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#### Abstract

Social Inclusion is the best key pathway for women's empowerment because social inclusion is as participation; It is increasing opportunities for people especially, the most disadvantaged to engage in all aspect of community such as social, cultural, economic and political spheres. The greater participation of women enhances their status that strengthens their self-confidence, which ultimately leads towards their empowerment. The general objective of this study was to examine the impact of social inclusion on women's empowerment, where this study had found the socio-economic and political participation as well decision making power of women in Kamalamai Muncipality. The 125 sampled households were taken purposively as sample size and the respondents were married women age 15 years and above.

This study is based on the primary sources of information. Information was collected through quantitative tools. As quantitative tool, the questionnaire was used. In the study area, women's participation in all sectors was seen very negligible. They were excluded from their socio-economic, political participation as well as decision making process. The higher level educational attainment was very low. That is why majority of them had engaged in only household chores. Very few women had participated in income generating activities and most of them the income level was below 5000 per month. Very low number of women was included in decision making process in household. Most of time, they were dominated by their husband. Majority of them compiled to follow their husband's decisions.

In the study area, it was found that literate women were more conscious than illiterate women. Among them it was seen that literate women's participation was higher than illiterate women. They were more aware about their rights and they also included in decision making process than illiterate women.

So it can be concluded that "EDUCATION" is the most important factor to increase women's participation, to uplift their socio-economic status and to aware political rights and other rights. Participation of women in all sectors helps to enhance their self-confidence and decision making power which ultimately leads towards their empowerment.
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## CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General Background

Social Inclusion of women is one of the most burning issues in the field of women empowerment since women have the greater roles to make a society more inclusive and just. Nepalese society is a male dominated society, where incidences of female marginalization and deprivations are rampant. Though, they are playing their roles and responsibilities very sincerely as mother, daughter, sister, wives, etc, they are not able to make their self-identity. The discrimination of women is widespread not only in the form of gender but also witnessed among caste and ethnicity.

There are various socially constructed so-called systems, which are the main responsible factors to create discrimination, prejudice against women in our society (Pandit, 2003: 4). Nepalese women are excluded due to Hindu culture and the system .The rules and practices of Hindu culture forced to women to be so-called "PATIBRATA NAARI", That is why, they cannot claim and argue for their rights and freedom. It is concluded that 'Hindu Religion' is the root cause of women's disempowerment (Bennett, 2002).

The status of women is not well, they are complied to face various types of injustice; these all because of their low participation. Women lag far behind men in social, economic, political and legal aspects thus, restricting them from leading complete and dignified life (Pradhan, 2000:7). The right of women to own or inheritance property is limited in many countries. Women frequently lack the right or the power to make personal decisions or to participate equally with men in family decision-making. Women are seldom equally represented in political or economic power structures, even in wealthier, industrialized countries (AGI, 1995:4).
The greater participation of women in decision-making will be a greater step towards ensuring women of their rights to voluntary motherhood and in turn improve her status (Limbu, 1995:10). There is no doubt that educated and empowered women can definitely contribute substantially to the development of her life, family, society and ultimately the whole nation. Therefore, women's empowerment is promoted in all spheres of life (Pradhan, 2000:7). Without equality and empowerment for women, the sustainable development is entirely impossible.
Empowerment builds people's capacity to gain understanding and control over personal, social, economic and political forces to act individually as well as
collectively to make choices about their way they want to be and to do things in their best interest to improve their life situation. It is the sharing of power to those who are marginalized, excluded and deprived.

Women's empowerment means reinforcing their capacity to participate as equal partners in cultural, social, economic and political system of a society; without empowering women, actual and effective development impossible (Baral, 2004:62). So, women's empowerment must involve women making choices that enhance their individual as well their families and communities.

Hence, social inclusion of women is the way to reach their empowerment. where," Inclusion is about reducing inequalities between the least advantaged groups and communities and the rest of society by closing the opportunity gap and ensuring that support reaches those who need it most" (http://www.scotland.gov.uk accessed on 3rd June 2008).

In our society, women are deprived and they need support most. Social inclusion of women means as participation of women basically socially, economically and politically; which is the indicator of empowerment. If their participation is well then they are called "Empowered".

Women's empowerment is a prominent issue in Nepal because of the continuing deprivation of Nepalese women. In spite of Nepal's constitution and International agreements signed by Nepalese government for guarantying women freedom from discrimination (ESP, 2000;1). Therefore, Empowerment process cannot become sustainable without eliminating entrenched socio-cultural norms as discriminatory practices.
Sustainable Empowerment is defined as a situation where people are empowered socially, economically and politically (UNDP, 2004:12). The Nepalese women's situation is not indicating that they are empowered. In the context of empowerment Nepalese women have limited gains in the last couple of decades. Women's educational status and visible economic participation have improved in absolute terms. But on the other hand, gender disparity in access to modern resources and power structure is increasing (Acharya, 1997:1).

Nepal have the indicators GDI is 0.520 and GEM is 0.351 ;where The GDI indicates that females are more excluded than males and The GEM indicates that for every 100 males only about 35 females have the same levels of empowerment in the basic dimensions of empowerment namely economic and political participation, decision-
making and power over economic resources (UNDP, 2008). Therefore the proposed study has an aim of obtaining information on the social exclusion of women and their deprivation in the context of Nepal.

### 1.2. Statement of the Problem

For the sustainable development, the empowerment of women is very essential because men and women are two wheels of a cart; in absence of one, it cannot be driven. Women in Nepal live in an oppressive, backward and feudal environment, which is caused by patriarchal system, unequal power relation, and socio-religious and cultural norms and traditions. The religious, cultural as well as existing laws of Nepal permit male to be superior, which set free to man to govern over women. Therefore, women are largely excluded from education, legal aid and feel to have lost their own identity. Where as half of the population is represented by women but is still, treated as second citizens.

Women's access to land and property is derived through her marriage relationships. Women may not make any transaction in the property without consent of her husband. Women have not decision-making power as well as right of their own life. There is no right of parental property, which creates economic dependency of women, marginalization of women and breaks over all their empowerment.

Women's empowerment refers to enhance the capacity of the women, which is only possible by increasing their participation in every sector. Social inclusion of women eliminates the inequalities and barriers. That's why inclusion/participation of women is the way to empowering them. Women's empowerment itself is a challenging job in male dominant social structure. Empowered women can take more active roles in the household and community to confront the challenges of poverty, improve family health as well being and increase household income (Dhakwa, 2001).

The reality is that without social inclusion of women or equal participation of women, empowerment and equality of women are not possible; and without equality and empowerment of women, the sustainable development of nation is entirely impossible.
Women's lives have been dramatically changed in recent years. There is tremendous improvement in their conditions as more and more women are getting education, entering in to the labor market, and have access to health care facilities. However,
gender inequality still persists; women's subordination is deep and wide -spread (Acharya, 2001: 11).

Women have remained voiceless and their representation in social, economic and political life has been minimal. Problems related to women in power and decisionmaking have qualitative and quantitative dimensions. The First dimension involves the capacity of women to assert and exercise their rights, and the lack of an enabling environment in which to do this. On the other hand, some quantitative improvements have been made with the introduction of reservation of local election. The overall participation of women in decision-making position is very low and remains unsatisfactory. The statutory provisions alone do not adequately facilitate women's entry in to these positions. Quantitative growth has been horizontal and only observed at the lowest levels of representation. Higher levels, of both local and national politics, are still regarded as the "Male sector". Thus far, the political parties and legislature have failed to substantiate their commitment to ensuring women's access to powerful decision-making positions (Gurung, 2007: 4).

The main purpose of this study is to show that how the social inclusion of women plays a vital role to improve their status and also to show how inclusion is the way of empowerment. This research is guided by the following research questions:

- What is the condition of women in education, occupation, employment and income sector?
- What is the status of women in household decision-making, household ownership, parental property and political participation?
- What are the causes and consequences of exclusion of women?
- How can be women empowered?


### 1.3. Objectives of the Study

The general objective of this study is to show the impact of social inclusion on women empowerment in the selected wards of Kamalamai Municipality of Sindhuli District. The specific objectives of the study are as follows: -

1 To explore and analyze socio-economic status of women in the study area.
2 To examine the social exclusion and inclusion of women in terms of social and political participation, and decision-making of the households in the study area.

3 To identify the causes and consequences of gender exclusion in the study area.
4 To examine the relationship between literacy status of women and their participation in social, economic, political and decision making process.

### 1.4. Rationale of the Study

In Nepal, women are more than half of the population but their participation in social, economic and political sector is very pitiable though they have equal rights to be participated. There is impossible to achieve the expected sustainable development of the nation without participation of women. Empowered women are able to perform their own duty with an honorable way. In our society, the Hindu culture is the root of the patriarchal systems; women are excluded from participation in social, economic and political area. Therefore to empower the women, all the barriers and inequalities should be eliminated which is possible only through their social inclusion. Social inclusion of women improves their confidence and decision-making power.

Many studies have been conducted on the issues of women's empowerment by various national and international agencies of organizations, freelance researchers, NGOs and university students. It is hoped that this study will also be another foundation in the field of social inclusion of women and their empowerment. This study focuses in women's participation in socio-economic and political area in the study area.

The specific significances of the research are as follows:

- This study has analyzed the socio-economic status of women
- It has explored the political awareness, participation in social activities and household decision-making power of women.
- It will be useful for the concerned planners, policy makers, GOs/NGOs/INGOs, Academician, Scholars who are working in the same field.
- It may be reliable and useful for the students of researchers who are interested to study in this particular field.


### 1.5 Limitations of the Study

This study aims to find out the socio-economic political and demographic characteristics as well the major causes and consequences of the exclusion of female in the study area. It was also micro study, which attempted to explore the major
processes of inclusion and exclusion of women in the process of their empowerment. Moreover, this study aims to find out the socio-economic as well as political participation of women, which are the indicators of women empowerment and their extent of social inclusion.

This study has some of its limitations; which are as follows:

- The limited and general social variables, economic variables and political variables were chosen.
- Since, this study has been based on a small sampling area; it may not show the macro view of socio-economic and political status.
- This study has been concentrated to analyze the participation of women in social activities and household decision-making power.
- This study has focused in selected 3 wards of Kamalamai Municipality of Sindhuli District.
- This study has been taken only 125 respondents.
- This study has covered only married women of aged 15 years and above.


### 1.6. Organization of the study

This study is divided into eight chapters. The first chapter deals with introduction of the study. This chapter includes general background, statement of problem, objectives of the study, rational of the study and limitation of the study as well as organization of the study.
The second chapter deals with the literature review, which includes meaning and definition, theoretical literature, empirical literature, conceptual framework as well women's empowerment issues under UN and women issues in Nepal five year plan. The third chapter deals with research methodology adopted for this study. It includes sample procedure, data collection techniques and tools, data processing and analysis techniques and ethical issues of the research.

The fourth chapter analyses the demographic characteristics as well as socioeconomic characteristics of the household population of the study area.

The fifth chapter analyses the demographic characteristics as well as socio-economic characteristics of the respondents of the study area. It also explores the participation of women in social, economic, political and decision making process.

The sixth chapter examines the relationship between literacy status of the respondents and their social, economic, political and decision making participation.

The seventh chapter analyses with statistical test
The eight chapters represent overall the summary of the study, conclusions and recommendations of the study.

## CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

This chapter presents literature on social exclusion/inclusion and women's empowerment based in available reports, articles and some web-based information prepared for particular purposes of the study.

It is mainly based on reports and research works on the related issues by national and international agencies, research institutes, research scholars and human rights organizations.

### 2.1. Meaning and Definition of the "Social Exclusion"

The term social exclusion and inclusion were introduced at first in France and then popularized in social polity discourse in Europe in 1970s to crisis of the welfare state and then used in other regions especially in development paradigms based on poverty reduction (Silver, 1994). Though the forms of exclusion and inclusion were emerged at first in 1970s but lots of studies have shown that social exclusion and inclusion exist from the very beginning of civilization.

Social exclusion is a concept that can describe, on the one hand, a condition or outcome, and on the other, a dynamic process. As an outcome or condition, is a state in which excluded individuals or groups are unable to participate fully in their society resulting from their social identity such as gender, ethnicity, caste or religion or from their social location such as areas that are remote, stigmatized or suffering from war, conflict or with their origin (DFID, 2005). Social exclusion is thus is used to refer to the various types of social disadvantages related to social problem arising from economic crisis and crisis of the welfare state, long term unemployment, ghettoisation, growing instability of social bonds and lack of integration on the basis of origin (Pradhan, 2006). Therefore, social exclusion said to be occurred when a group is excluded from rights or entitlements as a citizen, where rights include the social right to a certain standard of living and to participation in society (Gurung, 2007: 31).

### 2.2. Theoretical Literature on Social Inclusion/ Exclusion

The concept of social exclusion is about all those individuals or groups that are excluded from basic means of livelihood, but it is also about those excluded form the process of political and economic decision making, and those excluded from any
conceptualization of social security. At wider level it may refer to exclusion from education health care and ultimately the freedom that and individual must have to organize or control his/her life in a given social settings (Nayak, 1995).

Saith (2001) has defined that social exclusion as the exclusion from participation in the normal activities of society. Further Barry suggests that a group is considered socially excluded if they actually desire to participate or not. The five dimensions of social exclusion in relation to lack of participation in normal activities may be measured as the consumption activity (being able to consume at least up to some minimum level goods and services considered normal for that society), saving activity (accumulating, savings, pension entitlement or owning property), production activity (engaging in economically or socially value activities like paid work, education or training, retirement if over state pension age or looking after a family), political activity (including voting, membership of political parties and of national or local campaigning groups) (Saith, 2001:5).

Social exclusion is, thus a complex and multi faceted notion. It refers to both individuals and societies and to disadvantage, alienation and lack of freedom (Bhalla and Lapeyre, 1997:415). However, de Haan and Maxawalle (1998) have identified the key arenas of social exclusion and inclusion. They have emphasized that people are basically excluded from their rights, resources and relationships. Social exclusion refers to exclusion in the economic, social and political sphere. It goes beyond the analysis of resource allocation, mechanism and includes power relations, agency, culture and social identity (de Haan, 1998:12).

Social exclusion and inclusion are "Contested Concepts" defined from the perspective or framework of different social science paradigms and disciplinary and theoretical perspectives, political ideologies and even national discourses (Pradhan, 2006:1).

Social inclusion about participation, it is a method for social justice. It is about increasing opportunities for people especially the most disadvantages, to engage in all aspects of community life (http://www.socialinclusion.sa.gov.au/ accessed on 3rd June 2008).

Social inclusion describes the state of being included in a community and society as a whole; a condition in which individuals and groups can access the range of available opportunities, services and resources, and contribute actions and the processes needed to transform the situations and changing the perceptions that create and sustain exclusion. The aim of having specific work on social inclusion is to support the
involvement of the most excluded groups and to try or insure the betterment of the most excluded and marginalized groups.

Women are named as vulnerable, marginal and excluded groups worldwide. Gender based exclusions from access to resources i.e. in particular land rights and common property resources, employment opportunities and income control, knowledge are mainly due to patriarchy values. Exclusion of women from the public arena and devaluation of their work have been highly realized for long. It was realized that their subordination is embedded in their role as care - taker and nurturer (Pokhrel and Mishra, 2001:3). Furthermore, women are being deprived socio-cultural, economic, legal and political rights. Economic discrimination against women is responsible for socio-cultural, legal, educational and political backwardness of women in the context of Nepal ( Pradhan, 2000:62).

Social exclusion is the root cause of disempowerment of women. Empowerment is seen as occurring at the individual and group level and, to an important extent has to do with increasing their access to assets, capabilities and voice; and helping them to realize he power, they gain from collective action. Indian sociologist Kamala Bhasin defined, "Empowerment means the enhancement of social aspect, self dignity, self reliance and going control over resources"(Chaulagai and Others, 2003:36).

Empowerment refers transforming existing resource and power relations in favor of those marginalized, deprived and disadvantaged groups and more generally women, who have faced sever limitations in exercising power and making voluntary choice (Shrestha, 2007: 58). So, unequal power relations or lack of power is the hindrances to women's empowerment. Equality and participation of women in all sphere is mostly needed. That is why; social inclusion is as the key pathway to empowerment of individuals and has at times tended to conflate empowerment and participation (http://hdr.undp.org accessed on 3rd June, 2008).

DFID/World Bank (2006:9) defined, as "Social-Inclusion is the removal of institutional barriers and the enhancement of incentives to increase access of diverse individuals and groups to development opportunities. And Empowerment is the enhancement of assets and capabilities of diverse individuals and groups to function, and to engage influence and hold accountable the institutions that affect them".

Hence, Social-Inclusion and Empowerment are closely related but separate concepts that through the social inclusion process, the empowerment process operates. Bennett
put her statement that social inclusion and empowerment play their contemporary role for equity and development (Bennett, 2005).

### 2.3. The women's empowerment issues under the UN

On the issues of women's empowerment various conventions and conferences are held. Gender equality has become a motto for all international conventions and conferences sponsored by the institutions under UN-umbrella. All UN conferences and conventions have emphasized women's participation and their empowerment and mainstreaming. Since the 1975 UN conferences on women (Mexico) the world community has acquired or great deal of knowledge about the situation of women worldwide and gained valuable insight into process of development from a gender perspective (Acharya, 1997: 1,7).

The three world conferences of UN-Decade for women held in 1975(Mexico City), 1980(Copenhagen) and 1985(Nairobi) were important mobilizing and awareness of the valuable opportunities for organizing locally, nationally, regionally and internationally and for influencing policy making (UNIFEM, 1995: 3).

At the very first UN Human Rights conventions had proclaimed that right of the people. It treated equally men and women as human being. The UN has been doing several works for women since its establishment. As a result of continuous efforts, the UN formed a commission to study the situation of women in order to promote their political, economic and social status in 1946. Then, UN passed conventions regarding the women's rights. The UN passed convention that women should have the political right to convert citizenship in 1952. Under this convention the following conventions raised the voice in the favor of equality:

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Right (ICCPR) 1966 has stressed overall right of men and women. It has recognized that everyone have equal rights to enjoy their civil and political, as well as their economic, social and cultural rights. It stressed that everyone have to be ensured for full participation in all sector for development (Surethri, 2002: 172-187).

The International Covenant on Education, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) 1966 has proclaimed that everyone have the right to enjoy economic, social and cultural rights, as well as their civil and political rights. This covenant focused woman at first. Especially, in its articles no. 3 and 7 emphasized the women's rights separately. Article-3 stressed to ensure the equal right of women as men to the enjoyment of all
economic, social and cultural rights. And similarly, article-7 emphasized on the equality of women in the employment opportunities higher and lower level. It stressed to ensure the participation of women in all sectors for fair wage and equal remuneration for work of equal value without any kind of discrimination (Surethri, 2002: 195-203).

The Convention on Elimination of all forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) 1979 is the first legally binding convention on the women's issues. It concerned to eliminate the obstacles to the participation of women, on equal terms with men in the political, social, economic and cultural life. This convention purposed to ensure the human rights of women and their fundamental freedom in the political, economic, social, cultural, civil or any other field. It focused the full movement of women such as education, employment, marriage and maternity field and political, which ensures their empowerment (Surethri, 2002: 214-223).

The ICPD 1994 has emphasized women empowerment and autonomy of women and the improvement of their political, social, economic and health status is a basic ends for a country's overall development and improving the equality of people's life. Therefore, it recommends full participation and partnership of both men and women in every sector (UN, 1994: 7). The ICPD recommended that each country should strive action to advance the status of women through their full participation in social and economical development including decision-making (Dangol, 2000: 78).

The fourth World women conference (1995) was held in Beijing, was the milestone in the field of women's issues. It has identified the twelve critical concerns area of women. It has focused on women empowerment and development by promoting their social, economic and political participation. The critical areas of concern of Beijing, emphasizing that the advancement of women and the achievement of equality between women and men are a matter of human rights, social justice and women's empowerment. All the actions of Beijing and Beijing+5 review has given main focus on women's right and empowerment through their equal participation in all aspects of production, employment, income-generating activities and social activities, full involvement in decision-making and policy making activities.

The MDGs(2000) has focused that by empowering women, the sustainable development will be achieved. It forced to ensure the women's education, their reproductive, productive rights as well as combating all kinds of discriminations
against women. Therefore," promote gender equality and empower women" is one of the goals of the MDGs.

### 2.4. W orld's W omen Status and E mpirical Literature

### 2.4.1. Education Status

In much of the world, women have progressed towards equal educational enrollment rates with men at all levels of schooling, but huge gaps persist between men and women's educational achievement. Many girls and women still do not receive equal access to educational and training resources.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, Southern Asia and Western Asia illiteracy rates highest_ more than 70 percent of women aged 25 and over are illiterate. In eastern and south-eastern women aged 25 are still illiterate.

Girls' enrollments have caught up with boys' in most countries in the developed regions and in Latin American and the Caribbean. But they still lag far behind in SubSaharan Africa and in Southern Asia, where they have been increasing faster than boys' but from lower level base. Women increasingly are enrolling in colleges and Universities, but enormous disparities remain among countries. In the developed regions, western Asia, some countries of Southern Africa, and Latin America and the Caribbean, the numbers of women and men in high education have become nearly equal due to rapid increase in female enrollment. But by contrast, the Sub-Saharan African and Southern Asian countries enroll fewer than 30 women per 100 men in higher education (UN, 1991:45).

### 2.4.2. Economic Status

Although women are economically active, and female labor participation is underreported, many women are excluded from economic activities. Women are over represented in activities on land holdings often as unpaid family workers a sphere, which tends to disappear with the monetization of agriculture. Women are also active in the urban labor market, which is highly segmented and where barriers to entry are much greater for women than men. Thus, female labor market participation may mean inclusion, access to gainful activities (de Haan, 1995).

Women everywhere contribute to economic production. As officially measured, 46 percent of the world's women aged 15 years and above are economically active. At
least another 10-20 percent of the world's women are economically productive but not counted as part of the labor force because of inadequate measurement.

In many parts of the developed regions, there have been increases in women's economic activity rates over the past two decades. women's highest shares in wage and salaried employment are in eastern Europe and the Soviet Union, something that could change as new economic policies create wide spread unemployment there. Women tend to be in clerical, sales and domestic services. Women hold a mere 10-20 percent of managerial and administrative jobs worldwide and less than 20 percent of the manufacturing jobs.

In every country having data, women's non-agricultural wage rates are substantially lower than men's. The average gap is between 30-40 percent; their income remains lower (UN, 1991: 4-5).

### 2.4.3. Political Status

Women are poorly represented in the ranks of power policy and decision-making, women make up less than_5 percent of the worlds heads of state, heads of major corporations and top positions in international organizations. Women continue to be denied equal access to high-status and high-paying positions but there has been some progress since United Nations Decade for women began in 1976. Many countries have set up special offices to review complaints of discriminatory practice in political parties, parliaments, Unions and professional organizations (UN, 1991:6).

Women have the right to vote and they do, but the proportion of women in parliament is not high. In 1987 only 10 percent of countries' parliamentarians on average were women. There 3.8 percent, were headed by women at the end of 1990 . Only 3.5 percent of the top of the world's cabinet ministries is a woman and women hold no ministerial positions in 93 countries of the world (UN, 1991: 31-32).

Women's political participation at the political level is very less even in highly developed countries such as America, Europe. The United State of America, which has been preaching practices of democracy, equality and human rights to the world, is very conservative regarding women's participation in politics. The participation of women in the world politics, there is only 13.7 percent. As per UNDP statistics, there is 43 percent women participation in politics in Sweden, 37 percent in Netherlands, 35.8 percent Norway and 30.4 percent in Finland. Women's participation in politics has become an issue of serious contemplation since the UN World conferences on
women and Development in 1975 to the fourth world women's conference in Beijing, China in 1995(Dhital, 2005: 97-100).

Women are grossly underrepresented in economic decision-making. Rarely found in high positions in finance ministries, central banks or foreign trade departments. Administrative and managerial workers including legislative officials, government administrators and managers make up elite of 2 to 3 percent of all workers. That proportion averaged 18 percent in the developed regions, 13 percent in Africa and 10 percent in Asia and Pacific (UN, 1991: 35).

### 2.5. Women Status in Nepal and Empirical Literature

Men and women are situated in society not only differently but also unequally women get less of the material resources, social status power and opportunity for selfactualization and this inequality results from organization of the society not from any biological or personality differences between men and women. The subordinated status of women in the context of Nepal can be viewed from the study on women and poverty, education and training status, women and health, violence against women, women and economy, women in power and decision making, and institutional arrangement of women. The other areas of concern should be women and media, the human rights of women, and inclusion of women in the sectors, which necessarily would result in empowerment of women in private and public life as well.

In Nepal only a few ( $14 \%$ ) of women are household heads (NLSS, 2004) and only 17 percent of women own either house land or livestock (CBS, 2002). Large proportion of women are engaged in agriculture ( $49.3 \%$ ) and 43.6 percent are engaged in elementary works (Pradhan, 2004: 55). The adult female literacy (15 years+) is accounted only to be 34.9 percent (CBS, 2003), which shows the pathetic social condition of women. The women participation in the local election (19.33 \%), professional jobs ( $18.75 \%$ ), women share in income ( $0.302 \%$ ) and GDI and GEM of 0.452 and 0.391 respectively shows the lowered social condition in Nepal (UNDP, 2004).

Nepalese women have got the voting rights as well as stand for election in 1951 but women in government at ministerial level only 7.4 percent (UNDP, 2008:326-332). Women's representation in political and administrative offices is very poor. There is a strong tendency among political parties to confine themselves to the constitutional minimum (5\%) when it comes to fielding candidates in elections. Similarly in the
judiciary, women judges all out for only 1.3 percent of the total no. of judges. The Supreme Court has only one women-judge. In other constitutional bodies women occupy some positions at the middle management level, leaving all decision-making positions for men. The public service commission has one women member. The NPC has never women member. Poor representation of women can also be observed in the cabinet (CEDAW, 2002:4).

In recent times, the social and political participation of women has slightly been increased when the state formed a policy of positive discrimination but which is not in a satisfactory condition. The interim constitution of Nepal 2007 has provided 33 percent reservation in all ate mechanism based on the caste/ethnic composition of women through the amendment of relevant laws and policies. It has also repealed of all discriminatory laws as according to the Nepalese international commitments. The state has taken the policy of increasing the access to and control over natural resources, making National Women Commission (NWC) autonomous, eliminating all discriminatory social norms to women and building women's capacity by providing modern skills and training are some positive actions to improve the condition of women in Nepal. Furthermore, property rights, sexuality rights, abortion rights and marriage and family laws after the 11th amendment of Civil Code in 2002 and further improvisation by Interim Constitution 2007 are important benchmarks in the process of women empowerment.

### 2.6. Institutional mechanism for the advancement of women

A number of institutional arrangements have been made as an effect of the Beijing Conference for the advanced of women. They include:-

1 Establishment of separate ministry of women and welfare (renamed MWCSW).

2 Establishment of the National Commission on women.
3 Formation of the National Women Co-ordination Committee under the chairpersonship of the minister for MWCSW.

4 Establishment of an informal caucus of women parliamentarians.
5 Creation of Child Welfare Committees in all 75 districts.
6 Separate women cells at the police headquarters and in some districts.

### 2.7. Women Issues in Nepal's Five-year Plan

Nepal has ratified all the international conventions on the issues of gender equality, women's participation and women empowerment. Nepal government is trying to manage the fundamental changes in its traditional institutional structure, attitudes and practices called for by those international commitments (UNFPA, 2007: 35).

The plan to uplift the status of women began with sixth five-year plan. The sixth five year plan attempted to increase empowerment for women through creating opportunities both formal and informal educations involving women in agricultural training, cottage and other small industries as well as population control activities. Similarly, the seventh five-year plan stated the legal reforms would be affected to remove provisions hindering women's participation in national development (Pantha, 2004: 4).

The eighth plan promises to include program designed to enhance women's participation in economic and social sectors such as agriculture, forestry, industry, education and health (Acharya, 1997: 23). It also emphasized increasing women representation at decision-making levels in the government, non-government, at semigovernment sectors (UNFPA, 2007: 35).

A gender approach to development was reflected fully only in the ninth plan. The plan adopted mainstreaming, eliminating gender inequality and empowerment has its major strategies. In policy terms it promised to integrate gender in all sectors at the regional and national levels and to eliminate gender inequality. For the empowerment of women it included mandatory representation of women in formulating policies and programs at all levels and ensuring equal rights in ownership of land and the services others services.

The tenth plan has integrated gender concerns in the program of some major sector traditionally accepted as important for women; including agriculture, education, health and local development, public administration and decision-making positions of government services.

### 2.8. Conceptual Framework

Empowerment of women is determined by their participation. Social inclusion as the participation, therefore social inclusion of women is the key pathway for the empowerment.


In the framework, Social inclusion of the women is the independent variables that determined their empowerment. Social, Economic and Political participation of the women play the significance role to strength their self-confidence that ultimately leads toward their empowerment.

The social variables such as Education, Social Activities; FCC, DWC, SMC, LWC, RI and Others, the economic variables such as Occupation, Income, Property ownership, Economic decision and Agriculture decision, And the political variables such as Political participation, Political communication, Presence in the policy making level and decision making power are observed as the intermediate variables.

## CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This chapter represents overall research methodology, which had been applied for this study in order to meet the research objectives.

The proposed study is based on the primary sources of information. Information had been collected using quantitative tools. Several techniques such as household survey and individual survey with married women 15 years and above had been conducted meeting them personally. However, the female aged 15 years and above were the respondent of the questionnaire and some selected young males were also used for the crosschecking and verification of the information.

### 3.1. Study Area

The sample design applied here reflects a determination to conduct a situation analysis of social inclusion of females and their empowerment with respect to the female participation, decision-making process and economic independence of women. For the depth study on the related issue the proposed study area was the Kamalamai Municipality of Sindhuli District, which lies in the eastern inner Terai of the country where the process of discrimination and exclusion are rampant.

Kamalamai Municipality is one and only municipality of Sindhuli District with 18 wards. The total population of this municipality is 32838 with having 16388 male populations and 16450 female populations in 2001 census.

Wards had been selected purposively based on consultative meeting with the CBO, GOs working in the sector of women empowerment and with the key political and social personnel of the Kamalamai municipality.

### 3.2. Sample Size and Sampling procedures

Determining the sample size is the most important and difficult task of the field study. This study had been focused the process of inclusion of women in the study areas from decision making level as well as within the social structure. For this research study, the total sample size had been 125 households of 3 selected wards of Kamalamai Municipality. The respondents were the married women of aged 15 years and above. The selected 3 wards were; ward no. 10, 11 and 12. Among 125 households, 35 HHs from ward no. 10, 45 from ward no. 11 and 45 from ward no. 12 had been taken.

All the households of the sampled wards had been included for administering the household interview schedule.

In this research, purposive sampling method was adopted. The three wards had been selected purposively in consultation with local stakeholders, local people and relevant offices. In the sampled wards, the researcher and her team (Two persons) had gone and consultation had been made with the local people. Since the study concentrated to bring out the main issues of social inclusion of women and their empowerment.
And finally, it was decided to ask one of the married women aged 15 years and above identified in the sampled household irrespective of her caste/ethnicity, religion, and occupation and education status. Therefore, 125 respondents had been selected for the detail interview regarding this study.

### 3.3. Nature and Sources of Data

This study depends mainly upon primary data. Primary data had been obtained from the field survey through household survey, observation, and informal interview. From field survey primary data had been collected in the study area. Secondary data had also been used wherever necessary.

### 3.4. Data collection procedures and Tools

For collecting the information, a two-staged interview had been carried out in the sampled household. In the first stage, information on the households in the sampled wards had been received by interviewing with household head (either male and or female) or other knowledgeable people of the house. This sort of interview manly aimed to generate household level basic information such as family size, and their demographic and socio-economic status.

In the second stage, the detailed investigation had been carried out which had been focused on the aspects needed to meet the objectives of the study. The information had been collected from married women aged 15 years and above, was identified as a respondent.

For this research, the questionnaire was the basic tool of the study. During the research study, the data were collected with the help of both structured and nonstructured questionnaires. The researcher had filled the questions based on the answers received from the respondents.

The questionnaires had been prepared to obtain general information, socio-economic conditions and their participation in social activities, participation in decision-making, which mainly explore their empowerment conditions. There were two separate questionnaires for the household and individual information of the respondents. Those two separate questionnaires were:-

Household Schedule: Household schedule was used to generate household level basic information such as number of family members in the household, literacy status, economic status, marital status and other socio-economic status.

Individual Schedule: Individual schedule was used to meet the objectives of the study that related to the exclusion/inclusion and their empowerment.

### 3.5. Data processing an Analysis

The data were collected through various tools, instruments and sources. The collected data were checked whether complete or not and edited for completeness, accuracy and uniformity.

After editing, a code book was prepared for the semi open and multiple responses questions. All responses were assigned to a numeric code. After that the collected data had been processed using SPSS and EXCEL software, which is the popular and reliable in analysis of social science research. Based on the software, the collected data were analyzed and statistically interpreted by using methods such as simple frequency distribution, percentage distribution, cross tabulation wherever necessary. Figures and pyramid had been also used to analyze the information. Most of the data were calculated and tabulated with simple percentage.

### 3.6. Ethical Issues of the Research

Ethical Issues are critical aspects for the conduct of research, which are moral principles or beliefs about what is right or wrong. They are also associated with the power relationship between the researcher and those who grant access to information. During the research period, the following ethical issues had been considered:

- The respondents were clearly informed about the purpose of the study.
- The researcher had considered the social and cultural values of the study area.
- The respondents had been participated as their willingness and confidently; not any kind of forces used.
- The respondent's identity and privacy have not been disclosed in any way.


## CHAPTER IV: INTRODUCTION TO HOUSEHOLD POPULATION OF THE STUDY AREA

This chapter represents the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of the household population of the study area.

### 4.1. Age-sex composition of the household population

The age-sex composition of a population is important in demographic analysis. A population's age and sex composition is considered as a map of its demographic history. If age composition is destroyed, all age-specific information of vital events is eventually destroyed. The various demographic events differ in different age and sex. Therefore, age-sex composition has significant implications.
Information on age and sex of each household member were obtained from the married women of age 15 years and above. In 125 sampled households, the total population was 694 with having 321 male and 373 female populations (Table 4.1).

Table 4.1: Distribution of the Population by age and sex (Age and Sex Structure of the population)

| Age Group | Sex |  |  |  | Sex ratio | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  |  | No | \% |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 29 | 9.0 | 24 | 6.4 | 120.8 | 53 | 7.6 |
| 5-9 | 26 | 8.1 | 31 | 8.3 | 83.8 | 57 | 8.2 |
| 10-14 | 30 | 9.3 | 40 | 10.7 | 75.0 | 70 | 10.1 |
| 0-14 | 85 | 26.5 | 95 | 25.5 | 89.4 | 180 | 25.9 |
| 15-19 | 44 | 13.7 | 53 | 14.2 | 83.0 | 97 | 14.0 |
| 20-24 | 32 | 10.0 | 53 | 14.2 | 60.3 | 85 | 12.2 |
| 25-29 | 31 | 9.7 | 44 | 11.8 | 70.4 | 75 | 10.8 |
| 30-34 | 30 | 9.3 | 24 | 6.4 | 125.0 | 54 | 7.8 |
| 35-39 | 20 | 6.2 | 28 | 7.5 | 71.4 | 48 | 6.9 |
| 40-44 | 18 | 5.6 | 19 | 5.1 | 94.7 | 37 | 5.3 |
| 45-49 | 19 | 5.9 | 21 | 5.6 | 90.4 | 40 | 5.8 |
| 50-54 | 14 | 4.4 | 12 | 3.2 | 116.6 | 26 | 3.7 |
| 55-59 | 9 | 2.8 | 7 | 1.9 | 128.5 | 16 | 2.3 |
| 15-59 | 217 | 67.6 | 261 | 70.0 | 83.1 | 478 | 68.9 |
| 60-64 | 10 | 3.1 | 9 | 2.4 | 111.1 | 19 | 2.7 |
| 65-69 | 2 | . 6 | 3 | . 8 | 66.6 | 5 | . 7 |
| 70 and Above | 7 | 2.2 | 5 | 1.3 | 140.0 | 12 | 1.7 |
| 60+ | 19 | 5.9 | 17 | 4.6 | 111.7 | 36 | 5.2 |
| Total | 321 | 100.0 | 373 | 100.0 | 86.0 | 694 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
The majority of the population was found in age group 15-19 years (14.0\%) and lowest in age group 65-69 years ( $0.7 \%$ ). The proportion of female population was highest in age groups 15-19 and 20-24 years with 14.2percent each followed by age
group 25-29 years ( $11.8 \%$ ). Similarly, the highest proportion of male population was found in age group $15-19$ years ( $13.7 \%$ ) followed by age group $20-24$ years ( $10.0 \%$ ). The lowest proportion of male and female population was found in age group 65-69 years ( $0.6 \%$ and $0.8 \%$ respectively).

The sex ratio in the study population was found to be low. This indicates that the number of females is higher than males. However the sex ratio was found irregular in every age group. It was high in the age group 0-4 and also high in the age 30-34. Similarly it was high in the age group 50-54, 55-59, 60-64 and 70 and above. The overall age dependency ratio was recorded to be 45.2 and child dependency and old age dependency was found to be 37.7 and 7.5 respectively (Table 4.1).

Figure 4.1: Age distribution of the household population by broad age

If we examine the age composition in economic term, people below 15 years and 60 years above are supposed to be economically inactive that means "Dependent population" and age 15-59 years is economically active population. In the study area, the economically active population age 15-59 years were found 69 percent where the
 remaining population was found as dependent population (Fig. 4.1).

### 4.1.1. Population pyramid

In general population pyramid is a kind of sliding bar chart which graphically represents the population of defined geographically regions. It is believed that a pyramid represents whole status of any region.

The pyramid of the study area represented that, there were high economic active population. The dependent population was low than the independent population. This pyramid showed that in study area fertility rate was declining and, infant and child mortality were also declining. It was also shown that life expectancy at birth was increasing because its apex was quite wide. (Fig. 4.2)

Figure 4.2: Population pyramid of the household population


The age structure of the pyramid shows that the population in the younger age group is also declining but the population in the age group 15-19 and 20-24 shows that there is the possibility of population expansion in the near future.

### 4.2. Educational Status of the Household Population

Education plays a vital role to bring changes in socio-economic status of the people as well as a nation. The higher literacy status and educational attainment affects the people's Participation in socio-economic, developmental as well as in policy making level. Education enhances the ability and capacity of human being to judge for right and wrong Out of the total household population of six year and above, majority (88 $\%$ ) of the population were literate and 12 percent were illiterate (Figure 4.3).

Figure 4.3: Population distribution by their literacy status (6 years +)

Educational attainment of the population ranges from grade one to masters and above. Among the literate population, 34.2 percent
 population had SLC or Intermediate education followed by lower secondary and secondary level education i.e. $6^{\text {th }}$ to $10^{\text {th }}$ grade of education ( $31.2 \%$ ). Only 9 percent of the population had educational attainment of Bachelor and above it that indicates that the educational attainment heaped in between grade SLC/Intermediate or in Bachelor (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2: Distribution of population six years and above by their literacy status

| Literacy Status | Sex |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | No. | $\%$ |
|  | No. |  | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |  |
| Literate | 278 | 96.5 | 280 | 81.4 | 558 | 88.3 |
| Illiterate | 10 | 3.5 | 64 | 18.6 | 74 | 11.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary /No Schooling | 66 | 23.7 | 77 | 27.5 | 143 | 25.6 |
| Some secondary(6 to 10th <br> Grade) | 84 | 30.2 | 90 | 32.1 | 174 | 31.2 |
| SLC/Intermediate | 88 | 31.7 | 103 | 36.8 | 191 | 34.2 |
| Bachelor above | 40 | 14.4 | 10 | 3.6 | 50 | 9.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
Education by sex was found unequal among the study population. Female literacy is far lower than that of males ( $81.4 \%$ and $96.5 \%$ respectively). But the female educational attainment was in SLC/Intermediate level and in bachelor and above, it was found to be poor. In lower level education like primary, the attainment was quite high than the male ( $23.0 \%$ male and $27.3 \%$ female) (Table 4.2). So, it can be concluded that still women were excluded from higher level educational opportunities.

### 4.3. Marital Status of the Household Population

Marriage is universal in our society. In Nepal, marriage is compulsion for both men and women. Marriage determines the social roles and responsibilities of the people. So it is called social institutions.

Table 4.3: Distribution of population 10 years and above by their marital status

| Marital Status | Sex |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | No. | $\%$ |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |  |  |
| Never Married | 104 | 39.1 | 93 | 29.2 | 197 | 33.7 |
| Married | 160 | 60.2 | 201 | 63.2 | 361 | 61.8 |
| Widow | 2 | .8 | 18 | 5.7 | 20 | 3.4 |
| Divorced | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | .3 | 1 | .2 |
| Separated | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.6 | 5 | .9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

[^0]In the household population age 10 years and above, majority of the population were married ( $61.8 \%$ ). Similarly 33.7 percent were unmarried followed by 3.4 percent widow. The proportions of divorced and separated were 0.2 percent and 0.9 percent respectively (Table 4.3).
The highest proportion of married population was females ( $63.2 \%$ ) because their marital life begins early and the highest proportion of unmarried population was males (39.1\%). The proportion of widow among males seems negligible ( $0.8 \%$ ). Females widowed are far higher than the males. (Table: 4.3).

### 4.4. Occupation of the household population

Occupation is an indicator of economic condition of a person. Table 4.4 shows that overwhelming proportion of household populations' age 6 year and above were students (34.5\%) followed by female household workers ( $16.9 \%$ ). Other occupations identified in the household populations were services (12.8\%), business (11.1\%), agriculture ( $8.5 \%$ ) and daily wages (non-agriculture) (4.9\%).

Table 4.4: Distribution of population six years and above by their occupation

| Occupation | Sex |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Agriculture | 23 | 8.0 | 31 | 9.0 | 54 | 8.5 |
| Service | 52 | 18.1 | 29 | 8.4 | 81 | 12.8 |
| Business | 38 | 13.2 | 32 | 9.3 | 70 | 11.1 |
| Daily Wage(Agriculture) | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | . 3 | 1 | . 2 |
| Daily Wage (Non- Agriculture) | 23 | 8.0 | 8 | 2.3 | 31 | 4.9 |
| Physically Not able to work | 1 | . 3 | 5 | 1.5 | 6 | . 9 |
| Student | 102 | 35.4 | 116 | 33.7 | 218 | 34.5 |
| Currently Not working | 9 | 3.1 | 3 | . 9 | 12 | 1.9 |
| Household work |  |  | 107 | 31.1 | 107 | 16.9 |
| Teaching | 15 | 5.2 | 10 | 2.9 | 25 | 4.0 |
| Foreign Labor | 15 | 5.2 | 2 | . 6 | 17 | 2.7 |
| Social worker | 2 | . 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | . 3 |
| Don't Know | 4 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | . 6 |
| Not Stated | 4 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | . 6 |
| Total | 288 | 100.0 | 344 | 100.0 | 632 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
The involvement of female was much of less than male in income generating occupation. Broadly the population engaged in non agricultural activities including
service, business, wage (non agriculture) student, teaching, foreign labor, household work and currently not working comprised 88.8 percent of the total occupation. Only 16.9 percent females were household workers which seem to be relevant to say economically active population. Around one third of the female were engaged in agriculture where as for male it was nil. But in income generating activities the proportion of male was much higher than that of females. It was also found that male proportion in foreign labor was high than the female proportion (5.2\% VS 0.6\%) (Table 4.4).

## CHAPTER V: ANALYSIS OF DATA

This chapter deals with the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of the study population and also deals with their political participation, political awareness and decision making characteristics.

### 5.1 Demographic characteristics

### 5.1.1. Age-composition of the respondents

The age structure plays a significant role in demography whether it influences the values, roles, social mores, responsibilities, social relation and fundamental social hierarchy. In the study from the 125 sampled respondents, their ages were broadly grouped as 15-29, 30-49, 50-64 and 65+ years of age.

In the sampled population, 52.8 percent respondents were found in age group 30-49 years, where 33.6 percent respondents were in age group 15-29 years. Only one respondent above 65 years was included in the study $(0.8 \%)$ and the mean age of the respondents was recorded to be 39.6 years (Table: 5.1).

Table 5.1: Distribution of the respondents by broad age group

| Age group | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $15-29$ | 42 | 33.6 |
| $30-49$ | 66 | 52.8 |
| $50-64$ | 16 | 12.8 |
| $65+$ | 1 | 0.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.1.2. Marital status of the respondents

Marital status is another important determinant, which changes the life cycle of a woman. As we know, marriage is essential and universal in our society. It determines women's position within family as well as her status within society. Females' roles are increased in family only after her marriage and her decision making power is accepted if she is considered to be married. Out of 125 respondents, 91.2 percent respondents were married followed by widow (6.4\%) and separated (2.4\%) (Table 5.2).

Table 5.2: Distribution of the respondents by marital status

| Marital Status | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Married | 114 | 91.2 |
| Widow | 8 | 6.4 |
| Separated | 3 | 2.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.1.3. Age at marriage of the respondents

The age at marriage is also an indicator of socio-health status of the women. In this study, the age at marriage of the respondents were categorized into 5 groups such as; $<10$ years, $10-14$ years, $15-19$ years, 20-24 years and $25+$ years. It was observed that more than half of the respondents ( $58.4 \%$ ) had got married at the age 15-19 years followed by age group $10-14$ years ( $19.2 \%$ ). This study had shown that almost three quarters of female had got married within their teenage period (Table 5.1.3).

Table 5.3: Distribution of the respondents by their marital age-group

| Marriage age-group of the respondents | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $<10$ | 1 | .8 |
| $10-14$ | 24 | 19.2 |
| $15-19$ | 73 | 58.4 |
| $20-24$ | 23 | 18.4 |
| $25+$ | 4 | 3.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Mean Age of Marriage | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 4}$ |  |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.2. Social characteristics

### 5.2.1. Caste/ethnicity of the respondents

Nepal is a state of multi cast and ethnicity. In the sampled area various caste and ethnic groups were found. Among these identified caste and ethnicity, they were categorized into 5 broad caste groups i.e. Brahmin, Chhetri, Newar, Hill Janajati and Hill Dalit.

In Chhetri caste group, Sanyasi and Yadav caste groups are also included and for the ease of the analysis the Hill Janjaties are included in the lump sum category i.e. Tamang, Magar, Rai, Lama, Gharti, and Hayu and in Hill Dalits, Damai and Kami were included in the analysis of the data based on the broad caste and ethnic group.

Table 5.4: Distribution of the respondents by their caste/ethnic group

| Caste group | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Brahmin | 36 | 28.8 |
| Chhetri | 36 | 28.8 |
| Newar | 26 | 20.8 |
| Hill Janajaties | 22 | 17.6 |
| Hill Dalits | 5 | 4.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
The majority of the respondents were from Brahmin (28.8\%) and Chhetri (28.8\%). Other caste groups were Newar (20.8\%), Hill Janajaties (17.6\%) and Hill Dalits ( $4.0 \%$ ) (Table 5.4). The table exhibits the study area is full of Khas. Around 57 percent of the respondents were from the Khas ethnic group.

### 5.2.2. Religion of the respondents

Religious composition is the important social characteristics of population. Religion also plays a vital role to unite a society and keep solidarity among its members. It is also a factor that determines the role and responsibilities of a woman; because different religious groups have their own traditional values and systems which govern people beliefs.
In the sampled population, four religious groups were found. The majority of the respondents from Hindu religion ( $87.2 \%$ ) followed by Buddhist ( $11.2 \%$ ). Out of the 125 respondents, only one person was found in each Kirant and Christian religious group. (Table: 5.5).

Table 5.5: Distribution of the respondents by religion

| Religion | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hindu | 109 | 87.2 |
| Buddhist | 14 | 11.2 |
| Kirant | 1 | .8 |
| Christian | 1 | .8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.2.3. Educational status of the respondents

Education is the main part of personal as well as societal development. It has a multidimensional significance. Education is also considered to be an indicator of
women empowerment. Greater participation of women in education is the backbone of their empowerment.

Only 67.4 percent of the respondents were found to be literate and remaining 32.6 percent were illiterate. Among the literate respondents, the majority of the respondents had attended the secondary level education (26.1\%). Very negligible percent of the respondents had attained higher education. Over 95 percent of the respondents had the educational status below SLC/Intermediate or equivalent. Only two respondents had secured university education (Table 5.6 (a)).

Table 5.6 (a): Distribution of the respondents by Educational status

| Literacy Status | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Literate | 84 | 67.2 |
| Illiterate | 41 | 32.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  |  | No. |
| Educational Attainment | 2 | \% |
| Below grade one | 18 | 2.3 |
| Primary | 18 | 21.4 |
| Lower Secondary | 22 | 21.4 |
| Secondary | 20 | 26.1 |
| SLC, IA or equivalent | 1 | 23.8 |
| Bachelor | 1 | 1.1 |
| Masters + | 2 | 1.1 |
| Not Stated | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

After marriage, only 26.4 percent respondents had taken education. Remaining 73.6 percent had not taken any formal or informal education. Almost all caste/ethnic groups' respondents had not taken any kind of formal or informal education (Table 5.6 (b)).

Table 5.6 (b): Distribution of the respondents by taking education after marriage

| Education After Marriage |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | No. | \% |
| No | 33 | 26.4 |
| Total | 92 | 73.6 |
| Soure | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
Among the reasons for not taking education after marriage, majority of the respondents $(26.1 \%)$ said that they had no interest for further education. More than one fifth $(22.8 \%)$ had the response that their family members didn't like to send them
to educational institutions after marriage. The same proportion ( $21.7 \%$ ) of them indicated the traditional beliefs that women shouldn't get education after marriage. They also reported that because of early marriage (11.9\%), early pregnancy (8.7\%), and household activities ( $6.5 \%$ ), they were not getting opportunities for further study after marriage (Table 5.6 (c).

Table 5.6 (c): Distribution of the respondents by reasons for not taking education after marriage

| Reasons for not taking education after marriage | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Not Interested for further Study | 24 | 26.1 |
| Husband/family didn't want | 21 | 22.8 |
| Due to Early Marriage | 11 | 11.9 |
| Economic Reasons | 12 | 13.0 |
| Traditional Belief | 20 | 21.7 |
| Due to Early Pregnancy | 8 | 8.7 |
| Left without reasons | 2 | 2.2 |
| No School Nearby | 2 | 2.2 |
| Household Activities | 6 | 6.5 |
| Due to illness | 1 | 1.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 2}$ |  |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
Less than fifty percent ( $48 \%$ ) respondents had reported that they were not satisfied with their current educational status whereas 52 percent said that they were mildly or fully satisfied with their educational status (Table 5.6 (d)).

Table 5.6 (d): Distribution of the respondents by satisfaction with current educational status

| Satisfaction With their education | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 65 | 52.0 |
| No | 60 | 48.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.2.4. Family types of the respondents

The family background determines the future of an individual. Family plays an important role to determine the career of a woman because of the social structure. In our society, a woman should fully depend upon their family, and without their permission and decision she is helpless to do anything on her own. It is believed that in nuclear family, a woman can get some extent of choices to do something freely than in a joint family.
Less than one forth $(22.4 \%)$ of the respondents were from the joint family and remaining 77.6 percent respondents were from nuclear family (Table 5.7).

Table 5.7: Distribution of respondents by their family types

| Family type of the respondents | No | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Nuclear | 97 | 77.6 |
| Joint | 28 | 22.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.2.5. Head of the household of the respondents

Head of the household receives higher respect in Nepalese society. Generally, the eldest male member of household is regarded as head. Being male dominated society, most of households reported the male members to be the head of the household regardless of their age, social position and economic activity within household.

In the study area, out of 125 sampled households, only 19.2 percent female-headed households were reported where remaining 80.8 percent households were maleheaded household (Table 5.8).

Table 5.8: Distribution of the respondents by household head

| Head of the HHs | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | $\%$ |
|  | 101 | 80.8 | 24 | 19.2 | 125 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.3. Economic characteristics

### 5.3.1. Engaged in income generating activities

In the study area majority of the respondents had not engaged in any kind of income generating activities. Out of 125 respondents, only 32 percent had reported that they had been contributing to the household income by being engaged in some kinds of activities but 60 percent of the respondents were not accounted to be engaged in any kind of income generating activities. This could be the reason that household activities including some minor agriculture activities were not taken as income generating activities by the respondents. In all caste/ethnic groups, majority respondents had reported that they had not participated in any income generating activities so far (Table 5.9).

Table 5.9: Distribution of the respondents by engaged in income generating activities

| Engaged in income generating activities | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ |
| Yes | 40 | 32.0 |
| No | 85 | 68.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.3.2. Sources of income

Among the respondents who were involved in income generating activities, majority of the respondents' sources of income was business. More than one forth (27\%) of the respondents were engaged in business where as 25 percent respondents were in teaching profession and 15 percent were in service including in governmental and non governmental sectors (Table 5.10).

Table 5.10: Distribution of the respondents by sources of income

| Sources of income | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agriculture/ Livestock | 5 | 12.5 |
| Business | 11 | 27.5 |
| Labor | 3 | 7.5 |
| Job/ Services | 6 | 15.0 |
| Teaching | 10 | 25.0 |
| Tailoring | 5 | 12.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.3.3. Monthly income level of he respondents

The income level of the respondents was not seen well. Almost 77.5 percent respondents' income level was below 5000. This category ranges from 500 or lower than that to 5000 monthly. Only 22.5 percent respondents were able to earn above 500 monthly from different occupations. This shows that income generating activities and level of income is only subsistence based. The lower proportion of the respondents in higher income level might be imperfect because of the perceived fear to tell their income level to the new interviewer. There were very negligible income levels seen among all the respondents (Table 5.11).

Table 5.11: Distribution of the respondents by their level of income

| Income level | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Below 5000 | 31 | 77.5 |
| $5001-10000$ | 7 | 17.5 |
| $10001-15000$ | 1 | 2.5 |
| $15001+$ | 1 | 2.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.3.4. Reasons for not engaging in any income generating activities

Around three forth of the respondents reported that they were not engaged in any kind of income generating activities due to the household chores. Household work is seen
as a barrier to be engaged in any kind of activities. One forth (25\%) of the respondents reported that low level of education was the main reason for not engaging in such kind of activities followed by unwilling to do job ( $17 \%$ ) and due to busy in study (1.7\%) (Table 5.12).

Table 5.12: Distribution of the respondents by reasons for not engaging in income generating activities

| Reasons for not engaging in income generating activities | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Due to Household Work | 46 | 77.0 |
| Due to Agriculture Work | 5 | 8.3 |
| No Education | 15 | 25.0 |
| No Proper time | 7 | 12.0 |
| Unwilling to work | 10 | 17.0 |
| Not able to do work | 8 | 13.0 |
| Due to poverty | 2 | 3.3 |
| No Opportunities got | 6 | 10.0 |
| Due to small baby | 4 | 6.7 |
| Due to busy in study | 1 | 1.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |  |

Source: Field Survey, 2008 (Note: the percentage may increase 100 due to the multiple responses)

### 5.3.5. H aving "PE W A" livestock

Having PEWA is also a kind of indicator of economic status. It is believed that having PEWA shows the sound socio-economic status. Most of the people put livestock/cattle as their PEWA. Among the respondents, it was found that very few women had PEWA livestock but 86.4 percent respondents didn't have "PEWA" livestock (Table 5.13).

Table 5.13: Distribution of the respondents by having "PE W A" livestock

| Having personal livestock | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 17 | 13.6 |
| No | 108 | 86.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.3.6. Ownership of the land by the respondents

The study had shown that 84 percent respondents' family had land and 16 percent had no land at all. Among the respondents having land, 44.8 percent respondents had land on their own name and 55.2 percent respondents didn't have land on their own name. Thus, it can be concluded that most of the women were excluded from their legal rights on the land holding (Table5.14).

Table 5.14: Distribution of the respondents by ownership of the land

| Having Land | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 105 | 84.0 |
| No | 20 | 16.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Ownership of the Land | No. | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| Yes | 47 | 44.8 |
| No | 58 | 55.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.3.7. Ownership of the house by the respondents

Ownership of the house also represents the socio-economic status. The study had shown that most of the respondents had their own house. More than eighty percent respondents were living in their own house. Among the respondents having own house, only more than one-forth ( $27.7 \%$ ) of the respondents were legal owner of the house and 45.5 percent said that their husbands were the legal owner of the house. Parents also posses some 18 percent of houses on their own name. Other categories like dual ownership of husband and wife (1.9\%) and brothers and sisters (3.9\%) were negligible for the analysis (Table. 5.15).

Table 5.15: Distribution of the respondents by ownership of the house

| Type of the House | No. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Own | 101 | 80.8 |
| Rented | 21 | 16.8 |
| Relatives | 3 | 2.4 |
| Total | 125 | 100.0 |
| Ownership of the House | No. | \% |
| Husband | 45 | 45.5 |
| Parents | 18 | 17.8 |
| Self | 28 | 27.7 |
| Husband and Wife Both | 2 | 1.9 |
| Brothers and Sisters | 3 | 2.9 |
| Sons/daughter | 4 | 3.9 |
| Total | 101 | 100.00 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.3.8. Keeping household income

For sound and healthy family life, male and female both are equally responsible. They need to share benefits in family. The study had shown that 50.4 percent women kept their family income where 25.6 percent kept both of them. The result shows that little number of respondents had engaged in any kind of income generating activities and
negligible percent of women had land or houses in their own name. Quite contrarily, most of the income is kept by them. This shows that the female right to handle household property is not fully accepted in the study area (Table 5.16).

Table 5.16: Distribution of the respondents by keeping the household income

| Household income keeper | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 17 | 13.6 |
| Parents | 12 | 9.6 |
| Self | 63 | 50.4 |
| Husband and wife Both | 32 | 25.6 |
| Sons and Daughter | 1 | 0.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.3.9. Having saving accounts

The study had shown that 56.8 percent respondents had opened saving accounts. Among them, 52.11 percent respondents affiliated with saving accounts where 29.5 percent their husband. There were 12.6 percent both husband and wife was equal owner of the saving accounts. They also opened the saving accounts on their children's name (14\%) (Table: 5.17).

Table 5.17: Distribution of the respondents by having saving account

| Saving Account | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 71 | 56.8 |
| No | 54 | 43.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Whom the saving accounts belongs to | $\mathbf{N o .}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| Husband | 21 | 29.5 |
| Parents | 5 | 7.0 |
| Self | 37 | 52.1 |
| Husband and wife Both | 9 | 12.6 |
| Son And Daughter | 10 | 14.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008 (Note: the percentage may increase 100 due to the multiple responses)

### 5.4. Political participation

In the context of women's role and influence in the society, political participation needs to be analyzed from several angles. Conventionally, politics is understood to cover the science of governance in the public arena only. But in women's context it is imperative that politics includes gender politics within family and in the domestic scene, because that is where they are intensively exploited, repressed and excluded.

Women's political participation is defined as participation in social activities, participation in decision making roles within the domestic scene as well as in the community.

Involvement in political movements and political parties forms another dimension of women's political participation. Awareness/knowledge about their rights and access to inheritance property are conceptualized as women's political empowerment.

### 5.4.1. Participation in community based organizations (CBOs)

Participation in any community based committees helps women strengthening their capacity and confidence power. It also shows inclusion of females in development activities where a female share equal right and opportunity as that of male.

In the study area some community based organizations (CBOs) were found like Forest Consuming Committees (FCC), Drinking Water Committees (DWC), School Management Committees (SMC), Local Women's Committees (LWC), Religious Institutions (RI) and Other Committees.

Table 5.18: Distribution of the respondents by their participation in CBOs

| Institutions | Regular |  | Sometimes |  | Never |  | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No | \% | No. | \% |  |  |
| FCC | 13 | 10.4 | 22 | 17.7 | 90 | 71.7 | 125 | 100 .0 |
| DWC | 15 | 12.0 | 17 | 13.6 | 93 | 74.4 | 125 | 100.0 |
| SMC | 11 | 8.8 | 23 | 18.4 | 91 | 72.8 | 125 | 100.0 |
| LWC | 37 | 29.6 | 10 | 8.0 | 78 | 62.4 | 125 | 100.0 |
| RI | 23 | 18.4 | 28 | 22.4 | 74 | 59.2 | 125 | 100.0 |
| Other | 4 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

Among those committees, most of the women had no regular participation. The highest proportion of women were never participated in such committees, very few women had participated regularly. In those committees, the highest percentages of women ( $29.6 \%$ ) were regularly participated in LWC whereas 8.8 percent respondents participated in SMC. In other committees 10.4 percent in FCC, 12 percent in DWC and 18.4 percent in RI, the respondents had participated regularly. Thus, it can be concluded that the regular participation of women was quite high in LWC than other committees. The never participants were high in all committees in the study area (Table 5.18).

### 5.4.2. Political participation and political awareness

In the study area, out of 125 respondents more than 52 percent reported that they didn't have membership of social institutions but 47.2 percent had been a member. More than a half ( $50.8 \%$ ) percent reported that they were willing to participate in any social activities (Table 5.19).

Around 90 percent of the respondents had been utilizing their political rights by voting to any of the political parties in the past elections including the election of the Constituent Assembly. Around 17 percent of the respondents had the membership in any of the political parties and 40 percent had a willingness to be a local representative.

Table 5.19: Distribution of the respondents by their political participation and political awareness

| Political participation and political <br> awareness | Yes |  | No |  | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| Having membership of any Social-institutions | 59 | 47.2 | 66 | 52.8 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Willingness to participate in any Social- <br> activities | 63 | 50.8 | 62 | 49.1 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Voting to any political parties | 112 | 89.6 | 13 | 10.4 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Having membership of any political parties | 21 | 16.8 | 104 | 83.2 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Willingness to be a local representative | 50 | 40.0 | 75 | 60.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Having knowledge about the constitution | 113 | 90.4 | 12 | 9.6 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Having knowledge about the fundamental <br> rights | 94 | 75.2 | 31 | 24.8 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Having knowledge about the property rights | 108 | 86.4 | 17 | 13.6 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Having knowledge about the divorce rights | 117 | 93.6 | 8 | 6.4 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
To collect the necessary information on the political and social awareness of the respondents, a set of questions was asked in the field. More than 90 percent of the respondents said that they were conscious about the constitution and constitutional provisions relating to women. Knowledge about fundamental rights (75.2\%), female property rights ( $86.4 \%$ ) and divorce rights ( $93.8 \%$ ) show that the level of political consciousness is higher among the respondents irrespective of their utilizations (Table:5.19).

### 5.4.3. Reasons for being a local representative

Out of 125 respondents, 50 respondents reported that they were interested to be a local representative. Among 50 respondents, half of them said that they wanted to address women's issues' by being involved in local politics while 34 percent had the will to assist for the development of their village followed by 28 percent responses
favored that being a local representative is a way to build up a self confidence, 22 percent wanted to be in a local position for the purpose of mere learning and $12 \%$ of the respondents wanted to be a local representative to uplift the women's status (Table 5.20).

Table 5.20: Distribution of the respondents' views to be a local representative

| Reasons for being a local representative | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| To Address the women's issues | 25 | 50.0 |
| to Uplift the women Earning | 6 | 12.0 |
| For Learning | 11 | 22.0 |
| To build up the confidence | 14 | 28.0 |
| To assist village development | 17 | 34.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | - |

Source: Field Survey, 2008 (Note: the percentage may increase 100 due to the multiple responses)

### 5.4.4. Knowledge about the constitutional provisions relating to women

It was found that 113 respondents had heard of constitutional provision relating to women. Among them, 73.4 percent reported that they had known about women's equal property rights ensured in the constitution. Similarly, 72.5 percent reported thirty three percent reservation for women in all governmental sectors, 34.5 percent citizenship rights, 25.6 percent equal education rights and only 1.7 percent divorce rights which were ensured by constitution. Some nine percent $(8.8 \%)$ reported that they didn't know about the constitutional provisions though they had heard about it (Table 5.21).

Table 5.21: Distribution of the respondents' views about constitutional provisions

| Knowledge about the constitutional provisions relating to women | No. | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Equal Property rights | 83 | 73.4 |
| Equal Educational rights | 29 | 25.6 |
| 33percent Reservation | 82 | 72.5 |
| Citizenship rights | 39 | 34.5 |
| Share from husband | 17 | 15.0 |
| Don't know | 10 | 8.8 |
| Equal rights to do work | 10 | 8.8 |
| Divorce rights | 2 | 1.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | - |

Source: Field Survey, 2008 (Note: the percentage may increase 100 due to the multiple responses)

### 5.4.5. Knowledge about the fundamental rights

Fundamental rights are the asset of the human beings but most of the human beings especially women are excluded from their fundamental rights. Among the respondents who had heard about the fundamental rights, 61.7 percent reported equal education to
be a fundamental right of women. Similarly, 34.0 percent reported that decision on marriage also falls within the fundamental right of women. Some 37.2 percent reported 'right to basic needs', 20.2 percent 'Right to economy/property', and 19.1 percent reported 'freedom rights' as fundamental rights. Similarly, 3.1 percent reported that right of love and care from parents was also a fundamental right. Whereas around seven percent (7.4\%) reported that they didn't have any idea or knowledge about the fundamental rights (Table. 5.22).

Table 5.22: Distribution of the respondents' views about the fundamental rights

| Knowledge about the fundamental rights | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Right to Equal Education | 58 | 61.7 |
| Right to Marriage | 32 | 34.0 |
| Right to Speech | 11 | 11.7 |
| Property Rights /rights to Economy | 19 | 20.2 |
| Equal right for all | 25 | 26.6 |
| Right of Self Decision | 7 | 7.4 |
| Right to basic Needs | 35 | 37.2 |
| Freedom rights | 18 | 19.1 |
| Right to move freely | 9 | 9.5 |
| Don't know | 7 | 7.4 |
| Rights for love and care from parents | 3 | 3.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | - |

Source: Field Survey, 2008 (Note: the percentage may increase 100 due to the multiple responses)

### 5.4.6. Knowledge about the property rights

Access to property of women helps to be economic independent. But the property right to women is still lacking despite the legal provisions.

Among 125 respondents, 108 respondents reported that they had heard about the property rights. Most of the respondent, 78.7 percent reported that equal share from husband when separated was the property rights where 63.8 percent reported that equal share for son and daughter from their parent as their property rights (Table 5.23).

Table 5.23: Distribution of the respondents' views about the property rights

| Knowledge about the property rights | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Equal Share for Son and daughter | 69 | 63.8 |
| Share from husband when separated | 85 | 78.7 |
| Don't know | 5 | 4.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008 (Note: the percentage may increase 100 due to the multiple responses)

### 5.4.7. Knowledge about the divorce rights

It was reported that 117 respondents had heard about the divorce rights. Among them, 75.2 percent reported that if husband brought another wife, then she could give divorce to her husband and 47 percent reported that she could give divorce to her husband if she felt neglected in the family. Similarly, 43 percent reported if frequent quarrel, 29.9 percent reported if tortured, and 27.3 percent if husband shows bad character and 11.1 percent reported if husband didn't respect for her self-dignity, then she would give divorce. There were also reported that if husband is not fecund ( $9.4 \%$ ), if husband is living separately for 3 years ( $3.4 \%$ ), if not satisfied with husband $(17.9 \%)$ and if husband is mentally not well ( $0.8 \%$ ), then a woman can give divorce to the her husband (Table. 5.24).

Table 5.24: Distribution of the respondents' views about the divorce rights

| Knowledge about the conditions, in which a women can give divorce | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| If step wife is brought | 88 | 75.2 |
| Frequent quarrel | 51 | 43.5 |
| If Neglected /Not respected in the family | 55 | 47.0 |
| If not fecund | 11 | 9.4 |
| If Husband lives separately for 3 years | 4 | 3.4 |
| If no respect for Self-Dignity | 13 | 11.1 |
| If tortured | 35 | 29.9 |
| If not satisfied with husband | 21 | 17.9 |
| If husband shows bad character | 32 | 27.3 |
| If husband is mentally not well | 1 | 0.8 |
| Don't know | 2 | 1.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | - |

Source: Field Survey, 2008 (Note: the percentage may increase 100 due to the multiple responses)

### 5.5. Women in decision making process

### 5.5.1. Decision on their marriage

It might be the patriarchy society; it was found most of the respondent's parent decided their marriage.

Table 5.25: Distribution of the respondents' decision on their marriage

| Decision makers | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Father | 10 | 8.0 |
| Mother | 5 | 4.0 |
| Both Parents | 86 | 68.8 |
| Self | 19 | 15.2 |
| Brothers/Sisters | 2 | 1.6 |
| Relatives | 3 | 2.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

There were 68.8 percent respondents' parent took decision on their marriage. It was also found that 15.2 percent respondents had made self decision on their marriage (Table 5.25).

### 5.5.2. Decision on taking education after their marriage

It was found very few respondents had taken education after their marriage.
While taking education after their marriage, majority of them had taken self decision for their further study where their parent in law also decided to continue their education (Table 5.26).

Table 5.26: Distribution of the respondents' decision on taking education after their marriage

| Decision Makers | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 5 | 15.2 |
| Parents | 7 | 21.22 |
| Self | 11 | 33.3 |
| Husband and wife both | 6 | 18.2 |
| Brothers and Sisters | 1 | 3.0 |
| Sons and Daughters | 3 | 9.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.3. Decision on their children's schooling

While taking decision on their children's schooling or not, majority of both husband and wife had decided for their children's education. There were 19.1 percent respondents had made self decision in their children's schooling. It shows that the female decision on the education of their children is still low compared to their husband. Around 41 percent of the decisions are done in mutual understanding of husband and wife both (Table 5.27).

Table 5.27: Distribution of the respondents' decision on their children's schooling

| Decision on their children's schooling | No. | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 32 | 34.0 |
| Parents | 5 | 5.3 |
| Self | 18 | 19.1 |
| Husband and <br> Wife Both | 39 | 41.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.4. Decision on having children

It was found that almost 92 percent respondents had given a live birth. Among them most of the couple (husband and wife both) 83.4 percent decided to have children. The dual decision to bear a baby may be considered to be good but self decision is a right to be a pregnant ( $5.2 \%$ ), shows that they were not fostered the right of pregnancy. She alone was not able to decide on when, how much and how often should she be pregnant. Respondents were also liable to bear a baby on the decision of husband alone or because of the parents desire to have a grandchild (Table 5.28).

Table 5.28: Distribution of the respondents' decision on having children

| Decision on Having Children | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 10 | 8.7 |
| Parents | 3 | 2.6 |
| Self | 6 | 5.2 |
| Husband \& wife Both | 96 | 83.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.5. Decision on using of family planning methods

Out of 125 respondents, only 52 respondents had used family planning devices. Among the 52 respondents, 50 percent couple had made decision together. Around one third ( $32.6 \%$ ) decisions on using FP methods were taken by their husband and only 17.3 percent of the respondents decided to use the FP methods on their own (Table 5.29).

Table 5.29: Distribution of the respondents' decision on using of family planning methods

| Decision on using of family planning methods | No. | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 17 | 32.6 |
| Self | 9 | 17.3 |
| Both | 26 | 50.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.6. Decision on cropping

Most of the women are involved either in household activities or in agriculture activities. Their involvement in agriculture provided capacity in decision making on cropping pattern. It was found that more than thirty three percent women had taken decision on cropping in cooperation with their husband. While 32.3 percent respondents made self-decision on cropping (Table 5.30).

Table 5.30: Distribution of the respondents' decision on cropping

| Decision makers | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 5 | 7.3 |
| Parents | 18 | 26.4 |
| Self | 22 | 32.3 |
| Husband and <br> Wife Both |  |  |
| Total | 23 | 33.8 |
| Sour |  | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.7. Decision on buying or selling surplus food

Buying or selling surplus food in the house is the most important decision. Most of the Nepalese women have involved in household activities. Among 125 respondents, 54.4 percent respondents had made decision to buy or sell any surplus food (Table 5.31).

Table 5.31: Distribution of the respondents' decision on buying or selling surplus food

| Decision on buying or selling surplus food | No. | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 68 | 54.4 |
| No | 57 | 45.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.8. Decision on buying or selling livestock

Decision on buying or selling livestock, it was found that comparatively very less women had made decision to buy or sell any livestock in the household. Only 33.6 percent respondents had made decision by her (Table 5.32). Around 66 percent of the women had no right of buying or selling their foods, livestock or any other household assets.

Table 5.32: Distribution of the respondents' decision on buying and selling livestock

| Decision on buying and selling livestock | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 42 | 33.6 |
| No | 83 | 66.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.9. Decision on visiting the relatives

Visiting relatives is also a social affair. In this study, it was found that often the respondent's husband decided to visit their relatives. There were 30.4 percent respondents had followed their husband's decision. While 29.6 percent both couple
decided for visiting their relatives and 28.8 percent respondents had taken selfdecision to visit relatives (Table 5.33).

Table 5.33: Distribution of the respondents' decision on visiting relatives

| Decision on visiting relatives | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 38 | 30.4 |
| Parents | 14 | 11.2 |
| Self | 36 | 28.8 |
| Husband and <br> Wife Both | 37 | 29.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.10. Decision on participating in social activities

Most of the women were engaged in domestic/household chores. They are, to some extent, restricted to go out side and take part in any social activities due to the social and culture settings.

While taking decision to participate in social activities, women seem to be free to some extent. Around 36 percent of the respondents decided themselves whether to participate or not. In other cases, a female needs her husband's approval (28.8\%) to take part in such activities. Only 11.7 percent of such decisions were made in mutual understanding between husband and wife. Sometimes, parents, relatives, and son or daughter remain the decision makers in such cases ( $1.6 \%$ respectively for each case)
(Table 5.34).

Table 5.34: Distribution of the respondents' decision on participating in social activities

| Decision on participating in social activities | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 17 | 28.8 |
| Parents | 1 | 1.6 |
| Self | 21 | 35.5 |
| Husband and wife Both | 7 | 11.8 |
| Relatives | 1 | 1.6 |
| Son and Daughter | 1 | 1.6 |
| Other (friends | 11 | 18.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 5.5.11. Decision on to be member of political parties

Political membership helps increasing the social movement of the people. Political awareness helps people to know their rights and responsibilities.

It was found that very less women had taken membership of political parties. However, 47.6 percent respondents had taken her-self decision to be a member of political parties. While equally ( $23.8 \%$ ) their husband and ( $23.8 \%$ ) their friends encouraged them to be a member of political parties. Similarly, 14.2 percent respondents took decision on to be a member of political parties in cooperation with their husband (Table 5.35).

Table 5.35: Distribution of the respondents' decision on to be member of political parties

| Decision Makers | No. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Husband | 5 | 23.8 |
| Self | 10 | 47.6 |
| Husband and wife Both | 3 | 14.2 |
| Relatives | 1 | 4.7 |
| Other (Friends) | 5 | 23.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | - |

Source: Field Survey, 2008 (Note: the percentage may increase 100 due to the multiple responses)

### 5.6. Causes and consequences of exclusion of women

This sstudy had concentrated to identify the causes of the social exclusion of the women and its impact. But it was found that causes themselves are the consequences of exclusion.

In the study area, exclusion of women exists in every sector that is why their participation was found very low. These kinds of exclusion affect women's social, economic, political and domestic status. And they are the blockade to uplift their status and their participation, leaving women in the condition of selflessness, powerlessness and isolation.

The some causes were identified in the study area:-

- Discriminating nature of parent
- Low level of education
- Economic dependency
- Socio-cultural settings and practices
- Lack of awareness among women
- Poor mechanism and system of government to implement laws, policies, plan and programs
These all causes were identified in research field. Majority of the respondents reported that discriminating nature and practices between male and female were the main cause of women's backwardness. They further put socio-cultural practices and
conservative thinking as the causes of women's low status in society. Some respondents also reported that women herself responsible for her backwardness because they were not active and had no courage to fight against discrimination.

They reported that due to busy in household schedule and lack of education were main reasons of their less participation or involvement in social, economic and political sectors. They also added that they were not participated due to their economic dependency.

The respondents said that not only males were responsible or blockage for women's development. To extent, women were also responsible for their low social status. But all the respondents agreed that women have full courage to run not only their household but also the nation as a whole.

Most of the respondents said that government's policies and programs were like "Haati Ko Dekhaune Danta". It was not implemented seriously. They said that lack of strong mechanism and system of the government was the main hindrances to implement the programs.

The respondents reported that to uplift women's status and empower them; awareness programs should be conducted to break and transform the existing traditional and socio-cultural practices, which were most hindrances of women's development. Further they added the government should strongly implement laws, policies and programs to enhance women's status. And all the rights of women should be ensured practically.

Lastly, all the respondents agreed that for women's empowerment, women should aware and active themselves and also family and community should encourage them and should include in all level of development sectors then only after women can contribute to build "New Nepal".

## CHAPTER VI: DECISION MAKING, POLITICAL PARTICIPATION AND POLITICAL AWARENESS

The sixth chapter analyses the respondents' social, economic, political and decision making participation by their literacy status.

### 6.1. Women in decision making process

Women in the process of decision making are another most important or the basic indicator of their empowerment. Household decision, decision of their own marriage, decisions of the schooling after marriage are some of the primary rights to be enjoyed by women for the overall empowerment of females. If females are failed in those matters, the talk of women empowerment is a buzz talk only.

### 6.1.1. Women in decision making process in their marriage by literacy status

More than three fifth (68\%) of the respondents were married by the joint decision of their parents where as only 16 percent of the literate women had the decision on their marriage by themselves.

Table 6.1: Distribution of respondents' decision making of their own marriage by their literacy
status

| Literacy Status | Decision on marriage of the respondents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literate | Decision makers | No. | \% |
|  | Father | 8 | 8 |
|  | Mother | 3 | 3 |
|  | Both Parents | 68 | 68 |
|  | Self | 16 | 16 |
|  | Brothers/Sisters | 2 | 2 |
|  | Relatives | 3 | 3 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 |
| Illiterate | Decision makers |  |  |
|  | Father | 2 | 8.0 |
|  | Mother | 2 | 8.0 |
|  | Both Parents | 18 | 72.0 |
|  | Self | 3 | 12.0 |
|  | Brothers/Sisters |  |  |
|  | Relatives |  |  |
|  | Total | 25 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
The other decision makers were father ( $8 \%$ ), mother ( $3 \%$ ), brother/ sister and relatives by 2 and 3 percent respectively.

Among the illiterate, the decision on their own marriage is less than those who were literate. Nearly three quarters $(72 \%)$ of the respondents had their marriage decision by their parents but there were only 12 percent of the illiterate respondents had their marriage decision on their own (Table: 6.1).

### 6.1.2. Women in Decision Making Process in taking education after their marriage by literacy status

The decisions on the education of the respondents were taken by the different household authorities. Among the literate 33 respondents who had education after marriage said that 30 percent of the respondents had taken the decision of their education on their own after marriage and the 21 percent of the respondents had the chance of getting the education by the decision of their parents. And interestingly, 18 percent of the decision on their education was taken by their children followed by their husband (15.1\%) (Table 6.2).

Table 6.2: Distribution of respondents' decision making of their education by their literacy status

| Literacy Status | Decision on taking education after marriage of the respondents |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Literate | Decision Makers | No. | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
|  | Husband | 5 | 15.15 |
|  | Parents | 7 | 21.21 |
|  | Self | 10 | 30.30 |
|  | Husband and wife Both | 3 | 9.09 |
|  | Brothers and Sisters | 2 | 6.06 |
|  | Sons and Daughters | 6 | 18.18 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Source Field Survey, 2008
6.1.3. Women in decision making process in having children, using FP methods and children's schooling by literacy status

Decision on having children, it was found that most of the literate women had discussed and decided with their husband. More than 85 percent literate women and nearly 77 percent of illiterate women decided in mutual understanding with their husband. But on taking self-decision only 5.7 percent literate and illiterate women were successful. Similarly, on using FP methods, the study had shown that 51.3 percent literate women decided in cooperation with their husband but among illiterate women mostly their husband had decided. It was found 53.3 percent illiterate
women's husband decided. But on taking self-decision more literate women were ahead of illiterate women (Table 6.3).

Table 6.3: Distribution of respondents by decision on pregnancy, using FP and children's schooling

| Literacy Status |  | Having children |  | Using FP methods |  | Children's schooling |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literate | Decision Makers | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
|  | Husband | 5 | 6.4 | 10 | 27.0 | 18 | 25.7 |
|  | Parents | 3 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.7 |
|  | Self | 3 | 3.9 | 8 | 21.6 | 13 | 18.5 |
|  | Husband and wife both | 66 | 85.7 | 19 | 51.3 | 35 | 50.0 |
|  | Total | 77 | 100.0 | 37 | 100.0 | 70 | 100.0 |
| Illiterate | Husband | 5 | 12.8 | 8 | 53.3 | 14 | 58.3 |
|  | Parents | 1 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.1 |
|  | Self | 3 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 20.8 |
|  | Husband and wife both | 30 | 76.9 | 7 | 46.6 | 4 | 16.6 |
|  | Total | 39 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 |
|  | Grand Total | 116 | 100.0 | 52 | 100.0 | 94 |  |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 6.1.4. Women in Decision Making Process in cropping by literacy status

It was found that most of illiterate women had made self-decision on cropping than literate women. There were 45.4 percent of illiterate had self decision on cropping while 26.0 percent literate women decided in the same matter. Most of the literate women took decision on cropping after having discussion between husband and wife (Table 6.4).

Table 6.4: Distribution of the respondents by decision making process in cropping by literacy status

| Literacy Status |  | Cropping |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literate | Decision Makers | No. | \% |
|  | Husband | 2 | 4.3 |
|  | Parents | 11 | 23.9 |
|  | Self | 12 | 26.0 |
|  | Husband and wife both | 21 | 45.6 |
|  | Total | 46 | 100.0 |
| Illiterate | Decision Makers | No. | \% |
|  | Husband | 3 | 13.6 |
|  | Parents | 7 | 31.8 |
|  | Self | 10 | 45.4 |
|  | Husband and wife both | 2 | 9.0 |
|  | Total | 22 | 100.0 |
|  | Grand Total | 68 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 6.2. Women in Decision making process in visiting relatives, to be member of Social-Institutions and political parties by literacy status

Most of the illiterate women decided themselves while visiting relatives. 39.0 percent illiterate women had made self-decision followed by 34.1 percent by their husband. But among literate women, most of them took decision in cooperation with their husband. 34.5 percent made decision with their husband where only 23.8 percent women took self decision to visit their relatives (Table 6.5).

Most of the literate women had self-decision to be member of any social institutions. Among illiterate women their husband took decision mostly 40.4 percent literate women decided self but only 20 percent illiterate women decided and 40 percent their husband decided (Table 6.5).

Table 6.5: Distribution of the respondents on decision making by literacy status

| literacy Status |  | Visiting relatives |  | To be member of Social-institutions |  | To be member of Political parties |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literate | Decision Makers | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
|  | Husband | 24 | 28.5 | 11 | 23.4 | 3 | 20.0 |
|  | Parents | 11 | 13.1 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | Self | 20 | 23.8 | 19 | 40.4 | 6 | 40.0 |
|  | Husband and wife both | 29 | 34.5 | 7 | 14.8 | 3 | 20.0 |
|  | Sons and Daughters | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | Others | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 17.0 | 5 | 33.3 |
|  | Total | 84 | 100.0 | 47 | 100.0 | 15 |  |
| Illiterate | Decision Makers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Husband | 14 | 34.1 | 4 | 40.0 | 2 | 33.3 |
|  | Parents | 3 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | Self | 16 | 39.0 | 2 | 20.0 | 6 | 100.0 |
|  | Husband and wife both | 8 | 19.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | Relatives | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | Others (Friends) | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 30.0 | 1 | 16.6 |
|  | Total | 41 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 |
|  | Grand Total | 125 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008
To be a member of political parties, illiterate women were seen their high percent of self-decision than literate women's self-decision. Among literate women only 40 percent reported that they had decided self but among illiterate women all women i.e. 100 percent reported that they decided self though 33.3 percent reported their husband also encouraged (Table 6.5).

### 6.3. Women by having decision on buying and selling surplus food and livestock by their literacy status.

Among having decision on buying and selling any surplus food in household, 61.7 percent literate women had decided to buy and sell where only 38.2 percent illiterate women did. Similarly among having decision on buying and selling livestock, 64.2 percent literate women mad decision and rest 35.7 percent illiterate women made (Table 6.6).

Table: 6.6: Distribution of the respondents by having decision on buying and selling

| Literacy | Decision on buying or selling any surplus food |  |  |  | Total |  | Decision on buying or selling any livestock |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  |  |  | Yes |  | No |  |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Literate | 42 | 61.7 | 42 | 73.6 | 84 | 67.2 | 27 | 64.2 | 58 | 69.8 | 84 | 67.2 |
| Illiterate | 26 | 38.2 | 15 | 26.3 | 41 | 32.8 | 15 | 35.7 | 26 | 31.3 | 41 | 32.8 |
| Total | 68 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 | 125 | 100.0 | 42 | 100.0 | 83 | 100.0 | 125 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 6.4. Participation in local community committees of women by literacy status

It was found that literate women had participated more regularly than illiterate women in any social institutions. The local community committees, such like; in Forest Consuming Committee, 61.4 percent literate women participated regularly. Similarly in DWC 66.6 percent literate women, in LWC 86.4 percent literate women, in RI 86.9 percent literate women and other institutions 75 percent literate women participated regularly and rest were illiterate women (Table 6.7).

Table: 6.7: Distribution of the respondents' participation in local committees by literacy status

| Literacy | FCC |  | DWC |  | SMC |  | LWC |  | RI |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Literate | 8 | 61.5 | 10 | 66.6 | 7 | 63.6 | 32 | 86.4 | 20 | 86.9 | 3 | 75.0 |
| Illiterate | 5 | 38.4 | 5 | 33.3 | 4 | 36.3 | 5 | 13.5 | 3 | 13.0 | 1 | 25.0 |
| Total | 13 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 11 | 100.0 | 37 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

### 6.5. Political participation and political awareness of women by literacy status

The study had shown that political participation and political awareness were higher among literate women than illiterate women. Participation in any social activities and political parties were so low among illiterate women.

In the study area 83 percent literate women were a member of any social institutions, 81 percent literate women had willingness to participate in any social activities, 71.4 percent literate women were a member of any political parties and 76 percent literate women had willing to be a local representative and rest were the illiterate women. Similarly more than 75 percent literate women had heard about the rights but only around 25 percent illiterate women had heard about such kind of the rights (Table 6.8).

Table: 6.8: Political participation and political awareness among respondents literacy status.

| literacy | Member of any <br> Social- <br> Institutions |  | Willing to participate <br> in any Social <br> activities |  | Member of any <br> Political parties |  | Willing to be a <br> local <br> representative |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | No | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| Literate | 49 | 83.1 | 51 | 81.0 | 15 | 71.4 | 38 | 76.0 |
| Illiterate | 10 | 16.9 | 12 | 19.0 | 6 | 28.6 | 12 | 24.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


|  | About <br> Constitution |  | About Fundamental <br> rights |  | About Property <br> rights |  | About Divorce <br> rights |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | No. | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | No. | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Literate | 87 | 77.0 | 75 | 79.8 | 84 | 77.8 | 93 | 79.5 |
| Illiterate | 26 | 23.0 | 19 | 20.2 | 24 | 22.2 | 24 | 20.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Field Survey, 2008

## CHAPTER VII: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

### 7.1. Education and decision making of the respondents

The analysis was based on the assumption that the level of education affects the decision making process of women. Decision making process is a signal for the empowerment of women and it is an outcome too. With the supporting data in the process of tabulation, the Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) had been calculated to see the association between literacy and its implications in the process of household decisions.

Literacy is the response variable in the analysis and the other variables are the decision making power of women, participation in social, cultural and political sectors and the level of awareness brought about by the education.

Out of 125 female respondents, only 120 were involved for the section of analysis because some of the important variables were missing in the data. Table 7.1 shows the relationships between the interest variables.

Table 7.1: The correlation coefficient between literacy and decision making of the respondents

| Decision making process of the respondents | Sig. (2 <br> tailed) | Correlation <br> coefficient ( r) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Decision on marriage of the respondents | .000 | .099 |
| Decision on taking education after marriage of the <br> respondents | .874 | .029 |
| Decision on to use the Family Planning methods | .044 | $.280\left(^{*}\right)$ |
| Decision making of the children is going or not going to <br> school | .305 | $.507\left(^{* *}\right)$ |
| Decision making about their children's marriage | .443 | -.128 |
| Decision on Cropping | .716 | -.045 |
| Having decision on buying or selling any surplus in the <br> house of the respondents | .024 | .024 |
| Decision on visiting the relatives | .632 | .043 |
| Decision on to be member of political parties | .000 | $.063\left(^{*}\right)$ |
| Decision on to be participated in any Social-activities |  | $1.000{ }^{* *}$ |

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
The table shows that the level of education rarely affects the decision on marriage of the respondents' children and decision on cropping. It shows that there is no association between the marriage decision and their literacy ( $\mathrm{r}=-.128$ ). The decision is often taken by the male members of the family and the question on the decision on the marriage of their children is simply omitted by them. Similarly, the correlation (r=-
.045) between literacy and cropping decision with significant level 0.716 shows no association between the variables.

Unlike those two, literacy and other decision making processes are positively correlated. Decision on the marriage of the respondents ( $\mathrm{r}=.099$ ), decision on taking education after marriage of the respondents ( $\mathrm{r}=.029$ ), decision on to use the Family Planning methods ( $\mathrm{r}=.280$ ), decision making of the children is going or not going to school $(r=.507)$, having decision on buying or selling any surplus in the house of the respondents $(\mathrm{r}=.024)$, decision on visiting the relatives $(\mathrm{r}=.043)$ with their respective significant are worth noting here. Decision on to be member of political parties is perfectly correlated with education of the respondents $(r=1)$ Decision on to be participated in any social-activities ( $\mathrm{r}=$. 063) with significant 0 have a great implications of education in decision making process.

### 7.2. Educational attainment and participation in the social institutions

Literacy plays decisive role whether to participate in the social or other work. However, our statistics shows a very low positive correlation between literacy and those variables.

Table 7.2: Educational attainment and participation in the social institutions

| Social Institutions | Sig. (2 <br> tailed) | Correlation <br> coefficient (r) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Participation in Forest Consuming Committee | .128 | .182 |
| Participation in Drinking Water Committee | .065 | .220 |
| Participation in School Management Committee | .320 | .120 |
| Participation in Local Women's Committee | .242 | .141 |
| Having participation in any religious institutions | .350 | .113 |

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level ( 2 -tailed).

Table 7.2 shows an association but very low, between the variables. Only participation in local women's committee shows the significant with education. ( $\mathrm{r}=.141$ ), participation in forest consuming committee ( $\mathrm{r}=.182$ ), and drinking water committee $(\mathrm{r}=.220)$ seem much stronger correlations. The others are also positively associated but have less meaning in the statistical analysis. The following correlation matrix also shows the relation.

Table 7.3: A bi-variate analysis of the correlation matrix of the interest variables

| Variables | EDUATTA | FCC | DWC | SMC | LWC | RI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. EDUATTA | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. FCC | . 182 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=.128$ | . |  |  |  |  |
| 3. DWC | . 220 | .555(**) | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=.065$ | $\mathrm{P}=.000$ | . |  |  |  |
| 4. SMC | . 120 | .256(**) | .256(**) | 1 |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=.320$ | $\mathrm{P}=.004$ | $\mathrm{P}=.004$ | . |  |  |
| 5. LWC | . 141 | . 421 (**) | . 250 (**) | .192(*) | 1 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=.242$ | $\mathrm{P}=.000$ | $\mathrm{P}=.005$ | $\mathrm{P}=.032$ | . |  |
| 6. RI | . 113 | . 135 | . 166 | .263(**) | .287(**) | 1 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=.350$ | $\mathrm{P}=.136$ | $\mathrm{P}=.064$ | $\mathrm{P}=.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=.001$ |  |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).


### 7.3. Educational attainment of the respondents and the level of knowledge

The educational attainment and the level of knowledge are positively correlated with each other. It means when the level of educational attainment of the respondents increases, the level of knowledge on the issues of women increases.

Table7.4: Educational attainment of the respondents and the level of knowledge

| Knowledge | Sig. (2 tailed) | Correlation <br> coefficient (r) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Having knowledge about the constitution | .126 | .183 |
| Having knowledge about the fundamental rights | .050 | $.235\left(^{*}\right)$ |
| Having knowledge about the property rights | .000 | $.126\left(^{* *}\right)$ |

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 7.4 shows that there is a remarkable positive correlation between education and the knowledge about the constitution ( $\mathrm{r}=.183$ ), knowledge about the fundamental rights ( $\mathrm{r}=.235$ ), and knowledge about the property rights ( $\mathrm{r}=.126$ ). The two variables with significant .050 and .000 show a more interesting relation between the variables.

## CHAPTER VIII: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This chapter presents the summary of the findings of the study and it also includes conclusions and recommendations of the study.

### 8.1. Summary of the findings

## Socio-economic characteristics of the household population

- Of the total 694 household population, 321 were male and 373 were female population. Majority of the population were found in age group 15-19 years (14\%) and lowest percent found in age group 65-69 years ( $0.7 \%$ ).
- The sex ration in the study population was found to be low (86.0).
- The overall age dependency ratio was recorded to be 45.2 and child dependency and old age dependency was found to be 37.7 and 7.5 respectively.
- It was found 68.9 percent economically active populations i.e. age group 15-59 years.
- More than three fifth household populations were married where the highest percentage of married population was female ( $63.2 \%$ ) and the highest percentage of unmarried population were male ( $39.1 \%$ ).
- Out of total household population, 88.3 percent were literate. There 34.2 percent had the educational attainment in SLC or Intermediate level education, which was higher attainment than other level.
- Females' educational attainment was low in higher level education while males' was high.
- It was found 34.5 percent population were Students followed by Female household workers ( $16.9 \%$ ). Similarly 12.8 percent populations were in Services, 11.1 percent in Business and 8.5 percent in Agriculture.


## Demographic characteristics of the respondents

- Of the sampled 125 respondents, it was found 58.8 percent respondents from age group 30-49 years followed by 33.6 percent respondents from age group 15-29 years.
- Majority of the respondents were married $(91.2 \%)$ where 6.4 percent widow and 2.4 percent separated respondents.
- More than three quarters of female had got married within their age 10-19 years. Where 58.4 percent respondents had got married at the age group 15-19 years. And the mean age of marriage was 17.14 years of the respondents.


## Social characteristics of the respondents

- By caste/ethnicity, the Brahmin and Chhetri respondents were found in equal proportion i.e. 28.8 percent and 28.8 percent each. Where 20.8 percent Newar, 17.6 percent Hill Janajati and 4 percent Hill Dalit respondents were found.
- Majority of the respondents were from Hindu religion (87.2\%) followed by Buddhist (11.2\%).
- More than three fifth respondents were from nuclear family and rest 22.4 percent were from joint family.
- Among 125 sampled households, only 24 female headed household found and remaining 101 households were male headed households.
- More than three fifth respondents were literate and remaining 32.8 percent were illiterate.
- Majority of the respondents had attended the Secondary level education (26.1\%). Only 1.1 percent respondents had attended Bachelor or Masters level education.
- More than one quarter respondents had taken education after marriage and remaining 73.6 percent had not taken.
- Most of the respondents ( $26.1 \%$ ) reported that they were not interested for further study where 13.0 percent reported that due to economic problem, 21.7 percent reported traditional belief, 22.8 percent reported because of her family and husband; they had not got the opportunity to study. Early marriage, Early pregnancy and busy in household works were also reasons for not getting chance to study.
- Nearly half respondents were not satisfied with their current educational status.


## Economic characteristics of the respondents

- It was found that only 32 percent respondents had engaged in income generating activities but remaining 68 percent respondents had not engaged.
- Majority of the respondents' sources of income was Business ( $27 \%$ ) where 25 percent respondents' source was Teaching and 15 percent Service.
- Almost 77.5 percent respondents' income level was below 5000 per month.
- Due to busy in household work, more than three quarters of respondents had not engaged in income generating activities. 25 percent respondents said that lack of education; they didn't involve in income generating activities.
- More than four fifth respondents didn't have "PEWA" livestock.
- It was found that 84 percent respondents had land but among them only 44.8 percent respondents had land on their own name.
- More than eighty percent respondents were living in their own house but out of them only 27.7 percent respondents were legal owner of the house where 45.5 percent legal owner of the house was their husband alone.
- There were 50.4 percent respondents kept the household income.
- More than fifty percent respondents had their family saving accounts. And 52.1 percent respondents had opened the saving accounts on their own name.


## Political participation and political awareness characteristics of the respondents

- It was found that majority of the respondents had never participated in local community based committees.
- Among the regular participants, majority of the respondents had participated in Local Women's Committee (29.6\%) where 8.8 percent in School Management Committee, 10.48 percent in Forest Consuming Committee, 12 percent Drinking Water Committee and 18.4 percent in Religion Institutions.
- There were 47.2 percent respondents were a member of any social institutions.
- There were only 16.8 percent respondents were affiliated as a member of any political parties.
- There were 50.8 percent respondents had willingness to participate in social activities while only 40 percent were interested to be a local representative.
- It was found that 90.4 percent had heard about Constitution, 75.2 percent Fundamental rights, 86.4 percent Property rights and 93.6 percent Divorce rights.
- Fifty percent respondents reported that they wanted to address the women's issues being a local representative where 34 percent said 'to assist village development' and 12 percent 'to uplift women's status' were the reasons for being a local representative.
- Nearly three quarters respondents reported 'Women's equal property rights' was ensured by constitution. Similarly, 3.5 percent reported 'Citizenship rights', 72.5 percent reported 'Thirty three percent reservation in all governmental sectors.
- More than sixty percent reported that 'Equal education’ was the fundamental rights of a woman where 34.0 percent 'Decision on marriage', 20.2 percent 'Right to property/economy' and 19.1 percent 'Freedom rights' were reported as women's fundamental rights.
- It was reported 78.7 percent respondents said that 'Equal share from husband' was the property right of a woman where 63.8 percent reported 'Equal share from parents' was the property rights of a woman.
- Majority of the respondents reported that whether husband brought another wife then a woman would give divorce to her husband. Similarly, 47.0 percent reported 'If she is neglected and not respected', 43 percent 'if frequent quarrel' and 29.9 percent 'if tortured' were the conditions where a woman can give divorce. Other conditions were reported such like; 'If not satisfied with husband' (17.9\%), 'If husband is living for three years' ( $34.2 \%$ ) and 'If husband is mentally not well' (0.8\%).


## Decision making process of the respondents

- There were 15.2 percent respondents had taken decision on their marriage though majority of them their parent had decided.
- To take education after marriage, majority of the respondents nearly one quarter of them had taken decision on their education.
- Majority of the respondents had taken decision on their children's education in cooperation with their husband.
- Majority of the respondents had taken decision to buy or sell any surplus food in the household and remaining 45.6 percents had not taken decision.
- More than three fifth respondents had not taken decision to buy or sell any livestock in household. Only 33.6 percent had decided to buy or sell any livestock.
- While visiting relatives, 30.4 percent respondents had followed their husband decisions. Similarly 28.8 percent respondents had made herself decision where 29.6 percent made decision in cooperation with their husband.
- It was found that 35.3 percent respondents took her decision to participate in any social activities. Where 28.8 percent respondents' husband encouraged them to participate in social activities and 1.8 percent respondents made decision in cooperation with her husband.
- Nearly fifty percent respondents had taken self-decision to be a member of political parties while 23.81 percent their husband decided.
- It was found that only 32.35 percent respondents made self-decision on cropping.
- More than eighty three percent respondents had made decision for having children in cooperation with their husband.
- The socio-cultural settings and practices were the main causes of exclusion where lack of education, economic dependency, lack of awareness among women and poor mechanism of government were also seen the cause of women's exclusion.


## Decision making process, political participation and political awareness of the respondents by educational status

- It was found that decision on having children; there were high percentage among both literate and illiterate women in cooperation with their husband while taking self decision in illiterate women had quite high percentage than literate women.
- There were 51.3 percent literate women took decision on using FP methods in cooperation with their husband but among illiterate women, 53.3 percent their husband took decision. While taking self-decision 21.6 percent literate women took but no one illiterate women took self decision.
- Majority illiterate women ( $45.4 \%$ ) had made self-decision on cropping where 26.0 percent literate women took decision.
- Nearly two fifth illiterate women took self-decision to visit relatives while only 23.8 percent literate women took decision.
- Most of the literate women ( $40.4 \%$ ) took self-decision to be member of any social institutions where only 20 percent illiterate women took decision.
- It was found among illiterate women, 100 percent made self-decision to be member of political parties while only 40.4 percent literate women made herself decision.
- It was found the higher percentage of having decisional buying and selling surplus and livestock both among literate women.
- It was found that literate women had participated more regularly than the illiterate women in any local community committees.
- It was seen the political participation and political awareness were much higher in literate women than illiterate women.
- The correlation coefficient between educational attainments shows a positive correlation between the interest variables. This is remarkable in the sense that correlation between education and the knowledge about the constitution ( $\mathrm{r}=.183$ ), knowledge about the fundamental rights ( $\mathrm{r}=.235$ ), and knowledge about the property rights ( $\mathrm{r}=.126$ ) is highly skewed towards knowledge.
- Decision on marriage of the respondents ,(r=099), decision on taking education after marriage of the respondents ( $\mathrm{r}=.029$ ), decision on to use the Family Planning methods ( $\mathrm{r}=.280$ ), decision making of the children is going or not going to school ( $\mathrm{r}=.507$ ), decision on to be member of political parties ( $\mathrm{r}=1.000$ ), decision on to be participated in any social-activities ( $\mathrm{r}=.063$ ) are positively correlated with literacy.
- Social participation and educational attainment of women shows a low positive correlation. Participation in Forest Consuming Committee(r= .182), Participation in Drinking Water Committee(r=.220), Participation in School Management Committee ( $\mathrm{r}=\quad .120$ ), Participation in Local Women's Committee ( $\mathrm{r}=.141$ ), and Having Participation in any Religious Institutions ( $\mathrm{r}=.113$ ) have been associated with educational attainment though the extent is negligible.


### 8.2. Conclusions

This study has focused on examining the social exclusion and inclusion of women in terms of their socio-economic, political and decision making process and its impact on their empowerment.

It has concluded that majority of the respondents were excluded from their socioeconomic aspects as well their political and decision making participation.
On an average all the respondents' socio-economic status were seen not well, majority of them were excluded from their higher level education as well as income generating activities. They were compiled to busy in only household activities.

This study has also concluded that majority of the respondents were not participating in any local committees and other social activities regularly though they had willingness to participate.

Most of the respondents had heard about their rights, which ensured by constitution but in practice they were totally excluded from their basic rights.

Due to exclusion of women, they were lag far behind to make self-decisions. However it was seen that educated women were more aware about their rights and also participated in social activities, income generating activities and decision making process than illiterate women.
So, it is concluded that "EDUCATION" is the main factor to inclusion and participation of the women. Women's participation/inclusion is a good indicator of their empowerment.

Therefore, it is essential to improve educational status as well increase their participation in all sectors of the society and development then only women will be empowered.

### 8.3. Recommendations

On the basis of findings of the study the following recommendations are made to improve the women's status and to increase their participation in socio-economic, political and decision making process.

Recommendations are divided into two parts. One for policy implications and other is for research.

### 8.3.1. For Policy Implications

1. Government should priority to increase women's educational attainment with the help of proportional policies and programs.
2. The Government as well as private sector should provide reservation system for women in service sectors to increase the access of women for gainful economic activities.
3. Equal property rights and their access over land should be ensured.
4. GOs/NGOs/INGOs concentration should be focused on awareness program about women's rights and responsibilities.
5. Empowerment program and policies should be facilitated for women to enable for them equal access to participation in social, economic and political aspects.
6. It is also recommended that family and society should encourage and provide them to participate in various social activities.
7. Policy maker should share the ideas of women and should be participated them in development activities as well as decision making process.

### 8.3.2. For Further Research Area

Social exclusion/inclusion is the very vague terms however empowerment's indicators are socio-economic and political participations. This study has been taken some selected variables of social exclusion/inclusion in terms of empowerment. So, this study couldn't cover all forms of exclusion and inclusion. This study is mainly based on quantitative tools (used open ended and close ended questionnaires both). There were not used any kind of qualitative research. It has only covered 125 sampled households of the Kamalamai Municipality of Sindhuli District.

So, upcoming researchers are requested to study on this area to find out more information about social exclusion and inclusion. If time, money and manpower are favorable, it is requested to conduct various tools of qualitative methods, include more variables of social exclusion and inclusion and increase the sample population to get real situation of women.
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## APPENDIX

# Social Inclusion of Women and their Empowerment Questionnaire <br> (A case study of selected wards in Kamalamai municipality in Sindhuli district) <br> Survey Questionnaire <br> Central Department of Population Studies <br> Tribhuvan University <br> Kirtipur,Kathmandu <br> (To be asked to married women of aged 15 years and above) 

## Section A: General information

1. District
2. VDC/Municipality $\qquad$ 03. Ward No. $\qquad$ 04.

Name of locality (Tole). $\qquad$
06. Name of household head
.07.Name of respondent. $\qquad$
08. Caste/Ethnicity $\qquad$ 09. Sex (1: Male; 2: Female)
10. Religion of the household head...(1: Hindu; 2: Buddhist; 3: Kirant 4: Islam; 5: Christian) 6. Other (specify) 11. Type of the family: .... (1. Nuclear; 2. Joint)

Section B. Household Schedule

| SN | Name | Relationship to the household head | Sex | Age | Educatio (To be as six years | aged above) | Martial status ( 10 years and above) | Main occupation (To be asked aged six years \& above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Lets begin with the name of the head of the HH head) | (see code) | Is this person male or female? (1: <br> Male; <br> 2: <br> Female) | How old is this person? (completed year) | Literacy status <br> (1: Lit; <br> 2: Illit | Class <br> passed <br> (see <br> code) | (see code ) | What is the person's current occupation? (see code below) |
| 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please add sheet if needed.

Codes

| 03: Relationship |  | 08: Class passed | 09: Marital | 10: Main occupation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head... 01 | Parent in law... 07 | Below grade 1 $\text { ... } 00$ | Unmarried... 1 | Agriculture... 1 |
| Husband/wife...02 | Brother/sister... 08 | Primary completed... 01 | Married... 2 | Cottage industries... 2 |
| Son/daughter... 03 | Other (specify) | Secondary completed... 02 | widow... 3 | Service... 3 |
| Daughter/ son in law... 04 | Not a relative... 11 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grade } 9 \\ \text { completed... } 09 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Divorced... 4 | Business... 4 |
| Grand child... 05 | Don't know... 98 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Class } 10 \\ \text { completed... } 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Separated... 5 | Daily wages (agriculture)... 5 |
| Parent...06 | Not stated ... 99 | SLC... 11 | Don't know $\ldots 98$ | Daily wages (nonagriculture)... 6 |
|  |  | Intermediate... 12 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Not } \\ \text { stated... } 99 \end{array}$ | Physically unable to work... 7 |
|  |  | Bachelor ... 13 |  | Student... 8 |
|  |  | Masters and above ... 14 |  | Currently not working... 9 |
|  |  | Don't know ... 98 |  | Household work... 10 |
|  |  | Not stated... 99 |  | Other (specify) |
|  |  |  | Don't know... 98 |
|  |  |  | Not stated... 99 |

## Section C: Individual Schedule (Married female aged 15 years and above as identified during household survey)

I. General Information

| Q.N. | Questions | Coding Specifications | Go to the Q. No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 | What is your marital status? |  |  |
| 302. | What was your age at marriage/? (Age in completed years).......................... |  |  |
| 303 | Who did decide your marriage? |  |  |
| 304. | What did you do at the time of marriage? |  |  |


|  |  | Other (Specify........................ 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 305. | What was your educational status at the time of marriage? ( see code 8 ) |  |  |
| 306 | After marriage, have you taken any formal or informal education? | Yes ...................... 1 No ................... 2 | If no go to Q. No 308 |
| 307. | If yes who decided for your education? |  |  |
| 308. | If no, why didn't you get the opportunity to study? | Not interested for further study... 1 Husband/ family didn't want ..... 2 Due to early marriage ............. 3 Economic reasons ............... 4 Traditional belief ................ 5 Other (Specify .................... 6 |  |
| 309 | Are you satisfied with your education? |  |  |
| Questions related to fertility and their decision making power (Please circle the appropriate answer0 |  |  |  |
| 310. | Have you ever given any birth to live child? |  |  |
| 311. | Who made decision to have children? |  |  |
| 312. | How many children were born to you alive? | Total No. of sons Total No. of daughters. |  |
| 313. | Of these how many children are living with you? | No. of sons No. of daughters. |  |
| 314. | Of these how many children are living else where? | No. of sons No. of daughters. |  |
| 315. | Have any children died after live born? | No. of sons No. of daughters |  |
| 316. | Have you ever heard of family planning methods? | Yes ................................... 1 No ............................. 2 |  |
| 317. | If yes, from which source did you hear? |  |  |
| 318. | Have you ever used family planning methods? | Yes .................................. 1 No ........................... 2 | If no go to Q. No. 320 |


| 319. | If yes who made decision on using methods? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 320. | If no, why didn't you use? | Not needed........................ 1 Fear of side-effects............... 2 No FP methods nearby.......... 3 Husband doesn't like ............ 4 Need more children .............. 5 Menstruation blocked ........... 6 Nuisance while using ............ 7 Husband is out of home ......... 8 Pregnant currently................ 9 |  |

Questions related to decision making power on their child's education and their marriage.

| 321. | Does your son/s go to school? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 322. | Does your daughter/s go to school? |  |  |
| 323. | If sons do not go to school why? |  |  |
| 324. | If daughters do not go to school why? |  |  |
| 325. | Who makes decision of going to or not going to school? |  |  |
| 326. | Have your any children got married? | Yes ....................................................................... No | If no <br> go to <br> Q. <br> No. 401 |
| 327. | If yes, who made decision about their marriage? |  |  |


| Questions Related to property ownership and decision making (Please circle the appropriate answer ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401. | Does your family own any land? | Yes ............ .............................................................No |  |  | If |
|  |  |  |  |  | no |
|  |  |  |  |  | go |
|  |  |  |  |  | to |
|  |  |  |  |  | No. |
| 402. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | How much of land is your family using? | Bigha | Kathha | Dhur |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 403. | Do you have any land in your own name? | Yes ......................... 1 No .................... 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 404. | What is the type of house you are living? |  |  |
| 405. | If it is yours, who is the owner? | Husband $\qquad$ <br> Parents ........................ 2 <br> Self............................. 3 <br> Husband and wife both ...... 4 <br> Brother/sister $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify. $\qquad$ |  |
| 406. | Do you have your own parental property? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes .......................... } 1 \\ & \text { No .................... } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 407. | Who owns the parental property? |  |  |
| 408. | Are you engaged in agricultural activities? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes .......................... } 1 \\ & \text { No .................... } 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 409. | Who makes decision on cropping? | Husband ...................... 1 <br> Parents......................... 2 <br> Self .............................. 3 <br> Husband and wife both............. 4 <br> Brother/ sister .................... 5 <br> Other (specify. $\qquad$ |  |
| 410. | Did you sell or buy any extra food by your own decision during the last year? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes ......................... } 1 \\ & \text { No .................... } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 411. | Did you have any cattle as 'PEWA'? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes .......................... } 1 \\ & \text { No .................... } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 412. | If yes, which animal do you have? | Cow/Buffalo number...... Goat/Lamb number...... Pig number......... <br> Chicken/Duck number..... Other (specify. |  |
| 413. | Did you buy or sell any livestock by your own decision during the last year? | Yes ....................... 1 No .................... 2 |  |
| 414. | Are you engaged in any income generating activities? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes ......................... } 1 \\ & \text { No ..................... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } \\ & \text { no } \\ & \text { go } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { Q. } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & 417 \end{aligned}$ |
| 415. | What is the main source of your income? |  |  |
| 416. | What is your monthly income? | Income in Rs......................... |  |


| 417. | If no, what are the reasons? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 418. | What is the main source of income in your family? |  |  |
| 419. | What is your family's monthly income? | Income in Rs......................... |  |
| 420. | Who keeps the household income? | Husband $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 6 |  |
| 421. | Have your family opened any saving accounts? | Yes ........................ 1 No ................... 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { If } \\ & \text { no, } \\ & \text { go } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { Q. } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & 423 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 422. | If yes, who the saving accounts belongs to? |  |  |
| 423. | Is your family income sufficient for household expenses? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ & \text { No .................... } 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 424. | Who usually decide to visit the relatives? | Husband ..................... 1 <br> Parents......................... 2 <br> Self ............................ 3 <br> Husband and wife both ............ 4 <br> Brother/sister .............. 5 |  |
| 425. | Is it compulsory to ask permission to visit relatives? |  |  |

## Question related to social and political participation

501 What is your participation in the social activities of following social institutions?

| SN. | Name of social institutions | Regularly | Sometime <br> s | Never |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Forest consuming committee | 1 | 2 |  |
| 2. | Drinking water committee | 1 | 2 |  |
| 3. | School management committee | 1 | 2 |  |
| 4. | Local women's committee | 1 | 2 |  |
| 5. | Religious institutions | 1 | 2 |  |
| 6. | Others (Specify... | 1 | 2 |  |


| 502 | Are you a member of any of the abovementioned social institutions? | Yes ...................... 1 No .................... 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { If no, } \\ & \text { go to } \\ & \text { Q. } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & 504 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 503 | If yes, who makes decision in participating on such social activities? | Husband .................... 1 Parents................... 2 Self .................... 3 Husband and wife both.......... 4 Brother/sister.................. $\ldots . .5$ Other (specify........................ 6 |  |
| 504 | If no, do you have willingness to participate? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes .......................... } 1 \\ & \text { No ................ } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 505 | Have you ever voted to any political parties in any elections? |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { If yes, } \\ \text { go to } \\ \text { Q. } \\ \text { No. } \\ 507 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 506 | If no, why? | 1.. <br> 2. $\qquad$ <br> 3. $\qquad$ <br> 4. <br> .... |  |
| 507 | Have you ever been a member of any political parties? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes....................... } 1 \\ & \text { No................. } \end{aligned}$ | If no, <br> go to Q. <br> No. <br> 509 |
| 508 | Who encouraged you to be a member of the political party? | Husband ................... 1 Parents................... 2 Self .................. 3 Husband and wife both $\ldots \ldots . .4$ Brother/sisteer................... $\ldots .5$ Relatives....................... $\ldots . .6$ Other (specify........................ 7 |  |
| 509 | Have you any willingness to be a local representative? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes............................ } 1 \\ & \text { No................ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { If no, } \\ \text { go to } \\ \text { Q. } \\ \text { No. } \\ 511 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 510 | If yes, why do you want to be a local representative? | 1. $\qquad$ <br> 2. $\qquad$ <br> 3. $\qquad$ |  |


|  |  | 4. $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $511$ | Have you ever heard of our constitution? | Yes........................ 1 No............... 2 |  |
| 512 | If yes, what are the constitutional provisions relating to women's welfare and empowerment? | 1. <br> 2. $\qquad$ <br> 4. $\qquad$ |  |
| $513$ | Have you ever heard of your fundamental rights? | Yes........................................ 2 |  |
| 514 | What do you think are the fundamental rights? | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. |  |
| $515$ | Have you any idea about property rights? | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yes...................... } 1 \\ & \text { No................. } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 516 | What does the right of property talk about? | $1 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. $2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. $3 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. $4 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. |  |
| $517$ | Have you any idea about your divorce rights? | Yes...................... 1 No................. 2 |  |
| 518 | In which situation can a woman give divorce to her husband? |  |  |


[^0]:    Source: Field Survey, 2008

