USE OF TRANSLATION IN TEACHING ENGLISH

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education in Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Manju Kumari Thapa

Faculty of Education Prithvi Narayan Campus Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur Kathmandu, Nepal 2011

USE OF TRANSLATION IN TEACHING ENGLISH

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education in Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by Manju Kumari Thapa Faculty of Education Prithvi Narayan Campus Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur Kathmandu, Nepal 2011

T.U. Reg. No: 9-1-48-884-2002 Second Year Examination Roll No: 480232 Date of Approval of the Thesis Proposal: 24 -12-2010 Date of Submission: 07-08-2011

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is original: no part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degree to any university.

Date: 2068- 09-11

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Manju Kumari Thapa** has prepared this thesis entitled **Use of Translation in Teaching English** under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend the thesis for acceptance.

Date: 2068-09-22

Tirtha Raj Aryal Reader Department of English Education Faculty of English Education Prithvi Narayan Campus,Pokhara

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation from the following "Research Guidance Committee".

Amirman Shrestha Reader and Head Chairperson Department of English Education Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara

Tirtha Raj Aryal (guide) Reader Member Department of English Education Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara

Neupane Nabaraj Lecturer Department of English Education Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara Date: 2068-09-29

.....

Signature

Member

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following "Thesis Evaluation and Approval Committee".

| | Signature | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Amirman Shrestha | | |
| Reader and Head | Chairperson | |
| Department of English Education | | |
| Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Govinda Raj Bhattarai, PhD | | |
| Professor | Expert | |
| Faculty of Education | | |
| University Campus, Kirtipur | | |
| Kathmandu, Nepal. | | |
| Tirtha Raj Aryal | | |
| Reader | Member | |
| Department of English Education | | |
| Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara | | |
| Date: 2068-10-08 | | |

DEDICATION

This book is dedicated To My Parents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is the result of contribution of some co-operative hands and a number of individuals who helped and encouraged me in various ways in completing this research. Though, I may not be able to mention the names of all of them here, I wish to express my special gratitude to the following personalities.

I am grateful to my Guru and the thesis supervisor **Mr Tirtha Raj Aryal**, Reader of the Department of English Education, Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara for his supervision, guidance, constant encouragement and constructive criticism. This research would not have been completed without his full support and co-operation.

Similarly, I would like to express my gratitude to my thesis reviewer **Nabaraj Nepaune**, Lecturer of the Department of English Education, Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara for his interrupted help, insightful comments and constant encouragement.

I am extremely grateful to my Guru **Mr. Amirman Shrestha**, Reader and Head of the Department of English Education, Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara for his kind operation, invaluable suggestions and guidance. Similarly, I would like to express my warm respect and sincere gratitude to all my respected teachers from whose inspiration I always inspired to go ahead. I am greatly grateful to those teachers whose good names I must not forget. **Mr. Tara Datta Bhatta, Mr. Bishnuhari Timilsina, Mr. Yam Bahadur Kshetry,** Mr. Pitri Raj Bastola, Mr. Pitamber Poudel, Mr. Duk Prasad Poudel, Mr. Bhumi Raj Pandit, Mr. Ramesh Baral and Mr. Prameshowor Baral are the ones whose suggestions and guidance helped me to reach this step. I am also thankful to other faculty members of Department of English Education, Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara for their valuable suggestions and academic support.

I would like to thank to the teachers and students of **Kalika Primary School** who provided me with data related to this research.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to my husband, son, parents and sister for their spiritual support and encouragement. My special thanks go to my brother **Mr Sthaneshor Adhikari**, who helped me in data collection. Further, my colleague **Geetanjali Pradhan** helped me a lot in this work. So, I would like to express my thanks to her and others.

I understand there may be some errors and omissions. So, I would whole heartedly welcome any sort of comments and suggestions from the concerned body. I strongly hope this would serve as a feedback for me for such a work which I carry out in future.

Once again, I would like to thank all and wind up my acknowledgement here.

Date:

Manju Kumari Thapa

ABSTRACT

This research entitled "Use of Translation in Teaching English" is an attempt to find out the need and importance of judicious use of translation in teaching reading comprehension at primary level as well as to suggest some pedagogical implications. For this, I purposively selected a government aided school from Hemja VDC. For the collection of data, I used both types of data, i.e primary and secondary. Forty students of class V of Kalika Primary School, Hemja were selected from simple random sampling procedure. The students were divided into two groups: experimental and controlled. The main tools for data collection were test items. A pre- test was administered before students were taught 15 days. The experimental group was taught using judicious use of translation and the controlled group was taught without translation. After experimental teaching, the post-test was administered by using the same set of test items that were used in the pre-test. After analysis and interpretation of the data, the experimental group which was taught by judicious use of translation excelled the controlled group by 41.6% in holistic comparison. Thus, I can say that the judicious use of translation made the teaching English more effective. This thesis contains a four distinct parts in accordance with the thesis requirement. Chapter one consists of brief introduction to the study. The work is related to the translation activities. So, the introduction is about the definition, brief history, language teaching methods, pedagogy of translation and types and theories of translation. Under the translation, we have to consider other things like, first language and foreign language so the first chapter includes the brief introduction to them too. It includes objectives of the study. The objectives are: to find out the importance and necessity of translation in teaching English and to set conditions in which teachers likely use translation.

Review of the related literature is an important part of chapter one. Significance of the study and definition of the key terms are also covered under this chapter. Chapter two deals with the methodology and procedure adopted during the research work. Two kinds of data were used for this study i.e. primary and secondary sources of data. The population of this study are 40 students of Kalika Primary School where the school was selected by using judgemental sampling procedure. Then, the students were selected using simple random sampling method. The main tools for the data collection were test papers. Chapter three deals with the analysis and interpretation of data. The data have been analyzed and interpreted on the basis of the difference between the pre-test and the post-test. Chapter four deals with the findings and recommendations of the study. The appendices and bibliography are included in the final part of this research work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | Page No |
|-------------------------------|----------|--|---------|
| Decla | aration | | i |
| Recommendation for Acceptance | | | ii |
| Reco | mmend | lation for Evaluation | iii |
| Eval | uation a | and Approval | iv |
| Dedi | cation | | V |
| Ackn | owledg | gements | vi |
| Abst | ract | | viii |
| Table of Contents | | Х | |
| | | es and Figures | xii |
| List | of Abbr | reviations | xiii |
| CHA | PTER | ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1-26 |
| 1.1 | Gener | al Background | 1 |
| | 1.1.1 | Definitions of language | 2 |
| | 1.1.2 | First Language | 3 |
| | 1.1.3 | Second Language/ Foreign Language | 4 |
| | 1.1.4 | Concept of Translation | 5 |
| | 1.1.5 | Definitions of Translation | 6 |
| | 1.1.6 | Scope of Translation | 8 |
| | 1.1.7 | The Brief History of Translation | 9 |
| | 1.1.8 | Types of Translation | 11 |
| | 1.1.9 | Theories of Translation | 12 |
| | 1.1.10 | Translation and Linguistics | 14 |
| | 1.1.11 | Important Methodologies and Approaches of Teaching | 15 |
| | 1.1.12 | Grammar Translation Method | 15 |
| | 1.1.13 | Direct Method | 17 |
| | 1.1.14 | Audio-Lingual Method | 18 |

| | 1.1.15 | 5 Communicative Approach | 19 | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------|--|
| | 1.1.10 | 6 Pedagogy of Translation | 21 | |
| | 1.1.17 | 7 Need of Translation in Foreign Language classes | 23 | |
| 1.2 | Review of the Related Literature 24 | | | |
| 1.3 | Objectives of the study 25 | | | |
| 1.4 | Significance of the study 25 | | | |
| 1.5 | Definitions of key terms | | | |
| CHA | APTEF | R-TWO: METHODOLOGY | 27-30 | |
| 2.1 | Sourc | ces of Data | 27 | |
| | 2.1.1 | Primary Sources of Data | 27 | |
| | 2.1.2 | Secondary Sources of data | 27 | |
| 2.2 Population of the study 28 | | | | |
| 2.3 | 2.3 sampling Procedure 28 | | | |
| 2.4 | 2.4Tools for Data Collection28 | | | |
| 2.5 | Proce | ess of Data Collection | 29 | |
| 2.6 | Limit | ations of the Study | 29 | |
| CHA | ATER- | THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION | 31-38 | |
| 3.1 | Holis | tic Analysis | 31 | |
| | 3.1.1 | Holistic Comparison in Percentage | 32 | |
| | 3.1.2 | Average Scores | 33 | |
| 3.2 | Item | wise Comparison | 35 | |
| | 3.2.1 | The Result of Matching Item | 35 | |
| 3 | 3.2.2 | The Result of Opposite Meaning Item | 36 | |
| | 3.2.3 | The Result of Multiple Choice Item | 37 | |
| | 3.2.4 | The Result of Fill in the Blanks Item | 37 | |
| | 3.2.5 | The Result of the Short Answer Question Item | 38 | |

| CHAPTER-FOUR: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 39-41 | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------|--|
| 4.1 | Findings | 39 | |
| 4.2 | Recommendations | 40 | |
| | REFERENCES | 42-44 | |
| | APPENDICES | 45-64 | |

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table

Page No

| Table 1 | Types of Questions | 29 |
|----------|---|----|
| Table 2 | Holistic comparison of Post-test | 32 |
| Table 3 | Holistic Comparison of Post-test in Percentage | 33 |
| Table 4 | Average Score of Pre-test and Post-test | 34 |
| Table 5 | Result of Average Score on Matching Item | 36 |
| Table 6 | Result of Average Score on Opposite Meaning Item | 36 |
| Table 7 | Result of Average Scores on Multiple Choice Item | 37 |
| Table 8 | Result of Average Scores on Fill in the Blanks Item | 38 |
| Table 9 | Result of Average Score on Question Answer Item | 38 |
| Figure 1 | Comparison Between Judicious Use of Translation | |
| | Group and Non-Translation Group | 35 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AV. | : | Average |
|---------|---|--|
| e.g. | : | Example |
| G. T. | : | Grammar Translation |
| i.e | : | that is |
| L1 | : | First Language |
| L2 | : | Second Language |
| MT | : | Mother Tongue |
| NELTA | : | Nepal English Language Teachers' Association |
| P Test | : | Post Test |
| P.S. | : | Primary School |
| Pr Test | : | Pre – Test |
| S.L. | : | Source Language |
| S.L.T. | : | Source Language Text |
| R.N. | : | Roll Number |
| T.L. | : | Target Language |
| T.L.T. | : | Target Language Text |
| T.U. | : | Tribhuvan University |
| V.D.C. | : | Village Development Committee |
| | | |