# TEACHING PRESENT CONTINUOUS TENSE THROUGH INDUCTIVE AND DEDUCTIVE METHODS

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education in Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Ganga Ram Paudel

Faculty of Education
Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur
Kathmandu, Nepal
2011

# TEACHING PRESENT CONTINUOUS TENSE THROUGH INDUCTIVE AND DEDUCTIVE METHODS

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education in Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

Submitted by
Ganga Ram Paudel
Faculty of Education
Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur
Kathmandu, Nepal
2011

T. U. Reg. No: 9-2-48-3104-2002 Date of Approval of the Thesis

Second Year Examination Proposal: 2066/09/13

Roll No.: 480491/2067 Date of Submission: 2068/04/18

## **DECLARATION**

| Ganga Ram Paudel  |  |
|---|--|
| Date: 2068/04/18  |  |
| University.   |  |
| of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any           |  |
| I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge, this thesis is original; no part |  |

## RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Mr. Ganga Ram Paudel** has prepared the thesis entitled 'Teaching Present Continuous Tense Through Inductive and Deductive **Methods'** under my guidance and supervision.

| I recommend the thesis for acceptance. |                                 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Date:                                  |                                 |
|  | Dr. Tara Datta Bhatta           |
|  | Reader                          |
|  | Department of English Education |
|  | Department of Education         |
|  | University Campus               |
|  | Kritipur                        |

## RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation by the following 'Research Guidance Committee'.

|                                 | Signature   |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Mr. Amirman Shrestha            |             |
| Reader and Head                 | Chairperson |
| Department of English Education |             |
| Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara |             |
|                                 |             |
| Dr. Tara Datta Bhatta (Guide)   |             |
| Reader                          | Member      |
| Department of English Education |             |
| University Campus               |             |
|                                 |             |
|                                 | Member      |
| Department of English Education |             |
| Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara |             |
|                                 |             |
| Date:                           |             |

## **EVALUATION AND APPROVAL**

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following 'Thesis Evaluation and Approval Committee'.

|                                 | Signature   |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
|                                 |             |
| Mr. Amirman Shrestha            |             |
| Reader and Head                 | Chairperson |
| Department of English Education |             |
| Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara |             |
|                                 |             |
| Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai       |             |
| Professor                       | Expert      |
| Department of English Education |             |
| University Campus               |             |
|                                 |             |
| Dr. Tara Datta Bhatta (Guide)   |             |
| Reader                          | Member      |
| Department of English Education |             |
| Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara |             |
| Date:                           |             |

# **DEDICATION**

Dedicated to My Family

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my honorable guru and thesis supervisor **Dr. Tara Data Bhatta**, Reader of Department of English Education for his invaluable and untiring guidance, inspiration, co-operation, insightful comments, constructive suggestions and encouragement from the very beginning to the end of the thesis writing. Without his help this study would have never been completed.

Similarly, I am very much indebted to **Mr. Amir Man Shrestha**, Head of the Department of English Education, Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara for his encouragement, valuable suggestions and moral support. I am equally grateful to my teachers **Mr. Tritha Raj Aryal**, **Mr. Yam Bahadur Kshetry**, **Mr. Navaraj Neupane**, **Mr.Bishnu Hari Timilsina**, **Mr.Pitri Raj Banstola**, Mr. **Prameshwar Baral** and other members of Department of English Education, Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara for their kind support.

Likewise, I would like thank the principal and the class nine students of Janata Sudarshan Higher Secondary School Thulipokhari, Parbat for providing me a class to complete my thesis. Similarly, I'm extremely grateful to my parents Nandalal and Kunti Devi for their hard work and contributions towards me to make me what I am today. Similarly, my spouse Ranu, lovely kids Ashim and Samip also deserve thanks for playing a vital role to complete this thesis as well as to uplift my study. In the same way, I cannot remain without thinking my friends, Narayan Sapkota, and other colleagues as well as my well-wishers who directly and indirectly helped me also deserve thanks for their kind co-operation at this work.

Finally, I would like to thank **Mr. Bhawani Prasad Parajuli** of Sugam Computer Service, Pokara-1, Bagar who helped me in type setting.

Date: 2068/04/18 **Ganga Ram Paudel** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

This thesis is an effort to find out the relative effectiveness of inductive and deductive methods of teaching present continuous tense. It has been carried out practically and comparatively. Forty students studying in grade nine of Janata Sudarshan higher secondary school, Thulipokhari Parbat, were the sample population for this work. Before starting the class, the students were pre-tested to determine their proficiency level in present continuous tense. They were divided into two groups on the basis of odd-even ranking of performance of the pre-test. After dividing them into two groups, one group was taught with applying inductive method and another with deductive method. After teaching 22 lessons, the post-test was undertaken using the same pre-test items. Thereafter, the results of both pre-and post- test were compared to determine the effectiveness of the two methods.

The thesis is divided into four chapters. The first chapter deals with general background of the study, review of the related literature, objectives of the study and significance of the study. The second chapter includes the research methodology, data gathering procedures and limitation of the study. The third chapter deals with analysis and interpretation of data which has been done on the basis of the difference between the average score in percentage of each group in pretest and post-test. The group with higher in percentage has been considered better. The fourth chapter includes the findings and recommendations. With the help of analysis and interpretation, some findings have been drawn and some recommendations based on those findings have been presented. The final part of the thesis entails bibliography and appendices.

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

Av.Sc. Average Score

Diff. Difference

e.g. Exempligratia

ELT English Language Teaching

et.al. and other people

i.e. that is

J.S.H.S.S Janata Sudarshan Higher Secondary School

Per. Percentage

Post-t Post-Test

Pre-t Pre-Test

R.N. Roll Number

Sc. Score

S.N. Serial Number

T. Sc. Total Score

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | Page No. |
|--|----------|
| DECLARATION  | i        |
| RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE                      | ii       |
| RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION                      | iii      |
| EVALUATION AND APPROVAL                            | iv       |
| DEDICATION   | v        |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS                                   | vi       |
| ABSTRACT   | vii      |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATION                               | viii     |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS                                  | ix       |
| LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES                         | xii      |
| CHAPTER-ONE: INTRODUCTION                          | (1-17)   |
| 1.1 General Background                             | 1        |
| 1.1.1 Grammar: An Introduction                     | 3        |
| 1.1.2 Teaching Grammar                             | 4        |
| 1.1.3 The Role of Grammar in Language Teaching     | 5        |
| 1.1.4. Morphology and Syntax                       | 6        |
| 1.1.5. Skills and Aspects                          | 7        |
| 1.1.6. What is Tense?                              | 8        |
| 1.1.7. Meaning and Use of Present Continuous Tense | 10       |
| 1.1.8. Methods of Teaching Grammar                 | 11       |
| 1.2 Review of Related Literature                   | 15       |
| 1.3. Objectives of the Study                       | 17       |
| 1.4. Significance of the Study                     | 17       |
| CHAPTER -TWO: METHODOLOGY                          | (18-21)  |
| 2.1. Sources of Data                               | 18       |
| 2.1.1. Primary Sources                             | 18       |

| 2.1.2 Secondary Sources  | 18      |
|--|---------|
| 2.2. Population of the Study                                     | 18      |
| 2.3 Sample of the Population                                     | 19      |
| 2.4 Sampling Procedure   | 19      |
| 2.5 Tools for Data Collection                                    | 19      |
| 2.6 Process of Data Collection                                   | 19      |
| 2.7. Limitations of the Study                                    | 21      |
| CHAPTER- THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION (                    | (22-38) |
| 3.1 Holistic Comparison  | 22      |
| 3.2 Item-wise Comparison   | 23      |
| 3.2.1. Writing the Correct Form Item                             | 23      |
| 3.2.2. Fill in the Blanks Item                                   | 24      |
| 3.2.3. Multiple Choice Item                                      | 25      |
| 3.2.4. The Performance of Boys in Writing the Correct Form Item  | n 26    |
| 3.2.5. The Performance of Boys in Fill in the Blanks Item        | 27      |
| 3.2.6. The Performance of Boys in Multiple Choices               | 27      |
| 3.2.7. The Performance of Girls in Writing the Correct Form Item | n 28    |
| 3.2.8. The Performance of Girls in Fill in the Blanks            | 29      |
| 3.2.9. The Performance of Girls in Multiple Choices              | 30      |
| 3.3. Sex-wise Comparison   | 31      |
| 3.3.1. Boys  | 32      |
| 3.3.2. Girls   | 33      |
| 3.3.3. Average Level of Performance in Pre-test and Post-test by |         |
| Group A and B (Girls) in Terms of Bar Diagram                    | 33      |
| 3.3.4. Average Level of Performance in Pre-test and Post-test by |         |
| Group A in Terms of Bar Diagram                                  | 34      |
| 3.3.5. Average Level of Performance in Pre-test and Post-test by |         |
| Group B in Terms of Bar Diagram                                  | 35      |
| 3.3.6. Comparison of Differences in Average Percentage Between   | 1       |
| Group A and B in terms of Bar Diagram                            | 36      |

| 3.3.7. Average Level of Performance in Pre-test and Post-test | by      |
|---|---------|
| Group A and B (Boys) in Terms of Bar Diagram                  | 37      |
| CHAPTER - FOUR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS                   | (39-41) |
| 4.1. Findings   | 39      |
| 4.2. Recommendations  | 40      |
| REFERENCES  | 42      |
| APPENDICES  |         |

## LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

### **TABLES**

| Table | e No. Title  | Page No. |
|-------|--|----------|
| 1.    | Group Division of the Students                         | 20       |
| 2.    | Score Achieved in Writing in the Correct Form Item     | 23       |
| 3.    | Score Achieved in Fill in the Blank Items              | 24       |
| 4.    | Score Achieved in Multiple Choice Items                | 25       |
| 5.    | Performance of Boys in Writing the Correct Form Item   | 26       |
| 6.    | Performance of Boys in Fill in the Blanks Item         | 27       |
| 7     | Performance of Boys in Multiple Choices                | 28       |
| 8.    | Performance of Girls in Writing in Correct Form Item   | 29       |
| 9.    | Performance of Girls in Fill in the Blanks             | 30       |
| 10.   | Performance of Girls in Multiple Choices               | 31       |
| 11.   | The Performance of the Boys in Pre-Test and Post-Test  | 32       |
| 12.   | The Performance of the Girls in Pre-Test and Post-Test | 33       |

## **FIGURES**

| Figure | e No. Title  | Page No. |
|--------|--|----------|
| 1.     | Average Level of Performance of Group A and B in Pre-test    | 22       |
| 2.     | Average Level of Performance of the Girls in Pre-test and    |          |
|        | Post-test by Group A and B                                   | 34       |
| 3.     | Performance in Pre-test and Post-test by Group A in terms of |          |
|        | Bar Diagram  | 35       |
| 4.     | Performance in Pre-test and Post-test by Group B             | 36       |
| 5.     | Comparison of Differences in Average Percentage              |          |
|        | Between Group A and B in terms of Bar Diagram                | 37       |
| 6.     | Average Level of Performance in Pre-test and Post-test of    |          |
|        | Group A and B (Boys) in terms of Bar Diagram                 | 38       |