A Study on

Customer Awareness and Perception of Nabil Bank, Dharan.

By:

Priscilla Menyangbo

Mahendra Multiple Campus, Dharan

Registration No: 7-3-7-1900-2004

A Thesis Submitted to:

Office of the Dean

Faculty of Management

Tribhuvan University

The Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the

Master of Business Studies (MBS)

Dharan, Nepal

061/63

Tribhuvan University

Faculty of Management

Mahendra Multiple Campus

Dharan, Sunsari

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the thesis submitted by Miss Priscilla Menyangbo entitled, "Customer Awareness and Perception of Nabil Bank, Dharan" has been approved by this department in the prescribed format of the faculty of management. This thesis is forwarded for examination.

Member of Research Committee   **Head of the Research Department**

Name:………………   **Name: ………………………**

                                        Signature

Signature:……………..   **Supervisor**

                                        Name

                                        Signature:……………………

                                        ………………..

                                        (Campus Chief)

Date:…………………..

<div align="center">**VIVA-VOCE SHEET**</div>

We have conducted the Viva-Voce examination of the thesis presented by Priscilla Menyangbo.

<div align="center">**Entitled:**

"Customer awareness and perception of Nabil Bank, Dharan"</div>

And found the thesis to be the original work of the student and written according to the prescribed format. We recommended the thesis to be accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirement for Master's Degree in Business Studies (MBS).

<div align="center">**Viva-Voce Committee:**</div>

Chairperson, Research Committee : ………………………….

Member (Thesis Supervisor)   : …………………………..

Member (External Expert)   : ………………………….

Date: ……………………………

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Practical knowledge about business world is necessary to the students of management. Without practical knowledge our education is incomplete. So being a student of Mahendra Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, and this thesis is a part of our academic course. This helps us to develop our skill, ability, courage and confidence. I had an opportunity to visit Nabil Bank Limited, Dharan.

It would take pages to acknowledge everyone who in one way or another has provided me with assistance but certain individual deserve appreciation for their invaluable help. To the remainder, wittingly or unwittingly unsung my apologies and my grateful thanks.

First and foremost, it is my pleasure for me to express sincere and cordial gratitude to my respectable supervisor Khagendra Niroula for his generosity, willingness, guidance, constant inspiration and continuous encouragement throughout the entire period of this research work. Further more I would like to extend my special thanks to teacher Mr. Chudamani Bhattarai for providing valuable suggestion, instruction and necessary knowledge required to prepare this thesis.

Iam very much indebted to our respectable campus chief Mr.Surya Kumar Rai and Respected Prof. Tara Bahadur Niroula of Mahendra Multiple Campus for their kind suggestion.

I would like to extend my acknowledgement to Mr Surya Rokaya, branch manager of NABIL and Mr Diwakar Poudel credit manager of NABIL Dharan branch for providing me the valuable information.

EXECUTIVE SYNOPSIS

In today's context, Banking and Financial Institutions seem to be unfailing and most eye-catching business to the Nepalese and Non-Nepalese investors who like to invest in any business in Nepal. Since few decades a large numbers banks (Private and Joint ventures) have been germinating in Nepal, especially in urban areas. Amongst them, Nabil is one which was established two decade ago as a First Joint venture commercial bank of Nepal and it has occupied a significant percent in this area.

The dissertation has been prepared dividing it into five chapters. The profound objective of this study is to understand consumers' insight and consciousness towards NABIL Bank particularly at Dharan society. A bit of effort has been made to understand its viability to accommodate plausible challenges uprooted from globalize scenario. Basically, Chi-square tests and SWOT analysis have devised to foster the anticipated outcomes. Further, various tables and bar diagrams have resorted to show perceptual reality of customers on diverse bases.

Despite of cut-throat competition, because of its international recognition and professional top management, SWOT analysis shows the glaring future of NABIL Bank as similar as "STAR" condition of "BCG Matrix". Else,Hypothesis tests have showed buoyant future. However, it fails to create altruistic culture for the social development of Dharan community, which is the strongest mace to generate valiant customers. Succinctly, facing all these challenges, Nabil has captured the public faith, market securities, deposits and national networks.

Table of Contents

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

NABIL ⇨ Nepal Arab Bank Limited

RBB ⇨ Rastriya Banijya Bank

NIC Bank ⇨ Nepal Industrial and Commercial Bank

NBL ⇨ Nepal Bank Limited

NRB ⇨ Nepal Rastra Bank

ATM ⇨ Automatic Teller Machine

NUM ⇨ Number

A.D ⇨ Anno Domini

B.S ⇨ Bikram Sambat

NGO ⇨ Non Government Organization

INGO ⇨ International Non Government Organization

DEPT ⇨ Department

ORG ⇨ Organization

GOVT ⇨ Government

SWOT ⇨ Strength, Weakness, Opportunity, Threats

% ⇨ Percentage

CRD ⇨ Customer Relation Department

CMAD ⇨ Credit Management and Administrative Department

MFD ⇨ Monitoring and Follows of up Department

& ⇨ and

POS ⇨ Point of Sale

ABBS ⇨ Any Branch Banking System

IFAS ⇨ Internal Factor Analysis Summary

EFAS ⇨ External Factor Analysis Summary

SFAS ⇨ Strategic Factor Analysis Summary

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bhuvan Dahal and Sarita Dahal, A Hand Book to Banking, Asmita Books Prakashan and Distributer, Second Edition 2002 Dec.

Dr. Shyam Joshi & Dr. Hari Pd. Shrestha Banking and Insurance, Asmita books Prakashan and Distributer, First Edition,.

Gupta, S.C., A Fundamental of Statistics New Delhi, Fourth Edition, 1990.

James F. Engel, Roger D. Black Well, Consumer Behaviour, Holt Saundres International Edition, 1982.

Joshi P.R.''Research Methodology, Buddha Academy Publishers and Distributors Pvt. Ltd. , 3rd Edition. 2003.

Koirala, K.D., Marketing Research Buddha Academy Publishers, A First Publish 2002.

Kothari, C.R. Quantitative Techniques Vikas Publishing House Pvt.Ltd.New Delhi, 3rd Edition 1990.

Leon G. Schiffman & Leslie L., Kanuk, (1990), Consumer Behaviour, Prentice Hall of India, Sixth edition.

Leon G. Schiffman & Leslie L., Kanuk, Consumer Behaviour, Prentice Hall of India, Sixth edition.

Luck, David J. and Rubin, Ronald S., Marketing Research; New Delhi Prentice Hall of India (1996) Edition.

Michael R. Soloman, Consumer Behavior, 6th Edition, Page No 49.

Michael R. Soloman, Consumer Behaviour, Buying, Having and Being, First Indian Reprint,.

Philip Kotler, Marketing Management, New Delhi, Prentice Hall of India, The Millennium Edition.

Philip Kotler, Marketing Management, New Delhi, Prentice Hall of India (2003) Edition.

Robbins Stephen .P, Organization Concepts, Controversies, Applications, 8th Edition, Publishers Prentice Hall of India.

Shrestha Darshan ,A study of customer awareness and perception towards Himalayan Bank Ltd.Dharan dissertation submitted to P.U 2004.

Shrestha, Dr Sunity and Silwal Dhurba Prasad, Statistical Method in Management, Kathmandu; Taleju Prakashan (2057) Edition.

Vaidya Shakespeare, Banking and Insurance Management, Taleju Prakashan (1997)

Wolf, Howard K. and Pant P. R., "A Hand Book for Social Science Research and Thesis Writing Katmandu, Buddha Academy Publishers and Distributors Pvt. Ltd., "2nd Edition 1999

Wheeler and Hunger; Strategic Management

Zeithmal, Valarie A. and Mary Jo Bitner (2003) Services Marketing: Integrating Customer Focus Across the Firm. Tata MCGraw Hill Publication Co Ltd, New Delhi.

Zikmund, William G. and Michael d' Amico (1993) Marketing.Fourth Edition, West Publishing Company, Minneapolis/ST Paul.

Booklets and published materials provided by the organization.

Websites:

http://www.nabilbank.com

http://www.nrb.org.np

http://www.thebankers.com

http://www.edunepal.com

http://www.npc.gov.np

http://www.businessdictionary.com

http://www.businessagenepal.com

http://www.google .com

http://www.yahoo.com

APPENDICES

APPENDIX-I

HYPOTHESIS-1

Table 4.1.1 Age and Sex wise distribution of respondents

Attribute (age)	Attribute	Male	Female	Total
18-28		36	24	60
28-38		24	12	36
38-48		12	6	18
above 48		6	0	6
Total		78	42	120

Computation of expected frequency

$$\text{Expected cell frequency (E)} = \frac{\text{Row total cell frequency} \times \text{Column total cell frequency}}{\text{Grand total}}$$

$$E(36) = \frac{60 \times 78}{120} = 39$$

$$E(24) = \frac{36 \times 78}{120} = 23.4$$

$$E(12) = \frac{18 \times 78}{120} = 11.7$$

$$E(6) = \frac{6 \times 70}{120} = 3.9$$

$$E(24) = \frac{60 \times 42}{120} = 21$$

$$E(12) = \frac{36 \times 42}{120} = 12.6$$

$$E(6) = \frac{18 \times 42}{120} = 6.2$$

$$E(0) = \frac{6 \times 42}{120} = 2.1$$

Computation of x^2

Observed frequency (O)	Expected frequency (E)	$(O-E)^2$	$(O-E)^2/E$
36	39	9	0.23
24	23	1	0.04
12	12	0	0.00
6	4	4	1
24	21	9	0.42
12	13	1	0.07
6	6	0	0.00
0	2	4	2
			3.76

Under H_0:

$$x^2 cal = \sum \frac{(0-E)^2}{E}$$
$$= 3.76$$

degree of freedom, we know degree of freedom

$$u = (r-1)(c-1)$$
$$= (4-1)(2-1)$$
$$= 3 \times 1$$
$$= 3$$

Critical value at 5% level of signiicance and 3 degree of freedom the tabulated value of x^2 is; $x^2 tab = 7.815$

Decision :- Since $x^2 cal < x^2 tab$ *so Ho* is accepted. Hence we conclude that there is no significant difference between age and sex of the respondent or age affect the sex of respondent.

APPENDIX-II

HYPOTHESIS-2

Table 4.1.2 Age and Education wise distribution of respondents

Attributed (age)	Attribute	School level	University level	Total
18-28		48	12	60
28-38		18	12	30
38-48		18	6	24
Above 48		0	6	6
Total		84	36	120

Computation of expected frequency

$$\text{Expected cell frequency (E)} = \frac{\text{Row total cell frequency} \times \text{Column total cell frequency}}{\text{Grand total}}$$

$$E(48) = \frac{60 \times 84}{120} = 42$$

$$E(18) = \frac{30 \times 84}{120} = 21$$

$$E(18) = \frac{24 \times 84}{120} = 16.8$$

$$E(0) = \frac{6 \times 84}{120} = 4.2$$

$$E(12) = \frac{60 \times 36}{120} = 18$$

$$E(12) = \frac{30 \times 36}{120} = 9$$

$$E(6) = \frac{24 \times 36}{120} = 7.2$$

$$E(6) = \frac{6 \times 36}{120} = 1.8$$

Computation of x^2

Observed requency (O)	Expected frequency (E)	$(O-E)^2$	$(O-E)^2/E$
48	42	36	0.85
18	21	9	0.42
18	17	1	0.05
0	4	16	4
12	18	36	2
12	9	9	1
6	7	1	0.14
6	2	16	8
			16.46

Under H_0:

$$x^2 cal = \sum \frac{(0-E)^2}{E}$$

$$= 16.46$$

degree of freedom, we know degree of freedom

$u = (r - 1)(c - 1)$

$= (4 - 1)(2 - 1)$

$= 3 \times 1$

$= 3$

Critical value at 5% level of signiicance and 3 degree of freedom the tabulated value of x^2 is; $x^2 tab = 7.815$

Decision : - Since $x^2 cal > x^2 tab$ so *Ho* is rejected. Hence we conclude that there is significant difference between age and education of the respondent or age does not affect the educaton of respondent.

APPENDIX-III

HYPOTHESIS-3

Table 4.1.3 Age and Occupation wise distribution of respondents

Age	Occupation							
	Business	**Teacher**	**Student**	**Farmer**	**Security personnel**	**Service holder**	**Others**	**Total**
18-28	12	0	12	0	0	0	6	30
28-38	12	18	0	6	0	12	12	60
38-48	0	0	0	0	6	6	12	24
Above 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
Total	24	18	12	6	6	18	36	120

Computation of expected frequency

Expected cell frequency (E) = $\dfrac{\text{Row total cell frequency} \times \text{Column total cell frequency}}{\text{Grand total}}$

$E(12) = \dfrac{30 \times 24}{120} = 6$

$E(12) = \dfrac{60 \times 24}{120} = 12$

$E(0) = \dfrac{24 \times 24}{120} = 4.8$

$E(0) = \dfrac{6 \times 24}{120} = 1.2$

$E(0) = \dfrac{30 \times 18}{120} = 4.5$

$$E(18) = \frac{60 \times 18}{120} = 9$$

$$E(0) = \frac{24 \times 18}{120} = 3.6$$

$$E(0) = \frac{6 \times 18}{120} = 0.9$$

$$E(12) = \frac{30 \times 12}{120} = 3$$

$$E(0) = \frac{60 \times 12}{120} = 6$$

$$E(0) = \frac{24 \times 12}{120} = 2.4$$

$$E(0) = \frac{6 \times 12}{120} = 0.6$$

$$E(0) = \frac{30 \times 6}{120} = 7.5$$

$$E(6) = \frac{60 \times 6}{120} = 3$$

$$E(0) = \frac{30 \times 6}{120} = 1.5$$

$$E(0) = \frac{60 \times 6}{120} = 3$$

$$E(6) = \frac{24 \times 6}{120} = 1.2$$

$$E(0) = \frac{6 \times 6}{120} = 0.3$$

$$E(0) = \frac{30 \times 18}{120} = 4.5$$

$$E(12) = \frac{60 \times 18}{120} = 9$$

$$E(6) = \frac{24 \times 18}{120} = 3.6$$

$$E(0) = \frac{6 \times 18}{120} = 0.9$$

$$E(6) = \frac{30 \times 36}{120} = 9$$

$$E(12) = \frac{60 \times 36}{120} = 18$$

$$E(12) = \frac{24 \times 36}{120} = 7.2$$

$$E(6) = \frac{6 \times 36}{120} = 1.8$$

Computation of x²

Observed frequency (O)	Expected frequency (E)	$(O-E)^2$	$(O-E)^2/E$
12	6	36	6
12	12	0	0
0	5	25	5
0	1	1	1
0	5	25	5
18	9	81	9
0	4	16	4
0	1	1	1
12	3	81	27
0	6	36	6
0	2	4	2
0	1	1	1
0	8	64	8
6	3	9	3
0	5	25	5
0	0.3	0.09	0.3
0	2	4	2
0	3	9	3
6	1	5	5
0	0.3	0.09	0.3
12	9	9	1
6	4	4	1
0	1	1	1
6	9	9	1
12	18	36	2
12	7	25	3.57
6	2	16	8
			116.17

Under H$_0$:

$$x^2 cal = \sum \frac{(0-E)^2}{E}$$

$$= 116.17$$

degree of freedom, we know degree of freedom

u = (r -1) (c -1)

$\quad = (4-1) (7-1)$

$\quad = 3 \times 6$

$\quad = 18$

Critical value at 5% level of signiicance and 18 degree of freedom the tabulated value of x^2 is; $x^2 tab = 28.869$

Decision : - Since $x^2 cal > x^2 tab$ *so Ho* is rejected. Hence we conclude that there is significant difference between age and occupation of the respondent or age does not affect the occupation of respondent.

APPENDIX-IV

HYPOTHESIS-4

Table 4.1.4 Age and Income wise distribution of respondents

Age	Income				
	1000-5000	**5000-10000**	**10000-15000**	**Above 15000**	**Total**
18-28	0	24	0	12	36
28-38	18	12	6	6	42
38-48	6	12	6	4	28
Above 48	0	0	0	2	2
Total	24	48	12	24	108

Computation of expected frequency

$$\text{Expected cell frequency (E)} = \frac{\text{Row total cell frequency} \times \text{Column total cell frequency}}{\text{Grand total}}$$

$$E(0) = \frac{36 \times 24}{120} = 7.2$$

$$E(18) = \frac{42 \times 24}{120} = 8.4$$

$$E(6) = \frac{28 \times 24}{120} = 5.6$$

$$E(0) = \frac{2 \times 24}{120} = 0.4$$

$$E(24) = \frac{36 \times 48}{120} = 14.4$$

$$E(12) = \frac{42 \times 48}{120} = 16.8$$

$$E(12) = \frac{28 \times 48}{120} = 11.2$$

$$E(0) = \frac{2 \times 48}{120} = 0.8$$

$$E(0) = \frac{36 \times 12}{120} = 3.6$$

$$E(6) = \frac{42 \times 12}{120} = 4.2$$

$$E(6) = \frac{28 \times 12}{120} = 2.8$$

$$E(0) = \frac{2 \times 12}{120} = 0.2$$

$$E(12) = \frac{36 \times 24}{120} = 7.2$$

$$E(6) = \frac{42 \times 24}{120} = 8.4$$

$$E(4) = \frac{28 \times 24}{120} = 5.6$$

$$E(2) = \frac{2 \times 24}{120} = 0.4$$

Computation of x²

Observed requency (O)	Expected frequency (E)	$(O-E)^2$	$(O-E)^2/E$
0	7	49	7
18	8	100	13
6	6	0	0
0	0.4	0.16	0.4
24	14	100	7.14
12	17	25	1.47
12	11	1	0.09
0	1	1	1
0	4	16	4
6	4	4	1
6	3	9	3
0	0.2	0.04	0.2
12	7	25	3.57
6	8	64	8
4	6	4	0.67
2	0.4	2.56	6.4
			56.94

Under H₀:

$$x^2 cal = \sum \frac{(0-E)^2}{E}$$

$$= 56.94$$

degree of freedom, we know degree of freedom

$$\text{u} = (\text{r} - 1)(\text{c} - 1)$$
$$= (4-1)(4-1)$$
$$= 3 \times 3$$
$$= 9$$

Critical value at 5% level of signiicance and 9 degree of freedom the tabulated value of x^2 is; $x^2 tab = 16.919$

Decision : - Since $x^2 cal > x^2 tab$. *So Ho* is rejected. Hence we conclude that there is significant difference between age and income of the respondent or age does not affect the income of respondent.