

Tribhuvan University Institute of Science and Technolog

# Scheduling Jobs on a Single Machine to Minimize the Number of Tardy Jobs with Release Time Constraints

Dissertation

Submitted to

Central Department of Computer Science and Information Technology Institute of Science and Technology Tribhuvan University

In Partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Masters of Science in Computer Science and Information Technology

By:

Puja Bhatt March 2010

Supervisor

Asso. Prof. Dr. Tanka Nath Dhamala



#### **Tribhuvan University**

#### Institute of Science and Technology

#### **Central Department of Computer Science and Information Technology**

Date: 10-Mar-2010

### **Supervisor's Recommendation**

I hereby recommend that the dissertation prepared under my supervision by Ms. **Puja Bhatt** entitled **"Scheduling Jobs on a Single Machine to Minimize the Number of Tardy Jobs with Release Time Constraints"** be accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of M.Sc. in Computer Science and Information Technology, from Tribhuvan University, Nepal. In my knowledge this is and original work in Computer Science.

Asso Prof. Dr. Tanka Nath Dhamala Head of the Department Central Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Tribhuvan University, Nepal (Supervisor)



#### Institute of Science and Information Technology

#### **Central Department of Computer Science and Information Technology**

Date: 22-Mar-2010

#### LETTER OF APPROVAL

We certify that we have read this dissertation work and in our opinion it is satisfactory in the scope and quality as dissertation as the partial fulfillment of the requirement of Master of Computer Science and Information Technology from Tribhuvan University, Nepal.

### **Evaluation Committee**

Prof. Dr. Jeevan Jyoti Nakarmi Acting Head of the Department Central Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Tribhuvan University, Nepal Asso. Prof. Dr .Tanka Nath Dhamala Head of the Department Central Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Tribhuvan University, Nepal (Supervisor)

External Examiner

Internal Examiner

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to all those who helped me in achieving this goal. I owe my gratitude to my advisor, Asso. Prof. Dr. Tanka Nath Dhamala for his thoughtful insights, patience and guidance that has helped and simplified the task of research and completion of this thesis. He was even ready every time for discussions on my work.

I owe my gratitude and thanks to respected teachers Prof. Dr. Jeevan Jyoti Nakarmi, Prof. Dr. Shashidhar Ram Joshi, Prof. Dr.Onkar Sharma, Mr. Min Bahadur Khati and all other teachers for their unwavering support and the knowledge the persuaded during my two year's study. I would like to thank all who pursued the goal of completing this thesis and also for their encouragement and help at various stages of my masters.

I cannot find words to express my loving thanks to my parents, sister, brother, brother- in- law, for their love, support and inspiration at every step of my success and failure, without which none of this would have been possible.

Puja Bhatt CDCSIT, T.U.

### ABSTRACT

Scheduling though being a classical problem of computer science, it is still an evolving area of research. Unfortunately, many scheduling problems having high practical significance belong to the class of NP-hard, or in simple words, they are not solved exactly by any efficient algorithm on any computer. In this dissertation certain scheduling problems for the case of single machine is studied. Heuristic algorithm and branch and bound method is devised for the single machine scheduling problem  $1|r_j|$  U<sub>j</sub>, where jobs arrive over time, preemption is not allowed, and the objective is to minimize the number of tardy jobs.

## **Table of Contents**

CHAPTER 1 I	NTRODUCTION1
	1.1 Machine Scheduling3
	1.2 Scheduling Environments3
	1.3 Terminologies4
	1.4 Scheduling Problem4
	1.5 Three-Field Notation5
	1.6 Notation Used in this Thesis5
	1.7 Significance of the Problem5
	1.8 Organization of this Thesis6
CHAPTER 2 <b>(</b>	COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY7
	2.1 Turing Machine and Algorithms7
	2.2 Computational Resources8
	2.3 Functions8
	2.3.1 Asymptotic Order of Functions9
	2.4 Time and Space Complexities of Algorithms9
	2.5 Problem and Encoding9
	2.6 Complexity Classes11
	2.6.1 Classes P and NP11
	2.6.2 Classes NP-complete and NP-hard12
CHAPTER 3 S	CHEDULING PROBLEMS13
	3.1 Representation of Schedule13
	3.2 Machine Environment13
	3.3 Job description14
:	3.4 Characteristics of Good Schedule14

3.5 Three field Notation	15
3.6 Scheduling in Computer Science	17
3.7 Online Problems	
3.8 Just-in-Time and Real-Time Scheduling Problems	
3.9 Other Problems	
3.10 Types of Scheduling Problems	20
3.10.1 Single Machine	20
3.10.2 Parallel Machines	20
3.10.3 Flow Shop	20
3.10.4 Job Shop	20
3.10.5 Static	21
3.10.6 Dynamic	21
3.11 Solution Methodologies	21
3.11.1 Dispatching Rules	21
3.11.2 Random List	21
3.11.3 Longest Processing Time (LPT)	21
3.11.4 Shortest Processing Time (SPT)	22
3.11.5 Earliest Due Date (EDD)	22
3.11.6 Dynamic Programming	22
3.11.7 Branch and Bound Approach	22
3.11.8 Simulation Techniques	23
3.11.9 Neighborhood Search Techniques	23
3.11.10 Meta-Heuristic Search Methods	23
3.11.10.1 Tabu Search	23
3.11.10.2 Genetic Algorithm Approach	23

3.11.10.3 Simulated Annealing	24
3.11.11 Moore –Hodgson's Algorithm	24
CHAPTER 4 SINGLE MACHINE SCHEDULING	25
4.1 Definition, importance and overview of SMS F	Research25
4.2 Overview of Single Machine Scheduling Probl	ems and Their Complexity27
CHAPTER 5 MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF TARDY JOBS	31
5.1 Problem Presentation	
5.2 Historical Development and research overview	w31
5.3 Similar Problems in the Past	
5.4 Traditional Solution Approaches	
5.4.1 The Basic Moore-Hodgson's Algorithm	
5.4.2 Similarly Ordered release and due dates	-the Kise Algorithm36
CHAPTER 6 PROBLEM STATEMENT AND METHODOLOGY	30
6.1 Statement of the Problem	
6.1 Statement of the Problem	39 
6.1 Statement of the Problem 6.2 Assumptions	39 
<ul><li>6.1 Statement of the Problem</li><li>6.2 Assumptions</li><li>6.3 Objective Function</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>6.1 Statement of the Problem</li> <li>6.2 Assumptions</li> <li>6.3 Objective Function</li> <li>6.4 Complexity of the Problem</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6.1 Statement of the Problem</li> <li>6.2 Assumptions</li> <li>6.3 Objective Function</li> <li>6.4 Complexity of the Problem</li> <li>6.5 Methodology</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6.1 Statement of the Problem</li> <li>6.2 Assumptions</li> <li>6.3 Objective Function</li> <li>6.4 Complexity of the Problem</li> <li>6.5 Methodology</li> <li>6.5.1 A Heuristic</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6.1 Statement of the Problem</li> <li>6.2 Assumptions</li> <li>6.3 Objective Function</li> <li>6.4 Complexity of the Problem</li> <li>6.5 Methodology</li> <li>6.5 Methodology</li> <li>6.5.1 A Heuristic</li> <li>6.5.2 A Branch and Bound Procedure Based on</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6.1 Statement of the Problem</li> <li>6.2 Assumptions</li> <li>6.3 Objective Function</li> <li>6.4 Complexity of the Problem</li> <li>6.5 Methodology</li> <li>6.5 Methodology</li> <li>6.5.1 A Heuristic</li> <li>6.5.2 A Branch and Bound Procedure Based of 6.5.2.1 Master Sequence</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6.1 Statement of the Problem</li> <li>6.2 Assumptions</li> <li>6.3 Objective Function</li> <li>6.4 Complexity of the Problem</li> <li>6.5 Methodology</li> <li>6.5 Methodology</li> <li>6.5.1 A Heuristic</li> <li>6.5.2 A Branch and Bound Procedure Based of 6.5.2.1 Master Sequence</li> <li>6.5.2.2The Branching Scheme</li> </ul>	

	7.2 Experimental Settings	47
	7.3 Software Implementation	47
	7.3 Analysis and Discussion of the Computational Results	48
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH		
	8.1 Summary	51
	8.2 Conclusions	51
	8.3 Suggestions for Future Research	52

REFERENCES	53
APPENDIX A: Program Source Code of Various Al	gorithms <b>57</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

1. Table 4.1: Complexity of elementary SMS problems	27
2. Table 5.1: History	34
3. Table 6.1: Comparing the heuristic and an exact branch and bound procedure4	41
4. Table 6.2: Transforming an optimal sequence into the master sequence – example4	43
5. Table 6.3: Generating the master sequence – example	14
5. Table 7.1 Result of Heuristic and B &B	18

# LIST OF FIGURES

1. Figure: 3.1 Gantt chart for schedule of four jobs in single machine	.13
2. Figure: 7.1 Numbers of tardy jobs versus number of jobs (min)	.49
3. Figure: 7.2 Number of tardy jobs versus number of jobs (max)	.50