

# **Contribution of Community Forestry on Livelihood of Poor and Disadvantaged Group**

(A Case Study of Two CFUGs of Lalitpur District, Nepal)



**A Dissertation Submitted to**

**The Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences**

**Department of Sociology / Anthropology, Prithivi Narayan Campus, Pokhara**

**In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master Degree in Sociology**



**By**

**Kishor Ojha**

**Roll # 04/061-063**

**Regd. No.: 2-1-17-17-98**

**Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences**

**Department of Sociology / Anthropology**

**Tribhuvan University**

**Prithivi Narayan Campus**

**Pokhara, Nepal**

**September, 2008**

## **Letter of Recommendation**

This is to certify that **Mr. Kishor Ojha** has prepared this dissertation entitled **“Contribution of Community Forestry on Livelihood of Poor and Disadvantaged Group”** (A Study of Two CFUGs in Lalitpur District, Nepal) under my supervision. I therefore recommend this dissertation for final approval.

Date: .....

-----

(Mr. Sharad Paudel)

Faculty Member

Department of Sociology / Anthropology

Prithivi Narayan Campus, Pokhara

Nepal

## **Recommendation by Editor**

This is to certify that I have read the draft dissertation entitled "Contribution of Community Forestry on Livelihood of Poor and Disadvantaged Group" (A Case Study of Two CFUGs of Lalitpur District, Nepal) by Mr. Kishor Ojha as language editor and made necessary correction and improvement there in. I have been impressed by his intelligible presentation of the facts through the medium of plain and correct English.

Date: .....

-----  
(Mr. Shyam Poudel)  
Lecturer  
Department of English  
Prithivi Narayan Campus, Pokhara  
Nepal

## Letter of Acceptance

This Dissertation entitled "**Contribution of Community Forestry on Livelihood of Poor and Disadvantage Group**" (A Case Study of Two CFUGs of **Lalitpur District, Nepal**) submitted to the Department of Sociology/Anthropology Prithvi Narayan Campus by **Mr. Kishor Ojha** has approved by the undersigned members of dissertation evaluation committee.

### Members of Dissertation Evaluation Committee:

---

Head of the Department  
(Bishwo Kalyan Parajuli, Phd)

---

Research Advisor  
(Shard Kumar Poudel)

---

External Examiner  
(Kedar Basnet, Phd.)

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## **Acknowledgement**

I confer sincere gratitude to the Sociology/Anthropology Department of Prithivi Narayan Campus and Head of the Department **Mr. Bishow Kalyan Parajuli (Ph.D)** for allowing me to write the dissertation in this topic.

I would like to express my sincere and profound gratitude to my supervisor **MR. Sharad Paudel**. Despite his busy schedules, he always inspired and provided me enough time to discuss on subject matter and gave numerous inputs to complete this dissertation. I am very much grateful to him.

My sincere acknowledgement goes to New ERA Ltd., Kathmandu for providing funds to complete this study. I am heartily thankful to Dr. B.K Parajuli, Mr. Sharad Paudel of Prithivi Narayan Campus and Dr. Bishnu Hari Pandit of New ERA Ltd., Kathmandu, for their wise comments on the drafts. Similarly, I specially appreciate and acknowledge the general members, Chairman of Diyale Danda CF Mr. Raju Tamang and Chairman of Patalae Muldole CF Mr. Krishna Bd. Silwal Patalae who patiently gave their time to answer my questions and share their knowledge on Community Forest. I am indebted to New Era Ltd. staff Mrs. Avha Joshi and all other staffs for their kind support. Similarly, without the help of the Volunteer field assistant Mr. Shyam Lama as well as Ranger Mr. Takhur Subedi, Jhamak Bd. Karki and other Forest Guards this study would not have been possible. I am also grateful to my entire friends Mrs. Medika Shrestha, Miss. Laxmi Gurung of Prithivi Narayan Campus as well as Mr. Aychut Kafrae, Mr. Santosh Rijal, Mr. Pravat Pal, Mr. Abhimanyu K.C of Institute of Forestry Pokhara for their encouragement during study period.

Last but not the least, I am grateful to my family members whose love and inspiration is have greatly contributed for my present status.

Date: 11 Sep, 2008

.....  
**(Kishor Ojha)**

# CONTENTS

**Letter of Recommendation**

**Letter of Acceptance**

**Recommendation by Editor**

**Abbreviations**

**Acknowledgement**

**Abstract**

**Table of Contents**

**List of Figure**

**List of Tables**

<b>Chapter One: Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. The study context .....	1
1.2. Statement of problems .....	3
1.3. Objectives of the study.....	5
1.4. Rationale of the study .....	5
1.5. Definition of Terms Used .....	6
1.6. Limitations of the study .....	7
1.7. Conceptual framework.....	7
<b>Chapter Two: Literature Review .....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1. Theoretical review.....	8
2.1.1. Concept of Community Forestry .....	8
2.1.2. Poverty and Poor.....	9
2.1.3. Disadvantaged Group (DAGs).....	10
2.1.4. concept of Livelihood assets.....	10
2.1.5. CF policy and plan addressing poverty issues .....	11
2.1.6. Needs met through CF .....	13
2.2. Review of the previous studies .....	14

<b>Chapter Three: Study Area .....</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1. District Scenario.....	17
3.2. General information of Diyale Danda CF and Patalae Muldole CF.....	18
3.2.1. Historical background .....	18
3.2.3. Vegetation .....	19
3.2.4. Demography .....	19
<b>Chapter Four: Methodology .....</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1. Study site description and rationale for selecting the study site .....	20
4.2. Heterogeneity analysis .....	20
4.3. Well being ranking.....	20
4.3.1. Indicators for well being ranking .....	20
4.4. Research design.....	21
4.5. Nature and sources of data .....	22
4.6. Sampling procedure .....	22
4.7. Variables and their operationalization .....	22
4.8. Data collection technique/instruments .....	23
4.9. Reliability.....	24.
4.10. Methods of data analysis.....	24
4.11. Formula used for data analysis .....	24
<b>Chapter Five: Result and Discussion .....</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1. General information of respondents.....	25
5.1.1. Academic Status of the respondents. ....	25
5.1.2. Occupation of the respondents.....	25
5.1.3. Food sufficiency from the production of own land. ....	26
5.1.4. Housing pattern of respondents .....	27
5.1.5. Condition of livestock.....	27
5.2. Perception on "Opportunity to become committee members" .....	28
5.3. Representation of Poor, DAGs and Women in key posts.....	28
5.4. Benefit sharing in the CF .....	29
5.5. Distribution of forest products among CF users .....	29

5.6.	Perception on "Benefits and opportunities sharing mechanism" .....	30
5.7.	Perception on "Special provisions for Poor and DAGs in forest Products" .....	31
5.8.	Perception on "Decisions of committee concerned with Poor and DAGs" .....	32
5.9.	Decision making process in CF .....	32
5.10.	Response on decision made by executive committee .....	33
5.11.	Response on process of decision-making in the CF. ....	34
5.12.	Perception on "Listening/responding voice during decision making process" .....	34
5.13.	Sources of income in CF.....	35
5.14.	Perception on "Contribution of CF for economic upliftment" .....	36
5.15.	Aware on income and expenditure of Community Forest.....	36
5.16.	Perception on "Transparency of cost and expenditure of CFUG" .....	37
5.17.	Perception on "Utilization of User Groups fund" .....	37
5.18.	Perception on "Loan taken from CFUG fund" .....	38
5.19.	Perception on "Loan taking process from CFUG fund" .....	38
5.20.	Responses on organization of any type of training, tours or other special programs for users by CFUG.....	39
5.21.	Participation in training, tours/seminars/workshop since implementation of Cf.....	40
5.22.	Perception on process of nomination for training/tour/workshop .....	41
5.23.	Perception on "Development of skills like speaking, leadership" .....	41
5.24.	Perception on "Trends of birds and animals population" .....	42
5.25.	Perception on "Availability of fuelwood, fodder and timber" .....	42
5.26.	Access to fuelwood, fodder, timber and other forest products. ....	43
5.27.	Perception on "Participation in CFUGs general meeting and assembly" .....	44
5.28.	Participation of CFUG members in the meeting when constitution was finalized. ....	44
5.29.	Freedom for expressing views and ideas in meeting and assembly .....	45
5.30.	Perception on "Social harmony" .....	46



5.31.	Perception on "Access to different organization" .....	46
5.32.	Perception on "Networking of CFUG with other organization" .....	47
5.33.	Contribution of CF on infrastructure development.....	47

**Chapter Six: Conclusion and Recommendations ..... 49**

6.1.	Conclusion .....	49
6.2.	Recommendation .....	50

**References ..... 51**

**Annex-1**

## Abbreviations

B.S	Bikram Sambat
CF	Community Forest
CFUG(s)	Community Forest Users Group(s)
d.f.	Degree of Freedom
DAGs	Disadvantage Groups
DFO	District Forest Office
DOF	Department of Forest
FUG (s)	Forest User Group(s)
FUGC	Forest User Group Committee
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HH	House Hold
HHS	House Hold Survey
HMGN	His Majesty's Government of Nepal
ICIMOD	International Center for Integrated Mountain Development
LSU	Livestock Unit
MFSC	Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation
MPFS	Master Plan for Forestry Sector
NGO	Non Government Organization
No.	Number
NPC	National Planning Commission
NTFP	Non Timber Forest Product
OP	Operation Plan
PF	Panchayat Forest
PPF	Panchayat Protected Forest
PRA	participatory Rural Appraisal
RRA	Rapid Rural Appraisal
S. No.	Serial Number
S.L.C	School Leaving Certificate
TNA	Training Need Assessment
VDC	Village Development Committee

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: General information of Diyale Danda CF and Patalae Moldole CF.....	18
Table 2: Academic status of the respondents.....	25
Table 3: Occupation of the Respondents .....	26
Table 4: Food sufficient from the production of own land .....	26
Table 5: Housing pattern of the respondents .....	27
Table 6: Condition of Livestock .....	27
Table 7: Perception on "Opportunity to become committee members .....	28
Table 8: Benefit sharing in the CF .....	29
Table 9: Distribution of Forest products among CF users .....	30
Table 10: Perception on "Benefits and opportunities sharing mechanism" .....	31
Table 11: Perception on "Special provisions for Poor and DAGs in forest products" .....	31
Table 12: Perception on "Decisions of committee concerned with Poor and DAGs" .....	32
Table 13: Decision making process in CF .....	33
Table 14: Response on decision made by executive committee .....	33
Table 15: Response on process of decision-making in the CF .....	34
Table 16: Perception on "Listening/responding voice during decision making process" .....	35
Table 17: Source of income in CF .....	35
Table 18: Perception on "Contribution of CF for economic upliftment" .....	36
Table 19: Aware on income and expenditure of Community Forest .....	37
Table 20: Perception on "Cost and expenditure of CFUG is transparent" .....	37
Table 21: Perception on "Utilization of User Group fund" .....	38
Table 22: Perception on "Loan taken from CFUG fund" .....	38
Table 23: Perception on "Loan taking process from CFUG fund" .....	39
Table 24: Responses to organize any type of training, tours or other special programs for users by CFUG.....	40

Table 25: Participation in training, tour/seminars/workshop since implementation of CF .....	40
Table 26: Perception on "Process of nomination for training/tour/workshop" ....	41
Table 27: Perception on "Development of skills like speaking, leadership" .....	42
Table 28: Perception on "Trends of birds and animals population" .....	42
Table 29: Perception on "Availability of fuelwood, fodder and timber" .....	43
Table 30: Access to fuelwood, fodder, timber and other forest products. ....	43
Table 31: Perception on "Participation in CFUG meeting and assembly" .....	44
Table 32: Participation of CFUG members in the meeting when constitution was finalized. ....	45
Table 33: Freedom for expressing views and ideas in meeting and assembly ....	45
Table 34: Perception on "Social harmony" .....	46
Table 35: Perception on "Access to different organization" .....	47
Table 36: Perception on "Networking of CFUG with other organization" .....	47
Table 37: Contribution of CF on infrastructure development.....	48

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: conceptual framework of the study .....	7
Figure 2: Location of the Study Area .....	17
Figure 3: Sex status of respondent in Diyale CF .....	19
Figure 4: Sex status of respondent in Patale Cf.....	19
Figure 5: Flow chart of the study.....	21
Figure 6: Representation of Poor, DAGs and women in key post in both CF .....	28