## CHAPTER - I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background

Nepal is a developing country. The financial market in Nepal is also at the developing stage. For the development of every country, the financial market as well as the capital market plays a vital role. The capital market is the place or mechanism in which various kinds of financial instruments are issued. These instruments are transferred from one person/party to another in different ways of trading mechanism. Due to this mechanism, the group of people who have excess funds can utilize their funds in productive sectors and the group of people who need funds to run their enterprises can get funding. This process can help the economy of the country rise up.

Besley \& Brigham, (2002:109) define, "A bond is a long term contract under which a borrower aggress to make payments of interest and principal on specific dates to the holder of the bond." Bond securities are an important type of financial instrument of the capital market of the nation. The bonds are promises by the issuing firm or government to pay interest and principal on the unpaid balance. The maturity of a debt instrument refers to the length of time. Generally bonds and debentures have a face value of Rs. 1000. The bondholders get interest on an annual or semiannual basis on their investment amount. Bondholders get interest before the stock-holders get dividends. There is no restriction to get interest by bond-holders whether the issuing agency suffers to loss. The relation between bond-holders and issuing bodies is similar to the relation between creditors and debtors. "The holders of a company's long-term debt of course, are creditors. Generally they cannot exercise control over the company and do not have a voice in management. If the company violates any of the provision of the debt contract, then their bodies may be able to exert some influence on the direction of the company. Holders of long-term debt do not participate in the residual earnings of the company instead their return is fixed. Their debt instrument has a specific maturity preferred share of common or preferred stock does not. In liquidation the claim of debt holder is before that of preferred and common stock. (Van Horne, 2002:509).

If the issuing agency cannot provide interest to the bond-holders, then they can take action to liquidate the company. Generally, risk averter investors prefer to invest in bonds. The bonds are collateral or non- collateral based perpetual or redeemable, convertible or non-convertible types. The primary bond market is of government or government agencies or fully government secured bonds and the securities of the corporate bodies are offered to the market. The secondary market for the bonds and debenture stands for once sold securities. The trading floor of the securities once it is issued is called secondary market. Basically, the issuing agency or its issue manager and debt securities holders are the main parties involved in the transaction of the primary market. But the secondary transaction for the bonds and debenture is held more through the over the counter (OTC) or third or fourth market rather than the stock exchanges. In the case of Nepal, the securities exchange center was involved in the transaction of the government bonds and debentures. Later, when it was converted into the stock exchange, the transaction of the government bonds has not been done through the Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). The government recently announced in a budget speech of trading the government securities through stock exchange shows the prospect of growth of bonds market in Nepal.

The transaction of debentures has recently started. The market value of the bond or debenture is determined on the basis of the face value of the bond, coupon rate of the bond and the rate of interest in the market as well as the transaction between the bond buyer and the bond depends upon the market rate of interest if, there exist the regular transaction through the stock exchanges. While talking on the Nepalese market, though the market was re-started from the year 1962 A.D. when the government issued the bonds for the first time. After that period the government issued the debt securities regularly to meet its financial needs. Presently the Public Debt Act 2059 and its rules and by laws are active in regulating the Nepalese debt market. But in case of private sector there is lack of specific act for the debt securities. Yet, the bond indenture act has not been enacted.

Now our concern is mainly about bond market. The term bond market simply refers to the demand and supply pattern as well as trading mechanism of bonds and debentures. Basically the issuing agencies and bonds holders are the main parties involved in this mechanism. Transaction between bondholders and bond buyers determines the market
value of the bond. Actual bond market value depends on the general level of interest rate. The bond market of Nepal can be classified into two parts.

1. Government bonds market
2. Corporate bonds/ debenture market

### 1.2. Classification of Debentures and Bonds

Someone takes debentures and bond with same meaning. However, it is generally classified as follows

## Secured Bond

a. Priority of claims: - The senior mortgage has prior claims on assets and earnings. Senior railroad mortgages, for example, have been called the "mortgages next to rail," implying that they have the first claim on the land and assets of the railroad corporations. A junior mortgage is a subordinate lien, such as a second or third mortgage. It is a lien or claim junior to others.
b. Right to issue additional securities: - Mortgage bonds can be classified with respect to the right to issue additional obligations pledging already encumbered issue property. This can be classified as follows.
i. Closed end mortgage: - In this mortgage, a company cannot sell additional bonds (beyond those already issued) secured by the property specified in the mortgage. If the mortgage is closed-end, no more bonds having first liens on this property can be issued. Thus, a closed -end mortgage provides security to the bonds buyer. The ratio of the amount of the senior bonds to the value of the property is not increased by subsequent issues.
ii. Open-end mortgage: - In this mortgage, a company can sell additional bonds (beyond those already issued) if the indenture provides to sell such additional bonds.
c. Scope of the Lien: - Bonds can also be classified with respect to the scope of their lien. A lien is granted on certain specified property. When a specific lien exists, the
security for a first or second mortgage is a specifically designated property. On the other hand, a blanket mortgage pledges all real property currently owned by the company. Real property includes only land and those things affixed thereto: thus, a blanket mortgage is not a mortgage on cash, account receivables, or inventories, which are items of personal property. A blanket mortgage gives more protection to the bond-holder than does a specific mortgage because it provides a claim on all real property owned by the company.

## Unsecured Bond

a. Debenture is unsecured bond and, as such, provides no lien on specific property as security for the obligation. Debenture holders are general creditors whose claim is protected by property, not otherwise pledged. Industries also issue debentures where it is not practical to provide a lien through a mortgage on fixed assets.
b. Subordinated Debentures: Subordinate means below or inferior. If there are subordinate debentures, these debentures have right to get back their principal only after liquidation of non-subordinate debenture.

The reasons on the use of subordinated debentures stock is that they do not restrict the borrow ability to obtain senior debt.
c. Income Bonds: Income bond provides interest if the earnings of the firm are sufficient to meet the interest obligations. Principal is paid at the time of maturity. So that, interest obligation is not assumed as fixed obligation. Income bonds, historically, have been issued because a firm has in financial difficulties and its history suggests that it may be unable to meet in the future payment of income bond interest obligation is similar with payment of preferred stock dividend which does not well come liquidation due to default in payment of interest. Issuing income bond is beneficial because interest is deducible while computing after tax income. The main advantages of this type of bond is that interest is payable only if the company earns a profit. Some time income bonds are also convertible.
d. Floating Rate Note: The floating rate note has been developed to avoid the risk of interest rate volatility at high levels. The coupon rate values changes according to change in short term and long term Treasury bill.

### 1.3. Importance of Debt Market

Nepal is one of the poor nations in the world. It is popularly known as progressiveeconomy. It is far behind in the economic progress. To progress economically, all the sectors of the economy should contribute significantly to the gross domestic product (GDP). But, the nation falls under non-industrialized nation. Debt market is very much important for the developing economy because, it helps the nation to industrialize easily. It is more useful in collection of fund which otherwise remains idle with public. We can differentiate the equity market and debt market on the basis of their nature.

Usually, debt markets supply the capital for short-term purpose, and prove the liquidity of those debt securities. But, equity markets supply the capital for the lifelong of the corporation, and prove the liquidity of once issued equity shares. In regard, debt seems more appropriate to mobilize the capital which otherwise remains idle with public.

In order to channel the idle funds, a responsible debt active system is necessary. It is the debt market that could work prominently to channel small funds of people, otherwise unproductive, to productive sectors. In other word, fund could be supplied effectively to the productive peoples from the hands of people if the active debt market exists. People save money for better use in future. They are always seeking the best opportunity to put their money so that they could save and could earn more money in future. For this reason they put their money in the form of saving deposits at commercial banks because of the lack of next best alternative opportunity. If the perfect debt market is there, they will be interested to invest their money in debt securities. But due to lack of such an opportunity it is a matter of compulsion for them to put their money as deposits in banks. The debt market would be attractive for them if, it would have been working popularly and it was liquid. People prefer to put their money at commercial banks because it is more liquid. So, it needs an effort to make a strong and prominent as well as liquid debt market. It will provide best returns to the savings of peoples. It is therefore necessary to encourage them to save by reducing their present consumption habits, collect fund that otherwise remains scattered, and mobilize in productive sector. This process will help to industrialize the nation, create more and more employment opportunities for the people, generate more significantly
towards the GDP. If the debt market works properly, the fund seeker (Entrepreneur) could get more easily at lower rate of interest from the market and could utilize it in more productive sector. Debt market is important from the point of view of government and public because, it contributes significantly to the GDP, utilizes the savings of the people, which otherwise remain idle with them, activities the economy, creates the employment opportunities, helps in the industrialization of the nation.

### 1.4. Criteria for Selecting Securities

The applicable criteria for selection among the wide range of securities available includes

Financial Risk: The greater the degree to which the price and returns of a security fluctuate, the greater is the financial risk. Many factors may influence the size and frequency of a security's price changes, but the greater the fluctuation, the greater is the risk that a loss may be incurred. In the extreme, the most serious unfavorable event is that the issuer cannot meet interest payments or principal payments - the risk of default. U.S. government securities do not carry the risk of default and, therefore, are considered "safer" than other securities. Bonds issued securities are considered to be subject to some degree of default risk. Rating agencies such as Moody's investors' service and the Standard \& Poor's Corporation assign quality ratings to securities. Among the factors influencing a security's rating is the degree of likelihood that default may occur. These quality assessments can and do change with time. For many years, the securities of utility companies were regarded as of the highest quality with minimum risk of default. In recent years however, some utilities have been downgraded to lower quality ratings.

Interest Rate Risk: Charges in the general level of interest rates will cause the prices of securities to fluctuate. This is especially true of such securities as motes or bonds, which carry a fixed rate of interest. A partial exemption to this generalization should be noted. For bonds selling at 20 to 30 percentages below maturity value with maturity of less than 30 years, the degree of fluctuation on their prices reaches a maximum around a maturity of about 15 to 18 years and then declines with longer maturity.In general, long - term bonds are riskier than short-term securities for a
firm's marketable securities portfolio. However yields are more frequently available on long -term than on short - term securities.

Given the motives most forms have for holding marketable securities portfolios; it is generally not feasible for them to be exposed to a high degree of risk from interest rate fluctuations. Accordingly, firms usually confirm their portfolios to securities with short maturity. Only if the securities are expected to be held for a long period and not be subject to forced liquidation on short notice will long- term securities be chosen. Additional protection from interest rate fluctuations is provided by the use of the interest rate futures markets.

Purchasing Power Risk: Changes in general price levels will affect the purchasing power of both the principal and income from investments in securities. The total return from a security is measured by the capital gain or loss plus the income yield. Varied relationships have developed for different types of assets during the prolonged inflation since the late 1960s in the United States. Bonds with fixed dollar amounts of income and a fixed dollar amount at maturity have declined in value a inflation caused interest rate levels to rose. But common stocks whose dividends theoretically are not fixed in amount have also declined in value because the underlying earning power of corporations appears to have been impaired during persistent inflation. Commodities such as gold and diamonds have value even though they pay no interest or other forms of income. Real estate is a hybrid case in that rentals have not risen as fast as the general price level, but the values of homes and commercial properties have outpaced the rise in the general price level. The 1980s combines moderate inflation with strong securities markets.

Liquidity or Marketability risk: The potential decline from a security's quoted market price when the security is sold is its liquidity or marketability risk. Liquidity risk is related to the breadth or thinness of the market for a security. U.S. Treasury bonds or AT\&T securities will be more widely held and have greater liquidity than the securities of the Podunk printing Company.

Taxability: The tax position of a firm's marketable securities portfolio is influenced by the overall tax position of a firm. A firm with prior year's losses to carry forward can postpone taxability. The market yields on a security will reflect the total demand
and supply of tax influences. Yet, the position of the individual firm may be different from the overall pattern of the market; it might find that taxability considerations are either favorable or unfavorable. A number of kinds of securities, such as the bonds of state and local governments, have varying degrees of tax exemption. In additional, securities that sell at a discount offer opportunities for taking returns in the form of capital gains rather than ordinary income.

Return on Securities: The higher the risk, the higher is the required return. Thus, in building a marketable securities portfolio, corporate treasurers must evaluate the riskreturn trade-off. Since the motive for holding marketable securities is protection against uncertain and fluctuating inflows and outflows, the dominant policy is to choose relatively less risky alternatives at the sacrifice of some return. Accordingly, corporate treasurers will emphasize relatively short- term, highly liquid assets in constructing the marketable securities portfolio. (Weston \& Copeland, 1992: 779-781)

### 1.5. Statement of the Problem

The efficiency of the Nepalese capital market is questionable. The investors are not aware of the financial indicators of the companies and bonds issued by government. In such situations, therefore, the following issues have been raised to address in this study.

1. What is the investor's attitude about corporate bond and government bonds?
2. What are the prospects of development of bonds market in Nepal?
3. What are the factors that affect the corporations to take decision about issuing securities?
4. Why do the various types of debt instrument are not properly practiced in Nepal?
5. What are the value and duration of Nepalese Corporate Bond?
6. What is the pattern of Investment in Government bond and Corporate Bond?
7. What is the trend of corporate bond issues in Nepal?
8. What is the trend of government bond as well as T-Bills issues in Nepal?

### 1.6. Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this research is to analyze the problems and prospects of the bond market in Nepal. More especially this study concentrates into the present scenario of bond market in Nepal. To achieve the main objectives, the following specific objectives are put forth.

1. To analyze existing bond market in Nepal,
2. To analyze the trend and ownership pattern of government securities,
3. To examine the weight of bonds in investment,
4. To analyze the investor's investors' awareness about bond and debenture and its impact on the development of securities market,
5. To examine the trends of Interest Rate.

### 1.7. Theoretical Framework:

The theoretical framework is the foundation on which the entire thesis is based. It is logically developed, described and elaborated network of associations among variables that have been identified through such processes as interviews, observations and literature survey Sekaran (1992:73). The variable of primary interest in this research is the dependent variable of bond market. The various independent variables that are used to analyze the trend of bond market along with present scenario are: choice of various sector's bonds, importance of bond, choice regarding government bond and corporate bond, legal provisions regarding debt securities market, factors related to the growth of Nepalese bond market, dominant prospect of debenture issued etc.

## Diagrammatic representation

## Independent Variables

- Choice of various sector's bond
- Choice regarding government bond and corporate bond
- Factors related to the growth of Nepalese bond Market
- Legal provisions regarding debt securities market
- Dominant prospect of debenture issued
- Importance of bond.

Figure: Independent variables of Bond Market

### 1.8. Hypotheses Formulation

Based on the theoretical framework proposed in the study, the following hypotheses have been formulated:

1. a) Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding factor dominating the growth of Nepalese Bonds Market
b) Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding factor dominating the growth of Nepalese Bonds Market
2. a) Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of securities by Nepalese investors.
b) Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of securities by Nepalese investor.
3. a) Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of various sector's bond.
b) Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of various sector's bond.
4. a) Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the reasons for influencing the investors to purchase debt securities.
b) Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the reasons for influencing the investors to purchase debt securities.
5. a) Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the importance of bond in investment.
b) Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the importance of bond in investment.
6. a) Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the use of bank loan or issuing debenture.
b) Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the use of bank loan or issuing debenture.
7. a) Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice between government bonds and corporate bonds.
b) Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice between government bonds and corporate bonds.

### 1.9. Significance of the Study

The study is concerned with the prospects of bond market growth in Nepal. This study has attempted to explain theoretical concept about bonds and debentures, its market, prospects of its growth. The output of the study will help to develop Nepalese bonds market. The investors, individuals, issuers and government will be benefited through this study. It is hoped that the study will actually highlight present Nepalese bond market.

### 1.10.Limitations of the Study

This study has following limitations:

1. The data available in published annual reports have been assumed to be correct and true.
2. Inability to collect views from the individuals.
3. The greatest challenge faced was data collection because corporate sectors and NRB was very reluctant to furnish the data regarding bond issues. Hence, Varied and elaborate data was not available regarding all bonds issued in Nepalese bond Market, especially from the corporate sectors.

### 1.11. Organization of the study

## Chapter I: Introduction

This chapter includes the background information of the subject matter of research undertaken to provide a general idea of its history. Likewise it also includes statement of problem, objectives of study, significance of the study, limitation, and theoretical framework, formulation of hypothesis and organization of study.

## Chapter II: Review of Literature

This chapter includes the reviews of relevant previous writing and studies to find the existing gaps. So past studies in the study of bond market was reviewed to examine what new can be contributed. Review of journal, books, thesis and newspaper was also included in this chapter.

## Chapter III: Research Methodology

This chapter includes methodology used in the study. It briefly explains about the research methodology, which has been used to evaluate the trend analysis and importance of bond market in Nepal. It consist research design, population and sample, sources of data, various tools and techniques for analysis, methods of presentation of analysis etc.

## Chapter IV: Data Presentation and Analysis

Chapter four is the main part of this study; it presents the data and information collected from primary as well as secondary sources. First, the data from the secondary sources are analyzed, where in ownership pattern of government bonds and treasury bills are studied, curvilinear model has been applied to find out the trend of the development of bonds in Nepal. Similarly, it includes the analysis of corporate bonds market and trend of interest rate. The next part of the study includes analysis of primary data. In primary data, analysis of questionnaire survey is included.

## Chapter V: Summary, Conclusion and Recommendation

This chapter is for major findings, summary conclusion and recommendation.
Bibliography and appendices are incorporated at the end of the study.

## CHAPTER - II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The study about bond market in Nepal has already streamlined to some extent in the first chapter regarding statement of the problem, objectives and significance of study. Now, in this chapter the main focus is given on the review of literature relevant for the study. Moreover, in order to make this study more comprehensive it is important to go through the relevant literature.

This literature review section consist of two parts, firstly it has reviewed literature for theoretical framework which help to develop concept about what is the bond market along with its mechanism and what theories are developed on it. It also helps the researcher to determine the subject matter of the study. It covers the area of the research work and the theoretical concept, which is important to various studies research works; and reviews of journals, articles about debt market and related terms to it in the Nepalese context as well the international context also.

### 2.1. Conceptual Framework

### 2.1.1. Meaning and Definition of Bond

A bond is a written instrument that acknowledges a debt and contains a contract for the payment of principal sum at a specific time and for the payment of interest at a fixed rate. So, many scholars have explained the core concept of the bond and its mechanism, such as, "A bond is simply a long term promissory note" (Weston \& Copeland, 1992:955).
"A corporate bond is a certificate indicating that a corporation has borrowed a certain amount of money from an institution or an individual and promises to repay it in future under definite terms. Most bonds are issued with maturity of 10 to 30 years and with par or face value of Rs.1000. The coupon interest rate on bond is the percentage of the bond par value that will be paid annually, typically in two equal semi-annual installment"(Gittman, 2000:581-582).
"The holder of a company's long term debt of course, creditors, they cannot exercise control over the company and do not have a voice in management. If the company violates only of the provisions of the debt contract, then these holders may be able to
exert some influence on the direction of the company. Holder of the long-term debt instrument has specific maturity, whereas share of common or preferred stock does not. In liquidation, the claim of debenture holders is before of the debt instrument, however, there may be differences in the priority of claim among the various creditors of a company (Van Horne, 2002:509).

## Debt Securities Market

Debt market may be short-term, intermediate-term and long- term, short-term and intermediate-term financing sources include trade credit, bank loan, finance company loan, commercial paper; inventory financing includes the issuance of mortgages and bonds.

## Capital Market

Capital market refers to the links between lenders and borrowers of fund and arranging a fund transfer process to seek each other benefit. The lenders and borrowers coming together in the capital market play effective financial intermediary role to activate both primary and secondary market through the use of various long term capital instruments like common stock, bonds, preferred stock, convertible issues and many more like that people invest money through primary market and secondary market.
"Capital Market is the market place through with the entrepreneurs collect the longterm capital by mobilizing the individual and institutional savings either directly or indirectly. Besides, the securities once sold through the primary market also traded in the secondary market of the capital market. From this point of view, the market can be classified into primary market and secondary market" (Bhattarai, 2002:3).

### 2.1.2. Primary Market and Secondary Market

### 2.1.2.1. Primary Market

Primary market is new issue market of securities. The primary market deals with those securities, which have been made available to the first time. "Primary Market is the market place where instead of goods and services securities are sold to mobilized the savings for the establishment and operation of the business" (Bhattarai, 2002:3).

Primary market is new issue market of securities. The primary market deals with those securities, which have been made available for the first time. The growth of primary market is encouraging since many public companies including joint venture banks have been successful to tap capital through the flotation of securities to the general people. According to Henderson, There are following important functions of primary market.

Organization<br>Undervaluing<br>Distribution

The new issues in primary market facilitate of raising long-term funds and these can be classified as "initial issues" and further this voice issues offered for the first raise issues, it is called further issues. The interplay of these functions helps to transfer resources from the sources of supply to demand

### 2.1.2.2. Secondary Market

Secondary market is that market value where there is trading of outstanding securities of private business organizations and government. Investors can purchase and sell outstanding securities of companies in secondary market. "Secondary market is the market place where secondhand securities are traded. It means securities once purchased through primary market are traded in secondary market"(Bhattarai, 2002:5).

In growth of primary market, there is also contribution of secondary market. Secondary market accelerates the liquidity of securities. Stock is traded in two different kinds of market: Stock exchange and OTC market. New York exchange (NYSE) and (NEPSE) are examples of organized and secondary market. Securities trading in primary and secondary market can be divided as follows:

## Ordinary Shares

Ordinary share provides possession of company to shareholders. Common shares are mostly risky than both bonds and preference shares. Common shareholders have
attraction in investing due to their voting right, enjoying large amount of dividend, to earn capital profit from stock price raise.

## Preference Shares

Preference shares are those shares, which have fixed dividend and right of acquiring principal before ordinary shares at the time of liquidation. It is hybrid between the bond and common stock because preferred stock has fixed dividend, which similar to the bond and payment of principal after bonds that like ordinary shares.

## Bond

Bonds are debit instruments and issued with coupon rate. Interest is paid at coupon rate semi annually or annually. Bonds are generally issued with some certain maturity period. Principal is returned at maturity period. There is different type of bonds due to variable terms, conditions and features of bond to each other's. Bond may be distinguished according to their repayment provisions, type and security pledged, time of, maturity and technical factor.

### 2.2. Government Bonds Market in Nepal

Government bonds are those securities, which are issued by the government and promise to provide a certain percentage of interest at certain period of time with predetermined maturity period. The government raises a huge amount of fund by issuing such bonds. "Developed debt market helps to increase the tendency of saving and reduce the poverty. Government relies heavily on debt financing. Revenues have seldom covered expenses, and the difference have been financial primarily by issuing debt investments, moreover new debt must be issued in order to get the necessary funds to pay off old debt that comes due" (Sharpe \& Jeffery, 1989:391).

The government has ascertained various plans for development of the country. These plans are of short-term, medium-term and long-term and must be completed at a certain period of time. To complete these plans/projects at the pre-determined period, the government must provide the capital required for these plans. To conduct the programmes determined, the government forecast the estimated expenditure and source of income before the fiscal year by means of budget. If the expenditure regarding a fiscal period and the corresponding income is equal, the income -
expenditure of the government is balanced and need not exist. In the developing countries like Nepal, usually government's expenditure is greater than corresponding income.

To conduct the regular activities continuously, to conduct the development programmes, the government collects funds through various sources. These sources are of internal as well as external also. The external sources are in the form of loan, subsidies and other kind of cooperatives. The funds collected as internal sources are on the form of loan by means of securities. The government issues various kinds of securities to collect funds from internal sources. The government also guarantees these types of securities and the features of every security are also different.

## The main purpose of issuing debt securities by the government is follows:

i. The capital, which is useless and spread to various sector of the country, is utilized in the productive sector by the government.
ii. To collect the funds from the potential savers of the society is possible.
iii. To utilize the unused (i.e. liquid) capital of the organized institutions.
iv. To achieve the goal directed by the monetary policy.
v. To avoid the lack of capital for the developments projects.
vi. To expand the economy activities and productive activities.
vii. To recover the deficit financing.
viii. To absorb the over liquidity of the economic sector.

After the enforcement of Public Debt Act 1960, public debt for first time was issued in Nepal in 1962 through T-Bills amounting Rs. 7 millions. Now a day five various kinds of government securities are in practices. Another instruments of government securities is development bond was first issued in fiscal year 1963/64 amounting Rs. 131.0 millions. National saving certificate is being issued since January 1984, amounted Rs. 250 millions. Others are citizen saving certificate and various special bonds.

The bonds and T- bills are of regular nature. Some of them are issued with a view to depend the money market. Apart from these, different kinds of special bonds have also been issued. Most of the special bond is held by Nepal Rastra Bank.

### 2.2.1. Government Bonds Primary Market in Nepal

The process of selling and purchasing the government securities at first time for the collection of capital is called as first market or primary market transaction. Publishing the notice publicly does such transactions of government securities. For selling/distributing the securities certain institutions are specified. Only amount and time duration to which, specified in the notice are sold. In Nepal usually Government of Nepal is the seller of the government securities in primary market (except the special bond) and the general public, commercial banks, financial institutions and other institutions are the purchaser of the primary issuance are distributed through NRB and other institutions permitted by Nepal Rastra Bank. In this process some issuance deals directly with purchasers in this market. But many rely on investment bankers who serve as purchaser of their securities. Government gives authority to such intermediaries for selling the securities within the certain time and price. In Nepal, all the functions about issuing, Nepal Rastra Bank performs accounting and managing of the government securities. Being the Central Bank of Nepal, Nepal Rastra Bank Act 2058 provides such authority to NRB. Nepal Government proposed the amount of internal debt for every fiscal year in its regular budget. According to that proposed amount securities are issued, as per the terms, conditions and time determined by NRB.

### 2.2.2. Government Bonds Secondary Market in Nepal

The process of selling and purchasing the securities after issuing primary market is called secondary market transaction. Before 1976, the secondary market transaction of the government securities was held by NRB in Nepal. After the establishment of securities exchange center in 1976, the Citizen Investment Fund performs this transaction.

As per the economic liberalization and open market policy adopted by the whole entire world, various economic changes are happened also in Nepal. There are tremendous increases in number of financial institution. At this situation, to make the
market of the government securities easy and beneficial, Nepal Rastra Bank has provided permission paper as market maker to different Banks and financial companies for the secondary market transactions of National Saving Certificate, Development Bonds and Citizen Saving Certificate. These permitted market makers sales the government securities at primary issue and selling and purchasing at other times. Such market makers are located at various parts of the country.

Now, Treasury bill is only the short-term government securities, which are sold on the market. It is on discounted basis according to auction sales. After the maturity period, par value is paid to the T-bills holders. This instrument is popular among commercial banks for managing their liquidity position.

### 2.3. Corporate Bonds Market in Nepal

The history of corporate debt securities market in Nepal is very short. Only few corporations have issued debenture prior or after the enactment of Securities Exchange Act 1983. Firstly Bottlers Nepal issued debenture of 5 million in fiscal year 1986/87 and was redeemed at maturity. Similarly, Shree Ram Sugar mills Ltd. had issued debenture worth Rs 93 million in the fiscal year 1997/98. It was convertible in mature and having $14 \%$ coupon rate and it was also redeemed at the maturity. It had provided two options to its debenture holders that they can convert their debenture into equity share or can get principle at maturity. As per these options, it had kept the provision that each debenture of par value Rs. 1,000 will be converted into 10 shares of Rs 100 par value each. Himalayan Bank Limited has issued debenture worth Rs 260 million, which is launched by the name Himalayan Bond 2066. It has coupon rate $8.5 \%$ and it was listed on security board of Nepal recently. Recently Nepal Investment Bank Limited has issued bonds in the name of "Investment bonds 2060" which worth Rs. 300 million. It has $7.5 \%$ coupon rate and it has maturity period of 7 years, which mature after 7 years in 2067.

Nearly one and a half year after HBL bond, another big Nepali bank, Nepal Investment Bank Ltd, has issued Rs. 300 million "Nepal Investment Bank Bond2010" with $7.5 \%$ coupon interest paid semi-annually in the FY 2003/04. Out of 300 thousand units of issue with par value Rs. 1,000, 100 thousand were issued to the general public and 200 thousand units were privately placed. Though the interest rate
offered by NIBL was one percent lower than that of HBL's bond (where it was $8.5 \%$ with semi-annual payment arrangement), it had good chances of being oversubscribed. Its issue manager was AFCL (Nepal Investment Bank Ltd., Debenture Prospectus, 2005). Again Nepal Investment Bank Ltd. has issued "Nepal Investment Bank Bond-2070" with $6 \%$ coupon interest rate paid semi-annually in the FY 2005/06. Out of 250 thousand units of issue, 50 thousand units were issued to the general public and 200 thousand units were privately placed. The par value of debenture is Rs. 1,000, with maturity period of 7 years. Its issue manager is AFCL (Nepal Investment Bank Ltd., Debenture Prospectus, 2006).

Everest Bank Ltd. has issued debenture of Rs. 300 million with $6 \%$ coupon interest paid semi-annually in the FY 2004/05. The par value of debenture was Rs. 1,000 with maturity period of 7 years (i.e. redeemable after 7 years). Out of 300 thousand units of issue 50 thousand units were issued to the general public and 250 thousand units were privately placed. EBL bond issue date was 2062/01/07. Its issue manager was CIT (Everest Bank Ltd., Debenture Prospectus 2005)

Bank of Kathmandu Ltd has issued Rs. 200 million "Bank of Kathmandu bond, 2069" with $6 \%$ interest paid semi-annually in the FY 2004/05. Out of 200 thousand units of issue, 50 thousand units were issued to the general public and 150 thousand units were privately placed. The par value of debenture was Rs. 1,000, with maturity period of 7 years. Its issue manager was NMB (Bank of Kathmandu Ltd., Debenture Prospectus, 2005).

Nepal Industrial \& Commercial Bank Ltd. has issued Rs. 200 million "NIC Bond2070" with $6 \%$ coupon interest paid semi-annually in the FY 2005/06. Out of 200 thousand units of issue (with par value Rs. 1,000), 50 thousand units are issued to the general public and 150 thousand units are privately placed. Its issue manager is AFCL (Nepal Industrial and Commercial Bank Ltd., Debenture Prospectus, 2006).

Nepal SBI Bank Ltd. has issued Rs. 200 million " $6 \%$ Nepal SBI Bank Debenture2070" (with maturity period of 7 years and semi-annual coupon payment) in the FY 2005/06. Out of 200 thousand units of issue, 50 thousand units are issued to the general public and 150 thousand units are privately placed. Its issue manager is CIT (Nepal SBI Bank Ltd., Debenture Prospectus, 2006).

Nepal Investment Bank Ltd. has issued Rs. 250 million "6.25\% NIB Bond 2070" (with maturity period of 7 years and semi-annual coupon payment) in the FY 2063/64. Out of 250 thousand units of issue, 50 thousand units are issued to the general public and 200 thousand units are privately placed. Its issue manager is AFC (Nepal Investment Bank Ltd. Debenture Prospectus, 2006/07).

Nepal Electricity Authority has issued Rs. 1500 million "7.75\% NEA Bond 2069" (with maturity period of 5 years and semi-annual coupon payment) in the FY 2064/65. Out of 1500 thousand units of issue, 150 thousand units are issued to the general public and 1350 thousand units are privately placed. Its issue manager is NMB (Nepal Electricity Authority, Debenture Prospectus, 2007/08).

Kumari Bank Limited has issued Rs. 400 million " $8 \%$ KBL Bond 2070" (with maturity period of 5 years and semi-annual coupon payment) in the FY 2064/65. Out of 400 thousand units of issue, 80 thousand units are issued to the general public and 320 thousand units are privately placed. Its issue manager is ACE (Kumari Bank Limited, Debenture Prospectus, 2007/08).

Himalayan Bank Limited has issued Rs. 500 million "8\% HBL Bond 2072" (with maturity period of 7 years and semi-annual coupon payment) in the FY 2064/65. Out of 500 thousand units of issue, 100 thousand units are issued to the general public and 400 thousand units are privately placed. Its issue manager is ACE (Himalayan Bank Limited, Debenture Prospectus, 2007/08).

Nepal Investment Bank Ltd. has issued Rs. 250 million " $8 \%$ HBL Bond 2072" (with maturity period of 7 years and semi-annual coupon payment) in the FY 2064/65. Out of 250 thousand units of issue, 50 thousand units are issued to the general public and 250 thousand units are privately placed. Its issue manager is ACE (Nepal Investment Bank Ltd, Debenture Prospectus, 2007/08).

Nabil Bank Ltd. has issued Rs. 300 million "8.5\% HBL Bond 2072" (with maturity period of 10 years and semi-annual coupon payment) in the FY 2064/65. Out of 300 thousand units of issue, 60 thousand units are issued to the general public and 240 thousand units are privately placed. Its issue manager is ACE (Nabil Bank Ltd, Debenture Prospectus, 2007/08).

### 2.4. Types of Government Securities

### 2.4.1. Treasure Bill

Treasury bills are issued to meet short-term financial requirement of the government. It is issued on discount basis. The government has been collecting huge amount of fund through sales of T- Bill every years. The discount rate of treasury bills percentage can be calculated as:

Discount rate in percentage $=(100-$ BP $) \times 365 \times 100$
BP x T
Where, BP = Bill Price or purchase price of T-Bills
$\mathrm{T}=$ Maturity period of treasury Bills

The issuance of short- term government securities has following reasons:
> To fulfill deficit budgetary system in Nepal
> To collect scattered funds and to mobilize it in productive sector.
> To conduct fiscal and monetary policies.

### 2.4.2. Development Bond

It is a kind of long-term government bond. It has normally had five years maturity periods. Individuals and institutions purchase it. It can be used as collateral when taking loans. The holders normally obtain $90 \%$ amount of total value if he keeps them on collateral. It has also fixed and minimum interest rate. The interest amount will be paid on semi- annual basis. The income from these bonds is taxable.

## Characteristics of development bond

1. It is a long -term government bond.
2. The holders get interest in semi annual basis.
3. The holders can use it as collateral if he needs money immediately.
4. Institutional and individual buyers can purchase it.

### 2.4.3. National Saving Bond

The issuance of National Saving Certificate was initiated in order to mobilize savings from the non-banking sectors. It is also a long-term government bond normally issued for five years maturity periods. Except commercial banks, other parties like individuals, financial institutions and others organizations etc are the holders of this bond. It has fixed interest rate payable semi annually. It can be purchased as promissory note. The holders get principal after a certain maturity period. The National Saving Bonds have large trading volume in market.

### 2.4.4. Special Bond

It is issued on special occasions when government fall sort of funds for any special project or programs. The government issues special bonds to make payment, instead of paying cash; the government issues special bonds as a substitute of cash repayment. The holder of this bond can also use it as collateral.

### 2.4.5. Citizen Saving Bond

It is also a long-term government bond, which normally matures in five years. The characteristic of the citizen saving certificate is same as the long-term bonds. The only difference is that it cannot be used as collateral. It has also a fixed interest rate. The interest amount is paid on semiannual basis. Individual as well as institutional buyers can purchase it. It is also a taxable government bond.

### 2.5. Securities which are in practice in U.S.A

## a. US Treasury Bills

Treasury bills are issued on a discount basis, their earning is the difference between the purchase price and the face value if the bill is held to maturity and the earning is treated as interest income for tax purpose.

## b. US Treasury Notes

Treasury notes are issued with maturity from one to ten years and generally make coupon payments semi annually.

## c. US Treasury Bonds

Treasury bonds have maturity greater than ten years at the time of issuance. Treasury bonds have call provision. Its issued value is more than Rs. 1000.

## d. US Savings Bonds

These bonds are offered only to individuals and selected organizations. The purchaser can purchase a specified amount of bonds in a single year.

## e. Zero coupon Treasury security receipts

A non-callable Treasury note or bond is, in effect, a portfolio of pure discount bonds (or, equivalently, a portfolio of zero coupon bonds). That is, each coupon payment, as well as the principal, can be viewed as bonds unto itself; the individual who owns the bond can therefore be viewed as holding a number of individual pure- discount bonds (Francis, 2000:393).

### 2.6. Bond Price Theorems

Theorem 1: Bond's price move inversely to bond's YTM.

Theorem 2: If all other factors are held constant, a bond's interest rate risk increases with the length of time remaining until it matures.

Theorem 3: A bond's interest rate risk increases at a diminishing rate as the time remaining until its maturity increases.

Theorem 4: The price changes that results from an equal-sized increase or decrease in a bond, YTM is asymmetrical. More specifically, for any given maturity, a decrease in yields causes a price rise that is larger than the price loss that results from an equal increase in yields.

Theorem 5: A bond's interest rate risk varies inversely with its coupon rate. (Francis, 2000:383-385)

### 2.7. Bond Market Terminology

The following review of terminology is from the point of view of a bond trader.

1. Coupon: The percentage interest to be paid on a bond in the course of a year. The interest is usually payable semi-annually, although it can also be payable monthly, quarterly, and annually. If a bond worth Rs 100,000 at maturity has a $6 \%$ coupon, this means Rs6000 in interest is payable over a year's time.
2. Maturity: The date the bond will be redeemed or paid off. If the same Rs100, 000 bond has a maturity date of June 1, 2008, then the investor is due to be paid off in full at that date.
3. Price: The quoted price is usually based on the bond maturity at a price of par, or Rs 100.00. In the case of the above-mentioned bond $6 \%$ of June 1, 2008, if the price is Rs105.13, this means the bond is at a $5.13 \%$ premium to its maturity price (par or 100.00). An investor who pays Rs 105.13 for the bond will receive only Rs 100.00 back on maturity.
4. Yield: The term "yield" usually means, "yield to maturity." At a price of Rs 105.13 for the $6 \%$ of June 1, 2008, the yield to maturity is $5.31 \%$. The yield to maturity takes into account the fact that the coupon payment is $6 \%$ per year, but that the bond is maturing at a different price than its current price. The calculation also assumes that the coupon payments each year is re-invested at the yield to maturity $(5.31 \%$ in this case).
5. Bid: The Bid price is the selling price for investor.
6. Offer (Ask): The Ask price is the purchase price for investor
7. Bid-asked spread: The price difference between what the traders will buy a bond at, and the price at which the trader will sell a bond. The difference on highly liquid and tradable government bonds is usually only a few cents. But it can be as much as Rs. 1 or more on illiquid bonds, such as some corporate bonds, which are not easily traded.
8. Basis points: A basis point is a hundredth of a percentage point. For instance, if a yield moves from $4.5 \%$ to $5 \%$, it has moved 50 basis points.
9. Bond auctions: Bonds are auctions on the bases of ask price and bid price. Government has given full authority to trade government bond to NRB. NRB issue
the new bonds in discount bases. The "Public Debt Act, 2002" has delegated authority to the NRB to arrange primary and secondary transactions of government securities. To comply with these commitments, NRB has recently decided to make auction of government securities in secondary market. Where the market price of the government is determined by the market mechanism i.e. the new provision has given to has move to a system of auctions to sell their bonds to the investors
10. New issues: Most other governments and corporations use a different system of distributing new issues, namely offering them to investors through bond dealers. The bond dealers earn a commission for distributing the bonds to investors. The offering can be on a fixed price basis, or on the basis of a fixed yield spread to comparable federal government bonds. There are variations in approach. Sometimes the bond dealers act merely as agents, on a best effort basis. But in recent years, the most common approach is for the issuer to sell the bonds (still with commission attached) to the bond dealers, which then re-sell to investors. In this latter case, the bond dealers are taking a risk that they can actually re-sell the bonds, and that they can re-sell them at the specified price. In a fast-moving bond market, where prices are changing by the second, this can be a risky approach for the bond dealers.
11. Book-based bonds: In the note to distant past, when bonds were bought and sold, they physically had to be moved from one institution or dealer to another. In financial centers, this involved dozens of messengers walking from building to building with large amounts of bonds in their briefcases. In recent years, however, bonds have gone "book-based". What that means is that the bonds are lodged with a central trustee and do not physically move from there. Instead, the dealers and institutions have accounts set up with the trustee, and when a bond trade takes place, the buyer's account is credited with the bonds, while the seller's account is debited. This all happens electronically and quickly, without the risk of the bonds physically going missing. (www.bondmarket.com)

### 2.8. Buying and Selling Rules of Security

1. Investors typically buy or sell securities through brokers who are compensated for their services with commissions.
2. When transacting in a security, investors must specify the following: the security's name, buy or sell, order size, time limit, and type of order.
3. The four standard types of orders are market, limit, stop, and stop limit. Market orders, followed by limit orders, are the most common types of orders.
4. Investors may purchase securities with cash or may borrow from brokerage firms to buy securities on margin.
5. Investors must make down payments on their purchases, maintain minimum levels of collateral in their margin accounts, and pay interest on margin loans.
6. If an investor's actual margin falls below the maintenance margin requirement, the investor's account in under margined. The investor will receive a margin call and must increase the actual margin level in the account.
7. Buying on margin results in financial leverage, thereby magnifying (positively or negatively) the impact of a security's return on the investor's wealth.
8. Short sales involve the sale of securities that are not owned, but rather are borrowed by the sellers. The borrowed securities must ultimately be purchased in the market and returned to the lenders.
9. A short seller must deposit the proceeds of the short sale and initial margin with his or her broker. The short seller must also maintain a minimum actual margin level in his or her margin account or face a margin call.
10. For investors who purchase on margin or short sell several securities or do both, the determination of whether an account is under margined, restricted, or over margined depends on the aggregated activity in their accounts (Sharp,2003:40-41).

### 2.9. Bond Valuation Model

Value of bond is a present value of all interest receipts and principal pay back after its maturity.

## A. Basic Bond Valuation Model

Weston, Brigham and Ehrhardt illustration's value of bond as

Figure 1.1
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Where,
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ Appropriate interest rate on bond
$\mathrm{N}=$ No of years after bond is matured
INT = Dollars of interest each year
$M=$ Par value or face value of the bond. $M$ refers the amount must be paid in maturity.
Valuation of bond can systematically present in equation form:
Value of Bond $(\mathrm{Vd})=\frac{\mathrm{INT}}{(1+\mathrm{kd} \%)^{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{INT}}{(1+\mathrm{kd} \%)^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{INT}}{(1+\mathrm{kd} \%)^{2}}$
Vd $=\quad$ INT (PVIFA@kd\%,N)+M (PVIF@kd\%,N)
There are following important features of bond model:
$>$ When going rate of interest, $\mathbf{k d}$ is equal to the coupon interest rate, a bond will sell at its par value
$>$ When coupon interest rate is fixed, going rate of interest rise up, bond is valued below its par value. Such bond is sold at discount. So it is called discounted bond.
$>$ When coupon interest rate is fixed, going rate of interest falls below and bond is valued above their par value. These bonds are sold at premium, which is called bond.
$>$ The market value of bond always will approach its par value as its maturity date approaches, provided the firms do not go bankrupt.

## B. Finding Bond Yield to Maturity

The rate of return, which is used in discounting future cash flows, is called yield to maturity. It can calculate by using bond valuation model:

Vd= INT (PVIFA@kd\%,N)+M(PVIF@kd\%,N)
Putting all available values to maturity of bond (kd)
Approximately yield to Maturity:
$\mathrm{INT}+\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Vd}$
$\qquad$
$2 \mathrm{Vd}+\mathrm{M}$
3
Where, INT=Par value/ face value/ Maturity value.
M=Annual amount of coupon interest
$\mathrm{Vd}=$ Market value of bond
$\mathrm{N}=$ Maturity period

## C. Bond valuation with semi-annual compounding

If bonds pays interest semi annually, it requires modifying bond valuation model. We can calculate value of bond using following modified formula:

$$
=\frac{\mathrm{INT}}{2} \quad \text { PVIFA } @ \frac{\mathrm{kd}}{2} \%, \quad+\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{PVIF} @ \underset{2}{\mathrm{kd} \%, 2 \mathrm{~N}}
$$

## D. Interest Rate Risk on bond

Interest rate risk refers variability on price of bond as result of fluctuation in market interest rate. Price risk of bond tends to appear following two ways:

When interest rate increases, price of bond decreases. Price of bond declining arise losses in the value of bond and such price loss risk is interest rate price risk. However reinvestment rate of interest cash flow will increase. Like this way, if interest rate falls below, value of bond will increase.

Hence, "for bonds with similar coupon, this differential sensitivity to changes in interest rate always holds true, the longer the maturity of the bond, there is greater its price change $s$ in response to a given change in interest rate. Thus, even if the risk of default on two bonds is exactly the same the one with the longer maturity typically is exposed to more price risk from a change in interest rates" (Brigham, Gaspenski, Ehrhardt, 1985:291)

### 2.10. Financial Structure and Capital Structure

Financial structure refers to the composition of sources and amount of funds collected to use or invests in business. In other words, financial structure refers to 'capital and liabilities' side of balance sheet. So it includes shareholder's funds, Long-term loans as well as short-term loans. It is different from capital structure as capital structure includes only the long-term sources of financing while financial structure includes both long-term and short-term sources of financing.

Financial structure can mainly be sub divided into ownership financing and borrowed financing. Ownership financing includes equity share capital and reserve and surplus. Joint Stock Company cannot be established without equity financing. In Nepal the promoters must hold at least one share for the incorporation of joint stock Company in accordance with company act 2063. Borrowed financing includes short-term debt and term loans as well as the varieties of bond and debentures. Preferred stock is neither purely a debt nor equity. Since it contains the characteristics of both debt and equity, it is called a hybrid security. So there is no unanimous practice about the treatment of preferred stock. However it is said to be equity from legal point of view since the company is not obliged to pay dividends on preference shares.

Capital Structure refers to the combination of long term sources of funds, such as debentures, long term debt, preference share, and capital and equity capital including reserves and surpluses. Normally, a firm raises long-term capital through the issue of shares, sometimes accompanied by preference shares. The share capital is often supplemented by debenture capital and other long term borrowed capital. In the some cases, the firm accepts deposits. In a going concern, retained earnings or surpluses are also used in capital structure. Capital structure decision is one of the most important decisions that are taken by financial manager. It is because optimal capital structure
maximizes shareholder's wealth and minimizes overall cost of capital. However, capital structure is taken as irrelevant factor for valuation of the firm by some theories.

### 2.11. Cost of Capital

Cost of Capital is premium payable for the use of capital in business organization. Cost of Capital is the alrate that must be earned on the company's investment in order to satisfy all the investors' required rate of return. It is the minimum required rate of return from an investment at which price of firm's common stock remains unchanged. It is liability of users against suppliers of capital. Cost of capital is standard of measuring investment project profitability. Hence, project appraisal requires cost of capital.

Cost of capital is recognized rate of different names such as required rate of return, flat rate of, hurdle rate, average cost of fund etc. The average return required by the firm's investors determines how much must be paid to attract funds. It is the firms average cost of funds, which more commonly is termed the cost of capital.

There are different sources of capital such as:

## 1. Debt Capital

Interest payable on debt capital is of cost of debt. Debentures or bonds may be issued:

- At par: Bond is selling at Rs. 1000 .
- At discount: Bond is selling at less than Rs. 1000 .
- At premium: Bond is selling at above Rs. 1000 .

Company should incur some expenditure for issuing such as preparation prospectus, advertising, brokerage, cost etc. Cost of debt increase due to floatation cost

Cost of debt is called applying formula:

$$
\text { Cost of debt }\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{I} \\
\mathbf{N P}
\end{array}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ Cost of debt before tax

I = Interest
$\mathrm{NP}=$ net processed amount actually available
Tax saves interest expenditure of issuer. So that cost of debt after tax may be:
Cost of debt after tax $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{dt}}\right)=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}(1-\mathrm{t})$
Where,
t = tax rate

### 2.12. Review of Earlier Studies

Having reviewed the research report, most of the research studies are related with public debt and very few studies are found related with overall debt securities market.

Joshi (1982) investigated on "Structure of Public Debt in Nepal" with the objective of finding out the role of Public debt in the Nepalese fiscal system, and under plans. He pictured the poor economic performance of the nation, which is due to nation's national topography and human behavioral limitation. He conclude the internal borrowing is most essential to develop the money and capital market in the nations and describes the external debt as supplementary tools for the resources gap in the country's budgetary expenditures. Mr. Joshi has recommended floating or introducing the different public borrowing scheme, which may suit the pocket of the rich as well as poor people. Finally we all are advised the public debt rose by the nation through the techniques of effective debt management system. He concluded, "A Public Debt is one of the best ways of financing development expenditure of the government which helps to control inflation in the country."

Chhetri (1984) conducted a study on "Internal Public Debt in Nepal", with the objective of analyzing the contribution of internal borrowing to the financing of development plans and concluded the system of system of internal debt has helps to mobilize the internal financial resources in the productive sector of the country is economy.

Baral (1999) studied all types of securities -corporate or government, debt or owner types of securities. His study was based on the pure secondary data. He came to know that till 1976, companies willing to issue securities had to manage their issues
themselves. NIDC and RBS had made legal mandate to manage issues, but they never performed these roles to that date. Furthermore he added that the bond market is least developed market in Nepal. Only one i.e. Shree Ram Sugar Mill listed organization that issues the debt securities in Nepalese securities Market.

Sharma (2001) inquired into on "Public Debt System and practice in Nepal" with the objective to overview the system and practice of public debt in Nepal; to understand the attitude of the investors towards the government securities and concluded that the interest of investors on government securities and their educational back-ground is completely independent each other. Both educated and uneducated people are equally interested on government securities. The study also concluded that both poor and rich people are interested to government securities. These mean that government is efficacious to draw the attention of rich and poor, educated and uneducated people whom the government sells its securities which are the means of borrowing the loan internally. The study verifies the general statement that the people in urban area are more aware to the government securities. The study also draw the conclusions that the people who have not sufficient time to run the private enterprise and who are not dexterous grab the opportunity in the market are more interested to the government securities. He also concluded that the persons with the academic background of economics, finance and management are more aware to the government securities.

Bhattarai (2002) studied on "Problem and prospects of debt security market in Nepal" by using both primary and secondary data. He found that investor had always first choice to invest in common stock and then in bonds which means investors are attracted towards common stock. He also found that the existing rules and regulations for the growth ness of Nepalese debt market are insufficient and trend of interest rate of deposit on commercial bank is decreased every year, so he has suggested to the depositors to invest in debt so that they earned more than that of from the deposit.

Mainali (2003) conducted a study on "Problems and prospects on Debenture market growth in Nepal" was conducted with the objective of existing debentures market, potentiality in growth of debenture market, existing problems of debenture market. A study concludes that Nepalese debentures market is still in initial stage and growth direction. The researcher has pointed out many problems, such as insufficient legislative provisions regarding Nepalese debentures market, political instability, poor
price sensitive information disclosure, investors more preference on ordinary shares, lack of listing of debentures, People are not interested on investing in debenture. He also concluded that Nepalese debentures market is in better position than preferred stock market. If problems are cure in time, its growth prospect is widely felt by the researcher. Nepalese public debentures market is comparatively better than private sector debentures. So that, emphasis should be given in the development of private sector debentures market for the growth of overall debentures market of Nepal.

Kafle (2005) studied on "Problems and prospects on Debt market growth in Nepal". He summarized that, capital market of Nepal is in the infant stage and debt securities market is limited in exercise. The growth debt securities market is growing but not as expected. The heavy reliance of government in foreign debt has created huge problem in the growth of Nepalese debt securities market. He added that, investment made on impulse rather than through market study or credit ratings in Nepalese capital Markey. He pointed that Nepalese investors preferred national saving bond and development bond rather than other government bonds. He concluded that due to oversupply of deposits by customers; commercial banks do not issue debt securities. On the other hand, big corporate bodies could get loan easily from banks at lower cost so they didn't need to issue debt securities, but on the other side small corporate firms have been facing the problem of raising the fund by issuing debt securities as well as from banks. Tedious and lengthy process of issuing debt securities is another problem that hinders the growth of debt securities market.

Subedi (2006) studied on "Problems and prospects on Bond Debt market growth in Nepal" . This study is mainly concerned with the bond's investment in total securities market along with the trend analysis of government bonds. He found that Nepalese investors are keen to invest in common stock rather than debentures. Tedious and lengthy process of issuing debt securities is another problem that hinders the growth of debt securities market. He also found that interest on deposit of commercial bank is lower than the coupon rate of debt securities. Therefore he suggest all the investors instead of depositing their saving in commercial bank they should invest in debt securities of Nepalese securities market so that they may earn much more than that. He concluded that government securities market is slightly at the maturity stage as
compared to corporate debt securities. He recommended to the government to bring new rules and regulations regarding debt securities.

### 2.13. Review from Articles and Journals

Some of the Journals, written by different authors, published from abroad are studied and reviewed to understand present debt market of Nepal as there is not sufficient publication regarding corporate bond/debenture market in Nepal. Review of different approach that can be applied in the context of Nepalese debt securities market. Comparing Nepalese corporate debenture market with respect to international debt market helps to identify issues and prospects on the one hand, and helps in recommending appropriate measures to overcome present problems on the other.

Some of the relevant articles and journals found to be important and are reviewed.

Mikal Kviback (2005) presents an article on "Issues in Local Bond Market Development (i.e. Nepal Survey)" and concluded that there is still no position to be satisfied or pleased due to development of Nepalese financial market. Very few debenture or bond markets are in operation as well as very few corporate bonds are issued by corporation till now. Government market is more developed than corporate market but prices are not market oriented. Furthermore, he mentioned that the capacity to develop the local corporate bond or debenture, market is sincerely constrained by a weak supply and demand for the product. The number of potential blue chip issues and size of the collective investors' base are not enough to create an institutionalized market and very few financial alternative instruments are available in the market for the investors to invest.

YanAlice Xie, Sheen Liu and Chunchi Wu (2005) have studied on "Duration, D efault Risk and the Term Structure of Interest Rates." They examined the interactive effect of default and interest rate risk on duration of default able bonds. Their results suggest that the duration measures must be adjusted for the effects of default risk and stochastic interest rates to achieve an effective bond portfolio immunization. They have examined the duration of default ale bond by talking into account the interactive effects of default intensity and interest rates. Their study differed from that of previous studies in several aspects. First, instead of assuming flat yield curve, their model incorporates the effect of term structure of interest rates on duration by
adopting a stochastic mean-reverting interest rates process. Second, they have employed a reduced form approach to derive a closed-form duration model for default able bonds by allowing for the effect of stochastic interest rate.

Elton, Grover, Agrawal and Mann (2001), in their Article "Explaining the rate spread between rates on corporate bonds", explain the spread between rates on corporate and government bonds. The purpose of this article is to examine and explain the differences in the rates offered on the corporate bonds and those offered on government bonds (spreads) and in particular to examine whether there is a risk premium in particular to examine whether is a risk premium in corporate bond spreads and if so why it exist.

They have shown that the spread can almost entirely be explained by three influences; the loss from expected defaults state and local taxes which must be paid on corporate bonds but not on government bonds and a premium required for bearing systematic risk.

Even they account for the impact of default and taxes, there still remains a large part of the differential between corporate and treasuries. Making use of the Fama - French factors, they show that as much as 85 percent of that part of the spread that is not accounted for by taxes and expected default can be explained as a reward for bearing systematic risk. They had been able to account for almost all of differences between corporate rates and government rates. They had provided explicit estimates of the size of these influences and had shown that both state taxes and risk premiums are more important than the financial economics has suggested.

Campbell, Lusis and Viceira (2001), studied about "Why should Buy long term bonds?" According to conventional wisdom, long - term bonds are appropriate for conservation long - term investors. This paper develops a model of optimal consumption and portfolio choice for infinite - lived investors with recursive utility that faces stochastic interest rates, solves the model using an approximate analytical method and evaluation conventional wisdom. In this paper they studied inter temporal portfolio choice in an environment with random real interest rates. For simplicity they assume that investor have only financial wealth and no labor income. They use an approximate problem that can be solved using the method of undermined coefficients.

They use approximate solution to understand the demand for long-term bond. They had considered infinite lived investor who uses their wealth to finance stream of consumption. They had shown that such investors might hold long bonds for two reasons. First if long - term bonds offer a term premium then investor may hold them for speculative purpose to increase their expected portfolio return even at the cost of some extra short term risk. This "Myopic demand" for long term bonds cal be large when risk aversion is small because long- term investors may hold long - term bonds for hedging purpose. Long terms bonds can finance a stable long run consumption stream even in the face of time varying short - term interest rates and this is no attractive to risk - averse long - term investors. In the extreme case when there is no term premium or whether investors are infinitely risk averse, the myopic demand for long-term bonds is zero and bond demand is accounted for by the hedging demand.

Elton (2001) presents an article on "Explaining the rate spread on corporate Bond" explaining the spread between rates on corporate and government bonds. The purpose of this article is to examine and explain the differences in the rates offered on the corporate bonds and those offered government bonds (spreads) and in particular to examine whether there is a risk premium in corporate bond spreads and if so, why it exist. They have shown that the spread can almost entirely be explained by three influences: the loss from expected defaults. State and local taxes, which must be paid on corporate bonds but not on government bonds and premium, required for bearing systematic risk.

Even they account for the impact of default and taxes, there still remains a large part of the differential between corporate and treasuries. Making use of the Fama-French factors they show that as much as 85 percent of that part of the spread that is not accounted for by taxes and expected default can be explained as a reward for bearing explained risk. They had been able to account for almost all of differences between corporate rates and government rates. They had provided explicit estimates of the size of these influences and had shown that both influences had shown that both state taxes and risk p0remiums are more important than the literature of financial economics has suggested.

Khatiwada (1998) presents an article on "Debt trap and its management in Nepal" explain that when government resorts to heavy borrowing from the market to finance
the budget deficit the interest rate on government securities is high, long term interest rates are also higher. This is how excessive public borrowing results in a higher rate of interest in the money and capital markets. Empirical evidence of such a relationship is derived from the following equation

```
    CLR \(=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{~TB}\) rate +U
Where, CLR \(=\) Commercial lending rate of Commercial Banks.
    TB rate \(=\) Treasury bill interest rate
    \(\mathrm{U}=\) error term
```

The expected sign of the coefficient $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ is positive
William F. Maxwell (1998) presents a paper "The J anuary Effect in the Corporate Bond Market". The purpose of this study was to examine the January effect in corporate bond markets, systematically examining first its strength and its possible causes, empirically examine the relation between the small firm - firm effect and the January anomaly in the corporate bond market. He use computed data to compare firm market value to minor rating decreases there is a monotonic decreasing firm value except for the change between the AA+ and AA- categories and between AAand $\mathrm{A}+$ categories.

Brad M. Barber (1999) studied on "Exchangeable Debt" . This study analyze the valuation effects of and motivation for the issuing exchangeable debt a hybrid from of convertible debt, This research is motivated by what Miller describes as a " revolution" in financial innovation that has occurred over the last 20 years. The question addressed in this paper is whether these tax considerations are potential sources of value for issuing firms, why exchangeable debt is chosen over alternative divestment strategy. In this paper he analyzes two tax benefits posed by Jones and Mason and frequently cited in the financial press as motivating exchangeable issue.

He concluded that the price response of the convert firm is less pronounced than negative price response associated with secondary distribution.

He argue this a result of the repurchase guarantee implicit in the exchangeable debt offering the issuing firm it will keep the convert firm's stock should its value fall below the value of the straight bond component of the exchangeable debt offering. Exchangeable debt was probably originally conceived to capitalize on specific
features of the tax code. However these tax motivations don't appear to be potential sources of value for firms issuing exchangeable debt.

Rameshwori Pant (1997) in her article, "Management of Internal Debt and Economic Stability" concluded that private industrialists and traders would be hesitant and discouraged if the state is also to conduct simultaneously the business and industries. This may create the unhealthy competition between the government and private imitative, government should not interfere the liquidity position exist the market. She recommends the government not to borrow the capital from the public so that private investors will not lack the capital.

Joseph P. Ogden (1987) presented a paper on " D eterminants of the Relative Interest Rate Sensitivities of Corporate Bonds". This paper examines the extent to which the interest rate sensitivity of corporate bond prices is affected by various characteristics. The characteristics examined include default risk, the call option and the sinking fund.

The results of this study indicate that all three characteristics have strong negative interest rate sensitivity. This finding for the sinking fund is consistent with both the call option aspect of the sinking fund and the presence of accumulators. The result indicate that the month end corporate bond price quotations from a standard sources, Standard and Poor's bond guide, may be non synchronous with respect to true month end quotations. This highlights that importance of using the consistent estimation that is available for some such situations. The market of sinking fund bonds and perhaps by extension the entire corporate bond market is only quasi- competitive. Whether this is true and if so what impact this would have no theories to resolve. Another implication of this that the negative relationship between quality rating and relative interest rate sensitivity may resolve of long - standing empirical anomaly: the lack of a strong relationship between a bonds quality rating and its systematic ( $\beta$ )

Paul Marsh (1982) studied on "The Choice between Equity and Debt: An Empirical study" focusing on how companies actually select between financing instrument at a given point in time. HE developed a descriptive model of the choice between equity and long-term debt. The co- efficient of the model is estimated using logic analysis applied to a sample of 748 issues of the equity and debt made by U.K. Companies
over the period 1969-70. The predictive ability of the model is tested on a hold out sample of 110 equity and debt issues made between 1971 and 1974.

This study throws some light on a number of interesting questions such as whether companies behave as though they target debt ratio; whether they have similar targets for the composition of their debt; whether market condition or company's historical share price performance affects their choice of instrument are influenced by other factors such as operating risk, company size, the composition of company's assets and the rate at which retention are generated. The study assumes that a company's choice of financing instrument is a function of the difference between its current and a target debt ratio is the following way.

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{jt}}=1\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{Djt}-\mathrm{Djt}<0)
$$

Whether, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{jt}}=1\right)$ is the probability that will issue equity at time t ,
Given that it will make an issue of either equity or debt.
Djt is unobservable, need to concern ourselves with its determinant's. Therefore assume a model of the following form:

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{jt}}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{jt}}=\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{jt}}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jt}}
$$

Where, $X_{j t}$ is a vector of explanatory variables, $B^{I}$ is the corresponding vector o $f$ coefficients and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jt}}$ is a stochastic error term. Model then becomes

$$
P_{r}\left(Z_{j t}=1\right)=P_{r}\left(B^{I} X_{j t}+U_{j t}<o\right)
$$

The major findings of this study ware as, Companies are heavily influenced by market conditions and the past history of security prices in choosing between equity and debt. This study also provide evidence that companies do appear to make their choice of financing instrument as though they have target levels in mind for both the long term debt ratio and the ratio of short term to total debt. The target levels are themselves functions of company size, bankruptcy risk and assets composition.

Mark I. Weinsten (1978) presents a paper on "The seasoning process of New Corporate Bond Issues". This paper approaches the question of difference between new and seasoned issues by concentrating on holding period returns (coupon plus
capital gain) instead of the more usual yields to maturity. They analyses whether there are differences in holding period returns between seasoned and unseasoned bonds.

They consider these specific hypotheses concerning the seasoning process of new issues.
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no seasoning process whatever.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : Bonds are under priced at issue but this under pricing disappears rapidly.
$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ : There is a seasoning process, which extends over a number of months.

To test their hypothesis they define the abnormal return on a bond j at time t as.

$$
\mathrm{ABR}_{\mathrm{jt}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{jt}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{pt}}
$$

Where, $R_{j t}$ is the return on bond $j$ during calendar month $t$ and $R_{p t}$ is the return on portfolio of bonds with same rating as bond $j$ during calendar month $t$. In this study they have seen the evidence that the post issue behaviors of bonds is similar to that reported by Ibbotson for common stock that is there is some evidence of initial under pricing which is eliminated by the end of calendar month of issue. They are unable to find any evidence supporting the existence of a "seasoning process" beyond the calendar month of issue.

James R. Morris (1976) explored one dimension of the risk arrogated with different maturity policies the effect of bond maturity upon the variance of net income. James argued that, element of the risk of borrowing is the risk that the firm's cash inflows will not be sufficient to cover the fixed outflows necessary to service the debt. One way in which firm's attempts to deal with this Is to follow a hedging policy where by the maturity of the debt is chosen so as to approximately equal the life of the assets.

James was explained it into both hedging and short maturity policy;

The hedging maturity policy; With the hedging policy, the debt maturity decision affects expected net income by determining I , but since interest costs are fixed over the L - Period horizon, variance of net income is independent of the interest payments and independent of the proportion of the total cost of the asset financed by debt.

James model is
$\mathrm{NI}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{NI})=(\mathrm{N}-1)(1-\mathrm{T})$

And variance of net income
$\sigma_{\mathrm{NI}}^{2}=(1-\mathrm{T})^{2} \sigma^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}$

Where, NI denotes net income and T is the tax rate.

As assets with an L-Period life in expected to generating income denoted by N , each period for the L - Period s assume N is a normally distributed random variable with mean N , and variance $\sigma^{2}$, N is dependent from are period to the next and identically distributed in every Period. The interest cost in each period is a known constant equal to,

$$
\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{B}
$$

Where, $r(\mathrm{~L})$ is the rate on $L$ period and $B$ is the size of the debt, in each period we have expected net income.

Short- term maturity Policy; In L- Period interest rates vary over the time so each periods interest rate on an L-Period debt, r ( L ), is a normally distributed random variable with a mean $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{T})$ and variance $\sigma^{2} \mathrm{r}(1)$; the distribution are independent and identical in each Periods with B dollars borrowed each period, the interest payment are independent, identically distributed random variable with mean $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{T})$, and variance $\sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}=\mathrm{B}^{2} \sigma^{2} \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{l})$

Expected net income and variance of net income for the L- Period maturity Policy: Then model is
$\mathrm{NI}=\mathrm{N}(1-\mathrm{T})-1(1-\mathrm{T})$

And,

$$
\sigma^{2} \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{E}\left\{(\mathrm{~N}-1)(1-\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{E}[(\mathrm{~N}-1)(1-\mathrm{T})]^{2}\right\}
$$

$$
=(1-\mathrm{T})^{2} \sigma^{2} \mathrm{~N}+(1-\mathrm{T}) \sigma_{1}^{2}-2(1-\mathrm{T})^{2} \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{I})
$$

Since future interest rates are unknown, one might be inclined of net income in greater with the short (1- period) maturity policy than with the longer (hedging) policy.

This study concluded that the effects of debt maturity upon variance of net income, it was shown that if interest rates are highly correlated with the firm' $s$ net operating income, than short - term borrowing interest cost take on the aspect of variable rather than fixed costs, with the high covariance between interest rates and net operating income may tend to decrease simultaneously, so that a short maturity policy interest costs will decrease with net operating income mitigating the decline in net income.

In periods of prosperity NOI and interest rates may tend to increase together so that the increase in net income limited > The result is that, with the sort - term borrowing policy, the variation of net income tends to be smaller for those firms where the covariance of NOI and interest costs is large. These same conclusions can be stated in terms of the first difference in interest rates, net operating income and net income.

Steven Bolten studies focus on Treasury Bill Auction procedures. It attempts to determine empirically if the discriminatory auction or the competitive auction is the more compatible with the Treasury revenue raising objectives.

In the discriminatory auction, the treasury bills sells to different bidders at different prices. The supply of bills offered by the treasury is cleared by accepting the highest bid first and then descending until the supply is detected at what is called the stop out price.

A competitive market on the other hand would clear the supply at one price, where the demand equaled the supply. Bidders below the clearing price would be forced out of the market. Bidders above the clearing price would have their demand satisfied at a price lower than they were willing to pay. Steven was also set the hypothesis: the discriminatory auction should be retained instead of implementing a competitive auction.

If the results reveal a discriminatory auction would generate more revenue than a competitive auction, the hypothesis is accepted. If the competitive auction generated
greater revenue the hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis is accepted. Steven also developed model which is,
$D_{\text {net }}=a_{\text {net }} b_{1}\left(D_{\text {net }}-1\right)+b_{2}\left(S_{\text {mut }}+b_{3}\left(i_{c D t}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TBt}}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{Dt}}\right)\right.$
Where,
$\mathrm{D}_{\text {net }}=$ Non competitive demand in period t , in billions.
$\mathrm{a}_{\text {net }}=$ Constant
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{mut}}=$ Corporate cash balance during the quarter as represented by the difference between corporate sources and uses of funds.
$\mathrm{Ic}-\mathrm{Dt}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TBt}}=$ Spread between the $60-80$ day CD rate and the bill rate in the secondary market if $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{CDt}}<1_{\mathrm{TBT}}$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Dt}}=$ Seasonal dummy O except 1 the week quarterly tax payment are due and in the prior and subsequent two succeeding weeks. All interest rates are in integer from e.g., $5.00 \%$.

The demand of all competitive bidders is influenced by seasonal factors, particularly in the weeks surrounding tax payment dates when study would expect demand to decline. The study would also expect the competitive bidder's demand would be influenced by the auctions of prior weeks, the effect of which can capture with a distributed $\log$ (Dct-1).

Then the model for De would be:
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Ct}}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ct}}+\mathrm{b}_{5}\left(\mathrm{EXRS}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{6}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{Dt}}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{7}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ct}-1}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{8}\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{ASP}}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{9}\left(\mathrm{AI}_{\mathrm{spt}}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{10}\left(\mathrm{i}_{\text {spt-1 }}\right)$
Where,
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ct}}=$ Competitive demand
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ct}}=$ Constant Demand
$\operatorname{EXRS}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=$ excess reserve lagged one period
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Dt}}=$ Seasonal dummy
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ASP}}=$ the first difference of the stop-out yield
$\mathrm{I}_{\text {SPt- }-}=$ the stop-Out yield lagged one period
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Ct}-1}=\mathrm{a}$ distributed lag.
The result of this study is that, replacing the discriminatory auction with the competitive auction would generate greater revenue for the treasury, provided the non-competitive demand remains constant. Since the non-competitive demand according to the results, is not related to accept it to remain relatively constant if the
treasury continued to accept this type o f bid, despite charging to a competitive auction for the competitive type of bid. Then the useful implication by accepted the alternative hypothesis is that the government treasury should attempt to replace the present discriminatory auction procedure among the competitive bidders because revenues would be higher.

### 2.14. Review from Newspapers

The Kathmandu Post, Wednesday 15 January 2003 reported that, more than $30 \%$ of the companies listed with the NEPSE have failed to comply with the working norms of the secondary market. Knowledgeable sources revealed that most companies fall under finance, manufacturing and processing, and trading groups. They have either defaulted in clearing their registration or renewal fees or have not provided the NEPSE with the audited financial statements for over two years. Only $65 \%$ of the listed companies do not pay heed to the norms of the stock exchanges. If the other companies do not pay heed to the repeated warnings they can be suspended or delisted. So companies must comply with the stock market rules. NEPSE was prompted to de-list the 25 companies in line with the budgetary announcement made by the Former Finance Minister Ram Saran Mahat in July 2001. Former Minister Mahat then announced to de-list those companies that did not provide financial statements for more than two consecutive years. Since de- listing of shares from stock exchange would impact small investors, proper care should be taken when the process is moved ahead. This process makes an impact on debt market as well as equity market growth of Nepal.

In the news of The Kantipur Daily of $27^{\text {th }}$ Baishakh 2060, the news about the listing of Nepal's first debenture has given that, NEPSE fixed the T=O system for the bond trading in Nepalese capital market. The bond of the Himalayan Bank 'Himalayan bond 2066' initiated this system. Up to 15 of Shrawan, 2060, only 570 shares of bond at par were traded at the floor of NEPSE. Trading was held between individual to individual. It is the first transaction held at the NEPSE from the corporate/ bank debt security.

In the news of The Kantipur Daily of $24^{\text {th }}$ August 2002, on the topic "Public Issue cost high in Nepal", it has given that, despite huge appetite of investor for investment, inadequate investment opportunities has caused a substantial rise in the cost of issue,
concludes a latest study commenced by SEBO. In it the following conclusions are highlighted.

1 Necessary adjustments in laws, by - laws, and directives.
2. During share issue, financial sector is bearing the maximum brunt of investor pressure.
3. Cost ranges from $0.34 \%$ to $24.25 \%$ of total issued capital but same in nonfinancial sector ranges from 1.77 to $5.36 \%$,
4. Major cause for huge issue cost in financial sector is due to the increased cost of processing the huge number of share applications,
5. Cost of processing is high as share of total issued capital go up when a large number of investor applies for a comparatively small issue.
6. Printing and other expenses for collection and its refund increase the cost.
7. Underwriting commission, advertisement expenses and issue commission.
8. Issue cost for rights share is lower. Which is only $0.30 \%$ to $2.09 \%$
9. Lack of one window policy has caused high issue cost.

### 2.15. Research Gap

Since very few research works has been conducted on the bonds and debenture, as being such a major part in capital formation; the corporate society, individual and investors are not interested towards bonds and debenture. Corporate society, individual and investors are only interested in issuing and purchasing common stock but not in issuing and purchasing debenture and bond. The corporate society usually avert the bonds and debenture, it is due to unawareness about its characteristic like not control in management, tax benefit, minimum cost of capital, repayment of debt after the expiration, flexibility in capital structure management, no voting rights, no participation in company profitability distribution and other advantages.

As research, some researcher had highlighted on the problems and prospects of debt and debenture but not on its importance and prospects. The researchers are also lagging behind on the government securities, which are going to be listed on stock exchange in a recent time.

## CHAPTER - III

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Introduction

Research Methodology is the way of carrying research to derived information about something. It deals with the situation and interpretation of data in meaningful form and helps to generate ideas for further investigation and research. The nature and source of the date and method analysis used in this study are described below:

Descriptive research: It includes survey and fact finding inquiries of different questions. The main purpose of descriptive research is the description of the status of affairs, as it exists at present.

Analytical research: In this type of research, researchers uses the facts and information already available and analysis that data to make a critical evaluation.

### 3.2 Research Design

This research study attempts to analyze overall study of Bond market growth in Nepal. Hence to fulfill the objective of the study, it used both primary data as well as secondary data. To fulfill the objectives, the study adopts descriptive research design as well as the analytical and quantitative approaches are used to examine the issue.

To examine the trend of Government bond and examine ownership pattern and interest rate structure, mostly analytical research design is adopted due to which some prospect can be analyzed. Similarly to examine the various sectors' view towards Nepalese bond market and to find out its advantages, descriptive research design is also done. Various statistical tools such as curvilinear Model and chi-square testing hypotheses are applied to interpreter and come to conclusion.

### 3.3 Population and Sampling

From the title of the study, it is obviously clear that the research covers vast area. On one side, the population of this study comprised all the listed organized companies, which are the potential issuance companies of debenture. There are 142 in number at fiscal year 2007/2008 likewise, all the holders of debt securities are also considering as population. This study covers the area of government bodies, concerned staff or
experts, the brokerage firm and market makers are considering as the population of this study from which, a questionnaire survey is conducted. In another side there are two practices of corporate debt securities, and many government securities issuance practice are held from 1962. These practices are also taken as population of the study.

A use of 35 listed companies, 15 market maker and brokers, 30 individual investors and 20 experts are taken from various sectors using judgmental sampling, a list of investors included corporate debt holder as well as government securities holders are taken using random sampling. To analyze the trend of government securities a sample of issuance from 1987 to 2008 are taken as sample for study.

### 3.4 Source of Data

The research study is based on both primary and secondary data. The source of primary data is mainly questionnaire methods. A set of 10 questionnaires is developed for various respondents. These are allocated to them and collected after some times. The main sources of the primary sources of data are,

- Listed companies
- Brokers and market makers
- Individual Investor
- Other experts, mainly staffs of SEBON/N and NEPSE

To examine the trend and ownership pattern and for Interest rate analysis secondary data are also used. The main sources of secondary data are

- Various Quarterly Economic Bulletins of NRB
- Various Economic Report
- Economic Survey
- Various Budget Speeches
- Various Statistical Year Book and other publications of Department of Statistics
- Various Annual Report of Securities Board, Nepal
- Prospectus of Shree Ram Sugar Mills Ltd.
- Prospectus of Himalayan Bank Ltd.
- Prospectus of Nepal Investment Bank Ltd
- Prospectus of Everest Bank Ltd
- Prospectus of Nepal SBI Bank Ltd
- Prospectus of Kumari Bank Ltd
- Prospectus of Nabil Bank Ltd
- Prospectus of Nepal Electricity Authority
- Various publications of NEPSE


### 3.5 Research Methods

A questionnaire is made and distributed to various respondents through which a field survey is conducted there are analyzed by using various statistical tools like Chisquare test of Hypothesis. A descriptive analysis is also done to find out the overall view and reached to the conclusion.

### 3.6 Testing of Hypothesis

This study is based on both secondary as well as primary data. The primary data has been collected by questionnaire. Using computers application programs especially MS-Excel has done processing of these data. Some others statistical tools have been used for presentation and make raw data into organized forms and also for presentation and make raw data into organized forms and also for analysis and interpretation. In this research work some suggested solution called as hypothesis to suggest new observation.

The chi-square test of Hypothesis is useful to examine the Importance of bonds market. The samples are taken to clarify the importance of bonds in investment from various related sectors' persons and organizations. Group of listed companies are selected using judgmental sampling. These companies are randomly selected according to their education, locations, position on various jobs etc. Another group is Brokers and Market Makers, which are also randomly selected, and the last group is staff of SEBON \&NEPSE.

With the available data some hypothesis are tested and given the decision accordingly. It may not be proved absolutely but in practice it is accepted if it has stood with a critical testing.

While examine the hypothesis by the Chi-square test, the expected frequencies are
calculated by applying the formula;

$$
E=\frac{\mathbf{R T} \times \mathbf{C T}}{N}
$$

Where, RT= Row Total, and the calculated values of $\chi 2$ were calculated by the following formula,

$$
X^{2}=\frac{\Sigma(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{O}=$ Observed frequency, $\mathrm{E}=$ Expected frequency

### 3.7 Curvilinear Model

To examine the trend of government securities by using the data of the total amount of issued on previous years curvilinear model is used. With the help of this model, the forecasted amounts of total amount of government securities issuing by government and coming years are calculated.

The equation of curvilinear is as below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=a+b x+c x^{2} \ldots \ldots . \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~
\end{aligned} 1 .
$$

By solving the above equations, the value of $a, b, c$ are calculated. The forecasted value can be calculated by using the following equation

$$
y=a+b x+c x^{2}
$$

Similarly, to find out the trend line of the individual government securities, a time series is used. A trend line is the diagram by taking years (i.e. time) at X - axis and the amount of issued securities at y - axis.

### 3.8 Research Tools and Instruments

Mainly the following tools are used in this research study
Chi-Square $\left(\chi^{2}\right)$ test of hypothesis
Curvilinear Model

## CHAPTER - IV

## DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

### 4.1. Introduction

This chapter "Data Presentation and Analysis" is the main body part of the dissertation. The secondary data have been obtained from Quarterly Economic Bulletin, Current Macroeconomic Situation, Annual Report of SEBO/N and other related newspaper. Te primary data have been obtained through field survey. The available data have been tabulated and presented into graphs, carts and analyzed to reach at the findings. So this dissertation has been prepared by using various available data to fulfill its objectives.

Following methods are used to analysis the data:

- Chi-Square Model of Hypothesis
- Curvilinear Model


### 4.2. Ownership Pattern of Government Bonds and Treasury Bills

The ownership pattern of Government Bond and Treasury Bills refers to the proportion of total Government Bonds and Treasury Bills purchased by different financial institutions and individuals. The data shown in Table 1 reveal the portion of Nepal Rastra Bank in total purchase of bonds and treasury bills from 1994 to 2008 i.e. $\mathbf{4 7 . 1 6 \%}, \mathbf{5 0 . 9 3 \%}, \mathbf{5 1 . 2 3 \%}, \mathbf{5 0 . 3 4 \%}, \mathbf{4 1 . 5 7 \%}$, $\mathbf{4 4 . 5 3 \%}$, $\mathbf{3 8 . 4 7 \%}$, $\mathbf{2 9 . 8 9 \%}$, $\mathbf{3 4 . 6 4 \%}$, $\mathbf{3 3 . 0 6 \%}, \mathbf{2 2 . 2 2 \%}, \mathbf{1 9 . 9 4 \%}, \mathbf{1 2 . 2 8 \%} \mathbf{1 5 . 7 4 \%}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 . 9 8 \%}$ Although participation in purchasing Government Bonds and Treasury Bill by NRB in absolute terms has increased from Rs. 14,447 in 1994 to 18,885 in 2008 but the table clearly shows that NRB is losing its portion in the purchase of total Government Bonds and Treasury Bills from the year 1996 to 2006 year, but it increased by $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}$ \% and $\mathbf{1 . 2 4 \%}$ in year 2007 and 2008 respectively.

The next substantial buyers of government bonds and treasury bills are the commercial banks whose purchase has increased from Rs. 8,886 million in the year 1994 to Rs. 72,141 million in the year 2008. It clearly shows that the participation of
commercial banks in the total purchase has been increasing in absolute terms (except from 1996 to 1999, when it had a decreasing trend). It is also observed that the portion in total purchase has been in increasing trend and reached to $64.85 \%$ in 2008.

Similarly, others include the financial institution with Insurance Corporation and provident fund along with Government Business Enterprises, Private Business Enterprises and Non-profit organization as well as Individuals whose purchase has increased from 7,298 million in 1994 to 20,213 in 2008. The amount invested by others were in increasing trend up to year 1998 but the after it was in decreasing trend up to year 2003 and after that amount invested was fluctuation.

Table 4.1
Ownership Pattern of Government Bonds \& T-Bills

| Debt holder/Yea r | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nepal Rastra Bank | $\begin{aligned} & 14,447 \\ & (47.16 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,328 \\ & (50.93 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,543 \\ & (51.23 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,066 \\ & (50.34 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,965 \\ & (41.57 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,116 \\ & (44.53 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,909 \\ & (38.47 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,950 \\ & (29.89 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,504 \\ & (34.64 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,826 \\ & (33.06 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,139 \\ & (22.22 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,457 \\ & (19.94 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11049 \\ & (12.28 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15630 \\ & (15.74 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18885 \\ & (16.98 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Commercial Banks | $\begin{aligned} & 8,886 \\ & (29.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,189 \\ & (25.54 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,540 \\ & (22.02 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,738 \\ & (21.56 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,281 \\ & (26.77 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,659 \\ & (25.49 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,177 \\ & (33.44 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,393 \\ & (42.29 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,361 \\ & (39.88 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35,883 \\ & (44.22 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43,796 \\ & (50.85 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48,551 \\ & (55.45 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58861 \\ & (65.43 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65836 \\ & (66.30 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72141 \\ & (64.85 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & 7298 \\ & (23.84 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7541 \\ & (23.53 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9159 \\ & (26.75 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10087 \\ & (28.10 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12161 \\ & (31.66 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14895 \\ & (29.98 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15271 \\ & (28.09 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16701 \\ & (27.81 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18756 \\ & (25.48 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18439 \\ & (22.72 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23199 \\ & (26.93 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21556 \\ & (24.61 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20045 \\ & (22.28 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17838 \\ & (17.96 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20213 \\ & (18.17 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 30631 \\ (100) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{3 2 0 5 8} \\ (100) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 4 2 4 2} \\ & (100) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 5 8 9 1} \\ & (100) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 8 4 0 7} \\ & (100) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49670 \\ & (100) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 4 3 5 7} \\ & (100) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{6 0 0 4 4} \\ (100) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73621 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{8 1 1 4 8} \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 6 1 3 4} \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{8 7 5 6 4} \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 9 9 5 5} \\ & (100) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{9 9 3 0 4} \\ (100) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111239 \\ & (100) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Source: NRB, Quarterly Economic bulletin, Volume 43 Mid July 2008, Number 4
Note: Figures in parentheses indicates percentage over total amount.

### 4.3. Trend and Amount of Government Securities Issued in Nepal

Since 1961, Nepal has started to borrow from the internal sources to bridge the resource gap in the budget, by means of issuing various kinds of securities. In the initial year 1961, the government issued treasury bills for internal borrowings (Budget speech 1961), but a systematic borrowings by issuing T- Bills, Development Bonds, National saving Bonds, Citizen Saving Bonds and Special Bonds.

As stated in the Table 4.2, substantial changes occurred in the structure of government securities during the period of 1985-2005. The total amount of government securities amounted to Rs $6,031.60$ million in 1985, which is in increasing trend, and its growth rate is positive in every year. By the end of 2008 the total amount of it reached to 113657 millions. In every year data we can found that the portion of treasury Bills is highest out of the instrument.

Table 4.2
Total Bonds \& Treasury Bills Issued by Government

| Year | Total Bonds \& T Bills | Growth rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 8997.4 | - |
| 1988 | 11636 | 29.33 |
| 1989 | 12887.9 | 10.76 |
| 1990 | 14673.1 | 13.85 |
| 1991 | 20855.9 | 42.14 |
| 1992 | 23234.9 | 11.41 |
| 1993 | 25456 | 9.56 |
| 1994 | 30631.2 | 20.33 |
| 1995 | 32057.9 | 4.66 |
| 1996 | 34241.8 | 6.81 |
| 1997 | 35890.8 | 4.82 |
| 1998 | 38406.6 | 7.01 |
| 1999 | 49669.7 | 29.33 |
| 2000 | 54357 | 9.44 |
| 2001 | 60043.8 | 10.46 |
| 2002 | 73621 | 22.61 |
| 2003 | 81148.3 | 10.22 |
| 2004 | 86133.7 | 6.14 |
| 2005 | 87564.3 | 1.66 |
| 2006 | 89954.9 | 2.73 |
| 2007 | 99303.8 | 10.39 |
| 2008 | 111239.1 | 12.02 |

Source: NRB, Quarterly Economic bulletin, Volume 43 Mid July 2008, Number 4

Figure 4.1: Total Bond \& Treasury bill issued by Government


The above Table 4.2 and Figure 4.1 show the total amount of debt issued by the government during the past 22 years (1987-2008) which is in increasing trend. However the growth rate shows the fluctuating trend of total debt. Here the growth rate has calculated by taking the previous year as the base year. From the growth as compared with the previous year, the minimum growth rate of debt is $1.66 \%$ in the year 2005.

### 4.3.1. Treasury Bills Issued by Government

The Table 3 shows the amount of Treasury Bills issued by the government to collect the fund in 22 years periods.

Table 4.3
Treasury Bills Issued by Government

> (Rs. in million)

| Year | Total Amount of Treasury Bills | Growth rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 3440 | - |
| 1988 | 4090 | 18.90 |
| 1989 | 1171 | -71.37 |
| 1990 | 1821 | 55.51 |
| 1991 | 2351 | 29.10 |
| 1992 | 3483.3 | 48.16 |
| 1993 | 4403.2 | 26.41 |
| 1994 | 5216.3 | 18.47 |
| 1995 | 6392.5 | 22.55 |
| 1996 | 7142.5 | 11.73 |
| 1997 | 8092.5 | 13.30 |
| 1998 | 9182.5 | 13.47 |
| 1999 | 17586.9 | 91.53 |
| 2000 | 21026.9 | 19.56 |
| 2001 | 27610.8 | 31.31 |
| 2002 | 41106.5 | 48.88 |
| 2003 | 46884.9 | 14.06 |
| 2004 | 49429.6 | 5.43 |
| 2005 | 51383.1 | 3.95 |
| 2006 | 62970.3 | 22.55 |
| 2007 | 74445.3 | 18.22 |
| 2008 | 85033.0 | 14.22 |

Source: NRB, Quarterly Economic bulletin, Volume 43 Mid July 2008, Number 4

Figure 4.2: Treasury Bills issued by Government


Source: NRB, Quarterly Economic bulletin, Volume 43 Mid July 2008, Number 4.

The above Table 4.3 and Figure 4.2 shows the total amount of treasury Bills issued by the government during the past 22 years (1987-2008), which is in the increasing trend as shown in the line graph except in the year 1989 which is decrease by $71.37 \%$ as compared with the previous year amount and a fluctuation trend is observed its growth rate. The growth rate is calculated by taking the previous year's amount as base. Observing the growth rate column in year 1999 it shows maximum increase in growth rate by $91 \%$.

### 4.3.2. Development Bond Issued by Government:

The Table 4.4 shows the amount of Development bond issued by the government to collect fund in 21 years periods.

Table 4.4
Development Bond Issued by Government
(Rs. in million)

| Year | Total amount of Development Bond | Growth rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 2990 | - |
| 1988 | 4651.7 | 55.58 |
| 1989 | 5088.6 | 9.39 |
| 1990 | 5388.6 | 5.90 |
| 1991 | 5482.3 | 1.74 |
| 1992 | 5132.2 | -6.39 |
| 1993 | 5132.2 | 0.00 |
| 1994 | 4732.2 | -7.79 |
| 1995 | 4122.2 | -12.89 |
| 1996 | 3672.2 | -10.92 |
| 1997 | 3042.2 | -17.16 |
| 1998 | 3302.2 | 8.55 |
| 1999 | 3872.2 | 17.26 |
| 2000 | 4262.2 | 10.07 |
| 2001 | 5962.3 | 39.89 |
| 2002 | 11090.7 | 86.01 |
| 2003 | 13090.7 | 18.03 |
| 2004 | 17549.2 | 34.06 |
| 2005 | 19999.2 | 13.96 |
| 2006 | 17959.2 | -10.20 |
| 2007 | 19177.1 | 6.78 |
| 2008 | 21735.4 | 13.34 |

Source: NRB, Quarterly Economic bulletin, Volume 43 Mid July 2008, Number 4.

Figure 4.3: Development Bond issued by Government


The Table 4.4 and Figure 4.3 shows the amount of development bond issued by the government during the past 22 years (1987 to 2008) which is in increasing trend in first five years and reach to Rs. 5,482.30 million in 1991. But in 1992 the amount of development bond decreases by 6.39 \% however in 1993 year there was no change in the amount. From the year 1994 to 1997 the amount of development bond decreased. From the year 1998 the Development bond growth rate again shows the positive growth trend but in 2006 again there was negative growth rate but in year 2007 and 2008 again the growth rate was positive. The growth rate has been calculated by taking previous year as the base year.

### 4.3.3. National Saving Bond Issued by Government

The Table 4.5 shows the amount of National Saving Bond issued by the government to Collect fund in 22 years.

Table 4.5
National Saving Bond Issued by Government

| Year | Total amount of National Saving Bond | Growth rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 1940 | - |
| 1988 | 2196.5 | 13.22 |
| 1989 | 2196.5 | 0.00 |
| 1990 | 2896.5 | 31.87 |
| 1991 | 3646.5 | 25.89 |
| 1992 | 4546.3 | 24.68 |
| 1993 | 4901.5 | 7.81 |
| 1994 | 5691.5 | 16.12 |
| 1995 | 6076.4 | 6.76 |
| 1996 | 7376.5 | 21.40 |
| 1997 | 8736.5 | 18.44 |
| 1998 | 9886.4 | 13.16 |
| 1999 | 10426.4 | 5.46 |
| 2000 | 11526.5 | 10.55 |
| 2001 | 12476.5 | 8.24 |
| 2002 | 11536.1 | -7.54 |
| 2003 | 10659.9 | -7.60 |
| 2004 | 9029.8 | -15.29 |
| 2005 | 6576.8 | -27.17 |
| 2006 | 3876.8 | -41.05 |
| 2007 | 1516.9 | -60.87 |
| 2008 | 1116.9 | -26.37 |

Source: NRB, Quarterly Economic bulletin, Volume 43 Mid July 2008, Number 4.

Figure 4.4: National Saving Bond Issued by Government


The Table 4.5 and Figure 4.4 shows the amount of National Saving Bond issued by the government during the past 22 years (1987-2008) which is in increasing shows the positive growth trend up to year 2001 but from 2008, there was negative growth rate which means the prospects of National Saving Bonds has been decreasing. The growth rate has been calculated by taking previous year as the base year.

### 4.3.4. Special Bond Issued by Government

The Table 4.6 the amount of Special Bond issued by the Government to collect the fund in 21 years period.

Table 4.6
Special Bond Issued by Government

| Year | Total Amount of Special Bond | Growth rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 627.4 | - |
| 1988 | 697.8 | 11.22 |
| 1989 | 4431.8 | 535.11 |
| 1990 | 4567 | 3.05 |
| 1991 | 9376.1 | 105.30 |
| 1992 | 10073.2 | 7.43 |
| 1993 | 11019.1 | 9.39 |
| 1994 | 14991.2 | 36.05 |
| 1995 | 15466.8 | 3.17 |
| 1996 | 16050.6 | 3.77 |
| 1997 | 16019.6 | -0.19 |
| 1998 | 16035.5 | 0.10 |
| 1999 | 17784.2 | 10.91 |
| 2000 | 17541.4 | -1.37 |
| 2001 | 13994.3 | -20.22 |
| 2002 | 9259.3 | -33.84 |
| 2003 | 9621.7 | 3.91 |
| 2004 | 8946.2 | -7.02 |
| 2005 | 8176.3 | -8.61 |
| 2006 | 3469.8 | -57.56 |
| 2007 | 2773.5 | -20.07 |
| 2008 | 339.4 | -87.76 |

Source: NRB, Quarterly Economic bulletin, Volume 43 Mid July 2008, Number 4.

Figure 4.5: Special Bond issued by Government


The Table 4.6 and Figure 4.5 shows the amount of special bond issue by the government during the past 22 years (1987-2008) which shows lot of fluctuation in its growth rate. In the year 1989 it shows the maximum growth rate i.e. $535.11 \%$ as compare to the previous year amount. The first 11 years shows positive growth rate although the growth rate fluctuating large percentage. From the year 1997 to 2005 the growth rate decreased from $0.19 \%$ to $33.84 \%$ in various span of time except in the year 1998, 1999 and 2003. In year 2006, 2007 and 2008 the growth rate remains in negative too by $57.56 \% 20.07 \%$ and $87.76 \%$ respectively which shows that the prospects of Special Bonds Market is not in a good stage.

Table 4.7
Forecasted Total Amount of Debt Securities from 2009 to 2013

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Year | Amount of Govt. Securities Issued |
| 2009 | 162347.579 |
| 2010 | 167065.0774 |
| 2011 | 171765.3065 |
| 2012 | 176448.2662 |
| 2013 | 181113.9566 |

Figure 4.6: Forecasted Total Amount of Debt Securities from 2009 to 2013


The figure 4.6 and table 4.7 shows the increasing trend of estimated amount of government securities. The curve is upward sloping. The estimated or forecasted value is $162,347.579$ million in 2009 and increased to 181113.9566 million in year 2013. (See ANNEX-3)

Table 4.8
Growth Trend of Government Debt Securities Fitted in Curvilinear Model (In million)

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & X=(\text { year- } \\ & 1986 / 87) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{Y}=\text { Amount } \\ \text { of govt. } \\ \text { Securities } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | xy | $\times 2$ | $\times 3$ | $\times 4$ | $\times 2 \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 1 | 8,997 | 8,997 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8,997 |
| 1988 | 2 | 11,363 | 22,726 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 45,452 |
| 1989 | 3 | 12,888 | 38,664 | 9 | 27 | 81 | 115,992 |
| 1990 | 4 | 14,673 | 58,692 | 16 | 64 | 256 | 234,768 |
| 1991 | 5 | 20,856 | 104,280 | 25 | 125 | 625 | 521,400 |
| 1992 | 6 | 23,235 | 139,410 | 36 | 216 | 1,296 | 836,460 |
| 1993 | 7 | 25,456 | 178,192 | 49 | 343 | 2,401 | 1,247,344 |
| 1994 | 8 | 30,631 | 245,048 | 64 | 512 | 4,096 | 1,960,384 |
| 1995 | 9 | 32,058 | 288,522 | 81 | 729 | 6,561 | 2,596,698 |
| 1996 | 10 | 32,242 | 322,420 | 100 | 1,000 | 10,000 | 3,224,200 |
| 1997 | 11 | 35,891 | 394,801 | 121 | 1,331 | 14,641 | 4,342,811 |
| 1998 | 12 | 38,407 | 460,884 | 144 | 1,728 | 20,736 | 5,530,608 |
| 1999 | 13 | 49,670 | 645,710 | 169 | 2,197 | 28,561 | 8,394,230 |
| 2000 | 14 | 54,357 | 760,998 | 196 | 2,744 | 38,416 | 10,653,972 |
| 2001 | 15 | 60,044 | 900,660 | 225 | 3,375 | 50,625 | 13,509,900 |
| 2002 | 16 | 73,621 | 1,177,936 | 256 | 4,096 | 65,536 | 18,846,976 |
| 2003 | 17 | 81,148 | 1,379,516 | 289 | 4,913 | 83,521 | 23,451,772 |
| 2004 | 18 | 86,134 | 1,550,412 | 324 | 5,832 | 104,976 | 27,907,416 |
| 2005 | 19 | 87,564 | 1,663,716 | 361 | 6,859 | 130,321 | 31,610,604 |
| 2006 | 20 | 89955 | 1,799,100 | 400 | 8.000 | 160,000 | 35,982,000 |
| 2007 | 21 | 99,304 | 2,085,384 | 421 | 9,261 | 194,481 | 41,806,984 |
| 2008 | 22 | 111,239 | 2,447,258 | 484 | 10,648 | 234,256 | 53,617,198 |
| Total | $\sum \mathrm{X}=231$ | $\Sigma y=968,494$ | $\sum x y=14,226,068$ | $\sum \times 2=3,291$ | $\Sigma \times 3=53,361$ | \x4 $=917,147$ | $\sum \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{y}=232,828,968$ |

### 4.4. Forecasting of Government Securities through Curvilinear Model

The trend of amount of government bond and T-bill issued every year is clearly curvilinear model after observing the fitted data in the figure. It shows the increasing trend of the amount of government securities. The curve is upward sloping. Hence, to find out correct result the curvilinear model is suitable according to the nature of the past data. The model has been followed:

The equation of curvilinear model is as below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=a+b x+c x^{2} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~
\end{align*} 12 .
$$

### 4.5. Trend of Corporate Bonds

To raise capital corporate bodies of Nepal have issued various kinds of securities out of them is debenture via. Bottlers Nepal Limited, Shree Ram Sugar Mills, Himalayan Banks Ltd, Nepal Investment Bank, Everest Bank, Bank of Kathmandu, NIC Bank, Nepal SBI Bank. The debenture of Bottlers Nepal Limited has already been matured before the observed period here. Shree Ram Sugar Mills had issued debentures amounting Rs. 93 millions in fiscal year 1997/98 which was of $14 \%$ coupon rate and convertible in nature. Then in the fiscal year 2001/02, Himalayan Bank Ltd. had issued "Himalayan Bond- 2066" of $8.5 \%$ coupon rate and in fiscal year 2003/04, Nepal Investment Bank had issued "Investment Bank Bond 2060" of 7.5\% coupon rate and which have the maturity period of 7 years. Kumari Bank Limited, Himalayan Bank Limited. Nepal Investment Bank Limited, Nabil Bank Limited and Nepal Electricity Authority (Nepal Vidhyut Pradhikaran) had issued debenture of Rs. 2,950 Million in this fiscal year 2007/2008 and there are lots of other organization in pipeline to issue debentures in coming days. However, the total no of debenture issued is only 14 but the portion of debenture out of total securities is in increasing trend i.e. it is Rs. 93 millions and gradually increased to Rs. 5,103 million and the portion of debenture issued to the total securities issued is increased from $4.03 \%$ to $45.07 \%$ from the year1998 to 2007.

Table 4.9
Portion of Debenture Out of Total Amount of Securities Till FY 2007/08
(In Million)

| Year | Total No of Issues |  | Total Approved <br> Amount |  | Total Cumulative <br> Amount |  |  <br> Total Securities <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Securities | Debenture | Securities | Debenture | Securities | Debenture | (\%) |
| $1994 / 95$ | 17 |  | 244.40 |  | 244.40 |  |  |
| $1995 / 96$ | 12 |  | 974.00 |  | $1,218.40$ |  |  |
| $1996 / 97$ | 12 |  | 293.70 |  | $1,512.10$ |  |  |
| $1997 / 98$ | 5 |  | 332.20 |  | $1,844.30$ |  |  |
| $1998 / 99$ | 12 | 1 | 462.40 | 93 | $2,306.70$ | 93 | 4.03 |
| $1999 / 00$ | 5 |  | 258.00 |  | $2,564.70$ | 93 | 3.63 |
| $2000 / 01$ | 9 |  | 326.90 |  | $2,891.60$ | 93 | 3.22 |
| $2001 / 02$ | 9 | 1 | 410.50 | 360 | $3,302.10$ | 453 | 13.72 |
| $2002 / 03$ | 16 |  | $1,441.40$ |  | $4,743.50$ | 453 | 9.55 |
| $2003 / 04$ | 17 |  | 556.50 |  | $5,300.00$ | 453 | 8.55 |
| $2004 / 05$ | 16 | 1 | $1,027.50$ | 300 | $6,327.50$ | 753 | 11.90 |
| $2005 / 06$ | 14 | 1 | $1,626.80$ | 300 | $7,954.30$ | 1053 | 13.24 |
| $2006 / 07$ | 29 | 5 | $2,443.30$ | 1100 | $10,397.60$ | 2153 | 20.71 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 16 | 5 | 924.80 | 2950 | 11322.40 | 5103 | 45.07 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 3 2 2 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 , 9 2 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 0 0}$ |  |

Source: Annual Report of SEBO/N 2007/08

## Table 4.10

## Corporate Bond Issue in Nepal

| S.N. | ISSUING COMPANY | \|SSUSING AMOUNT <br> (In Million) |  | Total | Approval Date | Issue Date | Maturity Period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coupo } \\ & \text { n Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Issue Manager | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public Offering | Private Placement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Shree Ram Sugar Mills Ltd. | 93.00 | 0.00 | 93.00 | 2054/07/07 | 2054/08/05 | 4 Years | 14\% | NCML |  |
| 2 | Himalayan Bank Limited | 100.00 | 260.00 | 360.00 | 2059/02/21 | 2059/03/04 | 7 Years | 8.50\% | NMB |  |
| 3 | Nepal Investment Bank Ltd. | 100.00 | 200.00 | 300.00 | 2060/06/27 | 2060/07/17 | 7 Years | 7.50\% | AFC |  |
| 4 | Everest Bank Limited | 50.00 | 250.00 | 300.00 | 2061/12/17 | 2062/01/07 | 7 Years | 6\% | CIT |  |
| 5 | Bank Of Kathmandu Ltd. | 50.00 | 150.00 | 200.00 | 2062/05/22 | 2062/05/22 | 7 Years | 6\% | NMB |  |
| 6 | Nepal Investment Bank Ltd. | 80.00 | 170.00 | 250.00 | 2063/02/16 | 2063/02/26 | 7 Years | 6\% | AFC |  |
| 7 | NIC Bank Limited | 50.00 | 150.00 | 200.00 | 2063/02/17 | 02/29/2063 | 7 Years | 6\% | AFC |  |
| 8 | Nepal SBI Bank Limited | 50.00 | 150.00 | 200.00 | 2063/03/11 | 2063/03/20 | 7 Years | 6\% | CIT |  |
| 9 | Nepal Investment Bank Ltd. | 50.00 | 200.00 | 250.00 | 2064/02/16 | 2064/02/29 | 7 Years | 6.25\% | AFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIB BOND } \\ & 2070 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Nepal Electricity Authority | 150.00 | 1350.00 | 1500.00 | 2064/10/11 | 2064/11/02 | 5 Years | 7.75\% | NMB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEA } \\ & \text { BOND } \\ & 2069 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Kumari Bank Limited | 80.00 | 320.00 | 400.00 | 2065/01/12 | 2065/02/02 | 5 Years | 8\% | ACE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KBL } \\ & \text { BOND } \\ & 2070 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Himalayan Bank Ltd. | 100.00 | 400.00 | 500.00 | 2065/02/19 | 2065/03/08 | 7 Years | 8\% | ACE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HBL } \\ & \text { BOND } \\ & 2072 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Nepal Investment Bank Ltd. | 50.00 | 200.00 | 250.00 | 2065/03/02 | 2065/03/12 | 7 Years | 8\% | ACE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIB BOND } \\ & 2072 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Nabil Bank Limited | 60.00 | 240.00 | 300.00 | 2065/03/15 | 2065/03/29 | 10 Years | 8.5\% | NCML | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NBL } \\ & \text { BOND } \\ & 2075 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  | 1063.00 | 4040.00 | 5103.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.6. Comparison of Corporate Bond and Government Bond Flotation

Government Bond and Corporate Bond together make the total bond market. Government Bonds is issued by NRB and Corporate Bonds is usually issued by Corporate Sectors. The Comparative position of Government Bond and Corporate bond is shown below:

## Table 4.11

Flotation of Corporate Bonds and Government Bonds (In Million)

| Years | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Government <br> Bonds | 60044 | 73621 | 81148 | 86138 | 87564 | 89955 | 99304 | 111239 |
| Corporate Bonds | 93 | 453 | 753 | 753 | 1253 | 1903 | 2153 | 5130 |
| Total Bonds | 60137 | 74074 | 81901 | 86891 | 88817 | 91858 | 101457 | 116369 |
| \% of Government <br> Bonds | 99.85 | 99.39 | 99.08 | 99.13 | 98.59 | 97.79 | 97.88 | 95.60 |
| \% of Corporate <br> Bonds | 0.15 | 0.61 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 1.41 | 2.07 | 2.12 | 4.40 |

Source: Quarterly Economic Bulletin, NRB and Annual Report SEBON $2007 / 08$

According to table 4.11, the percentage of Government Bonds to Total Bonds is about $99.85 \%$ where as the percentage of Corporate Bonds to total Bonds is about $0.15 \%$ in the 2001 year. Likewise in Year 2008 the percentage of Government Bonds to Total Bonds is about 95.60 \% and the percentage of Corporate Bonds to Total Bonds is about 4.40 \%. This table reveals that the percentage of Government Bonds to Total Bonds is in decreasing trend where as the percentage of Corporate Bonds to Total Bonds is increasing slowly that means Nepalese Bond Market has greater prospects in coming days.

### 4.6.1 Bond Duration

The duration is the measure of average maturity of the stream of payments associated with a bond. Bond Duration is concerned to be an appropriate measure of its term structure than its years to maturity as it reflects the amount and time of every cash flow rather than merely the length of time until the final payment occur. This study
has been used the model developed by F.R.Macaulay (1938) for calculating the weighted average time of Nepalese Corporate Bonds.

The sensitivity (duration) of bond price is important in the portfolio management. Therefore various determinants should be considered while determining durations. These determinants are expressed as rules in the following sections:

RULE 1: Duration of Zero Coupon (Discount) Bond equals its time period to maturity.

RULE 2: Holding maturity period constant, a bond's duration is higher when the coupon rate is lower.

RULE 3: Holding the coupon rate constant; a bond's duration generally increases with its time to maturity.

RULE 4: Holding other factors constant, the duration of a coupon bond is higher when bond's yield to maturity is lower.

The duration of bonds can be calculated by using following formula:

```
MD =
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{Y}^{1+\mathrm{y}}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\((1+\mathrm{y})-\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{y})\) \\
\(\mathrm{c}[(1+\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{T}-1]+\mathrm{y}\)
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}
```

The durations of 5 corporate bonds have been taken as sample and the result can be generalized to other issuance too. The duration of 5 different Corporate Bonds are calculated and presented in the following table.

Table 4.12
Duration of Nepalese Corporate Bonds

| S.N. | Issuance Company | Duration | Maturity Period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Shree Ram Sugar Mills Limited | 3.22 Years | 4 Years |
| 2 | Himalayan Bank Limited | 5.67 Years | 7 Years |
| 3 | Nepal Investment Bank Limited | 5.79 Years | 7 Years |
| 4 | Everest Bank Limited | 5.95 Years | 7 Years |
| 5 | Bank Of Kathmandu Limited | 5.95 Years | 7 Years |

Source: ANNEX 6

The result presented in the table 4.12 indicates that the durations of Nepal Corporate Bonds are lesser than their respective years to maturity years. And so is the case with other bond issuances. It is because when the market rate of interest is lesser than the coupon rate of bonds, the duration is lesser than its maturity period. Therefore, investors receive their income prior to the maturity period, which indicates the higher prospects of Nepalese Bond Market. Also duration and price volatility are directly related and the bonds with the longer duration have more price risk than short duration bonds. Therefore, Nepalese Corporate Bonds face less price risk because of less duration than their terms to maturities. However the result deficit an increasing trend of durations of Nepalese Corporate Bonds as well as increase in risks. The same result can be applied to other bond issuances. Though the terms to maturities of HBL, NIBL, EBL and BOK has same maturity period i.e. 7 years, the duration is in a rising pattern due to increased price risks.

### 4.7. Valuation of Nepalese Corporate Bonds

The value of bonds can be determined by using following formula:

```
Value of bond = I [PVIFA kd%, n] + M [PVIF kd%, n]
```

Table 4.13
Valuation of Nepalese Corporate Bonds

| S.N. | Issuance Company | Value (Rs.) | Market Price (Rs.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Shree Ram Sugar Mills Limited | 1105.49 | 1000 |
| 2 | Himalayan Bank Limited | 1172.33 | 1000 |
| 3 | Nepal Investment Bank Limited | 1142.43 | 1000 |
| 4 | Everest Bank Limited | 1064.29 | 1000 |
| 5 | Bank Of Kathmandu Limited | 1064.29 | 1000 |

Source: Annex 5

The Table 4.13 shows that HBL bonds had the highest value among all. However, all the bonds price are under priced due to the higher value in comparison with the market price per bond i.e. Rs. 1000. The table below depicts the bond valuation rules as well as buying and selling decisions.

## Bond Valuation Rules

| S.N. | Conditions | Pricing | Decisions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Market Interest rate > Coupon Rate | Overpriced | Sell |
| 2 | Market Interest rate < Coupon Rate | Under priced | Buy |
| 3 | Market Interest rate = Coupon Rate | Fairly Priced | No Trading |

If a bond is priced below its intrinsic value, the bond is under price and is a good investment opportunity. Similarly if bond price is equals to the value of bond, then it is said to be in equilibrium and if the bond price is lesser than the market price, the bond is said to be overpriced and should be sold to avoid losses. Therefore under price bonds always attract rational investors for investment and encourage the holding position to profit from price gains that should occur from price rises in future.

The bonds in Nepal are usually found to be under priced and deemed good and profitable investment. The calculations proved that the coupon rates were always higher than the market rates for the above-analyzed bonds. Therefore the under price bonds reflect good prospects of Corporate Bond Market in Nepal.

### 4.7.1 Interest Rate Analysis

Normally the government issues four types of securities. The interest rates are different in these securities depending upon the nature of securities. The bond with short maturity period has less interest rate than the securities with long maturity periods.

Table 4.14
Structure of Interest Rate

| Percent Per annum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid Jul } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid Jul } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid Jul } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Mid Jul } \\ \hline 2003 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid Jul } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid Jul } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 1 \begin{array}{l} \text { Mid } \\ \text { Oct } \\ \hline 2005 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mid } \\ & \text { Jan } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid } \\ & \text { Apr2006 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Mid } \\ 2006 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mid } \\ & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Mid Jul } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MId Jul } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## A. Government Securities

| Treasury Bill for 28 |  |  |  | - | 1.82 | - | 2.62 | 1.59 | 2.54 | 2.40 | 2.01 | 2.97 | 5.16 | 4.94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4.94 | 3.78 | 2.98 | 1.47 | 3.94 | 3.10 | 2.46 | 2.89 | 3.25 | 2.54 | 2.16 | 5.13 | 6.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.42 | 3.70 | 2.57 | 3.77 | 3.86 | 2.78 | 2.66 | 5.16 | 5.91 |
| Treasury Bill for 182 days |  | - | - | 4.93 | 3.81 | 4.79 | 3.87 | 3.42 | 4.31 | 4.04 | 3.78 | 3.04 | 6.47 | 6.55 |
| Treasury Bill for 364 days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National $\quad$ Saving Certificate | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8.5- \\ & 13.25 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 8.5-13.25 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0- \\ & 13.25 \end{aligned}$ | 7.0-13.0 | 6.5-13.0 | 6.5-13.0 | 6.5-13.0 | 6.5-13.0 | 6.0-13.0 | 6.0-8.5 | 6.0-8.5 | 6.0-8.0 | $\begin{gathered} 6.0- \\ 7.75 \end{gathered}$ | 6.0-8.0 |
| Development Bonds | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0- \\ & 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | 3.0-8.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 3.0-6.75 | 3.0-6.75 | 3.0-6.75 | 3.0-6.75 | 5.0-8.0 | 5.0-9.0 |
| Refinance Rate (against foreign currency loans) |  | 5.5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.25 |

## B. Commercial Banks

1. Deposit Rates

| Saving Deposits | $4.0-6.5$ | $3.5-6.5$ | $2.5-6.25$ | $2.5-6.0$ | $2.0-5.0$ | $1.75-5.0$ | $2.0-5.0$ | $2.0-5.0$ | $2.0-5.0$ | $2.0-5.0$ | $2.0-5.0$ | $2.0-5.0$ | $2.0-6.5$ | $2.0-7.5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Time Deposits

| 3 months | $4.0-6.0$ | $2.5-6.0$ | $2.5-5.25$ | $2.0-5.0$ | $2.0-4.0$ | $1.5-4.0$ | $1.5-3.5$ | $1.5-4.0$ | $1.5-4.0$ | $1.5-4.0$ | $1.5-4.0$ | $1.5-4.0$ | $1.5-6.75$ | $1.5-6.0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 months | $5.0-6.75$ | $3.5-6.75$ | $2.5-6.0$ | $2.5-6.0$ | $2.0-4.5$ | $2.5-4.5$ | $1.75-4.5$ | $1.75-4.5$ | $1.75-4.5$ | $1.75-4.5$ | $1.75-4.5$ | $1.75-4.5$ | $1.75-$ | $1.75-7.0$ |
| 6.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Source: NRB, Quarterly Economic Bulletin

The Table 4.14 reveals that the highest interest rate on T-Bills for 28 days was $3.17 \%$ on April 2008 and lowest was $1.59 \%$ on Mid Jan 2006. Like was highest interest rate on TBills for 91 days was $5.36 \%$ on Mid-July 2000 and lowest was $1.47 \%$ on Mid July 2004. For T-Bills for 182 days the highest interest rate was $4.42 \%$ on Mid-July 2005 and the lowest interest rate was $2.57 \%$ on Mid Jan 2006 and the T-Bills for 364 days the highest interest rate was $4.93 \%$ on Mid-July 2003 and the lowest interest rate was $3.04 \%$ on Mid Oct 2007.

The interest rate on National Saving Certificate was an average of (8.5.0-13.25) on mid Jul 2000 and this average remains from 2000 to 2002 and then after it remains on average to (7.0-13.0) till the end of Mid April 2004 and decrease and remains on average to (6.513.0) till the end of Mid April 2006 and again decrease on average to (6.5-8.5) on Mid July 2007 but it decreases on average to (6.0-7.75) on July 2008, but the rate gains goes up to the average of 6.0-8.0 in the year 2009.

The interest rate on development bond was 3-10.5 percent on Mid -July 2001 and decreased to 3-8.5 percent on Mid - July 2002 and again decreased and reached to 3.08.0 percent on Mid July 2003 and remains constant till mid Jan 2006 latter on it decreased to 3.0-6.75 till Mid Oct 2007 and increased to 5.0-8.0 in July 2008 and to the average of 5.0-9.0 in Jul 2009.

The refinancing rate against foreign currencies loans was 5.5 till Mid July 2001 then it decreased to 2 till Mid July 2005 and it increased up to 3.25 till Mid July 2009.

The interest rate on saving deposit of commercial banks was on average of 4.0-6.5 percent on Mid July 2000 and continuously decreased every year by fluctuating in various span of time and reached 2.0-5.0 on Mid Jan 2006 and remains constant till Mid Oct 2007 and reached 2.0-7.5 on Mid July 2009.

The interest rate on time deposit of 3 months period of commercial banks was on average 2.5-6.0 percent on Mid July 2001 and gradually decreased every year in various span of time and reached 1.5-4.0 on Mid Jan 2006 and remains constant till Mid Oct 2007 and reached 1.50-6.0 on Mid July 2009.

The interest rate on time deposit of 6 months period of commercial banks was on average 3.5-6.75 percent on Mid July 2001 and gradually decreased every year in various span of time and reached 1.75-4.5 on Mid Oct 2005 and remains constant till Mid Oct 2007 and reached 1.75-7.5 on Mid July 2009.

The interest rate on time deposit for 1 year deposit was 4.5-7.75 percent on Mid -July 2001 and gradually decreased every year in various span of time and reached 2.25-5.0 on Mid July 2005 and remains constant till Mid Oct 2007 and reached 2.5-9.0 on Mid July 2009.

The interest rate on time deposit for 2 years and above deposit was 5.7-8.5 percent on Mid -July 2000 and gradually decreased every year in various span of time and reached 2.5-6.4 on Mid Jan 2006 and remains constant till Mid Oct 2006 then it again decreased to 2.5-5.5 on Mid Oct 2007 and increased in the year 2008/2009 and reached 2.75-9.5 on mid July 2009.

### 4.8. Analysis of Questionnaire

### 4.8.1. Existing Legal Provisions Regarding Debt Securities Market

Out of total 100 respondents, $26 \%$ of them believe that the existing legal provisions regarding debt securities market is sufficient where as $74 \%$ of them believe that the existing legal provision is not sufficient and that laws needs to be rectify soon.

### 4.8.2. Problems Regarding Secondary and Primary Market

Out of 100 respondents, $92 \%$ responded that there are many problems although government has taken some steps to solve the problem. But $8 \%$ responded there is no any problem in primary and secondary market.

### 4.8.3. Choice of Various Sectors Debentures

Out of the total 100 respondents, $59 \%$ of them give their opinion that Nepalese investors mostly like to invest on banking sector's debenture. While $12 \%$ give their opinion in favor of manufacturing sector's debenture. $15 \%$ of the respondents give their opinion that

Hotel sector's debenture is mostly like by Nepalese investor's and $14 \%$ of them give their opinion that Nepalese investors mostly like to invest on other sector's debenture.

### 4.8.4. Dominant Prospect of Debenture Issue

Out of 100 respondents, $59 \%$ responded their opinions towards additional capital supply, where as $41 \%$ responded their opinions towards tax advantage. Most of them have responded additional capital supply as chief prospect of debentures.

### 4.8.5. Choice of Securities

Out of the total 100 respondents $29 \%$ of them give their opinion that Nepalese investors most like to invest on debt securities, while $51 \%$ agree that Nepalese investors most like to invest on common stock Equity share, $10 \%$ choose the preference share and rest $10 \%$ of the respondents said that Nepalese investors mostly like to invest on Mutual funds.

### 4.8.6. Preference of Bank Loan Instead of Issuing Debenture

Out of 100 respondents, $35 \%$ responded their opinions bank loan is easily available, $26 \%$ responded as issuing debenture is difficulty process, $30 \%$ responded as cost of bank loan is less than issuance debenture, and $9 \%$ responded other.

### 4.8.7. Easier in Issuing of Debenture

Out of 100 respondents, $62 \%$ agreed that issuing stock is easier than issuing debenture and $38 \%$ disagreed that issuing stock is easier than debenture.

### 4.8.8. Reasons for Influencing the Investors to Purchase Debt Securities

Out of the total 100 respondents, $41 \%$ of the respondents give their opinion that because of liquid assets it is important and influence the investors to purchase debt securities while $26 \%$ of them give their opinion that because of lack of appropriate investment opportunity. Investors are influenced to purchase debt securities. $22 \%$ of the respondents gave their opinion that due to less risk on investment they prefer debenture and also the
fixed income securities influenced the investors to purchased debt securities where as $11 \%$ of the respondents believed that due to other reason they purchase debenture.

### 4.8.9. Factors Dominating the Growth of Nepalese Bond Market

Out of total 100 respondents, $39 \%$ of them agree that the major factor is lack of investor's awareness towards debt securities; $35 \%$ of the respondents agree with the factor that limited supply of quality bonds is main cause and $26 \%$ of the respondents say the lack of capital gain opportunity to the investors is main cause. Most of the company's view is lack of investor's awareness; while most of the individual's investor's view is limited supply of quality bonds is the main problems of the debt market of Nepal.

### 4.8.10. Preference between Government bonds and corporate bonds

Out of the total 100 respondents, $56 \%$ of them give their opinion that Nepalese investors mostly like to invest on Government bonds where as $44 \%$ of them prefers corporate bonds.

### 4.9. Testing of Hypothesis

The chi-square test of Hypothesis is useful to examine the Importance of bonds market. The samples are taken to clarify the importance of bonds in investment from various related sectors' persons and organizations. Group of listed companies are selected using judgmental sampling according to their education, locations, position on various jobs etc. Another group is Brokers and Market Makers, which are also randomly selected, and the last group is staff of SEBON \&NEPSE.

## Hypothesis - 1

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the factors due to which Nepalese bond market cannot grow smoothly. (See. ANNEX-4)

Table 4.15
Survey Results on Factors Dominating the Growth of Nepalese Bonds Market

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lack of investor's <br> awareness | 14 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 39 |
| Limited supply of <br> quality bonds | 11 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 35 |
| Lack of capital gain <br> opportunity | 10 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 26 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the drawbacks of bond market of Nepal.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the drawbacks of bond market of Nepal.

Interpretation: As per table 4.15, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 5 d.f. is 0.793302 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 9.49 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 4 d.f. is greater than the calculated value ( i.e. 9.49> .793302), the null hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding factor dominates the growth of Nepalese Bonds Market

## Hypothesis - 2

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the choice of securities by Nepalese investors. (See. ANNEX-4)

Table 4.16
Survey Results on Regarding Choice of Securities

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Debenture | 10 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 29 |
| Common Stock | 20 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 51 |
| Preference | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
| Mutual fund | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of securities by Nepalese investors.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of securities by Nepalese investor.

Interpretation: As per table 4.16, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 2 d.f. is 0.98329 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 5.99 : Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 2 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $5.99>0.98329$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of securities by Nepalese investors.

## Hypothesis - 3

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the choice of various sector's bonds. (See. ANNEX-4)

Table 4.17
Survey Results on Regarding to Choice of Various Sectors' Bonds

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Banking | 21 | 9 | 15 | 14 | 59 |
| Manufacturing | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 12 |
| Hotel Sector | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 15 |
| Others | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 14 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of various sector's bond.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of various sector's bond.

Interpretation: As per table 4.17, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 1 d.f. is 0.6237 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 3.84 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 1 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $3.84>0.6237$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of various sector's bond.

## Hypothesis - 4

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the reasons that the investors are influenced to purchase debt securities. (See. ANNEX-4)

Table 4.18
Survey Results on Regarding to the Reason for Influencing to Purchase Debt Securities

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker <br> Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Liquid Assets | 12 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 41 |
| Lack of investment opportunity | 8 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 26 |
| Declining interest rate of deposit | 10 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 22 |
| Other | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the reasons influenced to investors for purchasing debt securities.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the reasons influenced to investors for purchasing debt securities.

Interpretation: As per table 4.18, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 3 d.f. is 1.743098 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 3.841 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 3 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $3.841>1.743098$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the reasons influenced to investors for purchasing debt.

## Hypothesis - 5

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the importance of bonds in investment. (See. ANNEX-4)

Table 4.19
Survey Results on regarding importance of bond in investment

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Additional capital supply | 28 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 59 |
| Tax advantage | 7 | 7 | 14 | 13 | 41 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the importance of bond in investment.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the importance of bond in investment.

Interpretation: As per Table 4.19, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 2 d.f. is 11.74039 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 5.99 Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 2 d.f. is less than the calculated value (i.e. 5.99 < 11.74039), the alternative hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the importance of bond in investment

## Hypothesis - 6

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the use of bank loan instead of issuing debenture or bonds. (See. ANNEX-4)

Table 4.20
Survey Results on Use of Bank Loan Instead of Issuing Debenture

|  | Listed Market <br> Companies | Broker <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bank loan is easily <br> available | 13 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 35 |
| Issuing debenture is <br> difficult process | 7 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 26 |
| Cost of bank loan is <br> less than issuance <br> debenture | 12 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 30 |
| Other | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding to the use of bank loan or issuing of debenture.
Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding to the use of bank loan or issuing of debenture.

Interpretation: As per Table 4.20, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 4 d.f. is 1.887616 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 9.49 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 4 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $9.49>1.887616$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the use of bank loan or issuing debenture.

## Hypothesis - 7

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the preference given by the Nepalese investor between Government bonds and corporate bonds. (See. ANNEX-4)

Table 4.21
Survey Results on Regarding Preference between Government Bonds and Corporate Bonds

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker <br> Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Government bonds | 15 | 8 | 21 | 12 | 56 |
| Corporate bonds | 20 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 44 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice between government bonds and corporate bonds.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice between government bonds and corporate bonds.

Interpretation: As per Table 4.21, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 5 d.f. is 5.013412 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 11.070 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 5 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $11.070>5.013142$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice between and corporate bonds.

### 4.10. Major Findings of the Study:

### 4.10.1. Finding from the Analysis of Ownership Pattern of Government Securities

While analyzing the ownership pattern of government securities it is found that the major holder of T-Bills in the previous years of observation was Nepal Rastra Bank and in the recent years commercial Banks are the Major holders of it and also the other holder's portion is increased, which indicate that the range of T-Bills in the recent years becomes wide and the researcher expect it will cover further wide -range in future. It indicates a well prospect of T- Bills market.

Similarly, the major portion of government securities holders in the previous years of observation was covered by NRB and in the recent years of observation its range has also become wide and the major portion of these bonds are hold by commercial banks, finance companies, individuals and other organization. It indicates the broad range of government securities, which clearly indicates the importance and prospects of government securities.

### 4.10.2.Findings through Trend Analysis of Government Securities and T- Bills

The trend of T-Bills as a whole seems to be in increasing trend. Its trend in previous years was slightly increased but in recent years it increased rapidly. It is a prospect to the debt securities market. It indicates that this amount will further increase in coming year due to which the debt securities market will also be of wide range.

The trend of development bond was in increasing trend in starting period of observation, decreased in the middle period of observation and again increased in the recent years of the observation and we can expect that it will further increase in coming years. The trend of National saving bond is also increasing the observation periods, which indicated its wide range in the coming years.

The trend of special bond is increased in the previous years but slightly decreased in recent years. The government's budget for the development of various specified sector is also decreased due to which the amount of this bond is decreased.

As a whole, by observing the trend of government bonds, the amount of government securities is increased every year. The size of deficit budget is also increased and the present trend is to reduce the external debt. So we can be expected that it will further be increased. By using the curvilinear Model, the forecasted amount in the coming years also show the increasing trend of amount of government securities every year. It is the sign of a good prospect of government debt securities market. We can estimate that more and more persons and institutions will be involved to invest in government securities in future.

### 4.10.3. Findings through Trend Analysis of Corporate Debt Securities

The corporate firm issues various kinds of securities to collect funds. During the observation period from the F.Y. 1994/95 to 2007/08, a total of 189 securities were issued. Out of them, only 14 were debentures. The percentages of debentures on total issued of securities were zero at the first four year. It is $4.03 \%$ of total issued after the issuance of debenture by Shree Ram Sugar Mills and reached 45.07\% in F/Y 2007/08. From this, it is clear that in the recent year, the issuance of debenture is increased and from this trend we can expect that it will increase in coming years. Thus it also indicates the bright prospects of the corporate debt market of Nepal. The findings are as follows:

- The total volume of bond securities issues is an in increasing trend but the issue of the bond was not regular.
- After enactment of Securities Exchange Act 1983, the first issuance of debenture has been made by Shree Ram Sugar Mills Limited in the Fiscal Year 1997/98 and the issuance of debenture was followed by other 14 corporate sectors till the fiscal year 2007/08.
- The percentage coverage of Government Bonds is much more than Corporate Bonds. Government Bonds covers nearly $95.60 \%$ of the total bond issued in Nepalese Market where as Corporate Bond covers only $4.40 \%$.
- The history of Government Bonds in Nepal started with the issue of Treasury Bills in 1962 A.D. Since then the volume of Government Bonds have been growing and the amount outstanding of Government Bonds reached to Rs. 111239 million till 2008 year.
- The prime characters of Nepalese Corporate Bonds were 1000 par value, long term maturity generally 7 years, unsecured etc. However the bonds from the corporate sectors can be judged as a good quality bonds with brighter prospects.
- With respect to the present pace of corporate sector's equity securities, the corporate bond market is very weak. The few issuances of bonds from the Corporate Sector have proved it.
- Investors reflect deep preference for the bonds issued from the Banking and Sector in comparison to other sectors, so issuance of debenture by banking sector indicates the prospects of Bond Market in Nepal.
- The Nepalese Corporate Bonds were under priced. As stated by Francis, if the price of bond is below its intrinsic value, it is under priced and hence it is a good investment opportunity.
- The durations of Nepalese Corporate Bonds were less than their maturity periods. As stated by McCauley, less duration are more attractive due to less price risk. Thus due to less duration to maturity period the prospects of Nepalese Bond Market is high.
- Many weakness of Nepalese Bond Market were found during the research period some of them are: weak governance, fiscal deficit, lack of central market infrastructure, lack of credit rating agencies, lack of trained professionals etc.


### 4.10.4. Findings from the Analysis of Interest Structure

The Interest rate on government bonds are different depend upon the nature of the bonds. The securities with lower maturity period have higher interest rate. During the observed periods, the interest rate on government securities is slightly changed but not substantial change. While the interest rate on deposit of commercial banks is in decreasing trend and substantial rate during this period. Similarly, the refinance rate of NRB also decreasing this structure of interest rate indicates that by investing on government securities investors can get higher return than deposit on commercial banks. So most of the deposit holders will attract towards government securities, which is a bright prospect of debenture securities market.

### 4.10.5. Findings of the Questionnaire Survey

The majority of the respondent's opinion is that lack of investor's awareness towards debt securities is the main factor that the Nepalese debt market cannot growth smoothly. Also a major portion of them give their opinion that limited supply of quality bonds is also the factor due to which Nepalese debt market cannot growth smoothly.

The common stock is highly popular among the Nepalese investors in comparison to other types of securities. It is a problem of debt securities market and is necessary to change the concept of public.

The banking sector's debenture is most like by Nepalese investors in comparison to other sector's debenture. So issuance of debenture by banking sector indicates the prospects of Nepalese debt market.

Most of the respondents give their opinion that because of liquid assets, investors are influence for purchasing debt securities it shows that most of the investors want to invest on liquid assets. So it seems that investor's attraction towards debt securities is increased, while indicates the well growth prospects of Nepalese debt market.

Most of the respondents give their opinion that because of additional supply of capital, the companies issue debentures. This shows the importance of debenture in capital formation and also shows the prospects of bonds market.

Most of the respondents agree that Nepalese debt market is dominated by the creditoriented transaction.

Most of the respondents give their opinion that they prefer government securities because of risk associated of not payment of interest and principal by the corporate society.

Most of the respondents agree that there are lots of problems while issuing and while liquidating the bonds in security market.

Most of the respondents that stock issue is easier than debenture issue because investor are not interested and have less knowledge about bonds.

Most of the respondents disagree on issuing debenture when the survey conducted but the view that after certain period the may issue bonds. This shows the importance and prospects of bonds Market growth in Nepal.

## CHAPTER - V

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

### 5.1. Summary

In growth of economic development of a country capital market is extremely necessary and lack of proper development of capital market cannot pump necessary fund to industrialization process. Since, debentures market is being significant contribution in capital market development. The researcher has paid his all attention and efforts to prioritize the bonds as one of the strong part of capital formation and its prospects in Nepal. Bonds market is an important part of capital market of the nation. Development of capital market is essential to develop the national economy and to develop the capital market it is also essential to develop the bonds and debenture market.

While conducting this research, the research analyzed various issue related to the debt securities. From the ownership pattern of government securities and T- Bills it is clearly show that the major portion of government securities is held by NRB, commercial banks and financial institution. The portion of individual on it is not at satisfactory level. The trend of issuing government securities is increasing in nature. Every year the size of deficit budget of government is huge and to fulfill this deficit, government issues various kinds of securities. It is seemed that it will also increase in coming year. On the other hand the trend of issuing corporate bonds is also increasing in nature. The issuance of Himalayan bond 2066, Investment bond 2060, Bank of Kathmandu bond 2069, NIC Bond 2070 and Nepal SBI Band Debenture-2070, NIB Bond 2070, NEA Bond 2069, KBL bond 2070, HBL Bond 2072, NIB Bond 2072 and Nabil Bond 2075 Because of the increasing public concerned towards banking sector's debenture, it can be expected to increase in future. While analyzing the interest rate structure, the interest rate on deposit of commercial banks for various schemes are decreasing but the coupon rate on debt securities is not so decreased, which shows the attraction of general investors towards debt securities.

This research study is also base on the field survey. It takes total 100 samples of respondents of various categories using random sampling and judgmental observe their opinion about the various issues of the Nepalese bonds market. The questionnaire contain 10 different questions relating to Nepalese bonds are made. Questionnaires were distributed to the members of bonds market society such as brokers; issue manager, NEPSE and SEBO/N officers, listed companies and individual investors. The personal interviews were also conducted to support the data. The data obtained from questionnaires were analyzed using percentage method, ratio and Chi Square. Like this way, other important statistical analysis such as curvilinear analyses was also made. From this research study, the researcher found lot of prospects of the bonds market of Nepal. Most of the Nepalese investors want to invest in liquid assets and so it can be expected that its market will grow in future. If there is any issuance of debenture, Nepalese investors seem to accept it, which encourage the companies to issue debenture.

The trend of issuance of government securities is rapidly increased in the recent years and the forecasted amount of the debt market of Nepal. Likewise, the trend of issuance of corporate debt securities is also positive and it also shows the growth prospects of Nepalese debt market.

From the opinion of these respondents, it can be summarizing that investors are gradually interested in bonds and number of debenture issuance is also increasing and on the other hand, programs for the trading of government securities in the stock exchange in the major prospects of Nepalese bonds market in Nepal and Nepalese capital market.

### 5.2. Conclusion

Nepalese bond market is still at under developed stage. Government bond was market is slightly at maturity stage as compare with corporate debt securities market. In the recent year, many factors show that it starts to take speed to grow. From this research study the researcher come into conclusion that there are a number of advantages. We can classify these advantages as; Advantages from the view point's of issuers like less costly, flexibility in financial structure, having no interference in management and control, facility of trading on the equity i.e. the interest paid on debt can be deducted as tax
expenditure. On the other hand, from the viewpoints of investors advantages like bonds and debentures are liquid, security of investment, having fixed maturity period, priority of income. In the same way, number of debentures and the issuers are increasing and government has also decided to trade its bonds through stock exchange shows importance of bonds in capital market and prospects of growth of bonds market in Nepal.

The researcher has also concluded that there are also some prospects exist, which indicate the growth future of Nepalese debt market. The main factors which indicate the prospects are as investor's attraction towards liquid assets like bonds and debentures, desire to invest on debenture of any potential issuance, declining interest rate on deposit of commercial banks, increasing trend of amount of government securities and the increasing trend of issuance of corporate debenture. The view to implement program for the trading of government securities in the stock exchange as announced in the budget speech for the fiscal year 2006/07, a high level committee has been formed under the convener ship of ministry of finance with representation from SEBON, NEPSE and NRB. The "Public Debt Act, 2002" has delegated authority to the NRB for necessary arrangement of primary and secondary transactions of government securities. In this context, SEBO has been assisting NRB in drafting the necessary regulation. Besides, SEBO has also started to draft a "Government securities trading by laws" base on the draft regulation proposed by NRB. These factors indicate the growth prospect of Nepalese bond and debenture Market.

### 5.3. Recommendation

The researcher desires to give some recommendations, which are drawn through this study, which can be addressed as the importance and prospects of bind market growth in Nepal.

- From the field survey, the researcher found that investors are attracted towards bonds and debentures. On the other hand, the government needs huge amount of money for development proposes. So for the government to utilize internal source of funds, it is better to provide the authority to the local bodies to issue securities. Nepal Government used to give tax exemption in debentures income. It should
provide tax rebates more on individual and institutional investor on debentures interest income to promote debenture and bond market.
- From the field survey, most of the related parties to the bonds market of Nepal give their opinion that the existing rules and regulations for the growth of bonds market are insufficient. So researcher would like to recommend to the government to implement the "Public Debt Act 2002" with the amendment of trading government securities on stock exchange as soon as possible to fulfill this gap.
- From the analysis of ownership pattern of government securities, most of the government securities holders are institutional investors. Ownership part of individual investors is very small. To grow the debt market of Nepal, this limitation should be broadening. Government should encourage individuals to invest on government securities.
- From the analysis of interest rate it is found that deposit on commercial bank is decreasing every year. But the interest rate on bonds and debentures is higher to some extent than bank's deposit rate. On the other hand, investor's fund is utilized with better way while investing in government bonds, due to which it helps to raise the national economy upwards. So researcher would like to recommend to those depositors to invest their funds on government bonds.
- Most of the respondents of questionnaire agree that the major factor due to which Nepalese bond market cannot grow smoothly is lack of public awareness towards debt securities. So the researcher would like to recommend to the investors to change in existing perception and attitude on debenture and bonds. Investors should extend their present investment by properly analyzing risk and return on debentures and bonds. Investors should call investors protection act and should enforce debentures and bonds issuing companies to enlist debentures and bonds in NEPSE or any other securities exchange companies. They also should make investment decision analyzing profitability of company, return, risk, liquidity of security. All manufacturing and trading companies may not be weak. So Investors
should identify strong companies and their debentures issue should be taken positively.
- Researcher would like to recommend the brokers and securities dealers to do more efforts to make a smooth transaction of debt securities in the secondary market and to create positive concept to the investors about debenture and bonds.
- Since it is observed from the survey the researcher wants to recommend that Security Board of Nepal (SEBON) should co-operate NEPSE. SEBON should organize conference to discuss about importance and prospects of debentures and bonds market. Research and development activities should be conducted to improve bonds and debentures market.
- Security Board of Nepal (SEBON) should co-operate NEPSE. Procedures of reviewing debentures prospect and issue approval should be quick. SEBON should add additional provisions that help to protect investor's interest. SEBON should timely disclose price sensitivity information.
- Company Registrar Office should be transparent and open with modern technology. Bureaucratic procedures must be quick and prospectus should be approved strictly reviewing all economic and technical aspect of organization.
- Bond Markets are more complicated than Equity Market. Therefore, some efforts need to be taken to distinguish it from Equity Market.
- Legislation and Control mechanism should be made more effective and adequate to provide safety of investment to the investors and hence promote the Nepalese Bond Market.
- The Government should enlist the government bonds on NEPSE and allow all non-banking financial institutions to participate in the secondary market transactions.
- Security and Credit Rating Agencies Should be established.
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## ANNEXURE

## ANNEX - 1

## Questionnaires

## Dear Respondent,

I have been writing thesis on TREND OF BOND MARKET IN NEPAL in partial fulfillment of the requirements of Master of Business studies (M.B.S). I have needed your response on questions asked you. I have sent you some questions regarding debentures market hoping your timely response. Your cooperation has great value for me.

Thanking you,

Researcher, Shanker Dev Campus, Kathmandu

Instructions: Please tick $(\sqrt{ })$ in an appropriate place and put your view in open end question.

1. Do you think existing legal provisions regarding debt securities market is;
(a) Sufficient ( )
(b) Insufficient ( )
2. Are there problems in primary and secondary market of bonds?
(a) Yes ( )
(b) $\quad \mathrm{No}(\mathrm{)}$
3. In which sector's debenture would you like to invest?
(a) Banks ( )
(b) Manufacturing ( )
(c) Hotel ( )
(d) Other ( )
4. Which is most dominant prospect of debenture issue?
(a) Additional capital supply ( )
(b) Tax advantage ( )
5. Which security issue do you prefer most?
(a) Debenture ( )
(b) Common stock ( )
(c) Preference share ( )
(c) Mutual fund ( )
6. Why you prefer to bank loan instead of issuing debenture?
(a) Bank loan is easily available ( )
(b) Issuing debenture is difficult process ( )
(c) Cost of bank loan is less than issuance debenture ( )
(d) Other ( )
7. Is stock issue easier than debenture issue?
(a) Yes ( )
(b) No ( )
8. What is the reason that influences you to purchase debenture?
(a) Liquid assets ( )
(b) Lack of investment opportunity ( )
(c) Declining interest rate of deposit ( )
(d) Other ( )
9. Which factor dominates the growth of Nepalese bonds market?
(a) Lack of investor's awareness ( )
(b) Limited supply of quality bonds ( )
(c) Lack of capital gain opportunity ( )
10. Which type of bond do you prefer?
a) Government Bonds ()
b) Corporate Bonds ()

## ANNEX- 2

Tabulation of Responses to Field Survey Based on Questionnaire

| S.N | Listed Companies | Broker \& Market Maker | Individual Investors | Other Expert | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | 8 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 26 |
| b | 27 | 13 | 20 | 14 | 74 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| 2 a | 33 | 12 | 28 | 19 | 92 |
| b | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| 3 a | 21 | 9 | 15 | 14 | 59 |
| b | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 12 |
| c | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 15 |
| d | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 14 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{a}$ | 28 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 59 |
| b | 7 | 7 | 14 | 13 | 41 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| 5 a | 10 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 29 |
| b | 20 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 51 |
| c | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
| d | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| 6 a | 13 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 35 |
| b | 7 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 26 |
| c. | 12 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 30 |
| d. | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| 7 a | 25 | 8 | 22 | 7 | 62 |
| b | 10 | 7 | 8 | 13 | 38 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| $8 \quad \mathrm{a}$ | 12 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 41 |
| b | 8 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 26 |
| c | 10 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 22 |
| d | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| 9 a | 14 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 39 |
| b | 11 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 35 |
| c | 10 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 26 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |
| 10 a | 15 | 8 | 21 | 12 | 56 |
| b | 20 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 44 |
|  | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

## ANNEX- 3

## Growth Trend of Government Debt Securities Fitted in Curvilinear Model

(In Million)

| Year | X | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}=\mathrm{Amo} \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Securities } \end{aligned}$ | xy | x2 | x3 | x4 | x2y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 1 | 8,997 | 8,997 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8,997 |
| 1988 | 2 | 11,363 | 22,726 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 45,452 |
| 1989 | 3 | 12,888 | 38,664 | 9 | 27 | 81 | 115,992 |
| 1990 | 4 | 14,673 | 58,692 | 16 | 64 | 256 | 234,768 |
| 1991 | 5 | 20,856 | 104,280 | 25 | 125 | 625 | 521,400 |
| 1992 | 6 | 23,235 | 139,410 | 36 | 216 | 1,296 | 836,460 |
| 1993 | 7 | 25,456 | 178,192 | 49 | 343 | 2,401 | 1,247,344 |
| 1994 | 8 | 30,631 | 245,048 | 64 | 512 | 4,096 | 1,960,384 |
| 1995 | 9 | 32,058 | 288,522 | 81 | 729 | 6,561 | 2,596,698 |
| 1996 | 10 | 32,242 | 322,420 | 100 | 1,000 | 10,000 | 3,224,200 |
| 1997 | 11 | 35,891 | 394,801 | 121 | 1,331 | 14,641 | 4,342,811 |
| 1998 | 12 | 38,407 | 460,884 | 144 | 1,728 | 20,736 | 5,530,608 |
| 1999 | 13 | 49,670 | 645,710 | 169 | 2,197 | 28,561 | 8,394,230 |
| 2000 | 14 | 54,357 | 760,998 | 196 | 2,744 | 38,416 | 10,653,972 |
| 2001 | 15 | 60,044 | 900,660 | 225 | 3,375 | 50,625 | 13,509,900 |
| 2002 | 16 | 73,621 | 1,177,936 | 256 | 4,096 | 65,536 | 18,846,976 |
| 2003 | 17 | 81,148 | 1,379,516 | 289 | 4,913 | 83,521 | 23,451,772 |
| 2004 | 18 | 86,134 | 1,550,412 | 324 | 5,832 | 104,976 | 27,907,416 |
| 2005 | 19 | 87,564 | 1,663,716 | 361 | 6,859 | 130,321 | 31,610,604 |
| 2006 | 20 | 89955 | 1,799,100 | 400 | 8,000 | 160,000 | 35,982,000 |
| 2007 | 21 | 99,304 | 2,085,384 | 421 | 9,261 | 194,481 | 41,806,984 |
| 2008 | 22 | 111,239 | 2,447,258 | 484 | 10,648 | 234,256 | 53,617,198 |

Total $\Sigma x=253 \Sigma y=1,079,733 \Sigma x y=16,673,326 \Sigma x 2=3775 \Sigma \Sigma x 3=64,009 \Sigma \times 4=1,151,403 \Sigma \times 2 y=286,446,166$
Source: Field Survey
$\mathrm{N}=22$

The equation of curvilinear model is as below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=a+b x+c x^{2} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Sigma \mathrm{xy}=\mathrm{a} \Sigma \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{b} \Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{c} \Sigma \mathrm{x}^{3} \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ 3 ~$
$\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a} \Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{b} \Sigma \mathrm{x}^{3}+\mathrm{c} \Sigma \mathrm{x}^{4} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Where
$\Sigma \mathrm{y}=1,079,733$
$\Sigma \mathrm{x}=253$
$\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}=3,775$
$\Sigma \mathrm{xy}=16,673,326$
$\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{3}=64,009$
$\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{y}=286,446,166$
$\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{4}=1,151,403$
Substituting the value in equation 2,3 and 4 equations we get,

$$
1,079,733=22 a+253 b+3,775 c
$$5

$16,673,326=253 a+3,775 b+64,009 c \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . .$.
$286,446,166=3,775 \mathrm{a}+64,009 \mathrm{~b}+1,151,403 \mathrm{c}$
Solving equation 5 and 6 , multiplying equation 5 by 253 and equation by 22, we get
$5566 a+64009 b+955075 c=273172449$
$5566 \mathrm{a}+83050 \mathrm{~b}+1408198 \mathrm{c}=10341574$

$-19041 \mathrm{~b}-453123 \mathrm{c}=-93640723$
Taking (-) as common, so we get
$19041 \mathrm{~b}+453123 \mathrm{c}=93640723$ 8

Solving equation 6 and 7, multiplying equation 6 by 3775 and equation 7 by 253 , we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 955075 \mathrm{a}+14250625 \mathrm{~b}+241633975 \mathrm{c}=62941805650 \\
& 955075 \mathrm{a}+16194277 \mathrm{~b}+291304959 \mathrm{c}=72470879998 \\
& \ldots \quad-\quad
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-1943652 b-49670984 c=-9529074348
$$

Taking (-) as common, so we get

$$
1943652 b+49670984 c=9529074348 \ldots \ldots 9
$$

Solving equation 8 and 9, multiplying equation 8 by 1943652 and equation 9 by 19041, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 37009077732 \mathrm{~b}+880713425196 \mathrm{c}=182004978540396 \\
& 37009077732 \mathrm{~b}+945785206344 \mathrm{c}=181443104660268 \\
& -65071781148 \mathrm{c}=561873880128 \\
& \mathrm{c}=-561873880128 / 65071781148 \\
& \mathrm{c}=-8.634678047
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, substituting the value of C in equation (9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1943652 b+49670984 c=9529074348 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .9 \\
& 1943652 b+49670984^{*}-8.634678047=9529074348 \\
& 1943652 b=9529074348+428892955 \\
& b=9957967303 / 1943652 \\
& b=5123.328303
\end{aligned}
$$

Now putting the value of $b$, and $c$ in equation (5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,079,733=22 a+253 b+3,775 c \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 5 \\
& 22 a+253^{*} 5123.328303+3775^{*}-8.634678047=1,079,733 \\
& a=1079733 / 22 \\
& a=49078.77273
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the value of $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{4 9 0 7 8 . 7 7 2 7 3}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=5123.328303 \\
c=-8.634678047
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, substituting the value of $a, b$, and $c$ in the equation (1), the curvilinear model will be,

$$
y=49078.77273+5123.328303 x-8.634678047 x 2
$$

## Forecast of total bonds

The above equation is to forecast the amount of government bonds and T-Bills for the year, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013. Here the amount of securities issued by government has been estimated below using curvilinear model.

For

Year 2009, $\quad \mathrm{x}=23$
Year 2010, $\quad x=24$
Year 2011, $\quad x=25$
Year 2012, $\quad x=26$
Year 2013, $\quad x=27$

The forecasted amount of government securities issued for 2009:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =49078.77273+5123.328303 x-8.634678047 \times 2 \\
& =49078.77273+5123.328303 * 23+-8.634678047 * 529 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 162,347.579 \text { (In Million) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The forecasted amount of government securities issued for 2010:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =49078.77273+5123.328303 x-8.634678047 \times 2 \\
= & 2282.592436+3026.8563 * 24+67.26094 * 576 \\
= & \text { Rs } 167065.0774 \text { (In Million) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The forecasted amount of government securities issued for 2011:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =49078.77273+5123.328303 x-8.634678047 \times 2 \\
& =2282.592436+72644.5512 * 25+38742.30144 * 625 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 171765.3065 \text { (In Million) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The forecasted amount of government securities issued for 2012:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =49078.77273+5123.328303 x-8.634678047 \mathrm{x} 2 \\
& =49078.77273+5123.328303 * 26-8.634678047 * 676 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 176448.2662(\text { In Million })
\end{aligned}
$$

The forecasted amount of government securities issued for 2013:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =49078.77273+5123.328303 x-8.634678047 \times 2 \\
& =49078.77273+5123.328303 * 27-8.634678047 * 729 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 181113.9566 \text { (In Million) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ANNEX - 4

## Testing of hypothesis

## Hypothesis - 1

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the factors due to which Nepalese bond market cannot grow smoothly.

## Table -4.15

Hypothesis Test Regarding Factor Dominating the Growth of Nepalese Bonds
Market

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lack of investor's <br> awareness | 14 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 39 |
| Limited supply of <br> quality bonds | 11 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 35 |
| Lack of capital gain <br> opportunity | 10 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 26 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the drawbacks of bond market of Nepal.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the drawbacks of bond market of Nepal.

Test statistic: under Ho, the test statistic is $\chi 2=\Sigma(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})^{2}$

## Calculation of expected frequencies

Expected frequency of $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{C} 1=\underline{\text { Row Total } \times \text { column total }}$ Grand Total

| R1C1 | 13.65 | $\mathbf{R 2 C 3}$ | 10.5 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R 1 C 2}$ | 5.85 | $\mathbf{R 2 C 4}$ | 7 |
| $\mathbf{R 1 C 3}$ | 11.7 | $\mathbf{R 3 C 1}$ | 9.1 |
| $\mathbf{R 1 C 4}$ | 7.8 | $\mathbf{R 3 C 2}$ | 3.9 |
| $\mathbf{R 2 C 1}$ | 12.25 | $\mathbf{R 3 C 3}$ | 7.8 |
| $\mathbf{R 2 C 2}$ | 5.25 | $\mathbf{R 3 C} 4$ | 5.2 |

## Calculation of $\chi^{2}$

| Observed frequency <br> $(\mathrm{O})$ | Expected frequency <br> $(\mathrm{E})$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2 / \mathrm{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 13.65 | 0.35 | 0.1225 | 0.008974 |
| 7 | 5.85 | 1.15 | 1.3225 | 0.226068 |
| 10 | 11.7 | -1.7 | 2.89 | 0.247009 |
| 8 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.005128 |
| 11 | 12.25 | -1.25 | 1.5625 | 0.127551 |
| 5 | 5.25 | -0.25 | 0.0625 | 0.011905 |
| 11 | 10.5 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.02381 |
| 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0.142857 |
| 10 | 9.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 3.9 | 13 |  |  |
| 9 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 13 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 0 |  |

Hence, $\chi 2$ tabulated at $5 \%$ level of significant for $(R-1)(C-1)-2$
i.e. $(3-1)(4-1)-2$
i.e. 4 d.f is 9.49

Interpretation: As per table no. 15, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 5 d.f. is 0.793302 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 9.49 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 4 d.f. is greater than the calculated value ( i.e. 9.49> .793302), the null hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the drawbacks of bond market of Nepal.

## Hypothesis - 2

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the choice of securities by Nepalese investors.

Table-4.16
Hypothesis Test Regarding Choice of Securities

|  | Listed Companies | Broker Market Makers | Individual Investors | Other Experts | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debenture | 10 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 29 |
| Common Stock | 20 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 51 |
| Preference | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
| Mutual fund | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of securities by Nepalese investors.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of securities by Nepalese investor.

Test statistic: under Ho, the test statistic is $\chi 2=\Sigma(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})^{2}$
E
Calculation of expected frequencies

Expected frequency of R1C1 $=$ Row Total $\times$ column total
Grand Total

| R1C1 | 10.15 | R3C1 | 3.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1C2 | 4.35 | R3C2 | 1.5 |
| R1C3 | 8.7 | R3C3 | 3 |
| R1C4 | 5.8 | R3C4 | 2 |
| R2C1 | 17.85 | $\mathbf{R 4 C 1}$ | 3.5 |
| R2C2 | 7.65 | $\mathbf{R 4 C 2}$ | 1.5 |
| R2C3 | 15.3 | $\mathbf{R 4 C 3}$ | 3 |
| R2C4 | 10.2 | $\mathbf{R 4 C 4}$ | 2 |

## Calculation of X2

| Observer Frequency (O) | Expected <br> (E) | Frequency | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})$ | (O-E)2 | (O-E)2/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 10.15 |  | -0.15 | 0.0225 | 0.002217 |
| 5 | 4.35 |  | 0.65 | 0.4225 | 0.097126 |
| 8 | 8.7 |  | -0.7 | 0.49 | 0.056322 |
| 6 | 5.8 |  | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.006897 |
| 20 | 17.85 |  | 2.15 | 4.6225 | 0.258964 |
| 7 | 7.65 |  | -0.65 | 0.4225 | 0.055229 |
| 16 | 15.3 |  | 0.7 | 0.49 | 0.032026 |
| 8 | 10.2 |  | -2.7 | 7.29 | 0.714706 |
| 3 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 3.5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.98329 |

Hence, $\chi 2$ tabulated at $5 \%$ level of significant for $(R-1)(C-1)-7$
i.e. $(4-1)(4-1)-7$
i.e. 2 d.f. is 5.99

Interpretation: As per Table 16, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 2 d.f. is 0.98329 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 5.99 : Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 2 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $5.99>0.98329$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted i.e. there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of securities by Nepalese investors.

## Hypothesis - 3

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the choice of various sector's bonds.

Table 4.17
Hypothesis Test Regarding to Choice of Various Sector's Bonds

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Banking | 21 | 9 | 15 | 14 | 59 |
| Manufacturing | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 12 |
| Hotel Sector | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 15 |
| Others | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 14 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of various sector's bond.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of various sector's bond.

Test statistic: under Ho, the test statistic is $\chi 2=\Sigma\left(\underline{(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})^{2}}\right.$ E
Calculation of expected frequencies

Expected frequency of $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{C} 1=$ Row Total $\times$ column total Grand Total

| R1C1 | 20.65 | R2C4 | 2.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1C2 | 8.85 | R3C1 | 5.25 |
| R1C3 | 17.7 | R3C2 | 2.25 |
| R1C4 | 11.8 | R3C3 | 4.5 |
| R2C1 | 4.2 | R3C4 | 3 |
| R2C2 | 1.8 | R4C1 | 4.9 |
| R2C3 | 3.6 | R4C2 | 2.1 |

Calculation of $\chi^{2}$

| Observed frequency <br> $(\mathrm{O})$ | Expected <br> frequency (E) | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2 / \mathrm{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | 20.65 | 0.35 | 0.1225 | 0.005932 |
| 9 | 8.85 | 0.15 | 0.0225 | 0.002542 |
| 15 | 17.7 | -2.7 | 7.29 | 0.411864 |
| 14 | 11.8 | 23.8 | 2.2 | 4.84 |
| 3 | 4.2 |  |  | 0.203361 |
| 3 | 1.8 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3.6 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.4 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 5.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2.25 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4.5 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4.9 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.1 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4.2 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.8 |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2 3 7}$ |  |

Hence, $\chi 2$ tabulated at $5 \%$ level of significant for $(R-1)(C-1)-8$
i.e. $(4-1)(4-1)-8$
i.e. 1 d.f. is 3.84

Interpretation: As per Table 17, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 1 d.f. is 0.6237 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 3.84 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 1 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $3.84>0.6237$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore we can conclude that there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice of various sector's bond.

## Hypothesis - 4

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the reasons that the investors are influenced to purchase debt securities.

Table 4.18
Hypothesis Test regarding to the Reasons for the Influencing the investors to Purchase Debt Securities

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Liquid Assets | 12 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 41 |
| Lack of investment <br> opportunity | 8 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 26 |
| Declining interest <br> rate of deposit | 10 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 22 |
| Other | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the reasons for the influencing the investors to purchasing debt securities.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the reasons for the influencing the investors to purchasing debt securities.

Test statistic: under Ho, the test statistic is $\chi^{2}=\Sigma \frac{(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})^{2}}{\mathrm{E}}$

Calculation of expected frequencies

Expected frequency of $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{C} 1=$ Row Total $\times$ column total Grand Total

| R1C1 | 14.35 | R3C1 | 7.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1C2 | 6.15 | R3C2 | 3.3 |
| R1C3 | 12.3 | R3C3 | 6.6 |
| R1C4 | 8.2 | R3C4 | 4.4 |
| R2C1 | 9.1 | R4C1 | 3.85 |
| R2C2 | 3.9 | R4C2 | 1.65 |
| R2C3 | 7.8 | R4C3 | 3.3 |
| R2C4 | 5.2 | R4C4 | 2.2 |

## Calculation of $\chi^{2}$

| Observed frequency (O) | Expected frequency (E) | (O-E) | (O-E)2 | (O-E)2/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 14.35 | -2.35 | 5.5225 | 0.384843 |
| 8 | 6.15 | 1.85 | 3.4225 | 0.556504 |
| 11 | 12.3 | -1.3 | 1.69 | 0.137398 |
| 10 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 3.24 | 0.395122 |
| 812 | 9.113 | -1 | 1 | 0.076923 |
| 4 | 3.9 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 1.44 | 0.184615 |
| 5 | 5.2 | -0.2 | 0.04 | 0.007692 |
| 1011 | 7.711 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 3.3 |  |  |  |
| 811 | 6.611 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 4.4 |  |  |  |
| 511 | 3.8511 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1.65 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3.3 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1.743098 |

Hence, $\times 2$ tabulated at $5 \%$ level of significant for $(R-1)(C-1)-6$
i.e. $(4-1)(4-1)-6$
i.e. 3 d.f. is 3.841

Interpretation: As per Table 18, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 3 d.f. is 1.743098 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 3.841 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 3 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $3.841>1.743098$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore we can conclude that there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the reasons influenced to investors for purchasing debt.

## Hypothesis - 5

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the importance of bonds in investment.

Table 4.19
Hypothesis Test Regarding Importance of Bond in Investment

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Additional capital supply | 28 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 59 |
| Tax advantage | 7 | 7 | 14 | 13 | 41 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the importance of bond in investment.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the importance of bond in investment.

Test statistic: under Ho, the test statistic is $\chi^{2}=\frac{\Sigma(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})^{2}}{\mathrm{E}}$
Calculation of expected frequencies

Expected frequency of $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{C} 1=\underline{\text { Row Total } \times \text { column total }}$ Grand Total

| R1C1 | 20.65 | R2C1 | 14.35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1C2 | 8.85 | R2C2 | 6.15 |
| R1C3 | 17.7 | R2C3 | 12.3 |
| R1C4 | 11.8 | R2C4 | 8.2 |

## Calculation of $\chi^{2}$

| Observed frequency <br> $(\mathrm{O})$ | Expected <br> frequency (E) | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2 / \mathrm{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | 20.65 | 7.35 | 54.0225 | 2.616102 |
| 8 | 8.85 | -0.85 | 0.7225 | 0.081638 |
| 16 | 17.7 | -1.7 | 2.89 | 0.163277 |
| 7 | 11.8 | -4.8 | 23.04 | 1.952542 |
| 7 | 14.35 | -7.35 | 54.0225 | 3.764634 |
| 7 | 6.15 | 0.85 | 0.7225 | 0.11748 |
| 14 | 12.3 | 1.7 | 2.89 | 0.234959 |
| 13 | 8.2 | 4.8 | 23.04 | 2.809756 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 4 0 3 9}$ |

Hence, $\chi 2$ tabulated at $5 \%$ level of significant for $(R-1)(C-1)-1$
i.e. $(2-1)(4-1)-1$
i.e. 2 d.f. is 5.99

Interpretation: As per Table 19, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 2 d.f. is 11.74039 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 5.99 Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 2 d.f. is less than the calculated value ( i.e. $5.99<11.74039$ ), the alternative hypothesis is accepted. Therefore we can conclude
that there is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the importance of bond in investment

## Hypothesis - 6

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the use of bank loan instead of issuing debenture or bonds.

Table 4.20
Hypothesis Test Regarding Use of Bank Loan Instead of Issuing Debenture

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bank loan is easily <br> available | 13 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 35 |
| Issuing debenture is <br> difficult process | 7 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 26 |
| Cost of bank loan is <br> less than issuance <br> debenture | 12 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Other | 3 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 30 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| Sour |  | 30 | 20 | 100 |  |

Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho) : There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding to the use of bank loan or issuing of debenture.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding to the use of bank loan or issuing of debenture.

Test statistic: under Ho, the test statistic is $\chi 2=\Sigma \underline{(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})^{2}}$

## Calculation of expected frequencies

Expected frequency of $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{C} 1=$ Row Total $\times$ column total Grand Total

| R1C1 | 12.25 | $\mathbf{R 3 C 1}$ | 10.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R 1 C 2}$ | 5.25 | $\mathbf{R 3 C 2}$ | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{R 1 C 3}$ | 10.5 | $\mathbf{R 3 C 3}$ | 9 |
| $\mathbf{R 1 C 4}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{R 3 C 4}$ | 6 |
| $\mathbf{R 2 C 1}$ | 9.1 | $\mathbf{R 4 C 1}$ | 3.15 |
| $\mathbf{R 2 C 2}$ | 3.9 | $\mathbf{R 4 C 2}$ | 1.35 |
| $\mathbf{R 2 C 3}$ | 7.8 | $\mathbf{R 4 C 3}$ | 2.7 |
| $\mathbf{R 2 C 4}$ | 5.2 | $\mathbf{R 4 C 4}$ | 1.8 |

Calculation of $\chi^{2}$

| Observed <br> frequency (O) | Expected <br> frequency (E) | (O-E) | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2 / \mathrm{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 12.25 | 0.75 | 0.5625 | 0.045918 |
| 5 | 5.25 | -0.25 | 0.0625 | 0.011905 |
| 10 | 10.5 | -0.5 | 0.25 | 0.02381 |
| 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 9.1 | -2.1 | 4.41 | 0.484615 |
| 6 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 4.41 | 1.130769 |
| 8 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.005128 |
| 5 | 5.2 | -0.2 | 0.04 | 0.007692 |
| 12 | 10.5 | 15 |  | 0 |
| 3 | 4.5 |  |  | 0 |
| 10 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0.111111 |
| 5 | 6 | -1 | 1 | 0.066667 |
| 3 | 3.15 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.35 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.7 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 . 8 8 7 6 1 6}$ |

Hence, $\times 2$ tabulated at $5 \%$ level of significant for $(4-1)(4-1)-5$
i.e. $(4-1)(4-1)-5$
i.e. 4 d.f. is 9.49

Interpretation: As per Table 20, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 4 d.f. is 1.887616 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 9.49 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 4 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. 9.49>1.887616), the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore we can conclude that there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding to the use of bank loan or issuing of debenture.

## Hypothesis - 7

In 100 random samples of respondents it, contains the following distribution, which was noted the basis of related fields. The test is to draw the preference given by the Nepalese investor between Government bonds and corporate bonds.

Table 4.21

## Hypothesis Test Regarding Preference between Government Bonds and Corporate Bonds

|  | Listed <br> Companies | Broker <br> Market <br> Makers | Individual <br> Investors | Other <br> Experts | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Government <br> bonds | 15 | 8 | 21 | 12 | 56 |
| Corporate bonds | 20 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 44 |
| Total | 35 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 100 |

## Source: Field Survey conducted by researcher

## Hypothesis Testing:

Null Hypothesis (Ho) : There is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice between government bonds and corporate bonds.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ : There is significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice between government bonds and corporate bonds.

Test statistic: under Ho, the test statistic is $\chi 2=\Sigma\left(\underline{(O-E)^{2}}\right.$

## Calculation of expected frequencies

## Expected frequency of $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{C} 1=$ Row Total $\times$ column total Grand Total

| R1C1 | 19.6 | R2C1 | 15.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1C2 | 8.4 | R2C2 | 6.6 |
| R1C3 | 16.8 | R2C3 | 13.2 |
| R1C4 | 11.2 | R2C4 | 8.8 |

## Calculation of $\chi^{2}$

| Observed frequency <br> $(\mathrm{O})$ | Expected <br> frequency (E) | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2$ | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}) 2 / \mathrm{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 19.6 | -4.6 | 21.16 | 1.079592 |
| 8 | 8.4 | -0.4 | 0.16 | 0.019048 |
| 21 | 16.8 | 4.2 | 17.64 | 1.05 |
| 12 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 0.64 | 0.057143 |
| 20 | 15.4 | 4.6 | 21.16 | 1.374026 |
| 7 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 0.16 | 0.024242 |
| 9 | 13.2 | -4.2 | 17.64 | 1.336364 |
| 8 | 8.8 | -0.8 | 0.64 | 0.072727 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 . 0 1 3 1 4 2}$ |

Hence, $\chi 2$ tabulated at $5 \%$ level of significant for $(\mathrm{R}-1)(\mathrm{C}-1)-1$
i.e. $(2-1)(4-1)-1$
i.e. 5 d.f. is 11.070

Interpretation: As per Table 21, it can be clearly seen that the calculated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 5 d.f. is 5.013412 and tabulated value of $\chi 2$ is 11.070 . Since tabulated value of $\chi 2$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for 5 d.f. is greater than the calculated value (i.e. $11.070>5.013142$ ), the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore we can conclude that there is no significant relationship between observed and expected opinion regarding the choice between government bonds and corporate bonds.

## ANNEX-5

Calculation of value of Bond

| S.N. | Issuance Company | Coupon <br> (i) | Rate <br> Maturity <br> (Y) | Period <br> Market Int. Rate <br> $(\mathbf{k})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Shree Ram Sugar Mills <br> Limited | $14 \%$ | 4 Years | $10.69 \%$ |
| 2 | Himalayan Bank Limited | $8.50 \%$ | 7 Years | $5.50 \%$ |
| 3 | Nepal Investment Bank <br> Limited | $6 \%$ | 7 Years | $5.06 \%$ |
| 4 | Everest Bank Limited | $6 \%$ | 7 Years | $4.89 \%$ |
| 5 | Bank Of Kathmandu <br> Limited | $6 \%$ | 7 Years | $4.89 \%$ |

Value of bond $(V o)=I($ PVIFA kd \%, $\mathbf{n})+$ M $($ PVIF kd \%, $\mathbf{n})$
For SRSM:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Vo} & =\mathrm{I} / 2(\text { PVIFA kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2)+\mathrm{M}(\text { PVIF kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2) \\
& =140 / 2(\text { PVIFA } 10.69 \% / 2,4 * 2)+1000(\text { PVIF } 10.69 \% / 2,4 * 2) \\
& =70(\text { PVIFA } 5.345 \%, 8)+1000(\text { PVIF } 5.345 \%, 8) \\
& =70^{*} 6.3740+1000 * 0.6593 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 1105.49
\end{aligned}
$$

## For HBL:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Vo} & =\mathrm{I} / 2(\text { PVIFA kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2)+\mathrm{M}(\text { PVIF kd } / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2) \\
& =85 / 2(\text { PVIFA } 5.5 \% / 2,7 * 2)+1000(\text { PVIF } 5.5 \% / 2,7 * 2) \\
& =42.5(\text { PVIFA } 5.345 \%, 14)+1000(\text { PVIF } 5.345 \%, 14) \\
& =42.5 * 11.49+1000 * 0.6840 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 1172.33
\end{aligned}
$$

## For NIBL:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Vo} & =\mathrm{I} / 2(\text { PVIFA kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2)+\mathrm{M}(\text { PVIF kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2) \\
& =75 / 2(\text { PVIFA } 5.06 \% / 2,7 * 2)+1000(\text { PVIF } 5.06 \% / 2,7 * 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =37.5(\text { PVIFA } 2.53 \%, 14)+1000(\text { PVIF } 2.53 \%, 14) \\
& =37.5 * 11.67+1000 * 0.7048 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 1142.43
\end{aligned}
$$

## For EBL:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Vo} & =\mathrm{I} / 2(\text { PVIFA kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2)+\mathrm{M}(\text { PVIF kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2) \\
& =60 / 2(\text { PVIFA } 4.89 \% / 2,7 * 2)+1000(\text { PVIF } 4.89 \% / 2,7 * 2) \\
& =30(\text { PVIFA } 2.445 \%, 14)+1000(\text { PVIF } 2.445 \%, 14) \\
& =30^{*} 11.74+1000 * 0.7121 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 1064.29
\end{aligned}
$$

## For BOK:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Vo} & =\mathrm{I} / 2(\text { PVIFA kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2)+\mathrm{M}(\text { PVIF kd } \% / 2, \mathrm{n} * 2) \\
& =60 / 2(\text { PVIFA } 4.89 \% / 2,7 * 2)+1000(\text { PVIF } 4.89 \% / 2,7 * 2) \\
& =30(\text { PVIFA } 2.445 \%, 14)+1000(\text { PVIF } 2.445 \%, 14) \\
& =30^{*} 11.74+1000 * 0.7121 \\
& =\text { Rs. } 1064.29
\end{aligned}
$$

## ANNEX-6

Calculation of Duration

| S.N. | Issuance Company | Coupon (K) | RateMaturity <br> (Y) | PeriodMarket Int. Rate (K) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Shree Ram Sugar Mills Limited | $14 \%$ | 4 Years | 10.69\% |
| 2 | Himalayan Bank Limited | 8.50\% | 7 Years | 5.50\% |
| 3 | Nepal Investment Bank Limited | $6 \%$ | 7 Years | 5.06\% |
| 4 | Everest Bank Limited | 6\% | 7 Years | 4.89\% |
| 5 | Bank Of Kathmandu Limited | $6 \%$ | 7 Years | 4.89\% |

Duration (MD) $=\frac{1+y}{y}-\frac{(1+y)+T(c-y)}{c\left[(1+c)^{T}-1\right]+y}$

## For SRSM

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M D} & =\frac{1+\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}}-\frac{(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})+\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{c}\left[(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{T}}-\mathbf{1}\right]+\mathbf{y}} \\
& =\frac{1+0.1069}{0.1069}-\frac{(1+0.1069)+4(0.14-0.1069)}{0.14\left[(1+0.1069)^{4}-1\right]+0.1069} \\
& =6.4463 \text { semiannual periods }
\end{aligned}
$$

For HBL

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M D} & =\frac{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}}-\frac{(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})+\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{c}\left[(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{- 1}\right]+\mathbf{y}} \\
& =\frac{1+0.055}{0.055}-\frac{(1+0.055)+7(0.085-0.055)}{0.085\left[(1+0.055)^{7}-1\right]+0.055}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=5.67 \text { semiannual periods }
$$

## For NIBL

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M D} & =\frac{\mathbf{1 + Y}}{\mathbf{y}}-\frac{(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})+\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{c}\left[(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{- 1}\right]+\mathbf{y}} \\
& =\frac{1+0.0506}{0.506}-\frac{(1+0.0506)+7(0.075-0.0506)}{0.075\left[(1+0.0506)^{7}-1\right]+0.0506} \\
& =5.7947 \text { semiannual periods }
\end{aligned}
$$

## For EBL

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M D} & =\frac{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}}-\frac{(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})+\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{c}\left[(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{- 1}\right]+\mathbf{y}} \\
& =\frac{1+0.0489}{0.0489}-\frac{(1+0.0489)+7(0.06-0.0489)}{0.06\left[(1+0.0489)^{7}-1\right]+0.0489}
\end{aligned}
$$

$=5.9533$ semiannual periods

## For BOK

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M D} & =\frac{\mathbf{1 + y}}{\mathbf{y}}-\frac{(\mathbf{1 + \mathbf { y } ) + \mathbf { T } ( \mathbf { c } - \mathbf { y } )}}{\mathbf{c}\left[(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{- 1}\right]+\mathbf{y}} \\
& =\frac{1+0.0489}{0.0489}-\frac{(1+0.0489)+7(0.06-0.0489)}{0.06\left[(1+0.0489)^{7}-1\right]+0.0489}
\end{aligned}
$$

$=5.9533$ semiannual periods

