

**EFFECTIVENESS OF REINFORCEMENT IN LANGUAGE
CLASSROOM**

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education
in Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English**

Submitted by

Manoj Prasad Paudyal

Faculty of Education

Tribhuvan University

Surkhet Campus (Education)

Birendranagar, Surkhet, Nepal

2011

**EFFECTIVENESS OF REINFORCEMENT IN LANGUAGE
CLASSROOM**

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education
in Partial Fulfillment for the Master of Education in English**

Submitted by

Manoj Prasad Paudyal

Faculty of Education

Tribhuvan University

Surkhet Campus (Education)

Birendranagar, Surkhet Nepal

2011

T.U. Reg. No : 8212-91

Date of Approval of the

Campus Roll No.: 120 (2062/2063)

Thesis Proposal: 2068/05/19

Second Year Exam Roll No: 570423 (2065) Date of Submission:2068/08/19

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Mr. Manoj Prasad Paudyal** has prepared the thesis entitled "**Effectiveness of Reinforcement in Language Class Room**" under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend this thesis for acceptance.

Date : 2068/8/18

Mr. Shiva Raj Khadka

(Guide)

Teaching Assistant
Surkhet Campus (Education)
Birendranagar, Surkhet

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation by the following **Research Guidance Committee:**

Signature

Mr. Nem Bahadur Shahi

.....

Head

Chairperson

Department of English Education

Surkhet Campus (Education)

Birendranagar, Surkhet

Mr. Vasu Dev Karki

.....

Teaching Assistant

Member

Department of English Education

Surkhet Campus (Education)

Birendranagar, Surkhet

Mr. Shiva Raj Khadka (Guide)

.....

Teaching Assistant

Member

Department of English Education

Surkhet Campus (Education)

Birendranagar, Surkhet

Date : 2068/8/19

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following **Thesis Evaluation and Approval Committee:**

Signature

Mr. Nem Bahadur Shahi

Head

Chairman

Department of English Education

Surkhet Campus (Education)

Birendranagar, Surkhet

Expert

Mr. Shiva Raj Khadka

Teaching Assistant

Member

Department of English Education

Surkhet Campus (Education)

Birendranagar, Surkhet

Date : 2068 /...../.....

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge that this thesis is original; work far from any sort of plagiarism. No part of it was earlier submitted for candidate of research degree to any university.

Date : 2068 / 8 /18

Manoj Prasad Paudyal

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my earnest gratitude to my thesis supervisor and guide Mr. **Shiva Raj Khadka**, Teaching Assistant Surkhet Campus (Education), for his invaluable suggestions, encouragement and co-operation to bring the thesis to this form from the very beginning stage of conception.

I am extremely grateful to the intellectual personalities of the Department of English Education, Mr. **Nem Bahadur Shahi** (Head of the Department of English Education), Mr. **Vasu Dev Karki** and Mr. **Lal Bahadur Rana**, for their encouragement and academic support.

I am equally grateful to Mr. **Shyamlal Magarati**, Mr. **Purna Kandel**, Mr. **Agni K.C.**, Mr. **Raju Chitrakar**, Mr. **Dipendra K.C.**, Mr. **Rajan Kandel** and other members of Department of English Education, Surkhet, for their valuable suggestions and encouragement.

Additionally, I would like to take this opportunity to express my warm personal appreciation to **Mr. Basu Dev Subedi**, Headmaster, Shree Ne. Ra. H.S. School karaikhola, Surkhet and all the students of grade 8 there who participated in this experiment.

Moreover, I am very grateful to my father **Mr. Chhabilal Paudyal**, mother **Mrs. Pabitra Paudyal** and brother **Mr. Bhawani Prasad Paudyal** for their kind suggestions and co-operation at every stage of accomplishing this study.

I am heartily thankful to my Gurus and Gurumas who taught me in different levels because of these, I am now about to complete my degree. I am equally grateful to my brothers, sisters and my all friends who helped me on the course of preparing the thesis.

Finally, I would like to thank Step in Computer Center, Birendranagar Surkhet for excellent service of computer typesetting

ABSTRACT

The present research study entitled 'Effectiveness of Reinforcement in Language Classroom' aims to find out the main objective of this study was to determine the effectiveness of reinforcement in language classroom. For, this Shree Ne. Ra. H. S. School Karaikhola, Surkhet was selected purposively. Thirty students studying in grade 8 were the primary source, since the primary data for the study were obtained by administering tests among them. At the entry point, a pre-test was administered among them. Then, they were divided into two groups on the basis of result of the test to make equal level of proficiency of both the groups and were termed as 'controlled' and 'experimental'. Both the groups were taught the selected items for the equal length of time by the researcher. But the controlled group was taught without using reinforcement, except the textbook and blackboard, whereas, the experimental group was taught using reinforcement. At the end of the classroom teaching, a post-test was administered with the same set of items used in the pre-test. The obtained data were compared, analyzed and interpreted to determine the effectiveness of reinforcement in language classroom. By this study, it was found that the reinforcement has very high positive effect on teaching English, since the experimental group performed better in all the areas in post-test. Controlled group had performed lowly in all the areas in post-test with lower progress rate in comparison to that of 'experimental' group. Using tight instruction as well as using punishment and stick was not effective to teach English. Students could not give attention to study English using negative reinforcement.

This thesis comprises four chapters. Chapter one deals with the introduction of reinforcement with the short history of their use in teaching the English language in Nepal. It also includes the review of the related literature, objectives of the study and significance of the study. Chapter two deals with the sources of data, population and sampling procedure, process of data collection, and limitations of the study. The researcher has made use of both the primary and secondary sources of data.

The third chapter is devoted to the interpretation and analysis of the data collected. To analyze the data, the average scores were tabulated and the difference between pre-test and post-test was calculated in terms of average scores and percentage. The group which had higher progress rate was regarded better group. Chapter four deal with the findings and recommendations of the study. The findings have been outlined on the basis of the analysis and interpretation of the data, and appropriate recommendations and pedagogical implications have been made.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No
Declaration	i
Recommendation for Acceptance	ii
Recommendation for Evaluation	iii
Evaluation and Approval	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Abstract	vi
Table of Contents	viii
List of Table	x
List of Abbreviations	xi
CHAPTER–ONE: GENERAL BACKGROUND	1-13
1.1 General Background	1
1.2 Elements of Reinforcement Learning	4
1.3 Reinforcement Comparison	8
1.4 Types of Reinforcement	9
1.5 Review of the Related Literature	9
1.6 Objectives of the Study	11
1.7 Significance of the Study	11
CHAPTER–TWO: METHODOLOGY	14-18
2.1 Sources of Date	14
2.1.1 Primary source of data	14
2.1.2 Secondary source of data	14
2.2 Sample Population of the Study	14
2.3 Sampling Procedure	15
2.4 Tools for Collecting Data	15

2.5 Process of Data Collection	15
2.6 Model Lesson Plan	17
2.7 Data Analysis Procedures	17
2.8 Limitation of the Study	18
CHAPTER- THREE: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	19-29
3.1 Use of Reinforcement in Language Classroom	19
3.2 Skill Based Comprehension	22
3.2.1 Reading Comprehension	23
3.2.2 Writing skill	24
3.2.3 Speaking skill	25
3.2.4 Listening skill	26
3.2.5 Grammar	27
3.3 The holistic Comparisons Based on the Total Average Score	28
CHAPTER -FOUR: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	30-37
4.1 Summary of Findings	30
4.2 Pedagogical Implications of the Study	31
4.3 Recommendations	33
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLE

	Page No.
Table No. 1: Number of Items and their Weightage of Marks	12
Table No. 2: Group Division Process	17
Table No. 3: The Reinforcement Required for Teaching English	19
Table No. 4: Average Score in Reading Comprehension	23
Table No. 5: Average Score in Guided Composition	24
Table No. 6: Average Score in free composition	25
Table No. 7: Average Score in Speaking	25
Table No. 8: Average Score in Listening	26
Table No. 9: Average Score in Grammar	27
Table No. 10: Skills and their Weightage	28
Table No. 11: Average Score in Grand-Total	29

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CDC	: Curriculum Development Centre
D	: Deviation
D%	: Percentage of Deviation
DEO	: District Education Office
ELT	: English Language Teaching
e.g.	: For example
etc	: Etcetera
IMs	: Instructional Materials
MDPs	: Markovian Decision Processes
SD	: Standard Deviation
SEDU	: Secondary Education Development Unit
S.N.	: Serial Number
TG	: Teacher's Guide
%	: Percentage