floating rates of interest. This rate remains in force over the loan contract period no matter what happens to market rates. The interest rate on revolving loans such as cash credit loan and overdraft loan can be adjusted periodically so that the interest rate risk is transferred in large part from the bank to the borrower. Commercial loans can be made for periods as short as few weeks to as long as eight years or more. Traditionally, short-term commercial loans are used to finance credit needs that extend beyond one year, such as the purchase of real assets (machinery), new venture start-up costs, and payment increases in working capital. Commercial loans can be secured or unsecured. A secured loan is backed by specific assets of the borrower; while an unsecured loan gives the lender only a general claim on the assets of the borrower should default occur.

However, in Nepalese banking sector all most all the loans are secured by collateral or fixed property such as real state, house building, equipments and machineries. Housing loans are primarily mortgage loans which are generally long-term loans with an average maturity of approximately 10 years. Housing loans are made to purchase, construct and repair a house. Another major category of loans is the individual or consumer loan –for example personal and auto loans. Commercial banks, finance companies and co-operatives also provide consumer loan financing. It can be in the form of auto loan, personal loan, educational loan etc. Each loan category entails a wide variety of characteristics that must be evaluated to determine the risk involved, whether the bank should grant the loan, and if so, at what price.

Unearned income and the allowance (reserve) for loan and lease losses are contra-asset accounts that are deducted from gross loans and leases on the balance sheet to create net loans and leases. Unearned income is the
amount of income that the bank has received on a loan from a customer but has not yet recorded as income on the income statement. Over the life of the loan, the bank earns (or accrues) interest income and accordingly transfers it out of unearned income into interest income. The allowance for loan and lease losses is an estimate by the bank’s management of the percentage of the gross loans (and leases) that will not be repaid to the bank. Although tax laws influence the maximum amount of the reserve, the bank’s management actually sets the level based on loan growth and recent loan loss experience. The allowance for loan losses is an accumulated reserve that is adjusted each period as management recognizes the possibility of additional bad loans and makes appropriate provisions for such losses. Actual losses are then deducted from, and recoveries are added to (referred to as net write-offs), their accumulated loan and lease loss reserve balance. Investment securities plus net loans and leases are the earning assets of a depository institution. It is these items in the balance sheet that generate the most interest income.

Other assets in the bank’s balance sheet consist of items such as premises and fixed assets, other real estate owned (collateral seized on defaulted loans), investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries, intangible assets (i.e. goodwill and mortgage servicing rights) and other (i.e. deferred taxes, prepaid expenses, and mortgage servicing fees receivables). These accounts are generally a small part of the bank’s overall assets.

Liabilities
A bank’s liabilities consists of various types of deposit accounts and other borrowing used to fund the investments and loans on the asset side of the balance sheet. Liabilities vary in terms of their maturity, interest payments, check-writing privileges, and deposit insurance coverage. A bank acquires finds by issuing (selling) liabilities, which are consequently also referred to as
sources of funds. The funds obtained from issuing liabilities are used to purchase income-earning assets.

Current accounts are transaction accounts held by individuals, business firms, corporations, and other institutions that pay no explicit interest. Saving deposits are all saving accounts other than current accounts. In saving accounts and current accounts some minimum balance should be kept.

The major categories of time deposits are fixed deposit. Fixed deposits are fixed-maturity instruments. Although the size, maturity, and rates on these FDs are negotiable, most banks issue standardized FDs.

Deposits can be separated as foreign from domestic deposits on the balance sheet but it is not generally practiced in Nepal. Foreign deposits are generally large and held by corporations with a high level of international transactions activities. The liabilities described above are all deposit liabilities, reflecting deposit contracts issued by banks in return for cash. However, banks not only fund their assets by issuing deposits but borrow in various markets for purchased funds, since the funds generated from these purchases are not deposits; they are subject to neither reserve requirements nor deposit insurance premium payments. The banks can also borrow funds from other bank for certain period; generally short term of 2/4 days and these transactions can be rolled over each day if the contemporary is willing. Some banks in search of stable sources of funds with low withdrawal risk have begun to issue subordinated notes and debentures, often in the five-to seven-years range. These notes are especially attractive because they are subject to neither reserve requirements nor deposit insurance premiums, and some can serve capital for the bank to satisfy NRB regulations regarding minimum capital requirements. Banks facing temporary liquidity crunches
can borrow from the central Bank’s discount window at the discount rate. Since this rate is not market determined and usually lay below government security rates, it offers a very attractive borrowing opportunity of a Bank with deficient reserves as the reserve maintenance period comes to an end.

Some Bank separate core deposits from purchased funds on their balance sheets. The stable deposits of the Bank are referred to as core deposits. These deposits are not expected to be withdrawn over short periods and are therefore a permanent source of funding or the Bank. Core deposits generally are defined as demand deposits, current accounts, and saving accounts. Purchased funds are more expensive and/or volatile sources of funds because they are highly rate sensitive – these funds are more likely to be immediately withdrawn or replaced as rates on competitive instruments change. Banks also list other liabilities that do not require interest to be paid. These items consist of accrued interest, deferred taxes, dividends payable, and minority interest in consolidated subsidies, and other miscellaneous claims.

2.1.7.2 Income Statements

Income statement shows the net result of the business operations. Banks have to be efficient to prove their viability depending upon their income generating power and cost minimizing strategy. The income statement reflects the earning capacity of the bank. The success or failure of bank largely depends on the differences between income and expenditures. The major determining factor of bank’s soundness is supposed to be a net income though there are other factors too are equally important. The success is the measure of the excess of income over expenditure while failure is the cause of the excess of income over expenditures over income. Interest income by nature should be sufficient to cover interest expenses plus other overhead
costs of the bank’s revenues and expenses. Revenues are the interest received from loan values supplied to the customers. Expenses are the paying interest to depositors. Generally, commercial banks earn profit by mobilizing deposits of the customers.

The major sources of bank income are interest-earning assets held by the bank such as loans, which generate interest income. Besides, commission and discount, exchange fluctuations gain, investment in securities, shares and debentures and other operating incomes and are sources of bank’s income. Expenditures on the other hand are produced by interest bearing liabilities such as deposit liability. Moreover, staff expenses, exchange fluctuation loss, other operative expenses, interest on debentures and borrowings from other banks are sources of expenditures. The net interest income is defined as:

\[
\text{Net interest income (NI)} = \text{interest income} - \text{interest expenses}.
\]

2.2 Review of Related Studies

Kaini (1996), in his study- a comparative study of financial performance of Nepal Arab Bank Ltd and Nepal Grindlays Bank Ltd. states that although the current assets of these two banks were adequate to discharge current liabilities, the current ratio of both of the banks were below the standard which is 2:1, however NABIL seemed to have better average current ratio compared to NGBL. Similarly, he has added that, activity ratios of NABIL were always higher than that of NGBL, which has implied that NABIL was utilizing its assets more efficiently on income generating purpose than NGBL. Likewise, the profitability position of NABIL was much higher than that of NGBL. The researcher concluded that NABIL was relatively efficient in utilizing its overall resources in term of return on capital employed. However, the researcher concluded that both the banks were able to maintain
satisfactory level of profit. The researcher recommended that both banks should consider strengthening their respective liquidity position. The researcher has further suggested that there were more probabilities of utilizing the outsiders’ funds for the income generating purpose. The researcher recommended NGBL needed to take a proper policy in utilizing the outsiders’ funds in extending credit to further profit generation. Here the researcher has focused about outsiders’ funds but not cleared this term as well as not elaborated clearly the methods & techniques to apply this.

Mahato, S. (1998), *A Comparative Study of the Financial Performance of Nepal Arab Bank Ltd. and Nepal Indosuez Bank Ltd.*, has performed a study on comparative study of the financial performance of Nepal Arab Bank Ltd and Nepal Indosuez Bank Ltd found that overall liquidity ratio of NIBL was much higher than that of NABL. Further, he has remarked that NIBL was investing its funds more in the form of loans and advances than the NABIL. However, the profitability position of NABIL was much more satisfactory than NIBL. The researcher has recommended that both the banks should increase the proportion of equity capital in their capital structure. The researcher further suggested that the banks should adopt a proposed policy regarding the expansion of branches in rural areas whenever it seems favorable. The researcher has also recommended that the banks should improve in the skill and expansion of the employees and keep high the clients satisfaction. Though the research was concerned about the liquidity & profitability, the researcher was not being able to suggest the sufficient technique to increase the profit factor. Researcher is unspoken about the split rate and he has not mentioned that the increment of profit mainly depends on the proper management of split rate i.e. difference between the deposit & borrowing interest rate.
Another study under taken by Singh, S. K. (1988), *A Comparative Evaluation of Financial Performance of Nepal Arab Bank Ltd and Nepal Grindlays Bank Ltd.*, on a brief study in resource utilization by Nepalese commercial Banks has concluded that banks were found inefficient in deposit utilization during seven years under study. Banks’ branch expansion in rural sector was unsatisfactory. There was higher degree of positive correlation between expansion and collection of scattered savings and extension of credit by banks as well. Likewise, there was higher degree of positive co-relation between deposit collection and extension of credit by bank and there was a positive co-relation between interest rate and deposit collection. The researcher is silent about the lending diversification for the proper utilization of deposit as well as to minimize the risk.

Another study carried out by Dhakal, T.N. (2001), *A Study on Financial Performance of Nepal SBI Bank Ltd. and Nepal Indosuez Bank Ltd.*, on a concluded that liquidity position of Nepal Indosuez Bank Ltd is comparatively better than that of Nepal SBI Bank Ltd. It has adopted aggressive lending investment and borrowing policy, which has generated more profit than NSBL. The researcher has recommended acting according to the government plans and policies on mobilizing their deposits in the productive sectors. The researcher has suggested stabilizing the cash and bank balance to total deposit ratio of both the banks after proper diagnosis of the root to the cause. The researcher based his study on overall financial performance of the banks. It was not particular on investment policy of the banks though the study has covered the deposit mobilization of these banks. For the purpose of the research study the researcher had set the objectives i.e. to study on the limited five-year position of the two JVBs. The researcher had used descriptive research design based upon the secondary data. The researcher had used qualitative rather than quantitative analysis. Throughout the study
the research was focused on investment practices and its impact on the practice among the bankers. However, the researcher was not clear on investment policy. The researcher only looked on the ground of the bank’s side but his study was much more silent on the customer’s point. It was truly accepted that by investing on the priority sector, taking on consideration of the remote sector business, although it was less profitable but was sustainable for the overall country’s development.

Oli, JB (2001) had conducted a study on a comparative study of the financial performance of Himalayan Bank Ltd, Nepal SBI Bank Ltd and Nepal Bangladesh Bank Ltd. The researcher found that the current ratio of HBL was below than normal standard 2:1 so HBL suggested increasing its current assets. The researcher suggested that the liquidity position of HBL, NSBL and NBBL were fluctuating and was not in satisfactory level. Therefore the banks were suggested to keep the reasonable amount of liquidity so the bank should maintain their short-term solvency position. The capital structure of three JVBs was highly leveraged. The total debt to shareholders equity ratio has indicated that the use of debt by the three banks helped to enhance the rate of return on shareholder’s fund. However, excessive use of debt in non-profitable business may cause solvency of these banks so these JVBs were suggested to maintain improved improper balance of total debt to shareholders equity and capital structure as required by increasing equity base. It has been recommended that to HBL it was required to sustain and enhance its provision for possible issues due to excessive loans and advances in order to prevent from the threat of insolvency. Profitability position of three JVBs was not found satisfactory but profitability position of HBL was comparatively better than the same of NSBL and NBBK. So NSBL and NBBL have been recommended to utilize their resources more efficiently for generating more profit margins. The major sources of income of three JVBs
were from the interest income. NBBL has been investing more in government securities rather than investing loan and advances. So, NBBL is recommended to invest in the most earning assets like loan and advances. The researcher further suggested that the banks needed to minimize their operation expenses as far as possible since it contributes to enhance the volume of profit. The researcher finally recommended that banks should fulfill some social obligations by extending their resources to rural areas and promoting the development of poor and disadvantageous groups. In order to do so the banks should open their branches in remote areas with the objective of providing cheaper banking services.

Hence, this study was descriptive rather than analytical. The qualitative analysis might not be enough to present exact picture of the status of the banks. Further, the research was revolved around policy issued rather than practical issues. The analysis of financial performance aspect among the banks was a part of his research. Of course, his suggestions seem to be very worthy from the customer’s point of views but his study is silent on the sustainability of the bank’s ground.

Bhandari, S. (2006), *A Study on the Financial Performance of Himalayan Bank Ltd. in The Framework of CAMEL*, has performed a study on the financial performance of Himalayan Bank Ltd. (HBL) in the framework of CAMEL. The basic objective of this study was to analyze the financial performance of Himalayan Bank Ltd. (HBL) through CAMEL framework. The study has covered the period of 6 years from the F/Y 1999 to 2004. The researcher has used different financial tools in the study such as ratios like capital adequacy ratio, non-performing loan ratio, loan loss ratio, total expenses to total income ratio, and return on equity, return on assets, net interest margin, earning per share total liquid fund to total deposit ratio, NRB Balance to total
deposit ratio, cash in vault to total deposit ratio, and other financial tools like average, standard deviation, coefficient of variation, least square trend analysis.

The major findings of this study are; the capital adequacy ratio of the bank was above the NRB standard in all the years except in year 2004 i.e. insufficient of capital in that year however, it was found that the core capital adequacy ratio of HBL adequate and sufficient. The supplementary capital ratio was within the boundary of NRB standard during the period of past six years. The non-performing loan to total loans and advances ratios for the study period was in decreasing trend but it was not sufficient in banking industry. The slope of the trend line of loan loss ratio was high this showed that the loan loss provision was increasing rapidly. The return on equity ratio of the bank during study period was above the 15 percent benchmark so this showed that the bank’s ratio was better but was in decreasing tendency. The net interest margin ratio of the bank was above the benchmark of 3 to 4 percent and above, so the bank’s ratio was higher but in declining tendency. The EPS of the bank has been fluctuated over the years of the study period. The bank’s liquidity position was better than that of the industry average. NRB balance to total deposit ratio of HBL was below the industry average ratio in each year during the study period. This has indicated that the bank has not strictly following the directives issued by NRB in respect to balance must be hold in NRB. Vault to deposit ratio of HBL during the study period was below the industry average. This has implied that the bank was not strictly following the directives issued by NRB in respect to balance must hold as vault.
The researcher has concluded that capital adequacy ratios revealed that the bank was running with the adequate capital and the capital fund of the banks was sound and sufficient to meet the banking operation as per the NRB standard except in the year 2004 during the study period. The core capital adequacy ratio and supplementary capital ratio were in the boundary of NRB standard. The researcher further concluded that the assets composition of the bank during the study period revealed that movement of money at call was observed in switch over in to investment during the last three years. The decreasing trend of non-performing loans and advances ratio showed that the bank was aware of non-performing loans and adopting the appropriate policies to manage this problem and to increase the quality of asset. Whereas the increasing trend of loan loss ratio indicated that the quality of loans was degrading year by year. The decreasing trend of total revenues ratios has positively affected in profitability. The decreasing trend of return on equity showed that the rate of return flowing to the bank’s shareholders was degrading year by year. Capability of management to converting the bank’s assets into net earning was declining. The researcher further concluded that the decreasing trend of earnings per share was the implication of low return flowing to the bank’s owner. The liquid funds to total deposit ratio was above the industrial average which showed that there was very high proportion of liquid funds than the proportion of investment in income generating assets and shows lack of specific policy of increasing additional idle funds to high income generating assets in the form of investment. The researcher also concluded that the bank was not maintaining the sufficient balance at NRB during the study period and the bank was running with the inadequate liquidity to meet its short-term obligations.
The researcher has recommended maintaining stable capital adequacy ratios in the bank and strictly following the NRB directives. It was also recommended that the bank has to give more attention to decrease the level of NPA to meet the international standards. Further it was recommended to lower the proportion of loan loss provision by increasing the quality assets by strengthening the credit appraisal and follow-up measures. The researcher has also recommended that the bank should increase the profit by minimizing the operating cost and enhancing the operating efficiencies of the employees. The researcher has also recommended that the bank should look upon new area of lending and investment that helps in minimizing the idle funds otherwise this may impact the profitability negatively.

The research is quite descriptive than practical. Besides, the researcher has not mentioned all the ratios which are must for CAMEL analysis. I couldn’t find the ratios like loan loss provisioning, loan to single borrower to core capital, earning per employee, net spread etc. I have tried to overcome those lacking in my study.

Baral, K.J. (2005), *Health Check-up of Commercial Banks in the Framework of CAMELS: A case study of Joint Venture Banks in Nepal*, *Journal of Nepalese Business Studies*, (Volume II No.1, December 2005), has conducted a research and published his paper in the Journal of Nepalese Business Studies (Volume II No.1, December 2005) on health check-up of commercial banks in the framework of CAMEL, a case study of joint venture banks in Nepal. The paper examined the financial health of joint venture banks in the CAMEL framework for a period ranging from FY 2001 to FY 2004. Three joint venture commercial banks of Nepal were randomly selected for the study. The study was based on historical data disclosed by annual reports of commercial banks. It has covered four fiscal years’ data for the purpose of study. The
study was based entirely in the CAMEL framework. Through the analysis of data, the researcher has diagnosed the health of sample joint venture banks.

Banks under study were well capitalized and they were complying with the directives of NRB on capital. Nevertheless, their capital base relative to the risk-weighted assets is not so strong. It uncovered further, non-performing assets of joint venture banks on the average is at satisfactory level, but they are far below the aggregate percentage of non-performing assets of commercial banks. The researcher has also concluded that management of NSBI is least efficient among sampled banks and SCBN has most efficient management. The profitability of NSBL is not so weak during the study period. Profitability of Nabil and SCB was better than the NSBI. Furthermore, the liquidity of joint venture banks was higher the industry average ratio. Thus, with a viewpoint of liquidity position, the health of joint venture banks is looked like a bit unhealthy.

Chand, D. (2006), *Financial Performance Analysis of Nabil Bank Limited in the Framework of CAMELS*, has conducted a study on financial performance analysis of Nabil Bank Ltd in the framework of CAMELS with the objective to analyze the financial condition of NABIL Bank Ltd. It has covered 5 years data starting from Fiscal Year 2000/2001 to 2004/2005. The analysis revealed that the bank is running with adequate capital and the capital fund of the bank is sound and sufficient to meet the banking operation as per NRB standard. The bank has placed efficient credit management and recovery efforts of good quality loans are increasing. Further, it seems that amount default associated in loans will decrease in future. The management decisions related to operation and investment have assisted in controlling control and recovery of bad debt. The management has been able to control the interest spread and cost effective sources of funds. This has helped the bank in increasing
the market strength. The liquid assets to total deposit ratio is above the industrial average ratio. The bank has able to match the risk sensitive assets to risk sensitive liabilities in long term maturity bucket and therefore interest rate changes has no affect on them.

Adolphus J Toby (2007), *CAMEL Analysis, Prudential Regulation and Banking System Soundness in Nigeria, The IUP Journal of Bank Management*, vol. VI, issue 3, pages 43-60. This paper examined select financial indicators and their prudential implications for banking system soundness in Nigeria. For each of the hypothesized functional relationships, the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient \( r' \) and the corresponding Freund-Williams significance test at the 5% level were calculated. Under regimes of rising proportion of non-performing loans in the distressed banks, increasing bank liquidity and falling profitability, the paper found the selected capital adequacy ratios to be significantly correlated with bank solvency. The cash reserve ratio correlates negatively and significantly with the proportion of non-performing loans (npl)\( s \). It was also found that the cash and bank balances ratio correlates positively and significantly with the return on total assets. While the ratio of loans-to-deposits correlates negatively and significantly with bank solvency, the pre-tax profit margin correlates positively with bank solvency. Incremental capital requirements should be graduated in line with selected bank solvency and profitability projections. An optimal loan-to-deposit ratio must have the objectives of increasing asset quality, long-run corporate growth, and facilitation of the monetary transmission mechanism.

Shrestha, P. (2007), has conducted a study on *Comparative Analysis of Financial Status & Performance Evaluation of Himalayan Bank Limited and Nabil Bank Limited in the Framework of CAMELS Rating System*. The study was conducted with the objective of analyzing Capital Adequacy, Quality of Assets, earning and liquidity of the HBL. Audited annual reports of condition
for the period 2001/02 to 2005/06 are the primary source of information and treated as authentic. The both banks’ Core capital adequacy ratio varied positively NRB standard during the review period. Supplementary capital ratio of the banks was within the boundary of NRB regulation over the study period. The ROE ratio of NABIL was above the universal bench mark. The increasing trend of ROE showed that there turn per unit of equity invested by the shareholders was increasing year by year. The liquid assets to total deposit ratio of NABIL was above the industrial average ratio except in the initial period.

Assets composition of both banks like in every banks remained largely in the loans and investment. In case of NABIL the decreasing trend of loan loss provisioning ratio speaks of good quality loans were increasing i.e., it seems that amount default associated in loans was decreasing in future. Whereas, the increasing trend of loan loss provisioning ratio of HBL indicates that the quality of loans becoming degrading year by year i.e. it seems that amount of non-performing loans and possibilities of default in future was increasing. The both banks was managed and operating efficiently since the total expenses to total revenues ratios were in decreasing trend. This could be, but was not limited to management efficiencies. In any case, the decreasing trend will positively affect the bank’s profitability in future. The increasing trend of earning per employee of NABIL depicts management capacity to control overhead expenses due to overstaffing with similar repercussions in terms of profitability. But the later 2 years it was in decreasing trend which was a matter of concern. Overall it was concluded that the management decisions related to operation and investment has assisted in controlling control and recovery of bad debt. The ROE ratio of NABIL was above the universal benchmark. The increasing trend of ROE showed that there turn per unit of equity invested by the shareholders was increasing year by year. The liquid assets to total deposit ratio of NABIL was above the industrial
average ratio except in the initial period. The investment in liquid assets was in increasing trend and switched into more profitable but high risk assets. The NRB balance to total deposits ratio was below the industrial average during the study period.

Paudel, B. (2010), has conducted a study on *Comparative Financial Performance Analysis of Nepal Industrial and Commercial Bank and Everest Bank Limited in the framework of CAMEL*. The general objective of this study was to make comparative analysis of the financial performance of the two commercial banks, NIC and Everest Bank Ltd. and to recommend, suggestion for the improvement of state of affair. Performance of the sample commercial banks is intended to measure with tools: CCAR, SCAR and TCAR suggested under CAMEL model. The management of NIC, as measured by expense to revenue ratio, was less efficient compared to EBL. The management of EBL was more efficient than NIC as measured by earning per employee. Differing efficiency results of commercial banks were found on the basis of efficiency ratios. EBL was able to gain more benefits from its assets as compared to NIC. Similarly, the shares of EBL were earning more than that of NIC. A greater variation was seen in the per share earnings of EBL than in NIC. Liquid Position in Commercial Banks: The performance of sample commercial banks was measured with CAMEL tools: Liquid Assets to Total Deposit Ratio, NRB Balance to Total Deposit Ratio and Cash in Vault to Total Deposits. The liquidity position of EBL, as measured by liquid assets to total deposit, was strong compared to NIC. But there was a greater element of risk in the liquidity position of NIC as compared to EBL. EBL had maintained the ratio of NRB to total deposit except last year whereas NIC has maintained the ratio except fourth and last year. NIC was able to maintain more efficient liquidity position than EBL in terms of cash in vault to total deposit.
Shrestha, O. (2010), *a study on financial performance of Agriculture Development Bank Nepal Limited*. The primary objectives of the study was to analyze the overall performance of ADBNL, however other objectives were to examine the overall performance of ADBNL in terms of liquidity, activity profitability, leverage, earnings, capital adequacy, to study the achievement of ADBNL. The liquidity position in terms of current ratio of ADBNL was in below standard. The overall loan and advances disbursement with respect to the current assets was satisfactory. Cash and bank balance to current and saving deposits indicated that the bank was unable to meet its immediate obligation as the bank balance. In overall, the liquidity position of ADBNL was slightly poor and the bank was unable to meet its short-term obligations. The result indicates that the bank is mobilizing its total deposit in loans and advances satisfactorily. The result of the analysis indicates that the bank has better mobilization of its saving deposit in loans and advances for income generating purpose. Interest earning was the major source of income of a commercial bank. The result of the analysis indicates the interest earned in comparison to the assets was quite low. The analysis return on total assets was very low. Loan loss provision to total income ratio was very high throughout the study period that indicates ADBNL was unable to reduce its loan losses. The expenditure analysis indicates that the overall staff expenses are higher than the interest expenses within the study period. The study also concluded that the bank has facing the over staffing problem and the performance of employees is very low with the comparison of income.
2.3 Research Gap

Although various studies have been carried out, regarding financial performance analysis of banks and other financial institutions in Nepalese context, those studies mainly focused on liquidity, leverage and profitability of the banks. The financial performance analysis done in the past lack the analyses in the framework of CAMEL, a new technique of assessing financial performance of the banks. However very few studies have been done applying this technique, they also lack thorough study using appropriate models. This study attempts to analyze the financial performance of Nepal SBI Bank Ltd in the framework of CAMELS using appropriate models.
CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Methodology is a science that refers sequential and systematical steps to be adopted by a researcher in studying and solving problems with certain objectives in view. In other words, it is a systematic way to solve the research problem. This chapter provides the overall framework or plan for the collection, analysis and presentation of data required to fulfill the objectives of the study. Objective of using different tools and techniques for the analysis and presentation as well as is to answer the research questions as explained under this section. It includes the type of information to be collected and sources of the information for the study purpose. To meet the objectives, the methodologies applied in the study are described below.

3.1 Research Design

Research design is the task of defining the research problem. A research design is the arrangement of conditions, for collection and analysis of data in a manner that aims to combine relevance to the research purpose with economy in procedure (Wolf, H.K., and P.R. Pant, 2002, A Handbook for Social Science Research and Thesis Writing). It is a procedural plan that is adopted by the researcher to answer questions validity, objectively, accurately and economically. In fact the research design is the conceptual structure within which the research is conducted. This research study aims at portraying accurately on the financial performance of Nepal SBI Bank Ltd. Therefore, a case study analytical research design is used for the study purpose to achieve the desired end. The study period covers six fiscal years starting from FY 2061/62 to 2066/67 BS.
3.2 Sources and Nature of Data
This study is mainly based on secondary data. The data used in the study are taken from the annual reports of the bank and publications of Nepal Rastra Bank. Some supplementary data and information are collected from the periodicals, economic journals, managerial magazines and other published and unpublished reports and documents from various source and websites as well.

3.3 Selection of Study Unit
The population of the study consists of all the commercial banks of Nepal. Hence, commercial banks which are operated now in Nepal comprise the population where as a single bank Nepal SBI Bank Limited (NSBL) is taken for the study unit as it is a case study. NSBL is selected as study unit since it is a reputed joint venture bank operated in Nepal since a decade long. It is a joint venture of State Bank of India, India’s largest bank.

3.4 Data Collection Procedure
As the study is based mainly on the secondary data, required facts and figures have been obtained from the annual reports collected from the corporate office of the bank. Data have also been obtained browsing the official web sites of NSBL, NRB and Security Board of Nepal. Other reference materials are collected from the library of Shanker Dev Campus and Nepal Commerce Campus.

3.5 Data Processing Procedures
Firstly data were extracted from the annual reports of the bank and put them in a sheet. Then data were entered into the spreadsheet to work out the financial ratios and prepare necessary figures, according to the need and
requirement of this study. For this purpose, gathered data have been processed using computer programs like Microsoft Excel and Word.

3.6 Method of Data Analysis

Only descriptive tools are used to get the meaningful result of the collected data and to meet the research objectives. Collected data are tabulated under various heads. Then the tabulated data are analyzed using various financial tools which are briefly discussed below:

3.6.1 Financial Tools

3.6.1.1 Capital Adequacy

1) Risk Based Capital Adequacy Ratio: Risk based capital ratio can be defined as the numerical expression of total capital fund to total risk adjusted assets. It measures the adequacy of capital. Risk based capital ratio is used to measure the adequacy of capital in the banks, which is determined in the following way:

\[
\text{Risk Based Capital Ratio} = \frac{\text{Total Capital Fund}}{\text{Total Risk Adjusted Assets}} \times 100
\]

Where,

Total Capital Fund = (Core capital + supplementary capital)

Total Risk Adjusted Assets = (On-balance sheet risk adjusted assets + Off-balance sheet risk adjusted assets)

2) Risk Based Core Capital Adequacy Ratio: Core capital adequacy ratio is the expression of numerical relationship between the total core capital and total risk adjusted assets. It measures the adequacy of core capital. The ratio is expressed as:

\[
\text{Core Capital Adequacy Ratio (CCAR)} = \frac{\text{Core Capital}}{\text{Total Risk Adjusted Assets}} \times 100
\]
Where,

Core Capital = (paid-up capital + share premium + non-redeemable preference share + general reserve + cumulative profit)

3) Risk Based Supplementary Capital Ratio: Supplementary capital ratio is the expression of numerical relationship between supplementary capital and total risk adjusted assets of a bank. It measures the proportion of supplementary capital in total risk adjusted assets. The ratio is used to analyze the supplementary capital adequacy of the banks and determined in the given way:

\[
SCR = \frac{\text{Supplementary Capital}}{\text{Total Risk Adjusted Assets}} \times 100
\]

Where,

SCR = Supplementary Capital Ratio
Supplementary Capital = (Loan loss provision + exchange equalization reserve
+ assets revaluation reserve + hybrid capital instrument +unsecured sub-
ordinate term debt + interest rate fluctuation fund + other free reserves)

3.6.1.2 Assets Quality

The following ratios are used to assess the quality of assets of the bank:

1) Past Due Loans to Total Loans: This is the ratio which expresses the relationship between past due loans and total loans and advances of the bank. Lesser the portion of past due loans in total loans can be regarded as the better assets quality. This relationship can be measured by using the following relation:

\[
\text{Past Due Loans} / \text{Total loans} = \frac{\text{Past Due Loans}}{\text{Total Loans}} \times 100
\]
2) Loans Classified as Substandard, Doubtful or Loss to Total Loans: The ratio of substandard, doubtful and loss loans to total loans indicates the relationship between the substandard loans to total loans, doubtful loans to total loans and loss loans to total loans. It shows the percentage of substandard, doubtful and loss loans to total loans. The lesser the percentage the better would be the quality of assets. It is worked out using the following relation:

\[
\text{Loans Classified as Substandard, Doubtful or Loss/ Total Loans} = \frac{\text{Total Sub- standard, Doubtful or Loss Loan}}{\text{Total Loans}} \times 100
\]

3) Provisioning for Substandard Loans to Total Substandard Loans: Provisioning for substandard loans to total substandard loans ratio is the expression of numerical relationship between loan loss provisions for substandard loans to total substandard loans. It measures the proportion of substandard loans to total substandard loans. The percentage of provision for substandard loans to total substandard loans is 25% according to NRB directives. This ratio can be calculated by using following formula:

\[
\text{Provisioning for Substandard Loans to Total Substandard Loans} = \frac{\text{Provision for Sub- standard Loan}}{\text{Total Sub- standard Loans}} \times 100
\]

4) Provision for Doubtful Loans to Total Doubtful Loans: Provision for doubtful loans to total doubtful loans is the expression of numerical relationship between loan loss provision for doubtful loans to total doubtful loans. The proportion of provision for doubtful loans to total doubtful loans, according to NRB, should be at least 50%. This ratio can be calculated using following relation:
Provision for Doubtful Loans to Total Doubtful Loans =
\[ \frac{\text{Provision for Doubtful Loans}}{\text{Total Doubtful Loans}} \times 100 \]

5) Provisioning for Loss Loans to Total Loss Loans: Provisioning for loss loans to total loss loans is the expression of numerical relationship between loan loss provisions for loss loans to total loss loans. The proportion of provision for loss loans to total loss loans, according to NRB, should be at least 100%. This ratio can be calculated by using following model:
\[ \frac{\text{Provision for Loss Loans}}{\text{Total Loss Loans}} \times 100 \]

3.6.1.3 Management Soundness
The following ratios can be used to determine the efficiency of bank’s management:

1) Total Expenses to Total Income Ratio: The total expenses to total income ratio is the expression of numerical relationship between total expenses and total income of the bank. It measures the proportion of total expenses to total income. It can be calculated using the following model:
\[ \frac{\text{Total Expenses}}{\text{Total Income}} \times 100 \]

2) Earning Per Employee: Earning per employee is the numerical relationship between net profit after taxes to total numbers of employees. Low or decreasing earnings per employee can reflect inefficiencies as a result of overstaffing in terms of profitability (IMF, 2000). It is calculated using the following model:
Earning Per Employee = \frac{\text{Net Profit After Taxes}}{\text{Total Number of Employees}}

3.6.1.4 Earnings

The Following Ratios can be used to assess the quality of the bank’s earnings:

1) **Net Income (after tax) to Total Assets**: The ratio of net income (after tax) to total assets is the expression of numerical relationship between net income and total assets. It is used to measure the quality of bank’s earning in comparison to the assets employed. The following model can be used for the calculation of this ratio:

\[
\text{Net Income (after tax) to Total Assets} = \frac{\text{Net Income (after tax)}}{\text{Total Assets}} \times 100
\]

2) **Net Earnings (after tax) to Core Capital**: Net earning after tax to core capital shows the relationship between net earnings after tax to core capital of the bank. It measures the proportion of earnings to the core capital. Minimum of 8% of this ratio can be considered as satisfactory. The following mode can be used to calculate this ratio:

\[
\text{Net Earnings (after tax)/Core Capital} = \frac{\text{Net Income (after tax)}}{\text{Core Capital}} \times 100
\]

3) **Net Spread**: Net spread is the expression of numerical relationship of difference between interest earned on interest earning assets and interest paid on interest bearing liabilities. Minimum of 2% and above of this ratio can be considered as strong. The following model is used to calculate this ratio:

\[
\text{Net Spread} = \frac{\text{Interest Earned}}{\text{Interest Earned/Interest Earning Assets - Interest Paid/Interest Bearing Liability}} \times 100
\]
4) **Net Interest Margin:** Net interest margin indicates the relationship between the difference of interest income and interest expenses to total interest earning assets. At least 4% of this ratio can be considered as fair. It can be calculated by using following ratio:

\[
\text{Net Interest Margin} = \left( \frac{\text{Interest Income} - \text{Interest Expense}}{\text{Total Interest Earning Assets}} \right) \times 100
\]

3.6.1.5 Liquidity

The Following Ratios can be used to assess the liquidity of the bank:

1) **Loan to Deposit Ratio:** Loan to deposit ratio is the proportion of total loans and advances (before deduction of loan loss reserve) to total deposit. It can be calculated using following model:

\[
\text{Loan to Total Deposit Ratio} = \left( \frac{\text{Total Loan and Advances}}{\text{Total Deposit}} \right) \times 100
\]

2) **NRB Balance to Total Deposit Ratio:** NRB balance to total deposit ratio is the expression of numerical relationship between NRB balance and total deposits of a bank. It measures the adequacy of NRB balance held by the bank. It can be calculated using following model:

\[
\text{NRB Balance to Total Deposit Ratio} = \left( \frac{\text{Total NRB Balance}}{\text{Total Deposit}} \right) \times 100
\]

3) **Cash in Vault to Total Deposit Ratio:** Cash in vault to total deposits ratio is derived dividing total cash in vault by total deposit of the bank. It shows the percentage of total deposit maintained in vault of the bank. It can be calculated by using following model:

\[
\text{Cash in Vault to Total Deposit Ratio} = \left( \frac{\text{Total Cash in Vault}}{\text{Total Deposit}} \right) \times 100
\]
3.7 Limitations of the Methodology

The study is based on secondary data obtained from annual reports and financial results published by the bank. As this study is a case study, different tools used to analyze the collected data are based on certain assumptions which may not also be considered as absolute. Hence, the reliability of the analysis depends upon the circumstances on which the models are based.
CHAPTER – IV

PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA

4.1 Data Presentation and Analysis

This chapter deals with presentation and analysis of data collected from annual reports of the bank. The raw data collected has been organized and processed using various tools discussed in the previous chapter-“Research Methodology”. In this chapter data and information are presented and analyzed using different financial and statistical tools in order to achieve the objectives of the study. In data presentation and analysis, the study is focused on CAMELS components.

4.1.1 Capital Adequacy

Capital adequacy determines how well banks can manage with shocks to their balance sheets. For the purpose of capital adequacy measurement, bank capital is divided into Tier I (core/primary) capital and Tier II (supplementary) capital. Risk based capital ratio, core capital adequacy ratio, supplementary capital ratio, past due loans/total loans, total loans to a single Borrower/ total loans, total loans to a single Borrower/ core capital & actual provisioning to required provisioning are the ratios used to analyze the capital adequacy ratio.

Commercial bank should have adequate capital to support its risks assets in accordance with the risk-weighted capital ratio framework. It has become recognized that capital adequacy more appropriately relates to assets structure than to the volume of liabilities. Adequacy and inadequacy of bank capital directly affects the banking transaction. The adequacy of bank capital is the most important aspect of a bank. If there is inadequacy of capital, the bank should take step for the adequacy of capital as per legal requirement.
because its financial health can’t be regarded capable and healthy without having adequate capital.

4.1.1.1 Analysis of Capital Adequacy Ratio

Capital adequacy ratio is the measure of financial strength of a commercial bank. Specifically, the capital adequacy ratio measures the adequacy of capital for smooth operation of a bank. A bank should maintain adequate capital ratio as set by NRB. NRB has fixed a minimum standard of capital adequacy ratio of 11 percent in 2061/62 to 2064/65 and 10 percent in 2065/66 & 2066/67. It is measured as the ratio of total capital fund to total risk adjusted assets of the bank.

Table 4.1 shows the capital adequacy ratio of the bank. As per the NRB guidelines, capital adequacy ratio is sufficient except in the year 2061/62.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital Fund</td>
<td>744.88</td>
<td>1,242.57</td>
<td>1,444.80</td>
<td>1,722.19</td>
<td>2,012.04</td>
<td>2,734.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Risk Based Assets</td>
<td>7,869.61</td>
<td>9,159.27</td>
<td>10,873.28</td>
<td>13,975.71</td>
<td>16,872.72</td>
<td>22,320.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Based Capital Ratio %</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>13.29</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRB Standard %</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess /(Short) %</td>
<td>(1.53)</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports
The capital fund of the bank is in increasing trend. It has increased throughout the study period. The total risk based assets is in mounting tendency as well. Compared to the NRB standard, the risk based capital ratio shows a short of 1.53 percent in the FY 2061/62 and excess in rest of the FYs of the study period. The bank has to say capital adequacy had been maintained as per the NRB guideline of that year in 2061/62 but shown negative due to regrouping of previous year figure as per new NRB directives.

**Figure 4.1**

**Capital Adequacy Ratio**

As shown in figure 4.1, the risk based capital adequacy ratio of NSBL is above NRB standard in all the FYs apart from in 2061/62. It implies that the bank’s risk based capital adequacy ratio in each year of the study period is satisfactory. Hence, NSBL has followed the NRB directives and its capital adequacy requirements since five years.
4.1.1.2 Core Capital Adequacy Ratio

Core capital is the primary capital of the bank. It includes the paid-up capital, share premium, non-redeemable preference share, general reserves, accumulated profit and loss amount and goodwill deductible if any. Thus, core capital is the amount of shareholders’ fund. Core capital adequacy ratio is calculated as a percentage of total core capital to risk based assets of the bank. NRB has set the minimum standard of 5.5% in fiscal year 2061/62 to 2064/65 and 5% in fiscal years 2065/66 and 2066/67.

### Table 4.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Core Capital</td>
<td>682.85</td>
<td>964.42</td>
<td>1,145.48</td>
<td>1,394.06</td>
<td>1,692.37</td>
<td>2,430.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Risk Based Assets</td>
<td>7,869.61</td>
<td>9,159.27</td>
<td>10,873.28</td>
<td>13,975.71</td>
<td>16,872.72</td>
<td>22,320.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Based Core Capital Ratio %</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>10.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRB Standard %</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess/(Short) %</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

Table 4.2 shows the relationship between core capital to total risk adjusted assets of the bank. The core capital of the bank has increased from 682.85 million to 2,430.02 million in entire study period. Thus, the ratio of risk based core capital is in increasing movement and meeting the NRB standard throughout the study period. The risk based core capital ratio is stable in year 2062/63 & 2063/64 i.e. 10.53 percent. Then it has decreased to 9.97 percent in fiscal year 2064/65. It has increased to 10.03 and 10.89 in fiscal year 2065/66 and 2066/67 respectively. The risk based core capital is highest in the fiscal year 2066/67 compare to whole study period. There is an excess
in the ratio of risk based core capital compared with the NRB standard in the study period.

**Figure 4.2**

**Core Capital Adequacy Ratio**
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Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

**Comparing Risk Based Core Capital Adequacy Ratio with NRB Standard**

As per Fig. 4.2, although there is normal difference between risk adjusted core capital adequacy ratio of NSBL and NRB in the fiscal year 2061/62 i.e. 3.18 percent, the gap is widened and peaked at 10.53% in fiscal year 2062/63 and 2063/64 and then slightly declined in 2064/65. Then again, it has increased in the fiscal year 2065/66 and 2066/67. Thus, the risk adjusted core capital adequacy ratio of NSBL is adequate as prescribed by NRB.

**4.1.1.3 Supplementary Capital Adequacy Ratio**

Supplementary capital is the amount of capital that is transferred in reserve and collected using the hybrid capital instruments. It includes loan loss provision, exchange equalization reserve, assets revaluation reserve, hybrid
capital instruments, unsecured sub-ordinate term debt, interest rate fluctuation fund and other free reserves. NRB has set a standard of supplementary capital to be maintained by the commercial banks as not more than the core capital of the bank.

Table 4.3 presents the supplementary capital ratio of the bank during the study period. As shown in table the supplementary capital ratio of the bank ranges from a minimum of 0.79 percent in fiscal year 2061/62 to 3.04 percent in the fiscal year 2062/63. The ratio is increased in fiscal year 2062/63 and declined in the subsequent fiscal years i.e. 2063/64 to 2066/67. The decreasing ratio of supplementary capital implies that the portion of supplementary capital in total risk based assets of the bank. However, the supplementary capital ratio of the banks is within the limit of NRB standard throughout the study period.

Table 4.3

**Supplementary Capital Adequacy Ratio**

(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Supplementary Capital</td>
<td>62.03</td>
<td>278.15</td>
<td>299.32</td>
<td>328.12</td>
<td>319.67</td>
<td>304.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Risk Based Assets</td>
<td>7,869.61</td>
<td>9,159.27</td>
<td>10,873.28</td>
<td>13,975.71</td>
<td>16,872.72</td>
<td>22,320.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Based Supplementary Capital Ratio %</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRB Standard % (not more than core capital)</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess/ (Short) %</td>
<td>(4.71)</td>
<td>(2.46)</td>
<td>(2.75)</td>
<td>(3.15)</td>
<td>(3.11)</td>
<td>(3.64)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*
The risk based supplementary capital ratio of NSBL is distributed from the minimum of 0.79 in 2061/62 to maximum of 3.04 percent in 2062/63. This ratio is as per NRB standard. NRB has regulated that supplementary capital to be maintained by commercial banks should not exceed the core capital of the bank. Thus, the figures showed that NSBL has met requirement of NRB during the study period.

**Figure 4.3**

*Comparing Supplementary Capital Adequacy Ratio with NRB Standard*
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*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*

Figure 4.3 shows the supplementary capital adequacy ratio of the bank is significantly above the standard set by NRB thorough out the study period. Risk based supplementary capital ratio in excess of NRB standard is 4.71 percent in 2061/62. It then has reduced in the consecutive two years and increased subsequently three fiscal years of the study period. It indicates that NSBL has maintained adequate supplementary capital in the study period.
4.1.2 Assets Quality

Loans and advances dominate the asset side of the balance sheet of the banks. Similarly earning from such loans and advances occupy a major space in income statement of the bank. Hence Asset is the critical factor in determining the strength of any bank. Primary factors that can be considered are the quality of loan portfolio, mix of risk assets and credit administration system. The quality of assets are measured in terms of ratio of past due loans to total loans and loan classified as substandard/doubtful/loss to total loans. Provisions made for NPAs and loan provided to single Borrower are also the measuring rods used to analyze the assets’ quality of the bank.

4.1.2.1 Ratio of Past Due Loan to Total Loan

Table 4.4
Past Due Loans to Total Loans
(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Past Due Loan</td>
<td>441.20</td>
<td>505.34</td>
<td>458.76</td>
<td>488.41</td>
<td>315.95</td>
<td>265.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Loan</td>
<td>6,739.35</td>
<td>8,241.46</td>
<td>10,065.05</td>
<td>12,746.22</td>
<td>15,612.05</td>
<td>17,963.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Past Due Loan to Total Loan (%)</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

The above presentation is also shown in the graph as follows:
In Table 4.4 the ratio of past due loan to total loan is presented. The total loan of the bank has gone up throughout the study period. Whereas the portion of the total past due loan in total loan is fluctuating. The ratio of past due loan to total loan is below 10 percent in fiscal year 2061/62 & 2062/63 which is the evidence of satisfactory level. And in remaining fiscal years, it is below 5 percent (i.e. 4.56%, 3.83%, 2.02% and 1.48% in FY 2063/64, 2064/65, 2065/66, 2066/67 respectively) that is in superior position. Furthermore, the asset quality of the bank is satisfactory as indicated by this ratio.

4.1.2.2 Ratio of Loans Classified as Substandard, Doubtful or Loss to Total Loans
Table 4.5 exhibits the ratio of substandard loan to total loan, doubtful loan to total loan and loss loan to total loan. The percentage of substandard loan to total loan ranges from 0.18 percent to 0.02 percent in the study period. It decreased to 0.02 percent in fiscal year 2062/63. Little bit increased in the year 2063/64 and remain constant in fiscal year 2064/65. It has increased to 0.08 percent in next year and decreased slightly in fiscal year 2066/67. While
the total loan is in increasing trend, percentage of substandard loan to total loan is fluctuating. This can be considered as enhancement in quality of assets of the bank. The percentage of substandard loan to total loan is below 1 percent throughout the study period implies that the quality of loan is very strong.

The percentage of doubtful loan to total loan ranges from maximum of 0.17 percent in the fiscal year 2064/65 to a minimum of 0.01 percent in 2066/67. It is stable below the 1 percent in the entire fiscal years which can be considered as the quality of loan being strong.

**Table 4.5**

**Substandard/Doubtful/Loss Loan to Total Loan**

(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Loan</td>
<td>6,739.35</td>
<td>8,241.46</td>
<td>10,065.05</td>
<td>12,746.22</td>
<td>15,612.05</td>
<td>17,963.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Substandard Loan</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>13.24</td>
<td>12.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Substandard Loan to Total Loan</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Doubtful Loan</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Doubtful Loan to Total Loan</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Loss Loan</td>
<td>422.59</td>
<td>499.70</td>
<td>444.30</td>
<td>462.91</td>
<td>291.38</td>
<td>251.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Loss Loan to Total Loan</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*

Percentage of loss loan to total loan is in falling trend in the study period. It decreased to 1.40 percent in 2066/67 from 6.27 percent in fiscal year 2061/62. It is below 5 percent in the four years of the study period which
indicates that the quality of assets is turned strong but 6.27 percent in 2061/62 and 6.06 percent in 2062/63 implies that the quality of loan is downward.

4.1.2.3 Ratio of Provision for Substandard Loan to Total Substandard Loan

Table 4.6 exhibits the ratio of provision for substandard loan to total substandard loan. The ratio is fluctuating in the taken study period. The highest provision is in the year 2066/67 that is 24.58 percent which is nearly about 25 percent. In rest of the study period it is less than the 25 percent which means that the bank has not made adequate provision for substandard loan. As per the NRB guidelines, provision for substandard loan should be at least 25 percent of the total substandard loan. But, the table shows that the bank has not met standard in the taken fiscal years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provision for</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substandard Loan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Substandard</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>13.24</td>
<td>12.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan (%)</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>20.71</td>
<td>24.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

The above presentation is shown in the chart as follows:
Figure 4.5
Provision for Sub Standard Loan to total Sub Standard

![Graph showing provision for sub standard loan to total sub standard]

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

**Ratio of Provision for Doubtful Loan to Total Loan**

Table 4.7 shows that the ratio of provision for the doubtful loan to total doubtful loan. As per the table the ratio ranges from maximum of 50 percent to minimum of 15.64 percent. In the year 2062/63 it is 50 percent which is adequate provisions as per the NRB rules and regulations. In the remaining fiscal years, it is below the 50 percent. Among them it is nearer to the standard in the fiscal year 2064/65 i.e. 45.63 percent. But it is 15.64 percent which is very low ratio in the year 2061/62. As per the NRB standard the provisioning is not adequate in 5 years out of 6 years of the study period.
Table 4.7
Provision for Doubtful Loan to Total Loan
(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provision for Doubtful Loan</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Doubtful Loan</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Doubtful Loan to Total Substandard Loan (%)</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>34.86</td>
<td>45.63</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>19.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

The above presentation is shown in the chart as follows:

Figure 4.6
Provision for Doubtful Loan to Total Loan

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

4.1.2.5 Ratio of Provision of Loss Loan to Total Loss Loan
Table 4.8 exhibits the ratio of provision for loss loan to total loss loan. The amount of loss loan is increased in the year 2062/63. It declined in year 2063/64 and increased again in year 2064/65. Then finally, it drop off to 291.38 & 251.04 million in the consecutive years of the study period. The
provisioning for loss loan is near about 100 percent i.e. 99.13 & 99.53 percent in 2 years of study period that is in fiscal year 2062/63 & 2063/64. It is decreased to 98.59 percent in year 2064/65, 97.93 percent in year 2065/66 and 97.89 percent in year 2066/67. It was 98 percent in the first year of study period. As per the NRB directives, bank has to make provisioning of 100 percent for loss loan. But the bank has not made adequate provisioning for loan loss for the whole study period. The bank has shortfall loan loss provisioning of 8.46, 4.34, 2.09, 6.51, 6.04, and 5.29 million rupees in the year 2061/62, 2062/63, 2063/64, 2064/65, 2065/66 and 2066/67 respectively.

Table 4.8
Provision for Loss Loan to Total Loss Loan
(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provision for Loss Loan</td>
<td>414.13</td>
<td>495.36</td>
<td>442.22</td>
<td>456.40</td>
<td>285.34</td>
<td>245.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Loss Loan</td>
<td>422.59</td>
<td>499.70</td>
<td>444.30</td>
<td>462.91</td>
<td>291.38</td>
<td>251.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Loss Loan to Total Loss Loan</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>99.13</td>
<td>99.53</td>
<td>98.59</td>
<td>97.93</td>
<td>97.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

The above presentation is shown in the chart as follows:
4.1.3 Management Soundness

Sound management is a key to financial institutions’ performance. Although several indicators can be used as proxies for the soundness of management, such evaluation is still primarily a qualitative exercise, particularly when it comes to the evaluation of the management of operational risk, that is, the functioning of internal control systems. The productivity of employees is used as a measuring rod for evaluation. Like wise sustainability of earning shows the efficiency of management.

Expenses ratio and earning per employee are the ratios used as proxy of the management quality. A high or increasing ratio of expenses to total revenues can indicate that financial institutions may not be operating efficiently. This can be, but is not necessarily due to management deficiencies. In any case, it is likely to negatively affect profitability. Similarly, low or decreasing earnings...
per employee can reflect inefficiencies as a result of overstaffing, with similar repercussions in terms of profitability.

### 4.1.3.1 Total Expenses to Total Income Ratio

Table 4.9 exhibits the ratio of total expenses to total income ratio. The total expenses of the bank is in increasing trend for the overall study period. Total expenses increases from minimum 386.64 million to maximum of 1,987.88 million. The total income of the bank has gone up throughout the study period as well. The ratio of total expenses to total income is in increasing trend except in fiscal year 2064/65. The ratio is distributed from the minimum of 53.78 percent in the year 2061/62 to the maximum of 75.20 percent in fiscal year 2066/67. It is increased to 60.59% and 65.34% in fiscal year 2062/63 and 2063/64 respectively. Then in fiscal year 2064/65, it is decreased to 62.42 percent. The ratio is increased to 70.80 percent and 75.20 percent in the following fiscal years. The overall ratio implies that the bank has increasing expenses with respect to income.

**Table 4.9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenses</td>
<td>386.64</td>
<td>484.52</td>
<td>585.61</td>
<td>682.19</td>
<td>1,170.66</td>
<td>1,917.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Income</td>
<td>718.99</td>
<td>799.67</td>
<td>896.31</td>
<td>1,092.98</td>
<td>1,653.37</td>
<td>2,550.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Total Expenses to Total Income (%)</td>
<td>53.78</td>
<td>60.59</td>
<td>65.34</td>
<td>62.42</td>
<td>70.80</td>
<td>75.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*
The above presentation is shown in the chart for additional clarification as follows:

**Figure 4.8**

**Total Expenses to Total Income Ratio**

![Graph showing Total Expenses to Total Income Ratio](image)

*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*

### 4.1.3.2 Earning Per Employee

Table 4.10 shows the earning per employee of the bank. The earning per employee is in fluctuating trend. The earning per employee of the bank is 0.35 million in year 2061/62. It increased in the two years of the study period and decreased in the year 2064/65. In 2065/66, it has increased to 0.98 million and also decreased to 0.84 million in fiscal year 2066/67. Whereas the total number of employees is in increasing trend within the study period. This ranges from minimum of 164 to maximum of 465. The net profit after tax is in growing trend for first three years. It slightly decreases in fiscal year 2064/65 then it increased in the following years.
Table 4.10

Earning Per Employee

(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Profit After Tax (NPAT)</td>
<td>57.39</td>
<td>117.00</td>
<td>254.91</td>
<td>247.77</td>
<td>316.37</td>
<td>391.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Employees</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earning Per Employee</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

The above presentation is shown in the chart as follows:

Figure 4.9

Earning Per Employee

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

4.1.4 Earning

Earning is a yardstick indicating the management, shareholders and depositors to evaluate the performance of the banks, sustainability of earnings and to forecast growth of the bank. The success of the bank heavily
relies upon the efficiency of its management to drive the bank to earn good profits. Net profit is the major yardstick to measure such profits. A required level of profit is necessary for the firm’s growth and survival in the competitive environment. Profitability is vitally more important for assuring that a bank stays in business or activity. Net profit of any bank decreases resulting from high non-performing loans, lack of avenues for earning fee based income and operating in-efficiencies.

Net income (after tax) to total assets, net earnings (after tax) to core capital, net spread, net interest margin and net operating margin are used to assess the earning performance of the bank.

4.1.4.1 Net Income to Total Assets Ratio

Table 4.11 exhibits the ratio of net income to total assets of the bank in the study period. The net income of the bank is in increasing trend except in the year 2064/65 in which it is slightly decreased and reached to Rs. 247.77 million. In the other hand total assets of the bank is also in increasing trend in the entire study period. As a result, the ratio of net income to total assets is 0.55 percent in the first fiscal year of the study. It is increased in the year 2062/63 and 2063/64 and decreased in the following fiscal years. The ratio of net income after tax to total assets varies from the minimum of 0.55 percent in fiscal year 2061/62 to maximum of 1.83 in year 2063/64. The ratio of net income to total assets is more than 0.50 percent in the whole study period that can be considered as satisfactory level of income comparing with the total assets of the bank.
Table 4.11

Net Income to Total Assets

(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Profit After Tax (NPAT)</td>
<td>57.39</td>
<td>117.00</td>
<td>254.91</td>
<td>247.77</td>
<td>316.37</td>
<td>391.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>10,345.37</td>
<td>13,035.84</td>
<td>13,901.20</td>
<td>17,187.45</td>
<td>30,166.44</td>
<td>38,047.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Net Income (after tax) to Total Assets (%)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

4.1.4.2 Net Earnings to Core Capital Ratio

Table 4.12 exhibits the ratio of net earnings to core capital of the bank in the study period. Unlike with the net income, core capital of the bank rose up throughout the study period. The ratio of net profit after tax to core capital of the bank varies from the minimum of 8.40 percent in the year 2061/62 to maximum of 22.25 percent in the year 2063/64. Analyzing the data it seems that the ratio of NPAT to core capital is satisfactory in the year 2061/62 since it is above 8 percent. In following years, it is more that 10 percent which is considered as good performance by the bank.

Table 4.12

Ratio of Net Earnings to Core Capital

(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Profit After Tax (NPAT)</td>
<td>57.39</td>
<td>117.00</td>
<td>254.91</td>
<td>247.77</td>
<td>316.37</td>
<td>391.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Core Capital</td>
<td>682.85</td>
<td>964.42</td>
<td>1,145.48</td>
<td>1,394.06</td>
<td>1,692.37</td>
<td>2,430.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Net Earnings (after tax) to Core Capital</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>17.77</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>16.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports
4.1.4.3 Net Spread

Net spread is the difference between the ratio of interest earned to total interest earning assets and interest paid to total interest bearing liability. It measures the earning capacity of a bank. Having net spread more than 2% shows that the bank has strong earning capacity. Net spread less than 2% to more than 1.25% can be considered as satisfactory earning. Likewise, the lower ratios show that the bank’s earning quality not being satisfactory. Negative net spread indicates the bank’s earning quality is very unsatisfactory.

Table 4.13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned</td>
<td>578.37</td>
<td>708.72</td>
<td>831.12</td>
<td>970.51</td>
<td>1,460.45</td>
<td>2,269.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earning Assets</td>
<td>9,165.65</td>
<td>11,884.59</td>
<td>9,460.45</td>
<td>12,113.70</td>
<td>15,131.75</td>
<td>17,480.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned/Interest Earning Assets (A)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Paid</td>
<td>258.43</td>
<td>334.77</td>
<td>412.26</td>
<td>454.92</td>
<td>824.70</td>
<td>1,443.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Bearing Liability</td>
<td>6,853.36</td>
<td>10,126.38</td>
<td>9,416.87</td>
<td>11,854.86</td>
<td>24,905.96</td>
<td>31,911.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Paid/Interest Bearing Liability (B)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Spread (A – B)*100</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>8.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

Table 4.13 exhibits the net spread of the bank in the study period. Regarding the net spread the bank has strong position. It varies from the minimum of 2.54 percent in fiscal year 2061/62 to the maximum of 8.46 percent in the
fiscal year 2066/67. Net spread is above 2 percent in all the years taken for the study. Net spread above 2 percent can be regarded as a strong position. Therefore, in general the bank has maintained strong position regarding the net spread. This is the very good symbol of bank’s profitability and earning.

4.1.4.4 Net Interest Margin

The net interest margin measures how large a spread between interest income and interest expenses management has able to achieve by close control over the bank’s earning assets and the pursuit of the cheapest sources of funding. Net interest margin is calculated dividing net interest income (interest income minus interest expenses) by total interest earning assets. Earning assets include loans and advances, bill purchased and discounted and investment made in securities (T-Bills, Bonds). A negative or declining ratio is an indicator of lack of treasury management skill and needs attention. The net interest margin ratio between 3 to 4 percent can be considered as better in banking industry (World Bank, 1996).

**Table 4.14**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income (A)</td>
<td>578.37</td>
<td>708.72</td>
<td>831.12</td>
<td>970.51</td>
<td>1,460.45</td>
<td>2,269.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expenses (B)</td>
<td>258.43</td>
<td>334.77</td>
<td>412.26</td>
<td>454.92</td>
<td>824.70</td>
<td>1,443.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Interest Income (A-B)</td>
<td>319.94</td>
<td>373.95</td>
<td>418.86</td>
<td>515.59</td>
<td>635.75</td>
<td>826.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Interest Earning Assets</td>
<td>9,165.65</td>
<td>11,884.59</td>
<td>9,460.45</td>
<td>12,113.70</td>
<td>15,131.75</td>
<td>17,480.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Interest Margin</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*
The table 4.14 shows the net interest margin of the bank. The interest income is increased in every one year of the study period. Likewise, Interest expenses also increases in all fiscal years. The total interest earning assets of the bank shows fluctuating trend in the entire study period. The net margin calculated in the table shows the minimum figure of 3.15 percent in year 2062/63 and maximum of 4.73 in 2066/67. The net interest margin of the bank is fluctuated over the study period revolving around 3-5 percent. It is recorded above 4 percent except in the year 2061/62 and 2062/63. Thus, the data shows that the net interest margin of NSBL is satisfactory. According to standard, the net interest margin between 3 to 4 percent is supposed to be better.

The Net Interest Margin can be presented in following line chart:

**Figure 4.10**

**Net Interest Margin**

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports
4.1.5 Liquidity

Banks need to maintain reasonable level of liquidity to pay cash to its depositors so it is of prime importance. Liquidity ratios are used to judge a bank's ability to meet short-term obligation. It is the comparison between short-term obligations and short-term resources available to meet such obligations. Commercial banks are directed by NRB to maintain five percent of their deposits as CRR in NRB’s account to ensure adequate liquidity. As per NRB regulations banks has to maintain CRR on a weekly basis. Therefore, rather than disclosing the CRR of year-end, banks should report the exact CRR ratio maintained during the week, in which year-end falls.

Cash and bank balance to total deposit ratio is used to measure the bank’s ability to meet immediate obligation, mainly cash withdrawal by depositors. Lower ratio indicates that banks might face a liquidity crunch while paying its obligations, where as a very high ratio points out that the banks have been keeping idle funds and not deploying them properly.

4.1.5.1 Total Loan to Total Deposit Ratio

Table 4.15 shows the loan to deposit ratio of the bank in the study period. The total loan and advances and total deposit is in increasing trend in the taken period for study. The loan to total deposit ratio of the bank has fluctuated from the minimum of 51.48 percent in the year 2066/67 to maximum of 91.71 percent in year 2064/65. It has significantly increased to 9.71 percent in year 2064/65. In first year, the ration is 77.87 percent. It decreased to 74.91 percent in year 2062/63 then it increased in two following years. Again it decreased in next two subsequent years. This loan to deposit ratio of the bank shows that the bank has maintained reasonable liquid position of its fund except in fiscal year 2064/65.
### Table 4.15

**Loan to Deposit Ratio**  
(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Loan and Advance</td>
<td>6,739.35</td>
<td>8,241.46</td>
<td>10,065.05</td>
<td>12,578.87</td>
<td>15,612.05</td>
<td>17,963.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Deposit</td>
<td>8,654.77</td>
<td>11,002.04</td>
<td>11,445.29</td>
<td>13,715.39</td>
<td>27,957.22</td>
<td>34,896.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan to Total Deposit Ratio (%)</td>
<td>77.87</td>
<td>74.91</td>
<td>87.94</td>
<td>91.71</td>
<td>55.84</td>
<td>51.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*

### 4.1.5.2 NRB Balance to Total Deposit Ratio

The table 4.16 exhibits the NRB balance to total deposit ratio of the bank. The bank has maintained the minimum balance of Rs. 390.03 million in 2061/62 and maximum of 1842.80 million in 2066/67. The total deposit of the bank is in rising trend. The NRB balance to total deposit ratio of NSBL is maximum of 5.69 percent in 2062/63 and minimum of 1.59 percent in 2065/66. Total NRB balance to total deposit ratio of the bank is optimum except in the year 2064/65 and 2065/66.

### Table 4.16

**NRB Balance to Total Deposit**  
(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total NRB Balance</td>
<td>390.03</td>
<td>626.12</td>
<td>556.68</td>
<td>403.81</td>
<td>444.14</td>
<td>1,842.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Deposit</td>
<td>8,654.77</td>
<td>11,002.04</td>
<td>11,445.29</td>
<td>13,715.39</td>
<td>27,957.22</td>
<td>34,896.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRB Balance to Total Deposit Ratio (%)</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*
The following line chart shows the NRB Balance to Total Deposit Ratio:

**Figure 4.11**

**NRB Balance to Total Deposit Ratio**

![NRB Balance to Total Deposit Ratio](image)

*Source: NSBL, Annual Reports*

### 4.1.5.3 Cash in Vault to Total Deposit Ratio

The table 4.17 depicts the cash in vault to total deposit ratio. The total cash in vault and total deposit of the bank is in mounting trend. Cash in vault to total deposit ratio has fluctuated in the study period. It varies from the minimum of 1.66 percent in year 2061/62 to maximum of 2.51 percent in 2063/64. It increased in initial two years of the study. Then it decreased to 2.25 percent in 2064/65. Finally, it increased in next two following years as well. The cash in vault to total deposit ratio of NSBL is adequate as it has maintained average of 2.22% liquidity in vault which is supposed to be adequate liquidity.
Table 4.17

Cash in Vault to Total Deposit Ratio
(Rs. in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2061/62</th>
<th>2062/63</th>
<th>2063/64</th>
<th>2064/65</th>
<th>2065/66</th>
<th>2066/67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cash in Vault</td>
<td>143.75</td>
<td>244.19</td>
<td>287.53</td>
<td>308.10</td>
<td>652.03</td>
<td>815.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Deposit</td>
<td>8,654.77</td>
<td>11,002.04</td>
<td>11,445.29</td>
<td>13,715.39</td>
<td>27,957.22</td>
<td>34,896.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash in Vault to Total Deposit Ratio (%)</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSBL, Annual Reports

4.2 Major Findings of the Study

This section includes the key findings of the study obtained from the analysis of the data. Conclusions derived from the findings are presented in the next chapter.

- The risk based capital ratio of the banks is distributed from the minimum of 9.47 percent in the year 2061/62 to the maximum of 13.57 percent in 2062/63. Compared to the NRB standard, the risk based capital ratio shows a short of 1.53 percent in the FY 2061/62 and excess in rest of the FYs of the study period. The risk based core capital ratio of NSBL is distributed from the minimum of 8.68 percent in the year 2061/62 to the maximum of 10.89 percent in the year 2066/67. The core capital adequacy ratio is above the NRB standard in the entire study period. Thus, it is found that the core capital adequacy ratio of NSBL is adequate and sufficient. The risk based supplementary capital ratio of NSBL is distributed from the minimum of 0.79 in FY 2061/62 to maximum of 3.04 percent in FY 2062/63. This ratio as prescribed by
NRB, which should not exceed the core capital, showed that NSBL has met the requirement of NRB during the study period.

- The ratio of past due loan to total loan has gone up during the entire study period. The total loan of the bank is in increasing trend as well. The ratio of past due loan to total loan is below 10 percent in fiscal year 2061/62 & 2062/63 which is the evidence of satisfactory level. And in remaining fiscal years, it is below 5 percent (i.e. 4.56%, 3.83%, 2.02% and 1.48% in FY 2063/64, 2064/65, 2065/66, 2066/67 respectively) that is in superior position. Furthermore, it is found that the asset quality of the bank is satisfactory as indicated by this ratio.

- The percentage of substandard loan to total loan ranges from 0.18 percent to 0.02 percent in the study period. It decreased to 0.02 percent in fiscal year 2062/63. Little bit increased in the year 2063/64 and remain constant in fiscal year 2064/65. It has increased to 0.08 percent in next year and decreased slightly in fiscal year 2066/67. While the total loan is in increasing trend, percentage of substandard loan to total loan is fluctuating. This can be considered as enhancement in quality of assets of the bank. The percentage of substandard loan to total loan is below 1 percent throughout the study period implies that the quality of loan is very strong.

- The percentage of doubtful loan to total loan ranges from maximum of 0.17 percent in the fiscal year 2064/65 to a minimum of 0.01 percent in 2066/67. It is stable below the 1 percent in the entire fiscal years which can be considered as the quality of loan being strong. Percentage of loss loan to total loan is in falling trend in the study period. It decreased to 1.40 percent in 2066/67 from 6.27 percent in fiscal year 2061/62. It is
below 5 percent in the four years of the study period which indicates that the quality of assets is turned strong but 6.27 percent in 2061/62 and 6.06 percent in 2062/63 implies that the quality of loan is downward.

- Total expenses of the bank is in increasing trend for the overall study period. Total expenses increases from minimum 386.64 million to maximum of 1,987.88 million. The total income of the bank has gone up throughout the study period as well. The ratio of total expenses to total income is in increasing trend except in fiscal year 2064/65. The ratio is distributed from the minimum of 53.78 percent in the year 2061/62 to the maximum of 75.20 percent in fiscal year 2066/67. It is increased to 60.59% and 65.34% in fiscal year 2062/63 and 2063/64 respectively. Then in fiscal year 2064/65, it is decreased to 62.42 percent. The ratio is increased to 70.80 percent and 75.20 percent in the following fiscal years. The overall ratio implies that the bank has increasing expenses with respect to income.

- The earning per employee is in fluctuating trend. The earning per employee of the bank is 0.35 million in year 2061/62. It increased in the two years of the study period and decreased in the year 2064/65. In 2065/66, it has increased to 0.98 million and also decreased to 0.84 million in fiscal year 2066/67. Whereas the total number of employees is in increasing trend within the study period. This ranges from minimum of 164 to maximum of 465. The net profit after tax is in growing trend for fist three years. It slightly decreases in fiscal year 2064/65 then it increased in the following years.
The net income of the bank is in increasing trend except in the year 2064/65 in which it is slightly decreased and reached to Rs. 247.77 million. In the other hand total assets of the bank is also in increasing trend in the entire study period. As a result, the ratio of net income to total assets is 0.55 percent in the first fiscal year of the study. It is increased in the year 2062/63 and 2063/64 and decreased in the following fiscal years. The ratio of net income after tax to total assets varies from the minimum of 0.55 percent in fiscal year 2061/62 to maximum of 1.83 in year 2063/64. The ratio of net income to total assets is more than 0.50 percent in the whole study period that can be considered as satisfactory level of income comparing with the total assets of the bank.

Unlike with the net income, core capital of the bank rose up throughout the study period. The ratio of net profit after tax to core capital of the bank varies from the minimum of 8.40 percent in the year 2061/62 to maximum of 22.25 percent in the year 2063/64. Analyzing the data it seems that the ratio of NPAT to core capital is satisfactory in the year 2061/62 since it is above 8 percent. In following years, it is more that 10 percent which is considered as good performance by the bank.

The net spread the bank has strong position. It varies from the minimum of 2.54 percent in fiscal year 2061/62 to the maximum of 8.46 percent in the fiscal year 2066/67. Net spread is above 2 percent in all the years taken for the study. Net spread above 2 percent can be regarded as a strong position. Therefore, in general the bank has maintained strong position regarding the net spread. This is the very good symbol of bank's profitability and earning.
Regarding the net interest margin, the interest income is increased in every one year of the study period. Likewise, Interest expenses also increases in all fiscal years. The total interest earning assets of the bank shows fluctuating trend in the entire study period. The net margin calculated in the table shows the minimum figure of 3.15 percent in year 2062/63 and maximum of 4.73 in 2066/67. The net interest margin of the bank is fluctuated over the study period revolving around 3-5 percent. It is recorded above 4 percent except in the year 2061/62 and 2062/63. Thus, the data shows that the net interest margin of NSBL is satisfactory. According to standard, the net interest margin between 3 to 4 percent is supposed to be better.

The total loan and advances and total deposit is in increasing trend in the taken period for study. The loan to total deposit ratio of the bank has fluctuated from the minimum of 51.48 percent in the year 2066/67 to maximum of 91.71 percent in year 2064/65. It has significantly increased to 9.71 percent in year 2064/65. In first year, the ration is 77.87 percent. It decreased to 74.91 percent in year 2062/63 then it increased in two following years. Again it decreased in next two subsequent years. This loan to deposit ratio of the bank shows that the bank has maintained reasonable liquid position of its fund except in fiscal year 2064/65.

The NRB balance to total deposit ratio of NSBL is maximum of 5.69 percent in 2062/63 and minimum of 1.59 percent in 2065/66. Total NRB balance to total deposit ratio of the bank is optimum except in the year 2064/65 and 2065/66. The bank has maintained the minimum balance of Rs. 390.03 million in 2061/62 and maximum of 1842.80 million in 2066/67. The total deposit of the bank is in rising trend.
Cash in vault to total deposit ratio has fluctuated in the study period. It varies from the minimum of 1.66 percent in year 2061/62 to maximum of 2.51 percent in 2063/64. It increased in initial two years of the study. Then it decreased to 2.25 percent in 2064/65. Finally, it increased in next two following years as well. The cash in vault to total deposit ratio of NSBL is adequate as it has maintained average of 2.22% liquidity in vault which is supposed to be adequate liquidity.
CHAPTER – V

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This unit is divided into three sections. The first section provides the brief summary of the study. The second section demonstrates the conclusion of the study and third section contains recommendations.

5.1 Summary

The study was conducted with objective to analyze the financial performance of Nepal SBI Bank Limited with CAMEL framework. Six years data are covered in the study. The study is based on secondary data and the data obtained were analyzed using various financial tools. CAMEL is a technique of evaluating the soundness of financial institutions. The bank’s financial soundness is judged being based on some factors - capital adequacy, asset quality, management soundness, earning quality, liquidity position and sensitivity to market risk.

The study is conducted with the general objective to analyze the financial performance of Nepal SBI Bank Ltd. Moreover, the specific objectives of the study were-to examine the capital adequacy of the bank, to assess the quality of the bank’s assets, to analyze the efficiency of the bank’s management, to evaluate the earning performance of the bank, to find out the liquidity position of the bank in the period of 2061/62 to 2066/67 BS. Different materials were reviewed to build up the conceptual foundation and to find out the clear destination of the research work. Review of concept of banking, origin and historical growth of banking, evolution of banking in Nepal, concept of bank, concept of commercial bank functions of
commercial bank, concept of financial performance analysis, financial statements, balance sheet, assets, liabilities, income statements, financial performance analysis, types of financial analysis, trend analysis, ratio analysis, funds flow statement, concept of financial performance analysis in the framework of CAMELS, concept of capital adequacy, assets quality, non-performing assets, management soundness, earnings, liquidity, sensitivity to market risk were reviewed as conceptual review. In addition, review of dissertations was included in dissertations review section.

The research covers only six years period from the year of 2061/62 to 2066/67 BS. It is concerned with the financial performance analysis of the commercial bank. The study was designed within the framework of case study analysis research design and the analysis has been made in the same way. For the purpose of study, Nepal SBI Bank Ltd is taken as a study unit by applying convenient sampling technique out of 27 commercial banks. The required data and information were collected from secondary sources. Financial ratios have been implied to get the meaningful result of the collected data in this research work.

The risk based capital adequacy ratio disclosed that NSBL has maintained the adequate fund except in the year 2061/62 of the study period. The risk based core capital ratio of the bank is above the NRB standard in the entire study period. It showed that the core capital adequacy ratio of the bank is adequate and sufficient. The risk based supplementary capital ratio of the bank showed that NSBL has met the requirement as prescribed by the NRB.

The ratio of past due loan to total loan of NSBL showed that the quality of assets is satisfactory except in 2061/62 & 2062/63 from the perspectives of NPAs, though it is remarkably high than the contemporary successful banks
of Nepal. For remaining years of study, it is in superior position. The percentage of substandard loan to total loan is below 1 percent throughout the study period. This has implied that the quality of loan in the study period of NSBL is extremely strong from the perspective of substandard loan portion to total loan. The percentage of doubtful loan to total loan is stable below the 1 percent in the study period, which can be considered as the quality of loan of NSBL is very well-built. Percentage of loss loan to total loan of 6.27 percent in FY 2061/62 and slight decrease to 6.06 percent in FY 2062/63 implies that the quality of loan is turned down from the perspective of loss loan to total loan percentage in the study period of NSBL. It is below 5 percent in the four years of the study period which indicates that the quality of assets is strong. It is the indication of bank’s inefficiency to recover its NPAs. The ratio of provision for substandard loan to total substandard loan is less than the 25 percent in all the years, which means that the bank has not made adequate provision for substandard loan as per the NRB guidelines. The ratio of provision for the doubtful loan to total doubtful loan shows that the bank has not made adequate provision. The bank has not made any provisioning for loan loss for the whole study period. But it is near to it in few years.

The total expenses and total income is in increasing trend for whole study period. The ratio of total expenses to total income is also in increasing trend except in FY 2064/65. The overall ratio indicates that the bank has decreasing expenses with respect to income. The average of the earning per employee in the study period is Rs. 0.85 million. The increasing number of staff effects to the earning per employee. It can be considered as normal management quality in terms of management soundless. The ratio of net income after tax to total assets is more than 0.50 percent that in the whole study period which can be considered as a satisfactory level. The data disclosed that the ratio of NPAT to core capital is in well position except in
year 2061/62. The increased ratio of net earning after tax to core capital shows that bank is towards the progress in strong level of income compared to its core capital. Net spread above 2 percent revealed the bank has maintained strong position regarding the net spread. This is the good symbol of bank’s profitability and earning. The net interest margin of the bank is fluctuated over the study period revolving around 3 to 5 percent. It is recorded above 3 percent in the entire study years. Thus, the data shows that the net interest margin of NSBL is in better position. According to standard, the net interest margin between 3 to 4 percent is supposed to be better.

The liquidity ratio showed that the bank has maintained strong liquidity position. The loan to deposit ratio of the bank shows that the bank has maintained reasonable liquid position of its fund. The NRB balance to total deposit ratio of the bank revealed that the bank has maintained an optimum level of NRB balance except in the year 2064/65 and 2065/66. Cash in vault to total deposit ratio of NSBL showed that the bank has maintained adequate vault balance.

5.2 Conclusions

Based on the findings the following conclusions have been drawn:

- NSBL has maintained the adequate and sufficient total capital fund prescribed by NRB though it is seen capital short in the year 2061/62 due to the regrouping previous year figure as per new NRB directives. The risk based core capital adequacy ratio of NSBL is adequate and sufficient. The risk based supplementary capital adequacy ratio of the bank showed that it has met the requirement of NRB during the study period.
NSBL has maintained in superior level of past due loan on total loan except in 2061/62 and 2062/63, which is satisfactory, though it is not a good level of NPAs compared to other leading banks. The percentage of substandard loan to total loan has implied that the quality of loan in the study period of the bank is strong from the perspective of substandard loan portion to total loan. The percentage of doubtful loan to total loan revealed that the quality of loan of the bank is strong as well. The loss loan to total loan ratio in the last four years is strong but in first two years it is downward.

The bank had maintained strong assets’ quality in the four years of the study period but the figures in two years implied the bank’s inefficiency to recover it NPAs. Thus, the quality of loan is turned down from the perspective of loss loan to total loan percentage in the study period.

The bank has made adequate provision for substandard loan as prescribed by NRB. The ratio of provision for the doubtful loan to total doubtful loan shows that the bank has also made adequate provision. It is observed from the study that the bank has not made adequate provisions for loss loan.

NSBL has high level of expenses as compared to the total income. The overall ratio implies that the bank has increasing expenses with respect to income.

Earning per employee of NSBL is quite low though the number of staffs is relatively high. The fluctuating trend in earning per employee reflects efficiency of staffs as well as management quality as average.
The measuring rod of income shows that the bank has just satisfactory level of income as compared to total assets of the bank in the study period.

NPAT to core capital is in good position in the year 2062/63, 2063/64, 2064/65 and 2065/66. But, there is no satisfactory earnings compared to the core capital in the first year of the study. The increased ratio of net earning after tax to core capital shows that bank is towards the progress in satisfactory level of income compared to its core capital though it is not fair strong.

Net spread above 2 percent revealed the bank has maintained strong position regarding the net spread. This is the good symbol of bank’s profitability and earning. The net interest margin of NSBL is satisfactory. According to standard, the net interest margin between 3 to 4 percent is supposed to be better.

The loan to deposit ratio of the bank shows that the bank has maintained reasonable liquid position of its fund. The NRB balance to total deposit ratio of the bank revealed that the bank has maintained an optimum level of NRB balance. NSBL has maintained adequate liquidity regarding the cash in vault to total deposit ratio. It has maintained an average of 2.58% liquidity in vault, which is supposed to be adequate liquidity.
5.2 Recommendations

Based on above conclusions, the following recommendations have been provided:

- Although NSBL has maintained adequate risk based core capital ratio and total risk based capital adequacy ratio as prescribed by NRB, it is recommended that the bank should maintain risk based supplementary capital ratio to maintain as per NRB requirements.

- When any loan couldn’t be repaid in time it directly affects to the performance of the banks. The NPA is the factor of worsening income. It has stronger assets quality of NSBL in most of the years of the study period. But in initial year it is under the standard. So, the bank should maintain and improve its outstanding loan further.

- An increasing trend in the ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans of NSBL signals a deterioration in the quality of credit portfolios and, consequently, in financial institutions’ cash flows, net income, and solvency. Hence the bank should strengthen the quality of loan by giving serious attention in credit appraisal, follow up and disbursement of loan.

- Adequate loan loss provisions protect the bank from the dangers of consequences arising from the conversion of loan into bad loan. NSBL has not made adequate provisions for substandard loan, doubtful loan and loss loan in all the time as prescribed by NRB. Hence, it is recommend keeping adequate provisions for NPAs as per NRB requirements in all the years of study period.
The bank should increase its income and reduce the expenses since its income compared to the total assets and total core capital is not strong.

The bank should raise its net interest margin furthermore by raising its interest income and reducing the interest expenses. The high cost of fund brings the net interest margin down. So, it should be given an attention to reduce it and enhance the net interest margin.

The liquidity position of the bank should meet its current and contingent obligations. It is observed that the bank has maintained the adequate cash balance in vault but the liquidity maintained at NRB seems high in some years. Which is not good as the fund remain idle in NRB account. Hence it is recommended to keep optimum level of funds at NRB.
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Appendix - I

List of Commercial Banks in Nepal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Name of the Banks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nepal Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rastriya Banijya Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Agricultural Development Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NABIL Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nepal Investment Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Himalavan Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Nepal SBI Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Nepal Bangladesh Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Everest Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bank of Kathmandu Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nepal Credit and Commerce Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lumbini Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>NIC Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Machapuchre Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Kumari Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Laxmi Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Siddhartha Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Global Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Citizens International Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Prime Bank Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Bank of Asia Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sunrise Bank Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>DCBL Bank Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>NMB Bank Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>KIST Bank Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Janata Bank Nepal Limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: www.nrb.org.np