# CHAPTER -I <br> INTRODUCTION 

### 1.1 Background of the Study

Nepal is located in the South Asia Region. It is land- locked between its two large neighbors, India and China. It is a small country with a land of $147,181 \mathrm{~km}$ square inhabited by about more than 25 million people. It is surrounded by mountain and hills geographically. Nepal is divided into three regions on the basis of physical feature, i.e. Himalayan region covers $15 \%$ area with $7.39 \%$ population, and hilly region covers $68 \%$ area with $44.5 \%$ population and Terai region covers $17 \%$ area with $44.3 \%$ population. Population of Himalayan and Hilly region is decreasing trend and Terai region is increasing trend due to internal conflict and security, lack of physical infrastructure, education, transportation, market, health as well as scarcity of agricultural land. $14.25 \%$ population stays at urban area and remains at remote area. Literacy rate above 6 year is $61 \%$ in Nepal. "Nepal, the steepest country in the world, descends from the height of Everest to the tiger prowling jungles below. Between are valleys rich in more than 2500 years of culture where Hinduism and Buddhism have met and created undreamed of glories of spiritualism through stone, brick and metal for eye to behold and for the soul to experience. The most beautiful Himalayan Kingdom Country, discover the world of mountains, rivers, jungle and culture in the world of Nepal (Visit Nepal, 1998).

The total population of the country is 23151423 (census 2002) and growth rate is $2.24 \%$ per annum. Nepal presents an example of being united in diversity over the history and has maintained its pride to be an independent and sovereign state.

In the context of world economy Nepal is in a very weak position and least developing country. Nepal's inflation rate has reached $12 \%$ with the sharp rise in commodity prices, highest in the past 6 months. The country's economy has registered a growth of more $3.9 \%$, half of the target in 2008 according to the Economic Survey 2009 published by the government. The agriculture sector grew by $2.1 \%$ in 2008 while non agriculture sector grew by $4.8 \%$. However the GDP per capita income reached USD 473 in 2008 despite
the poor performance of other sectors of the economy which was possible due to the increasing remittance (financialexpress.com).

The above statistical data are very less than that of the two neighbors India and china. For the development of nation there should be good situation of political, legal, sociocultural, science and technological and economic environment. Good governance has prior responsibility towards the people. The basic needs should be provided and facilitated to the people by the government in cheap price and easy way. Primary needs of people like education, health, communication, water, sanitation, electricity, health, communication, water, sanitation, electricity, transportation, security etc should facilitate. For that government make various policies, rules, regulation, law etc. Economic or Political policy may differ country to country. For servicing to the citizens, government opens various public enterprises. In the words of World Bank, State owned enterprises are financially autonomous and legally district entities wholly or partly owned by central or sub national government.

PEs is autonomous bodies which are owned and managed by the government \& which provides goods or services for a cheap price. The ownership of the government should be $51 \%$ or more that in PEs (Nanin, 1988:42). Thus the government can play a major role in establishing different kinds of public enterprises.

Public enterprises help many areas such as balanced regional development, public welfare to generate employment opportunities, export - promotion, etc. Again public enterprises play a major role in achieving the twin objectives of social and economic envisaged in national policy. The role of PE is stimulating and augmenting the pace of economic growth in developing countries can hardly be estimated. Different public enterprises have different set of objectives. Some public enterprises are manufacturing where as some are public utilities. Public enterprises have maintained proper balance between profit oriented and service oriented.

Public enterprises in Nepal constitute a vital instrument for socio-economic development of our country. It enjoys a strategic and crucial opposition in our mixed economy. PEs was rapidly established after ardent of the democracy and launching of five year development plan period. Nepal Bank Limited was the first PEs which was established prior to the launching of the planned development policy in 1956 AD. Therefore, virtually no development of PEs took place during the period. Nepalese economy was characteristic by lack of basic industries and so on. The country lacked resources both financial as well as non financial including sufficient skilled human resources for the proper socio-economic development of the country. Majorities of the Nepalese are still depending on agriculture.

Nepal has adopted mixed economic system where contribution of private and public sectors are co-existed in harmonics and collective way most of Nepalese PEs is suffering from regular operating loss by observing the past annual budget, economic surveys studies of the running projects. Therefore, they are obliged to depend on their budget to the government. They are unable to sustain return form their investment and contribution to the nation by providing expected return as dividend or tax. They are creating a huge amount of liabilities to the government and considered the public revenue is misusing in unproductive sectors. So, after the restoration of democracy, the government has adopted a policy to privatize and dissolve the PEs which is operating at loss or financial burden to the government except the public utilities. Most public enterprises are creating a large amount of liabilities to the government as financial burden. The causes behind loss of public enterprises are various. Management has its fire functions planning, organizing, staffing and human resource management, leading and interpersonal influence and controlling. Organization cannot run without profit whether it is private or public, lack of proper plan, management and control system. Public enterprises in Nepal are suffering from loss. Lack of business experts, management system and standard accounting rules are not applied properly.

Although, Nepal is a poor country, development is based virtually in the hand of the foreign aids or policy, government has established various PEs in different fields such as
public utility, manufacturing enterprises, trading enterprises, financial enterprises etc. Among them, DDC is one of the public concerned established to bring improvement in production, processing, presentation, sales and distribution of milk and milk products i.e. cheese, butter, ghee, yoghurt etc.

The population of our country is increasing day by day. Therefore, the importance of milk and milk products has increased. The increasing trend of population is shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1
Growth of Population

| Year in AD | Population "000" | Growth rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1911 | 5639 | - |
| 1921 | 5574 | 0.13 |
| 1930 | 5533 | 0.07 |
| 1940 | 6284 | 1.16 |
| $1952-1954$ | 8473 | 2.3 |
| 1961 | 9413 | 1.65 |
| 1971 | 11556 | 2.07 |
| 1981 | 15023 | 2.66 |
| 1991 | 18491 | 2.08 |
| $2001 / 202$ | 2270 | 2.2 |

Source: CBS (2002) Statistical Pocket Book, 2002

The demand of the agricultural products including milk for consumption purposes has increased due to increase in population and also urbanization made the demand for milk and milk products high. The farmers, who lived near city, were supplying milk and milk products without consideration of nutrition and hygienic value, thereby affecting the health of the people.

Therefore, with the rising demand or market and to control the water mixing practice, government realized to install the dairy program inside the country after 2009 B.S. As a result, Dairy Development Commission was converted into Dairy Development Board in 2019 B.S. (1962 A.D.).

Before 2007 B.S. the environment was also not favorable to develop the industrial sector and the government had no vision about this matter. After democracy, 5 year passed keeping the nation in political inconsistency.

From 2013 B.S. the government started 5 years development plans which are also running now and the government has been operating the development works according to these plans.

### 1.2 Introduction of DDC

Dairy Development Corporation (DDC) was established in B.S. 2026 (1969) under the corporation act, B.S. 2021 (1964). Under the corporation are to provide guarantee market and fair price to the rural milk producers and to supply hygienic pasteurized milk and other standard dairy products to the urban customers. Prior to the establishment of the corporation a separate Dairy Development Board was constituted to carry out the task of dairy development in Nepal in wider scale. The dairy development activities in Nepal started in Tusal village of Kavre district B.S. 2009 (1952) on experimental basis with a small scale milk processing plant under the Development of agriculture. In the year B.S. 2010/011 at the initiative of Dairy Development Board, the central Dairy Plant was established and it started milk collection, processing and marketing activities from the year B.S. 2014 (1957).

### 1.2.1 Objectives of DDC

- Provide a guaranteed market for milk to the rural farmers with fair price.
- Supply pasteurized milk and milk products to urban customers.
- Develop organized milk collection system to meet increasing demand for pasteurized milk and milk products.
- Develop an organized marketing system for milk and milk products.


### 1.2.2 Organization of DDC

The Board of Directors formed by government of Nepal governs the corporation. Under the board of directors the corporation has been revising its organizational structure according to the changing need at the central level as well as at the regional level.

DDC have been collecting cow, buffalo and nak/chauri milk from more than 40 districts of Nepal. Milk is collected through the farmers owned organization, milk producers' association (MPA) and milk producers' co-operative society (MPCS). Its present milk collection network has spread from Panchthar in the East to Surkhet in the West.

There is a mini processing plant established under the Lumbini Milk Supply Scheme few years ago. Thus the scheme has started selling pasteurized milk in local market. Since the sales volume is small, the scheme is transshipping the cow milk to KMSS and PMSS to cater the demand of those areas.

Hetauda Milk Supply (HMS) scheme also supports KMSS by supplying excess milk that is above their local requirement, whereas Biratnagar milk supply scheme manufactures skimmed milk powder from its excess milk and that of other milk supply schemes as well. C has been playing a special role in the contribution to uplift the economic status of the rural farmers. Thus this dairy has been recognized as effective tool for poverty alleviation in the past years. DDC purchased about 162,600 liters of milk per day from farmers.

In the pas few years' milk production in the milk- shed areas of the DDC has increased to a great extent. Consequently, the DDC couldn't buy all the milk offered by the farmers, especially during the flush season. As a result, it had to introduce milk Holiday on certain days during the flush season. On the other hand during the lean period DDC had to import skimmed milk powder to meet customers' demands. To mitigate this problem in accordance with the ten year Dairy Development plan prepared with the assistance of Danish Government project for establishing a skimmed milk powder plant was initiated in 2048 (1994) and is in operation since December 1994. Capacity of this powder plant is 30 mt . of powder per day.

### 1.2.3 Donors

World Food Program (WFP) had supported DDC for about a decade in the early years. The New Zealand and Danish Government had contributed toward the establishment and
rehabilitation of milk processing plants. USAID and Danish Government have been the major donors.

### 1.3 Statement of the Problems

Success is not a matter of chance and profit does not happen. Profits are planned and managed and profit planning and control is a tool that can handle organizations' present situation smoothly. Cost-Volume-Profit analysis under the profit planning and control provides the techniques of profit planning framework.

DDC being the leading dairy with government subsidy and lots of heavy resources has no dearth of market for its products. However, it has suffered losses year after year and fluctuation of profit/loss in past recent years. The inconsistent sales revenue, low contribution margin having high fluctuating variable and fixed cost, low productivity of DDC, compelled and excited me to find out the causes of such losses and fluctuations and thus, writing my thesis on this topic.

Besides this, DDC has generated profit of Rs. 4203559 in F/Y 2060/61 and Rs 2430753 in F/Y 2063/64, which is a grant leap of improvement as compare to the past continuous losses. This research also deals and provides the reason for such achievement.

### 1.4 Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of this study is to examine "Cost-Volume-Profit analysis of DDC" to determine the relationship between cost, volume and profit and profitability of the DDC. The following sub-objectives have been set to achieve the main objectives:

- To study the relationship between cost, volume and profit as a tool of budgeting.
- To evaluate the profitability and sensitivity of DDC in relation to sales.
- To analyze the productivity of the labor by using different productivity ratios.
- To analyze the cost-volume-profit of the corporation and it's impact on its profit planning.
- To provide necessary suggestions and recommendations wherever necessary based on findings.


### 1.5 Significance of the Study

This research work is the study of the practice of CVP analysis of DDC. This study will be useful to various stakeholders of DDC on various way and those are seated below.

- It examines the application of the corporation.
- It provides necessary theoretical as well as contemporary situational conceptions to make appropriate decisions for DDC.
- It may also help DDC to take corrective measures to the related department of the corporation.
- It is also useful for interested parties, loan investors, foreign donors, suppliers etc.
- It provides literature to the researchers, who want to perform further research on this field.


### 1.6 Limitation of the Study

The efforts of this research have been made to present and analyze the clearly, truly and within the boundary. Every research has some limitation. Basically, not availability of the required and useful data and information would be major limitations of the study. The study is confined only to CVP analysis as a tool of profit Planning and control (Budgeting).

The following factors will limit the study:

- The study will cover the last five fiscal years data of DDC i.e. F/Y 2059/60 to F/Y 2063/64.
- The data of F/y 2064/65 were not available as it was in the auditing process.
- The study is based on primary and secondary data (inclusive if discussion and financial statements collected from the corporation.
- This thesis has been confined to the data provided by the personnel of the corporation.
- This study only focuses on CVP analysis.


### 1.7 Organization of the Study

This study has divided into five major chapters.

## Chapter - I Introduction

This chapter deals with background, evaluation if industrial development in Nepal, a brief overview of Dairy Development Corporation, statement of the problem, objectives of the problem, significance of the study and limitation of the study.

## Chapter - II Literature Review

The second chapter "literature review" deals with the review of related literatures and available studies written and conducted by different experts and researchers in the field of CVP.

## Chapter - III Research Methodology

The third chapter "research methodology" presents the methodology used in this study. It deals with research design, sources of data, procedures employed and financial and statistical tools used for the study.

## Chapter - IV Data Presentation and Analysis

This part of the study includes presentation and analysis of financial figures of DDC. This presentation and analysis helps to come to the ultimate conclusion of the study. This part also contains the list of major findings derived from the analysis.

## Chapter - V Summary, Conclusion and Recommendations

The fifth chapter summarized the whole study. Moreover, it draws the conclusions and forwards the recommendations better utilization of cost volume profit analysis.

The bibliography, appendix will be included in the last of the thesis.

## CHAPTER -II <br> REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 2.1 Conceptual Framework

CVP analysis plays a vital role in profit planning. CVP analysis segregates the total cost into two parts: fixed and variable costs. Up to a limited level of production, the fixed cost remains unchanged but variable cost increases and decreases with respect to the increment and decrement of volume of production. Therefore, in order to male profit, it is necessary to examine that whether the capacity is fully utilized or not or if there is any part to reduce cost. Because a minor changes in cost may result the high differences in profit whereas the efficient use of resources may reduces the cost and it may give the opportunity to make more profits.

CVP analysis is effective in respect of short-term planning. It enables to study the effect of business activities on the expenses. Understanding of the aforementioned relationship plays a considerable role in correct prospective business planning and budgeting. CVP analysis helps managers to see in advance the effect of different strategies and decisions on business activities. With the result of the analysis, managers will be able to answer the following questions:

- What should be the level of sales to cover all expenses?
- What should be the volume of sold products enabling to get the required profit?
- How the increased business activities would effect precedes expenses and profit?
- And many other questions.

CVP analysis can be used for the whole organization and its small units, departments, sections and production lines. CVP analysis studied the interrelation of units. During the analysis we estimate these interrelations and, therefore, the organization's margin of profit in a single product organization, when cost behavior is accurately explained by a fixed-variable framework, CVP analysis in undoubtedly a precise, valuable tool for decision making. Unfortunately, this scenario rarely reflects reality. Most organizations
are multi-product and activity base costing (ABC) would indicate that cost behavior is generally more complex than a simple fixed-variable framework would suggest.

The constant sales mix concept underlying most textbook treatments of multi-product CVP assumes that fixed costs should be allocated between products based on their share of total weighted contribution margin.

This implicitly supposes that each fixed cost in incurred for the benefit of all products. The ABC methodology suggests that this assumption is unlikely to be appropriate when products consume differing levels of overhead resources. Using more detailed analysis of fixed costs between product lines, 'direct' break-even points for individual products can be calculated.

Accountants and managers need to have a clear understanding of the assumptions underlying CVP models which they use for decision making purposes and need to use the model which is most appropriate for the decision at hand.

### 2.1.1 Assumptions of CVP Analysis

It is essential that anyone preparing or interpreting CVP information should be aware of the underlying assumptions on which the information has been prepared. If these assumptions are not incorrect conclusions may be drawn from the analysis. They are as follows: (Drury, 2000: 248-253)

## 1. All Other Variables Remain Constraint

It is assumed that all variables other than the particular one under consideration have remained constraint throughout the analysis. In other words, it is assumed that volume is the only one factor that will cause cost and revenues to change. However, changes in other variables such as production efficiency, sales mix, price levels and production methods can have an important influence on sales revenue and cost. If significant changes in these other variables occur, the CVP analysis presentation will be incorrect.

## 2. Simple Products or Constant Sales Mix

CVP analysis assumes that either a single product is sold or, if a range of products is sold, that sales will be in according with a predetermined sales mix. When a predetermined sales mix is used, it can depict in the CVP analysis by assuming average revenues and average variable costs for a given sales mix.

BEP is not a unique number; it varies depending on sales mix is different from the budgeted sales mix, the actual average unit contribution different from that used in the budgeted BEP calculations.

Thus, the BEP and the expected profits or losses at various output levels will also change. Any CVP analysis must therefore be interpreted carefully if the initial product mix assumptions do not hold.

## 3. Complexity-related fixed cost does not change

CVP analysis assumes that complexity-related cost will remain unchanged. Cooper and Kaplan illustrate that many so-called fixed cost vary mot with the volume of items manufactured but with the range of items produced (i.e. the complexity of the production process). Complexity-related costs do not normally vary significantly in the short run with the volume of production. If a change in volume does not alter but if volume stays constant and the range of items produced changes then support department fixed cost will eventually change because of the increase or decrease in product complexity.

CVP analyses assumptions will be violated if affirm seeks to enhance profitability by product proliferation, i.e. by introducing new variants of products based on short-term contribution margins. The increased product diversity, however, will cause complexityrelated fixed cost to increase in future periods and there is a danger which long-term profits may decline as a result of product proliferation. The CVP analysis incorporates the fixed cost required to handle the diversity and complexity with the current product range, but the costs will remain fixed only if diversity and complexity are not increased further.

Thus, CVP analysis will not capture the changes in complexity-related costs arising from changes in the range of items produced.

## 4. Profits are Calculated on a Variable Costing Basis

The analysis assumes that the fixed costs incurred during the period are changed as an expense for that period. Therefore, variable costing profit calculations are used, it is necessary to assume that production equals to sales for the analysis to predict absorption casting profits. If this situation does not occur, the inventory levels will change and the fixed overheads allocated for the period will be different from the amount actually incurred during the period. Under absorption costing, only when production equals sales will be the amount o fixed overheads incurred are equals to the amount of fixed overheads changed as expenses.

## 5. Total costs and the Total Revenues are Linear Functions of Output

The analysis assumes that unit variable cost and selling price are constant. This assumption is only likely to be valid within the relevant range of production.

## 6. Analysis applies to Relevant Range Only

CVP analysis is appropriate only for decisions taken within the relevant production range and that it is incorrect to project cost and revenues figures beyond the relevant range.

## 7. Cost can be accurately divided into their Fixed and Variable Elements

CVP analysis assumes that costs can be accurately analyzed into their fixed and variable elements. Even though, separations of semi-variable costs into fixed and variable elements are extremely different in practice. Nevertheless, a reasonably accurate analysis is necessary, if CVP analysis is to provide relevant information for decision-making.

## 8. The analysis applies only to a Short-Term Time Horizon

In the short-term, the costs of providing a firm's operating capacity such as property taxes and the salaries to senior managers are likely to be fixed in relation to the change in activity. Decisions on the firms intended future potential level of operating capacity
would determine the amount of capacity costs to be incurred. These decisions will have been made; they cannot be easily being reversed in short-term. It takes time to significantly expand the capacity of plant and machinery or reduce capacity. Furthermore, plant investment and abandonment decisions should not be based on shortterm fluctuations in demand within a particular year. Instead, they should be reviewed periodically as part of the long-term planning process and decisions based on predictions of long-run demand over several years. Thus, capacity costs will tend to be fixed in relation to changes in activity within short-term periods such as one year. However, over long-term period significant changes in volume or product complexity will cause fixed cost to change.

It is therefore assumed that in the short-term, some costs will be fixed and unaffected by changes in volume. In the short-term, volume is the most important variable influencing total revenue, costs and profits. For this reason, volume is given special attention in the form of CVP analysis. However, in the long-run, other variables besides, volume will cause costs to change. Therefore, the long-term analysis should incorporate other variables, besides volume and recognizes that fixed cost will increase or decrease in steps in response to change in the explanatory variables.

### 2.1.2 Terms used in CVP Analysis

## Variable Cost

The cost, which varies according to the level of production or output, is called variable coat. It fluctuates in total amount but tends to remain unchanged per unit as production activity changed. Material cost, direct cost etc are variable cost. There is a liner relationship between the volume and variable cost i.e. the cost increases or decreases as the volume increases or decreases.

## Fixed Cost

The cost, which remains unchanged to an entire range of production or output, is called fixed cost. Thus, fixed cost is the costs which remain constant in respect to the changes in
the output within a relevant range. The main characteristic of fixed cost is that it is fixed within a range whereas in per unit cost, it will change. For example rent, insurance etc.

## Semi-Variable Cost

Semi-variable cost is the cost, which remains fixed to a certain range of output and varies thereafter in accordance with the change in capacity. In other words, the cost, which has characteristics of fixed and variable cost, is called semi-variable cost. It is even called mixed cost. For example, lighting, indirect material, indirect labor, cost of overtime, repair and maintenance, etc.

## Step Fixed Cost

It is the cost, which remains constant up to certain level of capacity. After meeting the capacity, there is an increment in the fixed cost by certain amount. Regularly, the fixed cost will increase up to the point, where the cost meets its existing capacity.

## Break-Even Analysis

Break-even analysis is a logical extension of marginal costing. It is based on the same principle of classifying the operating expenses into fixed and variable. Now a day, it has become a powerful instrument in the hands of policy makers to maximize profit.

The $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E}$ analysis is a specific way of presenting and studying the enter-relationship between the cost, volume and profit. It provides information to management in the most precise manner.

The $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E}$ analysis established a relation between the revenues and cost with respect to the volume. It indicates the level of sales at which cost and revenue are in equilibrium. The equilibrium point is normally called BEP.

## Break-Even Point (BEP)

The BEP can be defined as that point of sales at which the total revenue is equal to total cost. For BEP to occur, it is necessary that firm have same variable and fixed cost. If all
the cost of the firm is variable, no profit no loss or BEP would be at zero sales volume. On the other hand, if all costs were fixed, the BEP would occur at a point where revenue is equal to total fixed cost. The BEP can be computed in terms of units as well as rupees.

BEP (units) $=\frac{\text { Total Fixed Cost }}{\text { Unit Selling Price }- \text { Unit Variable Cost }}$
$\operatorname{BEP}(\mathrm{Rs}) \quad=\frac{\text { TotalFixedCost }}{1-\left(\frac{\text { UnitVariableCost }}{\text { UnitSelling Pr ice }}\right)}$

In order to understand the $B / E$ analysis, the following three concepts should be understood.

1. Contribution Margin
2. P/V Ratio
3. Margin of Safety

## 1. Contribution Margin

It is the difference between the sales and the marginal/variable cost of sales and it contributes towards fixed expenses and profit.

Contribution Margin $=$ Selling Price - Variable Cost
For example,
Selling price $=$ Rs. 25 per unit
Less: Variable cost $=$ Rs. 15 per unit
Contribution Margin $=$ Rs. 10 per unit

## 2. P/V Ratio

It is an important tool in studying the profitability of a business. It establishes relationship between contribution and the sales volume.

It can be also found from the relationship between the changes in the contribution and change in the sales. It is written in the form of percentages (\%).

## Example:

In above case, if the fixed expenses is Rs. 100000/- and sales unit is 20000, the contribution will be Rs. 200000/- (i.e. 20000*10), which is sufficient to meet fixed expenses and profit left is Rs. 100000/-. And if the output (sales) is 10000 units then the contribution will be Rs. 100000/- (i.e. 10000*10), which is just sufficient to bear the fixed expenses. And, if the output is 5000 units, contribution will be Rs.50000/-, which is not sufficient to meet even fixed expenses and the result is a loss of Rs. 50000/-.

Thus, contribution will first go to meet the fixed expenses and to profit.
$\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{M}$ ratio or $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{V}$ ratio $=\frac{\mathrm{CMPU}}{\mathrm{SPPU}}$ or $\frac{\mathrm{CM}}{\text { SalesRevenue }}$
Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CM = Contribution Margin } \\
& \text { P/V = Profit Volume } \\
& \text { CMPU = Contribution Margin per Unit } \\
& \text { SPPU = Selling Price per Unit }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Margin of Safety:

It is the difference between the actual sales and BEP sales. One of the assumptions of marginal costing is that the production or the output will coincide to the sales. So, margin of safety is also the excess of production over BEP output. Sales or output above BEP is known as margin of safety because it given same profit whereas at BEP only fixed expenses is recovered.

Margin of Safety $=$ Actual Sales - BE Sales or $\frac{\text { Profit }}{\text { P/V Ratio }}$
For Example,
If present sales is Rs. 400000 and BE sales is Rs. 300000, margin of safety (MOS) will be Rs $100000 /-$ (i.e. Rs. 400000 - Rs. 300000 ) or $25 \%$ (i.e. $\frac{100000}{400000} * 100 \%$ )

### 2.1.3 Sensitivity of CVP Analysis

Sensitivity of CVP analysis is the study of the CVP analysis in the different stages or in the different situation in which the related terms of CVP, cost (fixed and Variable), volume and profit changes. If changed occur in one term, such as in cost (variable and fixed cost independently), we studied its effect or changes, which may be positive or negative, on profit, on sales volumes, on contribution margin, on selling price, etc. respectively. It helps the company to maintain its original BEP in the change situation.

Small changes in one factor of CVP can change the BEP or profit or in other words, BEP or profit is influence in response to the changes in selling price, variable cost and fixed cost. When changes are expected on selling price, in ratio of variable cost factors, or in the amount of fixed cost, an analysis of the cost-volume -profit relationship can determine the effect of such changes on period's profit and BEP.

### 2.1.4 Methods of Segregating Mixed or Semi-Variable Costs

CVP analysis requires the segregation of all costs in to fixed and variable. So, the semivariable costs should also be segregation of the semi-variable cost is done through one of the following methods:

## 1. Levels of output compared to levels of Expenses Methods

According to this method, the output at two different levels in compared with corresponding level of expenses. Since, the fixed cost remain, the variable overheads are arrived at by the ratio of change in expenses to changes in output.

Variable Elements $=\frac{\text { Change in Amount of Expenses }}{\text { Change in Activity or Quantity }}$

## 2. Range Method

This method is similar to levels of output compared to level of expenses except that only the highest and lowest points of output are considered out of various levels. This method is also called High and Low Method

Procedure:
$>$ Select the highest pair and the lowest pair
$>$ Compare the variable rate ' $b$ ' using the following formula

Variable Rate $=\frac{\text { Difference in Cost "Y" }}{\text { Difference in Activity "X" }}$
$>$ Compute the fixed cost as:

Fixed Cost Position $=$ Total Semi-Variable Cost - Variable Cost

## 3. Degree of Variability Method (DOV)

In this method, the degree of variability is noted for each of semi-variable expenses. Some may have $70 \%$ variability while others may have $30 \%$ variability. The method is easy to apply but difficulty is faced in determining the degree of variability.

## 4. Scatter-Graph Method

In this method, the given data are plotted on graph paper and line of best fit is drawn, whereas semi-variable expenses is plotted in the vertical axis (Y-axis) and activity measures is plotted in the horizontal axis (X-axis).

## Procedure

- The volume of production is plotted on the horizontal axis and the costs are plotted in the vertical axis.
- Corresponding to each volume of production costs is then plotted on the paper, thus, several points are shown on it.
- A straight line of best fit is then drawn through the points plotted. This is the total cost line. The point where this line intersects the vertical axis is taken to be the amount of fixed elements.
- A line parallel to the horizontal axis is drawn from the point where the line of best fit intersects the vertical axis. This is the fixed cost line.
- The variable cost at any level can be known by nothing difference between fixed and total cost lines.

The Scatter-graph method is relatively easy to use and simple to understand. However, it should be used with extreme caution, because it does not provide an objective test for assuring that the regression line drawn is the most accurate fit for the underlying assumptions.

## 5. Least Square Method

One of the popular for CVP analysis is regression analysis. Regression analysis is a statistical procedure for estimating mathematically, the average relationship between the dependent variable (Y) and the independent variable (X). The regression method does include all the observed data and attempts to mind a line of best fit. To find the line of best fit, a technique called least-squares method is used.

It is based on the mathematical technique of fitting an equation with the help of a number of observations. The linear equation can be assumed as:
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{X}$ and the various sub-equations shall be,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \sum \mathrm{X} \\
& \Sigma \mathrm{XY}=\mathrm{a} \sum \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{b} \sum \mathrm{X}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the equation can be fitted for any number of order or degree depending upon the number of observations available and accuracy desired.

Unit variable cost and fixed cost can be computed by using the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{b} & =\frac{\mathrm{N} \sum \mathrm{XY}-\sum \mathrm{X} \cdot \sum \mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{~N} \sum \mathrm{X}^{2}-\left(\sum \mathrm{X}\right)^{2}} \\
\mathrm{a} & =\frac{\sum \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{b} \sum \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{~N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=\text { Total Cost } \\
& a=\text { Fixed Cost } \\
& b=\text { Unit Variable Cost } \\
& N=\text { Number of Series } \\
& X=\text { Production units } \\
& \Sigma=\text { Sum of }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.1.5 Special Problems in CVP Analysis

CVP analysis is applied to individual products or parts of a business and to company as a whole. In the letter case, there are three special problems may be encountered.

## - The Activity Base

When two or more products or activities are combined for BEP analysis, the activity base is usually in amount. Product unit is used for single product. The activity base is must be in additive units using a common denominator of volume or output in multiple products. Therefore, for the company as a whole, net sales amount are usually the only satisfactory common denominator because manufacturing, selling and administrative activities are expressed in combinations.

## - The Change in Inventory

Normally, the budgeted changes in inventories (i.e. finished goods and work-in-progress) are immaterial in amount and thus may be disregarded in CVP analysis. On the other hand, when the changes in budgeted inventory are significant; it should be included in analysis. Including the effect of inventory changes in CVP analysis requires subjective judgments about what management might do (about making inventory changes at different volume and the conceptual precise is desired. Management considers two practical approaches or policies in inventory changes often used:
a. Disregard the inventory changes.
b. Include the inventory changes.

## - The Non-Operating Incomes and Expenses

Non-operating income (gains) and extraordinary gains and losses, if materials in amount, cause another problem in CVP analysis. The basic issue is whether they should be included or excluded. Extraordinary gains and losses are non-recurring and unusual: therefore, they should be excluded. Non-operating incomes and expenses are recurring but they are not related to ongoing operations. Management consider the policy may be to:
a. Include the non-operating incomes and expenses.
b. Exclude the non-operating incomes and expenses.

### 2.1.6 Utility of CVP or B/E Analysis

Break-even analysis is the most useful technique of profit planning and control. It is a device to explain the relationship between cost, volume and profits. The utility if the break-even analysis lies in the following advantages:

- It is a simple device to understand accounting data.
- It is a useful diagnostic tool.
- It provides basic information for considering the risk implications of alternative actions.
- The break-even analysis is a simple concept to comprehend and interpret the accounting data. Many business executives and others are unable to understand accounting data combined in financial statements and reports. When these data are presented through break-even charts, it becomes very easy to grasp and interpret them. However, the executives using break-even analysis should remember the limitations of this device and should not attach too much volume to it.

The break-even analysis is a useful diagnostic tool. It indicates to management the causes if increasing break-even point and falling profits. The analysis if these causes will reveal to management what actions should be taken. As a practical matter, knowledge o where the break-eve point lies can be quite useful to management on determining the need for action. However, an increasing break-even point should not always be a matter if alarm to management. The important information to be analyzed is break-even as a percentage of
capacity. If the break-even point as a percentage of capacity is increasing, it indicates unfavorable conditions. It is this kind if situation which needs immediate action. It is possible that due to plant expansion absolute break-even point may increase, but overall capacity may be increase. This situation, where the break-even point as a percentage of capacity does not increase, is not unfavorable.

In the break-even analysis, we compute BEP and $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{V}$ ratio prepare break-even charts and P/V graphs and analyze and report the effect of changing factors on profits. These whole set of information is important to evaluate the reasonableness and usefulness of profit plans and other budgets and forecasts prepared by management. The break-even analysis, thus, provides the basic information for profit improvement studies and it is a useful starting point for detailed investigations.

The desirability of an action should be considered on the basis of its profit as well as risks. Profit alone is considered, a firm may commit to a risky action. The break-even analysis, to some extent, is a useful method for considering the risk implications of alternative actions. Considering the effects of the alternative actions on the break-even point can approach the problem of risk evaluation. From one alternative, a firm may expect higher profit and also a higher break-even point, while another alternative maybe produce comparatively lower profit but may also entail a lower break-even point. In taking a decision, the firm should not only consider the profits expected from the alternative but also the probability of reaching the BEP. If the probability of achieving the BEP sales is low, the firms should prepare the second alternative where the BEP will be reached earlier.

### 2.1.7 Limitations of CVP or B/E Analysis

The BEP or CVP analysis is a simple and useful concept. But it is based on certain assumptions, which have been discussed earlier. These assumptions limit the utility and general applicability of the $B / E$ analysis.

Therefore, the analysis should recognize these limitations and adjust data, wherever possible, to get meaningful results. The CVP analysis suffers from the following limitations:

- It is difficult to separate costs into fixed and variable components.
- It is not correct to assume that the total fixed cost would remain unchanged over the entire range of volume.
- The assumption of constant selling price and unit variable cost is not valid.
- The $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E}$ analysis is a short-term concept and has a limited use in long range planning.
- The $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E}$ analysis is a static tool.


### 2.1.8 Approaches of Calculating Break-Even Point

There are two approaches to calculating the break-even point for a firm:

1. Contribution-Margin approach.
2. The Equation approach.

## Contribution-Margin Approach

## I. Based on amount of Profit Contributed

This approach is based on the concept of the contribution margin, or the amount that each unit contributes toward covering fixed expenses and generating profit. Mathematically, the contribution margin per unit is calculated as follows:

## Contribution Margin per Unit $=$ Selling Price per Unit - Variable Cost per Unit

## II. Break-even is where Fixed Expenses are Covered

If the contribution margin is the amount that each unit contributes toward covering the fixed expenses, the break-even point in units, or the point where the fixed expenses are covered can be found in the following manner:

Break-Even Sales (in units) $=\frac{\text { Fixed Expenses }}{\text { Controbution Margin Per Unit }}$
III.

To find the break-even point on Rupees simply multiplies the break-even point in units by the selling price. Alternatively, one can use the contribution margin ratio, which is the contribution margin expressed as a percentage of the selling price. Thus:

Break-Even Sales (in rupees) $=\frac{\text { Fixed Expenses }}{\text { Controbution Margin Ratio }}$
The Equation approach:
Sales - Total Variable Expenses - Total Fixed Expenses $=$ Profit

Break-even Sales (in Rs.) = Total Variable Expenses + Total Fixed Expenses

Sales (in Units) $=\frac{\text { Fixed Expenses }+ \text { Target Net Profit }}{\text { Contribution Margin Per Unit }}$
Sales (in Rs.) = Total Variable Expenses + Total Fixed Expenses + Target Net Profit

### 2.1.9 CVP Analysis with Multiple Products

## I. Multiple Products Require Weighted Sales Mix

Most firms have more than one product line, and CVP analysis may be adapted for these firms. The same basic equations are used; however, the sales mix must weight the contribution margin. The sales mix is the number of units sold of a given product relative to the total units sold by the firm.

Example:
If a company sales 8000 units of product A and 2000 units of product B , the sales mix is $80 \% \mathrm{~A}$ and $20 \%$ b.

## II. Weighted-average Contribution really a Market Basket

A weighted-average unit contribution margin is calculated by multiplying a product's contribution margin by its sales mix percentage, and then summing the results for individual products. The result is often divided into fixed expense (as before) to arrive at
the break-even point in units. In this case, however, the units are really a market basket of the various goods in the sales-mix percentage.

## III. Final Step

As a final step, the sales mix percentage is multiplied by the number of 'units' to calculate the individual product sales to break-even. It should be evident that a change in a firm's sales mix will alter the company's break-even point.

### 2.1.10 CVP Relationship with the Income Statement

## $>$ Traditional Includes Cost of Goods Sold

The traditional income statement for a manufacturer includes a cost of goods sold figure that combines variable costs and fixed manufacturing overhead. The statement's format does not group costs by behavior but rather by function, thus making CVP analysis difficult.

## $>$ Contribution Highlights Cost Behavior

The contribution income statement is presented in a format that highlights cist behavior. Variable expenses are subtracted from sales to produce a total contribution margin. Next fixed expenses are subtracted to yield the period's net income. This format is used for variable costing.

### 2.1.11 Cost Structure and Operating Leverage

Cost structure refers to the relative proportion of fixed and variable costs existing in an organization. An automated manufacturing plant would have a high proportion of fixed costs where as a direct labor-intensive plant would have a high proportion of variable costs. Any organization has some choice as to its cost structure.

A company's cost structure has a significant effect on the way in which profits fluctuate in response to changes in sales volume. The greater the proportion of fixed costs in a firm's structure, the greater will be the impact on profit from a given percentage change
on sales revenue. This result from the fact that firm with relatively higher fixed cost (and relatively lower variable costs) will have a higher contribution margin ratio.

Operating leverage is a measure of how sensitive net income is to percentage changes in sales. Operating leverage is greatest in companies, which have a high proportion of fixed costs relative to variable costs. A firm with high fixed costs and low variable costs has high operating leverage, the ability to highly increase net income from an increase in sales revenue. In other words, after the break-even point has been reached, a larger amount of contribution margin will fall to the bottom line in a high fixed cost structure than if the cost structure had been comprised mostly of continuing high variable costs, which continue to eat away to net income after the break-even point is

Degree of Operating Leverage $=\frac{\text { Contribution Margin }}{\text { Net ncome }}$

### 2.2 Review of Related Studies

Many studied have been conclude in the profit planning in the context of Nepalese business firms and public enterprises. But in the must, CVP analysis has given less priority than others or it can be said that only few studies are mainly focused on CVP analysis. And whatever few researches have been made, are not also in depth. Mostly CVP analysis is done only under the heading of Profit Planning and Control in Nepal.

Few researches have been reviewed under the topic of profit planning and control and cost-volume-profit analysis in Nepal related with Dairy Development Corporation.

Badu (1996) had tried to point out some features and problems of profit planning in Nepalese manufacturing public enterprises and he selected Dairy Development Corporation (DDC) as a base for study.

## His main objectives:

- To analyze the various functional budgets adopted in those enterprises.
- To examine the capacity utilization of DDC.
- To assess the financial performance of DDC using BEP analysis.

His research covered the time period of five year from F/Y 2049/50 to 2053/54. Research methodology was mostly through secondary procedure and only for some information, primary data were used.

## His major Findings:

- DDC has practice short term planning rather than long term planning.
- Lack of segregation of cost into fixed and variable.
- DDC has problem of maintaining the quality of the products.
- No proper management to supply milk in the urban areas because of the difficulties in collecting surplus milk from rural market.
- Financial position of the DDC is not good.


## His major Recommendations:

- DDC should from long term planning on specific and practicable basis.
- DDC should develop its specific goals for coming budget. Such goals may be profit on sales, net profit on capital employed, sales revenue etc. without such goals, the operation of the company may not be effective.
- The storing system if milk should be made more effective.
- The pricing system of the milk and milk product should be in consistent with cost.
- At decision-making level, competent and capable persons should be involved for this political interference should be avoided.
- There should be good transportation system for collecting rural milk.

Dumre (1997) has submitted the thesis on the topic "Profit Planning Practice in Nepalese Public Enterprises: A case study of DDC". The study was mainly concerned with the appraisal of DDC and examines that in what extent, the company is applying PPC system.

## His major Findings:

- DDC has not been clearly defined its main objectives in annual goal or target.
- The production plan depends upon sales plan but in case of DDC, the production plan is the basic plan of sales plan as supply side is given more importance.
- The reasons of failure to raise profit in Nepalese manufacturing PEs are lack of knowledge about the market situation and lack of systematic planning.
- Costing is done by traditional method and there is no segregation of cost into fixed and variable.
- No proper planning for cost control mechanism and performance reporting.
- Lack of budgeting experts, skilled planner and entrepreneurship. Planning department has no adequate authority to decide and create new ideas to formulate various plans.
- Commercial performance of DDC is poor. So, DDC can't afford to finance into research and increase plant capacity by internal fund.


## His major Recommendations:

- DDC should maintain proper co-ordination within the organization. Line and staff authorities and responsibilities should be clearly defined.
- A separate costing department should be established in DDC.
- There should be systematic planning and should also create the post of profit planning director to improve performance of DDC because PPC is new concept for Nepalese manufacturing PEs.
- DDC should make every effort to run the existing plants and utilize the idle equipments and addition of capital and manpower should be dine with a welldefined purpose to relate closely with the production.
- DDC should consider about the product line to improve its profit. Market studies on demand, supply and pricing of milk and other dairy products should be carried out.
- DDC should have a proper financing and investment strategy based on its long range planning.

Aryal (2000) has submitted the thesis on the topic "Profit Planning of $M$ anufacturing Enterprises: A case study of DDC". The study mainly focused on the appraisal of DDC and examined that in what extent the corporation is applying with PPC.

## His major Findings:

- There is substantial gap between sales target and achievement of each year.
- Regression line about sales of DDC indicates a positive trend.
- DDC has not satisfactorily achieved its specific goals.


## Following are the main causes:

- Under capitalization.
- Over staffing.
- Not fully autonomy.
- Corruption


## DDC shows the following strengths and weaknesses:

## A. Strengths

- No problem of sales.
- Foreign donors.
- Experienced staff.
- Local milk.
- High quality products.


## B. Weaknesses

- Political jurisdiction.
- Competition with other private dairy.
- Lack of skilled manpower.
- No sufficient stock/sales in summer season
- Autonomy is a blank paper.


## His major Recommendations:

- DDC should develop its specific goals for the coming budgets; such goals may be net profit margin, net profit on capital employed, etc.
- Planning committee of the company has prepared production and sales budget as ad-hoc basic but they must analyze relevant internal and external variables nd other possible impact in future production and sales.
- It should make sales promotion by different media in Nepal.
- DDC should prepare systematic periodic performance reports.
- The management of the corporation must define the costs: variable costs, semivariable costs and fixed costs, which makes reports reliable.
- To increase the financial performance of DDC, capital manpower, assets should be utilized to the fullest rather than to increase their volume.

Thapa (2000) has submitted thesis on the topic "Problems of Profit Planning in Manufacturing Public Enterprises: A Comparative Study of DDC and SitaRam Dairy". He has tried to dig out some features and problems of profit planning in the context of Nepalese manufacturing enterprises.

## His major Findings:

- DDC has concentrated its whole efforts on the survival of the company.
- Employees are not more careful of their duties in DDC comparatively with SRD.
- Sales figures (target and achievement) of SRD are more in consistent than of DDC.
- SRD has highly been successful to maintain co-ordination than DDC.
- Both companies have positive correlation between actual and target sales in both industries.
- DDC has been producing 11 types of products and SRD has been producing only 3 types of products.
- Both companies have not proposed PPC except sales and production plan.
- DDC and SRD have been suffering from operating losses for many years. The main causes are low contribution margin ratio, high fixed cost and under utilization of capacity.
- Both companies pricing methods are cost plus pricing and standard cost pricing.


## His major Recommendations:

- The promotion of personnel is felt necessary to boost up their moral. Time to time training is essential to develop their performing skills and activities.
- DDC and SRD should follow marginal cost pricing also in addition to cost plus pricing. Adopting cost pricing, both companies can retain all their potential customers.
- Long-term objectives should be clearly formulated so as to take a clear distinction between profit motive and social motive and entrepreneurship is the first requirement for any business success.
- These companies are facing the problem of under capitalization by which production is affected, so as to enhance the production.
- Responsibility center should be clearly defined. Reward and punishment system for the performance of related responsibility center should be maintained and it should be operated on purely commercial basis.
- Collection of raw milk should be increased and removing and hindrances in this regard and creating provision of motivational prices for the raw milk and providing regular supply for fodder for cattle and adequate veterinary services etc.

Kharel (2003) has submitted thesis on the topic "Comprehensive Budgeting Process in Public Corporation in Nepal: A case study of DDC". He had tried to examine profit planning and control system applied by DDC by using statistical tools like percentages, mean, standard deviation, variation, correlation and financial tools like various analysis, CVP analysis have been used to analyze the data.

## His major Findings:

- DDC has planned only short term or for coming fiscal period.
- DDC has not separate planning department and planning experts.
- DDC has not collected all milk offered by the farmers. It has not been able to grant the loan to the farmer's requirement.
- The government interferes to the pricing of raw milk and milk products. The board of DDC lies as a showpiece.
- DDC has not applied any inventory policy. The inventory has increasing trend.
- The gap between actual production and actual sales are high.
- The actual sales are lower than BEP sales.
- DDC has suffering the political pressure on employee's selection. Almost employees are appointed by the government directly rather than evaluation of candidate's ability.


## His major Recommendations:

- DDC defined short-term and long-term plan of its programs, strategies, goals etc.
- DDC should encourage the middle and lower level employee for planning and decision making task.
- DDC should consider $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E}$ analysis on the time of planning.
- The candidates should be appointed in the basis of his/her ability.
- DDC should operate on commercial basis. It should be revised and study of its products and if there id any loss-oriented product immediately drop them. DDC should revise the price of its products.
- DDC should cut down the unproductive expenses.
- DDC should consider the sales plan on the time of planning production.
- DDC should consider its assets. High assets are the idle investment .DDC should invest its capital on returnable sectors.

Adhikari (2004) had submitted thesis on the topic "Profit Planning in Manufacturing Enterprises: A case study of DDC". The followings are the specific objectives of his study:

- To analyze the functional budgets on sales and production sector of DDC.
- To analyze various accounting ratios, measure the profitability and efficiency of DDC.
- To analyze the budget target and its achievement along with the reasons of decisions, if any.


## His major Findings:

- DDC has practiced short-term planning rather than long-term planning.
- Production and sales of DDC is increasing annually although the growing rate is fluctuated.
- DDC has no. proper practice in segregating the cost into fixed and variable.
- Most of the budgeted figures are higher than actual figures.
- DDC has prepared direct labor budget only based on technical and administration. It has not prepared according to time and rate.
- Capacity utilization is very high but the productivity ratio is low.
- CVP analysis shows that DDC is operating below BEP sales.
- Timely accounting and auditing works are not maintained.
- Financial statement and accounting system are out of the financial rules.


## His major Recommendations:

- DDC should clearly define its broad objectives. Duties and responsibilities should be identified in clear-cut way for the employees.
- Entrepreneurship should be developed within the enterprise and it should be operated on commercial basis. Right person should be placed at right place.
- It should eliminate the red-Taoism, political justification, government intervention and unnecessary formalities.
- A systematic approach should be made towards comprehensive profit planning. This can considerably contribute to the increase in profitability of DDC and all Nepalese public enterprises.
- Collection and processing cost is very high, so it should control according to profit plans.
- DDC must segregate its variable cost and fixed cost.
- The management or the planning committee of DDC must analyze relevant internal and external variables and their possible impact in future production and sales in profit planning.
- Proper motivational program and reward and punishment system must be conducted for effective execution of profit planning. They must open a separate profit planning and control unit.
- Deviation from budgeted must be analyzed and necessary corrective steps have to be taken based on actual sales and productions.


### 2.3 Research Gap

There is a gap between the present research and the previous researches. The previous research study dealt with profit planning and control, as a whole. And mostly, all the researches applied are mostly similar-some financial tools, statistical tools and also results and recommendations also resembles very much.

Since DDC has been incurring losses year after year, in-depth analysis should be done to find out the major causes of such losses. Broad profit planning and control techniques would not be effective to dig out the real causes.

So, CVP analysis, as being the major tool to find out the profitability if the short-term tactical plan, that's why, this study has been performed. In fact, it is a kind of full-fledged research work.

So, this study paper is designed to highlight the major causes of continuous soles and resent improvement's reasons, which remained different from previous researches.

# CHAPTER -III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 

### 3.1 Research Design

Research design provides the overall framework or plan for the activities to be undertaken during the research study. Since, this study revolves around the relationship between cost, volume and profit intensive analysis of historical and descriptive research design is used to analyze the performance of past five years from F/Y 2059/60 to 2063/64. To fulfill the objectives of this study, primary and secondary data are used. It also focuses on the effect on profit due to change in volume and cost.

### 3.2 Resources of Data

The source of data is both primary and secondary collected from the central office of DDC through the accountant Shree Ram Shrestha. The primary data are collected through discussion with the concern authority. The secondary data are taken from annual reports, balance sheet, profit and loss accounts, cost sheets and unpublished previous thesis relating with the DDC and other published data etc.

### 3.3 Population and Sample

DDC is itself population and sample as well, as this study is based on the revenue planning. CVP analysis focused wholly on DDC and not centered to particular branch of DDC or product. Hence there is no difference between sample and population in this case.

### 3.4 Data Collection and Analysis

Collecting data is the connecting link to the world of reality for the researcher (Wolff and Panta, 2005).

Primary data are collected from the concerning the differentiation of fixed and variable costs and other related elements of the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{c}$ from the concerned authority of central office of DDC. And since, they are not using CVP analysis, a through discussion with
them provide a legitimate estimation of fixed and variable cost. Secondary data are collected from annual reports, Sinhabalokan, auditor's report, balance sheet and P/L a/c, cost sheets and other thesis concerned mostly with the DDC.

The analysis if data are done by using different tools such as, averages, percentages and all the CVP related ratios are used to find out the relationship among the three elements: cost, volume and profits. All the findings are presented in categorized, systematic,

## CHAPTER - IV DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

Profit planning and control helps in facilitating effective performance of management systems. It is the ultimate objective of management to maximize profit over the longterm, consistent with its social responsibility.

In order to make profit, it is necessary to examine whether the capacity is utilized or not or if there is any part to reduce cost because minor changes in cost may result high differences in profit, whereas, the efficient use of resources may reduce the cost and it may give opportunity to make more profits. And CVP analysis can be the most important technique to utilize the cost in effective and efficient way. CVP analysis is a way to quickly answer a number of important questions about the profitability of a company's products or services or company as a whole.

In the Nepalese market, there is high demand of cheaper goods. To produce cheaper goods, maintaining the profit, Cost Volume Profit relation of the firm should be properly analyzed. There are only two possible ways to get higher profit; one is to increase the price and the other is to reduce the cost of production. Increasing the price of the product would reduce the demand, which eventually reduces the profit. So, increasing the price has synergic effects. The second alternative is to make possible reduction in the cost. This is determined by the CVP analysis. It finds out the ways to reduce the cost and increase the profit. CVP analysis is not only deals with the relationship of cost, volume and profit, but also helps in utilizing the resources in a better way to get the maximum return.

So, taking into account of these reasons, this study mainly focuses on the CVP analysis of the DDC. This chapter presents the data analysis and interprets the collected data. The data are presented in systematic manner and presented and tabulated in meaningful ways.

### 4.1 Sales Plan of DDC

The first step in developing budgeting process of an organization begins with the presentation of sales budget. The sales planning is a necessary component of PPC because,
A. It provides for the basic management decision about marketing and
B. Based on those decisions, it is an organized approach for developing a comprehensive sales plan.

If the sales plan is unrealistic, most if not all of the other portions of the overall profit plan also will be unrealistic.

DDC is the market leader in the dairy industry. Now, after the establishment of the dairy in private sector, DDC is gradually losing its market share and now it covers about $60 \%$ of the total milk market, according to the DDC, DDC produces large varieties of products such as Pouch Milk, Skimmed Milk, Milk Powder, Curd, Ghee, Butter, Cheese, different varieties of Ice-creams, Panner, etc.

Table 4.1
Sales Description

| (In Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Products | 2059/60 | 2060/61 | 2061/62 | 2062/63 | 2063/64 |
| Milk | 1,30,15,51,686 | 1,24,49,29,547 | 1,30,09,37,690 | 1,25,33,13,814 | 1,33,28,81,473 |
| Butter | 5,06,72,761 | 4,42,20,143 | 4,94,81,254 | 3,21,67,220 | 4,09,90,592 |
| Ghee | 15,52,92,752 | 14,16,04,903 | 13,76,85,226 | 13,96,99,221 | 17,01,44,190 |
| Curd | 3,61,50,472 | 3,96,77,502 | 3,71,74,131 | 4,62,18,545 | 6,30,73,307 |
| Ice-cream | 39,77,954 | 57,14,044 | 64,22,710 | 63,29,959 | 87,27,412 |
| Panner | 1,11,08,901 | 1,28,49,768 | 1,03,14,551 | 1,09,65,665 | 1,35,46,086 |
| Cheese | 3,43,82,253 | 3,65,90,446 | 3,58,58,632 | 3,54,32,752 | 3,74,65,816 |
| Cream | 17,78,189 | 22,94,090 | 18,43,547 | 13,56,027 | 14,75,863 |
| Peda | ---- | 24,97,691 | 26,20,208 | 27,38,557 | 28,46,892 |
| Rasbari | 9,59,675 | 41,93,183 | 41,27,533 | 44,51,022 | 46,18,255 |
| Lalmohan | ---- | 12,05,029 | 21,28,739 | 30,07,147 | 42,58,027 |
| Lassi | 28,819 | 19,343 | 7,854 | 10,444 | 31,230 |
| Mohi | ---- | 4,356 | 3,51,142 | 2,38,821 | 2,56,055 |
| Gudpaak | ---- | 6,841 | 3,13,435 | 1,86,471 | 34,856 |
| Bay | 3,250 | 3,575 | 2,701 | 1,06,809 | ---- |
| Ledykeni | ---- | ---- | 1,38,012 | 1,18,092 | 3,627 |
| Balushahi | -- | ---- | 1,36,110 | 1,06,809 | ---- |
| Skimmed Milk Powder | ---- | ---- | 1,20,000 | ---- | ---- |
| Total | 1,59,59,06,712 | 1,53,58,10,462 | 1,58,96,63,476 | 1,56,33,40,564 | 1,68,03,53,680 |

[^0]The table no. $4: 1$ shows the sales trend on yearly basis of different products produced by DDC.

Table 4.2
Milk and Ghee'sPercentage to Overall Sales of DDC
(In Rs.)

| Details | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk | $1,30,15,51,686$ | $1,24,49,29,547$ | $1,30,09,37,690$ | $1,25,33,13,814$ | $1,33,28,81,473$ |
| Ghee | $15,52,92,752$ | $14,16,04,903$ | $13,76,85,226$ | $13,96,99,221$ | $17,01,44,190$ |
| Total | $1,45,68,44,438$ | $1,38,65,34,450$ | $1,43,86,22,916$ | $1,39,30,13,035$ | $1,50,30,25,663$ |
| Sales | $\mathbf{1 , 5 9 , 5 9 , 0 6 , 7 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 , 5 8 , 1 0 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 8 , 9 6 , 6 3 , 4 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 , 3 3 , 4 0 , 5 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 , 0 3 , 5 3 , 6 8 0}$ |
| Percentage | $\mathbf{9 1 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 4 5}$ |

Source: Table: 4.1

Milk and Ghee constitutes almost $90 \%$ of total sales revenue in each year. Milk constitutes $81.55 \%$ in F/Y 2059/60, 81.06\% in F/Y 2060/61, 81.84\% in F/Y 2061/62, $81.58 \%$ in F/Y 2062/63 and $79.32 \%$ in F/Y 2063/64. And Ghee constitutes $9.73 \%$ in F/Y 2059/60, $9.22 \%$ in F/Y 2060/61, $8.66 \%$ in F/Y 2061/62, 9.09\% in F/Y 2062/63 and $10.12 \%$ in F/Y 2063/64 of total sales.

Milk, being the dominant product, although revenue generated from it is increasing, overall percentage of milk to total sales revenue was decreased to $79.32 \%$ in F/Y 2063/64 after being constant $81 \%$ (approx) in last four $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$.

Ghee's demand was 8 to $10 \%$ ( approx) in five years and its contribution to sales revenue was increased to $10.12 \%$ in F/Y 2063/64. This seems like Ghee will be the major contributor sales revenue in the future too along with milk. Other products like Cheese, Butter, Curd, Ice-cream, etc constitute only $10 \%$ to the total sales revenues.

The following table: 4.3 present the budgeted and actual sales achievement from the fiscal year 2059/60 to 2063/64.

## Table 4.3

## Budgeted Sales and Actual Sales Achievements

(In Rs.)

| Fiscal Year | Budgeted Sales* | Actual Sales | Achievement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $1,67,27,57,000$ | $1,59,59,06,712$ | $95.41 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $1,75,48,10,857$ | $1,53,58,10,462$ | $87.52 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $1,76,00,00,000$ | $1,58,96,63,476$ | $90.32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 2} / \mathbf{6 3}$ | $1,66,99,35,396$ | $1,53,63,40,564$ | $92.00 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ | $1,80,68,31,914$ | $1,68,03,53,680$ | $93.00 \%$ |

Source: Annual report of DDC 2059/60 to 2063/64
*According to Accountant of DDC (Shreeram Shrestha)

The above table 4.3 shows the comparison between the budgeted annual sales and actual sales revenues of DDC.

The budgeted and actual sales are in increasing trend, however, the percentages of increase is fluctuating. The difference in budgeted sales and actual sales was not more than $10 \%$. The trend show that the achievements of actual sales towards budgeted sales are increasing.

The following table shows Mean, Standard Deviation with Co-efficient of Variation and Correlation Co-efficient to analyze the relationship between the actual sales with Budgeted sales. Regression analysis to not only analyzes the relationship between both variables but also predicts the future actual sales from the regression equation.

Table 4.4
Calculation of Different Statistical Tools

| Statistical Tools | Budgeted Sales (X) | Actual Sales (Y) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ | $1,73,28,67,033$ | $1,58,76,14,979$ |
| Standard Deviation ( $\delta$ ) | 53408127 | 52888920 |
| Co-efficient of Variation (C.V.) | $3.08 \%$ | $3.33 \%$ |
| Correlation Co-efficient (r) | 0.6023 |  |
| Probable Error of Correlation [P. E.(r)] | 0.1922 |  |

## Source: Appendix-7

The above table 4.4 shows the Co-efficient of Variation of budgeted and actual sales. The distribution with similar C. V. is considered to be more homogeneous or less variable or uniformly distributed. And in this calculation, budgeted sales are more homogeneous or less variable than actual an annual sale, which indicates the low efficiency of planning department. The actual annual sales were more heterogeneous or with $3.33 \%$ C.V. more variable than budgeted sales having 3.08\% C.V.

The widely-used statistical tool "Correlation of Co-efficient" has used to analyze the degree of relationship between the budgeted and actual sales. Karl Pearson's Correlation Co-efficient is most used in practice for calculating correlation co-efficient between the two variables, X and Y , and is usually denoted by " r ".

For calculating " r ", budgeted sales are denoted by X (independent variables) and actual sales are denoted by Y (dependent variables). It is assumed that there will be linear relationship between budgeted sales and actual sales.

The probable error (P.E.) of the Correlation Co-efficient (r) is an old measure of asserting the reliability of the value of Pearsonian Co-efficient of Correlation. It is used to test whether the calculated value of sample Correlation Coefficient is significant or not if,
A. $r<P$.E. ( $r$ ), then the value of $r$ is not significant.
B. $r>P$ P.E. ( $r$ ), then the value of $r$ is definitely significant.
C. In other situation, nothing can be concluded.

The value of $r$ is greater than P.E. (r) (i.e. $0.6023>0.1922$ ). It means the value of $r$ is very highly significant. So, it can be said that actual sales will go in the same direction as budgeted sales.
The Regression line can also be fitted to show the degree of relationship between budgeted and actual sales. The correlation analysis refers to the degree of relationship between the variables. But it does not say about which the variable is cause and which the
effect, while, regression analysis establishes the nature of relationship between two or more variables and then estimate the unknown variables (dependent variables) with the help of known variables (independent variables).

For this, actual sales have assumed to be dependent upon the budgeted sales, as independent.

So, regression line is actual sales " Y " on budgeted sales " X " is as follows:
$\mathrm{Y}-\bar{Y}=\frac{\mathrm{r} \times \delta \mathrm{Y}}{\delta \mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{X}-\bar{X})$
OR, $\quad \mathrm{Y}-1587614979=\frac{0.6023 \times 52888920}{53408127}(\mathrm{X}-1732867033)$
OR, $\quad \mathrm{Y}-1587614979=0.5964(\mathrm{X}-1732867033)$
OR, $\quad \mathrm{Y}=0.5964 \mathrm{X}-1033481898+1587614979$
OR, $\quad Y=0.5964 X+554133081$

It shows positive relationship between the budgeted sales and actual sales. With this equation, we can forecast the likelihood of actual achievement of the year 2064/65.

Budgeted sales (X) for 2064/65 = Rs 1,85,00,00,000
We have,

$$
Y=0.5964 X+554133081
$$

Or, $\quad \mathrm{Y}=(0.5964 \times 1850000000)+554133081$
Or, $\quad \mathrm{Y}=11033400000+554133081$
Or, $\quad \mathrm{Y}=1,65,74,73,081$

### 4.2. Cost Structure of DDC

Cost is defined as an expenditure that is entirely recorded as an asset and becomes an expense when it is "used up" is the future.

Cost can be controllable and non-controllable. In short run, all the variable expenses are controllable and all the fixed expenses are non-controllable.

Cost planning and control focuses not only on reducing the costs but also considering the effect of breakdown of machines, frustrating employees, lower quality of productions, etc caused by reduction of cost. Efficient and effective utilization of cost is the major demand and purpose of cost planning.

The cost or expenses of DDC are categories into four sectors:

- Collection Expenses.
- Processing Expenses.
- Selling and Distribution Expenses.
- Administration Expenses.

All the expenses are collected and analyze with support from the DDC personnel regarding the cost behavior and distributed as below into fixed, variable and semivariable cost. Since, they weren't practicing CVP analysis, there were no distinction among cost into fixed or variable, so degree of variability method is used to distinguish the semi-variable cost into fixed and variable cost.

Semi-variable cost are distributed according to the degree of variability ( $30 \%: 70 \%$ ), since, DDC hasn't been practicing CVP analysis and being so vast product line distributed in different regions and branches, degree of variability seems appropriate to distribute semi-variable cost into fixed and variables.

## Collection Expenses

Collection expenses includes all the expenses related with collecting milk from various milk farmers, and the cost relating to it, such as, purchase of milk, porters' wages and transportation expenses, salaries, provident fund, gratuity expenses of those workers engaged in the collection of milk and necessary raw materials. The detailed distributions of collection expenses are presented in the following table 4.5, distributing the cost into fixed and variable and semi-variable expenses are distributed according the Degree of Variability method, popularly as 70:30 basis of separation.

## Table 4.5

Distribution of Collection Expenses into Fixed, Variable and Semi-Variable cost

| Variable Cost | Fixed Cost | Semi-Variable Cost <br> (Allocation Basis: DOV(70:30) | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase of Milk | Salary | Porters' wages and Transportation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Allowance | Provident Fund | Water \& Electricity | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{FC}=30 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=70 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Traveling Expenses | House and Land Rent | Stationary \& Printing | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Fuel and Other Provision | Bank Commission | Ticket, Wire, Telephone | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=30 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=70 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Fuel for Boiler Generator | Insurance | Motor Repairs | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Chemicals and Detergents | Taxes and Charges | Machine Repairs | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Other Dairy Goods | Gratuity Expenses | Building Repairs | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Cleaning \& Sanitation |  | Other Repairs | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Other <br> Transportation |  | Non-Durable office Goods | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Prize Given to Farmers | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Rebate, Discount, Adjustment Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=30 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=70 \% \end{aligned}$ |

## Processing Expenses

Processing expenses is a part of production cost which relates with raw materials, packaging, chemicals and detergents, fuel and other provision, water expenses, electricity expenses, repairs of machines, motors, buildings, etc., salaries, provident fund, etc of the workers associated with the processing of milk and milk products. The detailed distributions of processing expenses are presented in the following table 4.6.

Table 4.6
Distribution of Processing Expenses into Fixed, Variable and Semi-Variable Cost

| Variable Cost | Fixed Cost | Semi-Variable Cost <br> (Allocation Basis: DOV(70:30) | Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Skimmed Milk Powder <br> Expenses | Salary | Transportation of Butter, Cheese, etc | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Raw Material and others | Provident Fund | Water \& Electricity | $\mathrm{FC}=30 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=70 \%$ |
| Traveling Expenses | House and Land Rent | Stationary \& Printing | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Fuel and Other Provision | Bank Commission | Ticket, Wire, Telephone | $\mathrm{FC}=30 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=70 \%$ |
| Allowance | Insurance | Motor Repairs | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Chemicals and Detergents | Taxes and Charges | Machine Repairs | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Other Dairy Goods | Gratuity Expenses | Building Repairs | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Feed Purchased |  | Other Repairs | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Processed Milk Loss |  | Non-Durable office Goods | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |

## Selling and Distribution Expenses

Selling expenses or Distribution expenses includes all the costs relating to selling, distribution and delivery of products to customers. It includes salaries, provident fund, insurance and gratuity expenses of the marketing staffs of the DDC and also traveling Expenses, milk and milk product loss etc. The detailed distribution of selling and distribution expenses is presented in the following table 4.7.

## Table 4.7

Distribution of Selling and distribution Expenses
Into Fixed, Variable and Semi-Variable cost

| Variable Cost | Fixed Cost | Semi-Variable Cost <br> (Allocation Basis: <br> DOV(70:30) | Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Milk and Milk Product <br> Commission | Salary | Milk Transportation Expenses | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Milk and milk Product <br> Loss | Provident Fund | Water \& Electricity | $\mathrm{FC}=30 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=70 \%$ |
| Traveling Expenses | House and <br> Warehouse Rent | Stationary \& Printing | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Fuel and Other <br> Expenses | Gratuity Expenses | Business Promotion Expenses | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Allowance | Insurance | Motor Repairs | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |
| Dealer's Facilities | Taxes and Charges | Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | $\mathrm{FC}=30 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=70 \%$ |
|  |  | Building Repairs | $\mathrm{FC}=70 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{VC}=30 \%$ |

## Administration Expenses

Administration expenses include those expenses other then manufacturing and distribution. They are incurred in the responsibility centers that provides supervision of and service of all functions of all the enterprises, rather than in the performance of any one function. Salaries, Allowance, Provident Funds, Employees Training Expenses, Employees Welfare Expenses, Insurance, etc, are included under this sector. The detailed distribution of Administration expenses are presented in the following table 4.8.

Table 4.8
Distribution of Administration Expenses into Fixed, Variable and
Semi-Variable Cost

| Variable Cost | Fixed Cost | Semi-Variable Cost <br> (Allocation Basis: DOV (70:30) | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Association Development Expenses | Salary | Recruitment Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Allowance | Provident Fund | Water \& Electricity | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=30 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=70 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Traveling Expenses | House and Land Rent | Stationary \& Printing | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Fuel and Other Provision | Bank Commission | Ticket, Wire, Telephone | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=30 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=70 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Donation | Insurance | Motor Repairs | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Deferred Expenses | Taxes and Charges | BOD Meeting Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Examination Expenses | Gratuity Expenses | Building Repairs | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Loss on sale of Assets | Membership Charges | Other Repairs | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Guest Entertainment Expenses | Office Equipment Expenses | Non-Durable office Goods | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Adjustment Expenses | Employee Welfare Expenses | Annual Day Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=30 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=70 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Employee Training Expenses | Business Promotion Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Auditor's Expenses | Meeting Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FC}=70 \% \\ & \mathrm{VC}=30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Sub-Committee Fees |  |  |
|  | Advisory Cost |  |  |
|  | Advertisement |  |  |
|  | Publicity |  |  |
|  | Funeral Expenses |  |  |
|  | Sanitation Expenses |  |  |
|  | Bus Fair |  |  |
|  | Legal Expenses |  |  |
|  | Newspaper \& |  |  |
|  | Magazines Software Expenses |  |  |

DDC Classified its total cost of collection, processing, selling and administration Expenses into fixed and variable cost for CVP analysis and sensitivity analysis. According to the data, costs are classified as under:

### 4.2.1 Variable Cost of DDC

Variable expenses vary in direct proportion to changes in output or activity in a responsibility center. Variable expenses are activity based because they are incurred as a direct result of output, productive activity, or work done. They would not exist if not for performance of some activity. Variable expenses increases or decreases directly with changes in outputs, therefore, if output is doubled, the variable expenses is doubled; or output decreases by $10 \%$, the variable expenses decreased by $10 \%$.

All the variable costs of collection, processing, selling and administration expenses of DDC are depicted in below table 4.9 to table 4.12.

Table 4.9
Variable Collection Expenses
(In Rs.)

| Collection Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase of Milk | 1112413152 | 1045469721 | 1038124379 | 1044700126 | 1101355622 |
|  <br> Transportation | 3795 | 1017 | 1549 | 150 | - |
| Fuel and Other Provision | 27812759 | 28993318 | 30691414 | 36270112 | 38613927 |
| Chemicals \& Detergents | 677457 | 654579 | 659516 | 756270 | 966237 |
| Other Dairy Goods | 575861 | 593229 | 631608 | 656785 | 626628 |
| Water \& Electricity | 4702010 | 4480573 | 3994356 | 3725188 | 3984737 |
| Allowance | 2442173 | 2644598 | 5985895 | 3836178 | 5623213 |
| Machine Repairs | 431080 | 495095 | 712678 | 949811 | 969983 |
| Buildings Repairs | 91415 | 64524 | 167151 | 143082 | 203299 |
| Motor Repairs | 3287033 | 3055237 | 3027872 | 3196441 | 3595505 |
| Other Repairs | 44752 | 47281 | 43554 | 50972 | 64415 |
| Stationary and Printing | 90589 | 90870 | 99695 | 96232 | 128629 |
| Traveling Expenses | 3166983 | 3368772 | 3935705 | 3641507 | 4620131 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone | 113832 | 110303 | 109505 | 102856 | 129401 |
| Non-durable <br> Goods | 30605 | 27411 | 30374 | 45192 | 51329 |
| Office to Farmers | 21193 | 23307 | 23534 | 20356 | - |
| Other <br> Expenses | 6400 | - | - | 22980 | 1000 |
| Sansportation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Boiler Generator | - | - | 2518863 | 3915982 | 5146345 |
| Rebate, <br> Adjustment Discount, | - | - | 2213 | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5 5 9 2 4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 0 1 3 8 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 0 7 8 8 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 2 2 8 7 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 6 1 6 8 3 7 6}$ |

## Source: Extracted from Appendix- 3 to 6

The variable collection expenses decreased to Rs 1090138045 in F/Y 2060/61 from Rs 1155922449 in F/Y 2059/60 (i.e. Rs -65784404 or $-5.69 \%$ ) and then slightly increased to Rs 1090788451 in F/Y 2060/62 from Rs 1090138045 in 2060/61 (i.e. Rs 650407 or $0.054 \%$ ), then increased to Rs 1102287215 in $2062 / 63$ from Rs 1070788451 (i.e. Rs 11498764 or $1.05 \%$ ) and reached Rs 1166168376 in 2063/64 (i.e. Rs 63881161 or 5.79\%)

Table 4.10
Variable Processing Expenses
(In Rs.)

| Processing Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skimmed milk powder Exp. | 52816397 | 39656569 | 98229166 | 69683186 | 566143902 |
| Raw Materials and Others | 1060880 | 1892398 | 2729605 | 3674319 | 4460992 |
| Packaging Goods | 69971099 | 60355588 | 63035827 | 68795056 | 73933332 |
| Chemicals \& Detergents | 2475824 | 2982761 | 3592866 | 3475776 | 4048949 |
| Other Dairy Goods | 1330852 | 1275887 | 1188624 | 1474300 | 1611326 |
| Cheese, Butter Transportation | 176129 | 278149 | 474817 | 465727 | 498404 |
| Water \& Electricity | 21753969 | 20779538 | 19529343 | 18170517 | 17950999 |
| Fuel and Other Provision | 30668196 | 33126214 | 36135760 | 42234275 | 45466232 |
| Allowance | 4814565 | 4519830 | 8819809 | 6674837 | 9431594 |
| Motor Repairs | 296979 | 277330 | 122649 | - | - |
| Machine Repairs | 2680493 | 3014998 | 2583591 | 4312538 | 3764051 |
| Building Repairs | 202877 | 377985 | 190146 | 454860 | 599976 |
| Other Repairs | 141430 | 141416 | 128971 | 172449 | 167956 |
| Traveling Expenses | 1173997 | 985453 | 1017243 | 901279 | 945116 |
| Stationary and Printing | 76676 | 85637 | 93758 | 95810 | 138338 |
| Non-durable Office Goods | 39991 | 36786 | 47304 | 67789 | 65183 |
| Processed Milk Loss | 6934397 | 6642117 | 7866090 | - | - |
| Fodder Purchased | 20623 | 19042 | - | - | - |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone | 51827 | 44478 | 41320 | 35786 | 45296 |
| Powder Transportation Exp. | 141213 | 269912 | 198426 | 5974 | - |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | - | - | 30415792 | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 6 8 2 8 4 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 6 7 6 2 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 6 4 4 1 1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0 6 9 4 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 9 5 7 1 6 4 6}$ |

Source: Extracted from Appendix- 3 to 6

The table: 4.10 show the variable processing expenses of the DDC. Variable processing expenses decreased from Rs 196828414 in F/Y 2059/60 to Rs 176762088 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs -20066326 or $-10.19 \%$ ) and then dramatically increased to Rs 276441107 in F/Y 2061/62 from Rs 176762088 in F/Y 2061/62 (i.e. Rs 99679019 or $56.39 \%$ ) then fall to Rs 220694478 in F/Y 2062/63 from Rs 276441107 in F/Y 2161/62 (i.e. Rs -55746629 or 20.16\%) and again decreased to Rs 219571641 in F/Y 2063/64 from Rs 220694478 in F/Y 2062/63 (i.e. Rs - 1122837 or $0.5 \%$ ).

Table 4.11
Variable Selling Expenses
(In Rs.)

| Selling Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance | 2166846 | 2174864 | 4512406 | 3423905 | 4482024 |
| Stationery and Printing | 71387 | 79841 | 90610 | 98189 | 124421 |
| Water \& Electricity | 108954 | 107678 | 105107 | 164823 | 210303 |
| Fuel and Other Provision | 5042548 | 3450546 | 3426593 | 4123125 | 4757910 |
| Motor Repairs | 700838 | 601108 | 548642 | 772548 | 953789 |
| Building Repairs | 938 | 139920 | 1230 | 17832 | 11003 |
| Other Repairs | 3139 | 3978 | 6501 | 18378 | 16735 |
| Milk Transportation Expenses | 4453565 | 5003642 | 4491311 | 4867488 | 4981259 |
| Traveling Expenses | 136572 | 88446 | 92254 | 75484 | 109282 |
| Business Promotion Expenses | 52235 | 18529 | 35112 | 49815 | 80915 |
| Milk \& Milk Product Loss | 130313 | 115573 | 200290 | 74763 | 113771 |
| Non-durable Office Goods | 20363 | 33733 | 19282 | 27078 | 32167 |
| Dealer Facilities | 85238 | 74414 | 33513 | - | - |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | - | 10302 | - | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 , 7 2 , 9 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 , 2 8 , 1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 , 6 2 , 8 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 , 1 3 , 4 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 8 , 7 3 , 5 7 9}$ |

Source: Extracted from Appendix- 3 to 6

Variable selling expenses decreased from Rs 12972936 in F/Y 2059/60 to Rs 11828158 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. -1144778 or $-8.82 \%$ ) then increased to Rs 13562851 in F/Y 2061/62 from Rs 11828158 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs 1734693 or 14.66\%) and increased to Rs 13713428 in F/Y 2062/63 from Rs 13562851 in F/Y 2061/62 (i.e. Rs 150577 or $1.11 \%$ ) and reached to Rs 15873579 in F/Y 2063/64 from Rs 13713428 in F/y in F/Y 2062/63 (i.e. Rs 2160151 or $15.75 \%$ ).

Table 4.12

## Variable Administration Expenses

(In Rs.)

| Administration Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance | 4332339 | 3827860 | 7550380 | 5501121 | 7434392 |
| Water \& Electricity | 14503 | 17200 | 15001 | 14146 | 16703 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone | 847392 | 819523 | 718697 | 862757 | 10594461 |
| Stationery \& Printing | 294347 | 324024 | 3403226 | 326543 | 387672 |
| Fuel \& Other Provision | 2560869 | 1649188 | 1800388 | 1595306 | 1806690 |
| Motor Repairs | 335894 | 290486 | 413456 | 240158 | 323911 |
| Building Repairs | 183609 | 74672 | 63950 | 85185 | 89965 |
| Other Repairs | 57369 | 57476 | 56486 | 55473 | 78928 |
| Traveling Expenses | 1455522 | 1362236 | 1695272 | 1809266 | 1682457 |
| Entertainment Expenses | 956136 | 1064828 | 1245946 | 1339430 | 1335763 |
| BOD Meeting Fees | 29000 | 47100 | 69900 | 56400 | 68100 |
| Recruitment Cost | 2544 | 6312 | 12311 | 38250 | 269728 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods | 79631 | 32919 | 118657 | 103262 | 136706 |
| Donation | 114655 | 179900 | 281000 | 427648 | 471327 |
| Examination Expenses | - | - | - | - | 400705 |
| Annual Day Expenses | 413299 | 349633 | 646527 | 568543 | 614405 |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | 23479 | 196960 | 356047 | 62012 | 80583 |
| Business Promotion Expenses | 128802 | 399619 | 516012 | 373678 | 404498 |
| Deferred Expenses | 2826500 | 2826500 | - | - | - |
| Meeting Expenses | 36467 | 32430 | 4294561 | 49163 | 50925 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 6 , 9 2 , 3 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 , 8 8 , 8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 , 9 4 , 9 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 , 0 8 , 9 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 7 , 1 2 , 9 1 9}$ |
| Sorre: Extacte |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Extracted from Appendix- 3 to 6

In table: 4.12, variable administration expenses decreased from Rs 1,46,92,357 in F/Y $2059 / 60$ to Rs $1,35,88,866$ in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs -1103491 or $-7.51 \%$ ) and then increased to Rs 2,01,94,917 in F/Y 2061/62 from Rs 1,35,88,866 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs 6606051 or $48.61 \%$ ) and decreased to Rs $1,35,08,941$ in F/Y 2062/63 from Rs
$2,01,94,917$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2061/62 (i.e. Rs -6685976 or $-33.17 \%$ ) then increased to Rs 1,76,12,919 in F/Y 2063/64 from Rs 1,35,08,941 in F/Y 2062/63 (i.e. Rs 3203978 or $23.71 \%)$

Variation in variable cost or expenses is due to the various factors affecting the cost to fluctuate. It can be changes in sales volume, cost of production, inflation, competition, difference in the tastes and preferences of customers, elasticity of demand, etc.

### 4.2.2 Fixed Cost of DDC

Fixed expenses are those that do not vary with output or productive activity. They accrue primarily with the passage of time, i.e., they are time expenses. They remain constant in amount for a given short-time period with in a relevant range of activity. Fixed expenses are caused by the holding of assets and the other factors of production in a state of readiness to produce; therefore, they are frequently called capacity cost. Fixed costs are of two types.

1. Executive management decisions establish commitments to certain fixed expenses, e.g. depreciation, tax, insurance etc.
2. Some fixed expenses are set by management discretion on a short-term basis, e.g. salaries, advertisement, and research expenses.

They may fluctuate by reason of changes in the basic structure of the business, operating methods, and discretionary changes in management policy.

The following table shows the different fixed cost under collection, processing, selling and administration expenses headings.

## Table 4.13

## Fixed Collection Expenses

(In Rs.)

| Collection Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Transportation Expenses | 8856 | 2374 | 2517 | 350 | - |
| Water \& Electricity | 2015147 | 1920246 | 1711867 | 1639366 | 1707744 |
| Salaries | 22489966 | 21066452 | 21963708 | 24480597 | 23964201 |
| Provident Fund | 1403410 | 1321992 | 1290949 | 1436564 | 1436201 |
| Machine Repairs | 1005855 | 1155221 | 1662915 | 2216225 | 2263293 |
| Building Repairs | 213301 | 150556 | 390020 | 324859 | 474364 |
| Motor Repairs | 7669744 | 7128887 | 7065033 | 7458362 | 8389512 |
| Other Repairs | 104421 | 110323 | 101627 | 118933 | 150300 |
| House and Land Rent | 913708 | 982488 | 985415 | 1006814 | 1020991 |
| Stationery \& Printing | 211374 | 212029 | 232620 | 224541 | 300134 |
| Tax and Charges | 1144431 | 940162 | 1169040 | 1360627 | 1314683 |
| Bank commission Charges | 972517 | 1573257 | 1286809 | 1341277 | 1436360 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone | 48785 | 47273 | 46930 | 44081 | 55457 |
| Insurance | 694494 | 496203 | 225612 | 606675 | 708967 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods | 71413 | 63960 | 70873 | 105447 | 119768 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 3542542 | 249124 | 2869168 | - | - |
| Prize to Farmers | 49452 | 54151 | 54912 | 47496 | - |
| Funeral Expenses | - | 10000 | - | - | - |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | - | - | 664 | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 2 5 , 5 9 , 4 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 4 , 8 4 , 6 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 1 , 3 0 , 6 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 4 , 1 2 , 2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 3 , 4 1 , 9 7 5}$ |
| Add/Less: Additional/ Excess <br> Gratuity Expenses | $\mathbf{( 4 5 3 1 0 3 )}$ | 17327787 | 1205149 | - | - |
| Net Total | $\mathbf{4 , 2 1 , 0 6 , 3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 2 , 1 7 , 4 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 3 , 3 5 , 8 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 4 , 1 2 , 2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 3 , 4 1 , 9 7 5}$ |

Source: Extracted from Appendix-1, 3 to 6

In table: 4.13, Fixed collection expenses have decreased to Rs $3,92,17,485$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2060/61 from Rs 4,21,06,313 in F/Y 2059/60 (i.e. Rs $-28,88,828$ or $-6.86 \%$ ) and and then increased to Rs 4,23,35,828 in F/Y 2061/62 from Rs 3,92,17,485 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs 3118343 or $7.95 \%$ ) and Rs 4,24,12,214 in F/Y 2062/63 from Rs 4,23,35,828 in F/Y 2061/62 (i.e. Rs 76386 or $0.18 \%$ ) and finally reached to Rs 4,33,41,975 in F/Y 2063/64 from Rs 4,24,12,214 in F/Y 2062/63 (i.e. Rs 99761 or 2.19\%)

## Table 4.14

## Fixed Processing Expenses

(In Rs.)

| Processing Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cheese, Butter etc. <br> Transportation Expenses | 410966 | 649015 | 1107907 | 1086695 | 1162944 |
| Water \& Electricity | 9323130 | 8905516 | 8369719 | 7787364 | 7693285 |
| House and Land Rent | 326038 | 375494 | 396567 | 434453 | 414985 |
| Salaries | 34532145 | 33589010 | 33443627 | 39239185 | 38753232 |
| Provident Fund | 1930955 | 1830992 | 1799554 | 2103395 | 2126094 |
| Motor Repairs | 692952 | 647103 | 286181 | - | - |
| Machine Repairs | 6254485 | 7034994 | 6028380 | 10062590 | 8782784 |
| Building Repairs | 473381 | 881966 | 443673 | 1061339 | 1399944 |
| Other Repairs | 330004 | 329970 | 300931 | 402380 | 391896 |
| Insurance | 749429 | 241526 | 438078 | 524000 | 360225 |
| Stationery \& Printing | 178911 | 199819 | 218769 | 223555 | 322790 |
| Tax and Charges | 42751 | 80969 | 131015 | 230714 | 2269 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods | 93312 | 85835 | 110377 | 158174 | 152095 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone | 22212 | 19062 | 17709 | 15337 | 19412 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 18766338 | 1549559 | 3268485 | - | - |
| Powder Transportation Exp. | 329498 | 629793 | 462994 | 13938 | - |
| Bank commission Charges | 27897 | 27330 | 24930 | 33973 | 46717 |
| Funeral Expenses | - | 5000 | - | - | - |
| Rebate, Discount, | - | - | 13035340 | - | - |
| Adjustment | $\mathbf{7 , 4 4 , 8 4 , 4 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 0 , 8 2 , 9 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 8 , 8 4 , 2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 3 , 7 7 , 0 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 6 , 5 0 , 5 5 4}$ |
| Total | $(2401285)$ | 10777134 | 1372874 | - | 110556 |
| Add/Less: Additional/ <br> Excess Gratuity Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Total | $\mathbf{7 , 2 0 , 8 3 , 1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 7 8 , 6 0 , 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 1 2 , 5 7 , 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 3 , 7 7 , 0 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 7 , 6 1 , 1 1 0}$ |

Source: Extracted from Appendix-1, 3 to 6

In table 4.14, Fixed Processing expenses decreased to Rs 67860087 in F/Y 2060/61 from Rs 72083119 in F/Y 2059/60 (i.e. Rs -4223032 or $-5.85 \%$ ) and then increased to Rs 71257110 in F/Y 2061/62 from Rs 67860087 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs 3397023 or 5.0\%) and decreased to Rs 63377098 in F/Y 2062/63 from Rs 71257110 in F/Y 2061/62 (i.e. Rs 7880018 or $11.05 \%$ ) and finally fallen to Rs 61761110 in F/Y 2063/64 from Rs 63377092 in F/Y 2062/63 (i.e. Rs -1615982 or 2.55\%)

Table 4.15

## Fixed Selling Expenses

| Selling Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries | 12095550 | 12007907 | 11663447 | 13775715 | 14279916 |
| Provident Fund | 736849 | 717090 | 683578 | 778817 | 818059 |
| House and Land Rent | 331081 | 293735 | 256452 | 341535 | 366635 |
| Stationery \& Printing | 166569 | 186297 | 211424 | 229108 | 290316 |
| Water \& Electricity | 46695 | 46148 | 45046 | 70638 | 90130 |
| Motor Repairs | 1635289 | 1402485 | 1280164 | 1802613 | 2225506 |
| Building Repairs | 2187 | 326480 | 2870 | 41609 | 25673 |
| Other Repairs | 7325 | 9282 | 15170 | 42881 | 39047 |
| Milk Transportation Expenses | 10391650 | 11675163 | 10479724 | 11357473 | 11622936 |
| Business Promotion Expenses | 121882 | 43205 | 81928 | 116235 | 188802 |
| Insurance | 231744 | 134531 | 171524 | 68747 | 102376 |
| Tax and Charges | 210767 | 234672 | 324858 | 279461 | 439320 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods | 47513 | 78710 | 44992 | 63182 | 75057 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 1907128 | 240155 | 2269411 | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 7 9 , 3 2 , 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 3 , 9 5 , 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 , 3 0 , 5 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 , 6 8 , 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 5 , 6 3 , 7 7 3}$ |
| Add/Less: Additional/ Excess | $(243855)$ | 1669967 | 953030 | - | - |
| Gratuity Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Total | $\mathbf{2 , 7 6 , 8 8 , 3 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 0 , 6 5 , 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 4 , 8 3 , 6 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 , 6 8 , 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 5 , 6 3 , 7 7 3}$ |

Source: Extracted from Appendix-1, 3 to 6

In table: 4.15, Fixed Selling expenses increased to Rs 29065927 in F/Y 2060/61 from Rs 27688374 in F/Y 2059/60 (i.e. Rs 1377553 or $4.97 \%$ ) and then decreased to Rs 28483618 in F/Y 2061/62 from Rs 29065927 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs -582309 or -2.0\%) and again increased to Rs 28968014 in F/Y 2062/63 from Rs 28483618 in F/Y 2061/62 (i.e. Rs 484396 or 1.7\%) and finally reached to Rs 30563773 in F/Y 2063/64 from Rs 28968014 in F/Y 2062/63 (i.e. Rs 1595759 or 5.5\%).

Table 4.16

## Fixed Administration Expenses

| Administration Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries | 29013593 | 27120786 | 25427879 | 33329987 | 30936837 |
| Provident Fund | 1812310 | 1733783 | 1718294 | 2024968 | 2022504 |
| House and Land Rent | 103900 | 84000 | 84000 | 96000 | 96000 |
| Water \& Electricity | 6216 | 7372 | 6429 | 6062 | 7159 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone | 363170 | 351224 | 308013 | 369753 | 454055 |
| Stationery \& Printing | 686809 | 756055 | 794095 | 761932 | 904567 |
| Motor Repairs | 783751 | 677801 | 964732 | 560368 | 755793 |
| Building Repairs | 428422 | 174236 | 149215 | 198764 | 209918 |
| Other Repairs | 133861 | 134111 | 131801 | 129207 | 184166 |
| Office Equipment Repairs | 146049 | 123564 | 166892 | 229207 | 434024 |
| Employees Welfare Exp. | 99079 | 64514 | 98501 | 126106 | 94899 |
| Employees Training Exp | 230011 | 510195 | 474731 | 614835 | 1989950 |
| BOD Meeting Fees | 67666 | 109900 | 163100 | 131600 | 158000 |
| Auditor's fees | 90000 | 82462 | 180000 | 99000 | 364535 |
| Recruitment Cost | 5936 | 14728 | 28724 | 89250 | 629365 |
| Sub-Committee Cost | 312312 | 282000 | 396250 | 619175 | 526500 |
| Advisory Cost | 102450 | 183200 | 180768 | 449635 | 207054 |
| Advertisement | 1243021 | 1478774 | 1880644 | 1852142 | 3535119 |
| Bank Commission Charges | 75673 | 65482 | 87901 | 65078 | 72026 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods | 185804 | 146811 | 276866 | 240945 | 318981 |
| Newspaper \& Magazines | 140850 | 145877 | 117329 | 122814 | 149260 |
| Tax and Charges | 159654 | 803020 | 683718 | 506384 | 1913606 |
| Sanitation Expenses | 280540 | 276613 | 283354 | 335806 | 436020 |
| Insurance | 8981124 | 8740206 | 8551673 | 9158078 | 10850535 |
| Membership Charges | 6400 | 10000 | 28460 | 37977 | 31353 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 17393165 | 470036 | - | 5091128 | 3184627 |
| Annual Day Expenses | 177128 | 149843 | 277083 | 243661 | 263317 |
| Business Promotion Expenses | 300539 | 932445 | 1204029 | 871916 | 943830 |
| Bus Fair | 1400916 | 1324520 | 1170264 | 789208 | 835982 |
| Funeral Expenses | 10000 | 5000 | - | - | - |
| Legal Expenses | 21893 | - | 111188 | 17500 | - |
| Meeting Expenses | 75091 | 75671 | 10020643 | 114712 | 118825 |
| Software Expenses | 89100 | 318860 | 80000 | 261557 | 119050 |
| Emergency Expenses | - | 104678 | 165520 | 318891 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Seminar Expenses | - | - | - | 130556 | 712921 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | 10063 | 84412 | 152592 | 26577 | 34535 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 5 3 0 6 4 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 4 2 1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 3 8 4 6 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0 2 1 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 4 9 5 3 1 3}$ |
| Add/Less: Additional/ Excess <br> Gratuity Expenses | $(2226113)$ | 3270134 | - | 53753235 | 16147742 |
| Net Total | $\mathbf{6 3 0 8 0 3 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 8 1 2 3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 3 8 4 6 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 7 7 4 2 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 6 4 3 0 5 5}$ |

Source: Extracted from Appendix-1, 3 to 6

In table: 4.16, Fixed Administration expenses decreased from Rs 63080383 in F/Y 2059/60 to Rs 50812313 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs 12268070 or $-19.45 \%$ ) and then, increased to Rs 56384688 in F/Y 2061/62 from Rs 50812313 in F/Y 2060/61 (i.e. Rs 5572375 or $10.97 \%$ ) and dramatically increased to Rs 113774243 in F/Y 2062/63 from Rs 56384688 in F/Y 2061/62 (i.e. Rs 57389555 or $101.78 \%$ ) and finally decreased to Rs 79643055 in F/Y 2063/64 from Rs 113774243 in F/Y 2062/63 (i.e. Rs -34131188 or $30 \%)$.

Fixed Administration Expenses increased more than 100\% in the year 2062/63 from Rs 56384688 to Rs 113774243 because of the additional gratuity expenses.

Variation in fixed costs are due to the different level of outputs, changes in number of products produced, change in its price rate, behavior of employees, proportion of distribution costs, etc.

### 4.2.3 Semi-Variable Expenses of DDC

Semi-Variable costs are those cost that are neither fixed nor variable because they possess some characteristics of both. As output changes, semi-variable expenses change in the same direction but not in the same proportion to the changes in output.

The variability of semi-variable expenses is caused by the combined effect of:
a. Passage of Time.
b. Activity or Output.
c. Discretionary Management Decision.

Semi-variable expenses frequently represent a significant portion of company expenses. As the DDC is not practicing CVP analysis, they didn't made separation of the cost into fixed and variable. While considering the situation of the DDC, Degree of Variability method seems to be the appropriate method to separate semi-variable cost into fixed and variable.

Separations of semi-variable cost are made according to degree of variability method. The determinations of degree of variability (popularly $30 \%: 70 \%$ proportion) are done with considering the view of account department personnel, nature of expenses and own intuition judgment.

The use of other methods to differentiate fixed and variable from semi-variable cost seems almost impossible as the DDC is not practicing CVP analysis and its market spread over among different districts and wide varieties of products.

All the semi-variable cost is distributed to fixed cost and variable cost and these costs are presented in their respective fixed cost and variable cost.

Like, all the semi-variable cost of collection expenses are separated into fixed and variable cost and included in fixed collection expenses and variable collection expenses. Likewise, all the other sectors expenses are allocated in their respective expenses.

And the basis of separating the different cost into fixed and variable is done on the basis as shown in the collection, processing, selling and administration expenses of DDC in table above (Table: 4.5 to 4.8).

The following table shows the difference of semi-variable cost in different departments.

Table 4.17
Separation of Semi-Variable Cost of Collection Expenses into Fixed and Variable Cost
(F/Y 2059/60 \& 2060/61)
(In Rs.)

| Collection Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable |
| Porter's wage (7:3) | 12651 | 8856 | 3795 | 3391 | 2374 |  |
| Water \& Electricity (3:7) | 6717157 | 2015147 | 4702010 | 6400819 | 1920246 | 4480573 |
| Machine Repairs (7:3) | 1436935 | 1005855 | 431080 | 1650316 | 1155221 | 495095 |
| Building Repairs (7:3) | 304716 | 213301 | 91415 | 215080 | 150556 | 64524 |
| Motor Repairs (7:3) | 10956777 | 7669744 | 3287033 | 10184124 | 7128887 | 3055237 |
| Other Repairs (7:3) | 149173 | 104421 | 44752 | 157604 | 110323 | 47281 |
| Stationery \& Printing (7:3) | 301963 | 211374 | 90589 | 302899 | 212029 | 90870 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone (3:7) | 162617 | 48785 | 113832 | 157576 | 47273 | 110303 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods (7:3) | 102018 | 71413 | 30605 | 91371 | 63960 | 27411 |
| Prize to Farmers (7:3) | 70645 | 49452 | 21193 | 77358 | 54151 | 23207 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 4 6 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 9 8 3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 1 6 3 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 4 0 5 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 4 5 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 9 5 5 1 8}$ |

Table 4.18
Separation of Semi-Variable Cost of Collection Expenses into Fixed and Variable Cost (F/Y 2061/62-2063/64)
(In Rs.)

| Collection Expenses | 2061/62 |  |  | 2062/63 |  |  | 2063/64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable |
| Porter's wage (7:3) | 3596 | 2517 | 1079 | 500 | 350 | 150 | - | - | - |
| Water \& Electricity (3:7) | 5706223 | 1711867 | 3994356 | 5464534 | 2216225 | 949811 | 3233276 | 2263293 | 969983 |
| Machine Repairs (7:3) | 2375593 | 1662915 | 712678 | 3166036 | 2216225 | 949811 | 3233276 | 2263293 | 969983 |
| Building Repairs (7:3) | 557171 | 390020 | 167151 | 476941 | 324859 | 143082 | 677663 | 474364 | 203299 |
| Motor Repairs (7:3) | 10092905 | 7065033 | 3027872 | 10654803 | 7458362 | 3196441 | 11985017 | 8389512 | 3595505 |
| Other Repairs (7:3) | 145181 | 101627 | 43554 | 169905 | 118933 | 50972 | 214715 | 150300 | 64415 |
| Stationery \& Printing (7:3) | 332315 | 232620 | 99695 | 320773 | 22454 | 96232 | 428763 | 300134 | 128629 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone (3:7) | 156435 | 46930 | 109505 | 146937 | 44081 | 102856 | 184858 | 55457 | 129401 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods (7:3) | 101247 | 70873 | 30374 | 150639 | 105447 | 45192 | 171097 | 119768 | 51329 |
| Prize to Farmers (7:3) | 78446 | 54912 | 23534 | 67852 | 47496 | 20356 | - | - | - |
| Total | 19549112 | 11339314 | 8209798 | 20618920 | 11977573 | 8430280 | 22587870 | 13460572 | 9127298 |

Table 4.19
Separation of Semi-Variable Cost of Processing Expenses into Fixed and Variable Cost
(F/Y 2059/60 \& 2060/61)
(In Rs.)

| Processing Expenses |  | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ |  |  |  | 2060/61 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable |
| Butter, Cheese Transportation Exp. (7:3) | 587095 | 410966 | 176129 | 927164 | 649015 | 278149 |
| Powder Transportation Expenses (7:3) | 470711 | 329498 | 141213 | 899705 | 629793 | 269912 |
| Water \& Electricity (3:7) | 31077099 | 9323130 | 21753969 | 29685054 | 8905516 | 20779538 |
| Motor Repairs (7:3) | 989931 | 692952 | 296979 | 924433 | 647103 | 277330 |
| Machine Repairs (7:3) | 8934978 | 6254485 | 2680493 | 10049992 | 7034994 | 3014998 |
| Building Repairs (7:3) | 676258 | 473381 | 202877 | 1259951 | 881966 | 377985 |
| Other Repairs (7:3) | 471434 | 330004 | 141430 | 471386 | 329970 | 141416 |
| Stationery \& Printing (7:3) | 255587 | 178911 | 76676 | 285456 | 199819 | 85637 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods (7:3) | 133303 | 93312 | 39991 | 122621 | 85835 | 36786 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone (3:7) | 74039 | 22212 | 51827 | 63540 | 19062 | 44478 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 3 6 7 0 4 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1 0 8 8 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5 6 1 5 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 6 8 9 3 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 8 3 0 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3 0 6 2 2 9}$ |

Table 4.20
Separation of Semi-Variable Cost of Processing Expenses into Fixed and Variable Cost (F/Y 2061/62-2063/64)
(In Rs.)

| Processing Expenses | 2061/62 |  |  | 2062/63 |  |  | 2063/64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable |
| Butter, Cheese <br> Transportation <br> Exp. (7:3) | 1582724 | 1107907 | 474817 | 1552422 | 1086695 | 465727 | 1661348 | 1162944 | 498404 |
| Powder <br> Transportation <br> Expenses (7:3) | 661420 | 462994 | 198426 | 19912 | 13938 | 5974 | - | - | - |
|  <br> Electricity (3:7) | $\begin{gathered} 2789906 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8369719 | 19529343 | 25957881 | 7787364 | 18170517 | 25644284 | 7693285 | 17950999 |
| Motor Repairs (7:3) | 408830 | 286181 | 122649 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Machine Repairs (7:3) | 8611971 | 6028380 | 2583591 | 14375128 | 10062590 | 4312538 | 12546835 | 8782784 | 3764051 |
| Building Repairs (7:3) | 633819 | 443673 | 190146 | 1516199 | 1061339 | 454860 | 1999920 | 1399944 | 599976 |
| Other Repairs (7:3) | 429902 | 300931 | 128971 | 574829 | 402380 | 172449 | 559852 | 391896 | 167956 |
|  <br> Printing (7:3) | 312527 | 218769 | 93758 | 319365 | 223555 | 95810 | 461128 | 322790 | 138338 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods (7:3) | 157681 | 110377 | 47304 | 225963 | 158174 | 67789 | 217278 | 152095 | 65183 |
| Ticket, Wire, <br> Telephone (3:7) | 59029 | 17709 | 41320 | 51123 | 15337 | 35786 | 64708 | 19412 | 45296 |
| Total | 40756965 | 17346640 | 23410325 | 44592822 | 20811372 | 23781450 | 43155353 | 19925150 | 23230203 |

Table 4.21
Separation of Semi-Variable Cost of Selling Expenses into Fixed and Variable Cost
(F/Y 2059/60 \& 2060/61)
(In Rs.)

| Selling Expenses |  | 2059/60 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable |  |
| Stationery \& Printing (7:3) | 237956 | 166569 | 71387 | 266138 | 186297 | 79841 |  |
| Water \& Electricity (3:7) | 155649 | 46695 | 108954 | 153826 | 46148 | 107678 |  |
| Motor Repairs (7:3) | 2336127 | 1635289 | 700838 | 2003693 | 1402585 | 601108 |  |
| Building Repairs (7:3) | 3125 | 2187 | 938 | 466400 | 326480 | 139920 |  |
| Other Repairs (7:3) | 10464 | 7325 | 3139 | 13260 | 9282 | 3978 |  |
| Milk Transportation Expenses (7:3) | 14845215 | 10391650 | 4453565 | 16678805 | 11675163 | 5003642 |  |
| Business Promotion Expenses (7:3) | 17417 | 121882 | 52235 | 61764 | 43205 | 18529 |  |
| Non-Durable Office Goods (7:3) | 67876 | 47513 | 20363 | 112443 | 78710 | 33733 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 8 3 0 5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 1 9 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 1 1 4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 5 6 3 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 6 7 8 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 8 8 4 2 9}$ |  |

Table 4.22
Separation of Semi-Variable Cost of Selling Expenses into Fixed and Variable Cost (F/Y 2061/62-2063/64)
(In Rs.)

| Selling Expenses | 2061/62 |  |  | 2062/63 |  |  | 2063/64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable |
| Stationery \& Printing (7:3) | 302034 | 211424 | 90610 | 327297 | 229108 | 89189 | 414737 | 290316 | 124421 |
| Water \& Electricity (3:7) | 15153 | 45046 | 105107 | 235460 | 70638 | 164823 | 300433 | 90130 | 210303 |
| Motor Repairs (7:3) | 1828806 | 1280164 | 548642 | 2575161 | 1802613 | 772548 | 3179295 | 2225506 | 953789 |
| Building Repairs (7:3) | 4100 | 2870 | 1230 | 59441 | 41609 | 17832 | 36676 | 25673 | 11003 |
| Other Repairs (7:3) | 21671 | 15170 | 6501 | 61259 | 42881 | 18378 | 55782 | 39047 | 16735 |
| Milk Transportation Expenses (7:3) | 14971035 | 10479724 | 4491331 | 16224961 | 11357473 | 4867488 | 16604195 | 11622936 | 4981259 |
| Business Promotion Expenses (7:3) | 117040 | 81928 | 35112 | 166050 | 116235 | 49815 | 269717 | 188802 | 80915 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods (7:3) | 64274 | 44992 | 19282 | 90260 | 63182 | 27078 | 107224 | 75057 | 23167 |
| Total | 17459113 | 12161318 | 5297795 | 19739890 | 13723739 | 6016151 | 20968059 | 14557467 | 6401592 |

Table 4.23
Separation of Semi-Variable Cost of Administration Expenses
Into Fixed and Variable Cost (F/Y 2059/60 \& 2060/61)
(In Rs.)

| Administration Expenses |  | 2059/60 |  |  | 2060/61 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable |  |
| Water \& Electricity (3:7) | 20719 | 6216 | 14503 | 24572 | 7372 | 17200 |  |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone (3:7) | 1210568 | 363170 | 847398 | 1170747 | 351224 | 819523 |  |
| Stationery \& Printing (7:3) | 981156 | 686809 | 294347 | 1080079 | 756055 | 324024 |  |
| Motor Repairs (7:3) | 1119645 | 783751 | 335894 | 968287 | 677801 | 290486 |  |
| Building Repairs (7:3) | 612031 | 428422 | 183609 | 248908 | 174236 | 74672 |  |
| Other Repairs (7:3) | 191230 | 133861 | 57369 | 191587 | 134111 | 57476 |  |
| DOB Fees (7:3) | 96666 | 67666 | 29000 | 157000 | 109900 | 471008480 |  |
| Recruitment Fees (7:3) | 8480 | 5936 | 2544 | 21040 | 14728 | 6312 |  |
| Non-Durable Office Goods (7:3) | 265435 | 185804 | 79631 | 209730 | 146811 | 62919 |  |
| Annual Day Expenses (3:7) | 590427 | 177128 | 413299 | 499476 | 149843 | 349633 |  |
| Business Promotion Expenses (7:3) | 429341 | 300539 | 128802 | 1332064 | 932445 | 399619 |  |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment (3:7) | 33542 | 10063 | 23479 | 281372 | 84412 | 196960 |  |
| Meeting Fees (7:3) | 121558 | 85091 | 36467 | 108101 | 75671 | 32430 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6 8 0 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 3 4 4 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4 6 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 9 2 9 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1 4 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 7 8 3 5 4}$ |  |

Table 4.24
Separation of Semi-Variable Cost of Administration Expenses
Into Fixed and Variable Cost (F/Y 2061/62 - 2063/64)
(In Rs.)

| Administration Expenses | 2061/62 |  |  | 2062/63 |  |  | 2063/64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable | Total | Fixed | Variable |
| Water \& Electricity (3:7) | 21430 | 6429 | 15001 | 20208 | 6062 | 14146 | 237159 | 7159 | 16703 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone (3:7) | 1026710 | 308013 | 718697 | 1232510 | 369753 | 862757 | 1513516 | 454055 | 1059461 |
| Stationery \& Printing (7:3) | 1134421 | 794095 | 340326 | 1088475 | 761932 | 326543 | 1292239 | 904567 | 387672 |
| Motor Repairs (7:3) | 1378188 | 964732 | 413456 | 800526 | 560368 | 240158 | 1079704 | 755793 | 323911 |
| Building Repairs (7:3) | 213165 | 149215 | 63950 | 283949 | 198764 | 85185 | 299883 | 209918 | 89965 |
| Other Repairs (7:3) | 188287 | 131801 | 56486 | 184909 | 128436 | 55473 | 263094 | 184166 | 78928 |
| BOD Fees (7:3) | 233000 | 163100 | 69900 | 188000 | 131600 | 56400 | 227000 | 158000 | 68100 |
| Recruitment Fees (7:3) | 41035 | 28724 | 12311 | 127500 | 89250 | 38250 | 899093 | 629365 | 269728 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods (7:3) | 395523 | 276866 | 118657 | 344207 | 240945 | 103262 | 455687 | 318981 | 136706 |
| Annual Day Expenses (3:7) | 923610 | 277083 | 646527 | 812204 | 243661 | 568543 | 877722 | 263317 | 614405 |
| Business Promotion Expenses (7:3) | 1720041 | 1204029 | 516012 | 1245594 | 871916 | 373678 | 1348328 | 943830 | 404498 |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment (3:7) | 508639 | 152592 | 356012 | 88589 | 26577 | 62012 | 115118 | 34535 | 80583 |
| Meeting Fees (7:3) | 14315204 | 10020643 | 4294561 | 163875 | 114712 | 49163 | 169750 | 118825 | 50925 |
| Total | 22099253 | 14477322 | 7621931 | 6580546 | 3744976 | 2835570 | 8564996 | 4982511 | 3581585 |

### 4.3 Difference of Gratuity Expenses

The difference in the gratuity expenses stated in the profit and loss A/C of DDC are distributed to the different sectors according to the percentage of gratuity expenses incurred in their respective sectors.

Table 4.25

## Distribution of Difference in Gratuity Expenses To Different Cost Structure

(In Rs.)

| Gratuity expenses in Years | Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Collection | Processing | Selling | Administration |
| F/Y 2059/60 | 41609173 | 3542542 | 18766338 | 1907128 | 17393165 |
| Percentage (\%) | $100 \%$ | 8.51 | 45.10 | 4.58 | 41.81 |
| Less: Excess Gratuity Expenses | $(5324356)$ | $(453103)$ | $(2401285)$ | $(243855)$ | $(2226113)$ |
| F/Y 2060/61 | 2508874 | 249124 | 1549559 | 240155 | 470036 |
| Percentage (\%) | $100 \%$ | 9.93 | 61.76 | 9.57 | 18.74 |
| Add: Additional Gratuity Expenses | 17450023 | 1732787 | 10777134 | 1669967 | 3270134 |
| F/Y 2061/62 | 8407064 | 2869168 | 3268485 | 2269411 | - |
| Percentage (\%) | $100 \%$ | 34.13 | 38.88 | 26.99 | - |
| Add: Additional Gratuity Expenses | 3531055 | 1205149 | 1372874 | 953032 | - |
| F/Y 2062/63 | 5091128 | - | - | - | 5091128 |
| Percentage (\%) | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | 100 |
| Add: Additional Gratuity Expenses | 53753235 | - | - | - | 53753235 |
| F/Y 2063/64 | 3206510 | - | 21883 | - | 3184627 |
| Percentage (\%) | $100 \%$ | - | 0.68 | - | 99.32 |
| Add: Additional Gratuity Expenses | 16258298 | - | 110556 | - | 16147742 |

Source: Extracted from Appendix-1

Gratuity expenses are allocated to according to above table in their appropriate fixed collection expenses, fixed processing expenses, fixed selling expenses and fixed administration expenses.

### 4.4 Sundry Incomes of DDC

Sundry incomes of DDC constitutes the following incomes generated by the DDC in there respective years. It constitutes interest received from investment, interest from bank, goods auctioned, fines and deposit forfeiture and other incomes.

Table 4.26

## The Detailed Sundry Incomes From 2059/60 to 2063/64

(In Rs.)

| Particulars | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Income | 7415693 | 6384266 | 3894226 | 4146607 | 6149506 |
| Interest on Investment | 1525617 | 396477 | 2577434 | 1449953 | 1587706 |
| Tender Forms Sales | 451980 | 414805 | 526365 | 368798 | 376356 |
| Goods Auctioned | 249970 | 1015624 | 530132 | 1420644 | 4051 |
| Fines and Deposit Forfeited | 207308 | 322869 | 423800 | 2478217 | 182078 |
| Other Incomes | 2856766 | 2132355 | 3393398 | 3978633 | 18690 |
| Reduced Transportation Cost | 843251 | 874340 | 1298421 | 1032087 | 4121922 |
| Sales of Skimmed Milk | - | 5000 | 1372698 | 1209017 | 22454 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 5 5 0 5 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 4 5 7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 4 1 3 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 3 9 0 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 6 2 7 6 3}$ |
| Increase/Decrease (\%) | 21.99 | $(14.79)$ | 13.82 | 28.90 | $(26.43)$ |

Source: DDC Annual Report F/Y 2059/60-2063/64

### 4.5 Inventory Consideration of DDC

Almost never are the volume of production and that of sales the same for any given period of a company's activity. Either sales will exceed production, or vice versa. The term inventory includes the stock in hand of raw materials, work-in-progress, finished products, etc. The main reason for holding inventories by the company is to supply goods regularly without delays and continue their work effectively and efficiently. In general, investment in inventory is considered to be burden of cost. So, investment in inventory is necessary only up to certain limit, beyond which, it is unnecessary and extra burden of cost.

Sales, Production and Inventory are interrelated with each other. Finished Goods inventory brings the gap between the production and sales.

If the sales exceed production, then inventory covers the deficit and if production exceeds sales, then the overproduction is stocked as inventory.

Table 4.27
Detailed of Inventory Balance From 2059/60 to 2063/64
(In Rs.)

| Particular | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk | 6308120 | 6734193 | 6837732 | 6606228 | 6713381 |
| Butter | 12892883 | 21162973 | 17420379 | 39263929 | 23157732 |
| Cheese | 6293952 | 5263599 | 7975324 | 10065015 | 10996557 |
| Ghee | 2568609 | 3227548 | 5289455 | 9753385 | 5866524 |
| Curd | 217982 | 258221 | 331799 | 362653 | 406837 |
| Ice-Cream | 179754 | 457404 | 294017 | 26220 | 574479 |
| Cream | 314917 | 173719 | 281036 | 281292 | 453036 |
| Paneer | 56330 | 71242 | 81311 | 116980 | 163124 |
| Skimmed Milk Powder | 35951066 | 7640827 | 2521940 | 31598208 | 42484341 |
| Rasbari | 11164 | 14747 | 20600 | 34883 | 108241 |
| Lassi | 40 | 358 | - | - | 885 |
| Peda | - | 119299 | 40627 | 49079 | 115502 |
| Lalmohan | - | 38115 | 24410 | 26208 | 153954 |
| Fresh Milk | - | 26062 | 14276 | 29018 | 92045 |
| Mohi | - | 162 | 11930 | 10703 | 8229 |
| Balushahi | - | - | 5299 | - | - |
| Ledikeni | - | - | 6566 | 4023 | - |
| Khuwa | - | - | 25695 | 12487 | - |
| Gudpaak | - | - | 1593 | 3473 | 875 |
| Choco-Bar | - | - | - | 4989 | - |
| Total Closing Inventory | 64731817 | 45188469 | 41183989 | 98248773 | 91296744 |
| Less: Opening Inventory | 38870266 | 64731817 | 45188469 | 57064784 | 6952029 |
| Increase/Decrease in Inventory | 25861551 | $(19543348)$ | $(4004480)$ | 57064784 | $(6952029)$ |

Source: DDC Annual Report F/Y 2059/60-2063/64

The above table presents the inventory level of different products in different years. The overall increases in inventory from F/Y 2059/60 are Rs 25861551 or $66.53 \%$ and then decrease to Rs 19543348 or $-30.19 \%$ in F/Y 2060/61 then to Rs 4004480 or $-8.86 \%$ and then increased to Rs 57064784 or $138.56 \%$ and finally decrease to Rs 6952029 or $7.07 \%$.

It seems like there was no inventory policy by the DDC. There a wide fluctuation in the inventory level of DDC.

### 4.6 Capacity Utilization of DDC

Capacity utilization is of the factor to improve the financial performance of any organization. Large sum of money is being spent and invested in the acquired fixed assets. So, proper utilization of the fixed assets is possible with efficient utilization of the fixed assets.

Under utilization increases the cost of productions and over utilization of capacity reduces the life o the plant and machinery.

DDC has a total production capacity of 25000 liters holding capacity and per shift production capacity (in 5 hrs ) of DDC is of Follows:

Table 4.28
Capacity Utilization of DDC

| S. No. | Place | Production <br> Capacity/Hours | Holding <br> Capacity/Hours | Per shift Production <br> Capacity (5 hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Kathmandu | 15000 | 135000 | 75000 |
| 2. | Hetauda | 3000 | 60000 | 15000 |
| 4. | Biratnagar | 5000 | 90000 | 15000 |
| 4. | Pokhara | 2000 | 21000 | 10000 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 5 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 0 0 0}$ |

In the case of skimmed milk plant, it has a capacity of maximum of 40000 Liters of milk processed per shift and can produce 3 metric tones of milk powder.

Table 4.29

## Capacity Utilization of DDC

| Fiscal Year | Production (in Lakhs) | Capacity Utilization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | 678.34 | $148.68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | 708.74 | $155.34 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | 726.78 | $159.29 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | 763.44 | $167.33 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 3} / \mathbf{6 4}$ | 767.43 | $168.20 \%$ |

Capacity Utilization $=\frac{\text { Production } \times 10 u}{\text { Capacity }(456.25)}$

The above table provides the information that DDC has been over utilizing the capacity of the fixed assets. Over utilization of the machines reduces its life and also increases the cost of repairs and maintenance for the machines. Moreover, there is a great chance of breakdown of machine that will result in not meeting the demand of the customers which leads to loss of loyal customers. So, DDC should install more machines according to the need of the demands.

### 4.7 CVP Analysis of DDC

CVP enables to study the effect of business activities on the expenses. Understanding of the aforementioned relationship plays a considerable role in correct prospective business planning and budgeting. CVP analysis helps managers to see in advance the effect of different strategies and decisions on business activities. It is an analytical technique used to study the behavior of profit in response to the changes in volume, cost and prices. It is a device used to determine the usefulness of the profit planning process of the firm. In fact, the entire field of profit planning has become associated with the CVP interrelationships. CVP analysis helps to determine the minimum sales volume to avoid losses and the sales volume at which the profit goal of the firm will be achieved. As an ultimate objective, it helps management in seeking the most profitable cost and volume. A dynamic management, therefore, use CVP analysis to predict and evaluate the implications of its short-run decisions about fixed cost, variable cost, volume and selling price for its profit plans on a continuous basis. CVP analysis is away to quickly a number of important questions about the profitability of a company's products or services. CVP analysis can be used with either a product or service.

DDC hasn't been practicing CVP analysis. So, while analyzing CVP analysis, constant care has been taken to differentiate the various cost into fixed and variables. Here, we find out the different important ratios to dig out the reasons for the losses of DDC. Under this headings,

1. Contribution Margin.
2. $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{V}$ Ratio or $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{M}$ ratio.
3. BEP with four assumptions:
A. Omit inventory change and include other sundry incomes.
B. Omit both inventory change and other sundry incomes.
C. Include inventory change but omit other sundry incomes.
D. Include both inventory change and other sundry incomes.
4. Margin of safety with four different BEP, etc. are analyze here.

The below table: 4.30 , table: 4.31 and 4.32 are the income statement for the CVP analysis of DDC from F/Y 2059/60 to F/Y 2063/64.

Table 4.30
Income Statement for CVP Analysis
F/Y 2059/60
(In Rs.)

| Particular | Total | Fixed Cost | Variable Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | 1595906712 |  |  |
| Less: Manufacturing cost : |  |  |  |
| Collection Exp. | 1198028762 | 42106313 | 1155922449 |
| Processing Exp. | 268911533 | 72083119 | 196828414 |
| Total manufacturing expenses | 1466940295 | 114189432 | 1352750863 |
| Percentage (\%) | $100 \%$ | $7.78 \%$ | $92.22 \%$ |
| Add/Less: Decrease/Increase in Inventory | $(25861551)$ | $(2012029)$ | $(23849522)$ |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 1441078744 | 112177403 | 1328901341 |
| Gross Margin | 154827968 |  |  |
| Less: Selling and Administration Expenses: |  |  |  |
| Selling Expenses | 40661310 | 27688374 | 12972936 |
| Administration Expenses |  | 77772740 | 63080383 |
| Depreciation | 29428739 | 29428739 |  |
| Interest on Loan | 11583888 | 11583888 |  |
| Total Selling and Administration Expenses | 159446677 | 131781384 | 27665293 |
| Operating Profit/Loss | $(4618709)$ |  |  |
| Add: Non-operating Sundry Income | 13550585 |  |  |
| Net Income | 8931876 |  |  |
| TFC \& TVC excluding Inventory Change |  | 245970816 | 1380416156 |

Table 4.31

## Income Statement for CVP analysis

## F/Y 2060/61 \& 2061/62

(In Rs.)

| Particular | F/Y 2060/61 |  |  | F/Y 2061/62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed Cost | Variable Cost | Total | Fixed Cost | Variable Cost |
| Sales | 1535810462 |  |  | 1589663476 |  |  |
| Less: Manufacturing Cost : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collection Exp. | 1129355530 | 39217485 | 1090138045 | 1133124279 | 42335828 | 1090788451 |
| Processing Exp. | 244622175 | 67860087 | 176762088 | 347698217 | 71257110 | 276441107 |
| Total manufacturing expenses | 1373977705 | 107077572 | 1266900133 | 1480822496 | 113592938 | 1367229558 |
| Percentage (\%) | 100 | 7.79 | 92.21 | 100 | 7.67 | 92.33 |
| Add/Less: Decrease/Increase in Inventory | 19543348 | 1522427 | 18020921 | 4004480 | 307144 | 3697336 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 1393521053 | 108599999 | 1284921054 | 1484826976 | 113900082 | 1370926894 |
| Gross Margin | 142289409 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less: Selling and Administration Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selling Expenses | 40894085 | 29065927 | 11828158 | 42046469 | 28483618 | 13562851 |
| Administration Expenses | 64401179 | 50812313 | 13588866 | 76579605 | 56384688 | 20194917 |
| Depreciation | 29993612 | 29993612 |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on Loan | 4319401 | 4319401 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Selling and Administration Expenses | 139608277 | 114191253 | 25417024 | 152554486 | 118796718 | 33757768 |
| Operating Profit/Loss | 2681132 |  |  | (47717986) |  |  |
| Add: Non-operating Sundry Income | 11545735 |  |  | 13141374 |  |  |
| Net Income | 14226867 |  |  | (34576612) |  |  |
| TFC \& TVC excluding Inventory Change |  | 221268825 | 1292317157 |  | 232389656 | 1400987326 |

Table 4.32

## Income Statement for CVP analysis

## F/Y 2062/63 \& 2063/64

(In Rs.)

| Particular | F/Y 2062/63 |  |  | F/Y 2063/64 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Fixed Cost | Variable Cost | Total | Fixed Cost | Variable Cost |
| Sales | 153640564 |  |  | 1680353680 |  |  |
| Less: Manufacturing cost : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collection Exp. | 1144699429 | 42412214 | 1102287215 | 1209510351 | 43341975 | 1166168376 |
| Processing Exp. | 284071570 | 63377092 | 220694478 | 281331756 | 61761110 | 219571646 |
| Total manufacturing expenses | 1428770999 | 105789306 | 1322981693 | 1490843107 | 105103085 | 1385740022 |
| Percentage (\%) | $100 \%$ | $7.41 \%$ | $92.59 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $7.05 \%$ | $92.95 \%$ |
| Add/Less: Decrease/Increase in Inventory | $(57064784)$ | $(4228500)$ | $(52836284)$ | 6952029 | 490118 | 6461911 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 1371706215 | 101560806 | 1270145409 | 1497795136 | 105593203 | 1392201933 |
| Gross Margin | 164634349 |  |  | 182558544 |  |  |
| Less: Selling and Administration Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selling Expenses | 43581442 | 29868014 | 13713428 | 46437352 | 30563773 | 15873579 |
| Administration Expenses | 127283184 | 113774243 | 13508941 | 96355974 | 79643055 | 16712919 |
| Depreciation | 31778505 | 31778505 |  | 34209864 | 34209864 |  |
| Interest on Loan | 4663760 | 4663760 |  | 3614719 | 3614719 | 3414719 |
| Total Selling and Administration Expenses | 207306891 | 180084522 | 17222369 | 180617909 | 148031411 | 32586498 |
| Operating Profit/Loss | $(42672542)$ |  |  | 1940635 |  |  |
| Add: Non-operating Sundry Income | 16939056 |  |  | 12462763 |  |  |
| Net Income | $(25733486)$ |  |  | 14403398 |  |  |
| TFC \& TVC excluding Inventory Change |  | 285873828 | 1350204062 |  | 253134496 | 1418326520 |

### 4.7.1 Contribution Margin of DDC

Contribution margin is the difference between the sales and the marginal/variable cost of sales and it contributes towards fixed expenses and profit.

## Contribution Margin = Selling Price $\boldsymbol{-}$ Variable Cost

## For F/Y 2059/60

$=1595906712-(1380416156-23849522)$
$=1595906712-1356566634$
$=$ Rs 239340078

Even though, contribution margin were increasing from F/Y 2061/62, it is still unsatisfactory. Higher the contribution margin, greater is the chance to meet fixed cost and earn a margin for the non-operating expenses, and create reserve and pay dividend, etc.

### 4.7.2 P/V Ratio of DDC

It is an important tool in studying the profitability of a business. It establishes relationship between contribution margin and sales volume.

It can be also found from the relationship between the change in the contribution margin and change in the sales. It is written in the form of percentages.

It is also known as contribution margin ratio (C/M Ratio).

```
P/V Ratio = - b
    b = Variable Cost
    p = Sales
```

For F/Y 2059/60

$$
=1-\frac{1356566634}{1595906712}=1-0.85=0.15 \text { or } 15 \%
$$

The contribution margin of DDC was too low. Higher the contribution margin ratio, higher will be the profit. DDC should try to reduce the variable cost or increase the sales volume to get higher C.M. ratio or $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{V}$ ratio to result in higher profit.

### 4.7.3 Break-Even Analysis of DDC

Break-even analysis is a logical extension of marginal costing. It is based on the same principle of classifying the operating expenses into fixed and variable. Now a days, it has became a powerful instrument on the hands of policy makers to maximize profit.

The $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E}$ analysis is a specific way of presenting and studying the inter-relationship between the cost, volume and profit. It provides information to management in the most precise manner.

The $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E}$ analysis established a relation between the revenues and cost with respect to the volume. It includes the level of sales at which cost and revenue are in equilibrium. The equilibrium point is normally called BEP.

The BEP can be defined as that point of sales at which the total revenue is equal to total cost. For BEP to occur, it is necessary that firm have same variable and fixed cost. If all the cost of the firms is variable, no profit no loss or BEP would be at zero
sales volume. On the other hand, if all costs were fixed, the BEP would occur at a point where revenue is equal to total fixed cost. The BEP can be computed in terms of units as well as Rupees.
BEP (units) $=\frac{\text { Total Fixed Cost }}{\text { Unit Selling Price }- \text { Unit Variable Cost }}$
BEP $($ Rs $)=\frac{\text { Total Fixed Cost }}{1-\frac{\text { Unit Variable Cost }}{\text { Unit Selling Price }}}$
This formula is appropriate when there is a stable inventory and there are no other sources of incomes.

Keeping in view about that, BEP can be calculated considering the following four assumptions:

Assumption 1: Omit inventory change and include Other Sundry Incomes
$\mathrm{BEP}=\frac{\text { Fixedcoss excluding nnventory change }- \text { Other sundry incomes }}{1-\frac{\text { Varisble cost consistant with saliti) or PTV Ratio }}{\text { Sale }}}$

Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and Other Sundry Incomes


Assumption 3: Include inventory change but Omit Sundry Incomes


Assumption 4: Include both inventory change but include Other Sundry Incomes
$\mathrm{BEP}=\frac{\text { Fixed cost including inventory change }- \text { Other sundry iuvvius }}{1-\frac{\text { Varisble cost consiftent with salenjor P/V Katio }}{\text { Sala }}}$

## For F/Y 2059/60

Assumption 1: Omit inventory change and include Other Sundry Incomes
BEP Sales $=\frac{245970816-13550585}{0.15}=\frac{232420231}{0.15} \quad=1549468207$

Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and Other Sundry Incomes
Break-Even Sales $=\frac{\text { FixedCost }}{\text { C.M.ratio }} \quad=\frac{245970816}{0.15} \quad=1639805440$

Assumption 3: Include inventory change but Omit Sundry Incomes
Break-Even Sales $=\frac{\text { FixedCost }- \text { IncreaseInInventory }}{\text { C.M.ratio }}$

$$
=\frac{245970816-2012029}{0.15}=\frac{243958787}{0.15}=1626391913
$$

Assumption 4: Include both inventory change but include Other Sundry Incomes
Break-Even Sales $=\frac{\text { FixedCost }- \text { IncreaseInInventory }- \text { OtherSundryIncomes }}{\text { C.M.ratio }}$

$$
=\frac{245970816-2012029-13550585}{0.15} \quad \frac{230408202}{0.15}=1536054680
$$

Table 4.33

## Calculation of BEP Sales under Different Assumptions

## From 2059/60 to 2063/64

(In Rs.)

| Assumptions | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1549468207 | 1428631403 | 1883576306 | 1728372571 | 1582325661 |
| 2 | 1639805440 | 1507280824 | 1996474708 | 1837235398 | 1664263616 |
| 3 | 1626391913 | 1517651580 | 1999113402 | 1810059949 | 1667485957 |
| 4 | 1536054680 | 1439002159 | 1886215000 | 1701197121 | 1585548001 |

[^1]Figure 4.1
Break-Even Chart of DDC F/Y 2059/60


Break-Even Chart of DDC F/Y 2059/60

The above graph is presented to point out the BEP sales, considering no change in inventory and no sundry incomes. In this, X -axis is treated as sales revenue and Y -axis is graphed as cost in amount. Since, fixed cost is constant over a year, so, fixed cost curve is parallel to X -axis. The total cost increases with the increases in sales revenues. So, total cost curve slope upward to right side. Total cost curve starts from fixed cost of Rs 2459970816 . The Rs 245970816 are also total cost when sales revenue is zero. Sales revenue curve starts from zero as sales revenue will be zero, when sales volume is zero. This chart also shows that sales revenue is also slopping upward to the right. The point at which the sales revenue and total cost lines intersect is the BEP sales. Here in F/Y 2059/60 when inventory change and other sundry incomes are not considered, BEP sales are Rs 1639805440. if actual sales are more than BEP, then the profit will occur otherwise, if actual sales are less than BEP sales, loss will incur. Here, actual sales (1595906712) are less than total cost (16025374450), which leads to an operating loss of Rs 6630738.

### 4.7.4 Margin of Safety

It is the difference between the actual sales and the BEP sales. One of the assumptions of marginal costing is that the production or the output will coincides the sales. So, margin of safety is also the excess of production over BEP output. Sales or output above BEP is known as margin of safety because it gives some profit whereas at BEP only fixed expenses are recovered.

Margin of Safety $=$ Actual Sales - BE Sales

$$
=\frac{\operatorname{Pr} o f i t}{\text { P/VRatio }}
$$

For F/Y 2059/60
Assumption 1: Omit inventory change and include Other Sundry Incomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Margin of Safety }=\text { Actual Sales }- \text { Break-Even Sales } \\
&=1595906712-1549468207 \\
&=46438505
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and Other Sundry Incomes
Margin of Safety = Actual Sales - Break-Even Sales
=1595906712-1639805440
=-43898728
```

Assumption 3: Include inventory change but Omit Sundry Incomes
Margin of Safety $=$ Actual Sales - Break-Even Sales

$$
=1595906712-1626391913=-3048520133
$$

Assumption 4: Include both inventory change but include Other Sundry Incomes
Margin of Safety = Actual Sales - Break-Even Sales

```
=1595906712-1536054680
= 59852032
```

Table 4.34
Calculation of MOS Under Different Assumptions
From 2059/60 to 2063/64
(In Rs.)

| Assumptions | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 46438505 | 107179059 | -293912830 | -192032007 | 98028019 |
| 2 | -43898728 | 28529638 | -406811232 | -300894834 | 16090064 |
| 3 | -3048520133 | 18158882 | -409449926 | -273719385 | 12867723 |
| 4 | 59852032 | 96808303 | -296551524 | -164856557 | 94805679 |

Source: Appendix-8

### 4.7.5 Statement of Overall CVP Analysis

Profit planning of the DDC hasn't been successful, as they didn't practice CVP analysis. There is no proper practice of segregating different costs into fixed and variables, resulting in low contribution margin, high variable cost, high fixed cost to recover from contribution margin. The detailed descriptions have been presented below in year-wise.

Table 4.35
Overall Statement of CVP analysis Under Four Assumptions
2059/60
(In Rs.)

| Statement | Assumptions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Sales | 1595906712 | 1595906712 | 1595906712 | 1595906712 |
| Less: Variable cost | 1356566634 | 1356566634 | 1356566634 | 1356566634 |
| Contribution Margin | 239340078 | 239340078 | 239340078 | 239340078 |
| Less: Fixed Cost | 245970816 | 245970816 | 243958787 | 243958787 |
| Operating Income/Loss | -6630738 | -6630738 | -4618709 | -4618709 |
| Add: Sundry Incomes | 13550585 | - | - | 13550585 |
| Net Incomes/Loss | 6919847 | -6630738 | -4618709 | 8931876 |
| P/V Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| BEP | 1549468207 | 1639805440 | 1626391913 | 1536054680 |
| MOS | 46438505 | -43898728 | -30485201 | 59852032 |
| V/V Ratio | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| \% of FC to Sales | $15.41 \%$ | $15.41 \%$ | $15.29 \%$ | $15.29 \%$ |
| Source - Appendix |  |  |  |  |

In the above table, shows the overall statement considering the four different assumptions:

Assumption 1: Omit inventory change and include other sundry incomes.
Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and other sundry incomes.
Assumption 3: Include inventory change but omit sundry incomes.

Assumption 4: Include both inventory change but include other sundry incomes.

The contribution margin in F/Y2059/60 was Rs 239340078 or contribution margin ratio of $15 \%$, which is too low to cover the fixed cost which is $15.41 \%$ to sales, in considering assumption 1 and 2 and $15.29 \%$ in consideration of assumption 3 and 4 . DDC has incurred profit of Rs 6919847 when excluding inventory change and including other sundry incomes (assumption 1), incurred loss of Rs 43898728 when omitting both inventory change and other sundry incomes (assumption 2), incurred loss of Rs 30485201 while considering only inventory change (assumption 3) and incurred a profit of Rs 8931876 when including both inventory change and other sundry incomes (assumption 4). This proves that other sundry incomes also contribute a lot to DDC overall revenue.
Therefore, BEP sales for F/Y 2059/60 considering four assumptions are Rs 154968207, Rs 1639805440, Rs 1626391913 and Rs 1536054680 . And MOS in this case, yield an amount of Rs 46438505 considering assumption 1 and Rs 59852032 Considering assumption 4.

Table 4.36
Overall Statement of CVP analysis Under Four Assumptions
2060/61
(In Rs.)

| Statement | Assumptions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Sales | 1535810432 | 1535810432 | 1535810432 | 1535810432 |
| Less: Variable cost | 1310338078 | 1310338078 | 1310338078 | 1310338078 |
| Contribution Margin | 225472384 | 225472384 | 225472384 | 225472384 |
| Less: Fixed Cost | 221268825 | 221268825 | 222791252 | 222791252 |
| Operating Income/Loss | 4203559 | 4203559 | 2681132 | 2681132 |
| Add: Sundry Incomes | 11545735 | - | - | 11545735 |


| Net Incomes/Loss | 15749294 | 4203559 | 2681132 | 14226867 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P/V Ratio | $14.68 \%$ | $14.68 \%$ | $14.68 \%$ | $14.68 \%$ |
| BEP | 1428631403 | 1507280824 | 1517651580 | 1439002159 |
| MOS | 107179059 | 28529638 | 18158882 | 96808303 |
| V/V Ratio | $85.32 \%$ | $85.32 \%$ | $85.32 \%$ | $85.32 \%$ |
| \% of FC to Sales | $14.41 \%$ | $14.41 \%$ | $14.51 \%$ | $14.51 \%$ |

Source - Appendix

The contribution margin in F/Y2060/61 was Rs 225472384 or contribution margin ratio of $14.68 \%$, which is sufficient to cover the fixed cost which is $14.41 \%$ to sales, in considering assumption 1 and 2 and $14.51 \%$ in consideration of assumption 3 and 4, leading to a profit of Rs 15749294 , Rs 4203559 , Rs 2681132 and Rs 14226876 under four respective assumptions. Therefore, BEP sales for F/Y 2060/61 considering four assumptions are Rs 1428631403, Rs 1507280824 , Rs 1517651580 and Rs 1439002159, which is less than sales value i.e. Rs 1535810462 . And MOS is also positive in considering all the four assumptions.

Table 4.37
Overall Statement of CVP analysis under Four Assumptions 2061/62
(In Rs.)

| Statement | Assumptions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Sales | 1589663476 | 1589663476 | 1589663476 | 1589663476 |
| Less: Variable cost | 1404684662 | 1404684662 | 1404684662 | 1404684662 |
| Contribution Margin | 184978814 | 184978814 | 184978814 | 184978814 |
| Less: Fixed Cost | 232389656 | 232389656 | 232696800 | 232696800 |
| Operating Income/Loss | -47410842 | -47410842 | -47717986 | -47717986 |


| Add: Sundry Incomes | 13141374 | - | - | 13141374 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Incomes/Loss | -34269468 | -47410842 | -47717986 | -34576612 |
| P/V Ratio | $11.64 \%$ | $11.64 \%$ | $11.64 \%$ | $11.64 \%$ |
| BEP | 1883576306 | 1996474708 | 1999113402 | 1886215000 |
| MOS | -293912830 | -406811232 | -409449926 | -296551524 |
| V/V Ratio | $88.36 \%$ | $88.36 \%$ | $88.36 \%$ | $88.36 \%$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\%}$ of FC to Sales | $14.62 \%$ | $14.62 \%$ | $14.64 \%$ | $14.64 \%$ |

Source - Appendix

The contribution margin in F/Y2061/62 was Rs 184978814 or contribution margin ratio of $11.64 \%$, which is too low to cover the fixed cost which is $14.62 \%$ to sales, in considering assumption 1 and 2 and $14.64 \%$ in consideration of assumption 3 and 4, leading to a loss of Rs 34269468 , Rs 47410842 , Rs 47717986 and Rs 34576612 under four respective assumptions.

Therefore, BEP sales for F/Y 2061/62 considering four assumptions are Rs 1883576306, Rs 1996474708, Rs 1999113402 and Rs 1886215000 , which is more than sales value i.e. Rs 1589663476 . And MOS is also negative in considering all the four assumptions.

Table 4.38
Overall Statement of CVP analysis Under Four Assumptions
2062/63
(In Rs.)

| Statement | Assumptions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Sales | 1536340564 | 1536340564 | 1536340564 | 1536340564 |
| Less: Variable cost | 1297367778 | 1297367778 | 1297367778 | 1297367778 |
| Contribution Margin | 238972786 | 238972786 | 238972786 | 238972786 |
| Less: Fixed Cost | 285873828 | 285873828 | 281645328 | 281645328 |
| Operating Income/Loss | -46901042 | -46901042 | -42672542 | -42672542 |
| Add: Sundry Incomes | 16939056 | - | - | 16939056 |
| Net Incomes/Loss | -29961986 | -46901042 | -42672542 | -25733486 |
| P/V Ratio | $15.56 \%$ | $15.56 \%$ | $15.56 \%$ | $15.56 \%$ |
| BEP | 1728372571 | 1837235389 | 1810059949 | 1701197121 |
| MOS | -192032007 | -300894834 | -273719385 | -164856557 |
| V/V Ratio | $84.44 \%$ | $84.44 \%$ | $84.44 \%$ | $84.44 \%$ |
| \% of FC to Sales | $18.61 \%$ | $18.61 \%$ | $18.33 \%$ | $18.33 \%$ |
| Sow |  |  |  |  |

Source - Appendix

The contribution margin in F/Y2062/63 was Rs 238972786 or contribution margin ratio of $15.56 \%$, which is too low to cover the fixed cost which is $18.61 \%$ to sales, in considering assumption 1 and 2 and $18.33 \%$ in consideration of assumption 3 and 4, leading to a loss of Rs 29961986 , Rs 46901042 , Rs 42672542 and Rs 25733486 under four respective assumptions. Therefore, BEP sales for F/Y 2062/63 considering four assumptions are Rs 1728372571, Rs 1837235389, Rs 1810059949 and Rs

1701197121, which is more than sales value i.e. Rs 1536340564 . And MOS is also negative in considering all the four assumptions.

Table 4.39
Overall Statement of CVP analysis Under Four Assumptions
2063/64
(In Rs.)

| Statement | Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Sales | 1680353680 | 1680353680 | 1680353680 | 1680353680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less: Variable cost | 1424788431 | 1424788431 | 1424788431 | 1424788431 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contribution Margin | 255565249 | 255565249 | 255565249 | 255565249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less: Fixed Cost | 253134496 | 253134496 | 253624614 | 253624614 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income/Loss | 2430753 | 2430753 | 1940635 | 1940635 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add: Sundry Incomes | 12462763 | - | - | 12462763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Incomes/Loss | 14893516 | 2430753 | 1940635 | 14403398 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P/V Ratio | $15.21 \%$ | $15.21 \%$ | $15.21 \%$ | $15.21 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEP | 1582325661 | 1664263616 | 1667485957 | 1585548001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MOS | 98028019 | 16090064 | 12867723 | 94805679 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V/V Ratio | $84.79 \%$ | $84.79 \%$ | $84.79 \%$ | $84.79 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of FC to Sales | $15.06 \%$ | $15.06 \%$ | $15.09 \%$ | $15.09 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sourc Appr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source - Appendix

The contribution margin in F/Y2063/64 was Rs 255565249 or contribution margin ratio of $15.21 \%$, which is sufficient to cover the fixed cost which is $15.06 \%$ to sales, in considering assumption 1 and 2 and $15.09 \%$ in consideration of assumption 3 and 4, leading to a profit of Rs 14893516 , Rs 2430753 , Rs 1940635 and Rs 14403398 under four respective assumptions.

Therefore, BEP sales for F/Y 2063/64 considering four assumptions are Rs 1582325661, Rs 1664263616, Rs 1667485957 and Rs 1585548001 , which is less than sales value i.e. Rs 1680353680 . And MOS is also positive in considering all the four assumptions.

### 4.8. Sensitivity of CVP Analysis

Sensitivity of CVP analysis is the study of the CVP analysis in the different stages or in the different situation in which the related terms of CVP, cost (fixed and variable), volume and profit changes. Of changes occur in one term, such as in cost (variable and fixed cast independently), its effect or changes, which may be positive or negative, on profit, on sales volumes, on contribution margin, on selling price, etc. respectively. It helps the company to maintain its original BEP in the change situation.

Small changes in one factor of CVP can change the BEP or profit or in other words, BEP or profit is influence in response to the changes in selling price, variable cost and fixed cost. When changes are expected in selling price, in ratio of variable cost factors, or in the amount of fixed cost, an analysis of the cost-volume-profit relationship can determine the effect of such changes on period's profit and BEP.

## Change Effects of Sales Value

The increase in the sales value will results in increase in profit-volume ratio (P/V Ratio or C.M. Ratio), which result in lowering of BEP sales. On the contrary, a decrease in sales value will reduce or decrease the P/V Ratio, thereby, increasing the BEP sales. If sales value is increase and decrease by $10 \%$ with other factors remaining constant or assumed to be same, it result like below for F/Y 2059/60, using only assumption 4 i.e. include both inventory change and other sundry incomes.

## Table 4.40

## Effect of $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Increases or Decreases in Sales Value

F/Y 2059/60
(In Rs.)

| Statement | Change in Sales Value |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Actual | $10 \%$ Increase | $10 \%$ Decrease |
| Sales | 1595906712 | 1755497383 | 1436316041 |
| Less: Variable Cost | 1356566634 | 1356566634 | 1356566634 |
| Contribution Margin | 239340078 | 398930749 | 79749407 |
| Less: Fixed Cost | 243958787 | 243958787 | 243958787 |
| Operating Profit/Loss | -4618709 | 154971962 | -164209380 |
| Add: Other Sundry Incomes | 13550585 | 13550585 | 13550585 |
| Net Profit/Loss | 8931876 | 168522547 | -150658795 |
| P/V Ratio | 0.15 | 0.2272 | 0.0555 |
| BEP $=\frac{\text { FixedCost-otherSundryIncomes }}{\text { P/VRatio }}$ | 1536054680 | 1014120607 | 4151499135 |

Above table shows that with $10 \%$ increases in sales, the break-even point is reduced to Rs 1014120607 from Rs 1536054680 (i.e. R 521934073 or $33.99 \%$ ). Similarly, with $10 \%$ decrease in sales value, BEP increased to Rs 4151499135 from Rs 1536054680 (i.e. Rs 261544455 or $170.27 \%$ ). This shows the inverse relationship between the sales and BEP.

## Change Effects of Variable Costs

The increase in variable cost, if it doesn't cause change in selling price or volume, will lower the P/V ratio and push up BEP and reduce profit and decrease in variable cost increase the P/V ratio, thereby, increase profit by reducing the BEP sales. The impact of $10 \%$ increase or decrease on Variable cost on other factor is shown below, considering the assumption 4 (including both inventory change and other sundry incomes)

Table 4.41
Effect of $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Increases or Decreases in Variable Cost F/Y 2059/60
(In Rs.)

| Statement | Change in Sales Value |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Increase | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Decrease |
| Sales | 1595906712 | 1595906712 | 1595906712 |
| Less: Variable Cost | 1356566634 | 1492223297 | 1220909970 |
| Contribution Margin | 239340078 | 103686415 | 374996742 |
| Less: Fixed Cost | 243958787 | 243958787 | 243958787 |
| Operating Profit/Loss | -4618709 | -140275372 | 131037955 |
| Add: Other Sundry Incomes | 13550585 | 13550585 | 13550585 |
| Net Profit/Loss | 8931876 | -126724787 | 144588540 |
| P/V Ratio | 0.15 | 0.0649 | 0.2349 |
| BEP $=\frac{\text { Fixed Cost - Other Sundry Incomes }}{\text { P/V Ratio }}$ | 1536054680 | 3550203421 | 980877829 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Above table shows that with $10 \%$ increase in variable cost, BEP has increased from Rs 1536054680 to Rs 3550203421 (i.e. Rs 2014148741 or $131.12 \%$ ). And with $10 \%$ decrease in variable cost, BEP has decreased to Rs 980877829 (i.e. Rs 555176851 or $36.14 \%$ ) which shows that variable cost, and BEP sales are positively related but not proportionately.

## Change Effects of Fixed Costs

A change in fixed cost doesn't influence P/V ratio. So, if other factors remain constant, then in fixed cost reduces the BEP and increases the profit.

They may fluctuate by reason of changes in the basic structure in management policy and due to some changes in the external factors.

The below table represents, the impact of $10 \%$ increase or decrease in fixed cost in BEP and profit.
Table 4.42
Effect of $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Increases or Decreases in Fixed Cost
F/Y 2059/60
(In Rs.)

| Statement | Change in Sales Value |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Increase | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Decrease |
| Sales | 1595906712 | 1595906712 | 1595906712 |
| Less: Variable Cost | 1356566634 | 1356566634 | 1356566634 |
| Contribution Margin | 239340078 | 239340078 | 239340078 |
| Less: Fixed Cost | 243958787 | 268354666 | 219562908 |
| Operating Profit/Loss | -4618709 | -29014588 | 19777170 |
| Add: Other Sundry Incomes | 13550585 | 13550585 | 13550585 |
| Net Profit/Loss | 8931876 | -15464003 | 33327755 |
| P/V Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| BEP $=\frac{\text { Fixed Cost - Other Sundry Incomes }}{\text { P/V Ratio }}$ | 1536054680 | 1698693873 | 1373415487 |

The above table presents the $10 \%$ increase in fixed cost, increases the BEP by Rs 1626391933 , which is $10 \%$ (approx). And $10 \%$ decrease in fixed cost, reduce the BEP by Rs 162639193 , which is $10 \%$ (approx). The $10 \%$ increase in fixed cost increase the BEP by $10 \%$ and reduction of $10 \%$ of fixed cost will end up reducing the BEP by $10 \%$. The slight variation is because of decimal differences. It can be conducted that BEP and fixed cost are proportionately related.

### 4.9 Operating Leverage of DDC

Operating leverage is a measure of how sensitive net income is to percentage changes in sales. Operating leverage is greatest in companies, which have a high proportion of fixed costs relative to variable costs. A firm with fixed costs and low variable costs has high operating leverage, the ability to highly increase net income from an increase in sales revenue. In other words, after the break-even point has be reached, a larger amount of contribution margin will fall to the bottom line in a high fixed cost structure than if the cost structure had been comprised mostly of continuing high variable costs. Which continue to eat away at net income after the break-even point is reached. Of course, the risk is also greater because if the break-even point is not reached, losses will be greater in the firm with high operating leverage.

Degree of Operating Leverage $=\frac{\text { Contribution Margin }}{\text { Net Income }}$

DOL for DDC for F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{239340078}{8931876} \quad=26.8$ times

The greater the DOL, greater is the business risk. DOL of DDC for the year 2059/60 was 26.8 times, which means, if sales are increased by $100 \%$, the net income will increase by 12680 times. It is clear that DDC has absorbed more fixed cost to aim to gain more profit. It indicates return efficiency area covers capital structure.

### 4.10. Manpower Distribution of DDC

DDC is employing manpower on two departments, i.e. administration and technician. The table below shows the manpower in yearly basis without considering the indirect employment provided by DDC.

From the table below, figure shows that there has been constant effort to layoff of the inefficient administrative staff to avoid the unnecessary extra cost on the staffs. Administrative staffs were increased to 398 from 132 in F/Y 2059/60 and technicians were reduced for deduction of fixed cost. Before F/Y 2059/60, there were 1147 technicians but from F/Y 2061/62 608 old technicians were dismissed and in F/Y 2063/64, 32 new technicians were introduced or employed in the DDC, totaling 969 in the F/Y 2063/64.

It seems like DDC is moving towards right direction in reducing the extra burden of cost. It has reduced its employees from 1279 to 969 which is, $24.24 \%$ reduction of the unwanted or inefficient employees.

Table 4.43
Number of Employees Working in DDC
Distributed to Administration and Technician

| Fiscal Year | Departments or <br> Nature of Employee | No. of <br> Employees | Percentage of <br> Employment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | Administration | 132 | 10.32 |
|  | Technician | 1147 | 89.68 |
| Total | - | $\mathbf{1 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | Administration | 132 | 10.32 |
|  | Technician | 1147 | 89.68 |
| Total | - | $\mathbf{1 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |


| $\mathbf{2 0 6 1} / \mathbf{6 2}$ | Administration | 318 | 37.11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Technician | 539 | 62.89 |
| Total | - | $\mathbf{8 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | Administration | 318 | 37.11 |
|  | Technician | 539 | 62.89 |
| Total | - | $\mathbf{8 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ | Administration | 398 | 41.07 |
|  | Technician | 571 | 58.93 |
| Total | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

### 4.11. Ratios that Measures Productivity of DDC

Productivity refers to the relationship between the inputs and outputs. It is the technique that measures efficiency and effectiveness of organization.

Productivity ratios used here is to analyze the productivity of the labor of DDC.
The following ratios are used:
A. Sales per employee.
B. Net added value per employee.
C. Labor equipment ratio.
D. Wage distribution ratio.
E. Wage base.
A. Sales per employee $=\frac{\text { Net Sales }}{\text { No.Of Employee }}$

For F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{1598906712}{1279}=1247777$

In F/Y 2059/60, sales per employee is 1247777, in F/Y 2060/61 is 1200790, in F/Y 2061/62 is 1854916, in F/Y 2062/63 is 1792696 and in F/Y 2063/64 is 1734111.

Sales per employee are not satisfactory, however, there is a little hope seeing the trend that it is increasing. It should either increase the sales or reduce the number of unproductive employees to further increase the sales per employee.
B. Net added value per employee $=\frac{\text { Net Added Value }}{\text { No. of Employee }}$

Where,
Net Added Value $=$ Sales - (opening inventory of raw material + raw material - ending inventory of raw material)

Opening inventory of raw material and ending inventory of raw material is zero in case of DDC.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Net Added Value per employee }=\frac{\text { Sales }- \text { Material Cost }}{\text { No.of Employee }} \\ &=\frac{\text { Net Added Value }}{\text { No.of Employee }}\end{aligned}$

For F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{367961424}{1279}=287695$

The net added value per employee for F/Y 2059/60 is 287695, in F/Y 2060/61 were 299465, in F/Y 2061/62 were 442825, in F/Y 2062/63 were 391419 and in F/Y 2063/64 were 443032. Net added value per employee is also very low. DDC has high material cost. DDC should try to reduce the material cost, increase sales and reduce the unproductive employees to increase the productivity of the labor.

Table 4.44

## Calculation of Net Added Value

| Particular | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sales | 1559506712 | 1535810462 | 1589663476 | 153640564 | 1680353680 |
| Less: Material Cost: |  |  |  |  |  |
| COLLECTION: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk purchased | 1112413152 | 1045469720 | 1038124379 | 1044700126 | 1101355622 |
| Fuel \& other provision | 27812759 | 28993318 | 3091414 | 36270112 | 38613927 |
| Chemicals \& Detergents | 677457 | 654579 | 659516 | 756270 | 96237 |
| PROCESSING: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skimmed milk powder | 52816397 | 39656569 | 98229166 | 69783186 | 5643902 |
| Raw materials \& others | 1060880 | 1892398 | 2729605 | 3674319 | 4460992 |
| Chemicals \& Detergents | 2475824 | 2982761 | 3592866 | 3475776 | 4048949 |
| Fuel \& other provision | 30668196 | 33126214 | 36135760 | 42234275 | 45466232 |
| Fodder purchased | 20623 | 19042 | - | - | - |
| Total material cost | 1227945288 | 1152794601 | 1210162706 | 1200894064 | 1251055861 |
| Net Added value | $\mathbf{3 6 7 9 6 1 4 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 3 0 1 5 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 9 5 0 0 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 5 4 4 6 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 9 2 9 7 8 1 9}$ |


| No. of Employee | 1279 | 1279 | 857 | 857 | 969 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Extracted from Appendix-1 and Appendix 3-6
C. Labor Equipment Ratio $=\frac{\text { Net Fixed Assets }}{\text { No.of Employees }}$

For F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{275075176}{1279}=215071$

Labor equipment ratio for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2059/60 is 215071, in F/Y 2060/61 were 229360, in F/Y 2061/62 were 306792, in F/Y 2062/63 were 302909 and in F/Y 2063/64 were 262274.

DDC has distributed fixed assets into:

- presently in use
- purchased but not installed and used

Here, only presently in use fixed assets are considered labor equipment ratio is also very low.
D. Wage Distribution Ratio $=\frac{\text { Gross Wage }}{\text { Net Added Value }}$

For F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{154055519}{367961424}=0.4187$ or, $41.87 \%$

Wage distribution ratio for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2059 / 60$ is $41.87 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2060 / 61$ is $34.59 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2061 / 62$ is $36.05 \%$, For $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2062 / 63$ is $58.26 \%$ and for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2063 / 64$ is $37.38 \%$.

Table 4.45

## Calculation of Gross Wages

(In Rs.)

| Particular | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLLECTION: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 22489966 | 21066452 | 21963708 | 24480597 | 23694201 |
| Allowance | 2442174 | 2644598 | 5985895 | 3836178 | 5623213 |
| Provident Fund | 1403410 | 1321992 | 1290949 | 1436564 | 1436201 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 3542542 | 249124 | 2869168 | - | - |
| PROCESSING: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 34532145 | 33589010 | 33443627 | 39239185 | 38753232 |
| Allowance | 4814565 | 4519830 | 8819809 | 6674837 | 9431594 |
| Provident Fund | 1930955 | 1830992 | 1799554 | 2103395 | 2126094 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 18766338 | 1549559 | 3268485 | - | 21883 |
| SELLING: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 12095550 | 12007908 | 11663447 | 13775715 | 14279916 |
| Allowance | 2166846 | 2174864 | 4512406 | 3423905 | 4482025 |
| Provident Fund | 736849 | 717090 | 683578 | 778817 | 818059 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 1907128 | 240155 | 2269411 | - | - |
| ADMINISTRATION: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 29013593 | 27120786 | 25427879 | 33329987 | 30936837 |
| Allowance | 4332339 | 3827860 | 7550380 | 5501121 | 7434392 |
| Provident Fund | 1812310 | 1733783 | 1738294 | 2024968 | 2022504 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 17393165 | 470036 | - | 5091128 | 3184627 |
| Add/Less: <br> Excess Gratuity Expenses | $(5324356)$ | 17450023 | 3531055 | 53753235 | 16258298 |


| Total | 154055519 | 132514062 | 136817645 | 195449632 | 160503076 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Extracted from Appendix 3-6 |  |  |  |  |  |

The percentage of wage cost to value added is very high in F/Y 2062/63. After that, DDC seems to have noticed the excess cost on wages and unproductive employees and reduced the number of employees. However, the wages distribution ratio is not very satisfactory.
E. Wage Base $=\frac{\text { Gross Wage }}{\text { No.of Employee }}$

For F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{154055519}{1279}=120450$

Wage base for F/Y 2059/60 is 120450, for F/Y 2060/61 is 103607, for F/Y 2061/62 is 159647, For F/Y 2062/63 is 228062 and for F/Y 2063/64 is 165638 . However, with deduction to Rs 165638 in F/Y 2063/64, it is still very high enough.

The overall productivity of labor isn't that satisfactory, DDC should focus more on the productivity of labor in the coming year as, is constitutes major cost in the overall expenses of DDC.

### 4.12. Profitability Ratios in Relation to Sales of DDC

Profit is essential for the growth and survival of the business. Without which, no business can stay for too lang. hence, it is regarded as the lifeblood of the business. It is the engine that drives the business and indicates economic progress.

Profitability ratios are calculated to measure the overall efficiency of the business. Profitability ratios are calculated with either in relation to sales or in relation to investment.

Hence, the profitability ratios in relation to sales are considered. Under which, three ratios are calculated.

1. Gross Margin Ratio
2. Net Profit Margin
3. Operating Ratio

## 1. Gross Margin Ratio

Gross profit margin is the commonest ratios in operating analysis. It is calculated of gross profit as a percentage of net sales. It expresses the relationship between gross profit and sales and usually expressed on percentage.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gross Margin }=\frac{\text { Gross Profit }}{\text { Sales }} \\
& \text { For F/Y 2059/60 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Gross profit margin for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2059 / 60$ is $9.7 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2060 / 61$ is $9.26 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2061 / 62$ is $6.59 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2062 / 63$ is $10.71 \%$ and F/Y 2063/64 is $10.86 \%$.

Higher the gross margin ratio better is the organization's management as it implies that the cost of production of the firm is relatively low. Since DDC has very low gross profit ratio, it is definitely a danger sign to analyze the detailed for such cause.

## 2. Net Profit Margin

Net profit ratio is the ratio of net profit to net sales. It measures the overall profitability of the firm by establishing the relationship between the net profit and sales. Net profit margin indicates the manager's ability to operate the business with sufficient success not only to cover the cost of production, operating expenses, and cost of borrowed fund but also to leave a margin of reasonable compensation to the owners for providing their capital at risk.

```
    Net Profit Margin \(=\quad \frac{\text { Net Profit }}{\text { Sales }}\)
For F/Y 2059/60 \(=\frac{-4618709}{1595906712}=\quad-0.0029\) or \(-0.29 \%\)
```

Net profit ratio for F/Y 2059/60 is $-0.29 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2060 / 61$ is $0.17 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2061 / 62$ is $-3.0 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2062 / 63$ is $-2.78 \%$ and $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2063 / 64$ is $0.11 \%$, without considering the other sundry incomes.

Higher the net profit margin, greater is the organization's ability to withstand the adverse economic conditions. Since DDC is operating in negative Net Profit Margin, it should consider the detailed reasons responsible for it.

However, it seems DDC is on right track, since F/Y 2062/63; there has been improvement in net profit ratio from $-2.78 \%$ to $0.11 \%$. It seems from F/Y 2064/65, the corporation will be generating good amount of profit, according the improvement trend of Net Profit Ratio of DDC.

## 3. Operating Ratio

It is the ratio of operating cost to sales. Operating cost include cost of goods sold and operating expenses. Operating expenses includes all the selling and administration expenses with the interest on borrowed fund, discount allowed and bad debts, etc.
$\begin{aligned} \text { Operating Ratio } & =\frac{\text { OperatingExpenses }}{\text { Sales }} \\ \text { For } \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2059 / 60 & =\frac{1600525421}{1595906712}=1.0029 \text { or } 100.29 \%\end{aligned}$

Operating Ratio for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2059 / 60$ is $100.29 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2060 / 61$ is $99.82 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2061 / 62$ is $103.0 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2062 / 63$ is $102.78 \%$ and $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2063/64 is $99.78 \%$.

Lower the operating ratio, higher is the operating profit available for non-operating expenses and funds to pay dividend, create reserve, etc. DDC has been incurring higher operating ratio more than $100 \%$ in three fiscal years except F/Y 2060/61 and 2063/64. However, there has been gradual decrease in operating ratio, which is a good sign for DDC.

However, the overall profitability of the DDC is very weak. And management should focus on all the possible causes and reasons for such situations.

### 4.13 Major Findings

The well planned and well presented is half-job done. And if the work were put into process effectively, the findings would be clear, correct and accurate. The findings of this study based on the analysis of data (Primary and Secondary) are presented below:

- Sales: The Corporation's sales trend is in fluctuating but it is in increasing trend. The value of ' $r$ ' is greater than P.E. (r) that shows that there is a positive correlation between the budgeted and actual sales. The corporation has no effective sales forecasting techniques, leading to differences between budgeted and actual sales.
- Segregation of fixed and variable costs: DDC hasn't been practicing CVP analysis till now and there is no method adopted to segregate fixed and variable cost.
- Variable cost: Even though, DDC hasn't been segregating fixed and variable cost, care has been taken in this research to differentiate fixed cost and variable cost with the help of Degree of Variability methods (70:30). The variable costs are too high compared to actual sales. It constitutes $85 \%$ in F/Y 2059/60, 85.32\% in F/Y 2060/61, 88.36\% in F/Y 2061/62, $84.44 \%$ in F/Y 2062/63 and $84.79 \%$ in F/Y 2063/64.
- Fixed cost: The corporation has high fixed costs, be it salaries, or depreciation, interest on loan, provident fund, gratuity expenses, etc. having maximum of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{V}$ ratio, among the five fiscal years, fixed cost to sales percentage under four different assumptions in five years are: Assumption 1: Omit inventory change and include other sundry incomes. Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and other sundry incomes: percentage of FC to sales in F/Y 2059/60 considering assumption 1 and 2 is $15.41 \%$, in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2060 / 61$ is $14.41 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2061 / 62$ is $14.62 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2062 / 63$ is $18.61 \%$ and in F/Y 2063/64 is $15.06 \%$. Assumption 3: Include inventory change but omit sundry incomes. Assumption 4: Include both inventory change but include other sundry incomes. And considering assumption 3 and 4, percentage of fixed cost to sales for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2059 / 60$ is $15.29 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2060 / 61$ is $14.51 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2061 / 62$ is $14.64 \%$, for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2062 / 63$ is $18.33 \%$ and for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2063 / 64$ is $15.09 \%$. It should be at least $20 \% \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{V}$ ratio to recover the FC.
- Profitability of labor: DDC has high wages and either availability of manpower is more than requirement of inefficiency of the workers resulting in low productivity of labor.
- Inventory policy: The Corporation has no effective inventory policy. Whatever left over of production is considered as inventory. The inventory production ratio is not constant. The wider fluctuation are seen during the five fiscal year, there were Rs 2586551 increase in F/Y 2059/60, Rs 19543348 decrease in inventory in F/Y 2060/61, Rs 4004480 decrease in inventory in F/Y 2061/62, Rs 57064784 is increase in inventory in F/Y 2062/63 and in F/Y 2063/64, there is Rs 6952029 decrease in inventory.
- Capacity utilization: The overall-utilization of capacity of machines also couldn't cover the fixed cost and backfired by resulting in high repairs and maintenance cost, etc.
- Profitability in relation to sales: Profitability in relation to sales is also too low in the five fiscal years (F/Y 2059/60 to F/Y 2063/64). Gross margin is also too low. Net profit margin is negatively and positively low. Operating cost constitutes more than the sales value in all the five years.
- Break-Even sales: The break-even sales were more than sales in F/Y 2061/62 and 2062/63 considering all the four assumptions. In F/Y 2059/60, while excluding inventory change and including sundry incomes (assumption 1) and including both inventory change and other sundry incomes (assumption 4) is less than sales. In F/Y 2063/64 BEP were less than sales under all four assumptions. Hence, DDC had net profit in that fiscal year.


## CHAPTER -V

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1. Summary

Profit planning and control is an important approach developed for facilitating effective performance of management system mainly in profit-oriented enterprises. And management is the key element, which controls overall aspects of the organization for the overall efficiency.

It is the ultimate objectives of management to maximize profit over the long term, consistent with its social responsibility.

CVP analysis is among the most important tool in the profit planning and control process. It is a device used to determine the usefulness of the profit planning process of the firm. In fact, the entire field of profit planning has become associated with the CVP interrelationships. CVP analysis helps to determine the minimum sales volume to avoid losses and the sales volume at which the profit goal of the firm will be achieved. As an ultimate objective, it helps management in seeking the most profitable cost and volume. A dynamic management, therefore, use CVP analysis to predict and evaluate the implications of its short-run decisions about fixed cost, variable cost, volume and selling price for its profit plans on a continuous basis. CVP analysis is a way to quickly answer a number of important questions about the profitability of a company's products or services.

The performance of almost all public enterprises is not satisfactory. They are incurring losses year after year and DDC is not apart from this. The main causes of losses are:

- Ambiguous goals and objectives.
- Inadequate knowledge and use of PPC.
- Government intervention in decision making.
- Lack of co-ordination and communication between departments.

DDC, being public enterprises, started with the aim of providing services to the urban peoples by producing and supplying milk and dairy products and also improving the economic conditions of rural people by promoting livestock occupation.

The main objective of the present research is to analyze CVP analysis in relation to DDC. It has observed that, even though, holding the $60 \%$ of the market shares, DDC has been incurring losses year after year, except in F/Y 2060/61 and F/Y 2063/64.

As per study, primary and secondary data are analyze with historical and descriptive approach for sales, cost, inventory, productivity ratios, profitability ratios, contribution margin analyses, P/V ratio analysis, BEP analysis, etc, are used. The data are evenly distributed, tabulated, wherever necessary.

From the analysis, it shows that DDC has low contribution margin, low P/V ratio, high BEP sales (less than actual sales), except in 2060/61 and 2063/64. the sensitivity analysis shows that increase in cost, increases BEP while decrease in cost, decreases BEP which shows that cost and BEP are positively correlated whereas the relationship of sales to BEP are negatively correlated.

DDC profit situation is very poor. Only in the year 2060/61 and 2063/64, DDC has generated profit which is very low percentage of sales.

The distribution if operation of Dairy in various districts and lack of detailed information regarding the cost structure and wide varieties of products with little knowledge of PPC seems to the main causes of not practicing CVP analysis by the DDC.

### 5.2. Conclusions

On the basis of the different analysis, observations, and informal discussions, etc, resulted in the following conclusions:

- DDC has been planning only on short-term basis.
- The goals and objectives of the corporation are ambiguous to the lower level of employees, which results in wide fluctuation in the targeted sales and actual achievements.
- The practice of CVP analysis has not been used yet.
- There is no practice of segregating cost into fixed and variables.
- Over-utilization of capacity resulting in increasing operation and maintenance cost every year.
- DDC has low contribution margin with high variable cost.
- DDC has also high fixed cost with low contribution margin, resulting in high BEP sales.
- There is no effective inventory policy. If production exceeds sales, then it is considered as inventory. Otherwise, there is no such inventory policy followed which result in high fluctuation in inventory.
- The availability of manpower is more than its requirement, resulting in overstaffing, and confuses of delegation of authority and responsibility, which caused low productivity of labor
- The profitability of the DDC is also very poor.
- The strengths of the DDC are foreign donors, government subsidy, and public trust in products, goodwill, local milk, sufficient resources, and experienced staffs.
- All the levels of management are not involved in profit planning and decision making of the corporation.
- All these causes are affecting DDC leading to loss year after year.


### 5.3. Recommendations

- On the basis of this study, the following recommendation seems to be fruitful to the management of the corporation and other concerned officers:
- First and foremost, DDC should clearly define its objectives into long-term goals and short-term goals.
- Secondly, the delegation of authority and responsibility should be clear among the different levels of management.
- There should be separate planning and control department separately. The trained and qualified planning experts should be recruit or hire and all the employees should be well trained.
- DDC should consider demand, market study and also consider the price, supply and other policies of private dairies while making the tactical plans.
- Intervention of govt. should be limited into certain extent. BOD should not be change with change in govt.
- DDC should also revised and study its own products. The unproductive products should be dropped or if needed, revised the price of the products.
- Variance analysis should be made to dig out the cause if unfavorable variance and timely correct them.
- Appropriate plan should be done by using CVP analysis as a tool of short-term planning.
- DDC should lay off unproductive employees that are causing extra burden of cost in the form of salaries, provident fund, gratuity expenses etc.
- DDC should also consider the variable costs. It should reduce as much as it can, so as to increase contribution margin ratio.
- DDC should also consider in buying new production plant that might reduce the unproductive employees and overutilization of old plant, resulting in less investment in repairs and maintenance on such assets.
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix-1

Comparative Profit \& Loss Account
From F/Y 2059/60 to 2063/64

## Appendix-2

## Comparative Balance Sheet

From F/Y 2059/60 to 2063/64
Rs.

| Particular | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Presently in Use | 275075176 | 293351328 | 262920675 | 259592819 | 254143382 |
| b) Installed but not yet Used | 11180431 | 11512831 | 10697865 | 10723578 | 6028960 |
| Foreign Commodity Grant Fund Investment | 1851320 | 1545265 |  | 1545265 | - |
| Current Assets | 450761640 | 463869237 | 497805552 | 541335259 | 558331549 |
| Deferred Expenditure | 6253034 | 3426534 | 600034 | - | - |
| Accumulated Loss | 218824939 | 208236745 | 221914559 | 247456480 | 246089470 |
| Total Assets | $\mathbf{9 6 3 9 4 6 5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 1 9 4 1 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 3 9 3 8 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 0 6 5 3 4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 4 5 9 3 3 6 1}$ |
| LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporation Fund | 418433975 | 549452795 | 549452795 | 550802389 | 550802390 |
| Foreign Grant Capitalized Fund | 1545265 | 1545265 | 1545265 | 1545265 | - |
| Foreign Grant Non-Capitalized Fund | 914210 | 914210 | - | - | - |
| Long-term Loan | 155279808 | 85201702 | 84251595 | 83301489 | 82351384 |
| Current Liabilities \& Provision | 387773282 | 344827968 | 358689031 | 425004258 | 431439587 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Liabilities | $\mathbf{9 6 3 9 4 6 5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 1 9 4 1 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 3 9 3 8 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 0 6 5 3 4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 4 5 9 3 3 6 1}$ |

## Appendix-3

## Collection Expenses

From 2059/60 to 2063/64

| Collection Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase of Milk | 1112413152 | 1045469721 | 1038124379 | 1044700126 | 1101355622 |
| Porters' Wages \& Transportation | 12651 | 33904 | 3596 | 500 | - |
| Fuel and Other Provision | 27812759 | 28993318 | 30691414 | 36270112 | 38613927 |
| Chemicals \& Detergents | 677457 | 654579 | 659516 | 756270 | 966237 |
| Other Dairy Goods | 575861 | 593229 | 631608 | 656785 | 626628 |
| Water \& Electricity | 6717157 | 6400819 | 5706223 | 5464554 | 5692481 |
| Salary | 22489966 | 21066452 | 21963708 | 24480597 | 23964201 |
| Allowance | 2442173 | 2644598 | 5985895 | 3836178 | 5623213 |
| Provident Fund | 1403410 | 1321992 | 1290949 | 1436564 | 1436201 |
| Machine Repairs | 1436935 | 1650316 | 2375593 | 3166036 | 3233276 |
| Buildings Repairs | 304716 | 215080 | 557171 | 476941 | 677663 |
| Motor Repairs | 10956777 | 10184124 | 10092905 | 10654803 | 11985017 |
| Other Repairs | 149173 | 157604 | 145181 | 169905 | 214715 |
| House and Land Rent | 913708 | 982488 | 985415 | 1006814 | 1020991 |
| Stationary and Printing | 301963 | 302899 | 332315 | 320773 | 428763 |
| Traveling Expenses | 3166983 | 3368772 | 3935705 | 3641507 | 4620131 |
| Tax and Charges | 1144431 | 940162 | 1169040 | 1360627 | 1314683 |
| Bank Commission Charges | 972517 | 1573257 | 1286809 | 1341277 | 1436360 |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone | 162617 | 157576 | 156435 | 146937 | 184858 |
| Insurance | 694494 | 496203 | 625612 | 606675 | 708967 |
| Non-durable Office Goods | 102018 | 91371 | 101247 | 150639 | 171097 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 3542542 | 249124 | 2869168 | - | - |
| Prize to Farmers | 70645 | 77358 | 78446 | 67852 | - |
| Other Transportation Expenses | 6400 | - | - | 22980 | 1000 |
| Sanitation Expenses | 11360 | 18210 | 28590 | 56995 | 87975 |
| Fuel Boiler Generator | - | - | 2518863 | 3915982 | 5146345 |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | - | - | 2213 | - | - |
| Funeral Expenses | - | 10000 | - | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 9 8 4 8 1 8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 7 6 5 3 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 2 3 1 7 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 4 7 0 8 4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 9 5 1 0 3 5 1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix-4

## Processing Expenses

From 2059/60 to 2063/64

| Processing Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skimmed milk powder Exp. | 52816397 | 39656569 | 98229166 | 69683186 | 56143902 |
| Raw Materials and Others | 1060880 | 1892398 | 2729605 | 3674319 | 4460992 |
| Packaging Goods | 69971099 | 60355588 | 63035827 | 68795056 | 73933332 |
| Chemicals \& Detergents | 2475824 | 2982761 | 3592866 | 3475776 | 4048949 |
| Other Dairy Goods | 1330852 | 1275887 | 1188624 | 1474300 | 1611326 |
| Cheese, Butter Transportation | 587095 | 927164 | 1582724 | 1552422 | 1661348 |
| Water \& Electricity | 31077099 | 29685054 | 27899062 | 25957881 | 25644284 |
| Fuel and Other Provision | 30668196 | 33126214 | 36135760 | 42234275 | 45466232 |
| House and Land Rent | 326038 | 375494 | 396567 | 434453 | 414985 |
| Salary | 34532145 | 33589010 | 33443627 | 39239185 | 38753232 |
| Allowance | 4814565 | 4519830 | 8819809 | 6674837 | 9431594 |
| Provident Fund | 1930955 | 1830992 | 1799554 | 2103395 | 2126094 |
| Motor Repairs | 989931 | 924433 | 408830 | - | - |
| Machine Repairs | 8934978 | 10049992 | 8611971 | 14375128 | 12546835 |
| Building Repairs | 676258 | 1259951 | 633819 | 1516199 | 1999920 |
| Other Repairs | 471434 | 471386 | 429902 | 574829 | 559852 |
| Insurance | 749429 | 241526 | 438078 | 524000 | 360225 |
| Traveling Expenses | 1173997 | 985453 | 1017243 | 901279 | 945116 |
| Stationary and Printing | 255587 | 285456 | 312527 | 319365 | 461128 |
| Tax and Charges | 42752 | 80969 | 131015 | 230714 | 2270 |
| Non-durable Office Goods | 133303 | 122621 | 157681 | 225963 | 217278 |
| Processed Milk Loss | 6934397 | 6642117 | 7866090 | - | - |
| Fodder Purchased | 20623 | 19042 | - | - | - |
| Ticket, Wire, Telephone | 74039 | 63540 | 59029 | 51123 | 64708 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 18766338 | 1549559 | 3268485 | - | 21883 |
| Powder Transportation Exp. | 470711 | 899705 | 661420 | 19912 | - |
| Bank Commission Charges | 27897 | 27330 | 24930 | 33974 | 46717 |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | - | - | 43451132 | - | - |
| Funeral Expenses | - | 5000 | - | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 1 3 1 2 8 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3 8 4 5 0 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 6 3 2 5 3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 4 0 7 1 5 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0 9 2 2 2 0 2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix-5

## Selling Expenses

From 2059/60 to 2063/64

| Collection Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries | 12095550 | 12007907 | 11663447 | 13775715 | 14279916 |
| Allowance | 2166846 | 2174864 | 4512406 | 3423905 | 4482024 |
| Provident Fund | 736849 | 717090 | 683578 | 778817 | 818059 |
| House and Go down Rent | 334081 | 293735 | 256452 | 341535 | 366635 |
| Stationery and Printing | 237956 | 266138 | 302034 | 327297 | 414737 |
| Water \& Electricity | 155649 | 153826 | 150153 | 235461 | 300433 |
| Fuel and Other Provision | 5042548 | 3450546 | 3426593 | 4123125 | 4757910 |
| Motor Repairs | 2336127 | 2003693 | 1828806 | 2575161 | 3179295 |
| Building Repairs | 3125 | 466400 | 4100 | 59441 | 36676 |
| Other Repairs | 10464 | 13261 | 21671 | 61259 | 55782 |
| Milk Transportation Expenses | 14845215 | 16678805 | 14971035 | 16224961 | 16604195 |
| Traveling Expenses | 136572 | 88446 | 92254 | 75484 | 109282 |
| Business Promotion Expenses | 174117 | 61764 | 117040 | 166050 | 269717 |
| Milk \& Milk Product Loss | 130313 | 115573 | 200290 | 74763 | 113771 |
| Insurance | 231744 | 134531 | 171524 | 68747 | 102376 |
| Tax and Charges | 210767 | 234672 | 324859 | 279461 | 439320 |
| Non-durable Office Goods | 67876 | 112443 | 64274 | 90260 | 107224 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 1907128 | 240155 | 2269411 | - | - |
| Dealer Facilities | 85238 | 74414 | 33513 | - | - |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | - | 14717 | - | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0 9 0 5 1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3 0 2 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 0 9 3 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 6 8 1 4 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 4 3 7 3 5 2}$ |

## Appendix-6

## Administration Expenses

From 2059/60 to 2063/64

| Administration Expenses | $\mathbf{2 0 5 9 / 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 0 / 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 1 / 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 / 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 3 / 6 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries | 29013593 | 27120786 | 25427879 | 33329987 | 30936837 |
| Allowance | 4332339 | 3827860 | 7550380 | 5501121 | 7434392 |
| Provident Fund | 1812310 | 1733783 | 1738294 | 2024968 | 2022504 |
| House and Land Rent | 103900 | 84000 | 84000 | 96000 | 96000 |
| Water \& Electricity | 20719 | 24572 | 21430 | 20208 | 23862 |
| Ticket. Wire. Telenhone | 1210568 | 1170747 | 1026710 | 1232510 | 1513516 |
| Stationery \& Printing | 981156 | 1080079 | 1134421 | 1088475 | 1292239 |
| Fuel \& Other Provision | 2560869 | 1649188 | 1800388 | 1595306 | 1806690 |
| Motor Repairs | 119645 | 968287 | 1378188 | 800526 | 1079704 |
| Building Repairs | 612031 | 248908 | 213165 | 283949 | 299883 |
| Other Repairs | 191230 | 191587 | 188287 | 184909 | 263094 |
| Office Equipment Repairs | 146049 | 123564 | 166892 | 229207 | 434024 |
| Traveling Expenses | 1455522 | 1362236 | 1695272 | 1809266 | 1682457 |
| Entertainment Expenses | 956136 | 1064828 | 1245946 | 1339430 | 1335763 |
| Employees Welfare Exp. | 99079 | 64514 | 98501 | 126106 | 94899 |
| Employees Training Exp | 230011 | 510195 | 474731 | 614835 | 1989950 |
| BOD Meeting Fees | 96666 | 157000 | 233000 | 188000 | 227000 |
| Auditor's fees | 90000 | 82462 | 180000 | 99000 | 364535 |
| Recruitment Cost | 8480 | 21040 | 41035 | 127500 | 899093 |
| Sub-Committee Cost | 312312 | 282000 | 396250 | 619175 | 526500 |
| Advisory Cost | 102450 | 183200 | 180768 | 449635 | 207054 |
| Advertisement | 1243021 | 1478774 | 1880644 | 1852142 | 3535119 |
| Bank Commission Charges | 75673 | 65482 | 87901 | 65078 | 72026 |
| Non-Durable Office Goods | 265435 | 209730 | 395523 | 344207 | 455687 |
| Newspaper \& Magazines | 140850 | 145877 | 117329 | 122814 | 149260 |
| Tax and Charges | 519654 | 803020 | 683718 | 506384 | 1913606 |
| Sanitation Expenses | 280540 | 276613 | 283354 | 335806 | 436020 |
| Insurance | 891124 | 8740206 | 8551673 | 9158078 | 10850535 |
| Donation | 114655 | 179900 | 281000 | 427648 | 471327 |
| Membership Charges | 6400 | 10000 | 28460 | 37977 | 31353 |
| Examination Expenses | - | - | - | - | 400705 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 17393165 | 470036 | - | 5091128 | 3184627 |
| Annual Day Expenses | 590427 | 499476 | 923610 | 812204 | 877722 |
| Rebate, Discount, Adjustment | 33542 | 281372 | 508639 | 88589 | 115118 |
| Business Promotion Expenses | 429341 | 1332064 | 1720041 | 1245594 | 1348328 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Deferred Expenses | 2826500 | 2826500 | - | - | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus Fair | 1400916 | 1324520 | 1170264 | 789208 | 835982 |
| Funeral Expenses | 10000 | 5000 | - | - | - |
| Legal Expenses | 21893 | - | 111188 | 17500 | - |
| Meeting Expenses | 121558 | 108101 | 14315204 | 163875 | 169750 |
| Software Expenses | 89100 | 318860 | 80000 | 261557 | 119050 |
| Emergency Expenses | - | 104678 | 165520 | 318891 | - |
| Seminar Expenses | - | - | 113049 | 130556 | 712921 |
| Total | 79998859 | 61131045 | 76692654 | 73529349 | 80209132 |

## Appendix-7

## Calculations by using different statistical tools

| FISCAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEARS | BUDGETED <br> SALES(X) | ACTUAL <br> SALES(Y) | $\mathbf{X = X}-\bar{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{Y}-\bar{Y}$ | $x^{2}$ | $y^{2}$ | XY |
| $2059 / 60$ | 1672757000 | 1595906712 | -60110033 | 8291733 | 3613216067261089 | 68752836143289 | -498416344257189 |
| $2060 / 61$ | 1754810857 | 1535810462 | 21943824 | -51804517 | 481531411742976 | 2683707981603289 | -1136789203453008 |
| $2061 / 62$ | 1760000000 | 1589663476 | 27132967 | 2048497 | 736197898223089 | 4196339959009 | 55581801500599 |
| $2062 / 63$ | 1669935396 | 1536340564 | -62931637 | -51274415 | 3960390935499769 | 2629065633592225 | 2336782872167355 |
| $2063 / 64$ | 1806831914 | 1680353680 | 73964881 | 92738701 | 5470803621344161 | 8600466663167401 | 6859406983559581 |
|  | $\sum X=$ | $\sum Y=$ | $\sum x=0$ | $\sum y=0$ | $\sum x^{2}=$ | $\sum y^{2}=$ | $\sum x y=$ |
|  | 8664335167 | 1587614979 |  |  | 14262139934071084 | 13986189454465213 | 8506566109517338 |

$\bar{X}=\frac{\sum X}{N}=\frac{8664335167}{5}=1732867033$
$\bar{Y}=\frac{\sum Y}{N}=\frac{7938074894}{5}=1587614979$
$\sum x^{2}=14262139934071084$
$\sum y^{2}=13986189454465213$

## Calculations by using Different Statistical Tools

## Budgeted Sales (X)

$\operatorname{Mean}(\bar{X})=\frac{\sum X}{N}=\frac{8664335167}{5}=\mathbf{1 7 3 2 8 6 7 0 3 3}$

Standard Deviation $(\mathbf{X} \delta)=\sqrt{\frac{\sum x^{2}}{N}}=\sqrt{\frac{14262139934071084}{5}}=53408127$

Co-efficient of Variation (C.V. (x)) $=\frac{x \delta}{\bar{X}}=\frac{53408127}{1732867033}=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 0 8 2}$ or $\mathbf{3 . 0 8 \%}$

## Actual Sales (Y)

$\operatorname{Mean}(\bar{Y})=\frac{\sum Y}{N}=\frac{7938074894}{5}=\mathbf{1 5 8 7 6 1 4 9 7 9}$
Standard Deviation $\left(\mathbf{Y}_{\delta}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\sum y^{2}}{N}}=\sqrt{\frac{13986189454465213}{5}}=\mathbf{5 2 8 8 8 9 2 0}$

Co-efficient of Variation (C.V. $(\mathbf{y}))=\frac{y \delta}{\bar{Y}}=\frac{52888920}{1587614979}=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 3 3}$ or $\mathbf{3 . 3 3 \%}$

Correlation Co-efficient (r) $=\frac{\sum x y}{N * x \delta * y \delta}$

$$
=\frac{8506566109517338}{5 * 53408127 * 52888920}=\frac{8506566109517338}{14123490781264200}=\mathbf{0 . 6 0 2 3}
$$

ProbableError of ' $\mathbf{r}$ ' (P.E. (r)) $=0.6745 \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{N}}=0.6745 * \frac{1-0.3627}{\sqrt{5}}$

$$
=\frac{0.4298}{2.236}=0.1922
$$

Co-efficient of Determination $\left(r^{2}\right)=(0.6023)^{2}=0.3627$

## Appendix-8

# Calculations of Contribution Margin, BEP Analysis and Margin of Safety 

## For F/Y 2059/60

\# Contribution Margin = Sales- Variable Cost<br>$$
=1595906712-(1380416156-23849522)
$$<br>$$
=1595906712-1356566634
$$<br>$$
=239340078
$$

Note: Variable cost should be consistent with the sales, so change in variable inventory is considered here.

## \# Break-even Sales and Margin of Safety with four assumptions.

Assumption 1: Omit inventory change but include other sundry incomes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { \# BEP Sales } & =\frac{\text { FixedCst }- \text { OtherSundryIncomes }}{\left(1-\frac{\text { VariableCost }}{\text { Sales }}\right) \text { orP/VRaatio }} \\
& =\frac{245970816-13550585}{0.15}=\frac{232420231}{0.15}=1549468207
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# \text { Margin of Safety }= & \text { Actual Sales - BEP Sales } \\
& =1595906712-1549468207 \\
& =46438505
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{245970816}{0.15}=1639805440$
\# Margin of Safety $=1595906712-1639805440=-43898728$
Assumption 3: Include inventory change but omit other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{245970816-2012029}{0.15}=\frac{243958787}{0.15} \quad=1626391913$
\# Margin of Safety $=1595906712$ - 1626391913

$$
=-3048520133
$$

Assumption 4: Include both inventory change and other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{245970816-2012029-13550585}{0.15}=\frac{230408202}{0.15}=1536054680$
\# Margin of Safety = 1595906712 - 1536054680

$$
=59852032
$$

## For F/Y 2060/61

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { \# Contribution Margin } & =\text { Sales- Variable Cost } \\
& =1535810462-(1292317157+1802092) \\
& =1535810462-1310338078 \\
& =225472384
\end{aligned}
$$

## \# Break-even Sales and Margin of Safety with four assumptions.

Assumption 1: Omit inventory change but include other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{221268825-11545735}{\text { C/MRatio }}=\frac{209723090}{0.1468} \quad=1428631403$
\# Margin of Safety $=$ Actual Sales - BEP Sales

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1535810462-1428631403 \\
& =107179059
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{221268825}{0.1468}=1507280824$
\# Margin of Safety $=1535810462-1507280824$

$$
=28529638
$$

Assumption 3: Include inventory change but omit other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{221268825+1522427}{0.1468}=\frac{222791252}{0.1468} \quad=1517651580$
\# Margin of Safety $=1535810462-1517651580$

$$
=18158882
$$

Assumption 4: Include both inventory change and other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{221268825+1522427-11545735}{0.1468}=\frac{211245517}{0.1468}=1439002159$
\# Margin of Safety $=1535810462-1439002159$ = 96808303

## For F/Y 2061/62

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { \# Contribution Margin } & =\text { Sales- Variable Cost } \\
& =1589663476-(1400987326+3697336) \\
& =1589663476-1404684662 \\
& =184978814
\end{aligned}
$$

## \# Break-even Sales and Margin of Safety with four assumptions

Assumption 1: Omit inventory change but include other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{232389656-13141374}{0.1164}=\frac{219248282}{0.1164} \quad=1883576306$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# \text { Margin of Safety }= & \text { Actual Sales - BEP Sales } \\
& =1589663476-1883576306 \\
& =-293912830
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{232389656}{0.1164}=1996474708$
\# Margin of Safety $=1589663476$-1996474708

$$
=-406811232
$$

Assumption 3: Include inventory change but omit other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{232389656+307144}{0.1164}=\frac{232696800}{0.1164} \quad=1999113402$
\# Margin of Safety $=1589663476-1999113402$

$$
=-409449926
$$

Assumption 4: Include both inventory change and other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{232389656+307144-13141374}{0.146}=\frac{219555426}{0.1164}=1886215000$
\# Margin of Safety $=1589663476-1886215000$

$$
=-296551524
$$

## For F/Y 2062/63

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { \# Contribution Margin } & =\text { Sales- Variable Cost } \\
& =1536340564-(1350204062-52836284) \\
& =1536340564-1297367778 \\
& =238972786
\end{aligned}
$$

## \# Break-even Sales and Margin of Safety with four assumptions

Assumption 1: Omit inventory change but include other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{285873828-16939056}{0.1556}=\frac{268934772}{0.1556} \quad=1728372571$
\# Margin of Safety $=$ Actual Sales $\boldsymbol{-}$ BEP Sales

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1536340564-1728372571 \\
& =-192032007
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{285873828}{0.1556}=1837235398$
\# Margin of Safety $=1536340564-1837235398$

$$
=-300894834
$$

Assumption 3: Include inventory change but omit other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{285873828-4228500}{0.1556}=\frac{281645328}{0.1556} \quad=1810059949$

## \# Margin of Safety $=1536340564-1810059949$

$$
=-273719385
$$

Assumption 4: Include both inventory change and other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{285873828-4228500-16939056}{0.1556}=\frac{264706272}{0.1556}=1701197121$
\# Margin of Safety = 1536340564 - 1701197121

$$
=-164856557
$$

## For F/Y 2063/64

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { \# Contribution Margin } & =\text { Sales- Variable Cost } \\
& =1680353680-(1418326520+6461911) \\
& =1680353680-1424788431 \\
& =255565249
\end{aligned}
$$

## \# Break-even Sales and Margin of Safety with four assumptions.

Assumption 1: Omit inventory change but include other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{253134496-12462763}{0.1521}=\frac{240671733}{0.1521} \quad=1582325661$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# \text { Margin of Safety }= & \text { Actual Sales }- \text { BEP Sales } \\
& =1680353680-1582325661 \\
& =98028019
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumption 2: Omit both inventory change and sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{253134496}{0.1521}=1664263616$
\# Margin of Safety $=1680353680-1664263616=16090064$
Assumption 3: Include inventory change but omit other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{253134496+490118}{0.1521}=\frac{2253624614}{0.1521} \quad=1667485957$
\# Margin of Safety $=1680353680-1667485957$

$$
=12867723
$$

Assumption 4: Include both inventory change and other sundry incomes.
\# BEP Sales $=\frac{253134496+490118-12462763}{0.1521}=\frac{241161851}{0.1521}=1585548001$
\# Margin of Safety $=1680353680-1585548001$

$$
=94805679
$$

## Appendix-9

## Productivity Ratios

\# Sales per Employee $=\frac{\text { Net Sales }}{\text { No.of Employee }}$

For F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{1598906712}{1279}=1247777$
For F/Y 2060/61 $=\frac{1535810462}{1279}=1200790$
For F/Y 2061/62 $=\frac{1589663476}{857}=1854916$
For F/Y 2062/63 $=\frac{1536340564}{857}=1792696$
For F/Y 2063/64 $=\frac{1680353680}{969}=1734111$
\# Net Added Value per Employee $=\frac{\text { Net Added Value }}{\text { No.of Employee }}$
Where,
Net Added Value $=$ Sales $-($ opening inventory of raw material + raw material ending inventory of raw material)

Opening inventory of raw material and ending inventory of raw material is zero in case of DDC.

Net Added Value per employee $=\frac{\text { Sales }- \text { Material Cost }}{\text { No.of Employee }}$
For F/Y 2059/60 $=\quad \frac{1367961424}{1279}=287695$
For F/Y 2060/61 $=\frac{383015861}{1279}=299465$

| For F/Y 2061/62 | $=\frac{379500770}{857}=442825$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| For F/Y 2062/63 | $=\frac{335446500}{857}=391419$ |
| For F/Y 2063/64 | $=\frac{429297819}{969}=443032$ |

\# Labor Equipment Ratio $=\frac{\text { NetFixedAssets }}{\text { No.ofEmployees }}$

| For F/Y 2059/60 | $=\frac{275075176}{1279}=215071$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| For F/Y 2060/61 | $=\frac{293351329}{1279}=229360$ |
| For F/Y 2061/62 | $=\frac{262920675}{857}=306792$ |
| For F/Y 2062/63 | $=\frac{25952819}{857}=302909$ |
| For F/Y 2063/64 | $=\frac{254143382}{969}=262274$ |

\# Wage Distribution Ratio $=\frac{\text { GrossWage }}{\text { NetAddedValue }}$
For F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{154055519}{367961424}=0.4187$ or $41.87 \%$
For F/Y 2060/61 $=\frac{132514062}{383015861}=\quad=0.3459$ or $34.59 \%$
For F/Y 2061/62 $=\frac{136817645}{379500770}=\quad=0.3605$ or $36.05 \%$
For F/Y 2062/63 $=\frac{195449632}{335446500}=\quad=0.5826$ or $58.26 \%$
For F/Y 2063/64 $=\frac{160503076}{429297819}=\quad=0.3738$ or $37.38 \%$
\# Wage Base $=\frac{\text { GrossWage }}{\text { No.ofEmployee }}$

For F/Y 2059/60 $=\frac{154055519}{1279}=120450$
For F/Y 2060/61 $=\frac{132514062}{1279}=103607$
For F/Y 2061/62 $=\frac{136817645}{857}=159647$
For F/Y 2062/63 $=\frac{195449632}{857}=228062$
For F/Y 2063/64 $=\frac{160503076}{969}=165638$

## Appendix-10

## Profitability Ratios

| \# Gross Margin Ratio | $=$ | $\frac{\text { Gross } \operatorname{Pr} \text { ofit }}{\text { Sales }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For F/Y 2059/60 | = | $\frac{154827968}{1595906712}$ |  | $=0.097$ or 9.7\% |
| For F/Y 2060/61 | $=$ | $\frac{142289409}{1535810462}$ | = | $=0.0926$ or $9.26 \%$ |
| For F/Y 2061/62 | $=$ | $\frac{104836500}{1589663476}$ | = | $=0.0659$ or $6.59 \%$ |
| For F/Y 2062/63 | $=$ | $\frac{164634349}{1536340564}$ | = | $=0.1071$ or $10.71 \%$ |
| For F/Y 2063/64 | $=$ | $\frac{182558544}{1680353680}$ | = | $=0.1086$ or $10.86 \%$ |
| \# Net Profit Margin | = | $\frac{\text { Net } \operatorname{Pr} \text { ofit }}{\text { Sales }}$ |  |  |
| For F/Y 2059/60 | $=$ | $\frac{-4618709}{1595906712}$ |  | $=-0.0029$ or $-0.29 \%$ |
| For F/Y 2060/61 | $=$ | $\frac{2681132}{1535810462}$ | = | 0.17 or 17\% |
| For F/Y 2061/62 | $=$ | $\frac{-47717986}{1589663476}$ | $=$ | - 0.03 or - $3 \%$ |
| For F/Y 2062/63 | $=$ | $\frac{-42672542}{1536340564}$ | $=$ | - 0.0278 or $-2.78 \%$ |
| For F/Y 2063/64 | $=$ | $\frac{1940635}{1680353680}$ | $=$ | 0.11 or $11 \%$ |


| \# Operating Ratio | $=\frac{\text { OperatingExpenses }}{\text { Sales }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| For F/Y 2059/60 | $=\frac{1600525421}{1595906712}=\quad 1.0029$ or $100.29 \%$ |

For F/Y 2060/61 $=\frac{1533129330}{1535810462}=0.9982$ or $99.82 \%$
For F/Y 2061/62 $=\frac{1637381462}{1589663476}=\quad 1.03$ or $103 \%$
For F/Y 2062/63 $=\frac{1579013106}{1536340564}=\quad 1.0278$ or $102.78 \%$
For F/Y 2063/64 $=\frac{1678413045}{1680353680}=0.9978$ or $99.78 \%$

## APPENDIX-11

## \# Break- even Chart with the assumption that there is no inventory change and no sundry incomes

## For F/Y 2059/60



Here,
Fixed Cost = Rs 245970816
Variable Cost= Rs 1356566634
Total Cost = Rs 1602537450
Actual Sales $=$ Rs 1595906712
BEP Sales = Rs 1639805440
Operating Loss $=$ Rs 6630708

## For F/Y 2060/61



Here,
Fixed Cost = Rs 221268825
Variable Cost= Rs 1310338078
Total Cost $=$ Rs 1531606903
Actual Sales = Rs 1535810462
BEP Sales = Rs 1507280824
Operating Profit $=$ Rs 4203559

## For F/Y 2061/62



Here,
Fixed Cost = Rs 232389656
Variable Cost= Rs 1404684662
Total Cost $=$ Rs 1637074318
Actual Sales = Rs 1589663476
BEP Sales = Rs 1999113402
Operating Loss $=$ Rs 47410842

## For F/Y 2062/63



Here,
Fixed Cost = Rs 285873828
Variable Cost= Rs 1297367778
Total Cost $=$ Rs 1583241606
Actual Sales $=$ Rs 1536340564
BEP Sales = Rs 1837235398
Operating Loss $=$ Rs 46901042

## For F/Y 2063/64



Here,
Fixed Cost $=$ Rs 253134496
Variable Cost= Rs 1424788431
Total Cost = Rs 1677922927
Actual Sales $=$ Rs 1680353680
BEP Sales = Rs 1664263616
Operating Profit $=$ Rs 2430753


[^0]:    Source: Annual Report of DDC 2059/60 to 2063/64

[^1]:    Source: Appendix-8

