PARTICIPATION OF WOMEN IN HOUSEHOLD DECISION MAKING (A CASE STUDY OF DANUWAR RAI COMMUNITY IN NAUBISE VDC,WARD NO.4, DHADING DISTRICT)

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF POPULATION STUDIES (CDPS), FACULTY OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY (TU) IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS IN POPULATION STUDIES

By Kalpana Devi Khatiwada

Central Department of Population Studies (CDPS) Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences Tribhuvan University (TU) Kathmandu

MAY, 2011

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the thesis

Submitted by

Kalpana Devi Khatiwada

Entitled

Participation of Women in Household Decision Making

(A Case Study of Danuwar Rai Community in Naubise VDC, Ward No.4, Dhading District)

Is Recommended for External Examination.

Dr. Bhim Raj Suwal

Thesis Supervisor

Date: May, 2011

VIVA-VOCE SHEET

We have conducted the viva-voce examination of the thesis

Submitted by

Kalpana Devi Khatiwada

Entitled

Participation of Women in Household Decision Making

(A Case Study of Danuwar Rai Community in Naubise VDC, Ward No.4, Dhading District)

and find that the thesis to be an independent work of the student written according to the prescribed format. We accept the thesis as the partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master of Arts in Population Studies.

Evaluation Committee:

Prof. Dr. Prem Singh Bisht

Head, Central Department of Population Studies

Dr. Bhim Raj Suwal

Thesis Supervisor

Mr. Ramesh Prasad Adhikari

External Examiner

Date: May, 2011

DECLARATION

Except where otherwise acknowledged in the text, the analysis in this thesis represents my own original research.

Kalpana Devi Khatiwada May, 2011

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Bhim Raj Suwal, Associate Professor of the Central Department of Population Studies for his valuable guidance and proper supervision to carry out this study successfully. I am very grateful to Dr. Prem Singh Bisht, Professor and Head and all the faculty member of CDPS for their co-operation and suggestions to complete this research.

I would like to thank to all my respected Teachers and Staffs of CDPS, TU for their cooperation and assistance for this work and other suggestion to study. I would also like to appreciate to different organizations, institutions and individuals who helped me this matter. I am also very grateful to all the respondents for their co-operation, opinions, thoughts, experiences as well as incidents, which helped me to acquire in-depth information regarding the issue through interview and questionnaire.

At Last, I am especially grateful to my Parents, beloved husband as well as all family members, who provided instantaneous suggestion and support in getting education. Finally, all of my colleagues are worthy accountable of thanks for their direct and indirect support to complete this study.

Kalpana Devi Khatiwada

May, 2011

ABSTRACT

The present study is the analysis of "Participation of Women in Household Decision Making" among Danuwar Rai married women. The objectives of this study to find out the socio-economic and demographic characteristics among Danuwar community, And to identify the level of participation in the household decision making of Danuwar women. Its sample cluster is Naubise VDC, Ward No. 4, Dhading district. This study is based on primary information of 125 married women among 369 females in the selected area, taken purposively as sample. Out of 162 household 125 households were included purposively as sample for the study. The information has been collected by direct interview with the help of semi structured questionnaires. The processing of the collected data has been done using software package SPSS.

This study has attempted to portray the decision making power of married women in age groups 15-49 years in household chores, farm management, educational aspects, and family planning related activities. It also demonstrates the socio-economic status of women with respect to decision making as a whole in the study area.

In this study, though women are lagging behind in terms of educational status. 17.6 percent of primary level, 2.4 percent lower secondary, 1.6 percent secondary and 4 percent of respondents are SLC passed. They have satisfactory access in terms of using the modern resources and household in income possession 5.6 Percent of respondent's participation in social activities is in the bottom line.

Married women of the age groups 15-49 years are well informed about family planning and most of them are involved in decision making on choosing the proper method.

It has found that, Danuwar Rai women are found in a better self decision making position regarding the household activities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

DECLARATION	ii	
RECOMMENDATION	iii	
VIVA-VOCE SHEET	iv	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v	
ABSTRACT	vi	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii	
LIST OF TABLES	x	
LIST OF FIGURES	xii	
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xiii	
CHAPTER ONE		
INTRODUCTION	1-6	
1.1 General Background	1	
1.2 Statement of the Problem		
1.3 Objectives of the Study	4	
1.4 Significance of the Study	4	
1.5 Limitations of the Study	5	
1.6 Organization of the Study	5	
CHAPTER TWO		
LITERATURE REVIEW	7-12	
2.1 Theoretical Literature	7	
2.2 Empirical Literature	9	
2.3 Conceptual Framework	11	
CHAPTER THREE		
METHODOLOGY	13-15	
3.1 Selection of the Study Area	13	
3.2 Research Design	13	
3.3 Nature of Sources of Data	14	
3.4 Sampling Procedure and Sample Size	14	

3.5 Tools of Data Collection	14
3.6 Data Analysis and Interpresentation	15

CHAPTER FOUR

INTRODUCTION TO STUDY POPULATION 16-	
4.1 Demographic Characteristics	16
4.1.1 Age and Sex Composition	16
4.1.2 Occupational Status	17
4.1.3 Educational Status	18
4.1.4 Marital Status	19
4.1.5 Age at marriage of Respondents	20
4.1.6 Age at First Birth	20
4.1.7 Number of Children Ever Born	20
4.2 Social Characteristics	21
4.2.1 Educational Status of the Respondents	21
4.3 Economic Characteristics	22
4.3.1 Occupational Status of the Respondents	22
4.3.2 Land Distribution	22
4.3.3 Monthly Income level of the Respondents	23
4.3.4 Legal Ownership of House	24
4.3.5 Distribution of Respondents by Keeping Income	24
4.4 Participatory Characteristics	24
4.4.1 Distribution of Respondents by Participation in Community Level Committees	24

CHAPTER FIVE

WOMEN IN HOUSEHOLD DECISION MAKING PROCESS	
5.1 Decision Making on Farm Management	26
5.1.1 Decision on Cropping	26
5.1.2 Decision on Buying Food products	27
5.1.3 Decision on Selling of Surplus Food	27
5.1.4 Decision on purchase of livestock	28
5.2 Decision making on Economic Activities	28

5.2.1	Decision on Income Generating Activities of Respondents	28
5.3	Decision on Social Mobility	29
5.3.1	Decision on Participating in Social Activities	29
5.4	Decision Making on Household Affairs Compared to Other Males and Females	29
5.4.1	Volume of Decision Making of Household Affairs Compared to Other Females	
	of the Community and Family	29
5.4.2	2 Volume of Decision Making of Household Affairs Compared to Other Males of	
	the Community and Family	30
5.5	Miscellaneous Decision Making	31
5.5.1	Decision on Using of Family Planning Method	31
5.5.2	2 Decision on Going to School Children	32
5.6	Decision making on Various Household and Socio-economic Activities by	
	Age group, Education and Occupation	32
5.6.1	Decision on Cropping by Age group, Education and Occupation	32
5.6.2	Decision on Selling Surplus Food by Age group, Education and Occupation	33
5.6.3	Decision on Purchasing Live stocks by Age group, Education and Occupation	34
5.6.4	Decision on School Going Children by Age group, Education and Occupation	35
5.6.5	Decision on Income Generating Activities of Respondents by Education	36

CHAPTER SIX

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		
6.1 Summary of Findings	38	
6.1.1 Demographic Characteristics	38	
6.1.2 Social Characteristics		
6.1.3 Economic Characteristics	38	
6.1.4 Participatory Characteristics	39	
6.1.5 Women in Household Decision Making Process	39	
6.2 Conclusion	39	
6.3 Area for Further Research	40	
REFERENCES		
QUESTIONNAIRE		

LIST OF TABLES

Pa	ge No.
Table 4.1: Distribution of Household population by Age and Sex, 2011	17
Table 4.2: Distribution of Population Aged 10 years and Above by Occupational	
Status and Sex, 2011	18
Table 4.3: Distribution of Population Aged 5 years and Above by Educational	
Status, 2011	19
Table 4.4: Distribution of Population Aged 10 years and Above by Marital	
Status, 2011	19
Table 4.5: Distribution of Respondents by Age at Marriage, 2011	20
Table 4.6: Distribution of Respondents by Age at First Birth, 2011	20
Table 4.7: Distribution of Average Number of Children Ever Born, 2011	21
Table 4.8: Distribution of Respondents by Educational Status, 2011	21
Table 4.9: Distribution of Respondents by Occupation, 2011	22
Table 4.10: Distribution of Respondents by Landholding, 2011	23
Table 4.11: Distribution of respondents by Monthly Income Level, 2011	23
Table 4.12: Distribution of Respondents by Legal Ownership of House, 2011	24
Table 4.13: Distribution of Respondents by Keeping Income, 2011	24
Table 4.14: Distribution of Respondents by participation in Community level	
Committees, 2011	25
Table 5.1: Distribution of Respondents by Volume of Decision Making of Household	1
Affairs Compared to Other Females of the Community and Family, 2011	26
Table 5.2: Distribution of Respondents by Volume of Decision Making of Household	1
Affairs Compared to Other Males of the Community and Family, 2011	27
Table 5.3: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Using of Family Planning	
Method, 2011	27
Table 5.4: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Going to School	
Children, 2011	28
Table 5.5: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Cropping by Age group,	
Education and Occupation, 2011	28
Table 5.6: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Selling Surplus Food by Age	

Group, Education and Occupation, 2011	29
Table 5.7: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Purchasing Live stocks by Age	
Group, Education and Occupation, 2011	30
Table 5.8: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on School Going Children by Age	
Group, Education and Occupation, 2011	31
Table 5.9: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Income Generating Activities	
of Respondents by Education, 2011	32
Table 5.10: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Going to School	
Children, 2011	32
Table 5.11: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Cropping by Age group,	
Education and Occupation, 2011	33
Table 5.12: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Selling Surplus Food by Age	
group, Education and Occupation, 2011	34
Table 5.13: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Purchasing Live stocks by Age	e
group, Education and Occupation, 2011	35
Table 5.14: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on School Going Children by Age	e
group, Education and Occupation, 2011	36
Table 5.15: Distribution of Respondents by Decision on Income Generating Activities	
of Respondents by Education, 2011	37

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

Page No.

12

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	:	Asian Development Bank
BBC	:	Beyond Beijing Committee
CBS	:	Central Bureau of Statistics
CDPS	:	Central Department of Population Studies
CEB	:	Children Ever Born
CREHPA:		Centre for Research on Environment Health and Population
		Activities
DHS	:	Demographic Health Survey
EWN	:	Empowering the Women in Nepal
FP	:	Family Planning
FWLD	:	Forum for Women, Law and Development
GEM	:	Gender Empowerment Measure
INSEC	:	Informal Sector Service Center
IPM	:	Integrated Pest Management
NPC	:	Nepal Planning Commission
PAN	:	Population Association of Nepal
RH	:	Reproductive Health
SLC	:	School Leaving Certificate
SPSS	:	Statistical Package for Social Science
UNDP	:	United Nations Population Fund
UNICEF	:	United Nations Children Found
VDC	:	Village Development Committee